



UNITED FOR A STRONGER TOMORROW

WWW.OCGSRW.ORG

Meetings held monthly except July, August & December

June 2024

Volume: 1 Issue: 5

OCGSRW EVENTS CALENDAR

June 4th – GSRW Speaker Series presents an evening with Dr. John Eastman, a ZOOM meeting at 6:30pm. If you've never heard Dr. Eastman's story in his own words, it's highly recommended to attend. We wrote about his continual legal battles in the last newsletter. Register at [GSRW.ORG](https://gsrw.org).

June 14th – Flag Day: On June 14th, 1777, the Continental Congress approved the design of a national flag. In 1916, President Woodrow Wilson issued a presidential proclamation establishing June 14th as a national Flag Day. Fly your flags proudly!

June 19th – 10:30am – 1:00pm OCGSRW luncheon meeting, Marbella Country Club, 30800 Golf Club Drive, SJC. Enjoy delicious food, great friendships and amazing speakers! For reservations, please look for a Signup Genius email from OCGSRW Signup Genius in early June. You will respond to the email with your name and number in your party. Payment will still be made when you arrive for the meeting. Please check in early as we begin promptly at 11:00am.

- 10:30am – Check in/chat with friends
- 11:00am – Meeting begins/club business
- 11:30am – Lunch is served
- 12:00pm – Our guest speaker is our very own Dr. Nancy Jimeno

June 20th – First day of summer!

June 21st – OC GOP 24th Annual Flag Day Salute featuring Governor of Virginia, Glenn Youngkin at the Westin Anaheim Resort. For tickets and information, visit ocgop.org/flagday.

July 18th – OCGSRW Summer Social from 5:00pm – 7:00pm at the Inn at the Mission, San Juan Capistrano. See [page 5](#) for more details!

For more GSRW events and information, please visit gsrw.org.



NEXT LUNCHEON MEETING: JUNE 19TH

We are excited to have one of our very own members, 1st Vice President Dr. Nancy Jimeno, as our featured speaker!



"Who is in charge in the largest higher Education System in America?"

Many Americans have lost faith in our institutions of higher learning. Let's discuss these questions and examine the state of higher education in America particularly at California's community colleges and state universities.

Former Senator and current President of the University of Florida Ben Sasse put it best: "We're not perfect [at University of Florida], but the adults are still in charge." (Senator Sasse makes sense of course, but he is one of a rare breed, conservatives in higher education).

Who is in charge of the largest higher education system in America, the California State University and University of California system? And perhaps an even more important question Sasse raises, "Why the hell should anybody spend the money to send their kid to college?" (The Wall Street Journal, May 3, 2024).

Dr. Nancy Jimeno shares her perspective and experience of teaching as an adjunct professor of political science in the California State University system for twenty years, all of them as a conservative.

Nancy Jimeno is a fifth generation Californian, raised in Orange County. She has been interested in politics since her first foray into campaigning at the age of ten, working with her parents and paternal grandparents on the GOP's 1964 effort to elect Barry Goldwater. The bitter loss gave impetus to her determination to become involved in championing Republican candidates for office and the ideals and policy preferences of the Republican Party.

In 2004, Nancy became an adjunct professor of political science at California State University Fullerton (CSUF). During her time at CSUF, Nancy served for six years on the Orange County Transportation Agency's Environmental Oversight Committee, as the faculty advisor for CSUF Republican Club (touted as the best party on campus!), on the Academic Senate Committee for Sustainability and as faculty advisor for the Neuman Club (for Catholic students).

A generous grant of \$250,000 from CalTrans gave Nancy the opportunity to be the project manager for the agency's 'Walk Riverside' walkability study between 2012 and 2014, while teaching at CSUF. The two-year endeavor was undertaken in partnership with the Riverside County Transportation Commission, the City of Riverside, the County of Riverside Department of Public Health and UC Riverside, under the guidance of UC Riverside's Professor Juliann Allison.

Nancy holds a M.A. and a Ph.D. in political science from UC Riverside, and graduated Summa Cum Laude from CSU Fullerton with a bachelor's degree, also in political science.

Newly retired, Nancy lives in Coto de Caza with her husband Mark, two Cavalier King Charles Spaniels, two orange cats and a parakeet named Steve. Her daughter and granddaughter live in Rancho Santa Margarita.

Next Luncheon Meeting



Date: Wednesday, June 19th, 2024

Time: Check in 10:30am | Meeting Starts at 11:00am

Price: \$38/per person

Reservations:

Must make reservations by Wednesday, June 12th

Please make reservations via the OCGSRW Signup Genius email that will be sent to you in early June. Simply follow the instructions in the email to make your reservations. Payment will be made when you arrive at the meeting.

Location:

Marbella Country Club
30800 Golf Club Drive
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Editor and Contributor: Joan Garnett
To submit newsletter articles, please send to
jpowgar@outlook.com

DISCLAIMER: No information transmitted through this newsletter is to be considered a position or endorsement. It is for information only.

COMING TOGETHER | WORKING TOGETHER

President's Message

The Erosion of Local Government

For years Sacramento leaders have consistently courted ideas of how to disassemble the structure of local government. Few are aware that their vision involves turning all towns in California into dense urban clusters. Our recent guest speaker, Yorba Linda Councilmember Peggy Huang, carefully outlined the egregious efforts by state officials to control our communities.

She spoke about the effort to stop this government overreach through an initiative sponsored by *Our Neighborhood Voices* (ourneighborhoodvoices.com) started by the late Mayor of Redondo Beach, Bill Brandt. The initiative is intended to give the people a controlling voice in the growth and development of their communities and not allow it to be solely controlled by the California legislature.

Large segments of Californians are beginning to understand the impact of the egregious housing bills more fully being forced upon us. They are waking up to the lies and illusions that are just now taking form in our communities.

State overreach and the erosion of local government control are legislated through the massive numbers of housing bills that threaten the quality of life in most California communities. Sacramento's "one size fits all" approach to housing needs is immune to the unique circumstances of each community, and all appeals of the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) numbers by some cities have been denied, no matter how out of balance the numbers are.

The new housing laws do not appropriately address the need for affordable housing or the importance of creating generational wealth, especially for disadvantaged groups.

Instead, they invite investors and speculators to build densely packed, market-rate homes, thereby shutting out first-time and lower income buyers, enabling gentrification, increasing our rental class and exacerbating racial injustices.

Californians did not vote for this vision, and if we don't fight now, these laws will change our communities and quality of life forever. History will lay bare the intentional transformation wrought by Sacramento leadership, on a scale never seen.

Over-reaching top-down leadership is yanking away any local influence over planning, growth, and types of developments that are right for each community.

Jurisdictions find themselves on the front lines of the housing battle. They get all the blame for failing to build affordable housing. It's time to question how to fund affordable housing. We used to have redevelopment agencies (from 1945 until 2012) that did this work. It was Governor Brown who eliminated this productive program. Redevelopment agencies were cooperative tools cities used to reinvest in and revitalize areas.

With those agencies gone, large, for-profit developers are now the gatekeepers to a city's ability to fulfill its additional housing quotas and avoid state penalties, fines, and lawsuits. This is a real estate developer's dream. Jurisdictions must prepare housing elements that show how they can accommodate the state's demands for new housing at various levels of affordability.

These ridiculous numbers come from California's Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) in the form of RHNA. Recently a lawsuit representing some of the state's charter cities won a legal battle against the state for imposing unreasonable housing demands which are unconstitutional when it comes to charter cities.

There is no review mechanism for the numbers imposed, and most all appeals statewide have been denied, even on bases of fire safety, evacuation, sustainable water infrastructure and other factors relevant to growth, infrastructure, other hazards and the environment.

In March 2022, the Assistant State Auditor reviewed HCD's methods they used to determine RHNA numbers for the current cycle and found them flawed but nothing was done. They are "aspirational" numbers, not responsive to logic. Last week, State Senator Steve Glazer (Northern California) submitted a written request to the State Audit committee to do another audit of HCD's process and the committee did grant his request.

These housing mandates are unfunded which means additional costs (for police, fire, water, sewage, schools and other infrastructure needs) are the responsibility of existing residents. Cities are told to raise bonds, or they must increase fees and taxes. Without satisfying the HCD, cities can be fined into bankruptcy and state receivership.

City officials have a duty to protect the quality and lifestyle of our neighborhoods and are "boots-on-ground" qualified to make local land-use decisions, but they are being bullied into complying with the state mandates.

Non-compliant cities will be punished if they fall out of compliance while waiting for certification, and can be subject to "Builder's Remedy," which automatically grants swift approval to pipeline projects that do not have to agree with any local zoning or density laws.

I call upon our GSRW members to stay apprised with what your city is having to endure and ask the question of your city councilmembers "how can I help?" If we continue to allow the state to interfere and dictate what type of housing should be added, we lose our power to improve our communities for our children's future.



Linda Koelling,
President OCGSRW

Growth and change are inevitable for the mere reason that communities want to continue to progress and thrive. But this is not natural growth. This is forced conversion to urban settings littered with rising skylines and higher density.

California is a vast and diverse state, and our communities are unique. That's why it's so important that local control be respected and protected. Contact your local and state representatives and tell them enough is enough!

GSRW Legislative Updates

Golden State Republican Women, our 'Mother' club, sends out informative legislative updates via email so we can take appropriate action with our elected officials immediately. In the event you did not receive the email, please visit gsrw.org, scroll down on the home page and click on 'Capitol Updates'. There you will find the latest bills that need our attention.

Volunteer Needed for Bylaws Committee

We are looking for one more volunteer for the Bylaws committee. This position would entail reading through the Bylaws and making notes of any changes thought to be beneficial to the goals of our club. This is something that could be done at home. The committee would meet later in the month of June to review any changes that might be needed.

If you are interested, please email me, Phoebe Wise, at phoebe.wise@gmail.com or contact me by text or phone at 650-207-9553.



2

May Meeting Recap

Our speaker, Peggy Huang, shared so much information regarding Our Neighborhood Voices. What a dynamo she is! Her energy is certainly serving Californians well with all of the legislation she's both opposing and promoting. Visit ourneighborhoodvoices.com to learn of all the initiatives important to our state.



Our May meeting speaker, Peggy Huang and Linda Koelling, OCGSRW President



Congratulations to Maggie Clarke, our May Meeting raffle winner!



The lovely Republican Women's group from Reata Glen, supporting OCGSRW en masse!

The Importance of the United States Constitution: Lesson II

By Nancy A. Jimeno, Ph.D
Nancy.jimeno@gmail.com

Last month we discussed the Declaration of Independence as America's "Credo," a statement of our political principles, a claim of what we believe to be so. What are those political principles? Consent (of the governed), equality (under the law) and (natural, God-given) rights. The Declaration notes that governments are instituted "to secure these rights." That is all; to secure rights and nothing more. We call these rights "negative rights," in the sense that they tell the government NO, leave us alone...these are the powers consented to by the people, and nothing more. (I will review "positive rights" in a later article).

Indeed, the Framers formed a "a more perfect union" that secures our natural rights and protects us from government interference. Keep in mind that the Bill of Rights was only added to the document after the U.S. Constitution was ratified. The authors of the constitution understood that the concept of individual rights, (a notion derived from the Christian view that the Savior would have died to save even a single person had there only been one to die for) would be important to express in the proposed document. But here is the rub: how could a government be formed that honored individual rights while considering the common good? It would be no easy task to establish a form of government that could balance competing interests and hold the country united and yet remain committed to the rights of the individual.

The men gathered in Philadelphia that hot summer of 1787 were educated in what today would be described as a classic education, or an education in which they were taught from the Great Books of Western Civilization, including the Bible. Their thinking was based on reason, evidence and logic. They understood those who would govern the proposed national (federal) government were subject to their fallen nature; that is to say, human nature. The Founders knew that human nature is immutable; all human beings are sinful and thus are imperfectible. (One need only a cursory read of history to confirm that man is fallible, but the Bible's creation story makes this quite clear regardless). Of course, there was a competing point of view that humans are perfectible and can be made perfect once the "proper" institutions and ideology are established; a theory most notably held by the influential French philosopher, Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712 – 1778) (this point of view is the basis of today's progressive thought).

The Enlightenment thinkers who did hold sway with the Founders were Immanuel Kant (1724 – 1807), Charles Louis de Secondat, Baron et de Montesquieu (1689 – 1755), John Locke (1632 – 1704) and Thomas Hobbes (1588 – 1679). Particularly influential regarding the U.S. Constitution are Montesquieu, Locke and Hobbes. From Montesquieu the Framers drew the notion of the separation of powers; from Locke the respect for

and protection of property and the love of political liberty; from Hobbes the understanding that without government there is a war of all against all — life is "solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short" (Leviathan, Chapter XIII) according to Hobbes, who ironically lived into his nineties. However, even having proposed a national government that incorporated the thinking of these celebrated philosophers, the delegates in Philadelphia, as I noted in last month's article, were not tasked with establishing an overarching government; they were delegated the authority to revamp the Articles of Federation. The delegates foresaw that the opposition to the proposed government would be formidable, and indeed it was. The thirteen original states had been in existence for approximately 150 years, and each had its own governing documents, or constitution. Just as we do today, they wanted to keep political decisions local. The citizens of each state would need to be persuaded that the nascent country would not remain intact without adopting the proposed constitution. Persuasion would need to be aimed foremost at the highly populated state of New York to assure ratification by the remaining twelve states that would hopefully follow New York's lead.

In the summer of 1788, three delegates who were instrumental in shaping and writing the proposed constitution, Alexander Hamilton, John Jay and James Madison (the primary author of the constitution), agreed to write and publish a series of essays they entitled The Federalist Papers. The essays were penned with the goal of explaining the social and political reasoning on which the constitution was based. The three authors decided to use the pseudonym Publius, a typical act in those days, keeping the focus on the argument rather than on the identity of the author. (Publius Valerius Publicola (was the co-founder of Roman Republic in 509 b.c.; Publicola, meaning "friend of the people")). The three men originally agreed to write 25 essays but ultimately wrote 85. The Federalist Papers are now considered one of the finest collections of political theory ever written. To this day, it is not unusual for constitutional law cases to be decided, based not on the U.S. Constitution itself, but based on the theory behind tenets of the document, as explained in the Federalist Papers.

I could write and lecture our OC GSWR members about the U.S. Constitution for hours, but the document will never be understood without reading, at least in part, The Federalist Papers. So, your assignment this month is to **read Federalist #10 and #51** (10 is the most important, 51 an easier read), both of which were written by James Madison (my hero). **These essays are not an easy read but stick with it because you will never truly understand why the United States was established as a democratic republic and not as a democracy; and you will not understand the United States Constitution until you do.** According to Alexander Hamilton, Americans were poised to decide the fate of their union, as they addressed the question of "whether societies of men are really capable of establishing good government from reflection and choice, or whether they are forever destined to depend for their political constitutions on accident and force" (Federalist #1).

[Full Text of The Federalist Papers - Federalist Papers: Primary Documents in American History - Research Guides at Library of Congress \(loc.gov\)](#)



Has the World Health Organization's (WHO) Grab for Power Been Thwarted?

By Joan Garnett

The deadline approaches for the 194 member nations of the World Health Organization (WHO) to sign agreements granting broad new powers to this U.N. subsidiary. Those member nations who want to see this power grab work have turned up the heat recently, only to be assaulted by a growing resistance.

Beginning May 27th, the member nations of the WHO will meet in Geneva to discuss the initial draft of a global pact that would supposedly help fight future pandemics, a Pandemic Agreement as well as amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR). Those member nations that would agree to such proposals would give up their sovereignty to the WHO regarding any pandemic, meaning, the WHO takes over and could require mass vaccination, mass lockdowns, mass anything it wants and the member nations who signed on would have to oblige.


For the last few weeks, the World Health Assembly (WHA), the decision-making body of the WHO, has been meeting and reviewing these documents to get them ready for vote/signing for the meeting.

There are many concerns about this so called 'agreement' between nations, giving the WHO complete control over any perceived pandemic in any member country. Some of these concerns are:

1. **Concerns about U.S. sovereignty and/or ceding authority to WHO.** Some Republican members of Congress have expressed concerns that an agreement would threaten U.S. sovereignty and could cede power to WHO.

Senator Ron Johnson (R-Wis) led the entire Republican delegation of the senate in a letter to President Biden, **saying** "Some of the over 300 proposals for amendments made by member states would substantially increase the WHO's health emergency powers and constitute intolerable infringements upon U.S. sovereignty." The letter urged Biden to not approve the agreement. Full text of their letter can be found [here](#).

2. **Concerns about financial burden on U.S. taxpayers and/or U.S. companies.** Some Republican lawmakers feel that the Agreement could require financial contributions that put a



financial burden on U.S. taxpayers, as well as on U.S. pharmaceutical companies that would be involved in producing pandemic related treatments, vaccines, tests, etc. A side note to this is some pharmaceutical companies see this Agreement as a win for them since the WHO would no doubt require mass vaccination at some point and within the document these vaccine producers would avoid any liability for harmful vaccine products.

3. **Concerns about divulging U.S. intellectual property and perhaps the funding of abortions overseas.** Within the Agreement as it stands now, if a country produces vaccinations or any type of medical treatment specific to a pandemic disease, that country would have to share its formulas for the subsequent medications or vaccinations. Also, funding for abortions in member countries could be required for all signers of the Agreement.

The WHA first adopted the International Health Regulations (IHR) in 1969. The last time these regulations were ratified was in 2005. You can imagine the impetus to amend these regulations, and create the Pandemic Agreement, to allow the cabal funding this effort to have world power. Guess who the biggest funder of the WHO is after the U.S.? You guessed it...Bill Gates! In the 2018-2019 funding year, the Gates Foundation gave \$531 million, with the U.S. at \$893 million.

In 2020, President Trump, who questioned both the authority of the United Nations and the WHO, suspended financial support and initiated a process to withdraw from the organization, citing that other countries weren't stepping up to the plate like the U.S. and that we as a country weren't getting back a return on our between \$200 – \$600 million investment over the previous decade. Biden, of course, reversed that decision upon taking office in 2021. Of course, he did...he wants to hand over the U.S. to global power and wants to see the U.S. Constitution and consequent sovereignty destroyed!

Slovakian Prime Minister Robert Fico suffered an assassination attempt on May 15th after powerfully stating in a speech that "I also clearly declare that we (Slovakia Democratic Party) will not support strengthening the powers of the World Health Organization." Is it a coincidence that an assassin fired 5 bullets at this man? Fico is known as a pro-Trump populist and has definitely angered globalists not just with his stance about the WHO, but also his position on immigration, LGBT rights and the war in Ukraine. He survived the attack, and his condition continues to improve.

Some good news...with all of the pushback from states who came out against the Pandemic Agreement (Louisiana and Oklahoma being two) and 22 attorneys general across the U.S. adding their voices to the growing opposition to the Biden administration's plan to agree to the two treaties in a strong [letter](#), so far all negotiations have come to a standstill. The meeting is still occurring beginning May 27th but there is hope that neither a Pandemic Agreement nor any modifications to the IHR will be agreed upon, with further meetings necessary. It's not over, but at least there is pushback.

To follow the latest on the Pandemic Agreement and where they are in the new draft of the IHR, please subscribe to Dr. Meryl Nass's [Substack](#). She is a wonderful daily resource on what's happening and has been involved internationally with stopping these treaties. Of note about Dr. Meryl Nass, she is the physician who was famously suspended from her medical practice in Maine for coming out against the vaccines and championing both hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin.

ANNOUNCING OUR OCGSRW SUMMER SOCIAL MEETUP!

DON'T MISS THIS FUN EVENT!

Thursday, July 18th | 5:00pm – 7:00pm

Inn at the Mission, Inner Courtyard | 26981 Ortega Highway, San Juan Capistrano

We Are in an Extremely Important Election Year. This Is for All the Marbles As “They” say. We all need to be informed and prepared to win over independents and no-party-preference voters to get Donald Trump and other important leaders elected this November 5th.

Having said that, our state sends out mail-in ballots by October 5th to everyone, including the deceased, former CA residents and likely illegal alien voters. We all need to act like the election is a month ahead of its regular schedule. Action is necessary prior to October to achieve our goals, meaning in August and September we need to not be afraid to ask people who they're voting for and why, and have a political dialogue. Hopefully by doing so we change some people's minds into voting more conservatively.

This is also a perfect time to volunteer to help get our chosen candidates elected. You can offer to work in a candidate's campaign office, place signs around town or even have your own meetups to inform family and friends.

We are inviting you to join Orange County Golden State Republican Women for our first Summer Social. We will meet in the courtyard area at The Inn at the Mission for no host cocktails/happy hour.

And no agenda... just a chance to meet and mingle with like-minded America First Patriots in a beautiful setting. Bring your spouse or friends and we'll see you there!

Pam Looney
OCGSRW

JUST FOR LAUGHS

MOM I AM BEGINNING TO THINK
HE WAS RIGHT ABOUT EVERYTHING



OF COURSE HE WAS HONEY, BUT HE GAVE
PEOPLE MEAN NICKNAMES ON TWITTER SO
YOUR FATHER AND I CHOSE TO VOTE FOR
COMPLETE ECONOMIC AND SOCIETAL
COLLAPSE INSTEAD




IF MIKE JOHNSON HAD BEEN IN "STAR WARS"



Current world population:
8,025,718,113
People born from women:
8,025,718,113
People born from men: 0
Feel free to fact check

Being abducted by aliens might
just be the vacation I need at this
point.




"Diversity, Equity and Inclusion."
Or how to fix a flat tire by letting
the air out of the other three...

Let's get involved and show our support!

Please see the attached information regarding two local Trump events in June. Let's show up in our Trump gear and give President Trump a fabulous Orange County welcome!

In addition, there is information on the new recall effort of Gavin Newsom. Please download petitions per the instructions and let's get more signatures than the 1.3 million needed! We can do this!



RESCUE CALIFORNIA
RECALL GAVIN NEWSOM
SAVE AMERICA
THE ONLY OFFICIAL COMMITTEE TO RECALL GAVIN NEWSOM
www.RescueCalifornia.ORG

CALIFORNIA IS BROKE
It's Newsom's fault and WE are going to pay for it.

REASONS TO RECALL GAVIN NEWSOM
(See links below for more information)

- CA IS HEADED TOWARDS FINANCIAL RUIN BILLIONS OF DOLLARS UNACCOUNTED FOR BY NEWSOM
- Pension unemployment and disaster relief funds at risk
- \$2 ADDITIONAL GAS TAX SET FOR VOTE THIS YEAR
- HE IS RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT AND NOT RUNNING THE STATE
- AFTER SPENDING BILLIONS ON HOMELESS programs, the HOMELESS NUMBERS ARE INCREASING
- TURNED A \$96 Billion Surplus into a \$73 Billion Deficit
- FRENCH LAUNDRY SCANDAL PART 2 - Newsom wage increased but he EXEMPTED BUSINESSES RUN BY FRIENDS

ROADMAP TO VICTORY

- We need 800,000 valid signatures (1.1 million to qualify compared to 1.7 million last time)
- Over 5,000 volunteers are circulating over 250,000 petitions
- Almost 60% of his Party preference voters want to recall him and almost 90% of the Democrats want to recall Newsom
- Almost 40% of the Hispanic/Latino want to recall Newsom
- His approval ratings are at a historic low - UNDER 50%
- NEWSOM WILL NOT HAVE THE MONEY. He is SPREAD TOO THIN running for PRESIDENT

HOW YOU CAN HELP

- Sign up for a petition at www.RESCUECALIFORNIA.ORG
- Get others to do the same - circulate petitions
- DONATE and sign-up using this QR code, go to our website or call (848) 474-0023 or email

Paid for by Rescue California to Support the Recall of Governor Newsom. PPM 001-03-000000
Advertisement not submitted by a candidate or a committee controlled by a candidate



WELCOME OUR PRESIDENT
DONALD J. TRUMP

805 PATRIOTS

SATURDAY, JUNE 8TH

VIA LIDO STREET, NEWPORT BEACH, CA

ARRIVE AT 9 AM FOR HIS ARRIVAL & DEPARTURE

LET'S GIVE A BIG SOCIAL MAGA WELCOME!

@805 PATRIOTS 805PATRIOTS.COM



NEWPORT BEACH BOAT CRUISE FOR TRUMP

Wear Your MAGA Gear • Celebrate Trump's Birthday

\$138.00 per person
(Cash, Venmo, PayPal, CC added 2% fee for all CC transactions)
For more ticket info contact Holly Cleveland
818-945-9868

11:45 a.m. boarding on yacht
12:00 p.m. embarking
2:00 p.m. return
Lunch \$16.00 Turkey or Ham
Sandwiches, Salads, Water, Ice, Sodas

DIRECTORY OF STATE & FEDERAL REPRESENTATIVES

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 71

Assemblywoman Kate Sanchez
Serves OC cities of Mission Viejo, Rancho Santa Margarita, and unincorporated areas of Orange County

DISTRICT OFFICE
22342 Avenida Empresa Suite 275
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688
949-459-7170
ad71.asmr.org

STATE CAPITOL OFFICE
State Capitol
P.O. Box 942849
Sacramento, CA 94249-0071
916-319-2071

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 72

Assemblywoman Diane Dixon
Serves OC cities of Aliso Viejo, Lake Forest, Laguna Beach, Laguna Hills, and Laguna Woods

DISTRICT OFFICE
4100 MacArthur Blvd. Suite 340
Newport Beach, CA 92660
949-798-7221
ad72.asmr.org

STATE CAPITOL OFFICE
State Capitol
P.O. Box 942849
Sacramento, CA 94249-0072
916-319-2072

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 74

Assemblywoman Laurie Davies
Serves OC cities of Laguna Niguel, Dana Point, San Juan Capistrano, and San Clemente

DISTRICT OFFICE
32332 Camino Capistrano Suite 102A
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675
949-240-7300
ad74.asmr.org

STATE CAPITOL OFFICE
State Capitol
P.O. Box 942849
Sacramento, CA 94249-0074
916-319-2074

STATE SENATE DISTRICT 37

Senator Dave Min (D)
DISTRICT OFFICE
2151 Michelson Dr Suite 258
Irvine, CA 92612
949-223-5472
Senator.Min@Senate.ca.gov

STATE CAPITOL OFFICE
1021 O Street Suite 6710
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-651-4037

STATE SENATE DISTRICT 38

Senator Catherine Blakespear

DISTRICT OFFICE
24031 El Toro Rd Suite 201A
Laguna Hills, CA 92653
949-598-5850
Senator.Blakespear@Senate.ca.gov

STATE CAPITOL OFFICE
1021 O Street Suite 7340
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-651-4038

President Joseph Biden (D)
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C. 20500
202-456-1414
whitehouse.gov

Governor Gavin Newsom (D)
Office of the Governor State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-445-2841
gov.ca.gov

Katrina Foley, 5th Dist.
Orange County Board of Supervisors
400 W. Civic Center Drive
Santa Ana, CA 92701
714-834-3550

U.S. Senator Laphonza Butler (D)
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510
202-224-3841 | 202-228-3954

U.S. Congressman Mike Levin (D-49th Dist.)
33282 Golden Lantern, Suite 102
Dana Point, CA 92629
949-281-2449

U.S. Senator Alex Padilla (D)
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510
202-224-3553 | 202-228-3789

Don Wagner 3rd Dist.
OC Board of Supervisors
400 W Civic Center Dr.
Santa Ana, CA. 92701
714-834-3330
Donald.wagner@ocgov.com





**ORANGE COUNTY
GOLDEN STATE
REPUBLICAN WOMEN**

31103 Rancho Viejo Road, Suite #D3292 • San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675-1759

STAY INFORMED!!
CITY CONTACT INFORMATION

Check Council Meetings /Agendas

City of Aliso Viejo 12 Journey, Suite 100 Aliso Viejo, CA 92656 949-425-2500 avcity.org	City of Dana Point 33282 Golden Lantern Dana Point, CA 92629 949-248-3500 danapoint.org	City of Laguna Beach 505 Forest Avenue Laguna Beach, CA 92651 949-497-3311 lagunabeachcity.net
City of Laguna Hills 24035 El Toro Road Laguna Hills, CA 92653 949-707-2600 ci.laguna-hills.ca.us	City of Laguna Niguel 30111 Crown Valley Parkway Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 949-362-4300 cityoflagunaniguel.org	City of Laguna Woods 24264 El Toro Road Laguna Woods, CA 92637 949-639-0500 cityoflagunawoods.org
City of Lake Forest 100 Civic Center Drive Lake Forest, CA 92630 949-461-3400 lakeforestca.gov	City of Mission Viejo 200 Civic Center Mission Viejo, CA 92691 949-470-3000 cityofmissionviejo.org	City of Rancho Santa Margarita 22112 El Paseo Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688 949-635-1800 cityofrsm.org
City of San Clemente 910 Calle Negocio 2 nd Floor San Clemente, CA 92673 949-361-8200 san-clemente.org	City of San Juan Capistrano 30448 Rancho Viejo Road, Suite 110 San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675 949-493-1171 sanjuancapistrano.org	