TO MAGISTRATES' COURT VICTORIA

Name of person lodging complaint	
	Reece Storme FERRARA [aka Jacob Ferrara]
Address	18 Borrack Cres Mernda VIC 3754
Daytime Telephone no.	0400690987
Mobile no.	0400690987
Email (optional)	Reece.Storme@Protonmail.com
Where did the complaint arise (court location)	Heidelberg Registry
Date of Complaint /Incident	2022 - 2024
Court Case No (if applicable)	M12446367; N10829749;

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT JANUARY 18, 2024

BACKGROUND AND ALLEGATIONS:

- The complainant, Reece Ferrara, asserts ongoing issues with the Victorian Police (VICPOL) and the Heidelberg Magistrates' Court dating back to January 19, 2016.
- Allegations include corruption, misconduct, and obstruction of justice by VICPOL and court staff.
- Specific grievances involve unlawful remand resulting from jurisdictional errors and falsified documents allegedly used to influence judicial decisions.
- Complaints extend to VICPOL's handling of medical needs, particularly inadequate treatment following an incident of injury while in custody.
- Reece Ferrara claims mistreatment and obstruction in accessing court records pertinent to ongoing legal proceedings.

KEY ALLEGATIONS AND LEGAL ISSUES:

1. Jurisdictional Errors and Misconduct:

- Allegations of jurisdictional errors by Magistrate Lennon during court proceedings, including failure to acknowledge Ferrara and reliance on false information regarding legal representation.
- Claim of unlawful remand exceeding statutory limits, leading to approximately 24 days of unlawful imprisonment.
- Accusations of VICPOL providing misleading information to the court to influence outcomes.

2. Obstruction of Justice:

- Claims that court registry staff obstructed access to court documents necessary for legal proceedings.
- Alleged suppression and destruction of evidence crucial to proving contempt of court and miscarriage of justice.
- Obstruction claims intensified by alleged intentional destruction of audio/video recordings by court staff.

3. Medical and Psychological Issues:

- Allegations of inadequate medical care and mistreatment by VICPOL and corrections personnel following a medical emergency while in custody.
- Claims of psychological distress exacerbated by ongoing legal battles and alleged mistreatment.

4. Legal and Procedural Violations:

- Claims under various Victorian legal statutes, including the Criminal Procedure Act, Magistrates Court Act, and Mental Health and Wellbeing Act.
- Allegations of breaches of duty and misuse of authority by court registrars and VICPOL officers.

REQUESTED OUTCOMES:

- Ferrara seeks investigation and intervention by relevant authorities, including the Independent Broad-Based Anti-Corruption Commission (IBAC) and compliance with the Public Interest Disclosures Act.
- Desired outcomes include recognition of misconduct, correction of legal records, compensation for wrongful imprisonment, and reforms to prevent future injustices.

Conclusion

The complaint filed by Reece Ferrara against VICPOL and Heidelberg Magistrates' Court alleges a pattern of corruption, misconduct, and obstruction of justice. The allegations primarily focus on procedural irregularities, judicial errors, and mistreatment during legal proceedings and custody. Ferrara seeks redress through legal and administrative channels to rectify alleged injustices and ensure fair treatment under the law.

QUESTIONS OF LAW:

- Did the Heidelberg Magistrates' Court breach the applicant's rights under the Criminal Procedure Act 1989 (Vic) by remanding him without proper consideration of his legal representation or without addressing him directly, thus possibly violating sections 328, 329, and 330 of the Act?
- 2. Was there a breach of natural justice by Magistrate Lennon when he failed to acknowledge the applicant's presence during the remand application hearing, relying solely on information provided by VICPOL, potentially leading to a jurisdictional error under the Magistrates' Court Act 1989 (Vic), particularly sections 60, 79, and 82?
- 3. Did the actions of the Registrar at the Heidelberg Magistrates' Court, allegedly involving the creation of a false document or misleading information, constitute an offense under the Criminal Code Act 1995 (Cth) or any relevant state laws?
- 4. Is there evidence to support the applicant's claim that VICPOL engaged in misconduct by intentionally misleading the court during the remand application, potentially breaching the Victoria Police Act 2013 (Vic) and leading to a miscarriage of justice?
- 5. Did the Heidelberg Magistrates' Court Registry obstruct the applicant's access to court documents, and was this obstruction unlawful under the relevant provisions of the Magistrates' Court Act 1989 (Vic) or any other applicable legislation?
- 6. Did the intentional obstruction of access to court documents by the Registry result in destruction of evidence that could have proven contempt of court, constituting a breach of procedural fairness under the principles established in common law or statutory provisions?
- 7. Was there a failure by the Heidelberg Magistrates' Court to adhere to the requirements of the Public Interest Disclosures Act 2012 (Vic) in handling the applicant's complaints of corruption and misconduct within the court system?
- 8. Does the conduct alleged against court personnel and VICPOL meet the criteria for corrupt conduct under the Independent Broad-Based Anti-Corruption Commission Act 2011 (Vic), particularly in terms of adversely affecting the honest performance of their functions or breaching public trust?
- 9. Did the Heidelberg Magistrates' Court Registry violate any statutory obligations under the Magistrates' Court Act 1989 (Vic) or the Criminal Procedure Act 1989 (Vic) by failing to provide necessary documents to the applicant or by imposing unnecessary fees for document preparation and copying?

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Court Ref: 040090987 P11271001; P11370782;

P12154228 N10829749 10. Is there evidence to support the applicant's claim that he was denied proper medical care while in police custody, potentially violating his rights under the Victorian Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006 or any other relevant legislation governing prisoner rights?

These questions reflect legal issues arising from the complaint and touch upon potential violations of procedural fairness, natural justice, criminal law, and statutory duties applicable to court personnel and law enforcement agencies. Each question would require further investigation and analysis of evidence to determine their legal merit.