### Denigration and undermining of a parent

Repeated criticism, ridicule, contemptuous remarks or negative comparisons may be used to undermine a child's perception of the other parent. Dismissing positive experiences, questioning the parent's competence or portraying their intentions negatively can gradually influence how the child interprets their relationship, particularly when expressing affection or disagreement attracts disapproval.

**How might repeated negative comments about a parent influence the child's emotional security, trust and perception of that relationship?**

### Discrediting a parent through allegations and distorted information

A parent may repeatedly present misleading allegations, exaggerated concerns or distorted interpretations of events to undermine the child's trust in the other parent. Ordinary parenting interactions may be portrayed as threatening or harmful, while contradictory information is dismissed. Such patterns can influence the child's perception of safety, although genuine disclosures and protective concerns must always be assessed on their individual merits.

**How might repeated allegations or distorted descriptions of a parent influence the child's perceptions of safety, trust and family relationships?**

### Exposure to adult disputes and inappropriate information

A parent may repeatedly expose the child to legal documents, intimate relationship grievances, financial disputes or selective descriptions of past events that exceed the child's developmental capacity. Presenting these matters as information the child must understand, judge or act upon can burden them with adult responsibilities, influence their perceptions of family members and create pressure to support one parent's position.

**What are the potential consequences of exposing children to adult grievances, legal disputes or information beyond their developmental understanding?**

### Conditional affection and emotional coercion

A parent may respond to the child's disagreement, affection for another family member or wish for contact with withdrawal, guilt-inducing statements, anger or threats of abandonment. Affection and approval may subsequently be restored when the child complies. Repeated experiences can condition the child to prioritise the adult's emotional responses, restrict authentic expression and associate relational security with obedience or loyalty.

**How might conditional affection, guilt or threatened emotional withdrawal influence a child's behaviour, emotional security and sense of belonging?**

### Interference with parent–child time and communication

A parent may deliberately obstruct or disrupt safe contact through repeated cancellations, competing activities, unnecessary scheduling conflicts, withheld messages or interference during the child's time with the other parent. When these behaviours form a persistent pattern, they can reduce opportunities for ordinary shared experiences, disrupt relational continuity and make maintaining the relationship increasingly difficult.

**How might repeated interference with safe parent–child contact affect the child's emotional wellbeing and ability to maintain a meaningful relationship?**

### Withholding and controlling information

Deliberately withholding information about schooling, healthcare, developmental needs, appointments or significant events can exclude a parent from participating in the child's care. Selective communication with professionals may further restrict access to relevant information and opportunities for involvement. Over time, these behaviours can interfere with continuity of care, informed decision-making and the child's access to support from both parents.

**How might withholding important information about the child's education, health or development affect parental involvement and continuity of care?**

### Undermining parental authority and boundaries

A parent may encourage the child to disregard reasonable household expectations, conceal information, challenge the other parent's decisions or refuse ordinary parental guidance without a legitimate safeguarding reason. When reinforced through approval, rewards or competing instructions, these behaviours may weaken appropriate parental boundaries and encourage authority inversion, placing the child in a position of responsibility beyond their developmental capacity.

**How might encouraging a child to disregard reasonable parental boundaries affect their understanding of authority, responsibility and family relationships?**

### Institutional involvement and systems abuse

A parent may manipulate educational, healthcare, child protection or legal processes through selective disclosures, misleading information, unjustified complaints or attempts to exclude the other parent from professional involvement. When institutions are drawn into a coercive pattern, their decisions or processes may inadvertently reinforce relational interference, prolong disputes and disrupt the child's access to appropriate support and safe family relationships.

**How might the manipulation of professional services or legal processes affect a child's relationships, access to support and emotional wellbeing?**

### Progressive exclusion of a parent and their family

Exclusion may involve withholding gifts, photographs or messages, discouraging references to a parent, replacing parental titles or restricting relationships with siblings, grandparents and extended family. Repeated interference can progressively diminish the child's access to meaningful relationships, shared memories, family identity and sources of emotional support.

**What might a child experience when their connections with a parent, siblings or extended family are progressively restricted or discouraged?**

### Repeated questioning and pressure to report

A child may face persistent questioning about visits, conversations, household routines or the other parent's behaviour. Positive experiences may be dismissed, while negative details attract further attention, approval or repeated questioning. Over time, the child may learn which responses are expected, become increasingly vigilant about what they disclose or alter their descriptions to manage the questioning adult's reactions.

**How might repeated questioning, particularly when negative responses are encouraged, influence what a child feels safe to disclose about their experiences?**

### Loyalty demands and constrained emotional expression

A child may be expected to demonstrate loyalty through agreement, criticism of the other parent or rejection of previously valued relationships. Expressions of affection, positive memories or differing opinions may provoke guilt, accusations of betrayal or emotional withdrawal. The child may gradually conceal ambivalence, monitor their responses and adopt an outward position of certainty to preserve acceptance and belonging.

**How might pressure to demonstrate loyalty to one parent affect a child's freedom to express affection, disagreement or mixed feelings about the other?**

### Misuse of allegations and protective processes

Knowingly fabricated allegations or misleading applications may be used to obtain restrictions, prolong proceedings or interfere with a child's relationship with a safe parent. Misuse of protective processes can disrupt family relationships and cause emotional distress, particularly when children become involved in adult allegations. Genuine safeguarding concerns and protective actions must be distinguished from deliberate misuse.

**How might the deliberate misuse of allegations or protective processes affect a child's sense of safety, family relationships and trust in the adults and systems responsible for their protection?**

### Undermining the child's emotional connection

A parent may repeatedly suggest that the other parent does not love, value or prioritise the child, using misleading explanations, selective information or ordinary disappointments as supposed evidence. When these messages are reinforced over time, the child may begin questioning previously positive experiences, interpreting affection as insincere or deactivating expressions of attachment to avoid relational consequences.

**How might repeated suggestions that a parent does not love or care for the child influence their sense of worth, trust and emotional security?**

### Coerced alignment and emotional dependency

A parent may draw the child into adult grievances, seek reassurance about their own decisions or encourage the child to share responsibility for their emotional wellbeing. Approval, affection or belonging may become associated with agreeing with that parent's interpretation of events. The child may consequently conceal conflicting feelings, adopt adult responsibilities or experience disagreement as a threat to relational security.

**How might a child's emotional development and autonomy be affected when they feel responsible for meeting a parent's emotional needs or supporting their position?**

the UNSEEN campaign

# Coercive Control in the Shadows of Childhood

## Coercive Relational Interference & Alienating Behaviours: Understanding the Patterns of Behaviour That Can Undermine Children's Emotional Security, Autonomy & Family Relationships

Created by Amanda Sillars, BPsychSc (2026)

Eeny Meeny Miney Mo Foundation
emmm.org.au

© 2026 Eeny Meeny Miney Mo Foundation Ltd. No unauthorised reproduction, adaptation, commercial use or AI training.