Monica Sauls, CHRO | Workforce-at-Scale Strategist | Culture & Performance Driver | Champion of Talent Stewardship

April 2026

WE Keep Reporting The Risks

So Why Do They Keep Happening?

Organizations rarely fail to identify risk. They fail to act on what those risks demand.

Enterprise risk management is not broken. Most organizations can identify risk with precision. Yet every quarter the same risks appear in the report.

Same **exposures.**
Same **language.**
Same **mitigation plans.**

The board reviews them. Management acknowledges them. And yet next quarter, the same risks return.

The issue is rarely visibility. It is follow-through.
Risk reporting is procedural. Risk reduction is behavioral.

Most organizations are good at identifying risk. They are less consistent at confronting what those risks actually require of them.

An enterprise risk report may highlight talent capacity constraints, labor relations vulnerability, cyber exposure, culture misalignment, vendor concentration, or operational resilience gaps. Mitigation plans are assigned. Everyone nods. Then incentives, deadlines, and quarterly pressure quietly take over.

The mitigation becomes conditional.
The urgency fades.
The risk remains.
So it reappears.

Not because we did not see it. Because we did not materially change anything. Short-term incentives amplify the problem. When leaders are measured primarily on quarterly outcomes, prevention competes poorly for time and resources. If the exposure is not urgent—even if the consequences are significant—it often gets deferred. Consider labor organizing vulnerability. Assessments may identify engagement gaps and supervisory weaknesses years in advance. The exposure is visible. The underlying drivers are understood.
But until organizing begins, sustained investment rarely follows.

Once the threat becomes immediate, leaders mobilize. Advisors are hired. Campaigns begin. Resources appear overnight.
A crisis may be avoided. A hero emerges.
But prevention rarely receives the same recognition.
That incentive structure guarantees repetition.
Risk reporting is procedural. Risk reduction is behavioral.

Enterprise risk management systems surface exposure. They do not create courage, override incentives, or eliminate short-term bias. That work belongs to leadership. Mitigation plans without ownership are simply well-written intentions. If exposure remains unchanged quarter after quarter, someone should be able to explain what decision was made to accept that risk, and why.

A useful leadership question is simple. **Which risks actually declined this quarter?**

Identification alone is not progress. Reduction is.
If leadership consistently fails to move the needle, oversight has a responsibility as well. Boards do not manage mitigation, but they do ensure that management acts on identified risks and that prevention is valued, not just crisis response.
Risk that is acknowledged but not addressed is no longer uncertainty.
It is a leadership choice.
If the same risks reappear every reporting cycle, the system may not be the problem.
The willingness to act might be.

Leaders, ask yourselves:
Which risks in your organization are reported quarter after quarter but never meaningfully reduced?

© 2026 Monica Sauls. All rights reserved.