

BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL

Applying safe systems principles to priority crossing for pedestrian and cycle paths

Prudence Oswin Sidelines Traffic

Royal International Convention Centre, Brisbane | 22-24 October 2019

Abstract:

Safe systems principles have been incorporated into technical guidance and practical examples of cycling infrastructure in Queensland.

Providing crossings at side roads that give pedestrians and bicycle riders priority over vehicles is one way of ensuring that shared pathways are more direct and comfortable for users. However, the key concern of many practitioners and users is whether these facilities are safe.

Results of observational studies into existing raised priority crossings were used to identify key design attributes for priority crossings on side roads. The design guidance was also tested against safe systems principles to ensure that facilities allow for human error but are unlikely to result in serious injury or harm to users.

The results of this work demonstrate that priority crossing treatments can be developed, consistent with safe systems principles to deliver safe, convenient and more direct cycling facilities where more users are comfortable to use shared pathways.

Keywords: guidelines for practitioners, cycling facilities, Safe Systems, crossings



Royal International Convention Centre, Brisbane | 22-24 October 2019

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL



Where pathway users are given priority across a road using regulatory Give Way or Stop signs and line marking, it is referred to as a priority crossing (TMR, 2019)(see Figure 1). Providing raised crossings at side roads that give pedestrians and bicycle riders priority over vehicles is one way of ensuring that shared pathways are more direct and comfortable for users. However, the key concern of many practitioners is whether these facilities are safe.

Figure 1: Raised Priority Crossing, Goonawarra Drive, Mooloolaba



The Safe Systems philosophy for road safety has been adopted by Queensland and Australian Governments in their road safety strategies published in 2015 and 2011 respectively (TMR, 2019; Australian Transport Council, 2011). Despite this, the most recent review of the National Road Safety Strategy found that "the Safe System approach has been adopted but not ingrained or mainstreamed within government business by federal, state, territory or local governments." (2019 DTCRD)

The central premise of the Safe Systems philosophy to road safety is that people should not be killed or seriously injured as result of traffic crashes (Austroads, 2015). It also recognises that people will make mistakes and puts an onus onto designers to create infrastructure that is forgiving to these mistakes, so that crashes do not result in serious injuries or fatalities.

There are 5 key principles to Safe Systems philosophy. They provide a framework that can be used to assess whether infrastructure is consistent with the philosophy. They are broadly described as functionality, homogeneity, predictability, state of awareness and forgivingness. Table 1, Column 2 describes how the Safe Systems Principles apply to planning for all users in traffic networks as described in Austroads (2015). Column 2 describes how the principles can be more specifically applied to protect vulnerable road users at side roads.





INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING AUSTRALASIA

Annual CONFERENCE Royal International Convention Centre, Brisbane | 22-24 October 2019

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE **BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL**



Table 1: Safe System Principles

Safe System Principle	General Safe Systems Description	Vulnerable Road Users/Pathway Users
Functional	Single function of roads as through, distributor, or access road in a hierarchically structured road network	Path facility has same priority as parallel road, such that cyclists are attracted onto the separated facility, and not delayed at lower order roads.
Homogeneous	Equality in speed between users sharing space, and mass and medium and high speeds	Equitable speeds between path users and vehicles at crossing point (<30km/h). This allows users to see each other and opens up time to react and avoid crashes.
Predictable	Road environment and road user behaviour that support road user expectations through consistency and continuity in road design	Areas where vulnerable road users and vehicles come into conflict are clearly delineated. Signage and line marking used to clearly indicate priority.
State of awareness	Ability for network user to assess one's capability to handle the task	Unlicensed users not relied upon to avoid conflicts and make complex decisions. Road design reinforces responsibility of licensed road users to give way to pedestrians and bike riders at side roads.
Forgiving	Injury limitation through a forgiving road environment and anticipation of road user behaviour	Motor vehicle speeds reduced such that, consequences of crash probably not serious or fatal. Aim to provide vehicle speeds of 20km/hr at pedestrian crossings.

To assess whether environments are 'forgiving', critical impact speeds are used. Critical impact speeds have been calculated for different crash types and users (Austroadsb, 2015). They represent the speed of a bullet vehicle in a crash which results in a 10% chance of severe injuries or death. For crashes involving pedestrians (and cyclists), this speed is approximately 20km/hr. For adjacent direction crashes the critical impact speeds is 30km/hr and for rear-end crashes it is 55km/hr (Austroadsb, 2015).

The preferred attributes of raised priority crossings are defined in the TMR Guideline, Raised priority crossings for pedestrian and cycle paths (TMR, 2019). These attributes were developed following observational research on existing facilities, and to be consistent with the Safe Systems framework



and critical impact speeds. A general overview of the attributes and their links to Safe Systems is provided in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Attributes of raised priority crossings



The Safe Systems principles provide an effective framework for designing infrastructure to avoid crashes that might result in serious injuries or fatalities. Understanding critical impact speeds for the crash types that might occur, and uses involved, is an important component of the assessment.





Annual CONFERENCE

Royal International Convention Centre, Brisbane | 22-24 October 2019

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL



Acknowledgements

CDM Research for providing video footage

TMR for undertaking project to develop technical guidance for raised priority crossings

J. Giles for teaching me how to apply Safe Systems to vulnerable road users

M. Langdon and McDonald for guidance in this project

References

- Australian Transport Council, 2011. National Road Safety Strategy, 2011-2020
- Austroads, 2015. Research report AP-R488-15 Safe Systems in the Planning Process
- Austroadsb, 2015. Improving performance of Safe Systems infrastructure
- CDM Research. 2015 "Observational study of cyclist priority cycleway crossings". Prepared for Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads
- CDM Research. 2018 "Evaluation of the Mann Street Cycleway, Cairns". Prepared for
- Department of Transport, Cities and Regional Development, 2019. Review of National Road Safety Governance Arrangements, Final Report. Commonwealth of Australia
- Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads
- CDM Research. 2016 "Evaluation of the Mooloolaba to Minyama Separated Bikeway, Stages 1, 3 and 4a". Prepared for Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads
- Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads TMR, 2019. Raised priority crossings for pedestrians and cycle paths. The State of Queensland
- Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads TMR, 2015. Safer Roads, Safer Queensland. The State of Queensland
- Last name, First initial. (Year published). Title. Edition. (Only include the edition if it is not the first edition) City published: Publisher.