		FY 2025 Flin	t/Genesee Continuum of Care Renewal	Project Scorecard	_			
Agency N	ame:		Project Name:		PSH			
		Reference APR	Your Answer					
	Total Number of Persons Served	APR: Q5a1	Tour Answer		Project Type: Perma	nont Sunno	rtivo Housins	
	Total Number of Adults	APR: Q5a2		Popula	ation Type: All populations			
	Total Leavers	APR: Q5a5		Fopula	ation Type. All populations t	except Donne	Stic violence	e-only projects
	Total Number of Adult Leavers	APR: Q5a6						
	Total Number of Addit Leavers	AFN. QJao						
1	Population Served	1			•		ı	T
	Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Points Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
	Priority Population: % of Chronically Homeless and Children Served	>80%	(APR: Q5a11 Chronically Homeless Number + Q5a3 children /APR: Q5a1 Total Number Served)		>80% = 10 points 70- 79% = 7 points 60- 69% = 5 points 50-59% = 3 points <49% = 0 points	10	10	
	Population Served Total		<u> </u>			10	10	l
2	·							
2	Performance Measures Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Points Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
	% Retention and Exits to PH Destinations	90%	SPM 7b.2		90% = 25 points 85–89% = 20 points 80–84% = 15 points 75–79% = 10 points 70–74% = 5 points < 70% = 0 points	25	25	Need to see additional SPM to determine if 7b.1 or 7b.2 are better measures
	% Returns to Homelessness	<10%	SPM 2a and 2b (6 to 12 months)		<10% = 20 points 11–13% = 15 points 14–16% = 10 points 17–19% = 5 points >20% = 0 points	20	20	
	Length of Project Start Date and Housing Move In Date	60 days	APR:Q22c (Average)		<60 days= 10 points 61–75 days = 8 points 76–90 days = 5 points 91- 104 days = 2 points >105 days - 0 points	10	10	
	Performance Measures Total					55	55	
2	Employment and Income Measures							
3	Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Points Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible Points	Notes
	% of participants age 18 or older with increased earned Income at Annual Assessment (Stayers)	8%	APR:Q19a1 Row 1, Column 9		>8%=2.5 points 5- 7 = 1.5 points <5 = 0 points	2.5	2.5	
	% of participants age 18 or older with increased Non- Employment Income at Annual Assessment (Stayers)	10%	APR:Q19a1 Row 3, Column 9		>10%=2.5 points 7- 9 = 1.5 points <8 = 0 points	2.5	2.5	
	% of participants age 18 or older with increased earned Income at Annual Assessment (Leavers)	15%	APR: Q19a2 Row 1, Column 9		>15%=2.5 points 12-14 = 1.5 points <13 = 0 points	2.5	2.5	

% of participants age 18 or older with increased Non-				>20%=2.5 points			
Employment Income at Annual Assessment (Leavers)	20%	APR: Q19a2 Row 3, Column 9		17-19 = 1.5 points	2.5	2.5	
Total Employment and Income Measures Total				<18 = 0 points	10	10	
Data Quality and Effectivness	1	, ,		1		T-4-1	T
Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Points Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Total Possible	Notes
						Points	
				95% = 20 points 90–94%			
HMIS Data Quality - % of data field completed without	95%	HMIS Report (# of missing		= 15 points 85-89% = 10	20	20	
errors	3370	fields/total fields = % of data quality)		points 80-84% = 5	20	20	Removed APR Submission poin
				points ≤79% = 0 points			cause Metro did it?
Data Quality and Effectivness Total					20	20	
Cost Effectiveness		ı		1		Total	
Scored Category	Goal	Reference	Points Awarded	Points Criteria	Score	Possible	Notes
scored category	Goal	nerer ende	i omes / war aca	1 Ollits Criteria	30010	Points	Notes
				0-5% = 5 points 5-		TOILLS	
Does the total amount of unspent program funds from		HUD Report (Total Funds		10% 3 points 11-			
the most recent completed grant term greater than 59	<5% unspent funds	Awarded/Total Spent) Sage APR &		· ·	5	5	
				15% - 1 point			Nood to identify correct decum
of total project awards		BLI Report		15% = 1 point >16%= 0 points			,
of total project awards Cost Effectiveness Total		BLI Report		15% = 1 point >16%= 0 points	5	5	•
		BLI Report		· ·	5	5	•
		BLI Report		· ·	5		•
Cost Effectiveness Total Other			Dointe Augusta	>16%= 0 points	-	Total	current reference is from hous
Cost Effectiveness Total	Goal	BLI Report Reference	Points Awarded	· ·	5 Score	Total Possible	,
Cost Effectiveness Total Other	Goal		Points Awarded	>16%= 0 points Points Criteria	-	Total	current reference is from hous
Cost Effectiveness Total Other	Goal		Points Awarded	>16%= 0 points Points Criteria Yes = 10 points	-	Total Possible	current reference is from hous
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation		Reference HMIS Report	Points Awarded	>16%= 0 points Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points	Score	Total Possible Points	current reference is from hou
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category		Reference	Points Awarded	>16%= 0 points Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points	Score	Total Possible Points	current reference is from hou
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation Provides Supportive Service Oportunities	Yes Yes	Reference HMIS Report Local Program Data	Points Awarded	>16%= 0 points Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points	Score 10 10	Total Possible Points 10	current reference is from hous
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation	Yes	Reference HMIS Report	Points Awarded	>16%= 0 points Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points No = 0 points	Score	Total Possible Points 10	current reference is from hous
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation Provides Supportive Service Oportunities Includes Persons with Lived Experience	Yes Yes	Reference HMIS Report Local Program Data Program Application	Points Awarded	Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 5 points	Score 10 10	Total Possible Points 10 10	current reference is from hous
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation Provides Supportive Service Oportunities Includes Persons with Lived Experience PIT Count Tracking	Yes Yes Yes	Reference HMIS Report Local Program Data	Points Awarded	Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 5 points	Score 10 10 5	Total Possible Points 10 10 5	current reference is from hous
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation Provides Supportive Service Oportunities Includes Persons with Lived Experience	Yes Yes Yes Participation in PIT	Reference HMIS Report Local Program Data Program Application	Points Awarded	Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 5 points No = 0 points Yes = 5 points No=0 points	Score 10 10 5	Total Possible Points 10 10	Notes
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation Provides Supportive Service Oportunities Includes Persons with Lived Experience PIT Count Tracking	Yes Yes Yes Participation in PIT	Reference HMIS Report Local Program Data Program Application	Points Awarded	Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 0 points No = 0 points No = 0 points Yes = 5 points No = 0 points	Score 10 10 5	Total Possible Points 10 10 5	Need to identify correct docum current reference is from hous Notes
Cost Effectiveness Total Other Scored Category Coordinated Entry Participation Provides Supportive Service Oportunities Includes Persons with Lived Experience PIT Count Tracking	Yes Yes Yes Participation in PIT	Reference HMIS Report Local Program Data Program Application	Points Awarded	Points Criteria Yes = 10 points No = 0 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 10 points Yes = 5 points No = 0 points Yes = 5 points No=0 points	Score 10 10 5 25	Total Possible Points 10 10 5	Notes

CoC Funding Request

Amount of Private Funding
Total Project Cost

Amount of Other Public Funding (Federal, State, County,