



COBEAL TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE SYNTHESIS

Mexico's Circular Economy At A Glance: Why Mexico Is Ready to Move Up in Global SAF Production

Feedstock Geography, Water Discipline, and Aviation Fuel Economics
Sophy M. Laughing, Ph.D., MBA | Bo Erik Gustav Hollsten Ruvalcaba | The Cobeal Group

June 2026

SCOPE LIMITATION

This document is a Cobeal Technical Intelligence Synthesis prepared from public-level Cobeal workstream disclosures, published circular-economy scholarship, peer-reviewed lifecycle and technical literature, and public regulatory guidance. It does not constitute, and should not be interpreted as, a feasibility study, Pre-FEED or FEED engineering study, techno-economic analysis (TEA), life-cycle analysis (LCA), ASTM pathway analysis, financial model, or bankable project assessment.

Cobeal does not claim authorship of third-party technical findings cited herein. Protected process architecture, proprietary integration sequences, and confidential commercial details remain reserved for Cobeal's legal and controlled technical disclosure pathway.

Any investment, financing, or project development decision should be supported by independent, site-specific technical and financial analysis conducted by qualified professionals.

Executive Summary

Mexico is positioned to move upward in global sustainable aviation fuel production because its circular-economy base combines material scale, urban density, industrial capacity, state-level policy activity, airport demand, and North American clean-fuel relevance. This document synthesizes four evidence streams — published circular-economy scholarship, the 2025 Netherlands Enterprise Agency market study, Cobéal's Mexico waste-to-value mapping, and Cobéal's SAF technical intelligence work — to present the case that Mexico's readiness is measurable, not aspirational.

The central finding is that Mexico's SAF opportunity is a circular-infrastructure opportunity. It is grounded in feedstock geography, process fit, logistics, water management, carbon accounting, and aviation fuel compatibility. Cobéal's Mexico Circular Economy Initiative identifies where national waste streams can be converted into deployable waste-to-value assets. Cobéal's SAF Technical Workstream defines how those assets can be screened for aviation fuel relevance. Cobéal's 2025 Fischer-Tropsch white paper defines the water and system-boundary discipline required for credible circularity claims.

Mexico's path is practical and sequential. The first phase prioritizes high-density organics platforms, metropolitan thermal hubs, specialized conversion assets, and supply and offtake validation. The second phase expands the viable site network and integrates product corridors. The third phase creates national integration and a model suited for broader Latin American replication.

KEY NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| <p>720,606</p> <p>Food distribution & retail centers mapped by Cobéal GIS</p> | <p>8.95 Mt/yr</p> <p>Food loss & waste from distribution & retail centers</p> | <p>476</p> <p>Priority WtE-AD plant sites with positive energy balance</p> | <p>0.8%</p> <p>SAF's share of global aviation fuel in 2026 (IATA)</p> |
| <p>120,128</p> <p>Tonnes of urban solid waste generated per day in Mexico (2020)</p> | <p>52%</p> <p>Organic fraction of Mexico's urban solid waste</p> | <p>21 of 32</p> <p>Mexican states with circular-economy legislation or programs</p> | <p>698</p> <p>Viable WtE-AD sites under maximum methane-yield scenario</p> |

1. The Global SAF Opening

The scale of aviation's fuel requirement makes sustainable aviation fuel one of the most consequential industrial challenges of the decade. The global commercial jet fuel market stood at 106 billion gallons in 2019 and is projected to grow to more than 230 billion gallons by 2050. Fuel represents 20 to 30 percent of airline operating costs, and airlines have committed to carbon-neutral growth in international commercial aviation beginning in 2021, with a goal of reducing CO2 emissions by 50 percent by 2050 relative to 2005 levels.

Against that long-term demand, current SAF supply is marginal. IATA estimates global SAF production at approximately 2.4 million tonnes in 2026, representing around 0.8 percent of aviation fuel use and adding an estimated US\$4.3 billion in additional fuel cost to airlines. A 2025 Nature Communications study found that only 24 percent of globally announced SAF production capacity for 2024 was realized on time. Fischer-Tropsch projects are specifically cited as impeded by technical and operational barriers, with over 40 percent of announced 2030 capacity still in early development or at risk.

The gap between ambition and supply is not primarily financial. It is technical. Projects that advance without resolved feedstock qualification, syngas cleaning specifications, water management design, material compatibility testing, and carbon intensity verification encounter those problems at the engineering and permitting stage, when correction is expensive and often fatal to project timelines. This is the structural problem that Cobecal's pre-engineering intelligence workstream is designed to solve.

The consistent message from TEA and LCA across the SAF industry is that the main cost drivers are feedstock costs, yields, and plant capital recovery. Mexico's circular-economy platform addresses all three upstream, before capital is committed.

REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS SHAPING SAF DEMAND

| Framework | Jurisdiction | Key Requirement | Mexico Relevance |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| CORSIA | International (ICAO) | Carbon-neutral growth from 2021; 130 participating states in 2026; Phase 2 mandatory from 2027 | Mexican feedstocks eligible under biogenic carbon pathway with lifecycle data |
| ReFuelEU Aviation | European Union | 2% SAF at EU airports from 2025; 70% by 2050; synthetic SAF sub-mandate from 2030 | Drives global offtake demand and supply-chain investment |
| RFS (D3/D7) | United States (EPA) | 50% lifecycle GHG reduction threshold; MSW qualifies as cellulosic biomass under certain conditions | FT pathways require EPA pathway approval; D7 for cellulosic biojet |
| LCFS | California (USA) | CI score below 20 gCO2e/MJ for full credit; post-recycling MSW eligible with pathway registration | Strong incentive for Mexican MSW-derived fuels serving California-linked supply chains |
| 45Z Clean Fuel Credit | United States (IRS) | Applies to SAF produced Jan 1 2025 through Dec 31 2029; | Mexican feedstocks sit inside North American clean-fuel credit geography |

| | | | |
|------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | post-2025 feedstocks must be from US, Mexico, or Canada | when project structure qualifies |
| ASTM D7566 | Global aviation standard | FT-SPK approved under Annex A1 at up to 50%v blend; full ASTM D4054 approval 3-5 years | Sets the certification requirement that Cobéal's technical workstream prepares clients for |

2. Mexico's Circular-Economy Base

Mexico's circular economy rests on three converging foundations: cultural practice, material scale, and policy momentum. Together they create conditions that Cobéal's platform can convert into project-development logic.

FOUNDATION 1: CULTURAL AND INFORMAL CIRCULARITY

Reuse, repair, resale, recycling, renovation, and object-life extension have long operated through tianguis, repair trades, secondhand markets, and informal material recovery systems. This informal circular economy functions as a daily infrastructure that prolongs the life of objects and keeps materials in productive use. Mexico therefore does not begin its circular-economy transition from zero. It begins from an embedded cultural foundation that formal engineering systems and investment platforms can build on.

FOUNDATION 2: MATERIAL SCALE

Mexico generated 120,128 tonnes per day of urban solid waste in 2020, equal to 0.944 kilograms per inhabitant per day. Organic waste accounts for 52 percent of that composition — a fraction that supports energy and nutrient recovery through biological conversion and related pathways. In the food system, Mexico produces approximately 20 million tonnes per year of food loss and waste from farm gate to retail, plus 11 million tonnes per year from households, with an estimated economic cost of US\$25 billion — approximately 2.5 percent of GDP. Special handling waste subtype III reached approximately 87 million tonnes in 2012, including 52.1 million tonnes of agricultural biomass, 18.0 million tonnes of poultry waste, and 16.8 million tonnes of livestock waste. Airport waste was estimated at 212,041 tonnes in 2018, 71 percent higher than in 2012.

FOUNDATION 3: POLICY MOMENTUM

The 2025 Netherlands Enterprise Agency market study identifies 21 of 32 Mexican states with circular-economy legislation or programs, and highlights six leading territories: Mexico City, Chihuahua, Jalisco, Puebla, Queretaro, and Guanajuato. At the federal level, Mexico announced its first Circular Economy Industrial Park in Tula, Hidalgo, covering 700 hectares, with organic waste treatment plants using gasification and carbonization processes and a goal of converting organic waste into biochar for fertilizer and fuel. These are not policy documents. They are physical assets under development.

MEXICO'S WASTE AND BIOMASS RESOURCE PORTFOLIO

3.

| Waste / Biomass Stream | Scale | Primary Conversion Fit | SAF Relevance |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Urban solid waste (post-recycling MSW) | 120,128 t/day nationally | Thermal conversion; gasification | Syngas feedstock for FT-SPK; landfill diversion value |
| Food loss and waste (distribution & retail) | 8.95 Mt/year (Cobeal GIS) | Anaerobic digestion with CHP | Biogas, electricity, biofertilizer, carbon intensity value |
| Food loss and waste (farm gate to retail) | ~20 Mt/year nationally | Anaerobic digestion; composting | Upstream feedstock for energy-positive organics platforms |
| Household food waste | ~11 Mt/year nationally | Anaerobic digestion | Carbon value; waste-hierarchy alignment |
| Agricultural biomass (special handling waste) | 52.1 Mt in 2012 | Gasification; co-digestion; biochar | Biogenic carbon feedstock; syngas pathway eligibility |
| Poultry and livestock waste | 34.8 Mt combined in 2012 | Anaerobic digestion; biogas | RNG support; carbon intensity credit potential |
| End-of-life tires | Homogeneous high-energy stream | Controlled pyrolysis | Tire pyrolysis oil; syngas; carbon black; recovered metals |
| Airport waste | 212,041 t in 2018 | Sorting; thermal conversion | Aviation-sector circularity origin point |
| Plastics and post-recycling residuals | Incorporated in MSW fraction | Thermal conversion; gasification | Carbon-dense syngas feedstock; landfill diversion |
| Wastewater sludge | Urban industrial co-product | Hydrothermal liquefaction; anaerobic digestion | Lipid fraction for fuel intermediates |

Cobeal's Mexico Waste-to-Value Platform

Cobeal's Mexico Circular Economy Initiative frames waste-to-value development as a systems problem defined by geography, composition, throughput, and process fit. Waste streams create value when they are aggregated at viable density, controlled within an acceptance envelope, and assigned to conversion pathways that match their physical and chemical properties. The platform separates Mexico's resource base into three primary conversion lanes and one logistics and preprocessing layer.

THE FOUR-LAYER NATIONAL PLATFORM ARCHITECTURE

| Layer | Primary Feedstock | Conversion Process | Core Outputs & Economic Role |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Metropolitan processing hubs | Post-recycling MSW; residual carbon streams | Thermal conversion; gasification or controlled high-temperature conversion | Electricity; heat; syngas potential; landfill diversion; regional infrastructure anchor |
| Regional organics platforms | Food loss and waste; wet organics; process residuals | Anaerobic digestion with CHP and nutrient recovery | Biogas; electricity; heat; biofertilizer; RNG or hydrogen support; carbon-intensity value |
| Specialized conversion assets | End-of-life tires; homogeneous high-energy streams | Controlled pyrolysis | Tire pyrolysis oil; syngas; carbon black; scrap steel; high energy self-sufficiency |
| Preprocessing and transfer nodes | Sub-threshold and mixed regional material streams | Collection; sorting; baling; short-haul aggregation; acceptance control | Feedstock standardization; logistics efficiency; scale aggregation; quality envelope management |

THE ENERGY-BALANCE INVESTMENT SCREEN

The energy-balance simulation is the central project screen in Cobecal's organics platform design. A positive energy quotient is achieved at treatment capacity of at least 8 tonnes of food loss and waste per day. Cobecal identifies 476 plants meeting the viable threshold under average methane-yield assumptions. Under maximum methane-yield assumptions, 698 sites qualify — a 47 percent increase over the baseline. Under minimum methane-yield assumptions, viability concentrates in larger facilities.

The transport-distance analysis reinforces the scale rule. Larger facilities tolerate longer haul distances while smaller facilities require tighter aggregation. A facility treating 2,537 tonnes per day remains energy-positive at approximately 320 kilometers per trip, while a 537 tonne-per-day facility remains positive at approximately 150 kilometers per trip. The transport-energy target is less than 10 to 15 percent of gross output. Co-location at existing final disposal sites supports lower hauling costs and infrastructure reuse.

The South-Central region generates 66 percent of national food loss and waste, which supports phased regional deployment rather than scattered pilots. Cobecal's GIS work maps 720,606 food distribution and retail centers, identifies 89 major supply centers with physical and operational infrastructure, and produces a deployable national project inventory organized by energy-positive thresholds.

476

Baseline energy-positive WtE-AD sites (average methane yield)

8 t/day

Minimum FLW treatment capacity for positive energy balance

698

66%

Upside WtE-AD sites (maximum methane yield) — 47% increase

Share of national FLW generated in South-Central Mexico

BIOLOGICAL CONVERSION: FOUR-UNIT PROCESS BOUNDARY

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| 1 Industrial Shredding Mechanical size reduction; scales efficiently | 2 Anaerobic Digestion Dominant energy consumer; biomethane production | 3 CHP Cogeneration Biomethane to electricity and process heat | 4 Rotary Drum Drying Biofertilizer production; energy falls with scale |
|--|---|---|--|

4. State Policy Corridors and Industrial Readiness

Mexico's six leading circular-economy territories form a national implementation map that matches Cobecal's platform needs. Each territory contributes distinct policy, industrial, and logistical capabilities that are complementary rather than redundant. SAF-adjacent circular economy requires organics, residual carbon, industrial symbiosis, water systems, logistics, energy, manufacturing, and state-level coordination. Mexico's leading territories provide these functions in different combinations.

| Territory | Circular-Economy Position | SAF-Adjacent Relevance |
|-------------|--|---|
| Mexico City | Circular Economy Law; 2024-2030 Circular Economy Program with twelve pillars including zero waste, right to repair, efficient water use, and circularity evaluation | Large demand center; organics recovery platform; secondary raw-material exchange; water and energy efficiency; airport-adjacent circularity |
| Chihuahua | Chihuahua Green City pilot industrial-symbiosis project supported by EU, COPARMEX, and Barcelona technical expertise; Juarez Circular Hub targeting 48,000+ companies | Manufacturing corridors; border logistics; industrial byproduct exchange; energy and water optimization |
| Jalisco | Roadmap for agribusiness, bioeconomy, advanced manufacturing, sustainable construction, tourism, logistics, and transportation | Food systems; logistics; bioeconomy; transport decarbonization; route-corridor planning |
| Puebla | Circular and Social Bioeconomy Strategy: agri-food circularity, bioenergy chains, industrial symbiosis, water management, regenerative infrastructure | Bioenergy chains; agri-food residues; circular water infrastructure; social innovation model |
| Queretaro | Initiative involving 250+ companies, 40 institutions, 600+ trained professionals, 350+ projects, 775+ million pesos in savings, 300,000+ tonnes of materials diverted from waste | Strongest state-level proof of execution; public-private circular model; measurable company-level results |

| | | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Guanajuato | Roadmap to 2050 aligning waste management, construction, manufacturing, automotive, metals, leather, footwear, and chemical industries | Industrial corridor; manufacturing base; materials recovery; water-sensitive sectors; logistics connection |
| Hidalgo (Tula) | Federal Circular Economy Industrial Park: 700 hectares, gasification and carbonization for organic waste, biochar/fuel production target | Physical federal circular asset under development; gasification infrastructure precedent |

Queretaro is especially important as the clearest state-level evidence that circular economy in Mexico moves through companies, institutions, training, projects, savings, and material recovery — not only through legislation.

5. SAF Market Relevance and Airline Economics

SAF economics begin with the input system. The consistent finding from techno-economic analyses across SAF pathways is that feedstock cost, product yields, and plant capital recovery are the largest cost drivers. Cobecal's Mexico platform addresses these drivers upstream — before basic engineering, before capital commitment, and before ASTM pathway approval — by locating dense feedstock corridors, defining scale thresholds, identifying viable sites, separating waste streams by process fit, and establishing phased rollout logic.

For airlines, the economic goal is a lower-cost and more stable SAF supply base. Airline economics are protected when the fuel pathway controls feedstock cost, energy consumption, hydrogen sourcing, water treatment, residual management, carbon accounting, permitting risk, and certification requirements. Mexico's circular-economy base gives Cobecal a national system from which these variables can be measured and organized before investment is committed.

The current SAF supply gap creates commercial opportunity for new qualified production geographies. Mexico's waste-to-value platform can enter SAF supply through feedstock-controlled, energy-positive, water-disciplined, and carbon-accounted infrastructure. That is the only route that produces results that both regulators and investors can accept.

THE COST STACK: WHERE MEXICO'S PLATFORM INTERVENES

| SAF Cost Driver | Standard Challenge | Mexico Platform Advantage |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Feedstock cost | Lipid-route feedstocks (fats, oils, greases) are insufficient in volume and compete with diesel markets | Mexico's MSW, FLW, agricultural residues, and livestock waste create a large, geographically mapped, low-cost residual carbon base |
| Feedstock quality control | Variable waste composition creates syngas quality uncertainty and catalyst risk | Cobecal's GIS mapping, acceptance specifications, and energy-balance screens identify viable sites before engineering |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Plant capital recovery | Large capital-intensive plants require stable, long-term feedstock supply agreements | Cobeal's 476-site baseline creates a phased, density-ranked deployment schedule that matches capital commitment to feedstock confidence |
| Hydrogen sourcing | Hydrogen demand is high across all SAF pathways; steam methane reforming adds carbon intensity | Mexico's wet organics and biogas infrastructure support recovered-water electrolysis and waste-derived hydrogen as cost-reduction levers |
| Carbon-intensity scoring | Fossil carbon fractions reduce credit value; lifecycle data must be primary, not estimated | Cobeal's feedstock characterization suite (ASTM D6866 biogenic fraction, proximate analysis, seasonal variability) produces the primary data required for CORSIA, LCFS, and 45Z carbon models |
| Water treatment obligation | FT-produced water carries oxygenates, alkylamines, and BTEX traces; circular claims require documented treatment | Cobeal's FT system-boundary framework defines the water mass balance, treatment train, and contaminant fate documentation required before any circular claim is defensible |
| Permitting and certification risk | Projects that advance without regulatory pre-screening encounter delays at the engineering and permitting stage | Cobeal's pre-engineering workstream includes regulatory pathway memos, air and water issue registers, and LCFS/RFS/45Z data needs before basic engineering begins |
| Fuel compatibility | FT-SPK contains less than 1% aromatics; NBR O-ring swelling falls below the conventional range at blends above 50%v | Project design must specify blend composition, aromatic or cycloalkane dopant strategy, and ASTM D4054 tier testing pathway before offtake commitments are signed |

Global SAF production in 2026 is approximately 2.4 million tonnes — 0.8 percent of aviation fuel use. Mexico does not need to displace existing SAF leaders to move upward. It needs qualified projects, feedstock control, carbon accounting, and aviation-grade execution.

6. Water Discipline and Circularity Criteria

Cobeal's 2025 Fischer-Tropsch white paper establishes a controlling argument for the entire field: Fischer-Tropsch synthesis is not circular by itself. It is the hydrocarbon conversion engine at the center of a system that must be designed, validated, and operated as a circular economy platform. The claim that an MSW-derived or biomass-derived SAF project is circular is only defensible when the engineered system boundary around FT has been explicitly constructed.

The FT reaction stoichiometrically produces water as a co-product — one mole of water per mole of carbon incorporated into hydrocarbon product. That water is not potable, not clean, and not a neutral byproduct. It is a contaminated effluent stream containing dissolved oxygenates, short-chain alcohols, carboxylic acids, ketones,

aldehydes, BTEX traces, and — when the upstream syngas contains nitrogen impurities from biomass or MSW gasification — a full homologous series of tertiary alkylamines up to carbon chain length C19 in the water phase.

Voeten et al. (2024) confirmed through three independent analytical techniques that 89 percent of nitrogen from a 2.6 ppmV ammonia co-feed partitions into the FT water phase during cobalt-catalyzed synthesis. The dominant amine class detected was tertiary N,N-dimethylalkylamines, not the primary alkylamines that might be expected from simple ammonia addition. This finding has direct implications for water treatment design: the alkylamine load in FT-produced water must be validated and sized for before a water circularity claim can be made.

For Mexico, this matters because water is independently a circular-economy priority. State programs in Mexico City, Puebla, and Chihuahua all include water use efficiency, rainwater harvesting, and water-capture targets as explicit pillars. A SAF platform that proves the water loop — facility water balance, FT-water treatment to COD below 50 mg/L, nitrogen speciation analysis, and reuse or discharge pathway documentation — connects fuel production with water treatment, recovered water, industrial reuse, and environmental compliance in a single integrated design.

FT-PRODUCED WATER: CONTAMINANT PROFILE AND TREATMENT OBLIGATION

| Component Class | Normal Load (mg/L) | Treatment Significance |
|---|-------------------------|---|
| Methanol | ~4,038 | Primary stripping target; high volatility; removed in stripper column |
| Ethanol | ~1,935 | Primary stripping target |
| C3-C6 Alcohols (total) | ~1,700 | Stripping plus membrane bioreactor (MBR) |
| Acetic Acid | ~478 | MBR biodegradation |
| C3-C6 Carboxylic Acids | ~285 | MBR biodegradation; drives COD load |
| Ketones (acetone, MEK) | ~33 | MBR treatment; monitoring required |
| BTEX traces | <15 µg/L | Regulatory monitoring required even at trace concentrations |
| Alkylamines (N,N-dimethyl series, C3-C19) | Up to 44 ppmw nitrogen* | MBR degradation; full-scale validation required; 89% of syngas nitrogen partitions here |

*Data from Voeten et al. (2024) at 2.6 ppmV NH3 co-feed. Normal load data from Velocys Technologies (WO 2016/044348 A1). A Velocys-documented MBR process achieves greater than 99% COD removal from stripped FT water at steady state, producing treated effluent with COD below 5 mg/L suitable for cooling tower make-up or process reuse.

ELEVEN-DIMENSION CIRCULARITY EVIDENCE MATRIX

| System Dimension | What Must Be Demonstrated | Evidence Required | Risk if Not Resolved |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Carbon provenance | Feedstock is predominantly biogenic; fossil fraction quantified | 14C analysis per ASTM D6866 on actual feedstock samples | CORSIA/LCFS ineligibility; carbon credit value collapse |
| Hydrogen source | H2 is derived from renewable electricity, waste-derived biogas, or recovered process streams | Energy and mass balance with H2 source verified; CI contribution quantified | Carbon intensity too high for SAF certification credit |
| Energy basis | Facility energy is self-generated from waste-to-energy recovery or renewable supply | Mass and energy balance with grid dependency explicitly bounded | CI score elevated; renewable claim unsupported |
| FT water treatment | FT-produced water is treated to meet reuse specification; alkylamine fate demonstrated | Water balance; COD removal to <50 mg/L; nitrogen speciation analysis | Water discharge violation; circular water claim unsupported |
| Syngas nitrogen management | NH3/HCN at FT inlet verified to meet catalyst tolerance; water treatment sized for alkylamine load | Syngas composition analysis; FT water characterization per Voeten et al. (2024) | FT catalyst deactivation; SAF nitrogen specification breach (2 ppmw per ASTM D7566) |
| Residual management | Ash, char, and slag are classified, disposed of, or reused within regulatory framework | Residual characterization; heavy metals/PFAS/leachate testing | Disposal cost overrun; environmental liability |
| Aircraft material compatibility | Fuel composition meets NBR O-ring swell range at intended blend fraction | Swell testing per Faulhaber et al. (2023) methodology; blend composition verified | Blend limit constraint below 50%v; retrofit cost for legacy fleets |
| SAF fuel specification | Fuel meets ASTM D7566 and applicable OEM fuel-approval specifications | ASTM D4054 tier testing programme initiated; laboratory analysis complete | Fuel is not certifiable as SAF regardless of production route |
| Carbon intensity verification | CI score verified against CORSIA, LCFS, RFS, or 45Z as applicable | Lifecycle model with primary feedstock data; third-party CI verification pathway | Incentive payment disqualification; project IRR collapse |
| Chain of custody | Feedstock provenance traceable from waste origin to fuel product | Mass balance system; transaction certification; traceability protocol | Carbon credit invalidation; LCFS audit failure |
| Waste hierarchy alignment | Feedstock is post-recycling residual; recycling-first hierarchy respected | Waste classification documentation; diversion records; RDF specification | Regulatory challenge in jurisdictions with strict waste hierarchy requirements |

7. The Cobéal SAF Technical Workstream

Cobéal occupies the technical intelligence layer between feedstock opportunity and engineering-ready project basis. This layer — which encompasses feedstock discovery, characterization, conversion pathway selection, pilot testing, carbon intensity modeling, regulatory pre-consultation, and engineering data package preparation — determines whether a regional waste or biomass resource can credibly support SAF production and what the technical design basis for that production system should be.

Cobéal does not position itself as a SAF plant builder at the pre-engineering phase. The positioning is more precise and more valuable: Cobéal constructs and delivers the technical system boundary that transforms a waste characterization data set into a qualifiable, bankable engineering basis. That basis is what basic engineering firms, technology licensors, project finance institutions, and offtake counterparties require before they can make commitments.

THE COBEAL TECHNICAL WORKSTREAM: SIX WORK PACKAGES

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Feedstock Intelligence | Sampling & Testing | Pathway Screening | Pilot Test Basis | Engineering Package | Investment Readiness |
| MSW, RDF, biomass, organics, ag residues | Moisture, ash, HHV, chlorine, metals, variability | Gasification, FT, ATJ, RNG, hydrogen support | Preprocessing, syngas, cleanup, residues | Design basis for basic and detailed engineering | Risk register, carbon data, permitting, go/no-go |

| Work Package | Scope | Primary Deliverables |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| WP1: Feedstock Intelligence | County, city, hauler, facility, and contract mapping. MSW, RDF/CSR, woody biomass, organics, agricultural residues, and manure screening. Current destination, logistics, control points, and opportunity timing. | Feedstock atlas; control-point map; opportunity score; first-pass pathway screen |
| WP2: Sampling and Testing | Moisture, ash, HHV/LHV, chlorine, sulfur, metals, and variability. Biogenic/fossil carbon fraction per ASTM D6866. PFAS screening. Seasonal variability profile. RDF or biomass acceptance specification. | Characterization report; acceptance criteria; preprocessing requirements; contamination profile |
| WP3: Pathway Screening | Gasification plus FT, methanol, ATJ, RNG support, and hydrogen support options. Technology-fit matrix by feedstock type and quality envelope. Route selection based on syngas quality, hydrogen balance, carbon efficiency, and commercial risk. | Pathway screening matrix; technology-fit table; selected and rejected routes with rationale |
| WP4: Pilot Test Basis | Preprocessing trials, gasification trials, syngas analysis, tar and contaminant measurement. Mass and energy balance assumptions for engineering. Go/no-go recommendation for basic engineering. | Pilot test plan; syngas quality targets; engineering data package; mass and energy balance |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| WP5: Regulatory and Carbon Readiness | Solid waste and biomass classification. Air, water, residue, and permitting issue register. LCFS, RFS, 45Z, and CORSIA data needs. Carbon intensity model framework. | Regulatory pathway memo; carbon intensity data framework; permitting issue register |
| WP6: Engineering Design-Basis Package | Feedstock and preprocessing specifications. Syngas quality targets and contaminant limits. Interface assumptions for vendors and engineering teams. Risk register with owners and mitigation path. | Design basis memorandum; risk register; go/no-go gates for FEED authorization |

SIX-GATE PROJECT DECISION MODEL

| Gate | Evidence Required to Open | Cobeal Work Package |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| G1: Feedstock Qualification | WP1-WP2 characterization complete; biogenic fraction confirmed; seasonal variability bounded; acceptance specification drafted | WP1 + WP2 |
| G2: Pathway Selection | Gasification pathway screened against feedstock profile; syngas cleaning specification drafted; FT inlet constraints confirmed; technology-fit rationale documented | WP3 |
| G3: Pilot Testing Completion | Bench/pilot syngas composition data; tar and nitrogen measurement; FT water sample characterized; mass and energy balance drafted; go/no-go recommendation issued | WP4 |
| G4: Regulatory and Carbon Readiness | Carbon intensity model complete; CORSIA/LCFS/45Z pathway identified; permitting pre-consultation complete; chain-of-custody framework drafted | WP5 |
| G5: Basic Engineering Authorization | Design basis memorandum issued; eleven-dimension circularity evidence matrix complete; technical risk register at acceptable residual level | WP6 |
| FID: Final Investment Decision | All G1-G5 evidence packages delivered; EPC procurement initiated; financing committed; offtake and carbon credit agreements in place | Beyond Cobeal pre-engineering SOW; Cobeal EPCIC role begins |

8. Cobeal's Aviation-Sector Partner Matrix

Cobeal's pre-engineering intelligence services are structured to match the specific decision needs of each aviation-sector stakeholder category. The deliverables are produced from primary feedstock and process data,

not from generic literature estimates. Each client category receives the technical record it needs to make a defensible next decision.

| Client Category | Primary Need | Cobeal Deliverable |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Airlines | SAF supply-chain validation; carbon intensity verification; long-term offtake basis | Feedstock atlas; carbon intensity model; pathway feasibility summary; risk register |
| Airports | Local waste-to-fuel feasibility; airport waste and biomass resource mapping; regional SAF hub planning | Feedstock opportunity ledger; control-point map; preliminary volume estimates; siting and logistics constraints |
| SAF Developers | Feedstock testing; preprocessing design basis; pathway selection; engineering data package preparation | Full WP1-WP6 deliverable set; go/no-go recommendation; design basis memorandum |
| Fuel Distributors | Regional feedstock and fuel offtake analysis; blend compliance verification | Feedstock volume estimates; carbon intensity data framework; blend specification review |
| Infrastructure Investors | Technical diligence; risk register; milestone gate verification; project readiness scoring | Technical due diligence report; eleven-dimension circularity evidence matrix audit; risk-adjusted readiness estimate |
| EPC/Engineering Firms | Feedstock data package; design basis; process assumptions; syngas quality targets | Engineering data package; design basis memorandum; mass and energy balance; technical specification set |
| Municipal Agencies/Waste Authorities | Waste-to-value feasibility; diversion strategy; facility siting; regulatory alignment | Feedstock opportunity ledger; technology screening; permitting memorandum; carbon intensity screen |

THREE ENGAGEMENT TIERS

Tier 1: SAF Feedstock Intelligence Study

Best for airlines, airports, and investors evaluating regional SAF supply-chain opportunities before committing to a developer or technology. Deliverables: desktop feedstock atlas, opportunity screen, preliminary volume estimates, carbon intensity screen, go/investigate recommendation.

Tier 2: Feedstock Qualification and Pathway Study

Best for SAF developers, airport authorities, and waste-management partners with identified feedstock streams seeking a qualified technical basis. Deliverables: full characterization suite, conversion pathway ranking, preliminary engineering basis, pilot test protocol, carbon intensity model.

Tier 3: Engineering Support Package

Best for developers advancing toward basic engineering (FEED), financing, or FID who require a complete, independently defensible technical package. Deliverables: full WP1-WP6 set, design basis memorandum, mass and energy balance, eleven-dimension circularity evidence matrix, risk register, go/no-go for FEED authorization.

9. MSW Feedstock Characterization Requirements

Regardless of which conversion scenario is adopted, MSW feedstock for SAF production pathways requires systematic characterization before any engineering basis can be established. A comparative lifecycle assessment by Raj et al. (2026) evaluated seven MSW-to-biofuel pathways and found that integrated two-stage gasification with upstream recycling and material flow optimization achieves an avoided global warming potential of negative 1,095 kg CO₂ equivalent per tonne of MSW — the strongest environmental performance among all modeled routes. This result is pathway-specific and feedstock-dependent. It requires primary feedstock characterization for each regional application and cannot be transferred from Indian or European MSW datasets to a Mexican waste stream without field verification.

The biogenic carbon fraction of the target waste stream must be determined by radiocarbon analysis per ASTM D6866 on actual feedstock samples from the specific supply corridor. A Mexico City municipal solid waste stream may have a biogenic fraction substantially different from the Indian or European streams used in published lifecycle studies. That difference directly affects the carbon intensity score and therefore the economic value of carbon credits and incentive payments that anchor the project financial model.

MINIMUM FEEDSTOCK CHARACTERIZATION PARAMETERS

| Parameter | Method/Standard | Engineering Significance |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Moisture content | ASTM D3173 / oven drying | Determines drying energy burden; affects autothermal check for gasification |
| Proximate analysis (VM, ash, FC) | ASTM D3172 | Predicts gasification behavior; ash determines slag and fouling risk |
| Higher and lower heating value | ASTM D5865 / IS 1350 | Determines energy yield; autothermal threshold check |
| Chlorine content | ASTM D4208 / XRF | HCl formation in syngas; damages catalysts and heat exchangers; PVC indicator |
| Sulfur content | ASTM D4239 | H ₂ S in syngas; poisons FT cobalt catalysts; sets desulfurization specification |
| Nitrogen content | ASTM D5373 / elemental analysis | NH ₃ /HCN in syngas; drives alkylamine formation in FT water (Voeten et al., 2024) |
| Alkali metals (Na, K) | ICP-OES / XRF | Catalyst poisoning; slagging risk in gasifier |
| Heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Hg, Zn, Cr) | ICP-MS / EPA 3050B | Regulatory classification of ash residuals; catalyst protection |
| PFAS screening | EPA 533 / 537.1 | Increasingly required for waste-derived feedstocks; affects ash disposal pathway |
| Biogenic vs. fossil carbon fraction | ASTM D6866 / 14C analysis | Determines CORSIA and LCFS eligibility; affects carbon credit value |
| Particle size distribution | ASTM D5233 / laser diffraction | Preprocessing design; gasifier feeding system specification |
| Seasonal variability profile | Multi-season sampling programme | Sets operating envelope; required for bankable yield guarantee |

10. Mexico's Route Upward in Global SAF Production

Mexico's route upward in global SAF production follows from six aligned conditions: a large and diverse feedstock base, urban and industrial density that supports aggregation, active circular-economy policy across leading states, manufacturing and logistics corridors that support industrial deployment, North American relevance under the U.S. 45Z clean-fuel geography when projects qualify, and a Cobecal platform architecture that converts these conditions into project screens and deployment stages.

THE FIFTEEN-POINT READINESS ARGUMENT

| Readiness Dimension | Evidence | SAF Implication |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Material scale | 120,128 t/day urban solid waste; 52% organic; 20 Mt/year FLW farm-to-retail; 11 Mt/year household FLW | Feedstock availability is not the constraint. Feedstock qualification and density are. |
| Aggregation geography | South-Central Mexico generates 66% of national FLW; 720,606 centers mapped; 89 major supply centers identified | Geographic concentration enables phased corridor deployment rather than scattered pilots. |
| Energy-positive site inventory | 476 WtE-AD sites at positive energy balance; ≥8 t/day threshold; 698-site upside case | Mexico has a deployable, energy-ranked project inventory. This is an implementation map, not a resource narrative. |
| Process diversity | Wet organics to AD; post-recycling MSW to thermal conversion; ELT to pyrolysis; preprocessing nodes | Feedstock-matched conversion improves technical reliability and supports co-product diversification. |
| Circular-economy policy | 21 of 32 states with CE legislation; six territories with advanced programs; Tula federal park | State programs create corridor-scale implementation channels; federal park demonstrates physical commitment. |
| State proof of execution | Queretaro: 250+ companies; 600+ professionals trained; 350+ projects; 775M+ pesos in savings; 300,000+ t diverted | Circular economy in Mexico moves through companies and institutions, not only through policy documents. |
| Federal industrial signal | Tula, Hidalgo: 700-hectare CE industrial park; gasification and carbonization for organic waste | Federal investment is reaching physical assets. The policy transition to infrastructure deployment is underway. |
| Manufacturing and logistics | Mexico's vehicle, electronics, and industrial export capacity; cross-border corridor infrastructure | SAF scale-up needs fabrication, industrial operations, logistics, and workforce capacity. Mexico has that base. |
| Cultural circularity | Tianguis, repair trades, informal material recovery embedded in daily urban practice | Circular economy does not start from zero in Mexico. Formal |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | engineering builds on embedded cultural practice. |
| Aviation demand anchor | Fuel is 20-30% of airline operating cost; airlines need qualified, lower-carbon supply at scale | Mexico's waste-to-value platform protects airline economics by controlling the feedstock and system variables that drive SAF cost. |
| North American feedstock value | IRS 45Z: post-2025 qualifying feedstocks must be produced or grown in the US, Mexico, or Canada | Mexican feedstocks sit inside the North American clean-fuel credit geography when project ownership, production, and compliance are structured correctly. |
| Global supply gap | IATA: 2.4 Mt SAF in 2026, 0.8% of aviation fuel use; 65% of 2050 emissions reduction needed from SAF | The global market has room for new production geographies. Mexico does not need to displace existing SAF leaders. |
| Beyond HEFA bottleneck | Fats, oils, and greases alone cannot meet aviation demand; MSW, biomass, and residuals routes required | Mexico's advantage is not used cooking oil. It is MSW, FLW, organics, agricultural residues, livestock waste, and tires. |
| Water-loop integration | FT process water carries 89% of syngas nitrogen as alkylamines; Mexico's state programs include water-efficiency pillars | A SAF platform that proves the water loop connects fuel production with water treatment, recovered water, and environmental compliance. |
| Phased rollout logic | Phase 1: top 50 organics platforms >100 t/day, 2 metro thermal hubs, 2 ELT pyrolysis plants; Phase 2: ~426 platforms; Phase 3: national integration | The first phase focuses on highest-density, highest-confidence sites. Mexico's readiness is grounded in a deployment sequence, not a vision statement. |

PHASED DEPLOYMENT ROADMAP

| Phase | Timeline | Priority Deployments | Milestone Outputs |
|---------------------|-----------|--|---|
| Phase 1: Foundation | Years 1-3 | Top 50 organics platforms above 100 t/day; 2 metropolitan thermal hubs; 2 ELT pyrolysis plants; feedstock sampling and characterization for priority corridors | Feedstock atlases for 3 leading corridors; energy-balance validation for 50 sites; design basis memos for metropolitan hubs; WP1-WP4 packages for anchor sites; carbon intensity models for LCFS/45Z pathways |
| Phase 2: Expansion | Years 3-6 | ~426 additional organics platforms; additional thermal and pyrolysis assets; product corridor integration; supply and offtake validation | Full 476-site baseline operational or in engineering; national biofertilizer and biogas supply integration; RNG and hydrogen support infrastructure in South-Central corridor |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--|---|
| Phase 3: National Integration | Years 6+ | National network integration; Latin America replication model; aviation fuel qualification and ASTM D4054 pathway completion | Qualified SAF blendstock supply from at least one integrated FT or ATJ pathway; CORSIA or LCFS-registered carbon intensity; Latin American waste-to-value platform export |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--|---|

11. National Platform Economics

The economics of a national waste-to-value platform come from systems coordination. Waste materials acquire higher value when collection, classification, conversion, energy recovery, water treatment, residual management, and carbon accounting are planned together. Cobeal's model applies this principle through feedstock-matched conversion, density-driven siting, scale-enforced energy positivity, and phased rollout.

Mexico's circular economy creates co-product and co-benefit options that improve project economics beyond the primary fuel stream. Anaerobic digestion produces biogas, power, heat, biofertilizer, and carbon value. Thermal conversion produces electricity, heat, syngas, and residual mineral streams. Controlled pyrolysis produces tire pyrolysis oil, syngas, carbon black, and recovered metals. Water treatment creates reclaimed water value. Carbon accounting creates incentive value where eligibility criteria are satisfied. These outputs diversify revenue and support platform resilience across market conditions.

This platform approach addresses airline economics because it controls SAF cost at the beginning of the value chain. SAF cost is influenced by feedstock quality and price, supply stability, preprocessing energy, hydrogen and water architecture, conversion yield, plant capital intensity, and the risk premium attached to permitting, certification, and carbon accounting. A waste-to-value platform that measures these variables before engineering provides a stronger basis for investment review than a platform that encounters them for the first time at FEED.

CO-PRODUCT VALUE MAP ACROSS PLATFORM LAYERS

| Platform Layer | Primary Outputs | Co-Product Outputs | Carbon / Incentive Value |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Regional organics platforms (AD) | Biogas; biomethane; electricity | Heat; biofertilizer; reclaimed water | Carbon intensity credit under LCFS/RFS where feedstock and pathway qualify; RNG pathway eligibility |
| Metropolitan thermal hubs | Electricity; heat; syngas | Residual mineral streams; recovered metals | Landfill diversion credit; avoided methane value; LCFS low-CI electricity credit potential |
| Specialized ELT pyrolysis | Tire pyrolysis oil; syngas | Carbon black; scrap steel; recovered materials | Circular economy material recovery value; fossil-carbon diversion from landfill |
| FT synthesis (SAF pathway) | Paraffinic hydrocarbons (FT-SPK); naphtha | FT-produced water (engineered treatment); light ends | CORSIA; LCFS; RFS D7; 45Z where structure and CI score qualify |
| ATJ synthesis (SAF pathway) | Iso-alkane SAF blendstock | Light ends; wastewater streams | CORSIA; LCFS; RFS D3/D7; 45Z where |

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | structure and CI score qualify |
| Water treatment system | Treated effluent for cooling tower or reuse | Recovered water credit; wastewater load reduction | Water circularity claim support; environmental compliance value |

12. Conclusion

Mexico is ready to move upward in global sustainable aviation fuel production because its circular-economy base can be organized into feedstock-controlled, energy-positive, aviation-relevant waste-to-value infrastructure. The country has material scale, urban density, industrial corridors, circular-economy policy momentum, cultural reuse practices, and North American clean-fuel relevance. Cobeal's work supplies the project-development architecture that converts these conditions into siting, testing, route selection, design-basis, water-loop, carbon-readiness, and investment-review decisions.

The word ready is used here as a technical-economic category: Mexico has measurable feedstock streams, usable industrial corridors, policy activity, circular-economy practices, aviation relevance, and a Cobeal systems architecture that can translate resource geography into project decisions. Readiness begins with feedstock mapping and moves through testing, pathway screening, pilot basis, design basis, risk allocation, and financeable decision gates. Mexico has the conditions for all of these steps.

The strongest feedstock argument comes from the combination of national data and Cobeal's mapping. The strongest economic argument is scale discipline. The strongest policy argument is state complementarity. The strongest aviation argument is supply scarcity. The strongest circularity argument is the eleven-dimension evidence matrix that Cobeal's technical workstream delivers before capital is committed. These arguments do not require optimism. They require engineering discipline applied at the right point in the project sequence.

Mexico's path is therefore practical and sequential. The first phase prioritizes high-density organics platforms, metropolitan thermal hubs, specialized conversion assets, and supply and offtake validation. The second phase expands the viable site network and integrates product corridors. The third phase creates national integration and a model suitable for broader Latin American replication. This is how circular-economy planning becomes industrial development, and how waste geography becomes aviation fuel economics.

Mexico's circular economy is ready for a national waste-to-value platform because the country has the feedstock, the policy map, the industrial base, the logistics corridors, the airport demand, and the North American credit relevance. Cobeal's contribution is the systems architecture: feedstock-matched conversion, density-driven siting, energy-positive thresholds, water-loop discipline, carbon-intensity readiness, and phased deployment.

References

- Boerboom, L., Gangoli Rao, A., Grewe, V., & Yin, F. (2025). A comprehensive well-to-wake climate impact assessment of sustainable aviation fuel. *Scientific Reports*, 15, 31966. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-13445-x>
- Cobeal. (2026a). Mexico Circular Economy Initiative: Mapping Mexico's Circular Economy, Architecting a National Waste-to-Value Program. The Cobeal Group.
- Cobeal. (2026b). SAF Technical Workstream: Technical Research, Feedstock Testing, and Engineering Support for MSW-to-SAF and Biomass-to-SAF Infrastructure. The Cobeal Group.
- European Commission. (2026). ReFuelEU Aviation. Directorate-General for Mobility and Transport.
- Faulhaber, C., Borland, C., Boehm, R., & Heyne, J. (2023). Measurements of nitrile rubber absorption of hydrocarbons: trends for sustainable aviation fuel compatibility. *Energy & Fuels*, 37, 9207-9219.
- Holladay, J., Abdullah, Z., & Heyne, J. (2020). Sustainable Aviation Fuel: Review of Technical Pathways. U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Bioenergy Technologies Office, DOE/EE-2041.
- International Air Transport Association. (2026). SAF Production Volumes Still Disappointing. Press Release No. 25, 6 June 2026.
- International Civil Aviation Organization. (2026). CORSIA States for Chapter 3 State Pairs.
- Internal Revenue Service. (2026). Clean Fuel Production Credit.
- Laughing, S. M., & Hollsten Ruvalcaba, B. E. G. (2025). Fischer-Tropsch Is Not Circular by Itself: Engineering the System Boundary for MSW-Derived Sustainable Aviation Fuel. Cobeal Circular Economy Systems, Critical Infrastructure and Waste-to-Value Lab. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20816645.
- Luning Prak, D.J., Graham, D., Hunt, K., Evans, M., Dickerson, T., Slager, J., & Cowart, J.S. (2025). Determining the swelling behavior and tensile strengths of commercially produced Buna-N O-rings and stereolithographic additively manufactured O-rings after exposure to mixtures containing jet fuels, synthetic fuels, and fuel surrogate. *ACS Omega*, 10, 33777-33787.
- Manzanares-Manzanarez, M. E., Martinez-Rodriguez, M. C., & Alvarado Cardona, M. (2023). Informal Circular Economy in Mexico. In W. Leal Filho et al. (Eds.), *SDGs in the Americas and Caribbean Region*. Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Martulli, A., Brandt, K., Allroggen, F., & Malina, R. (2025). The potential scale-up of sustainable aviation fuels production capacity to meet global and EU policy targets. *Nature Communications*, 16, 11619.
- Munoz-Melendez, G., Delgado-Ramos, G. C., & Diaz-Chavez, R. (2021). Circular Economy in Mexico. In S. K. Ghosh & S. K. Ghosh (Eds.), *Circular Economy: Recent Trends in Global Perspective* (pp. 497-523). Springer Nature Singapore.
- Orbitat & TurnToo. (2025). Market Study Circular Economy Mexico. Commissioned by the Embassy of the Netherlands in Mexico and the Netherlands Enterprise Agency.
- Raj, R.S., Jain, S., Sharma, A.K., & Singh, V.P. (2026). Life cycle assessment of MSW-to-biofuel conversion pathways: a comparative analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 16, 8932.
- Velocys Technologies Ltd. (2016). Methods of making purified water from the Fischer-Tropsch process. International Patent WO 2016/044348 A1.
- Voeten, R.L.C., Hendriks, F., & Bezemer, G.L. (2024). Fischer-Tropsch synthesis for the production of sustainable aviation fuel: formation of tertiary amines from ammonia contaminants. *ACS Omega*, 9, 31974-31985.



COBEAL GROUP

Urban Mining Division | Critical Infrastructure and Waste-to-Value Lab

+52 (777) 420-2408 | info@cobeal.com | cobeal.com

Mexico's Circular Economy At A Glance: Why Mexico Is Ready to Move Up in Global SAF Production
A Cobeal Technical Intelligence Synthesis | June 2026