Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
M. Wolf, Deputy
8/18/2021 9:28:07 AM
Filing ID 13263896

Ryan J. Lorenz - #019878
CLARK HILL PLC
14850 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 500
Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
Telephone: (480) 684-1100
Facsimile: (480) 684-1199
Email: rlorenz@clarkhill.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Yuin Kim

IN THE SUPERIOR COU

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

YUIN KIM,

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMPLAINT

VS.

(Tort: Non-Motor Vehicle)

JOHN DOE DEFAMER and JANE DOE DEFAMER, husband and wife

Defendants.

Plaintiff Yuin Kim, through counsel, for his complaint, alleges as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 1. Yuin Kim is a resident of Alberta, Canada, but conducts business in the United States.
- 2. Defendant John Doe Defamer is either a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona, or has caused an event to occur in Maricopa County, Arizona, out of which Mr. Kim claims liability.
- 3. Defendant John Doe Defamer is the fictitious name ascribed to a person whose identity is not yet known but whose acts constitute the torts alleged below for which Mr. Kim seeks recovery. At such time as the true identity of John Doe Defamer is ascertained, Mr. Kim will amend the complaint to properly identify John Doe Defamer.
- 4. Defendant Jane Doe Defamer is the fictitiously named spouse of Defendant John Doe Defamer. Jane Doe Defamer is joined to this action as a member

J8216\429674\263775827.v2-8/18/21

1	of the marital community of John Doe Defamer and Jane Doe Defamer, if any. All acts			
2	done by John Doe Defamer were on behalf or for the benefit of such marital community.			
3	At such time as Jane Doe Defamer's true name becomes known, the complaint will be			
4	amended to properly identify Jane Doe Defamer.			
5	5.	This court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims pled. This court		
6	has personal jurisdiction over the parties. Venue is proper in Maricopa County.			
7		GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
8	6.	In 2019, John Doe Defamer posted content on the website,		
9	www.thedirt	y.com ("The Dirty Website") attacking the character, reputation, morality,		
0	and behavior of Mr. Kim.			
10	7.	The assertions made by John Doe Defamer suggest:		
		a. Mr. Kim is a "con artist."		
12		b. Mr. Kim has committed sexual assault.		
13		c. Mr. Kim uses deception and fraud and other nefarious practices in		
4		business.		
5		d. Mr. Kim steals investors' money.		
6		e. Mr. Kim hires prostitutes.		
7		f. Mr. Kim is unfaithful to his wife who ingests illegal drugs.		
.8	8.	These assertions are false, which were known by John Doe Defamer to		
9	be false when made.			
20	9.	The content includes racist commentary and content against Mr. Kim		
21	based on his background as Asian.			
22	10.	In spite of efforts to discover the identity of John Doe Defamer, The Dirty		
23	Website does not publicize or provide access to its users. ¹			
24	///			
25				
	A subpoena	a will be issued to The Dirty Website to expose the true identity of John		
26	Doe Defame			
	Ι , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\angle		

1		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
2	1.1	(DEFAMATION)		
3	11.	Mr. Kim incorporates by reference all prior allegations as though fully set		
4		claim for relief.		
5	12.	The content posted by John Doe Defamer to The Dirty Website has a		
6	tendency to damage and has damaged the person, reputation, business acumen, and			
	business prospects for Mr. Kim.			
7	13.	John Doe Defamer's post on The Dirty Website constitute defamation per		
8	se and defamation per quod.			
9	14.	John Doe Defamer's posted content on The Dirty Website were done with		
10	malice and intent to harm and have done actual harm to Mr. Kim's reputation to such			
11	an extent that an award of punitive damages is appropriate.			
12	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
13	I	NTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTION DISTRESS		
	15.	Mr. Kim incorporates by reference all prior allegations as though fully set		
14	forth in this claim for relief.			
15	16.	Defendant John Doe Defamer's posted content constitutes outrageous		
16	and extreme conduct intended by John Doe Defamer to cause emotional distress, agony,			
17	anxiety, and has actually done so.			
18	17.	Mr. Kim has sustained compensable damages for emotional distress		
19	committed by Defendant John Doe Defamer.			
20		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
21	INTE	INTIONAL INTFERENCE WITH BUSINESS EXPECTANCY		
22	18.	Mr. Kim incorporates by reference all prior allegations as though fully set		
23	forth in this claim for relief.			
	19.	Mr. Kim is a commercial and residential property developer with projects		
24	in the United States.			
25	20.	Upon information and belief, Defendant John Doe Defamer is aware of		

and familiar with the business activity of Mr. Kim.

- 21. The defamatory content posted by John Doe Defamer is intended to injure Mr. Kim's prospects for further business dealings with others by specific reference to Mr. Kim's business practices and business ethics.
- 22. John Doe Defamer has caused Mr. Kim to lose trust of business associates, potential business, and injured Mr. Kim's business expectancy.
- 23. The conduct by Defendant John Doe Defamer was intentional, was intended to cause harm and was done with malice. An award of punitive damages is appropriate.

WHEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, Mr. Kim prays for entry of judgment in his favor and against Defendant John Doe Defamer and Jane Doe Defamer as follows:

- A. Compensatory, consequential, incidental, punitive damages in amounts to be proven at trial, plus interest from the date such sums become liquidated at the legal rate under A.R.S. § 44-1201.
- B. For costs and attorneys' fees in the event there is an imposition of frivolous defenses pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 11 and A.R.S. § 12-349, and interest from the date of judgment at the legal rate under A.R.S. § 44-1204.
- C. For a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction requiring Defendant John Doe Defamer to remove, withdraw, or otherwise delete any and all defamatory content from any website and to de-publish the same, with such order to be binding upon the Defendants, their agents, confederates, attorneys, or any person in active concert or participation with them causing the defamatory content to remain publicly available.

DATED this 18 day of August 2021.

CLARK HILL PLC

By:/s/ Ryan J. Lorenz Ryan J. Lorenz Attorneys for Plaintiff Yuin Kim Office Distribution

Case Number: CV2021-052995

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

FILED

06/15/2022

by Superior Court Admin on behalf of Clerk of the Superior Court

06/11/2022

COURT ADMINISTRATION

Ct. Admin Deputy

Yuin Kim

V.

John Doe Defamer

The Judge assigned to this action is the Honorable Alison S Bachus

JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL

This case was previously placed on the dismissal calendar for dismissal pursuant to Rule 38.1(d) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. When the court placed this matter on the dismissal calendar, the court specified what action would need to occur to avoid dismissal. None of the actions listed have occurred by the specific date.

Pursuant to Rule 38.1(d)2 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure

IT IS ORDERED, dismissing all unadjudicated claims in the above entitled case without prejudice.

Report Version: {CV027B 1.0.5}

SPECIAL COMMISSIONER

Superior Court of Maricopa County - integrated Court Information System Endorsee Party Listing Case Number: CV2021-052995

Party Name	Attorney Name	
Yuin Kim	Ryan J Lorenz	Bar ID: 019878