

**GLENDALE POLICE DEPARTMENT**

REPORT NO. I22014202

Incident (Public Disclosure)**REPORT INVENTORY COVER PAGE****THIS IS AN EDITED COPY**

INC/SUPP #	REPORT TYPE	CREATED ON	UPDATED ON	OFFICER #	OFFICER NAME
I22014202	OR OFFENSE REPORT	01/31/2022 18:18	05/27/2022 15:19	15225	FERNANDEZ, MICHAEL
I22014202-0001	SU SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	05/27/2022 15:19		12129	FERULLO, KAREN

ATTACHMENT INVENTORY

INC/SUPP #	REPORT TYPE	ATTACHMENT TYPE
------------	-------------	-----------------

*** END OF INVENTORY ***

06/07/2022 11:25:11

AND RECORDED OUR CONVERSATION. THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY.

I EXPLAINED TO JENNIFER THAT [PARENT/GU] AND [PARENT/GU] MADE AN ADDITIONAL REPORT TO OUR DEPARTMENT ALLEGING OTHER THINGS HAPPENED AT JENNIFER'S HOME (IN THE SUMMER OF 2021) THAT THE GIRLS WERE NOW REMEMBERING. I ASKED JENNIFER IF WE COULD MEET IN PERSON AND SHE EXPLAINED SHE WAS NOW LIVING IN NORTHERN ARIZONA. SHE PROVIDED HER ADDRESS AT MY REQUEST. I ASKED HER IF SHE HAD TIME TO SPEAK WITH ME OVER THE PHONE AND SHE AGREED.

JENNIFER TOLD ME THE LAST TIME SHE HAD ANY CONTACT WITH HER DAUGHTER, [JUVENILE VI] WAS JULY 14TH. SHE HAS NOT EVEN BEEN ALLOWED TO SPEAK WITH HER DUE TO THE RESTRAINING ORDER. JENNIFER SAID SHE HAS TRIED TO SPEAK TO "THE LAWYER" BUT IF FELT TO HER THAT NO ONE WANTED HER TO BE WITH [JUVENILE VI]. SHE CLAIMED THAT SHE FOUND NOTES FROM [JUVENILE VI] AFTER SHE LEFT (LAST SUMMER) TELLING JENNIFER SHE WAS "SORRY". I ASKED HER TO SEND ME COPIES OF THOSE NOTES AND JENNIFER INDICATED SHE NO LONGER HAD THE NOTES BUT HAD PICTURES OF THEM. I PROVIDED HER WITH MY WORK CELL NUMBER SO SHE COULD SEND THE PICTURES TO ME.

JENNIFER CLARIFIED SHE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THE NOTES WERE FOR UNTIL THE SHE WAS CONTACTED BY ME WITH THE INITIAL REPORT. NOW SHE BELIEVES [JUVENILE VI] WROTE THEM BECAUSE OF WHAT THEY WERE MADE TO ALLEGE. JENNIFER TOLD ME WHEN [JUVENILE VI] AND [JUVENILE VI] VISITED JUST [OTHER] LIVED WITH HER. [OTHER] S FRIEND [OTHER] WOULD VISIT AND BRING HER SON, [OTHER], WHO IS APPROXIMATELY 7 YEARS OLD' JENNIFER PROVIDED [OTHER] S LAST NAME AS [OTHER]. SHE LATER PROVIDED A PHONE NUMBER FOR [OTHER]. SHE TOLD ME [PARENT/GU] ([JUVENILE VI] S FATHER) BEFRIENDED [OTHER] AND THEY ARE NOW IN CONTACT. SHE FEELS THAT [OTHER] WAS HELPING [PARENT/GU] "PLOT" THE ALLEGED ALLEGATIONS. I ASKED WHY AND SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW BUT TOLD ME [OTHER] IS "PROMISCUOUS" AND SPECULATED HE WAS UPSET WITH HER WHEN SHE TOLD HIM SHE DIDN'T WANT HIM TO BRING WOMEN OVER WHEN [JUVENILE VI] AND [JUVENILE VI] WERE THERE FOR THE SUMMER. JENNIFER ASKED [OTHER] TO GO TO COURT FOR HER WHEN THESE ALLEGATIONS WERE INITIALLY MADE BECAUSE SHE NEEDED SOMEONE ON HER SIDE AND HE WOULD NOT, TELLING HER, "THIS IS WHAT YOU GET FOR PICKING [OTHER]". JENNIFER SAID SOMETHING ABOUT [OTHER] AND [OTHER] BEING [PARENT/GU] WITNESSES WHICH SHE FOUND OUT DURING THE COURT HEARING. SHE INDICATED SHE SAW THEIR NAMES ON COURT PAPERWORK AND REALIZED THIS IS WHY THEY WOULD NOT TESTIFY FOR HER. SHE ADDED THAT NEITHER OF THEM APPEARED IN COURT, HOWEVER.

JENNIFER COMMENTED THAT [PARENT/GU] STEP-FATHER RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AND HE RECEIVED "A BUNCH OF MONEY" (IN HIS ESTATE). SHE SAID [PARENT/GU] HAS BROUGHT UP FAKE ALLEGATIONS FOUR TIMES PRIOR IN ATTEMPTS TO GET [JUVENILE VI] TAKEN FROM HER. SHE SAID THIS HAPPENED IN ALASKA AND EACH TIME SHE WAS ABLE TO HIRE A LAWYER AND FIGHT BACK. THIS TIME (AFTER ALLEGATIONS MADE IN 2021), SHE TRIED TO CONTACT SEVERAL LAWYERS IN ALASKA TO HELP HER BUT THEY ALL TOLD HER IT WAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AS [PARENT/GU] HAD ALREADY CONTACTED THEM.

I ASKED JENNIFER WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH [OTHER] THAT SHE ASKED HIM TO STOP AND SHE SAID HE JUST HAD A LOT OF GIRLS COMING OVER AND SHE ASKED HIM NOT TO. I REMINDED HER SHE SAID SOMETHING ABOUT [OTHER] SAYING SHE CHOSE [OTHER] AND ASKED IF HE WAS JEALOUS OF [OTHER] (SPECULATING [OTHER] WANTED A SEXUAL RELATIONSHIP JENNIFER). JENNIFER TOLD ME NO AND THAT [OTHER] JUST DIDN'T CARE FOR [OTHER]. SHE DID NOT KNOW WHY THEY DIDN'T GET ALONG BUT FELT LIKE NEITHER OF THEM LIKED EACH OTHER.

GLENDAL POLICE DEPARTMENT

Incident (Public Disclosure)

REPORT NO. 122014202

JENNIFER TOLD ME SHE MET [OTHER] ON "POS" THREE AND A HALF YEARS AGO. THEY MET IN PERSON AND SHE CONSIDERED HIM HER "BEST FRIEND". THEY BECAME ROOMMATES BUT HE DID NOT PAY RENT. HE WAS SUPPOSED TO HELP OUT AROUND THE HOUSE BUT DIDN'T. JENNIFER PAID THE BILLS FROM A "HUGE UNEMPLOYMENT SETTLEMENT". EVENTUALLY SHE AND HER FRIEND [OTHER] BEGAN A CLEANING BUSINESS BUT IT DIDN'T WORK OUT AND SHE HAD TO LEAVE THE HOME THEY WERE RENTING.

JENNIFER DENIED HAVING A MASSAGE BUSINESS. SHE DID DO PHOTOGRAPHY BUT SHE HAD JUST STARTED AND NEVER MADE MONEY FROM IT. SHE DENIED THE ALLEGATION THAT SHE BROUGHT MEN IN TO MASSAGE THEM AND MADE THE GIRLS WATCH WHILE SHE PERFORMED ORAL SEX. SHE SAID SHE WOULD "NEVER EVER EVER" DO ANYTHING LIKE THAT. SHE DOES NOT KNOW ANYONE NAMED [OTH] OR [OTHER]. SHE DOES NOT DRINK OR DO DRUGS AND DID NOT HAVE ANY PARTIES AT HER HOUSE WITH THE GIRLS OTHER THAN A BIRTHDAY PARTY FOR [JUVENILE VI] (ONE OF [OTHER]'S GIRLFRIENDS) [OTHER] (JENNIFER'S GIRLFRIEND) AND [OTHER]'S SON [OTHER] (7 Y/O), [OTHER] ([OTHER] AND [OTHER]).

JENNIFER DENIED EVER GOING TO A BAR WITH THE GIRLS. SHE REPEATED THAT SHE DOES NOT DRINK. HER MOTHER WAS AN ALCOHOLIC. JENNIFER SAID THIS STORY FROM THE GIRLS THAT SHE LEFT THEM AND WENT INTO A BAR MAY HAVE COME FROM [JUVENILE VI] OVERHEARING HER TALK ABOUT HOW HER (JENNIFER'S) MOTHER DID THAT. JENNIFER AGREED THAT THEY DID DRINK FRUIT SMOOTHIES ALL THE TIME.

I TOLD JENNIFER THAT THE FIRST TIME THE GIRLS WERE INTERVIEWED, THEY TALKED ABOUT JENNIFER MAKING THEM SIT IN THE CAR WHILE SHE WENT INTO A BAR AND WHILE NOT GREAT PARENTING IT CERTAINLY WAS NOT CRIMINAL. I TOLD HER THIS TIME, THEY CLAIMED TO NOW REMEMBER THAT WHEN JENNIFER WENT INTO THE BAR, SHE TOOK A GUN WITH HER AND CAME OUT WITH BLOOD ALL OVER HER. JENNIFER SAID, "OH MY GOSH". SHE TOLD ME SHE IS CONCERNED ABOUT THE GIRLS AND THERE WAS AN ISSUE WITH THIS AND WHERE WERE THEY COMING UP WITH STORIES LIKE THIS? I TOLD HER I WAS TRYING TO DO MY JOB AND JUST TALK TO HER ABOUT THIS. I SHARED THAT IN MY EXPERIENCE, TRAUMA DOES NOT REPRESS MEMORIES THIS WAY AND THAT MOST PEOPLE WILL INDICATE ANXIETY OR RELUCTANCE TO TALK ABOUT SOMETHING WHEN THEY WERE STILL STRUGGLING TO PROCESS IT, NOT DETAIL AN INCIDENT CALMLY WITH LITTLE REASON FOR UPSET AND THEN LATER ADD ELABORATE DETAILS THAT SOUND MUCH WORSE.

JENNIFER WAS VERY UPSET AT THESE ALLEGATIONS, EXPRESSING SHE IS VERY CONCERNED FOR BOTH GIRLS AND WHAT [PARENT/GU] AND [PARENT/GU] MAY BE DOING TO THEM, USING THE WORD "BRAINWASHED". SHE SOUNDED TEARFUL AND I TOLD HER I WAS NOT TRYING TO UPSET HER AND THAT THIS INFORMATION WHILE DOCUMENTED WAS NOT SOMETHING I LIKELY COULD PROVE (AS THERE WERE NO OBJECTIVE PARTIES NAMED AS WITNESSES, NO DOCUMENTATION OF ANY BAR SHOOTINGS DURING THE LISTED TIME FRAME, NO DESCRIPTION OF [OTH] AND [OTHER] TO IDENTIFY THEM, AND NO POSSIBILITY OF TOXICOLOGY REGARDING THE ALLEGATION OF DRUGS IN THE GIRLS' SMOOTHIES). JENNIFER TOLD ME HER HEART WAS HURTING AND SHE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO DO. I ASKED HER WHAT LED UP TO HER NOW BEING IN NORTHERN ARIZONA AND JENNIFER TOLD ME SHE HAD TO SELL EVERYTHING SHE HAD SO SHE COULD ATTEND COURT IN ALASKA. SHE IS NOW STAYING WITH HER FATHER FOR FINANCIAL SUPPORT.

I TOLD HER THE GIRLS WERE ALSO ALLEGING THAT SHE WENT TO "THE BAD PART OF PHOENIX" TO BUY DRUGS TO PUT IN THEIR SMOOTHIES AND THEN THEY WERE FORCED TO DRINK THEM. I FURTHER EXPLAINED THAT THEY ALSO CLAIMED THEY WERE MADE TO SLEEP IN THE SAME BED AS [OTH] AND [OTHER] BUT DENIED BEING TOUCHED (IN A SEXUAL MANNER) AND DID NOT DESCRIBE EITHER MALE OTHER THAN TO SAY ONE WAS A [RACE] MALE AND ONE WAS A [RACE] MALE AND THAT THEY WERE "ADULTS" (THOUGH IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT [JUVENILE VI]

GLENDAL POLICE DEPARTMENT

REPORT NO. 122014202

Incident (Public Disclosure)

SPECIFICALLY TOLD HER THERAPIST THE "BOY" SHE HAD TO LIE IN A BED WITH WAS "16").

I TOLD JENNIFER THAT THESE WERE QUITE SERIOUS ALLEGATIONS AND NOTHING CLOSE WAS SAID IN THEIR FIRST INTERVIEWS. JENNIFER COMMENTED IT WAS BECAUSE THEIR TIME WILL SOON BE UP (RESTRAINING ORDER WILL BE QUASHED). SHE ALSO SAID THAT WHILE IN COURT A THERAPIST WAS THERE AND SHE TESTIFIED THAT [JUVENILE VI] SAID SHE WAS AFRAID FOR HER LIFE BECAUSE JENNIFER SAID SHE WOULD FLY THERE AND KILL HER WHOLE ENTIRE FAMILY. JENNIFER COMMENTED IT WAS ODD THAT [JUVENILE VI] SAID THIS AS (IN HER MIND) IT WAS LITERALLY THE ONLY THING THAT WOULD MAKE THE DOMESTIC ORDER (ORDER OF PROTECTION) STICK. JENNIFER COULD NOT AFFORD A LAWYER FOR THIS HEARING AND HAD TO REPRESENT HERSELF. SHE FEELS THIS IS ALSO WHY THE ORDER WAS GRANTED.

WHEN ASKED IF SHE EVER HIT [JUVENILE VI] WITH ANYTHING JENNIFER SAID SHE DID NOT TYPICALLY PHYSICALLY DISCIPLINE AND WOULD NEVER HAVE STRUCK (SPANKED) [JUVENILE VI]. SHE DENIED HITTING [JUVENILE VI] WITH A FRYING PAN. SHE DENIED OWNING A GUN OR HAVING A GUN.

I ASKED AGAIN ABOUT CONTACT NUMBERS FOR [OTHER], [OTHER] AND [OTHER]. SHE EXPRESSED SHE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THEY WOULD SAY AS SHE NO LONGER WAS FRIENDS WITH ANY OF THEM. I TOLD HER I UNDERSTOOD THAT AND ANY INFORMATION WOULD NOT BE PROOF POSITIVE, ASKING IF [OTHER] WAS PRESENT WHENEVER [OTHER] WAS. JENNIFER INDICATED SHE OFTEN TOOK CARE OF [OTHER]. I TOLD HER AT 7 YEARS OLD; HE WOULD MOST LIKELY GIVE THE MOST TRUTHFUL ANSWERS IF QUESTIONED. SHE PROVIDED THREE NUMBERS FOR [OTHER] BUT DOES NOT KNOW WHERE HE LIVES. SHE SUGGESTED HE MIGHT LIVE WITH [OTHER] OR HER BROTHER ([OTHER]'S BEST FRIEND) [OTHER]. LAST SHE WAS AWARE THEY LIVED IN A TRAILER SOMEWHERE CLOSE TO HER (PREVIOUSLY RENTED) HOUSE. SHE DID NOT HAVE A PHONE NUMBER FOR [OTHER] OR [OTHER] BUT GAVE ME A PHONE NUMBER FOR [OTHER]. I ASKED JENNIFER IF SHE HAD ANY QUESTIONS FOR ME AND SHE DIDN'T. I COMMENTED THAT I FOUND IT CURIOUS THAT SHE THOUGHT [OTHER] WAS HELPING [PARENT/GU...]. AND NEITHER [PARENT/GU.] NOR [PARENT/GU.] MADE ANY MENTION IN THE CURRENT REPORT THAT [OTHER] WAS A POSSIBLE LEAD OR WITNESS THAT WAS WILLING TO SPEAK TO POLICE. JENNIFER SAID SHE HAD MESSAGES FROM [OTHER] AND [PARENT/GU.] BUT IT WAS A "VAGUE" COMMUNICATION AND [OTHER] HAS BEEN TALKING TO [PARENT/GU.] FOR A WHILE AND SHE FELT THEY WERE ALL TRYING TO "BLINDSIDE" HER. SHE COULD NOT ARTICULATE WHY SHE FELT THIS WAY, TELLING ME SHE DIDN'T KNOW BUT HOPED I COULD FIND OUT. SHE GAVE ME A NUMBER FOR [OTHER], TELLING ME SHE HAD NOT SEEN HER OR SPOKEN WITH HER SINCE BEFORE CHRISTMAS (2021). I THANKED JENNIFER FOR SPEAKING WITH ME AND TOLD HER THE INFORMATION I GATHERED WOULD BE DOCUMENTED IN THE REPORT. I ASKED HER THAT IF I DID REACH [OTHER] OR [OTHER] AND HAD QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT THEY TOLD ME WHETHER IT WOULD BE ALL RIGHT TO CALL HER AGAIN AND SHE AGREED.

I LEFT MESSAGES FOR BOTH [OTHER] AND [OTHER] ON 5/10/2022 AFTER SPEAKING WITH JENNIFER.

JENNIFER CALLED BACK LATE AFTERNOON ON 5/10/2022 AND LEFT ME A MESSAGE, INDICATING SHE HAD A QUESTION. I CALLED HER BACK SAME DAY. SHE WANTED TO KNOW IF I REACHED [OTHER] OR [OTHER] AND I LET HER KNOW I LEFT MESSAGES. JENNIFER LET ME KNOW SHE WAS VERY CONCERNED ABOUT [JUVENILE VI] AND THE ALLEGATIONS BEING MADE. A FRIEND RECOMMENDED SHE CALL POLICE IN ALASKA FOR A WELFARE CHECK BUT SHE DIDN'T WANT TO CAUSE TROUBLE. SHE QUESTIONED HOW [JUVENILE VI] COULD KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT "ORAL SEX" AND COMMENTED THAT [PARENT/GU.] HAS HAD A CHILD TAKEN FROM HER BECAUSE OF ABUSE.

I TOLD JENNIFER THAT I COULD NOT GIVE HER LEGAL COUNSEL BUT AS A PARENT I UNDERSTOOD HOW SHE WAS

GLENDAL POLICE DEPARTMENT

REPORT NO. 122014202

Incident (Public Disclosure)

FEELING AND THAT AS A PARENT SHE WAS WELL WITHIN HER RIGHTS TO CALL THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND CHILD WELFARE SERVICES IN ALASKA AND EXPRESS HER CONCERNS.

JENNIFER LET ME KNOW SHE SENT WHAT SHE HAD TO MY WORK CELL (COPIES OF TEXTS BETWEEN THE GIRLS AND PARENT/GUA. AND A CONVERSATION SHE (JENNIFER) HAD WITH PARENT/GU. SHE THANKED ME FOR SPEAKING WITH HER AND LOOKING AT THE INFORMATION SHE HAD. SHE TOLD ME HER STEPMOTHER SUGGESTED SHE OFFER TO TAKE A LIE DETECTOR TEST AND SHE WANTED ME TO KNOW SHE WAS WILLING. (IT IS UNLIKELY I WILL REQUEST THIS AS GLENDAL PD DOES NOT HAVE A POLYGRAPH EXAMINER AND THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO SUGGEST THESE ALLEGED CRIMES OCCURRED TO JUSTIFY THE EXPENSE OF REQUESTING AN OUTSIDE AGENCY CONDUCT THIS TEST-THOUGH JENNIFER IS WELCOME TO INCUR THE COST OF THIS TEST ON HER OWN FOR THE SAKE OF FAMILY COURT).

JENNIFER ALSO TOLD ME SHE HAD CAMERAS IN HER HOME DURING THE TIME THE GIRLS VISITED AND SHE SUSPECTED ANY RECORDINGS WOULD STILL BE IN THE "CLOUD". SHE HAD A "RING" CAMERA IN THE KITCHEN, LIVING ROOM, OUTSIDE AND AT THE TOP OF THE STAIRS. THEY WERE MOTION SENSORED. SHE TOLD ME SHE CAN'T GET INTO HER SYSTEM TO SEE IF ANYTHING IS THERE BECAUSE SHE FORGOT HER PASSWORD. SHE ALSO EXPLAINED SHE HAS CHANGED THE EMAIL ADDRESS ATTRIBUTED TO THE SYSTEM SEVERAL TIMES BECAUSE SHE HAD PREVIOUS CONCERNS ABOUT HER SYSTEM BEING "HACKED", EXPLAINING HER EX-GIRLFRIEND STOLE HER PHONE.

JENNIFER TALKED ABOUT A TIME SHE WAS IN FRONT OF HER FRONT DOOR AND CHECKED HER RING CAMERA AND IT DID NOT SHOW HER (ON VIDEO) EVEN THOUGH SHE WAS RIGHT IN FRONT OF THE CAMERA. THIS IS WHY SHE FELT SOMEONE MIGHT BE CONTROLLING IT REMOTELY. I POINTED OUT THAT IF THAT WAS POSSIBLE AND SOMEONE WAS, THEY MIGHT BE ABLE TO DELETE FOOTAGE, BUT I DID NOT SEE HOW THEY COULD INSERT FOOTAGE (TO ATTEMPT TO IMPLICATE HER). SHE AGREED, TELLING ME SHE WAS JUST BRINGING IT UP BECAUSE SHE WAS EXPLAINING WHY SHE NO LONGER HAD A PASSWORD TO GET IN.

JENNIFER HAS SINCE GOTTEN A NEW PHONE. SHE WANTED ME TO KNOW SHE WAS NOT ACCUSING ANYONE SPECIFICALLY OF "HACKING" INTO HER SYSTEM AND DIDN'T KNOW WHY SOMEONE WOULD GO TO THAT EXTENT. SHE SAID SHE LITERALLY HAS HAD 20 APPLE ID'S DUE TO THE ISSUES SHE HAS HAD WITH HER TECHNOLOGY. SHE TOLD ME THAT IN THE PAST SHE WENT TO AN APPLE STORE WHO TOLD HER SOMEONE PUT A "MASK" ON HER PHONE (LIKE A PARENT GUARD) AND REPLACED IT FOR HER.

JENNIFER TOLD ME ABOUT SOME OTHER ISSUES SHE HAS EXPERIENCED, POSSIBLY RELATED OR NOT RELATED TO WHAT SHE IS CURRENTLY EXPERIENCING REGARDING JUVENILE VI. BEFORE I EXPLAINED TO JENNIFER THAT IF THERE WAS ANYTHING RECORDED ON HER RING CAMERA IT WOULD NOT BE SAVED AFTER THIS AMOUNT OF TIME AS MOST PROVIDERS KEEP DATA ONLY UP TO 90 DAYS UNLESS A PRESERVATION REQUEST IS MADE. I ALSO TOLD HER THAT AS OF THIS DATE I DID NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE SHE COMMITTED ANY CRIME. I TOLD HER I COULD GIVE HER JUVENILE VI'S THERAPIST'S NAME AND SHE COULD REQUEST HER RECORDS AS SHE SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO ACCESS THEM AS HER MOTHER AND SHE SAID SHE HAS ALREADY REACHED OUT TO HER. SHE REPEATED HER CONCERNS ABOUT THE GIRLS AND QUESTIONED HOW JUVENILE VI COULD KNOW ABOUT "ORAL SEX". I EXPLAINED JUVENILE VI DID NOT USE THAT TERM, BUT THAT BOTH SHE AND JUVENILE VI TALKED ABOUT BEING FORCED TO WATCH HER "SUCK" ON A MAN'S PENIS. I FURTHER EXPLAINED THAT I HAVE HAD CASES WITH MANY CHILDREN OF JUVENILE VI. AND JUVENILE VI'S AGE WHO KNOW MANY THINGS ABOUT THE PHYSICAL ASPECTS OF SEX BECAUSE THEY HAVE ACCESS TO THE INTERNET AND THERE WAS NO WAY TO DISPROVE JUVENILE VI AND JUVENILE VI HADN'T SEEN SOMETHING ON THE INTERNET.

TOWARDS THE END OF OUR CONVERSATION JENNIFER COMMENTED THAT SOME OF THE MESSAGES SHE SAW BETWEEN [PARENT/GUA] AND [JUVENILE VI] FELT LIKE [PARENT/GUA] WAS "BULLYING" HER. (I DID NOT GET AN ELABORATION ON WHAT WAS IN THE MESSAGES) SHE CALLED [PARENT/GUA] FROM [JUVENILE VI]'S PHONE AND [PARENT/GUA] ANSWERED (IN A RUDE TONE) "WHAT DO YOU WANT [JUVENILE VI]?" SO, JENNIFER TOLD [PARENT/GUA] TO NEVER TALK TO [JUVENILE VI] LIKE THAT, SAYING "HOW DARE YOU" AND HANGING UP ON HER. JENNIFER WENT ON TO SAY [PARENT/GUA] HAD [JUVENILE VI] SEND HER "INAPPROPRIATE PICTURES" AND THAT WHEN SHE WENT THROUGH [JUVENILE VI]'S PHONE SHE FOUND DELETED PICTURES THAT SHE (JENNIFER) COULD NOT TAKE (SCREEN SHOTS) OF BECAUSE SHE DID NOT WANT TO BE "ACCUSED OF ANYTHING". SHE TOLD ME SHE TALKED TO [PARENT/GUA] ABOUT IT, ASKING HIM WHY [JUVENILE VI] WOULD TAKE PICTURES LIKE THAT. JENNIFER DID NOT EXPLAIN WHAT THE PHOTOS WERE AND I DID NOT GET TO HAVE HER ELABORATE AT THAT TIME BECAUSE I HAD ANOTHER APPOINTMENT TO GET TO. I TOLD HER THIS AND THAT I WOULD CALL HER BACK THE NEXT DAY.

I CALLED JENNIFER THE NEXT DAY AND LEFT HER A VOICE MAIL. IN THE VOICE MAIL I LET HER KNOW I WANTED TO CLARIFY HER STATEMENTS ABOUT PICTURES FROM THE GIRLS' PHONES AND THAT I RECEIVED 8 SCREENSHOTS FROM HER BUT WAS UNABLE TO OPEN THE LINK SHE SENT AND SUGGESTED SHE SEND IT VIA EMAIL. I ASKED HER TO DO THAT AND CALL ME BACK AT HER CONVENIENCE. OF THE 8 SCREENSHOTS, ONLY ONE APPEARED TO BE OF POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANCE TO THIS CASE AND IT WAS A TEXT FROM [PARENT/GUA] TO [JUVENILE VI] THAT SAID, "YOU GUYS BOTH JUST NEED TO BE VERY CAREFUL WHAT YOU SAY AS JENNIFER IS HIS DAUGHTER AND WE DON'T WANT EITHER OF THEM KNOWING OUR PLAN". PRIOR TO THIS PARTICULAR TEXT [JUVENILE VI] HAD INFORMED [PARENT/GUA] THAT THEY WERE SPENDING A FEW DAYS AT "[OTHER VI]" (JENNIFER'S FATHER) HOUSE SO [PARENT/GUA] WAS REFERRING TO [OTHER VI]. THERE IS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT THEIR "PLAN" WAS BUT IT COULD BE ARGUED THAT IT RELATED TO THE GIRLS BEING TOLD TO MALIGN JENNIFER AND HER CARE OF THEM.

I CALLED [OTHER VI]'S NUMBER AND LEFT ANOTHER VOICE MAIL MESSAGE. I TRIED [OTHER VI]'S NUMBER AGAIN AND REACHED HIM. OUR SHORT CONVERSATION WAS RECORDED AND THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY.

[OTHER VI] TOLD ME HE AND JENNIFER WERE NO LONGER FRIENDS BUT SOUNDED RELUCTANT TO DISCUSS WHY AND I TOLD HIM HE DID NOT HAVE TO GO INTO DETAIL BUT I DID HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS IF HE WAS WILLING TO SPEAK WITH ME. HE AGREED AND I ASKED HIM ABOUT THE TIME [JUVENILE VI] AND [JUVENILE VI] WERE VISITING LAST SUMMER. HE DENIED HE VIEWED OR WAS AWARE OF ANYTHING CRIMINAL THAT OCCURRED OR ANYTHING HE FOUND CONCERNING. HE TOLD ME JENNIFER DOES NOT USE DRUGS OR DRINK ALCOHOL. SHE DOES NOT OWN A GUN. HE ASKED ME WHY I WAS ASKING THESE QUESTIONS AND I TOLD HIM [JUVENILE VI]'S FATHER MADE A REPORT AND IT WAS POSSIBLE THIS WAS A SITUATION INVOLVING CUSTODY. HE INDICATED HE UNDERSTOOD AND HE VOLUNTEERED THAT HE OWNS A GUN AND JENNIFER WAS AWARE OF IT BUT SHE NEVER HAD ACCESS TO IT OR USED IT. HE DID NOT INDICATE IN ANY WAY THAT HE HAD INVOLVEMENT WITH [PARENT/GUA]. I THANKED HIM FOR SPEAKING WITH ME AND WE ENDED THE CONVERSATION. TO DATE (5/12/2022) [OTHER VI] (UNKNOWN IF THE NUMBER FOR HER IS CORRECT) HAS NOT RETURNED MY CALL.

ON 5/12/2022 I RECEIVED THREE EMAILS FROM JENNIFER (SHE HAS NOT YET RETURNED MY CALL REQUESTING CLARIFICATION OF WHAT PICTURES [JUVENILE VI] DELETED OR [JUVENILE VI] SENT). ONE EMAIL WAS AN AUDIO RECORDING OF HER SPEAKING WITH [PARENT/GUA] ABOUT CHANGING [JUVENILE VI]'S PLANE TICKET AND THAT SHE WANTED TO KEEP HER FOR A FEW MORE WEEKS. [PARENT/GUA] INDICATED HE WAS FINE WITH THIS AND JUST ASKED THAT SHE LET HIM KNOW WHICH FLIGHT SHE WOULD BE RETURNING ON.

ANOTHER EMAIL INCLUDED PHOTOS OF [JUVENILE VI] AND [JUVENILE VI] THAT JENNIFER TOOK OF THEM IN THE POOL AS

"MERMAIDS", ONE OF THEM AS "FAIRIES", AND SOME OF JUST THE GIRLS TOGETHER IN REGULAR CLOTHES OR OF [REDACTED] AND JENNIFER AND ONE OF BOTH GIRLS AND JENNIFER. THE GIRLS APPEARED HAPPY IN THE PHOTOS. NONE WERE INAPPROPRIATE. IN THIS EMAIL THERE WERE ALSO SCREEN SHOTS OF TEXT MESSAGES

BETWEEN [REDACTED] AND ONE OF THE GIRLS. IN ONE THERE ARE TWO TEXTS FROM [REDACTED] OF CONCERN, "DID JENNIFER HEAR YOU ON THE PHONE" AND "IF COPS SHOW UP YOU GUYS DO NOT TELL THEM ANYTHING UNTIL YOU GUYS ARE ON THE PHONE WITH US YOU DO NOT TELL THEM ANYTHING JENNIFER TELLS YOU TOO DO YOU UNDERSTAND ME". IN ANOTHER [REDACTED] TELLS THEM, "OK NOW DELETE THESE MESSAGES".

IN THE THIRD EMAIL IS A 30 MINUTE RECORDED CONVERSATION BETWEEN JENNIFER AND [REDACTED]. JENNIFER TELLS [REDACTED] SHE WANTS TO DISCUSS ALL THE THINGS GOING ON BETWEEN [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] AND THE "TEXT MESSAGES". SHE TOLD HIM SHE TALKED TO THE GIRLS ASKING WHY SOME THINGS WERE BEING SAID AND WHY [REDACTED] THOUGHT THIS WAS OKAY AND TELLING THE GIRLS TO THEN DELETE MESSAGES. JENNIFER ASKED [REDACTED] IF HE WAS AWARE OF THIS COMMUNICATION BETWEEN [REDACTED] AND THE GIRLS AND THAT SHE FELT [REDACTED] WAS BEING ABUSED. [REDACTED] DENIED "DOING ANYTHING", TELLING JENNIFER HE WAS JUST "RAISING CHILDREN AND WORKING".

JENNIFER ASKED WHETHER HE KNEW WHAT [REDACTED] WAS DOING AND HE QUESTIONED WHAT SHE MEANT. SHE TOLD HIM [REDACTED] TOLD [REDACTED] THAT SHE (JENNIFER) DID NOT BELIEVE IN GOD AND THAT SHE ([REDACTED]) DID NOT HAVE TO LISTEN TO JENNIFER. SHE ALSO SAID AT LEAST FIVE TIMES, [REDACTED] HAD TEXTED [REDACTED] TO DELETE MESSAGES. JENNIFER COMMENTED THE GIRLS TOLD [REDACTED] OF AN ARGUMENT BETWEEN HER AND [REDACTED] BUT THEY WERE NOT ABLE TO VIDEO IT. JENNIFER TOLD [REDACTED] THE GIRLS NEVER HEARD HER AND [REDACTED] ARGUE AND THEY ONLY REASON THEY KNEW THAT SHE AND [REDACTED] HAD AN ARGUMENT WAS BECAUSE THEY OVERHEARD A FRIEND THAT WAS OVER TALK ABOUT IT.

JENNIFER TOLD [REDACTED] THERE WAS A MESSAGE FROM [REDACTED] TO NOT LET HER DAD ([REDACTED]) KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T WANT ANYONE TO KNOW THEIR "PLAN". [REDACTED] JUST SAID, "HMMM". JENNIFER TOLD HIM [REDACTED] HAD TOLD [REDACTED] JENNIFER HAD ABANDONED HER, DIDN'T LOVE HER AND DIDN'T WANT [REDACTED] IN HER LIFE. SHE EXPRESSED SHE FELT THAT WAS WHY [REDACTED] DIDN'T LIKE HER AND SHE DIDN'T KNOW IF [REDACTED] KNEW THAT. HE DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING. SHE TOLD HIM SHE WAS NOT COMFORTABLE SENDING [REDACTED] BACK BECAUSE OF THIS AND SHE DIDN'T WANT [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED]'S LIFE. SHE CONTINUED TO TALK, TELLING HIM THEY HAD A PARENTING AGREEMENT AND HE HAD MADE IT A POINT THAT THEIR CHILD SHOULD CALL NO ONE ELSE "DAD" OR "MOM", YET HE WAS HAVING [REDACTED] CALL [REDACTED] "MOM". SHE ASKED HIM WHAT HE WAS DOING ABOUT THIS AND HE SAID HE WOULD HANDLE IT ON HIS END AND PAY MORE ATTENTION. HE ALSO SAID, "AS FAR AS THE MOM THING, I HAVE REPEATEDLY TOLD HER AND SO HAS [REDACTED] JENNIFER CUT HIM OFF AND TOLD HIM NO HE DID NOT AND NEITHER HAS [REDACTED] AS SHE (JENNIFER) HAS SEEN MESSAGES AND SEEN VIDEOS BETWEEN [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] IN WHICH [REDACTED] HAS SAID, "MOM (MEANING HERSELF) MISSES YOU TOO" AND [REDACTED] HAS BEEN NEXT TO HER WHEN [REDACTED] HAS SAID THIS.

SHE TOLD HIM TO STOP THE BULLSHIT AND [REDACTED] SAID, "WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?" JENNIFER REPEATED WHAT SHE HAD JUST TOLD HIM AND SAID AGAIN THAT SHE DID NOT WANT [REDACTED] IN [REDACTED]'S LIFE RIGHT NOW BECAUSE SHE WAS LYING TO [REDACTED] AND (MALIGNING) JENNIFER. JENNIFER SAID [REDACTED] APPEARED TO BE EASILY MANIPULATED (BY [REDACTED]) AND WHEN SHE ASKED [REDACTED] IF SHE (JENNIFER) HAD BEEN BAD OR MEAN TO HER, [REDACTED] SAID NO. JENNIFER ASKED [REDACTED] ABOUT THIS "PLAN" TO TAKE [REDACTED] AWAY FROM HER. HE INDICATED HE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT AND SHE TOLD HIM SHE HAD CAMERAS IN HER HOUSE AND NOTHING (NEGATIVE) HAD BEEN RECORDED BECAUSE ALL SHE WAS, WAS GOOD TO THE GIRLS.

SHE SAID THE ONLY TIME ANYONE SAID ANYTHING ABOUT NOT WANTING TO BE THERE (WITH JENNIFER) WAS WHEN SHE SCOLDED [REDACTED] FOR SPEAKING RUDELY TO [REDACTED] WHICH MADE [REDACTED] CRY. SHE REPEATED SHE DID NOT WANT TO SEND [REDACTED] BACK (TO ALASKA) BECAUSE SHE FELT THIS WAS ABUSE AND HER LAWYER TOLD HER

GLENDAL POLICE DEPARTMENT

REPORT NO. 122014202

Incident (Public Disclosure)

SHE WAS WITHIN HER LEGAL RIGHTS. PARENT/GU... TOLD HER THAT THIS (HIS HOME) WAS AN EXTREMELY SAFE PLACE TO BE AND JENNIFER DISAGREED BECAUSE JUVENILE VI... WAS BEING LIED TO ABOUT HER. PARENT/GU... DENIED THIS.

JENNIFER TOLD PARENT/GU... SHE NEEDED THIS TO CHANGE, SHE DIDN'T TRUST PARENT/GUA... AND SHE HAD ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO KEEP JUVENILE VI... PARENT/GU... ASKED JENNIFER IF SHE WAS THREATENING TO TAKE HIM TO COURT AND SHE TOLD HIM SHE WAS NOT TRYING TO THREATEN HIM, SHE WAS JUST TRYING TO DISCUSS WHAT STEPS THEY NEEDED TO TAKE. SHE TOLD HIM WHAT PARENT/GUA... WAS DOING WAS NOT OKAY AND IT APPEARED HE WAS GOING ALONG WITH IT WHICH WORRIED HER.

SHE TOLD HIM THEY WERE ADULTS AND SHE WANTED TO DO THIS CORRECTLY. SHE REPEATED SHE DID NOT WANT TO SEND JUVENILE VI... HOME AT THAT TIME (DUE TO HER CONCERNS). SHE REPEATED THAT SHE FELT JUVENILE VI... WAS BEING ABUSED BY PARENT/GUA...'S BEHAVIOR AND SHE DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE HER AWAY FROM PARENT/GU... BECAUSE JUVENILE VI... LOVED HIM BUT SHE WAS NOT GOING TO ALLOW ANOTHER WOMAN TELL JUVENILE VI... THAT SHE (JENNIFER) DIDN'T LOVE HER. PARENT/GU... TOLD JENNIFER HE HAD FULL ACCESS TO JUVENILE VI...'S PHONE AND NOT TO WORRY BECAUSE HE WOULD LOOK AT IT. HE ASKED HER TO CLARIFY IF SHE WAS SENDING JUVENILE VI... BACK TO HIM OR NOT AND JENNIFER SAID SHE DID NOT WANT PARENT/GUA... HOME-SCHOOLING JUVENILE VI... AND THAT SHE WANTED JUVENILE VI... TO ATTEND PUBLIC SCHOOL.

JENNIFER ALSO TOLD PARENT/GU... SHE DID NOT WANT JUVENILE VI... CALLING PARENT/GUA... "MOM" EVER AGAIN OR THEY WOULD GO BACK TO COURT. SHE POINTED OUT THAT SHE (JENNIFER) HAD NEVER BAD MOUTHED ANYONE IN HIS FAMILY. SHE TOLD HIM IN OCTOBER (OF 2020) SHE FACE TIMED WITH JUVENILE VI... FOR OVER AN HOUR AND EVERYTHING WAS GREAT BETWEEN THEM AND THE NEXT TIME JUVENILE VI... WAS UPSET WITH HER, TELLING JENNIFER THAT SHE HAD ABANDONED HER AND THAT PARENT/GUA... TOLD HER THIS. JUVENILE VI... TOLD JENNIFER, "YOU DON'T LOVE ME". SHE ASKED PARENT/GU... IF HE AGREED WITH HER THAT THIS WAS NOT OKAY AND HE SAID, "WELL OF COURSE I DO". HE SAID HE WOULD HANDLE IT ON HIS END AND JENNIFER TOLD HIM SHE NEEDED TO KNOW WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO. HE REPEATED HE WOULD GO THROUGH JUVENILE VI...'S PHONE AND TAKE CARE OF "THIS PART" HIMSELF AND HE WOULD BE "MORE MINDFUL" OF EVERYTHING. HE TOLD JENNIFER HE AGREED THAT "BRAINWASHING" OR WHATEVER SHE WANTED TO CALL IT WAS NOT OKAY. JENNIFER ASKED WHETHER HE HAD TALKED WITH PARENT/GUA... (YET) ABOUT THIS AND PARENT/GU... SAID, "WELL, I WILL TONIGHT".

JENNIFER POINTED OUT THAT THEY HAD ALREADY TALKED ABOUT THIS AND (IF HE HAD NOT YET TALKED WITH PARENT/GUA...) THEN HE MUST HAVE JUST "DISCARDED" (THEIR AGREEMENT. HE DISPUTED THIS, TELLING JENNIFER SHE SAID SHE WAS GOING TO SEND HIM SOME PHOTOS AND SHE NEVER DID. JENNIFER SAID THERE MUST BE SOMETHING WRONG WITH HER PHONE AND SHE WOULD SEND THEM AGAIN.

THEY BOTH WERE QUIET AS SHE APPARENTLY FORWARDED PHOTOS OR MESSAGES THEN JENNIFER SAID JUVENILE VI... HAS PICTURES ON HER PHONE THAT SHE TOOK OF HER "PRIVATE PARTS". JENNIFER COMMENTED SHE DID NOT KNOW WHY JUVENILE VI... WAS DOING THAT BUT SHE DIDN'T FEEL SHE WAS THE RIGHT PERSON TO TALK TO HER ABOUT IT AS SHE WAS NOT HER MOTHER. JENNIFER INDICATED PARENT/GUA... AND PARENT/GU... SHOULD TALK TO HER ABOUT WHY SHE DID THAT. JENNIFER TOLD PARENT/GU... THAT JUVENILE VI... WAS BULLYING JUVENILE VI... AND HAS BEEN TELLING HER IF SHE DOES NOT DO WHAT JUVENILE VI... WANTS THEN JUVENILE VI... WOULD TELL PARENT/GUA... ON HER. JENNIFER EXPRESSED THAT JUVENILE VI... CAN ALSO BE A SUPER SWEET LITTLE GIRL AND JENNIFER FELT SHE WAS JUST A PRODUCT OF HER ENVIRONMENT AND WAS WORRIED ABOUT HER.

JENNIFER APPEALED TO PARENT/GU, TELLING HIM SHE DIDN'T THINK PARENT/GUA WAS A BAD PERSON BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T THINK HE WOULD HAVE MARRIED HER IF SHE WAS BUT SHE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY HE WAS ALLOWING THIS (KIND OF BEHAVIOR). PARENT/GU SAID, "I WILL GET ON IT". JENNIFER REPEATED SHE WAS NOT GOING TO IMMEDIATELY SEND JUVENILE VI... HOME AND PARENT/GU ASKED, "UNTIL WHEN" AND JENNIFER TOLD HIM UNTIL THE END OF THE SUMMER. HE INDICATED HE WAS OKAY WITH THAT AND JENNIFER TOLD HIM SHE WAS IN A GOOD PLACE, WITH A JOB AND HOUSE AND A GOOD MOTHER AND DID NOT WANT TO KEEP JUVENILE VI FROM HIM BUT SHE WOULD NOT ALLOW ANOTHER WOMAN TO DO WHAT PARENT/GUA WAS DOING. SHE ASKED HIM TO CALL HIS PARENTS AND TELL THEM THAT VIDEOS THEY WERE SENT WERE FAKE AND THAT SHE CONFRONTED THE GIRLS ABOUT IT AND THEY ADMITTED THEY LIED. PARENT/GU SAID, "OK". SHE ASKED IF HE HAD A PROBLEM WITH ANYTHING SHE SAID AND HE SAID, "NO, I TOTALLY AGREE". SHE ASKED HIM IF HE HAD A PART IN LYING TO OR MANIPULATING JUVENILE VI AND HE TOLD HER HE DID NOT. SHE REMINDED HIM THAT SHE DID NOT WANT PARENT/GUA HOME SCHOOLING JUVENILE VI AND HE THOUGH SHE WOULD BE OKAY WITH HIM TEACHING JUVENILE VI IN THAT ENVIRONMENT IF HE DID NOT WANT TO SEND HER TO PUBLIC SCHOOL. SHE COMMENTED THAT PARENT/GU SHOULD LOOK AT THE MESSAGING BETWEEN PARENT/GUA AND JUVENILE VI BECAUSE IT APPEARED PARENT/GUA WANTED TO BE JUVENILE VI'S FRIEND, NOT HER MOTHER, TELLING HIM THAT PARENT/GUA TELLS JUVENILE VI WHAT TO SAY, WHAT VIDEOS TO SEND AND TO DELETE THEIR MESSAGES (SO JENNIFER WOULD NOT SEE THEM). SHE REPEATED THAT JUVENILE VI TOOK A PICTURE OF HER "HOO HAW" AND SENT IT TO PARENT/GUA. PARENT/GU SAID HE WOULD LOOK INTO THAT TOO BEFORE THEY ENDED THEIR CONVERSATION.

JENNIFER ALSO SENT AN EMAIL THAT INCLUDED A VIDEO OF HER SHOWING ME A NOTE THAT APPEARS TO BE WRITTEN IN A CHILD'S HANDWRITING. ON ONE SIDE IT READS: TO MOM, FROM JUVENILE VI, IT IS DATED JULY 9, 2021, AND ON THE OTHER SIDE IT READS: "I'M SO...SORRY MOM".

A SECOND VIDEO IN THIS EMAIL SHOWS JUVENILE VI... RECORDING HERSELF, SAYING, "HI, THIS IS JUVENILE VI, I LOVE MY MOMMA SO MUCH." JUVENILE VI... LOOKS SEVERAL YEARS YOUNGER (THAN SHE IS NOW) IN THIS VIDEO. ALL OF THE VIDEOS AND RECORDINGS THAT JENNIFER SENT HAVE BEEN UPLOADED INTO EVIDENCE.COM.

ON 5/18/2022, JENNIFER PROVIDED A DIFFERENT NUMBER FOR OTHER OTHER AND EMAIL ADDRESSES. I LEFT A MESSAGE AT THIS NUMBER AND SENT AN EMAIL REQUESTING SHE CALL ME BACK AND THAT I JUST HAD A FEW QUESTIONS FOR HER REGARDING A CASE I WAS WORKING INVOLVING HER FRIEND JENNIFER CRISWELL.

AS OF 5/24/2022, I HAVE NOT BEEN CONTACTED BY OTHER OTHER. REGARDLESS, THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE JUVENILE VI AND JUVENILE VI'S ALLEGATIONS. THE TEXT FROM PARENT/GUA TO HER DAUGHTER INDICATING NOT TO TALK IN FRONT OF OTHER ABOUT "THEIR PLAN" COULD BE CONSTRUED THAT FALSE INFORMATION WAS REPORTED. PARENT/GUA WAS INFORMED ON 5/24/22 THAT THIS CASE, WHILE DOCUMENTED, DID NOT HAVE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO PROVE A CRIME OCCURRED AND WOULD BE CLOSED WITHOUT PROSECUTION. THIS CASE IS CLOSED INFORMATION ONLY.

EVIDENCE

Evidence Item #: EV2200008885		Reference:	Category: PROPERTY
Type: AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING - DVD	Brand:	Model:	Serial #:
Description:			