

Subject: Re[2]: response : UA-2026-000152-GIA
From: "tigi" <tigi@oxon.org>
Sent: 24/03/2026, 22:51:37
To: "Adminappeals" <adminappeals@Justice.gov.uk>
Attachments: response to Upper Tribunal _ refusal of permis.pdf

Dear Upper Tribunal (Administrative Appeals) -

Four weeks have passed since my original message and two weeks since my reminder. No acknowledgement has been provided to either. This is my final message

Given that my last email flagged a formal complaint about the functioning of the Chamber to Chamber President, Lady Anna Poole, she should have been already notified about this matter

It is therefore reasonable for me to assume that the silence of the UT Chamber admin team is tied to the silence of Chamber President Lady Anna Poole. As per below, Lady Anna Poole has the ability to self-correct without being asked through clause 45(1)(a) of the UT Rules 2008 : suggesting that her position is that she agrees with the refusal notice and reasoning put together by Judge Wikeley

I am flagging to the UT Chamber, Judge Wikeley and Chamber President Lady Anna Poole that clause 45(1)(a) of the UT Rules 2008 provides a mechanism for the review of a permission of appeal decision where the UT has misapplied legislation (as I have shown in my recent letter). This does not require an application from a Claimant, it is a constitutional action that the UT may make when it realises it has made a fundamental error, as has been flagged by me in my letter (again re-attached) and emails. I am reminding the UT that it therefore has an institutional obligation that it has currently not fulfilled and on which it continues to remain silent

This is a functional failure of the UT Chamber to exercise its statutory duties under clause 45(1)(a) of the UT Rules; as such, the conduct of the UT Chamber lends itself to judicial review in the Administrative Court

I will be in contact again in due course

Timandeep Singh Gill
Claimant (litigant-in-person)

www.thegoodstateproject.org

----- Original Message -----

From "tigi@oxon.org" <tigi@oxon.org>
To "Adminappeals" <adminappeals@Justice.gov.uk>
Date 10/03/2026 00:00:42
Subject Re: response : UA-2026-000152-GIA

Dear Upper Tribunal -

It has been two weeks since my message below, and I have had no response

Could you please:

1. Confirm that my message and letter have been received by the Upper Tribunal
2. Confirm that the message and letter have been shared with Judge Wikeley
3. Confirm that the message and letter have been shared with Lady Anna Poole in her role as Chamber President, as part of a complaint about the standard of her judges and the

conduct of the Upper Tribunal

It is important that I have confirmation receipt as well as confirmation of deliver of the message and letter to both individuals. These will be presented to the higher courts in due course

Thanks very much -
Timandeeep
Appellant (litigant-in-person)

Timandeeep Singh Gill

Lead Principal, The Good State Project

Alumnus, St Annes College, University of Oxford (2002-2006)

Formerly Marathon Asset Management (minority-owned by The Blackstone Group, now part of CVC Capital Partners), Starwood Capital Group, Avington, Advent International, Deutsche Bank

www.thegoodstateproject.org

----- Original Message -----

From "tigi@oxon.org" <tigi@oxon.org>
To "Adminappeals" <adminappeals@Justice.gov.uk>
Date 24/02/2026 21:57:58
Subject response : UA-2026-000152-GIA

Dear Tobiloba and the Upper Tribunal -

Thank you for sharing Judge Wikeley's refusal letter in the above matter

However, as detailed in the attached letter, the Judge has made a fundamental constitutional error in its interpretation of section 58 FOIA—an error that goes to the core of the Upper Tribunal's oversight function

Please ensure the attached letter is placed before Judge Wikeley

Please also ensure it is brought to the attention of the Chamber President, Lady Anna Poole, so that she may consider the current state of operations within her chamber in light of this foundational error

**** please confirm that this email has been received and the letter has been passed to those two individuals ****

Sincerely -

Timandeeep Singh Gill

Appellant (litigant-in-person)

Lead Principal, The Good State Project

www.thegoodstateproject.org

----- Original Message -----

From "Adminappeals" <adminappeals@Justice.gov.uk>
To "tigi@oxon.org" <tigi@oxon.org>
Date 23/02/2026 15:25:22
Subject UA-2026-000152-GIA

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached Directions from the Upper Tribunal.

Kind Regards,

Tobiloba Somefun

Administrative Officer

UTAAC (Miscellaneous & Listings Team)

Upper Tribunal AAC | HMCTS | Rolls Building | London | EC4A 1NL

Web: www.gov.uk/hmct

Filing Documents with the Tribunal

Please note that in any proceedings that are started on or after *Monday 4th December, where a party is represented by a legal representative or is a body amenable to judicial review*, documents must be provided to the Tribunal using *CE-File*

For guidance, support or more information about CE-File, please click [here](#)

To register and access CE-File, please click [here](#).

[Practice Direction of the Administrative Appeals Chamber of the Upper Tribunal – Electronic filing – CE file](#)

This e-mail and any attachments is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail. This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. Monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.