

JUSTICE

**IS SUPPOSED
TO BE**

JUST



**INSPIRATION FOR
INDIGENOUS VISIONARIES**

- Justice is supposed to be just.
- Government does not have the consent of indigenous people who live true to their consciences.
- Government does not have the consent of people who:
 - Are born into legal structures.
 - Are subject to more laws than even the government itself bothers to count.
<https://blogs.loc.gov/law/2013/03/frequent-reference-question-how-many-federal-laws-are-there/>
 - Are subject to impractical dynamics of abundantly-changing laws.
 - Are not practically informed of the laws to which they are purportedly bound.
 - Are not collectively offered sufficient land area to escape unwanted governance.
 - Are subject to majority rule (as a classic proxy for whatever those with the most weapons want- such as through historic contortion of “majority rule” by gender, race, age, wealth, arbitrary policies by time, arbitrary polices by location, mass fraud, and genocide). And even pure majority rule is itself illegitimate because others’ (secondary) opinions (conjectures), are fundamentally subordinate to the sanctity of an individual’s (firsthand) knowledge (truth) of integrity to their own conscience, the truest and most natural basis of justice- of that which is just. https://www.youtube.com/clip/Ugkx3V2xgCKu6XbAkULN7XmFF_dlh0ESAyAp
- Justice is about what is right and wrong- conscience.
- Government, by contrast, is about making orders, and expecting intermediaries- under pressure of their own- to obey the orders instead of their own consciences. Is it right to ask someone to cage another, or to take their money, or to take their life? Does the support of the domestic military (the police) make premeditated murder ethical?
- Court is not convened because the participants are ultimately true to their consciences, but because the parties involved are threatened. Most notably in terms of the gunman in the room, the bailiff. And the bailiff themselves is present under the threat of impeded wellbeing unless having a gun ready to use against those who are gathered.
- When a judge imposes a sentence, they are asking that a piece of paper, an order, be held more sacredly than conscience. The entire “justice” system is threat-based against conscience. Indigenous living is about exercising integrity to conscience, to one’s sense of what is just, in harmony with the holistic wellbeing of a planet- rather than a comparatively short-term, fly-by-night land-acquisition-and-abandonment scheme (government).
- If we want a society of compounding conscience that yields the sincerest loving care, we should trust conscience- and honor those who are true to their understandings of right and wrong.
- And if we want to force everyone toward war, the way to do it is by impeding peoples’ integrity to their own hearts- and applying compounding threats and compounding conscience-crushing orders that imprison, and fine, and threaten people so that they get used to neglecting and violating their consciences.

This resource should not be regarded as legal- or any other form of regulated- advice.
Yet hopefully it resonates as good sense.