

Scorecard 1. Permanent Supportive Housing (renewal)

Project type: Permanent Supportive Housing **Population:** All except DV-only

Agency name: _____ **Project name:** _____

Scored item	Scoring criteria	Score	Max	Data reference
A. Population served (10 points)				
Priority population: percent chronically homeless and children served	Over 80% = 10; 70 to 79% = 7; 60 to 69% = 5; 50 to 59% = 3; under 49% = 0		10	APR Q5a11 (chronic) + Q5a3 (children) / Q5a1 (total served)
B. Performance measures (40 points)				
Placement and retention in permanent housing	90%+ = 22; 85 to 89% = 18; 80 to 84% = 13; 75 to 79% = 9; 70 to 74% = 4; under 70% = 0		22	System Performance Measure 7 (SPM 7b.2)
Returns to homelessness (6 to 24 months)	Under 10% = 8; 11 to 13% = 6; 14 to 16% = 4; 17 to 19% = 2; over 20% = 0		8	System Performance Measure 2 (SPM 2a/2b)
Length of time from project start to housing move-in	Under 60 days = 10; 61 to 75 = 8; 76 to 90 = 5; 91 to 104 = 2; over 105 = 0		10	APR Q22c (average)
C. Employment and income growth (10 points)				
Increased earned income at annual assessment (stayers)	Over 8% = 2.5; 5 to 7% = 1.5; under 5% = 0		2.5	APR Q19a1 Row 1, Col 9 (reported under SPM 4)
Increased earned income (leavers)	Over 10% = 2.5; 7 to 9% = 1.5; under 8% = 0		2.5	APR Q19a2 Row 1, Col 9 (reported under SPM 4)
Increased non-employment income, e.g. SSI/SSDI (stayers)	Over 15% = 2.5; 12 to 14% = 1.5; under 13% = 0		2.5	APR Q19a1 Row 3, Col 9 (reported under SPM 4)
Increased non-employment income (leavers)	Over 20% = 2.5; 17 to 19% = 1.5; under 18% = 0		2.5	APR Q19a2 Row 3, Col 9 (reported under SPM 4)
D. Supportive services (20 points)				
Service richness, on-site delivery, and low-barrier access	Excellent (services on-site or directly arranged, substance use treatment access named, clear barrier removal) = 10; Good (general, referral-based or thin treatment access) = 6; Poor (little detail) = 2		10	Program application
Assertive monthly engagement	Project offers or attempts an in-home contact with every participant at least monthly, documents the offer whether or not it is accepted, and re-engages creatively when declined. Excellent (clear practice, offers and attempts documented, sample notes confirm) = 10; Good (described, documentation thin) = 6; Poor (no clear practice or documentation) = 2		10	Program application plus sample case notes (spot check)
E. Data quality (20 points)				
HMIS data quality: percent of fields completed without errors	95%+ = 20; 90 to 94% = 15; 85 to 89% = 10; 80 to 84% = 5; 79% or below = 0		20	HMIS report

Scored item	Scoring criteria	Score	Max	Data reference
F. Cost effectiveness (5 points)				
Unspent funds from most recent completed grant term	0 to 5% = 5; 5 to 10% = 3; 11 to 15% = 1; over 16% = 0		5	Sage APR and BLI report
G. Community practice (20 points)				
Coordinated Entry participation	Yes = 10; No = 0		10	HMIS report
Includes persons with lived experience	Yes = 10; No = 0		10	Program application
Participation in PIT count	Tracked, no points awarded		0	Annual PIT report

Raw total: 125 points**Weighted total:** 100 points**Applicant raw total:** points**Applicant weighted total:** points