

Comprehensive Environmental Solutions, Inc.

PROCESS POTENTIAL STUDY

June 8, 2004

To: Mike Panyard
Dennis Yellowhorse Jones
U-Mate International Inc.
From: Mike Levine

Effect of Solid New Mex Humate Treatment on Heavy Metal Removal

AIM:

Our purpose is to measure the ability of solid New Mex Humate provided by U-Mate International Inc. of Scottsdale, Arizona to remove heavy metals from treated industrial process waste streams. We are attempting to find a finishing treatment that can reduce the concentration of some of the more problematic elements, such as cobalt, nickel, tin and zinc.

SCOPE:

A waste stream composite sample is spiked with all thirteen regulated elements. This material is then analyzed and used as a baseline to examine increasing levels of solid humate additions. These results, in turn, will be compared to other treatment options at a later date.

SAMPLE PREPARATION:

Several portions of wastewater, taken in April 2004, were combined into one sample and dubbed "April Composite". The sample was then spiked with all thirteen regulated elements, to a level of approximately 0.2 ppm, and then adjusted to a pH of 7 with caustic and sulfuric acid. The pH adjustment resulted in the formation of a precipitate. This material was mixed, suspended and rapidly separated into five subsamples. The first of these is this raw pH adjusted suspension. The next sample was centrifuged to remove all solid particles. This material used as a baseline to compare against all subsequent treatments. The last three samples were prepared by adding increasing levels of solid humate to 50 ml of the raw April Composite. These mixtures were stirred briefly, by hand, and then allowed to sit for one hour before centrifuging. The clear liquids were analyzed for their heavy metal content.

<u>Identification</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Description</u>	
<u>Sample #1:</u>	None	50 ml raw sample	Uncentrifuged
<u>Sample #2:</u>	None	50 ml sample	Centrifuged
<u>Sample #3:</u>	Solid Humate 10%	5 gram Solid Humate + 50 ml raw sample	Centrifuged
<u>Sample #4:</u>	Solid Humate 20%	10 gram Solid Humate + 50 ml raw sample	Centrifuged
<u>Sample #5:</u>	Solid Humate 50%	25 gram Solid Humate + 50 ml raw sample	Centrifuged

These samples were prepared for analysis by taking a 50 ml aliquot of each and adding 2 ml of 1:1 nitric acid and 1 ml of 1:1 hydrochloric acid. These samples were heated to a gentle boil, digested, cooled and then diluted back to their original volume.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS:

All samples were analyzed for the thirteen key elements and iron on a Leeman Labs, Model Profile Plus ICP Spectrometer. All results are given in units of part per million (ppm).

<u>Element / Symbol</u>	<u>April Composite pH 7 Raw Uncentrifuged</u>	<u>April Composite pH 7 Centrifuged</u>
Antimony Sb	0.254	0.165
Arsenic As	0.281	0.086
Cadmium Cd	0.229	0.098
Chromium Cr	0.309	0.120
Cobalt Co	0.487	0.479
Copper Cu	0.278	0.138
Lead Pb	0.261	0.159
Nickel Ni	4.25	4.49
Silver Ag	0.323	0.148
Tin Sn	0.530	0.172
Titanium Ti	0.257	0.106
Vanadium V	0.271	0.158
Zinc Zn	1.94	0.813
Iron Fe	37.9	24.6

ND = Not Detected

Element / Symbol		April Composite pH 7 Solid Humate 10%	April Composite pH 7 Solid Humate 20%	April Composite pH 7 Solid Humate 50%
Antimony	Sb	0.079	0.064	0.072
Arsenic	As	0.021	<u>0.126</u>	0.010
Cadmium	Cd	0.021	0.009	0.016
Chromium	Cr	0.066	0.047	0.057
Cobalt	Co	0.388	0.299	0.330
Copper	Cu	0.051	0.043	0.046
Lead	Pb	0.070	0.028	0.058
Nickel	Ni	3.93	3.85	3.66
Silver	Ag	ND	ND	ND
Tin	Sn	0.036	ND	0.024
Titanium	Ti	0.031	0.025	0.028
Vanadium	V	0.049	0.025	0.038
Zinc	Zn	0.570	0.500	0.507
Iron	Fe	4.62	ND	1.26

ND = Not Detected

PERCENT HEAVY METAL REDUCTION AT 10 % SOLID HUMATE ADDITION

Silver	100%	Vanadium	69%	Arsenic	44%
Iron	81%	Copper	63%	Zinc	30%
Tin	79%	Lead	56%	Cobalt	19%
Cadmium	79%	Antimony	52%	Nickel	12%
Titanium	71%	Chromium	45%		

OBSERVATION:

An unexplained spike occurred, in the concentration of arsenic, on the 20% Solid Humate sample. The solution was retested and the high arsenic level has been confirmed. The root cause of this spike is not known, but a contamination of the beaker, the funnel or the sample vial is suspected.

CONCLUSIONS:

- The solid humate treatment resulted in measurable reductions, in all fourteen elements tested elements.
- The greatest reduction in heavy metal concentration occurred following the 20% solid humate addition.
- The solid humate treatment appeared to remove heavy metals more effectively than the humate leachate, from the previous report.
- Higher additions of solid humate do not substantially improve the performance. Solid humates alone are most effective at a 20 % addition.
- Significant reductions occurred, in the levels of silver, iron, tin, cadmium, titanium, vanadium, copper, lead, antimony, chromium and arsenic.
- Marginal reductions occurred in the levels of zinc.
- Liquid humates alone are not an effective treatment for cobalt and nickel.
- Of the four most problematic elements, tin is effectively reduced, by this treatment, as is zinc, to a lesser degree.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- Investigate the effect of solid humate additions, from a range of zero to 10 %.
- Compare solid humates to other treatments.