

**Julian Marcus Raven**

714 Baldwin Street, Elmira, NY, 14901

**July 28, 2025**

**The Honorable Sri Srinivasan**

Chief Judge

United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

333 Constitution Avenue NW

Washington, D.C. 20001

**RE: Request for Reassignment and Review of Judicial Misconduct Complaint – Raven v. Cooper (Original Complaint Filed June 28, 2025)**

Dear Chief Judge Srinivasan,

I am writing to formally request that my judicial misconduct complaint against the Honorable Christopher R. Cooper, originally submitted to Chief Judge of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia James E. Boasberg on June 28, 2025, be reassigned to your review, in light of extraordinary new circumstances.

As widely reported, the U.S. Department of Justice filed a formal judicial misconduct complaint against Chief Judge Boasberg on July 27, 2025, citing issues of prejudicial commentary, bias, and conduct antithetical to judicial impartiality. This development has a direct and disqualifying impact on the integrity of my own complaint process.

My complaint against Judge Cooper (relating to civil case No. 1:22-cv-2809 CRC) outlines disturbing judicial behavior, including:

- Mischaracterizing a constitutional First Amendment case as a “skirmish”;
- Disregarding relevant and controlling Supreme Court precedent (including *Lindke v. Freed*, 2024);
- Ignoring factual records of Smithsonian conduct central to the case;
- Denying procedural justice by dismissing serious constitutional claims without hearing.
- Misnaming plaintiff as ‘Rajet’

My concerns now intensify, knowing that the judge tasked with reviewing these allegations—Chief Judge Boasberg—is himself the subject of a complaint alleging similar partisan misconduct regarding President Trump. My litigation stems from partisan treatment due to my patriotic and political portrait of then candidate Trump by Smithsonian Director Kim Sajet

who recently resigned. It appears that this partisan spirit has also affected Judge Christopher Cooper's objectivity.

As with the Department of Justice's filing, my complaint speaks to the broader erosion of public trust when judges appear to act in alignment with political expectations or institutional bias rather than constitutional duty.

This situation poses a unique judicial conflict that demands scrutiny beyond internal court protocol. The American people, especially pro se litigants like myself, must retain confidence that their concerns will be heard fairly and free from even the appearance of partiality.

I have attached a revised version of my original complaint, incorporating references to the DOJ's filing and the specific grounds for reassignment.

Thank you for your consideration. I stand ready to provide additional materials or documentation at your request.

Sincerely,

**Julian Marcus Raven**

Plaintiff, *Raven v. Sajet*, Case No. 22-cv-2809 CRC

julianmarcusraven@gmail.com

434-221-1676