FILED

SEP 08 2026

JOAN M. GILMER
CIRCUIT CLERK, ST. LOUIS COUNTY

Vizir of the Morocco Consular Court
at the ~~Maryland~~ state republic
Missouri
SEP 04 2026

FILED

Moorish National Republic Federal Government

Moorish Divine and National Movement of the World

Northwest Amexem / Northwest Africa / North America / 'The North Gate'

~ 'Temple of the Moon and Sun' ~

~ Societas Republicae Ea Al Maurikanos ~

The True and De jure Natural Peoples ~ Heirs of the Land

In the Morocco Consular Court at the Missouri state

Consular Jurisdiction and Venue under Treaty Law

Available for public display @ https://EnforceTheConstitution.org/Consular-Court-Cases

Ex Parte Atoya Hues-El, ex rel. ATOYA HUES-EL,

Complainant

vs.

Chastidy Dillon-Amelung, Marilyn Mack-Williams, Joan M. Gilmer, Christine Elizabeth Travaglini #49327, Patrick W. Keefe, Benzy Fishbane, Kristy Drew, and Cassidy (last name unknown)

Defendants

Re: Misrepresented Instrument – Bill of Attainder / foreign Bill of Exchange styled as **"Case Number 26SL-AC27304"** in the records of the private foreign de facto corporation styled 21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI (Inc.).

Writ of Error – Consular Decision and Order

Consular Jurisdiction and Venue under Treaty Law per Articles 20 and 21 of the Treaty of Peace and Friendship of 1836 between the United States of North America and the Moroccan Empire; Article III, section 2 (Diversity of Nationality Cases); and Article VI, clause 2 (Supremacy Clause) of the Constitution for the United States of America.

WRIT OF ERROR - CONSULAR DECISION AND ORDER

In the matter of the consular jurisdiction and protection of:

> **Atoya Hues-El,** a Moorish-American, national and aboriginal heiress of the land by jus sanguinis.

To: Chastidy Dillon-Amelung; Marilyn Mack-Williams; Joan M. Gilmer; Christine Elizabeth Travaglini #49327; Patrick W. Keefe; Benzy Fishbane; Kristy Drew, Cassidy (Last Name Unknown); 21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI (Inc.); and all persons, agents, attorneys, clerks, Sheriffs, deputies, contractors, and entities acting individually or in concert therewith:

MEMORANDUM

BE IT KNOWN, this matter comes before this Morocco Consular Court at the Missouri state upon the additional submission of the Affidavit of Fact: Writ of Error [EXHIBIT: E] dated September 4, 2026, issued by the complainant Atoya Hues-El, and filed into the records of this Morocco Consular Court as though fully set forth herein. The affirmed facts and unrebutted evidence contained therein form the factual foundation for this Consular Decision and Order.

Having examined the affidavit, exhibits, previous consular process, and documents entered into the foreign de facto records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304" of the private foreign de facto corporation styled as "21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI (Inc.)," this Morocco Consular Court now issues the following findings, determinations, and orders.

I. CONSULAR AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

This Morocco Consular Court exercises jurisdiction in this matter for the protection of Atoya Hues-El's Moorish-American nationality, treaty-secured rights, inherited property interests, and right to consular decision and assistance. Article 20 of the Treaty of Peace and Friendship of 1836 between the United States of North America and the Moroccan Empire recognizes consular authority to decide disputes within its stated protection and requires that necessary aid and assistance be provided for enforcement of consular decisions.

This Treaty constitutes the supreme law of the land under Article VI, Clause 2, of the Constitution for the United States of America. Any conflicting foreign state color of law, rule, statute, code, policy, presumption, practice, administrative procedure, or instrument cannot be employed to defeat rights secured by treaty. See ***Kolovrat v. Oregon***, 366 U.S. 187, 190–198 (1961).

II. RECORDS CONSIDERED

This Morocco Consular Court takes consular notice of the following:

1. The Affidavit of Evidence and Information in Support of the Writ of Quo Warranto [Consular Exhibit D], filed before this Morocco Consular Court by the complainant Atoya Hues-El on August 5, 2026;

2. The certified Writ of Quo Warranto and Writ of Error issued by this Morocco Consular Court on August 5, 2026, accompanied with the attached Affidavit of Evidence and Information in Support of the Writ of Quo Warranto [Consular Exhibit D], and entered into the *de facto* records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304" on August 12, 2026;

3. The complainant's Affidavit of Fact: Writ of Quo Warranto EXHIBIT: A] with EXHIBITS: A1, A2, A3, and other attachments entered into the *de facto* records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304" on August 12, 2026;

4. The complainant's Affidavit of Fact: Writ of Error [EXHIBIT B], entered into the *de facto* records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304" on August 12, 2026;

5. The Affidavit of Fact: Default Judgment [EXHIBIT C], entered into the *de facto* records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304" on August 19, 2026;

6. The void and unenforceable bills of attainder instruments styled as "CIVIL ORDER–MEMO" and "MEMORANDUM," dated August 20, 2026;

7. The certified Order of Default and Writ of Error with attachments EXHIBITS 1 and 2 issued by this Morocco Consular Court on August 24, 2026, and both entered into the *de facto* records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304" on August 26, 2026;

8. The correction made on August 27, 2026, changing the online false docket designation of the Order of Default from "Proposed Order" to "Order";

9. The telephone communication held on September 1, 2026, during which Cassidy (last name unknown) who is acting as agent for defendants Chastidy Dillon-Amelung, Joan M. Gilmer, and Christine Elizabeth Travaglini #49327, did threatened the complainant Atoya Hues-El that a default judgment could be entered against her if she did not attend the purported administrative "trial" on September 3, 2026;

10. The special attendance under duress and protest made by the complainant as authorized representative, ex rel. ATOYA HUES-EL, in propria persona, sui juris, in full life on September 3, 2026, accompanied by Consul General Lamont Maurice El, only to enforce the consular decisions made in the Order of Default and Writ of Error; and

11. The void and unenforceable misrepresented instrument–bill of attainder / foreign bill of exchange styled as "JUDGMENT-LANDLORD-TENANT," dated September 3, 2026.

III. FINDINGS OF FACT

This Morocco Consular Court finds and determines from the record that:

1. On September 3, 2026, the complainant Atoya Hues-El made special appearance under duress and protest, in propria persona, sui juris, and in full life, as authorized representative, ex rel. ATOYA HUES-EL, before the defendant Chastidy Dillon-Amelung solely to enforce compliance with the Writs of Error and Order of Default issued by this Morocco Consular Court.
2. Her special appearance did not constitute consent, waiver, voluntary participation, or a general appearance as the fictitious corporate person styled as "defendant ATOYA HUES-EL."
3. The defendant Chastidy Dillon-Amelung gave verbal acknowledgement on the record that the Writs of Error and Order of Default issued by this Morocco Consular Court had been filed in the foreign *de facto* records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304".
4. No verified rebuttal, proof of jurisdiction, delegation of judicial authority, or cause to the contrary was produced in response to those consular decisions or the several affidavits.

5. The defendant Chastidy Dillon-Amelung, nevertheless, verbally asserted she did have jurisdiction, claimed Atoya Hues-El was the alleged "defendant," and threatened to remove the complainant to the hallway while continuing the void proceeding in her absence under color of authority if she continued to be "disruptive."
6. The threatened exclusion, together with Cassidy's warning over the phone to complainant Atoya Hues-El that a default judgment would be entered if she did not attend the alleged "trial", constituted coercion and further inducement to fraud.
7. The refusal to recognize the limited nature of Atoya Hues-El's special appearance constituted an attempted conversion of that special appearance into presumed consent and participation in the foreign de facto proceeding.
8. The issuance of the misrepresented instrument styled as "JUDGMENT–LANDLORD-TENANT" dated September 3, 2026, occurred after jurisdiction had been expressly challenged and without proof sufficient to overcome the prior jurisdictional record, Writs of Error, and Order of Default.

IV. ERRORS UPON THE RECORD

This Morocco Consular Court finds the following substantial and jurisdictional errors appearing upon the record:

1. The exercise of purported judicial authority without establishing evidence of Article III jurisdiction and judicial authorization;
2. The failure to answer or rebut the jurisdictional facts and consular decisions entered into the record;
3. The continued reliance upon presumptions after jurisdiction had been expressly challenged;
4. The attempted conversion of a special appearance under duress and protest into a general appearance as the alleged "defendant" which does not even identify a living natural person;
5. The use of coercion and threats of an alleged adverse judgment to compel attendance and participation;

6. The threatened removal of the complainant Atoya Hues-El while continuing a void proceeding purporting to adjudicate her rights and property interests in want of jurisdiction, under color of law and color of authority;
7. The refusal to comply with the Writs of Error and Order of Default issued by Morocco Consular Court, and the Writ of Error and Default Judgment entered by the complainant;
8. The fraudulent misrepresentation of the Order of Default as a "Proposed Order" within the online docket records styled as "Case No. 26SL-AC27304";
9. The issuance of the "JUDGMENT–LANDLORD-TENANT" instrument under color of authority after want of jurisdiction and fraud in the inducement had been established in the record by conclusive evidence; and
10. The creation of a purported basis for dispossession and derivative enforcement through a void instrument issued without lawful jurisdiction.

DETERMINATIONS OF LAW

- Under **Elliott v. Lessee of Peirsol, 26 U.S. (1 Pet.) 328, 340–341 (1828)**, acts undertaken without lawful authority produce judgments and orders that are nullities rather than merely voidable instruments. Such instruments provide no lawful justification to persons participating in their execution.

- Under **United States v. Throckmorton, 98 U.S. 61, 65–68 (1878)**, fraud or deception that prevents a party from fully presenting and preserving her position constitutes a basis for setting aside or annulling the resulting judgment.

- Under **Kolovrat v. Oregon, 366 U.S. 187, 190–198 (1961)**, state policy and procedure must yield where their application would defeat rights secured by an overriding treaty obligation.

Accordingly, the misrepresented instrument–bill of attainder / foreign bill of exchange styled as "JUDGMENT-LANDLORD-TENANT," dated September 3, 2026, and the alleged administrative "trial," and every derivative act dependent upon it, cannot acquire lawful force through continued assertion, repetition, recordation, or attempted execution in want of jurisdiction and by fraud.

CONSULAR ORDER

WHEREFORE, it is hereby **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that this Writ of Error be, and the same hereby is entered against the defendants, Chastidy Dillon-Amelung, Marilyn Mack-Williams, Joan M. Gilmer, Christine Elizabeth Travaglini #49327, Patrick W. Keefe, Benzy Fishbane, Kristy Drew, and Cassidy (last name unknown).

It is **FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the misrepresented instruments—unconstitutional bills of attainder / foreign bills of exchange styled as "JUDGMENT-LANDLORD-TENANT," dated September 3, 2026, together with every attachment, amendment, docket entry, or derivative instrument dependent thereon, are **UNCONSTITUTIONAL, NOTWITHSTANDING, NULL** and **VOID AB INITIO**, and **UNENFORCEABLE** for want of jurisdiction and fraud in the inducement.

It is further **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that all foreign de facto administrative proceedings in the bill of attainder styled as "Case Number 26SL-AC27304" in the records of the foreign de facto 21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI (Inc.), including the foreign de facto administrative hearing styled as a "trial" held under color of authority on September 3, 2026, are **UNCONSTITUTIONAL, NOTWITHSTANDING, NULL** and **VOID AB INITIO**, and **UNENFORCEABLE**, for want of jurisdiction and for fraud in the inducement.

It is further **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the records associated with "Case No. 26SL-AC27304" shall be corrected to reflect DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and immediately CLOSED forthwith for want of jurisdiction and for fraud in the inducement.

It is further **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that any alleged execution for possession process under color of law are **NULL** and **VOID AB INITIO**, and UNENFORCEABLE for want of jurisdiction and for fraud in the inducement.

DONE AND ORDERED at Maghrib Al-Aqṣá, North-West Amexem.

WITNESS THE HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL of the Honorable Lamont Maurice El, Consul General of Morocco at Missouri state, Maghrib al Aqsa, this 23 Rabi al-Awwal 1448 MC [September 4, 2026 C.C.Y.]

[redacted]


Consul General / Judicial officer

Morocco Consular Court at the Missouri state

All Rights Reserved.

Mailing location: C/o P.O. Box 2281

Washington, District of Columbia



(Seal)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lamont Maurice El, Consul General, hereby certify that on the 7th day of September, 2026, the enclosed Writ of Error and Certification Letter were sent by certified mail and / or hand delivery to the following recipients:

Joan M. Gilmer, (acting as) Administrative clerk
21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI (Inc.)
105 South Central Avenue
Clayton, Missouri [near. 63105]

Christine Elizabeth Travaglini #49327, (acting as) Attorney
DAVIS & TRAVAGLINI LLC
1420 Strassner Drive
St. Louis, Missouri [near. 63144]

[redacted]

All Rights Reserved.

I certify and attest that the above is a true copy of the original record of the Court in case number 26SL-AC27304 as it appears on file in my office.

Issued

09-08-2026

JOAN M. GILMER, Circuit Clerk
St. Louis County Circuit Court

By Vernette Blair
Deputy Clerk

CCOPR36-S Rev. 11/22