Pages 1-207 Exhibits 230-242

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

NORFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT NO. 1582CV00213

BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC; MII AVIATION SERVICES, LLC, AND HB HOLDINGS, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FLIGHTLEVEL NORWOOD, LLC; EAC REALTY TRUST II; AND PETER EICHLEAY,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III

TAKEN MARCH 30, 2018

AT THE LAW OFFICES OF

LeCLAIR RYAN

ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE, 11TH FLOOR

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Reporter: Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 2 to 5)

2	2	4
APPEARANCES:	EXHIBITS: (continued)	
	Exhibit 223, E-mail dated	
For the Plaintiffs:	June 23, 2013	
PIERCE & MANDELL, P.C.		
11 Beacon Street, Suite 800	Exhibit 224, Letter dated	
Boston, MA 02108	July 19, 2013 91	
BY: MICHAEL C. FEE, ESQ.	Exhibit 225, Letter dated	
617-720-2444	November 2013 97	
mfee@piercemandell.com	Exhibit 226, Letter dated	
E 4 DC 1 :	November 2013	
For the Defendants:	Exhibit 227, Letter dated	
LeCLAIR RYAN	November 19, 2013 107	
One International Place, 11th Floor		
Boston, MA 02110	Exhibit 228, Letter dated	
BY: A. NEIL HARTZELL, ESQ.	December 5, 2013 113	
617-502-8259	Exhibit 229, Letter dated	
neilhartzell@leclairryan.com	December 27, 2013 114	
For the Norwood Airport Commission:	Exhibit 230, Letter dated	
PIERCE, DAVIS & PERRITANO, LLP	January 22, 2014 117	
10 Post Office Square, Suite 1100N	Exhibit 231, Letter dated	
Boston, MA 02109	January 27, 2014 123	
BY: ADAM SIMMS, ESQ.		
617-350-0950	Exhibit 232, E-mails and	
asimms@piercedavis.com	Enclosures dated January 2014 125	
asininis@piciccuavis.com	Exhibit 233, Airport Commission	
In Attendance	Meeting Minutes, Regular Business	
In Attendance:	Meeting, February 12, 2014 129	
Christopher Donovan,	Exhibit 234, Airport Commission	
Boston Executive Helicopters, LLC	Meeting Minutes, Regular Business	
	Meeting, March 12, 2014 130	
Peter Eichleay,	Exhibit 235, Letter dated	
FlightLevel Aviation Norwood	March 17, 2014 132	
Nicholas W. Burlingham, Esq.		
FlightLevel Aviation Norwood	Exhibit 236, Letter dated	
•	March 21, 2014 133	
3	3	5
NIDEV.	EMINDERS (1)	
INDEX:	EXHIBITS: (continued)	
	Exhibit 237, E-mail dated	
WITNESS: FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III PAGE	April 30, 2014	
	1.5	
Examination by Mr. Hartzell 6	Exhibit 228 Airport Commission	
	Exhibit 238, Airport Commission	
EXHIBITS:	Meeting Minutes, Regular Business	
Exhibit 210, Notice of Deposition 23	Meeting, May 14, 2014 141	
Exhibit 211, E-mail dated		
September 7, 2012	Exhibit 239, Letter dated	
Exhibit 212, E-mail and Attachments,	September 16, 2014	
BEH0000869-BEH0000872	Exhibit 240, Letter dated	
Exhibit 213, Letter dated	January 29, 2015 178	
December 14, 2012		
,	Exhibit 241, Norwood Airport	
Exhibit 214, Norwood Memorial Airport	Commission Manager's Report,	
Memo dated January 28, 2013 43		
Exhibit 215, Letter dated	02/01/15-02/28/15 191	
June 24, 2013		
runc 27, 2013 40	Exhibit 242, E-mail dated	
Exhibit 216, Norwood Memorial Airport	February 14, 2015	
*	1 Columy 17, 2013 193	
Memo dated June 17, 2013		
Exhibit 217, E-mail Exchange, June 2013 66		
Exhibit 218, Letter dated		
Into 2 2012 74		
July 2, 201374		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76 Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76 Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport Memo dated July 12, 2013 79		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76 Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport Memo dated July 12, 2013 79 Exhibit 221, E-mail dated		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76 Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport Memo dated July 12, 2013 79 Exhibit 221, E-mail dated		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76 Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport Memo dated July 12, 2013 79 Exhibit 221, E-mail dated July 15, 2013 83		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76 Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport Memo dated July 12, 2013		
Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013 76 Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport Memo dated July 12, 2013 79 Exhibit 221, E-mail dated July 15, 2013 83 Exhibit 222, E-mail dated		

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 6 to 9)

6 8 1 1 FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III, Deponent, having Q. And how long have you held that 2 2 position? produced satisfactory identification by means of 3 3 Massachusetts Driver's License, was duly sworn, I've held the position since 4 4 deposes and states as follows: December of 1995 with a break in service. I was 5 5 MR. HARTZELL: Same active in the military from November of 2003 to 6 6 stipulations. All objections, except as July of 2005. 7 7 to the form of the question, and all Q. And what branch of the military? 8 8 motions to strike are reserved until the A. U.S. Army. 9 9 Where did you serve? time of trial. And the witness may read Q. 10 10 and signed under the pains and penalties I was stationed -- my primary base 11 11 of perjury and does not need to have his was Fort Benning, Georgia, but we were also 12 12 signature notarized. stationed at Fort Bragg, North Carolina, Fort 13 13 MR. FEE: That's fine. Knox, Kentucky, two army ranger camps, one in 14 14 MR. SIMMS: Fine with me. Dahlonega, which is North Georgia. The other 15 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. HARTZELL: one is Eglin Air Force Base, Fort Stewart, 16 16 Georgia. Would you state your name for the 17 17 record, sir? Q. Were you in the Reserves? 18 18 Francis Thomas Maguire, III. I was in the National Guard. A. A. 19 19 And are you also known by Russ? Your service is all in the United Q. Q. 20 20 States? A. 21 21 Q. Mr. Maguire, my name is Neil Yes. A. 22 Hartzell. I'm a lawyer. I represent 22 You didn't have to go overseas? Q. 23 23 FlightLevel Norwood, LLC, EAC Realty Trust II, A. 2.4 24 and Peter Eichleay in two different cases that Tell me a little bit about your Q. 7 9 1 1 education. High school graduate? are going on in Norfolk Superior Court. And I'm 2 going to be asking you a series of questions 2 Yes. A. 3 3 What high school? today. Q. 4 4 Just a couple of ground rules. I Foxboro High School. A. 5 5 Foxboro, Mass.? know you've been deposed at least once before. O. 6 6 You have to verbalize all of your answers for Yes. A. 7 7 the court reporter. Please wait until I finish Q. Do you have any education after 8 8 asking my question before you answer because as high school? 9 9 skilled as Ray is here he can't take down two I do. I have an associate's degree 10 10 people talking at once. If you need to take a in applied science with a specialization in 11 11 break at any time, please feel free. And if you aviation technology, University of Alaska, 12 12 Fairbanks. I have a bachelor of journalism have a question for your lawyer, it's probably 13 13 best if you go outside to ask it because if you degree from the University of Missouri School of 14 14 Journalism. I have a master's degree in talk in the presence of us you may have a 15 15 aeronautical science with a specialization in privilege issue. And I would just ask, if you 16 16 have a question about something, that you answer aviation aerospace management. I have a master 17 17 the question before you feel the need to consult of fine arts in creative writing from Pine Manor 18 18 with your lawyer. And just tell me if you don't College in Massachusetts, and then accreditation 19 19 understand anything that I say. I will try to from American Association of Airport Executives, 20 20 as well as a certification from American get through this. First, thank you for coming 21 21 in today. Association of Airport Executives. 22 22 What is your occupation, sir? I kind of lost track of how many 23 23 Airport Manager for the Town of degrees you have. Do you have six different 24 24 degrees? Norwood.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

13

(Pages 10 to 13)

10

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

A. I have four.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O. Four, okay. Just a couple of follow-ups on those: So the aviation and aviation management, if I wrote that down correctly, where was that from?

Embry-Riddle -- there's a hyphen between the Embry and the Riddle -- Aeronautical University in Daytona Beach, Florida.

Q. How long did it take to get that?

A. I was actually working for the university, so I was completing a master's degree at night. I started in '91 and finished it in '95.

All right. So was that the Q. approximate time you were working for the University, '91?

'91 to '95, correct. A.

18 After '95, you went to work for the 19 Norwood Airport or went to work at the Norwood 20 Airport?

21 Yes. I actually started a A. 22 post-grad internship as an unpaid position from 23 September '95 until December of '95 working for 24 the then airport manager.

officer school, and then flight school and 2 started as a military pilot in 1987 and flew 3 from 1987 until 1991. 4

Where were you stationed?

I was at Fort Wainwright, Alaska, when I was out of flight school. While I was in flight school, I was at Fort Rucker, Alabama.

What kind of aircraft were you flying?

A. UH-1, Huey.

Tell me what those are.

The Vietnam-era helicopter that was A. kind of the emblematic of that era.

> It's a big helicopter? O.

Yes. It's used as a transport, a A. med-evac helicopter, air-assault-type helicopter.

Q. And before 1986?

Before 1986, I was -- I had worked from '82 to late '84, maybe early '85. I ran a Needham weekly paper and then also seconded as a editor on the Daily Transcript, which was

headquartered -- the newsroom was a community newsroom out of Dedham, Massachusetts.

24

11

Q. Who was that?

Thomas Maher, spelled M-A-H-E-R, who is now the Plymouth Airport manager. And Mr. Maher had me working for him as a special-projects person, and then he recommended me for the job in December of 1995. He was working for a private airport management company by the name of Boston Metropolitan Airport Corporation, which also has a long-term lease on the Norwood Airport. So I worked for BMA from

'95 until July of 1999 when I was -- I came on 12 as the first municipal airport manager for the 13 Town of Norwood. 14 And prior to that, they had --15

whoever had been the airport manager was working for the Boston Metropolitan Airport; is that right?

A. That's correct.

So your work history before 1991, where else did you work?

A. I was on active duty from 1986 until 1991. I went to --

In the military? Q.

Yes. I went to boot camp, warrant

1 Q. And before '82?

> I was in college. I was in college A. from '76 to '81.

As the airport manager, how would you describe your duties at Norwood Airport?

A. Pretty broad duties and responsibilities both as an operations manager and a business manager. Operationally, we're in charge of inspections of the runways and 10 taxiways and lighting systems, ensuring that the 11 approach/departure paths of the runways are 12 clear of all obstacles and working with the air-traffic control tower personnel, maintaining the air field and wildlife control. We handle all the wildlife control on the airport. We also seek compliance on our environmental programs, whether it be the Storm Water

17 18 Pollution Prevention Plan or the Spill

19 Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plan. We

20 get involved in the business end with the tenant

21 relations. Norwood Airport has anywhere from 22

ten to twelve or thirteen commercially-permitted 23 businesses on the airport on any given

24 commercial permit year. We're dealing with the

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

16

14

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

commercial permit holders, dealing with the

- 2 budgetary accounting, capital finance planning,
- 3 equipment procurement, the administration of
- 4 grants, whether with the Federal Aviation
- 5 Administration or the Massachusetts Department
- 6 of Transportation Aeronautics Division. We act
 - as a liaison with other local government
- 8 officials, the FAA and MassDOT, the tenants, the 9 citizens in Norwood, surrounding towns.
 - Okay. Do you get involved with leases at all with the tenants?
 - A. I get involved with the leases on a few different levels. When a lease is coming up for review, I'm often the one to remind the chairman that there is a lease coming up for
- 16 renewal, that the terms of the lease or -- you 17 know, I participate in that. It's primarily a
- 18 responsibility of the Airport Commission with 19 some assistance from town counsel. We do get
- 20 involved in that. And then the lease payments,
- 21 the land lease payments, I handle the
- 22 administration on that, you know, the
- 23 departmental receipts. 24
 - Q. Are payments made to your office?

- Mark has worked for me -- started 2 work in November of 1999. 3
 - Okay. Do you handle the accounting functions as part of your office or do you outsource that?
 - A. We handle it. I handle it.
 - Q. Do you recall a company called Swift Aviation?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 And Swift Aviation operated out of 11 Norwood Airport for some period of time. Do you 12 remember approximately when? 13
 - Swift Aviation was already in business when I started in December of 1995 and they were on the airport until the roof of their hangar collapsed in an ice storm. I don't recall the exact date on that.
 - If I said February of 2011, would that help you?
 - As I recall, that was the time.
 - So the roof collapsed, and then Q. they went out of business. Is that what happened?
 - They actually, for all intents and A.

15

- They're made to my office, and then I actually have to actually go online to a database that the Town has created and input that receivable and then actually deposit the checks to the treasurer's office.
 - Q. Who is your employer?
- A. My employer is the Norwood Airport Commission, which represents the Town of Norwood and is appointed by the Board of Selectmen.
- Do you have anybody who works with you in your job?
 - A. I have one employee.
 - O. Who is that?
- And that is my assistant, Mark Raymond. Occasionally, we'll have a summer intern from one of the local colleges.
 - MR. SIMMS: Russ, answer the question. If you want to get out by dinner, stick to the question.
- (By Mr. Hartzell) So you have an occasional summer intern?
 - Α. Yes.
- 23 How long has Mr. Raymond worked for Q. 24 you?

- purposes, went out of business and their lease was assigned to Boston Executive Helicopters.
- Do you remember what the business was of Swift Aviation out at the airport? Could you tell me about that, please?
- They were actually an aircraft maintenance company specializing in maintenance of amphibious aircraft.
- Q. When you say "amphibious aircraft", what do you mean by that?
- A. Aircraft landing on the water and taking off on the water.
- Did they do anything else besides Q. aircraft maintenance?
- I don't recall them doing anything A. else.
 - Q. Did they sell, S-E-L-L, fuel?
- No, not while I was there. A.
 - Did they have any self, S-E-L-F, fueling capabilities?
 - A. No.
- 22 When did you first learn about O. 23
 - Boston Executive Helicopters?
 - I was approached in the spring of

17

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

2.2

(Pages 18 to 21)

2010 by Chris Donovan, the president of BEH.

- Q. Had you met him previously?
- A. Chris and I -- I met Chris prior to going to boot camp. I met him at Fort Devens in, I believe, early 1986. We were both going for our initial flight physicals at that time.
 - Q. So he was in the Army?
 - A. He was.
- Q. Okay. Did you stay in touch with him after 1986?
- A. We actually -- he started boot camp before me. I believe it was two or three months prior to July of 1986 when I went to boot camp. And he got to the warrant officer program down at Fort Rucker, Alabama, prior to me. We reconnected at Fort Rucker and lived on the same -- really in the same hallway through part of the flight program.
- Q. Did you know him throughout his Army service then, after that time?
- A. He was actually stationed in Germany and I went to Alaska. So there was a period, from 1987 until I relocated to this area again in 1995, where I hadn't really seen him.

he did in the Gulf War, Mr. Donovan?

- A. He flew AH-64s, which was the Apache helicopter.
 - Q. Was he in combat there, do you know?
 - A. I believe he was.
 - Q. At some point in time did
 - Mr. Donovan become affiliated with a company called Boston Executive Helicopters?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember approximately when that was?
 - A. I want to say he approached me prior to May 2010 expressing an interest in starting a company which would become Boston Executive Helicopters. He went for his first commercial permit with the Norwood Airport Commission in May of 2010.
 - Q. And was that commercial permit just to have a charter operation with the helicopters?
 - A. As I recall, that was the sole business function on the initial permit.
 - Q. And then at some point later did

- I might have bumped into him once or twice at Lewis', which is a bar in Norwood, but
- otherwise, we more or less lost touch until I came back and took the job at the airport.
 - Q. Was he involved or was he present in any way in Norwood Airport in 1995?
 - A. He was flying in a -- he was working full-time, as I recall, as a prison guard and was flying in a National Guard unit out of Otis Air Force Base, Massachusetts National Guard. And he may have at that time or shortly after have started some part-time flying for one of the news stations at the airport.
 - Q. Do you know where -- where did he serve in his military career?
 - A. I know he was in Germany and his unit ended up in the Gulf during the Gulf War.

MR. SIMMS: So we're clear, since you're both using the word "he" in the questioning, we're talking about Mr. Donovan, correct?

MR. HARTZELL: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) Do you know what

Boston Executive Helicopters express an interest in doing self, S-E-L-F, fueling?

A. Yes.

- Q. Before we get to that, a couple other things. Moshe Yanai, do you know who that is?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you ever met him?
- A. I met him twice.
- Q. What was the first time you met him?

A. The first time I met him was not long after I took the job in 1995. It might have been early 1996. I was getting some complaints about helicopter operations between two of the hangars at the north end of the airport and just happened to be on the airport when Mr. Yanai landed the aircraft -- a helicopter between the two hangars. And I did have a conversation with him. It was I

- have a conversation with him. It was, I
 thought, cordial. I just asked him not to do
- that. There had been some complaints, some
- safety concerns.
 - Q. And what did he say?

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 22 to 25) 22 24 1 1 So I'm showing you what was marked He agreed not to do it again. 2 2 Q. And was that the extent of your as Exhibit 77 in Mr. Odstrchel's deposition, 3 3 conversation the first time you met him? which I will represent to you was the Commercial 4 4 Permit Application for Boston Executive Yes. 5 5 When was the second time that you Helicopters for fiscal year 2013. And do you Q. 6 6 recognize this document? met with him? 7 7 The second time was when he came in A. 8 8 for a badge. The badges go out about three And on the second page it has a Q. 9 9 years. These are access-control security listing for Boston Executive Helicopters where 10 10 badges. And he came in for a new badge and we it says company officers are Chris Donovan and 11 11 exchanged pleasantries and processed him for a Moshe Yanai? 12 12 badge. That was the extent of the second A. Yes. 13 13 Has that always been your meeting. Q. 14 14 understanding, that Mr. Donovan and Mr. Yanai Q. I can't remember. Did I ask you 15 15 when was that second meeting, your best memory? are the company officers of Boston Executive 16 16 It might have been five years ago. Helicopters? 17 17 Q. Okay. Did he ever discuss with you A. Yes. 18 18 anything about the Boston Executive Helicopters? And underneath it says, "parent 19 19 company." It's handwriting. It looks like MII. A. 20 20 I think it's an abbreviation for Aviation Q. Did you ever talk to him on the 21 21 phone? Services. Do you see that? 22 22 A. A. Yes. 23 23 So your only interactions with Q. Do you know anything about MII Q. 24 24 Mr. Yanai are these two meetings that you just **Aviation Services?** 25 23 1 1 described, right? I don't know much about the A. 2 Yes. 2 A. company. 3 3 Going back to Boston Executive But it says here that MII is the 4 4 Helicopters, let me show you a couple documents. parent company. Do you take that to be the 5 5 MR. HARTZELL: We'll mark this parent company of Boston Executive Helicopters? 6 6 as Exhibit 210. A. 7 7 (Exhibit 210, Notice of Deposition, marked And looking at the first page of 8 8 for identification) what was marked as Exhibit 77 in Mr. Odstrchel's 9 9 (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you deposition, there was a number of boxes with 10 10 your Notice of Deposition to appear in this little "X" marks. I'm assuming that is for the 11 11 case. And the date is March 15, but obviously categories that Boston Executive Helicopters is 12 we agreed to reschedule to today. Have you seen 12 applying for its commercial permit for, correct? 13 13 this before? A. 14 14 So we have flight operations, A. Yes. Q. 15 15 And you are here today to testify master charter and freight charter, then flight Q. 16 in response to this, correct? 16 operations, flight instruction, aircraft rental, 17 17 and sightseeing flights. Do you see that? A. Yes. 18 18 Okay. Let me show you -- there is A. 19 19 a number of documents I'm going to show you Does Boston Executive Helicopters 20 20 today, some of which were marked as other rent out any of its helicopters?

Springfield 413.732.1157

some we don't.

21

22

23

24

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

21

22

23

24

A.

Q.

A.

They do?

They do.

Worcester 508.767.1157

My understanding is they do.

And does Boston Executive

depositions taken in this case, some of which

may not have been marked in other depositions in

this case. So some we'll put new numbers on and

(Pages 26 to 29)

26 28 1 1 Helicopters own any planes in addition to mark it. 2 2 helicopters, do you know? MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to 3 3 I've seen on their -- on Boston mark this as the next exhibit. 4 4 Executive Helicopters' insurance a listing for a (Exhibit 211, E-mail dated September 7, 5 5 2012, marked for identification) small single-engine piston aircraft. 6 6 Have you ever seen it there? Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) Mr. Maguire, I'm Q. 7 7 A. sorry, before we move on, I meant to ask you 8 8 And then it has ground operations. this. Do you need to have a commercial Q. 9 9 There's a checkmark for hangar space rental, and permit -- or let me back up. 10 10 then nothing else is checked off there. So For an entity to self fuel, 11 11 there is a parenthesis next to line services, S-E-L-F, out of Norwood Airport, does that 12 12 and that is not checked. Do you know what line entity have to have a commercial permit to do 13 services are? 13 so? 14 14 Line services would be ground A. To the best of my understanding, Α. 15 15 handling of aircraft and basically towing no. 16 16 So I have just shown you what aircraft. 17 17 Q. Anything else? appears to be an e-mail exchange, or an e-mail, 18 18 Depending on the definition, it excuse me, from Chris Donovan to you dated 19 could be considered -- line services could be 19 Friday, September 7, 2012. Do you see that? 20 20 Yes. considered for fueling as well. I've seen that Α. 21 21 defined as fueling as well as ground handling Q. And there's a reference down at the 22 22 and hangar of the aircraft. bottom of the e-mail to an address from -- a 23 23 And it appears that the date for -name and address of Halim Choubah, 24 24 on the upper right-hand corner it says, "Paid, C-H-O-U-B-A-H. Do you see that? 27 29 1 1 7/5/12." Do you see that? A. Yes. 2 Yes. 2 Q. Do you know who he is? A. 3 3 Q. What does that signify? Yes. A. 4 4 That it was received on July 5, Who is he? O. 5 5 2012. That starts the new fiscal year for the Mr. Choubah was working as the 6 6 town. July 1 of 2012 is the beginning of the professional engineer on behalf of Boston 7 7 FY2015, fiscal year. Executive Helicopters. 8 Q. So as of this date, Boston 8 Q. Did you ever meet or speak with 9 9 Mr. Choubah? Executive Helicopters was not applying for a 10 10 commercial permit to sell any fuel; is that A. I don't recall speaking to 11 11 correct? Mr. Choubah, although he may have shown up at 12 12 one or more Airport Commission hearings. MR. FEE: Objection. 13 13 (By Mr. Hartzell) You can answer. Did you ever have any conversations Q. 14 14 It appears that they were not with him over the telephone, with him, with 15 15 applying to sell fuel -- to commercially sell Mr. Choubah? 16 16 A. I don't recall ever having a fuel. 17 And what about self, S-E-L-F, fuel? 17 conversation with him. Q. 18 18 I'm not able to tell from this And do you remember having any 19 19 permit application whether they already had e-mail exchanges with Mr. Choubah? 20 20 their fuel farm up and running and had already A. I don't recall having any e-mail 21 21 passed their final inspection with the fire exchanges with Mr. Choubah. 22 22 department. Are you familiar with an individual 23 23 by the name of Dave Aimola? I think it's Okay. I show you another document 24 that I don't believe has been marked, so we'll 24 spelled A-I-M-O-L-A.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

33

(Pages 30 to 33)

1 A. Yes. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

O. And who is Mr. Aimola?

Mr. Aimola was -- during the construction of the BEH fuel farm and hangar, he was the on-site construction manager representing BEH.

Q. Did you ever have conversations with him?

I believe we had one or several conversations. As I recall, we did have a construction safety meeting. I believe Mr. Aimola was there for that. And throughout the construction, I believe we had one or several conversations about the progress of the building, the fuel farm.

Did you ever have any telephone conversations with Mr. Aimola?

I don't recall.

Any e-mail exchanges with Mr. Q.

20 Aimola?

21 A. I don't recall. 22

Q. How often did you have construction meetings with anybody from BEH, approximately?

I know that we had a construction

having a discussion with anybody about the fuel system that Boston Executive Helicopters wanted to install? And I have some documents I can show you to help you remember this. What do you remember about it?

Generally speaking, I recall at one or several Airport Commission meetings the chairman and/or one of the commissioners asking about the scope of the fueling, whether it is going to be self-fueling or commercial fueling, but those are just memories of general questions and answers.

Do you remember when those questions were asked, approximately what time frame?

A. I would say 2012.

Let me show you another document, which I don't believe has been marked.

MR. HARTZELL: I would like to mark this as the next one. (Exhibit 212, E-mail and Attachments,

BEH0000869-BEH0000872, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you

31

30

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

safety meeting. It may have -- from that point

forward, other than informal conversations with

3 either Mr. Donovan, Mr. Aimola -- we did have 4

another gentleman. I think his first name was

5 Jason -- we didn't have regular meetings.

6 Although, as I recall, Mr. Donovan was briefing 7

the Airport Commission at its public meetings, monthly meetings, on the progress of the hangar and fuel farm.

Do you remember any discussions at any construction meetings or any Norwood Airport Commission meetings about the size of the building that BEH was constructing?

I don't recall any -- offhand, I don't recall any conversation to that effect.

By the way, just so the record is clear, BEH tore down the building where Swift Aviation was operating; is that correct?

A. Yes.

And they put a new building up in Q. that space?

A.

Q. Or in that area, we'll call it.

24 Now, at what point in time do you remember a document that has been marked as Exhibit 212

for the deposition today, which is a four-page

3 document. And there are little numbers down in

4 the bottom called Bates numbers. It's

BEH0000869 through BEH0000872. And this appears

6 to be an e-mail from Mr. Donovan to you copying

7 several other people. By the way, do you

8 recognize the e-mail where it says "from" on the 9 first page?

A. Yes.

And whose e-mail do you recognize O. that to be?

A. Chris Donovan, Boston Executive Helicopters.

And then when it says, "To: Maguire Russ," and then has an e-mail address. Is that your e-mail address at the airport?

A. Yes.

And again, this appears to have three different sheets and attached to it --

21 this is dated October 1, 2012, and these appear 22 to be -- can you identify the drawings that are

23 attached to this e-mail? It references three 24

sheets.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

2.2

A. Yes. These are some of the technical detail drawings, obviously a smaller version of what we received in a full set of plans from BEH regarding their fuel farm.

Q. So when you say "regarding the fuel farm," this is for the installation and these are sketches of the tanks that are going to be installed? Is that for drawings concerning the tanks? Is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And this is not a fuel plan; is that right?

A. These are technical -- these are engineering plans for the design of the fuel farm.

Q. And when I say a fueling system, what do you understand the difference between -- I'm sorry, that's a bad question. Let me start over.

What do you understand the
 difference to be between a fuel system at
 Norwood Airport and a fueling plan at Norwood
 Airport?

A. A fueling system plan would be

that we have to mark.

MR HAR

MR. HARTZELL: Can we mark this?

(Exhibit 213, Letter dated December 14, 2012, marked for identification)

Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm going to show you, sir, what was marked as Exhibit 213, which appears to be a letter dated December 14, 2012, from you to the Board of Selectmen, Attention: Michael Lyons. And it's regarding the fuel storage dispensing system of Boston Executive Helicopters. Do you recognize this letter?

A. Yes.

Q. And is that your signature on the second page?

A. Yes.

Q. And is that the third page -- there are three pages to this document, BEH0002933 through BEH0002935. And that's a memo that Mr. Ryan, Mark Ryan, sent to you regarding the hangar submittal in December of 2012. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

similar to what we have in this Exhibit 212,

which shows the details, the technical details,

³ of the construction of the fuel farm. And a

fuel plan such as a fuel plan drawing would be a

⁵ scaled plan that's stamped by a professional

6 engineer that shows the ingress/egress of

vehicles into the fuel farm and shows the property, the locus of the property and how the

fuel will be unloaded and uploaded

operationally.

MR. FEE: Could you read back the answer, please?

(Answer read by reporter)

Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) And going back to Exhibit 212, this does not have a fuel plan, correct? This only has the design of the tanks that are going in, correct?

A. Right.

Q. Now, this plan was approved at some point in time, right -- I'm sorry, the plans for the tanks were approved at some point by the Norwood Airport Commission, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. So let me show you another document

Q. But just so the record is clear, who was Mr. Ryan, Mark Ryan?

A. Mark Ryan is the town engineer, the superintendent of public works for the Town of Norwood. He's also the chairman of the Norwood Airport Commission.

Q. And could you just identify the third page for us, please, of what's been marked as Exhibit 213?

A. Mr. Ryan had sent a memo to me outlining from a public works standpoint what he wanted to see completed with regard to the BEH complex. He mentions sewer profiles and sewer manholes, the minimum slope for the sewer service, backflow preventers on the sewer service, so he's actually outlining from a public works standpoint what he wants to see Boston Executive Helicopters follow.

Q. And then on the first page of what's been marked as Exhibit 213, there is -- in the middle of the page, it says, "As conditions of approval, in addition to design plans, drawings, and technical details already submitted to the NAC..." The NAC is the Norwood

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

41

38

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Airport Commission, correct? 2

A.

"...is requiring BEH", Boston Q.

Executive Helicopters, correct?

A. Yes.

"...to" -- and it says, "Comply with the eight criteria..." I'm not reading the whole sentence under Number 1. But Number 2, "Comply with all taxi..." -- let me start over. "Comply with all taxilane object-free area restrictions that apply to the siting of BEH's fueling equipment per the airport design standard and clarifying guidance from the

Federal Aviation Administration." Do you see 15 that?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

Q. Now, why did you put that in this letter, what I just read?

19 We wanted to -- we being the 20 Airport Commission and my office -- wanted to 21 ensure that in the construction of the BEH 22 hangar and fuel farm there were no encroachments 23

into the taxilane object-free area.

Is that because there's a taxiway

to the property as well as temporary fixtures -or temporary items in the taxiway?

> A. They do.

At some point in time did the taxiway object area restrictions change -- or let me back up.

When did they come into regulation? When did they begin?

MR. FEE: Objection. You can answer.

I'm not sure when the FAA started in their airport design standard advisory circular, including taxiway object-free areas or taxiway safety areas or any of those restrictions, but I know that the Gate 4 taxilane was reconstructed with federal money in 2007. The TOFA, the taxilane object-free area, became a viable restriction at that point and that -- unfortunately, because it's added some complexity to the TOFA issue at Norwood, the FAA allowed existing structures to be encroachments into the TOFA but did not allow any new

construction inside that object-free area.

24 And was BEH's building considered

39

adjacent to the -- one side of the -- I think it's the west side of the building that BEH was constructing on the former Swift Aviation parcel?

The taxilane actually runs at the north face of the BEH hangar and the south face of one of FlightLevel's hangars, the Y2K hangar. It runs east/west. It also borders to the north of one of the -- another of FlightLevel's storage hangars and runs to the south of one of FlightLevel's hangars that they use for maintenance.

And explain what your O. understanding, if you would, please, of what a taxilane object-free area restriction is?

The FAA wants the airport users to refrain from fueling, spending any kind of protracted time in that object-free area, in order -- so that the taxilane can be fully utilized for its purpose, which is basically to encourage the -- and allow for the movement of aircraft along the ground.

So do the taxiway object-free area restrictions encompass both a permitted fixture new construction?

A. Yes.

Do you know if BEH was aware of this when it constructed its building?

A. Yes, they were.

Q. How do you know that?

It was brought up at meetings prior to the construction. Obviously, it was -- BEH was reminded of the taxilane object-free area in letters such as Exhibit 213.

And we talked about the reason -what your understanding is for the TOFA restrictions. Is it also to allow rescue equipment to be able to freely go up and down the taxiways as needed or if needed?

Yes, especially for Gate 4, which is the access gate for the Norwood Fire Department in a fire rescue event. But certainly the taxilane is -- the object-free area is to prevent any protracted encumbrances on a public way for the tenant businesses or transient pilots.

When you say "any protracted encumbrances," what do you mean by that?

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 42 to 45)

42

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1 One of the challenges at Norwood is 2 that on both the Gate 2 taxilane object-free 3 area and the Gate 3 taxilane object-free area we 4 have not only encroachments into the -- that 5 were encroachments at the time the taxilanes 6 were reconstructed, but we have -- we actually 7 have hangars that are just outside the taxilane 8 object-free area. It makes it very challenging 9 for anybody along either one of those taxilanes 10 to tow an aircraft out of the hangar. They're 11 called stacking hangars where they're moving 12 aircraft back and forth, in and out, of the 13 hangars. It makes it difficult for the tenants.

> So "protracted" means -- if I understand what you just said -- and please correct me if I'm wrong -- it's okay for a hangar that's adjacent to the taxiway object-free area to tow out an aircraft or move it there but not to do anything -- when you say "protracted", they can't park an aircraft there, right?

A. That's correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Q. And they could not take a truck and park it there, right?

identification)

Q. (By Mr Hartzell) I show you a document that we have just marked as Exhibit 214, sir. It's a memo dated January 28, 2013. It appears to be from you to Chris Donovan and it's got BEH Bates numbers at the bottom, 0002936 through 2944. And I'll ask you if you remember this document at all.

Α.

Q. And what is the subject matter of this document?

This document is really a reference sheet for Mr. Donovan to help him to complete his construction filings with the FAA and the MassDOT.

Q. Did you ever have any conversations with Mr. Choubah about any of the FAA requirements out at the airport or any requirements from MassDOT?

20 A. I don't recall having them with 21 Mr. Choubah. I did obviously have them with 22 Mr. Donovan.

> Q. Do you remember having any discussions about FAA regulations or MassDOT

43

Correct. A.

Or any other vehicle? Q.

We can't discourage the hangars from being utilized and for somebody to step away from a ground tug for a moment with an aircraft in the TOFA as the aircraft is moving out of the hangar, so within reason we -- you know, we allow for short durations for the TOFA to be used for the movement of aircraft.

MR. HARTZELL: Would you like to take a break?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. HARTZELL: Off the record.

14 (A recess was taken)

MR. HARTZELL: Back on the record.

(Testimony read by reporter)

(By Mr. Hartzell) Let me show you another document, which I do not believe was previously marked.

MR. HARTZELL: And this will be Exhibit 214.

(Exhibit 214, Norwood Memorial Airport

Memo dated January 28, 2013, marked for

regulations with Mr. Aimola, who we talked about 2 earlier today?

A. I don't recall any specific conversation.

Were you aware if Boston Executive Helicopters or Mr. Donovan ever hired or retained an expert in FAA rules, regulations, TOFA requirements, setback requirements, in connection with the construction of the BEH building on the former Swift parcel at Norwood Airport?

The only individual I remember Mr. Donovan hiring was a former FAA official who was a safety officer with the FAA.

Do you know who that was?

I don't recall his name. I would recognize it, but I don't recall it.

Did that official ever provide a report to either you or the Norwood Airport Commission?

> A. I don't recall a report.

Was this individual's name O.

23 Mr. Bennett?

Not the individual I was thinking

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 46 to 49)

46 48 1 1 Q. And what do you remember was of. 2 2 O. That's not the individual? discussed at that meeting? 3 3 We discussed the taxilane A. No. 4 4 object-free area and the concerns of the -- my At some point in time do you 5 5 remember having a meeting with the FAA and concerns, the Airport Commission's concerns, 6 6 Boston Executive Helicopters out near BEH's about violating -- BEH violating the taxilane 7 7 object-free area in order to take fuel building? 8 8 A. Yes. deliveries and to upload fuel from the fuel farm 9 9 into any mobile fuelers. Q. Do you remember when that was? I 10 10 have a document. I don't think I have come to Q. And what did BEH say at that 11 11 it yet in the timeline. meeting? 12 12 It was in the summer -- I want to BEH agreed to refrain from fueling 13 13 in the taxilane object-free area and to fuel -say --14 14 to perform any fuel loading/unloading east of Q. Keep going. 15 15 It was in, I want to say, June of its facility. A. 16 16 2013. Q. Was there any discussion at that 17 17 meeting about whether BEH had the right to Q. And do you remember what the 18 18 discussion was? conduct fueling operations on the eastern side 19 A. We discussed the taxilane 19 of its building? 20 20 object-free area and the concern of whether or As I recall, BEH led us to believe 21 21 not Boston Executive Helicopters would be able that they had property rights to conduct their 22 22 fueling east of their facility. to handle any kind of fueling outside the TOFA. 23 23 Q. Let me show you a document, which I Who was there from BEH? Q. 24 24 think references this meeting. Chris Donovan. And he had, as I A. 47 49 1 MR. HARTZELL: This will be recall, James Hilliard, an attorney, and Joshua 2 Exhibit 215. 2 Fox, another attorney. So he had, I believe, 3 (Exhibit 215, Letter dated June 24, 2013, 3 two attorneys. He had at least one attorney, 4 4 marked for identification) but I want to say he had two attorneys there. 5 5 Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) I have just When you say he led you to believe 6 6 shown you a document that has been marked as that he had property rights to the eastern side 7 7 Exhibit 215, which is a June 24, 2013, letter of the BEH building, do you remember anything 8 8 from -- it looks like from you, a two-page more specific about what he may have said? 9 9 letter, to Boston Executive Helicopters. Do you I don't really know the specifics 10 remember this letter? 10 of --11 11 A. Yes. Was Mr. Eichleay from FlightLevel Q. 12 And it's got BEH Bates numbers 12 at that meeting? 13 BEH0008038 through BEH0008040. And if you look 13 I don't recall him being there. A. 14 at the second page, it references a meeting with 14 And just so the record is clear, Q. 15 15 the FAA, MassDOT's Drew Mihaley, along with our you know who Mr. Peter Eichleay is? 16 engineers and hopefully a representative from 16 Yes. A. 17 the Norwood Fire Department and Mark Ryan from 17 Who is he? Q. 18 the Norwood Airport Commission. Does that 18 He's the president of FlightLevel. 19 19 refresh your recollection as to when the meeting Do you remember anything that 20 20 either Mr. Hilliard or Mr. Fox said at that was? 21 21 A. Yes. meeting? 22 22 And were all of those people that I A. I don't. I don't recall. 23 23 just mentioned in attendance at that meeting? There is a reference in the second

Springfield 413.732.1157

Yes.

24

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

24

13 Worcester 508.767.1157

paragraph on Page 2 of what's been marked as

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Springfield

413.732.1157

52

50

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Exhibit 215. It says, "As an aside: In a conversation with the FAA earlier today, the

3 agency did confirm that it has not yet received

4 your company FAA Form 7460 (Notice of proposed

5 construction and alterations specific to the

6 fuel farm)." And then it says, "FAA apparently

7 has received the 7460 for the proposed hangar).

8 Notwithstanding the affirming review from FAA on

9 the OFA issue, which is a separate issue, the

10 fuel farm 7460 -- once filed -- must also 11 satisfactorily be reviewed by the FAA prior to 12 the fuel farm construction." Do you see that?

> Yes. A.

Q. And could you explain what is the form 7460?

A 7460 is a notification of proposed construction. And FAA has certain parameters a little different from the parameters of MassDOT. Certainly any on-airport construction, generally speaking, would require the filing of a 7460.

Going back to the meeting, it says, "In attendance will be Lisa Lesperance from the FAA." And I believe you testified that she was

And what do you remember he said Q. about this?

He was actually -- Drew is the inspector -- he handles the inspections for MassDOT aeronautics, as well as accident investigations, and he inspects the fuel farms on the airports throughout the Commonwealth.

Q. Did he say anything about fueling in the object-free area or anything about that?

I don't recall Drew saying anything to that effect. As I recall, the FAA led that discussion and they just allowed FAA to do that.

Okay. It says, "...along with our engineers..." Do you remember if there was anybody else on behalf of the Town there in addition to Mark Ryan?

I only recall Mark Ryan being there.

So no other engineers that you can Q. remember?

Not that I can remember. It's not A. to say that we didn't have one of the other engineers there, but I just don't recall.

Who would have been one of the

51

1 in attendance?

> Yes. A.

Do you remember anything that she Q. said at the meeting?

A. As I recall, Lisa led the discussion for the FAA. She was the FAA representative that led the discussion on the BEH fueling procedures and whether or not it was

acceptable to, you know, allow fueling outside -- or inside the object-free area.

11 During the discussions, Mr. Donovan agreed to

12 fuel outside the object-free area to the east 13

side of the building. 14

Did she say that it was not acceptable to the FAA for BEH to conduct fueling operations within the object-free area?

I don't recall what she said exactly, but that was -- the impression I got was that it was not acceptable.

To the FAA? Q.

A. Not acceptable to the FAA.

And MassDOT, was this individual --Q.

Drew Mihaley, do you remember him being there?

Yes. A.

1 other engineers for the Town at that time? 2

We would have had possibly Sara Winthrop or Andy Murphy. Both of these engineers work for the Town of Norwood.

Q. I see. Did you ever discuss with either of them anything about BEH's fuel farm or BEH's plans to sell fuel at Norwood?

A. I have never -- I don't recall ever discussing that with either Sara Winthrop or Andy Murphy.

> Q. Okay.

The reference to our engineers could also be our airport engineer from DuBois & King.

Q. Who is that?

That would have most likely been A. Jeff Adler.

Did you ever have any conversations with him about BEH's fuel farm or proposed fueling plans at Norwood Airport?

A. I did.

Q. What do you remember about those discussions?

Mr. Adler attends pretty much all A.

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

14 Worcester 508.767.1157

53

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

56

57

54

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 of the Airport Commission meetings, so he's 2 heard over the years the updates and the issues 3 involving the BEH fuel farm. But I did reach 4 out to Jeff, as I recall, and asked him to 5 approach FAA, specifically Cliff Vacirca who is 6 in charge of engineering for the New England region of FAA in Burlington, to see if we could get a modification standard on that Gate 3 8 9 taxilane object-free area.

- And why did you ask him if that was possible?
- Well, I had spoken to the Airport Commission chairman about it. We thought it would be in the best interest of BEH and FlightLevel, which also borders the Gate 3 taxilane object-free area, to provide some relief to the tenants on the airport.
- And what was the result of that conversation you had -- or that he had with the FAA?
- Mr. Adler sent me an e-mail to the effect that FAA had concerns about providing such relief because of the nonstandard object-free area that we had with the

Memo dated June 17, 2013, marked for identification)

- (By Mr. Hartzell) Sir, I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 216, which appears to be a memo dated June 17, 2013, from you to the Norwood Airport Commission. And it's "Re: Gate 3 TOFA, Fueling Setbacks." Do you see that?
- A.
- 10 Q. I realize this is slightly out of 11 order because this appears to be before the 12 meeting that you had with the FAA? 13
 - A. Right.
 - But it's a two-page document, O. BEH0008036 through -- three pages -- through BEH0008038. Do you remember this memo?
 - A. I do.
 - And what was your purpose in Q. sending this memo?
 - I wanted to alert the Airport Commission to the fact that if we properly applied the Gate 3 taxilane object-free area and the fueling setbacks per the National Fire Protection Association that Boston Executive

55

- preexisting encroachments into the object-free area and the fact that itinerant aircraft not familiar with Norwood Airport, particularly after hours, during nighttime, might be attempting to ground taxi down the taxilane with nonstandard setbacks and there could be an accident, so the FAA discouraged that modification of standard.
 - So there was no modification of standard ever obtained, correct?
 - Α.
 - But you did ask the FAA if that was something that could be done, correct?
 - Yes. A.
 - And you said they discouraged it. Q. Did they just tell you that orally or in writing or --
 - A. They told Mr. Adler and Mr. Adler, as our engineer, told us.
 - I'm going to show you another document that we'll mark as the next exhibit.
 - MR. HARTZELL: Can we mark this as Exhibit 216?
 - (Exhibit 216, Norwood Memorial Airport

Helicopters would have difficulty, from what I 2 could see, providing any kind of an operation.

- So you've got some bolded language towards the bottom of the first page of what you marked as Exhibit 216. It says, "In summary, looking at the two standards, fueling setbacks and TOFA, my concern is the availability of space for BEH to establish and conduct fueling operations at, or beyond, the aircraft fueling setbacks, while also remaining outside the Gate 3 TOFA. Simply put, there's not enough real estate available to currently comply with both standards." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.
- We talked -- or you just mentioned O. fueling setbacks. Can you describe what your understanding is of those?
- The National Fire Protection Association has standards in place under NFPA 407, which sets down standards, guidelines -actually standards, I should say, for, in this case, the distance between a fuel port on an aircraft and the hangar or terminal. In this case it would be twenty-five feet. If there

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

60

61

(Pages 58 to 61)

58

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

were a heating unit, a boiler, et cetera, then that setback would increase to fifty feet from the boiler or whatever the structure -- that section of the structure is, fifty feet from that, to the fuel port of the aircraft or the vent openings.

Okay. So it references -- a little Q. above the bolded language it says, "Attachment F is a hand-drawn schematic..." And I believe we have that. I'm sorry, bear with me a second.

So the paragraph we're looking at references subsequent conversations with the FAA. Was that in connection with the modification issue that we just talked about a few minutes ago?

- A. Yes. I believe that's correct.
- Okay. Did you have any Q. conversation with Mr. Donovan or anybody at BEH about some of the concerns that you referenced in this memo that's been marked as Exhibit 216?
- Well, the taxilane object-free area had come up at the public meetings, the Airport Commission meetings, going as far back as 2012. Fueling setbacks, I believe, were expressed at

Q. I think we have some minutes that I might be able to help you out with that in a

But the issues about the TOFA and fueling setbacks that you mentioned that occurred in 2012, do you know if those were before BEH started construction of its building?

- Yes. A.
- 9 I forgot to ask you. It mentions O. 10 in that letter we just looked at, Exhibit 215, 11 someone from the Norwood Fire Department -- at 12 this June 27, 2013, meeting, do you remember if 13 there was anybody from the Norwood Fire 14 Department there? 15
 - A. I believe Lieutenant Butters was at that meeting.
 - Do you remember anything he -- is Lieutenant Butters male or female?
 - He's a male. Lieutenant Paul Butters.
 - Q. Do you remember anything that Mr. Butters said at that meeting?
 - I recall him approaching me after the meeting and telling me that he wanted to put

59

that time as well. The airport regulations cite the fueling -- NFPA fueling setbacks, and so that was referenced.

- Was Mr. Donovan present at meetings where you were present where these matters were discussed?
- A. He was at commission meetings most definitely where that was discussed.
- Do you remember anything that Mr. Donovan said in connection with either the TOFA or fueling setbacks as you've just mentioned?
- A. From the June meeting that we had with FAA, MassDOT officials, the fire department, and Chairman Ryan, as I recall, Mr. Donovan was comfortable with the object-free area and the fueling setbacks and was confident that there was enough real estate under his company's control east of their hangar that he could handle the fueling operations.
- Do you remember what the status was of the construction of his building in June of 2013? Had construction work started?
 - I don't recall when it started.

the TOFA restrictions into the permit from the 2 fire department.

- Do you know if he did that? Q.
- I believe he attempted to do that and there was some pushback on that.
 - Q. Pushback from who?
- From BEH. I don't recall who from A. BEH, but --
- And do you know why -- did he ever explain to you why there was pushback from BEH or what his understanding was as to why there was pushback from BEH on that?
 - A.
- Did you ever have an understanding as to why there was pushback from BEH on putting the TOFA restrictions in the fire department permit?
- My understanding was that BEH assumed that we told the fire department to put those restrictions in.
 - Q. And was that not the case?
 - A. That was not the case.
- That was something that the fire department came up with on its own?

21 22 23

24

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

64

65

(Pages 62 to 65)

62

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That was something that the -- that conversation was initiated by the fire department and, as I recall, told Lieutenant Butters at the time that he was, as the fire prevention officer, free to do whatever he felt was reasonable. I did not tell him it was a good idea or a bad idea.

- Thank you. Let me show you a letter that was marked as Exhibit 96 at Mr. Odstrchel's deposition. This is a letter dated June 20, 2013, from Peter Eichleay addressed to you. Do you remember receiving this letter?
- A. I do. And Mr. Eichleay references a O. number of different items, but he references taxiway and taxilane object-free area and fueling setback from structures restriction. Do
 - A. Yes.

you see that?

20 And is it accurate, sir, that those 21 matters had already been brought up to Boston 22 Executive Helicopters at Norwood Airport Commission meetings before this letter was sent? 24 MR. FEE: Objection.

1 would show that Boston Executive Helicopters had

- 2 the land. As far as the self-fueling versus the
- 3 commercial self-fueling or the commercial
- 4 fueling by way of mobile fuelers, I don't recall 5 there being a request for a set of drawings
- 6 specific to any one of those scenarios. The 7
- Commission just wanted to see that BEH had 8 property rights and the ability to move on its 9 own leasehold without encroaching on the
- 10 property rights of abutting tenants. 11
 - Has Boston Executive Helicopters ever provided such a plan?
 - A. They did provide a plan, a fuel plan drawing.
 - Do you remember what year that was? I have stuff I can show you if you can't remember.
 - A. I want to say it was the summer of 2013.
 - And did that fuel plan drawing have boundary lines on it to indicate Boston Executive Helicopters' property and the property rights of abutting property leaseholders?
 - I don't recall seeing a delineating

63

- Yes. My understanding was that BEH was aware of the TOFA and fueling setback restrictions prior to this letter.
- Were you aware at any time that Boston Executive Helicopters has made overtures to FlightLevel to purchase FlightLevel?
 - A. I was aware of that.
- And were you aware of any communications between Boston Executive Helicopters and FlightLevel where Boston Executive Helicopters wanted FlightLevel to sell its fuel at a low cost and that if FlightLevel didn't do that Boston Executive Helicopters was going to move forward with plans to install their own fuel farm to sell, S-E-L-L, fuel to third parties?

MR. FEE: Objection.

- I was aware of that.
- At some point in time did the
- Norwood Airport Commission or you request Boston
- 21 Executive Helicopters to provide certain
- 22 drawings or plans in connection with its desire
- 23 to both S-E-L-F fuel and S-E-L-L fuel? 24
 - We wanted a fuel plan drawing that

property line between Lot F, Boston Executive Helicopters' lot, and Lot G, FlightLevel's lot.

- And to this day, has Boston Executive Helicopters ever provided a plan that delineates those areas?
- No. The Commission asked for a scaled, stamped, from a professional engineer, drawing to show that and has not received that drawing.
- Has Boston Executive Helicopters or Mr. Donovan ever provided an explanation as to why it has not provided such a drawing to the Norwood Airport Commission?
 - A. No.
- Do you have any idea as to why Boston Executive Helicopters has not provided such a drawing to the Norwood Airport Commission?

MR. SIMMS: I'm going to object to that. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Should I answer? MR. SIMMS: You can answer if you know.

My opinion, in my opinion --

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 66 to 69)

66 68 1 1 way? MR. SIMMS: Wait, are you 2 2 hazarding a guess or can you answer the A. No. 3 3 If you go back to the first page, question? THE WITNESS: I'm hazarding a 4 4 it says, "Russ, sorry for the delay as we had 5 5 our engineers review the attached outlines." Do guess. 6 6 you see that? MR. SIMMS: I prefer you not 7 hazard a guess. A. 8 8 THE WITNESS: Okay, then I Q. Do you know what engineers he was 9 9 talking about in this e-mail? won't. 10 10 (By Mr. Hartzell) Fair enough. A. I don't. 11 11 Did you ever have any I'm going to show you another document we'll 12 12 mark as the next exhibit. conversations, that you can recall, between you 13 13 MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to and Mr. Donovan concerning these drawings or the 14 14 outlines, as he describes them, that are part of mark this as Exhibit 217. 15 15 (Exhibit 217, E-mail Exchange, June 2013, Exhibit 217? 16 16 marked for identification) A. I don't recall having a 17 17 conversation about this. (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm going to 18 18 show you what we have marked as Exhibit 217, Okay. I'm going to show you 19 19 another document that was marked as which appears to be an e-mail. It's a little 20 20 unclear. I'm sorry, if you look at the bottom, Mr. Sheehan's deposition as Exhibit 120. And 21 21 this is an e-mail from Chris Donovan to a number it appears to be from Chris Donovan dated June 22 22 27, 2013. Do you see that? of different people and it shows you as a copy 23 23 on Friday, June 28, 2013, 3:15 p.m. Do you see A. Yes. 24 2.4 And that's addressed to you and a that? Q. 67 69 1 1 copy to a number of other people, correct? A. Yes. 2 2 And it says, "Dear Lisa and Cliff." A. Yes. 3 3 Lisa is Lisa Lesperance of the FAA. And Cliff And it's Bates numbers BEH00010641 4 4 through BEH010645. And do you remember V-I-R-C-I-R-C-A is also with the FAA? 5 5 receiving this e-mail from Mr. Donovan? Yes. I believe that's incorrect 6 6 I vaguely remember this. spelling. I'm not sure that it was received. I 7 7 Now, the e-mail attaches some would be surprised. His last name is not 8 8 drawings, do you see those, on the last three spelled V-I-C. It's actually 9 9 V-A-C-I-R-C-A@fa.gov. pages? 10 10 Q. Do you believe that to be the A. Yes. 11 11 Q. Are these engineered drawings? correct e-mail for Lisa Lesperance? 12 12 I don't believe so. A. A. 13 13 And can you just explain on the Do you remember receiving this Q. Q. 14 14 record for us what you understand an engineered e-mail? 15 15 I vaguely remember it. drawing to be? 16 16 Just so the record -- it's My understanding of an engineered O. 17 17 drawing is, first, it's stamped by a documents with Bates numbers 3567 through 3570. 18 18 professional engineer and it's scaled. It's And it says, "I have completed and updated 19 19 proportioned correctly, scaled correctly. another form" -- excuse me, let me start over. 20 20 And are any of these drawings --"I have completed and updated 21 21 they are not stamped by a professional engineer, another FAA Form 7460 for our project, which is 22 22 attached. We have formulated draft plans and correct? 23 23 operations and will finalize them when the They don't appear to be. A. 24 24 Do they appear to be scaled in any system is installed in the fire department and Q.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 70 to 73)

70 72 1 the Commission approves the final installation." located on Lot G? 2 2 Do you see that? Α. I believe they're on Lot G. 3 3 A. Yes. Sir, is there anything else that 4 4 So if you go to the page with you would like to add to that? 5 5 numbers 3569, is that an FAA Form 7460? A. No. 6 6 Yes. And where it says -- there's a A. Q. 7 Q. And this lists the complete rectangular box next to where it says Boston 8 8 description of proposal, "Replace hangar which Executive Helicopters proposed hangar, and new 9 9 hangar to replace old existing hangar which fell fell down due to snowstorm, underground fuel of 10 10 storage tanks, 30,000 gallons outside of the due to snowstorm. Does that refresh your 11 11 taxiway object-free area (TOFA). All building recollection that, as of June 28, 2013, the 12 12 structures and equipment will remain outside the construction of a building had not yet begun? 13 13 TOFA. Utilize 100-foot crane at times for A. Yes. 14 14 erection of steel building and replace steel Q. And what is your recollection? 15 15 hangar, which fell down due to snowstorm, and That the building started -- I 16 16 prior 7460 filed for construction and approve don't recall how far into the future beyond June 17 17 27, 2013, but it certainly was not under your update of adding the fuel system and crane 18 18 use." Did I read that correctly? construction at that time based on this. 19 19 A. Yes. And then there's a little 20 20 rectangular box next to where it says Boston And does that refresh your 21 21 recollection as to whether the building or the Executive Helicopters proposed hangar. It says, 22 22 "Fuel truck loading/unloading area." Do you see construction of the fuel farm had begun at this 23 23 point in time in June of 2013? that? 24 24 A. It does not appear to have started A. Yes. 71 73 1 1 based on this. Is there anything on this document 2 Okay. And directing your attention 2 that delineates the boundary between Lot F and 3 3 to the last page of 35 -- which is Bates 3570, Lot G? 4 4 this appears to be a drawing that was attached A. No. 5 5 to 7460 for Boston Executive Helicopters. Do Did you have any questions for 6 6 you recognize this drawing? Mr. Donovan or BEH after you received what's 7 7 A. Yes. been marked as exhibit -- a copy of what's been 8 And you remember receiving it? 8 marked as Exhibit 120 in Mr. Sheehan's Q. 9 9 deposition? A. 10 10 Is this a scaled drawing? Q. Relative to this, I don't recall 11 11 having any questions for Mr. Donovan or BEH. A. 12 12 Did BEH ever provide any other Is it stamped by a professional Q. 13 engineer? 13 drawing about a proposed fuel facility at 14 14 Norwood Airport for its proposed fueling No. A. 15 15 Does it delineate the boundary operations after June 28 of 2013? Q. 16 16 between Lots F and Lots G at the airport? I don't recall them ever submitting 17 17 any technical detailed drawings, engineering A. 18 18 Q. Do you know where Lot G is located? drawings, of the design of a fuel farm or 19 19 hangar. We did receive as-built drawings, but A. Yes. 20 20 Q. Is Lot G adjacent to Lot F? we had to go to the Conservation Commission for 21 21 A. those, so that was received actually not that 22 2.2 And where it has markings it says, long ago. 23 23 "aircraft parking, aircraft parking." Are So the as-builts you received were 24 those, based on your understanding of the lots, 24 as-builts of the building?

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 74 to 77)

74 76 1 1 I don't know that FAA has issued A. Yes. 2 2 O. And the installation and the area any kind of approval on a fuel plan. 3 3 of the fuel farm, correct? MR. HARTZELL: Okay. We'll 4 4 Correct. mark this as Exhibit 219. 5 5 But have you or the Norwood Airport (Exhibit 219, E-mail Exchange, July 2013, Q. 6 6 Commission received any -- the as-built drawings marked for identification) 7 did not include any fuel system operation that Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) This is a copy 8 8 showed where the fueling -- where BEH wanted of a -- it's a two-page document, which I'm 9 9 fueling to take place, correct? showing you, which appears to be an e-mail 10 10 To the best of my understanding, from -- a couple different e-mails, BEH0010597 11 11 the Commission has not received any fuel plan through 598. The first e-mail appears to be 12 12 drawing after June 27, 2013. I certainly from Lisa Lesperance at FAA to Chris Donovan 13 13 haven't. dated July 2. Do you see that? 14 14 MR. SIMMS: Off the record. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you what 15 15 we'll mark as the next exhibit, which will be (Off-record conference) 16 16 Exhibit 218. MR. HARTZELL: Back on the 17 17 MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to record. 18 18 mark this as the next exhibit. (By Mr. Hartzell) Let me start Q. 19 (Exhibit 218, Letter dated July 2, 2013, 19 over, sir. 20 20 marked for identification) These are some e-mails back and 21 21 (By Mr. Hartzell) So I'm showing forth between Mark Ryan and Mr. Hilliard. And 22 22 you what's been marked as Exhibit 218, which is if you go back to the -- hold on. 23 23 a two-page document dated July 2, 2013, Do you remember seeing any of these 24 24 addressed to Christopher Donovan from Boston e-mails previously? 75 77 1 1 Executive Helicopters from the Federal Aviation The Mark Ryan e-mail, I don't 2 Administration. And if you look at -- the Bates 2 recall ever seeing. I'm clearly not on the 3 numbers are BEH003326 through 3327. Do you see 3 distribution for it. 4 4 that? If you look at Page 10597, I 5 5 believe it shows you the copy on the e-mail. A. 6 6 Q. And it shows you were copied on That's from 7 7 this. Do you see that? chris@bostonexecutivehelicopters.com. Do you 8 8 Yes. remember receiving that? A. 9 9 It references the description of Q. A. Yes. 10 the crane for hangar construction? 10 Just to get the time sequence 11 11 A. Yes. correct, July 8 -- I'm sorry, if you go back to 12 Do you remember having any 12 the bottom e-mail, there's a copy of an e-mail 13 13 discussion with Mr. Donovan about this? from Lisa Lesperance to Chris Donovan right on 14 I don't recall a discussion once I 14 that page, 10597? A. 15 15 received this. A. Okay. 16 16 Has the FAA ever issued an And that e-mail is after the June 17 approval, to your knowledge, of a proposed 17 27, 2013, meeting that you just described 18 fueling system, a fuel plan -- I'm sorry, I'm 18 earlier where the FAA --19 19 mixing the terms up. Let me start over. Yes. A. 20 20 Has the FAA, to your knowledge, -- stated it was not -- I don't Q. 21 ever issued a determination concerning a 21 know the exact words she said, but they didn't 22 proposed fueling plan to Boston Executive 2.2 want fueling in the object-free area, correct? 23 23 Helicopters? Yes. A. 24 MR. FEE: Objection. 24 And you believe this e-mail from Q.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 78 to 81)

78

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

FAA is based on that drawing that we just looked at, as part of Sheehan Exhibit 120, that shows BEH's proposed plan to fuel east of its hangar,

correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. That is all that I have on that. Thank you.

Now, as of July of 2013, had Mr. Donovan or anybody from BEH approached you or had any conversations with you about whether they had the right to fuel east of their hangar or conduct fueling operations east of their hangar?

A. Prior to?

Q. No.

> MR. HARTZELL: I'm sorry, could you read that question back? (Question read by reporter)

(By Mr. Hartzell) So in other words, before July of 2013.

A. I don't recall the specifics. I do recall the Commission asking about durability to conduct fuel and how much land they had available to do that. I don't recall the

our engineers, chairman of the Airport

2 Commission, to memorialize that site visit, the 3

7460, and to prompt Mr. Donovan to get the

fueling plan to the Airport Commission.

Q. Because it says here, if you look at the first page, there's two numbered matters. One is "My June 24, 2013, e-mail/letter was prompted to you by two overriding concerns that the NAC..." -- that's the Norwood Airport Commission, right?

A. Yes.

"...considered at that time to be Q. unresolved." And "1) Gate 3 taxilane object-free area (OFA)." Do you see that?

A.

And then it references in the next Q. paragraph -- I'll come back to the fueling plan in a minute -- "Specific to the OFA concern: Following our June 27th site meeting (with FAA, MassDOT, BEH, Norwood Fire, Mark Ryan, Jeff

20 21 Adler, and myself in attendance), I received

22 from FAA its final determinations. This

23 followed your 7460 construction filing to the 24

agency, which noted the planned use of a crane

79

specifics and I don't recall a timeline, but I want to say it was prior to July 2013 that those questions were asked.

MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 220. (Exhibit 220, Norwood Memorial Airport

Memo dated July 12, 2013, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 220, which is a two-page document with BEH0000651 through 0000652. And this appears to be a memorandum from you to Mr. Donovan at Boston Executive Helicopters dated July 12, 2013. Do you recall

this memo?

A. Yes. And do you remember why you sent

him this memo? When I say "him", I mean

19 Mr. Donovan of BEH?

20 A. It was -- as I recall, it was an 21 attempt to just memorialize some of the efforts 22 and some of the actions and decisions that were 23 made about the on-site meeting with FAA, 24

MassDOT, BEH'S representatives, Norwood Fire,

and revised location for the underground storage tanks." Do you see that?

Yes. A.

And then you say, the next O. paragraph underneath that, "Notwithstanding any additional issues or concerns posed by the Airport Commission next Wednesday, the FAA determinations - plus supporting information from its aviation planner, Lisa Lesperance - has answered my questions relative to OFA issue." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

And could you just explain to us Q. what the OFA issue was?

The object-free area on that Gate 3 A. taxilane.

Q. And that is because Mr. Donovan said he was not going to conduct fueling operations in the OFA area, correct?

A. Correct.

21 Now, the next -- if we go back up, 22 Number 2, the reference above, says, "BEH 23 fueling plan." Do you see that? 24

A. Yes.

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

84

85

82

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

And why were you referencing the BEH fueling plan?

As I recall, we hadn't received the initial one or we hadn't received an undated fueling plan. I don't recall which.

Well, you had received -- by July 12, 2013, you had received what we were looking at in Mr. Sheehan's Exhibit 120, right?

Yes.

Q. And that was an unscaled drawing?

A.

Q. That was not stamped by an engineer and did not delineate the boundary lines between various parcels at the airport, correct?

A. Correct.

And so that's what you received, Q. but had you received anything else from BEH concerning a fuel plan at that time?

No. And I just noticed, the second paragraph, third sentence from the bottom, I was asking Mr. Donovan to provide reasonable specificity of the document to put to rest the concern that BEH fueling would be conducted in a manner consistent with regulation standards,

lawyers at the time. It also shows a copy to 2

Dave Bennett. Do you know who Dave Bennett was?

A. I don't recall ever meeting

Mr. Bennett. He may have been a consultant for BEH. I just don't remember.

Q. Okay. Do you remember receiving this document?

A. I do.

And it's an e-mail. And these are 10 not Bates numbered, but there's a long 11 attachment which appears to be a document 12 entitled -- it says, "Boston Executive 13 Helicopters [Draft] Manual for Operations at 14 Small Airports - US, (MOSA-US)." Do you know 15 what that means, MOSA?

16 Manual of Operations at Small 17 Airports.

Q. And it's dated December 20, 2007. Do you see that?

20 A. Yes.

> And does this document -- do you know why Mr. Donovan sent this to you?

This was more or less a procedures manual for the fueling operations, very similar

83

1 best management practices, with minimal impact 2 to other airport tenants and users, and to also

3 let us know whether he was -- in writing whether 4

he was going to be interested in commercial fueling or self-fueling. It appears to have

still been an issue, a question.

And why did you write that sentence or two that you just mentioned?

Based on this memo, it doesn't appear that there were enough specifics and enough accuracy in the drawing that we had received.

Q. I'm going to show you the next exhibit.

MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to mark this as the next exhibit. (Exhibit 221, E-mail dated July 15, 2013,

marked for identification) (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 221, Mr. Maguire. It appears to be an e-mail from Chris Donovan at Boston Executive Helicopters dated Monday, July 15, 2013, to you and copying

other people including Mark Ryan and his two

to a manual that FlightLevel had on file that, I 2 believe, was given to Mr. Donovan during our 3 public records request. The manual for BEH is 4 very similar to the FlightLevel manual. 5

Q. Does this fueling operations draft document that is attached, following as part of exhibit -- let me start over.

Does this document, Draft Fueling Procedures, that has been marked as Exhibit 221 today contain a fueling plan as opposed to fueling procedures?

No. This is more of an internal document for quality control and record-keeping, et cetera. Again, this was a similar document to what FlightLevel has on file with our office and --

MR. SIMMS: Russ, you started the answer with the word no. You could have stopped there.

A. No.

I'm going to show you another Q. document, which we'll mark as Exhibit 222.

MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to mark this as the next document.

> 22 Worcester

> > 508.767.1157

Springfield 413.732.1157

89

(Pages 86 to 89)

86 1 (Exhibit 222, E-mail dated July 17, 2013,

marked for identification)

(By Mr. Hartzell) Sir, I'm showing you a one-page document, Bates number BEH0002952, which appears to be an e-mail to you from Lisa Lesperance dated July 17, 2013. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Do you remember receiving this? Q.

10 A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Did you have any conversations or prior communications with Lisa Lesperance in connection with this, the subject matter of this e-mail?

I don't recall any conversations A. beyond the on-site visit that we had.

But here Ms. Lesperance is describing what the FAA's understanding is about the fuel farm at Boston Executive Helicopters, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And the second paragraph says, "From the data submitted to us, it's our

understanding the fuel tanks will be underground

why -- what caused this communication to come to you from Ms. Lesperance?

A. I don't recall. There may have been a -- I don't recall.

So the first sentence of the second paragraph, "From the data submitted to us, it is our understanding the fuel tanks will be underground and 'flush', if you will, with the pavement and all venting of the fuel tanks will be along the hangar itself; therefore, there is no OFA violation." Do you see that?

Yes. A.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And that is -- is it your Q. understanding, Mr. Maguire, that that's based on the meeting where Mr. Donovan said he would not conduct fueling in the OFA area?

A. Yes.

18 And the next sentence says, "Fuel 19 trucks will park outside the FAA (along the 20 hangar side, somewhat between the two hangars)." 21 You understand that to be the area east of Lot 22 F, correct?

> Yes. A.

And that's based on the drawing Q.

87

and 'flush', if you will, with the pavement and all venting of the fuel trucks [sic] will be along the hangars itself; therefore, there is no violation." Do you see that?

MR. SIMMS: You said fuel trucks.

MR. HARTZELL: I meant to say fuel tank.

MR. SIMMS: Do you want to start anew? I don't mean to quibble, but I know you want to get it right.

(By Mr. Hartzell) Let me start over. I apologize if I misspoke.

The second paragraph in what's been marked as Exhibit 222 says -- and this is an e-mail from Lisa Lesperance at FAA to you, Russ Maguire. And that's your e-mail address at Norwood Airport, right?

A. Yes.

Q. I'm sorry, I forgot to ask you. Do you recall receiving this e-mail?

A.

And I will start over. Do you Q. remember what the circumstances were as to that's attached as the last page of

2 Mr. Sheehan's Exhibit 120 that we just looked at 3 earlier, correct?

> Yes. A.

5 And it says, "...and a hose will run from the underground tanks to the trucks. The diagram sent includes aircraft fueling to be in the same area as the parked fuel trucks (dispensing and fueling). Given this information, it is FAA's understanding no trucks or aircraft will be in the OFA; therefore, the 12 determination was a 'no objection' to this

activity." Do you see that?

Yes. A.

So is it your understanding that the FAA had no objection to BEH's proposed fueling as set forth in the last page of what was marked as Exhibit 120 in Mr. Sheehan's deposition because it did not show any fueling in the object-free area? Is that correct?

A. Yes.

I'm going to show you another Q. document that is a little bit out of sequence.

MR. HARTZELL: So this is

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 90 to 93)

90 92 1 Exhibit 223. July 19, 2013, from you to Mr. Donovan at Boston 2 2 (Exhibit 223, E-mail dated June 23, 2013, Executive Helicopters. Do you remember this 3 3 marked for identification) letter? 4 4 Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) Mr. Maguire, I'm A. Yes. 5 5 going to show you a copy of a two-page document Q. And it references a meeting on July 6 6 7, 2013. The Norwood Airport Commission met which appears to be an e-mail from Brandon Moss, 7 7 with Boston Executive Helicopters to dated June 23, 2013, to Timothy McCulloch. It's 8 8 specifically resolve two outstanding matters. BEH numbers BEH0008463 through 00008464. Do you 9 These involve the FAA design standard to Gate 3 9 see that? 10 10 taxilane object-free area (TOFA) as it applies A. Yes. 11 to your company's construction plans. Do you 11 And it references -- it says, "BEH 12 see that? 12 Fuel Plan Schematic, Included in the 7-17-13 13 MR. FEE: Objection to the 13 Airport Commission Meeting Packet." Do you see 14 form. 14 that? 15 A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And then we'll come back to 16 And if you turned to the second 17 Number 2 in a minute. The paragraph underneath 17 page, BEH0008464, and if you would open the last 18 that, "Regarding the NAC's first concern, as 18 page of Sheehan Exhibit 120 -- or the next to 19 indicated in Wednesday's meeting, the Board 19 last page of Sheehan Exhibit 120, which is the 20 considers this matter resolved." Do you see 20 drawing and compare the two, do those appear to 21 that? 21 be the same two drawings? I realize one copy is 22 A. Yes. 22 a little lighter than the other. 23 Q. What do you remember -- first of 23 Yes. They appear to be the same. 24 all, were you at the meeting on July 17, 2013? 24 Okay. So as of June 23, 2015, 91 93 1 1 there had been no additional fueling plan A. Yes. 2 submitted by BEH to the Norwood Airport 2 What do you remember about the 3 Commission; is that correct? 3 first numbered sentence in what's been marked as 4 MR. FEE: Objection. 4 Exhibit 224 that was "resolved"? 5 5 That's my understanding, is there As I recall, the Commission was 6 6 has not been a plan submitted after June 27, comfortable with following the site visit with 7 7 2013. the FAA and MassDOT and with the Airport 8 By the way, before I forget, in the 8 Commission being in attendance, BEH 9 9 74 -- some of the correspondence or e-mails we representative being in attendance, and our 10 10 looked at concerning the 7460 form was saying engineer, Jeff Adler, being there, comfortable 11 11 relocation of fuel tanks. So it would appear with BEH's agreement to remain outside the 12 that BEH moved the location of its fuel tanks on 12 object-free area. 13 13 the plan. Does that ring a bell with you? Do Okay. And Paragraph Number 2 says, 14 you have any memory of that? 14 "BEH's fueling plans and procedures with respect 15 15 A. I don't. to the TOFA, and the abutting interest of 16 16 Okay. I show you another document others." Do you see that? 17 that we'll mark as Exhibit 224. 17 Yes. A. 18 18 MR. HARTZELL: Can I mark this Q. Do you remember that being 19 as Exhibit 224? 19 discussed at the Airport Commission meeting? 20 20 (Exhibit 224, Letter dated July 19, 2013, A. 21 21 marked for identification) Q. What do you remember about that 22 (By Mr. Hartzell) Showing you a 2.2 discussion? 23 23 two-page document, which is BEH numbers 0002953 I remember FlightLevel having an 24 through 2954, which appears to be a letter dated 24 issue with the BEH proposal to fuel east of its

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

97

94

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 hangar.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

- Q. And what do you remember was discussed about that?
- Whether or not BEH would be on Lot G or FlightLevel's property.
- Was any decision come to concerning that issue by the Norwood Airport Commission?
- Well, BEH agreed to restrict any fueling east of the hangar until they could demonstrate that the property rights of others, namely FlightLevel, would not be violated.
- So just going back to the sort of second full paragraph on the first page of what's been marked as Exhibit 224, the second sentence says, "As for the second concern, at Wednesday's meeting, your company delivered to the Airport Commission documents that now more comprehensively address BEH's fueling plans and procedures, especially with respect to the TOFA and abutting property interest of others." Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember what documents were delivered at that time by BEH?

area, correct?

- Α. Yes.
- And BEH has also agreed, as of July 19, 2013, to not fuel east of its building, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And has that -- has BEH ever said that it's no longer agreeing to this fuel restriction of east of its leasehold?

MR. FEE: Objection to the

- I have never received anything from BEH that would supercede the agreement to restrict fueling.
- Just so I'm clear, BEH has agreed to not fuel in the object-free area, which is the taxiway adjacent to its hangar, and has also agreed not to fuel east of its hangar, correct?
 - Correct. Α.
- Okay. And the rest of that paragraph on the second page of what's been marked as Exhibit 224 says that. "This restriction will remain in place until such time that BEH can demonstrate to the Airport

95

- I don't have perfect recall on all the documents. I don't have perfect recall on that.
- 0. Do you remember if BEH submitted an additional fueling plan, other than the one you previously received as one of the pages to Mr. Sheehan's Exhibit 120?
- I don't recall them submitting anything beyond the June 27, 2013, fuel plan drawing.
- I think I earlier referenced this as the last page of Sheehan 120. That's incorrect. The drawing I've been referring to is Bates numbers 3570 of Sheehan Exhibit 120. But in any event, if you turn to the second page of what has been marked as Exhibit 224, it says, the second full paragraph, "Per Wednesday's meeting, BEH has furthermore agreed to an aircraft fueling restriction east of its
- 19 20 leasehold since this involves abutting
- 21 leaseholds." Do you see that? 22
 - A.
 - Q. So BEH at this point in time had agreed that it would not fuel in the object-free

Commission that the property rights of others will not be violated." Do you see that?

- To this day, has BEH demonstrated to the Airport Commission that the property rights of others will not be violated by its proposed fueling operations?
- A. I can't speak for the Airport Commission other than, perhaps, what's been passed on to me. I don't recall the Commission ever passing on to me that they felt that BEH had demonstrated that the property rights of others would not be violated east of their hangar.

MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 225. (Exhibit 225, Letter dated November 2013, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Hartzell) I'm going to show you, sir, what's been marked as Exhibit 225. First of all, can you identify this document? Hold on, another copying mistake.

Could you simply remove the second page? That

is not supposed to be part of the exhibit.

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

2

3

4

5

6

18

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

24

100

101

(Pages 98 to 101)

98

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HARTZELL: So the record is clear, Exhibit 225 is a one-page document with Bates numbers BEH0003461

- (By Mr. Hartzell) Sir, have you seen this before?
 - A. I don't recall ever seeing this.
- Okay. And it's the Town of Norwood 8 Fire Department, Boston Executive Helicopter, 9 UST Installation at 189 Access Road, Norwood, 10 Mass., August 6, 2013. And then Underground
- 11 Storage Facility and it has a list of items 12 beneath it. And it says -- if you go down five, 13 it says, "Comply with all taxilane object-free
- 14 area restrictions that apply to the siting of 15
- Boston Executive Helicopters' fueling equipment 16 per the airport's design standards." Do you see 17 that?
- 19 And right underneath that, it says, 20 "Comply with the taxilane object-free area 21 restrictions that may apply at a future date 22 regarding transfer of fuel from a bulk carrier 23 to the underground tanks." Do you see that?
 - Yes. A.

1 marked for identification)

2 Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) Mr. Maguire, I'm 3 showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 226, 4 which appears to be -- well, let me just say

5 it's a three-page document, Bates numbers 6

BEH0004357 through BEH0004359, which appears to

7 be a copy of a letter, a handwritten note in the 8 upper left-hand. It says, "November 2013." It

appears to be a copy of a letter to you from Mr. Donovan, I think, although it's -- do you recognize the signature on the last page?

A. I don't.

O. Do you remember receiving a letter from Mr. Donovan, November 2013?

A. I vaguely remember this.

I have a couple of questions for you about some of the things that are in this letter. If you look at the first paragraph, it says -- the next to last sentence that begins with "Also in November," do you see that?

It says, "Also in November, I sent O. a letter requesting removal of arbitrary taxilane markings on the ramp in front of my

99

And were these the TOFA matters that you remember discussing with Mr. Butters? Does that ring a bell?

To the best of my understanding, Mr. Butters was a silent participant throughout the site visit with FAA and MassDOT, BEH, the Airport Commission chairman, myself, and our engineer. He did approach me after the site visit and this is what he was referring to, I believe.

- When you say "This is what he is referring to," putting in the taxilane object-free area restrictions into --
 - A. Yes.
- -- Boston Executive Helicopters' permit to install the underground tanks at its fuel farm in Norwood Airport?
 - Correct.

19 MR. HARTZELL: Off the record. 20

(A recess was taken)

MR. HARTZELL: Back on the record. I would like to mark this as Exhibit 226.

(Exhibit 226, Letter dated November 2013,

hangar, as has been done elsewhere on the airport." Do you know what that refers to?

I can only speculate as to what he's referring to. I believe it's what had been markings on the north end of the north/south taxilane, which we converted into a helicopter operating ramp.

Why do you think he was requesting removal of the taxilane markings?

Again, I can only speculate. MR. SIMMS: Don't hazard a guess.

(By Mr. Hartzell) Don't guess or Q. speculate. Just tell me what you remember about it, if you remember anything.

I don't remember. A.

All right. It says in your e-mail -- next paragraph, "In your e-mail of March 11, you indicated that the Commission had advised you that the following approval of my completed fuel farm, I would be allowed to fuel aircraft on areas with the direct control of the Town, including helicopter parking areas." Do you see that?

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 102 to 105)

102 104 1 1 part, for safety concern? A. Yes. 2 2 O. Do you know what he's referring to A. I would say it's for safety so that 3 3 there or what that refers to? pilots using the taxilane can center the nose 4 4 A. I believe he's referring to the wheel on the center line and understand that 5 5 helicopter parking areas along the north/south there are edge limits on that surface as well. 6 6 taxilane. Those would be on the north end. Then it says, under Number 4, 7 They're adjacent to the north/south taxilane. "Permission to fuel aircraft in the areas in 8 8 And on the south end of the north/south front of and adjacent to my hangar." When he 9 9 taxilane, they're actually sited on the says permission to fuel aircraft in areas in 10 10 pavement. front of his hangar, do you understand what 11 11 areas he's talking about? Thank you. He goes on to list a 12 12 No. It's not specific enough. number of requests. But if you go to the second 13 13 page, it says, Number 4 -- wait a minute. Or adjacent to the hangar, do you 14 14 know what areas he's talking about? Forgive me. 15 15 Again, it's not specific enough I'm going to Number 3 on the second 16 16 page of what's been marked as Exhibit 226. It to --17 17 says -- the heading is Removal of Unnecessary Well, there are only two areas 18 18 Restrictions on the Gate 3 Ramp. And he wants where Boston Executive Helicopters indicated it 19 19 to remove -- I'm sorry, right underneath that, wanted to conduct fueling operations, initially 20 20 it says, "Remove taxilane markings on the in the taxilane object-free area outside of his 21 21 'taxilane to nowhere' in front of my hangar." building and then also east of his building, 22 22 Do you know what he was talking about there? which would impede on Lot G, correct? 23 23 MR. FEE: Objection. Correct. A. 24 24 And there's no other place that A. I can only infer from his wording Q. 103 105 1 that he's talking about the markings that run they could attempt to sell fuel or self, 2 east/west delineating the center line and edge 2 S-E-L-F, fuel, correct? 3 3 marks of the taxilane. MR. FEE: Objection. 4 4 Q. Did anybody at the airport ever A. Correct. 5 5 agree to remove the taxilane markings from in So it says, "Clarify that I can 6 6 front of the BEH hangar? park and fuel aircraft to the area in front of 7 7 A. Not to my knowledge. my hangar." Again, you don't know what area 8 8 Are the taxilane markings required he's talking about, right? Q. 9 9 by any rule or regulation? I don't know the exact parameters, 10 10 They're in a design standard. how far from his hangar, in front of the hangar, A. 11 11 Q. Promulgated by whom? whether it's the north side of the hangar. I'm 12 12 assuming it is, but I don't want to guess. A. By the FAA. 13 13 So it's an FAA regulation to have I just want what your understanding Q. 14 14 is. And he says, "...allow me to work with taxilane markings? 15 15 FlightLevel on an arrangement fueling between A. Yes. It's a design standard. 16 16 Do you know why the FAA requires Lots F and G between the hangars." Do you see O. 17 17 that, taxilane markings? that? 18 18 I can only assume that the FAA, as A. Yes. 19 19 with the taxiway or runway, is -- wants to You know what areas he's talking 20 20 have -- to show the limits of the taxilane as about there, correct? 21 21 far as the center line of the taxilane in this Yes. A. 22 22 case, and then the edge markings to delineate And did you ever prevent Boston O. 23 23 where the limits are. Executive Helicopters -- or did the Airport 24 Is it your understanding that's, in 24 Commission, to your knowledge, ever prevent

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 106 to 109) 106 108 1 1 Boston Executive Helicopters from trying to work offered, of space? 2 2 with FlightLevel for some sort of arrangement A. Yes. 3 3 for fueling aircraft between Lots F and G? Why is that? Q. 4 4 No. I never prevented them from The amount of square footage was 5 5 seeking some type of arrangement with comparable to -- and actually exceeded the 6 6 FlightLevel. And to the best of my square footage of the land that BEH had claimed 7 7 understanding, the Airport Commission never it had property rights to between the BEH hangar 8 8 prevented such an arrangement. and the FlightLevel hangar. 9 9 Do you know why he was asking in Okay. And just to follow up on 10 10 this paragraph for -- it says, "...allow me to what you said a minute ago, so it was offered to 11 11 work with FlightLevel on an arrangement for BEH. BEH turned it down, and then the offer was 12 12 fueling aircraft on Lots F and G between the ultimately withdrawn by the Commission? 13 13 hangars." Do you know why he was asking you for Yes. And then after the offer was 14 permission to do that? 14 withdrawn, then BEH expressed an interest in the 15 15 No. A. lease offer. 16 16 Q. Did you ever have any discussion We're jumping ahead of ourselves a Q. 17 17 with him about that? little bit, but did there come a time when there 18 18 I never discussed with Mr. Donovan was some additional lease space offered to BEH? 19 19 or anybody at BEH anything to do with an A. 20 20 arrangement for fueling between BEH and Was that 11,000-something square Q. 21 21 FlightLevel. feet? 22 22 Q. You're aware, are you not, sir, A. Yes. 23 23 that in November of 2013 that the Airport And did BEH accept that lease Q. 2.4 24 offer? Commission made a lease offer to Boston 107 109 1 1 Executive Helicopters? No. A. 2 2 Do you know how the A. Yes. 3 3 11,000-square-foot amount was calculated or why Q. And that was for 6,000-something 4 4 square feet; is that correct? that amount was offered? 5 5 A. Yes. As I recall, BEH had stated with 6 6 Q. What's your memory as to what the first lease offer of 6,000 square feet --7 7 happened with that request? and I believe it was about 6,800 square feet --8 It was -- the offer was turned down 8 that there wasn't enough land for them to 9 9 conduct operations. The Commission tried to by Boston Executive Helicopters and the offer 10 10 was taken off the table, after which Boston double the amount of square footage, give them 11 11 Executive Helicopters wanted to enter into that more land. 12 12 lease offer. And did BEH ever express to you the 13 Let me show you another document 13 reason why they decided not to accept that Q. 14 14 we'll mark as the next exhibit. offer? 15 15 MR. HARTZELL: This is Exhibit A. I don't recall. 16 16 227. Was that offer ultimately withdrawn O. 17 17 for the 11,000-something square feet to BEH? (Exhibit 227, Letter dated November 19, 18 18

Springfield 413.732.1157

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2013, marked for identification)

a different document now. Before we get to

that, do you know why the initial lease offer --

I'm sorry, do you know why the lease offer we

just talked about of 6,800-something square feet

was made? Do you know why that amount was

(By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

19

20

21

22

23

24

28 Worcester 508.767.1157

I don't recall what the final

disposition of that lease offer was, whether it

And was there subsequently a third

was officially withdrawn or -- I just don't

recall. It was never affected.

offer made to BEH?

A.

Yes.

(Pages 110 to 113)

110 112 1 1 And that was for Q. And it says on the first page of 2 2 23,000-and-something square feet? this letter, the next to last paragraph, "This 3 3 agreement permits BEH as successor of subleasee Yes. 4 4 And did BEH accept that offer? Swift Aviation, Inc., to use the westerly Q. 5 5 A. portion of Lease Lot G for its business 6 6 operations." Do you see that? Q. Did BEH ever express to you or did 7 7 you ever have an understanding as to why BEH A. 8 8 decided not to accept that offer? Did you have any discussion with 9 9 I have no recollection of any anybody about the content of this letter 10 10 reason put forth by BEH as to why they didn't separate and apart from any of your attorneys? 11 11 accept. 12 12 Q. In connection with the lease Q. Do you remember anything about what 13 13 offers, was each of them ultimately withdrawn by your reaction was when you received a copy of 14 the Commission? 14 this letter? 15 15 I know the first offer was I don't recall whether I had seen A. 16 16 withdrawn. I'm not sure about the -- you know, it and it was then posted in the -- within the 17 17 what the final outcome was on the second and meeting packet for the Airport Commission or I 18 18 third offers. saw it as part of another document, but I do 19 Q. And the first offer was withdrawn 19 remember seeing it. 20 20 after BEH rejected it, correct? Do you remember any discussions 21 21 Yes. about it at any Norwood Airport Commission 22 22 Q. I just showed you what we have meeting? 23 23 marked as Exhibit 227. That appears to be a I don't recall any discussion. A. 24 24 letter dated November 19, 2013, from Joshua Fox Nothing further on that. Q. 111 113 1 to Mr. DeLaria. By the way, just so the record MR. HARTZELL: Could we mark 2 is clear, do you know who Michael DeLaria is? 2 Exhibit 228? 3 A. Yes. 3 (Exhibit 228, Letter dated December 5, 4 4 Q. Who is he? 2013, marked for identification) 5 5 A. He's the general manager for (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you 6 6 FlightLevel. I believe his new title is Chief a document that we have marked as Exhibit 228, 7 7 Operating Officer. which has Bates numbers 1866 through 1867. It's 8 8 Q. He works out at the airport? dated December 5, 2013, which is a letter from 9 9 Yes. Nicholas Burlingham -- and by the way, just so A. 10 10 Q. And this document is BEH number the record is clear, do you know who 11 11 0000510 through BEH0000514. And you're shown as Mr. Burlingham is? 12 a cc'd on this letter. Do you see that? 12 Yes. A. 13 13 Who is he? A. Yes. Q. 14 Do you remember receiving this 14 Q. He's the general counsel for A. 15 15 letter? FlightLevel. 16 16 A. Yes. And this is addressed to Attorney 17 Do you remember having any 17 Joshua Fox. And I believe you mentioned Mr. Fox 18 discussions with anybody about this letter 18 earlier as an attorney for BEH? 19 19 that's been marked as Exhibit 227? Yes. A. 20 20 A. No, I didn't have any discussions Q. And this appears to be in response 21 21 to the letter of November 19 that we just talked with anyone. 22 22 O. Did you have any questions for Mr. about that was marked as Exhibit 227. Do you 23 DeLaria or Mr. Fox about this letter? 23 remember receiving what's been marked as Exhibit 24 24 A. No, not that I recall. 228?

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 114 to 117)

114 116 1 the second page, he says, the last sentence, A. Yes. 2 2 O. And do you remember having -- do "Consistent with these recent changes, the 3 3 you remember having any discussions with anyone apron/ramp in front of Lot F should be 4 4 about Exhibit 228? designated as an apron/ramp, not as a A. I don't recall having any 5 5 taxiway/taxilane." Do you see that? 6 6 discussions with anyone on this. A. Yes. 7 Is it fair to say that after you Q. Do you believe that Mr. Donovan was 8 8 read this letter you understood that FlightLevel asking the airport to remove these taxilane 9 9 did not agree that BEH had any right to conduct markings so that he could conduct fueling 10 10 any operations on its -- any fueling operations, operations in front of his hangar in the 11 11 presently located object-free area? excuse me, in the areas on Lot G? 12 12 Yes. That was my understanding. MR. FEE: Objection. 13 13 Did Mr. Burlingham ever approach A. I don't know what his intentions 14 14 you or have any discussions with you about what were. 15 15 has been marked as Exhibit 228? Did you discuss this with him at 16 16 A. I don't recall having any all, this letter that's been marked as Exhibit 17 17 one-on-one discussions with Attorney Burlingham 229? 18 on this. It was, I believe, included in the 18 I don't recall discussing the 19 commission packet and left at that. 19 letter either before he drafted it or after I 20 20 I'm going to show you another received it. Q. 21 21 document. Q. And were the taxilane markings ever 22 22 MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to removed? 23 23 mark this as the next exhibit. A. 24 24 (Exhibit 229, Letter dated December 27, Was there some repaying done at Q. 115 117 1 1 2013, marked for identification) some point in time? 2 2 Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) Showing you what There was some repaving done as 3 has been marked as Exhibit 229, which is a 3 part of his fuel farm construction and we did 4 4 insist that he restore the taxilane markings, two-page document with Bates BEH0003303 through 5 5 304. It appears to be a copy of a letter dated the Gate 3 taxilane. 6 6 December 27, 2013, from Christopher Donovan, O. And did he ultimately do that? 7 7 president of Boston Executive Helicopters to the A. 8 Norwood Airport Commission and Mr. Maguire. Do 8 Is it fair to say you had to remind Q. 9 9 you see that? him do that? 10 10 MR. FEE: Objection. A. Yes. 11 11 I did remind him. I'm not sure And do you remember receiving a 12 12 copy of this letter? whether I had to or not. I just wanted to 13 13

A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So if you look at the -- and the subject matter of this letter -- one of the subject matters of this letter is that Mr. Donovan wants to remove the taxilane markings in and around the Gate 3 area?

A. Yes.

He's claiming that Gate 3 is a vehicle gate, not an aircraft gate, so it can't

22 be a destination for aircraft using the 23

taxilane, then he discusses a number of other

24 things. And if we look at the top paragraph on ensure that the markings were put back in place.

I show you the next exhibit.

MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to mark this as the next exhibit. (Exhibit 230, Letter dated January 22, 2014, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Hartzell) I show you what's been marked as Exhibit 230, which appears to be a letter from you to Mr. Donovan dated January 22, 2014, with Bates numbers BEH0000705

23 through 706, and ask you if you remember this 24

letter.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 118 to 121)

120 118 1 Yes. 1 Q. A. Is to request a business plan? 2 2 O. There's a number of things A. 3 3 referenced in this letter, apparently an e-mail Do you remember BEH raising any 4 4 from him dated January 15 that you're responding objections to providing a business plan in 5 5 to, at least in part, as referenced in sort of connection with its initial Commercial Permit 6 6 the third paragraph of the first page of Exhibit Application? 7 7 230. Do you see that? A. I don't recall them raising any 8 MR. FEE: Objection. 8 concerns about it. 9 I'm not sure -- oh, this paragraph. 9 And then the next sentence says, 10 10 The third thing down on the first "Given your company's interest in growing its 11 11 page. Do you see that? operation beyond that which was outlined in the 12 12 Yes. A. original plan, to a full-service FBO, the NAC 13 13 Apparently, Number 3 says, "The NAC would like you to update said plan to support 14 is not interested in another TOFA/OFA review. 14 the changing interests of your company. Per the 15 15 Six months ago, in July 2013, the NAC had Norwood Airport minimum standards, and without 16 16 officials from FAA, MassDOT, Dubois & King, and getting into an unnecessary documentation 17 17 Norwood Fire on-site to discuss the TOFA/OFA exercise on your part, as a suggestion, these 18 18 issue." Do you see that? updates, under one cover, may include," and then 19 19 Yes. you list six different items. Do you see that? A. 20 20 Could you tell us what that refers Q. Α. 21 21 to, please? Q. And Number 5 also talks -- says, 22 22 I'm assuming he's talking about the "Proposed fueling location(s) at Norwood 23 23 on-site meeting that we had to address the Airport, to include the prospect of fueling on 2.4 24 loading and unloading of fuel on his lot without the airport's west apron." Do you see that? 121 119 1 1 triggering an object-free area violation of any A. Yes. 2 kind. 2 Where is the west apron in relation O. 3 3 to BEH's fuel farm? And if you turn to the -- I'm 4 4 sorry, let's continue down on this page. The A. It's actually beyond the 5 5 FlightLevel hangar Aimoli Trust building moving first bullet, which is at the bottom of the page 6 6 says, "Regarding your interest in a full-service east past FlightLevel's facility on Lot G. It's 7 7 FBO commercial permit..."? an aircraft parking apron. 8 8 Yes. So in order for BEH to fuel on the A. 9 9 So it says, "...as stated in the 0. west apron, it would have to load and unload 10 10 NAC's January 15th public meeting a number of fuel from its fuel farm, correct, put it in fuel 11 11 years ago, BEH filed its initial Commercial trucks? 12 Permit Application that included a business 12 A. Yes. 13 plan." Do you remember any discussions about 13 And they had already said -- they Q. 14 14 the initial business plan that BEH filed in had told the FAA they weren't going to use the 15 connection with its initial Commercial Permit 15 taxiway area, correct, for fueling? 16 16 Application? MR. FEE: Objection. 17 17 The object-free area, right? I don't recall any specific Q. 18 18 questions that the Commission might have had at MR. FEE: Objection. 19 the time. 19 MR. SIMMS: You can answer. 20 20 But in any event, you do recall They had told the FAA they would that the Commission -- did the Commission 21 21 not conduct any fueling operations inside the 2.2 22 request a business plan in connection with BEH's object-free area, the Gate 3 taxilane 23 23 initial Commercial Permit Application? object-free area. 24 That was a minimum standard. 24 And in fact, the Norwood Airport

Springfield 413.732.1157

125

(Pages 122 to 125)

122

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Commission -- strike that. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

And they agreed to a restriction to conduct fueling operations east of their hangar building, correct?

MR. FEE: Objection.

(By Mr. Hartzell) So how would they conduct fueling operations on the west apron if they couldn't load or unload trucks in the taxiway object-free area and there was a restriction on the area east of their building?

MR. FEE: Objection.

- They would have to not defy any property right encroachments on the property east of Lot F and conduct mobile fueling.
- Did they ever provide any information, plans, or schematics to Norwood Airport Commission to show how they could do that, in other words, fuel on the west apron?
- I think this is part of the fuel plan drawing that's outstanding.
- And you also reference -- there's a footnote on the second page of what was marked as Exhibit 230. It references a Footnote 1, "The fueling schematic BEH submitted to the NAC

1 (By Mr. Hartzell) Mr. Maguire, I'm 2 showing you a letter dated January 27, 2014, two 3 pages, BEH0000930 through 931. And it appears 4 to be a copy of a letter to you from 5

Mr. Donovan. Do you see that? 6 A. Yes.

Q. Do you remember receiving this letter?

Yes. Α.

Now, he talks about obtaining certain lease -- his desire to obtain certain lease space by BEH and talks about, the first numbered area, "Preferential lease of the West Apron." Do you see that?

> A. Yes.

And was the west apron encumbered by certain aircraft tie-downs in January of 2014?

> Yes. A.

So he says a preferential lease of the west apron. Was that available to even lease to him at that point in time, or to BEH at that point in time?

MR. FEE: Objection.

123

for its meeting on July 17, 2013, shows a

location east of the BEH hangar, in the vicinity

- 3 of Lot G, which BEH indicated at the time that
- 4 it planned to use for aircraft fueling.
- 5 However, as evidenced by recent correspondence 6
- (12/5/13) from FlightLevel's attorney, Nick
- 7 Burlingham, to BEH, copied to my attention,
- 8 FlightLevel has not yet agreed to allow BEH to
- 9 utilize the westerly portion of Lot G for 10
- airport fueling." Do you see that? 11
 - A. Yes.
 - And the drawing, the fueling schematic that's referenced in that footnote, is that the same fueling schematic that's referenced as Document Number 3570, Bates number, as part of what was part of Mr. Sheehan's Exhibit 120?
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Let me show you the next, Exhibit Q. 20 231.

21 MR. HARTZELL: I would like to 2.2 mark this as Exhibit 231.

23 (Exhibit 231, Letter dated January 27, 24

2014, marked for identification)

I recall much of that lease was being utilized by our aircraft tie-down customers.

And so the record is clear, could Q. you explain what an aircraft tie-down is?

This would be similar to a slip at a marina basically for aircraft to -- the owners to tie down the aircraft with the intent of using the airport as a base of operations, having the aircraft available on an open ramp designated for that particular customer, designated for their use, under whatever conditions the Airport Commission decided at that time.

Thank you. I'm going to show you another e-mail, which I don't think you were copied on.

MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 232.

(Exhibit 232, E-mails and Enclosures dated January 2014, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Hartzell) Mr. Maguire, I'm showing you 232, Bates numbers BEH0010317 through 321, which appears to be some e-mails

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 126 to 129)

128 126 and enclosures between Lisa Lesperance of FAA A. I believe in this case I'm actually 2 2 and Mr. Donovan dated January 2014. Have you treating them as one in the same. The design 3 3 ever seen any of these e-mails before? plan is the fueling plan. 4 4 Because the Norwood Fire Department 5 5 has to sign off on the underground fuel tanks, Q. I'm going to show you a document 6 6 marked as Exhibit 94 at Mr. Odstrchel's correct? 7 7 deposition. And this is a two-page document A. Yes. 8 8 And the Norwood Fire Department with Bates numbers NORWOO1318 through 1319 and 9 does not sign off on an actual fueling plan that 9 it appears to be an e-mail from you at the top 10 shows the actual location of fuel trucks, does 10 dated May 21, 2015, to Brandon Moss with a copy 11 11 to Mark Ryan. Do you see that? 12 No. I wanted to ensure that the 12 A. Yes. 13 fire department had the same information we had 13 And the first e-mail appears to be 14 on the stamped plans from BEH's engineer. 14 forwarding an e-mail from Mr. Greeley. Is he 15 And the only stamped plans that you 15 the Norwood fire chief? 16 received from BEH's engineer were the plans that 16 Yes. 17 we showed you earlier about the location of the 17 Q. It's to you dated February 12, 18 actual fuel tanks themselves, correct? 18 2014, and then there's an e-mail at the bottom 19 That's correct. Α. 19 from you to Mr. Butters and Mr. Greeley and 20 And you've never received any 20 Mr. Thomas Wynne. Could you briefly identify 21 stamped plans from an engineer from BEH 21 Mr. Wynne for the record, please? 22 concerning its proposed fueling operations, in 22 Tom Wynne was the Airport 23 other words, how it proposes to fuel any 23 Commission chairman at the time. 24 aircraft? 24 Q. In February of 2014? 129 127 1 1 No. I have not received that, A. Yes. 2 And if you look, there is some 2 other than the unstamped -- unscaled plans, plan O. 3 3 language that is underlined where it says, "Good drawings that we have. 4 4 morning, Paul." Do you see that at the bottom The schematic that we have as 5 5 Number 3570 that we talked about earlier today of the first page --6 6 A. Yes. that's part of Mr. Sheehan's deposition, Exhibit 7 7 120, correct? Q. -- of what was marked as Exhibit 8 8 947 A. 9 9 Did there come a point in time when A. Yes. O. 10 10 And it says, "As part of the NAC's the Norwood Airport Commission decided to offer 11 11 permit process, under the airport's minimum some space to -- we're getting back to lease 12 standards, the board requires that the applicant 12 space again. By the way, maybe I can short 13 13 fueling plan be approved by both my office and circuit some of this. 14 14 So was the 6,800-something square Norwood Fire Department. So my first question: 15 15 Has BEH provided you with a copy of their plan feet we talked about earlier part of the west 16 16 yet? BEH has already provided me with a copy." apron? 17 17 Do you see that? Yes. A. 18 18 A. Yes. O. And that's the lease offer that was 19 19 Do you know what copy that is offered to them and they refused it and it was 20 20 referred to in this e-mail? ultimately withdrawn, right? 21 21 Yes. Those are the design plans for the A. A. 22 2.2 fuel farm with the technical details. MR. HARTZELL: Could I have 23 23 Well, it talks about a fueling this marked as Exhibit 233? 24 plan. Is that different from the design plans? 24 (Exhibit 233, Airport Commission Meeting

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 130 to 133)

130 132 1 Minutes, Regular Business Meeting, 2014, that was the time of the west apron lease 2 2 February 12, 2014, marked for offer from 6,889 square feet. Does that sound 3 3 identification) right to you? 4 4 A. I don't recall the date of the Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you 5 5 what has been marked as Exhibit 233, which are lease offer. 6 6 Let me -- we'll do this quickly. Airport Commission meeting minutes from February Q. 7 7 12, 2014, with BEH numbers 0002962 through 2964. MR. HARTZELL: This is Exhibit 8 8 235. Do you see those? 9 (Exhibit 235, Letter dated March 17, 2014, 9 A. Yes. 10 marked for identification) 10 And on the first page, BEH's Chris 11 (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you, 11 Donovan says, "Hangar update." Do you see that? 12 sir, what's been marked as Exhibit 235, which is 12 A. Yes. 13 a copy of a letter from you to Chris Donovan 13 As of February 12, 2014, is it fair 14 dated March 17, 2014. I apologize for the light 14 to say that the construction of the building was 15 copying on the first page, but it appears to 15 still in process? 16 reference the west apron lease offer for 6,889 16 A. Yes. 17 square feet. Do you see that? 17 Q. And that the fuel farm installation 18 18 had not yet occurred? 19 Does this refresh your recollection Q. 19 A. Yes, that's correct. 20 as to when the first offer was made for space --20 Q. All right. 21 A. 21 MR. HARTZELL: Next exhibit, 22 -- to BEH? And that was in March Q. 22 please. 23 of 2014? 23 (Exhibit 234, Airport Commission Meeting 24 A. Yes. 24 Minutes, Regular Business Meeting, March 131 133 1 1 12, 2014, marked for identification) MR. HARTZELL: Next exhibit, 2 2 (By Mr. Hartzell) Showing you 234, please? 3 which is BEH0002978 through 2979, which appear (Exhibit 236, Letter dated March 21, 2014, 4 4 to be March 12, 2014, Airport Commission meeting marked for identification) 5 5 minutes. Do you see those? (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you, 6 6 A. Yes. sir, what has been marked as Exhibit 236, which 7 7 Q. Does this show you were in appears to be a copy of a letter with Bates 8 8 attendance? BEH0003056 through 3071. It's a letter from 9 9 Yes. March 21, 2014, from you to Mr. Donovan and has A. 10 10 And if you will look at the second a number of enclosures. Do you remember this 11 11 page under new business, it says, "Boston letter sent to BEH? 12 Executive Helicopters (BEH) full-service, 12 Yes. A. 13 13 fixed-base operator interest." Do you know if Q. And why was this letter sent? 14 at around this time, in late winter/early spring 14 Because BEH was operating an 15 15 2014, that was the time that BEH was expressing aircraft in an unauthorized part of the airport 16 16 and I felt in an area that was unsafe. an interest before the Board -- I'm sorry, 17 17 before the Commission to operate a full-service, And what was the aircraft? Q. 18 18 fixed-based operator? It was one of their turbine A. 19 19 I'm not sure this was the first aircraft. 20 20 time that they had expressed interest. But Was it a helicopter? Q. 21 21 clearly, this is an agenda item, so I -- I just A. Yes. 22 22 don't know whether that was the first time they And it references -- under Tab A, 23 23 had expressed an interest. it says, "Five video stills...having taxied up 24 24 Q. Okay. So another item, in March of to the Gate 3 taxilane, landing just off of the

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 134 to 137)

134 136 1 1 Gate 3 taxilane..." Do you know where As I recall, yes. 2 2 approximately that is? Now, we were earlier talking about 3 3 Yes. when BEH was expressing an interest to become a A. 4 4 Where is that? full-service FBO and that the Airport Commission Q. 5 5 That is just to the east of was requesting certain financial information 6 6 FlightLevel's Aimoli Trust Building in the from it. Do you remember that testimony? 7 vicinity of an electrical transformer. And we A. 8 8 had a picnic table or several picnic tables out Do you remember we talked about the 9 9 there at the time as well. So this area was initial business plan, which was done years 10 10 just to the west of the west apron, just to the before. And they had -- they provided the 11 11 east of the Aimoli Trust hangar complex. business plan, and then there was additional 12 12 information requested of BEH in connection with Thank you. Was this issue 13 13 remedied? their design to become a full-service FBO. 14 14 We did not have a recurrence of Now, what was -- do you remember Α. 15 15 this activity after that, of this particular what the information was that the Norwood 16 16 flight activity. Airport Commission was seeking? 17 17 In April of 2014, do you remember A. In 2014? 18 18 Mr. Fox stating that -- either Mr. Fox or Sure, yes. Q. 19 19 Mr. Donovan stating at an Airport Commission And this is --A. 20 20 meeting that they wanted to have 100,000 square In connection with -- excuse me, 21 21 feet for lease at the airport? BEH was expressing an interest in becoming a 22 22 A. I do recall that. full-service FBO. There was a reference in the 23 23 In April of 2014, was there 100,000 earlier documents to requesting the -- the 24 24 square feet available to lease to BEH out at the Norwood Airport Commission was requesting 135 137 1 airport? additional financial information from BEH in 2 I don't recall there being that 2 connection with that. Do you remember that? 3 3 Yes. I don't have -- I actually much space. Certainly, on the west apron there 4 4 were aircraft tie-down customers on that parking don't have perfect recollection on what the 5 5 apron. And that apron, when you consider the financial information they were asking for at 6 6 north/south taxilane object-free area and Gate 3 that time was. 7 7 taxilane object-free area, there's not -- I Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 8 8 don't believe it amounts to 100,000 square feet. 230. If you would look at Exhibit 230, which we 9 9 talked about earlier, which is a letter that you In order for 100,000 square feet in 10 10 the spring of 2014 to be leased to Boston had sent to Mr. Donovan. If you look at the 11 11 Executive Helicopters, would either leases have second page, you were giving him a suggestion of 12 to have been broken by the NAC? 12 certain financial information? 13 13 MR. FEE: Objection. Mm-hmm. A. 14 The Airport Commission had aircraft 14 And could you explain why you were Q. 15 15 tie-down customers on the west apron. There making that suggestion to Mr. Donovan? 16 16 MR. FEE: Objection. I'm were already business arrangements with aircraft 17 17 tie-down customers. sorry, are you on 231? 18 18 So the answer would be yes? MR. HARTZELL: 230. 19 19 MR. FEE: Objection. (By Mr. Hartzell) Or why the 20 20 (By Mr. Hartzell) In other words, Commission was asking that have -- no, it was 21 21 some of the 100,000 square feet BEH was your suggestion. 22 2.2 requesting was subject to existing leases, MR. FEE: To the extent any of 23 23 that is on the record, objection. correct?

Springfield 413.732.1157

24

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

24

35 **Worcester 508.767.1157**

(By Mr. Hartzell) Anyway, just

MR. FEE: Objection.

(Pages 138 to 141)

140 138 1 1 look at the second page of what was marked as And are these other individuals 2 2 Exhibit 230? copied on here e-mails for the then Norwood 3 3 I was referencing back to the Airport Commission members? 4 4 minimum standards and just using those as a That's correct. 5 5 guide post for the five points that are -- some And do you remember -- are you 6 6 of those points that are made. I don't recall requesting certain financial information from 7 7 beyond that. Mr. Donovan, or is this e-mail requesting 8 8 Do you know why the Norwood Airport additional information by the Airport 9 9 Commission was looking for financial information Commission? 10 10 from Boston Executive Helicopters when it A. Yes. 11 11 expressed its interest in becoming a And again, do you have any memory 12 12 full-service FBO? as to why the NAC was requesting this 13 13 It would be speculative on my part. information back in April of 2014? 14 MR. SIMMS: Then don't 14 I understood it to be the 15 15 Commission's interest in having this information speculate. 16 16 (By Mr. Hartzell) Were you present to assess, BEH's financial viability to perform 17 17 during any Airport Commission meetings when any as an FBO. 18 18 Airport Commission member said why it was Over the course of your time as 19 requesting additional finance information from 19 manager at the airport, to your knowledge, has 20 20 Boston Executive Helicopters? Norwood Airport Commission requested other 21 21 Yes. I just don't recall exactly companies' financial information when they were 22 22 the rationale that they used. requesting a permit to operate at the airport? 23 23 Was it because this was new and A. 2.4 24 different expanded business? Including business plans and Q. 139 141 1 financial information? MR. FEE: Objection. 2 I don't recall. 2 A. Yes. A. 3 3 MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to Has it done that with every company 4 4 mark this as the next exhibit. operating at the airport? 5 5 Any company looking for a (Exhibit 237, E-mail dated April 30, 2014, 6 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

marked for identification)

(By Mr. Hartzell) I'm going to show you a copy of a document that does not have a Bates number on it -- oh, it does at the bottom, I'm sorry. It's BEH000 -- it looks like 3040. It appears to be an e-mail dated April

12 30, 2014, from you to Mr. Donovan -- do you see 13

that -- copying additional people?

A. Yes.

Are the copies of the additional Q. people Brandon Moss -- and just for the record, who is he?

A. He's the town counsel, Norwood town counsel.

Q. And I see Mr. Raymond is copied on

21 here?

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

Α.

He is your assistant, correct? Q.

Correct.

commercial permit.

Do you know if FlightLevel provided such information when it took over from Eastern Air Charter?

They did provide information to the A. Commission.

Do you remember what it was, just the types of information?

I recall the pro forma projections and some of the structure of the company, but beyond that I don't recall.

Q. I'm going to show you some other minutes here.

MR. HARTZELL: Can I have this marked as an exhibit, please? (Exhibit 238, Airport Commission Meeting Minutes, Regular Business Meeting, May 14, 2014, marked for identification)

(By Mr Hartzell) I'm showing you

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 142 to 145)

what appears to be a partial copy of draft
what appears to be a partial copy of draft
minutes from May 14, 2014, at the Norwood

2 this I'm showing you what has been m

minutes from May 14, 2014, at the Norwood
Airport Commission. It does not appear that we
have the first page. I don't know why. These
are numbered BEH0000138 through 141. Do you
remember if you were at the Airport Commission
meeting in May of 2014?

A. Yes, I was there.

Q. And the top bullet says, "BEH lease/FBO interest Joshua Fox, Rollins, Rollins & Fox." It says, "As indicated to town counsel, Brandon Moss, on May 13, 2014, BEH has agreed to accept NAC's proposal on March 17, 2014, for the lease of 6,889 square foot of space at the west apron. Mr. Fox would like to reiterate the ongoing request, that BEH needs additional apron space in order to materialize its business plan, which was submitted to NAC this month and is willing to pay a five-year lease in advance."

A. Yes.

MR. FEE: For the record, I'm going to object to any questions about Exhibit 238.

this. I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 83 at Mr. Odstrchel's deposition, which appears to be a complete copy of the pages starting with BEH000137 through 141 of the document that we marked as Exhibit 238 that I just handed to you. And I will ask you questions about this document, which appears to be a more complete copy than the one I just asked you about.

And so at the top of Page 2, BEH000138 says -- and I read to you what it said before, "BEH lease/FBO interest." It says, "BEH agreed to accept NAC's proposal..." What do you remember about the sequence of events that happened with this particular lease space that was offered to BEH?

MR. FEE: Objection.

A. I recall there being an offer to BEH and at least one of the Commissioners, Kevin Shaughnessy, encouraged BEH to take the offer; and if their business was starting to grow beyond the lease offer limits, that they could -- they were welcome to come back to

MR. HARTZELL: If I remember correctly, I think this is a document you marked in one of your depositions.

MR. FEE: I think you should probably use the one that has the front page.

MR. HARTZELL: This is what we have. This came from your production and I don't know if I got the first page or not.

MR. FEE: It's clearly missing the front page. That's the nature of my objection.

MR. HARTZELL: If I had the front page, I'd put it on there.

MR. SIMMS: Hold on.

MR. FEE: It's just an

objection.

MR. HARTZELL: I think Mr. Simms has a copy of this with the first page.

MR. FEE: These minutes in entirety are marked as Exhibit 83 to Mr.

Odstrchel's deposition.

the -- BEH was welcome to come back to the Commission for more land.

Q. But there's a statement here I am
 puzzled about. It says, "As indicated to the

town counsel, Brandon Moss, on May 23, 2014, BEH
 agreed to accept NAC's proposal on March 17,

2014 for lease of 6,889 square foot." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know what that's referring to?

A. I believe that was a conversation -- a phone conversation between Attorney Fox and Attorney Moss regarding the lease offer that apparently was initially turned down by BEH. BEH refused the offer and it had been taken off the table.

Q. And what happened after May 2014 with regard to that offer?

A. I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q. Well, it's a little confusing for me at least. It sounds like BEH was offered the lease space for 6,889 square feet on the west

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 146 to 149)

148 146 1 1 apron. It did not accept it, and then that Boston Executive Helicopters said it wanted to 2 2 offer was withdrawn from the Commission. Now, accept the lease proposal, correct? 3 3 did that occur before May 14, 2014? A. Yes. 4 4 MR. FEE: Objection. Did what And what happened concerning the 5 5 occur? lease proposal after that date --6 6 MR. SIMMS: If anything. MR. HARTZELL: What I just 7 (By Mr. Hartzell) -- if anything? said. If the witness does not understand, Q. 8 8 he can answer. MR. FEE: Objection. 9 9 A. I don't understand completely. I It was withdrawn. The lease offer A. 10 10 think I understand, but maybe if you could was withdrawn. 11 11 Okay. Did you see a business plan rephrase it. 12 12 that Boston Executive Helicopters submitted to MR. SIMMS: Wait a minute, I 13 13 want you to take your time. Start from the Norwood Airport Commission in July of 2014? 14 Page 1. Read the whole end of the section 14 Yes, I recall there being a 15 15 on the second page of the document that's business plan. 16 16 talking about the lease, okay? Q. This was marked as Exhibit 84 at 17 17 THE WITNESS: Well, there is a Mr. Odstrchel's deposition. And I want to ask 18 18 you, is this a copy of that business plan? lot going on. 19 19 MR. SIMMS: There's no A. Yes. 20 20 question pending. Do you know, were there follow-up 21 21 questions by Airport Commission members (By Mr. Hartzell) Well, there was 22 22 a question, but I don't think he understood it. concerning that business plan? 23 23 MR. SIMMS: He said, I don't I don't recall. 24 2.4 understand it. So let him ask the Did you have any questions -- let Q. 147 149 1 question anew, please. me back up. Did you read what has been marked 2 (By Mr. Hartzell) Mr. Maguire, I 2 as Exhibit 84 at Mr. Odstrchel's deposition? 3 apologize for belaboring this point. I'm simply 3 Yes, I did read it. 4 4 trying to understand what you remember Do you remember if you had any 5 happening. So there was an offer made by the 5 questions for follow-up to Boston Executive 6 6 NAC to BEH to lease 6,889 square feet on the Helicopters from this July 2014 business plan? 7 7 west apron sometime, I believe, in 2014 or late I don't recall having questions. 8 8 2013; is that correct? There were typos that were a little confusing, 9 9 Yes. but I don't recall having any questions. A. 10 10 Q. And Boston Executive Helicopters In 2014 -- let me back up. 11 11 did not accept that offer initially; is that Do you know an individual by the 12 correct? 12 name of Oulten Hues, H-U-E-S? 13 13 A. That's correct. There is an Oulton Hues, and then A. 14 And that offer was later withdrawn 14 there is an Oulten Hues, Jr. 15 15 by the Norwood Airport Commission because Boston You know both of them? Q. 16 Executive Helicopters had not accepted it, 16 I know both of them. A. 17 correct? 17 How is it that you know Mr. Hues, Q. 18 18 As I understand it, that is what Sr.? A. 19 19 happened. It was withdrawn. He was a former airport 20 20 And then it appears in May of 2014 commissioner and was a base aircraft owner and 21 there is a conversation between -- as you just 21 was a frequent visitor of the airport. 22 22 testified, between Mr. Moss, town counsel for And Oulten Hues, Jr., was he ever 23 23 the Town of Norwood, and Mr. Fox or somebody on the Norwood Airport Commission? 24 representing Boston Executive Helicopters where 24 Yes. A.

Springfield 413.732.1157

(Pages 150 to 153)

150 152 1 So it was the junior that was on Does he still keep his plane or his 2 2 the Airport Commission, not the senior? mother's plane out at Norwood Airport? 3 3 A. They were both on the Airport No. 4 4 Commission. Q. When did that stop? 5 5 When was Mr. Hues, Sr., on the I believe it stopped shortly after Q. 6 6 he was terminated by the Board of Selectmen. Commission? 7 7 Prior to my start date of Approximately what date was that? 8 8 employment, so it had to have been prior to That was December of 2014. It was 9 9 either December 2014 or December 2015. I don't 1995. 10 10 Okay. And then tell me, when did recall which year. Q. 11 11 MR. SIMMS: I believe it's you meet Mr. Hues, Jr.? 12 12 A. I first met him at a health club I December 2015. 13 13 worked at. He was one of the clients. I met Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) Did Mr. Hues 14 14 him there. It was a health club right up the file a Part 13 Complaint with the FAA? 15 15 road from Norwood Airport. A. Yes. 16 16 And then at some point in time did Did you ever have any conversations Q. 17 17 with Mr. Hues about why that Complaint was Mr. Hues operate -- every time from now on every 18 18 time I talk about Mr. Hues, I'm going to filed? 19 reference Mr. Hues, Jr.? 19 A. No. 20 20 A. Okav. Q. Did you ever observe Mr. Hues and 21 21 Did Mr. Hues, Jr., operate an Mr. Donovan together? Q. 22 22 aircraft out of Norwood Airport? A. Yes. 23 23 Q. How often? Yes. 24 24 Did he own a plane? **Outside of Airport Commission** Q. A. 151 153 1 I believe it was his mother's meetings and maybe once or twice outside of the 2 plane. It was in his mother's name. 2 BEH hangar. 3 3 Where did he store the plane? Did you ever observe Mr. Hues 4 4 He had the plane, I believe, tied inside the BEH hangar? 5 5 down for a while, and then it was stored with A. No. 6 6 Boston Executive Helicopters for a period. Q. And you said you observed them. 7 7 Do you remember what kind of plane Did you observe them speaking to each other? 8 8 it was? What type of plane? A. 9 9 I believe it was a Piper Comanche. Q. Are you aware of any business 10 10 Is that a propeller plane? relationship between Mr. Hues and BEH or Q. 11 11 Mr. Donovan? A. 12 12 Is it single or double? There had been -- well, he had been Q. 13 13 Single engine, piston engine. a hangar tenant and Mr. Hues had worked at the A. 14 Did it have markings or particular 14 Q. prison with Mr. Donovan. 15 15 Do you know what Mr. Hues' job was colors on it? 16 16 It was white and may have been at the prison? 17 marked with red markings. I don't recall 17 I believe he was a guard. A. 18 18 exactly, but it was definitely white. During the time that Mr. Hues 19 Q. Did it have any identifying numbers 19 operated what you believe to be his mother's 20 20 on it, that you remember? plane at Norwood Airport, do you know what Mr. 21 21 It had a tail number on it. Hues' job was? A. 22 2.2 He was a -- his last job was a Do you remember what the tail Q. A. 23 number was or is? 23 corporate pilot. 24 Not with 100 percent accuracy. 24 Was he flying for a particular

Springfield 413.732.1157

(Pages 154 to 157)

154 156 1 1 company? Ryan. And there had been an assault charge by 2 2 A. Yes. Mr. Hues. He had claimed that Chairman Wynne at 3 3 Do you remember what the company the time had assaulted him at Town Hall. O. 4 4 Were you present during that was? 5 5 I don't recall the name of the alleged incident? A. 6 6 A. No. company. 7 7 Did you attend any criminal Q. Did you ever have any conversations Q. 8 8 with the selectmen about Mr. Hues' time on the proceedings or any court proceedings in 9 Airport Commission? 9 connection with Mr. Hues? 10 10 I don't recall having a A. Yes. 11 conversation with any of the selectmen. I 11 Q. What did you attend? 12 12 talked to the Human Resource Department. They I attended the hearing in Dedham, 13 13 may have talked to the selectmen, but I don't which Mr. Wynne and Mr. Hues were there. There 14 14 recall talking to the selectmen directly. was myself and at least Mr. Ryan and I believe 15 15 When you say the Human Resource Mr. Raymond was there as well supporting Mr. 16 16 Department, that's the Human Resource Department Wynne. 17 17 for the Town of Norwood? Do you know what the outcome of Q. 18 18 that hearing was? Yes. 19 19 Q. And you talked to them about Mr. I believe the charges were 20 20 dismissed. The two parties, Mr. Wynne and Mr. Hues? 21 21 Yes. Hues, were able to resolve it. A. 22 2.2 What was the subject of that Q. Was it shortly after that that Mr. 23 23 conversation? Wynne resigned as chairman of the Airport 2.4 Mr. Hues' actions and 24 Commission? 155 157 1 1 intimidations. A. Yes. 2 Can you give me some more details 2 Did you ever have any conversations 3 3 about what you observed? with Mr. Wynne as to why he resigned as the 4 4 They accused my assistant of having chairman of the Norwood Airport Commission? 5 5 drugs in the airport service vehicle and --A. Yes. 6 6 Hold on. When did that happen? Q. What were those conversations? 7 7 A. A very short period of time after He was not happy that the Town of 8 he was appointed by the Board of Selectmen. 8 Norwood didn't reimburse him for the expenses of 9 9 And what happened as a result of Q. hiring an attorney and he was a little 10 10 humiliated by all the press coverage it had that accusation? 11 11 I went to the Human Resource gotten. 12 12 Department and was prepared to file a complaint. What was Mr. Wynne's job? Was he 13 And I was encouraged not to with the 13 retired at the time? 14 14 He is a real estate broker. understanding if it were to continue that the A. 15 15 You mentioned harassing and Human Resource Department would formalize the 16 16 complaint. intimidating e-mails. Was Mr. Hues threatening 17 17 And what were you prepared to file to do something or do you remember what the 18 18 a complaint about? content of those e-mails were? 19 A. His harassment and his 19 I remember there being a public 20 20 intimidation. He was starting to send e-mails, records requests and he was very antagonistic. 21 21 very intimidating e-mails, harassing e-mails. The tone on most of the e-mails for the most 2.2 22 Q. To who? part were very antagonistic. 23 23 Did he ever threaten you? To myself and, I understand, the Q. 24 Airport Commission chairman at the time, Mark 24 He didn't threaten me directly,

(Pages 158 to 161)

although he did go up to Town Hall soon after he was appointed to ask for my job description.

And some of the secretaries actually passed that

information on to me.

Q. Okay. Did he ever speak to you, Mr. Hues?

A. He didn't speak to me directly.

Q. Did he ever call you on the telephone?

A. No, not that I recall.

Q. You said earlier he made some accusations there were drugs in one of the vehicles out at the airport. Is that what you said?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you look into that issue?

A. We had a contractor with my assistant at the time, a contractor who has worked for the Town of Norwood for years. He corroborated what my assistant told me. I knew there were no drugs in the vehicle.

Q. Do you know if that was ever communicated to Mr. Hues, there were no drugs in any vehicle?

Q. You mentioned this day-long compliance inspection by the FAA. Do you remember when that occurred?

A. I'm going to say maybe August of 2015.

Q. And what was the result of that inspection?

A. There were some nominal issues, which were pretty easily corrected, and the FAA accepted our corrective action plan or some things that needed to be put on our layout plan drawings. There was a picnic table that needed to be removed, nothing major.

Q. Did the subject of Boston Executive Helicopters and their fuel farm and desire to dispense fuel come up during that inspection?

A. Mr. Vick asked for a site inspection, as well as the paperwork we went through, and he asked me to show him the Boston Executive Helicopters facility. It wasn't completed. I'm not sure how far along they were in the construction, but he wanted to see it and he wanted to see the proximity to the taxilane. He also wanted me to show him the auto storage

A. Mr. Raymond did tell him that.

Q. Did anyone ever explain to you what the basis was for Mr. Hues' claims that there were drugs in the vehicle?

A. No.

Q. Any other interactions of any kind with Mr. Hues other than what you have told me about?

A. Obviously, he filed a Part 13. He also insisted on sitting in a day-long compliance inspection that the FAA conduct to ensure that the Airport Commission and I were meeting all the grant assurance obligations of the federal government. And he, at the meeting, just started to suggest to the compliance officer that the Airport Commission should not be asking for financial records from anybody.

Q. Did he explain why he didn't believe that the Airport Commission should not be asking for financial records?

A. No. He just said that as a suggestion. And Compliance Officer Tom Vick made it clear to him that the Airport Commission should be asking for financial information.

1 lot.

Q. What is that?

A. It's a piece of property that the Airport Commission has control of, the Town owns, that is actually physically removed by the airport proper by wetlands or privately held land that Boston Executive Helicopters had felt that the Commission should have been put to some other use other than auto storage.

Q. Was the inspection described as a land-use inspection?

A. It was a land-use compliance inspection.

Q. Okay. How often do those occur?

A. Almost never. We were the only -- I was told by MassDOT aeronautics that they had never been through one. Mr. Willenborg, the administrator, said he had never gone through one, so they actually had a MassDOT representative at the meeting to see how it runs.

Q. Have other airports in Massachusetts had those types of inspections, do you know?

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 162 to 165)

162 164 1 1 MR. FEE: Objection. after Mr. Hues was terminated. 2 2 A. I don't know. But from the Q. Did you ever have any discussion 3 3 comments of Mr. Willenborg, I don't believe it with Mr. Paul Shaughnessy about why he left the 4 4 Commission? happens often. 5 5 Q. I show you what we'll mark as A. No. 6 6 Exhibit 239. Q. Are you aware of any relationship 7 7 between Mr. Paul Shaughnessy and Chris Donovan MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to 8 8 mark this as Exhibit 239. or BEH? 9 9 (Exhibit 239, Letter dated September 16, Mr. Shaughnessy was a hangar tenant A. 10 10 2014, marked for identification) of BEH. 11 11 (By Mr. Hartzell) Sir, I'm showing Q. How do you know that? 12 12 FlightLevel had provided a you what has been marked as Exhibit 239, which 13 photograph, a still photograph, of Mr. 13 is a September 16, 2014, letter, two pages, from 14 14 Shaughnessy's aircraft being either pushed in or you to the Board of Selectmen. It's BEH0003638 15 15 through 3639. Do you remember sending this pushed out of the BEH hangar. 16 16 letter? Did Mr. Paul Shaughnessy own a 17 17 particular aircraft? A. Yes. 18 18 What was the purpose in sending Q. A. 19 19 this letter? Q. Do you remember what kind of 20 20 aircraft? To answer some of the concerns of 21 21 Board of Selectmen following the submittal from A. It's a Cherokee 6. 22 22 And is that --Oulten Hues, Jr. Q. 23 23 Have you read Mr. Hues' Part 13 A. It's a single-piston engine. 24 2.4 Complaint? What color was it? 163 165 1 1 I have not. I have seen the letter It's white with green markings. A. 2 from FAA, from Mary Walsh at FAA, stating that 2 Q. Did it have a tail number? 3 they had received the Part 13 Complaint and that 3 A. 4 4 there were some similarities with BEH's Part 13, Do you remember what it was? Q. 5 5 but I never actually saw -- I don't recall ever I believe it was 4289 Romeo. 6 6 seeing the Part 13 Complaint from Oulten Hues. Q. Do you know if Mr. Paul Shaughnessy 7 7 owned that airplane? Okay. Before Mr. Hues filed his 8 8 Complaint, were you aware that BEH withdrew its I believe he owned it outright at 9 9 Part 13 Complaint that had it filed earlier? that time. He was in some type of a partnership 10 10 Yes. prior to that, but I believe he owned it A. 11 11 O. There is another member of the outright at that time. 12 Airport Commission I want to ask you a couple 12 Q. How long did he store his plane at 13 13 questions about. Paul Shaughnessy, do you BEH's hangar? 14 remember him as an Airport Commission member? 14 I don't know. A. 15 15 Did you ever see it there yourself? Q. 16 16 Q. Do you remember approximately what I didn't see it coming in or out of 17 times he was on? 17 the hangar, although I saw it on the apron just 18 18 He was appointed at the same time to the north of the hangar at the entrance. 19 19 that Oulten Hues, Hylie Hutchens, and Mike What did Mr. Paul Shaughnessy do Q. 20 20 Sheehan were appointed. I want to say it was for work? 21 21 April of 2015. He was a retired pilot for A. 22 22 How long was Mr. Paul Shaughnessy Evergreen Airlines. And I'm not sure if at that O. 23 23 on the Commission? time he was a bus driver as well, but I know he 24 I don't recall him being on long 24 was retired from Evergreen Airlines.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 166 to 169)

166 | 1 or my assistant. He was walki

2.2

Q. Did you ever see Mr. Hues or Mr.
Paul Shaughnessy plowing any snow at the airport?

A. I definitely didn't see Paul Shaughnessy and I don't recall seeing Oulten Hues actually plowing snow either.

Q. Mr. Hutchens, did you ever see him plowing snow?

A. No.

Q. Were you ever provided any information as to why Mr. Shaughnessy left the Airport Commission?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever have any discussions or communications with Mr. Paul Shaughnessy outside of Norwood Airport Commission meetings?

A. Very limited. He was a commissioner. He would occasionally stop by, but nothing -- it was very -- my relationship with him was very strained early on in his tenure.

Q. And why was that?

A. He had sent a letter to the editor disparaging me and the Airport Commission, and

or my assistant. He was walking around the aprons with notepads and giving the impression that he was not necessarily going to be a commissioner that was going to support us.

Q. Did you ever observe Mr. Shaughnessy and Mr. Donovan together, Mr. Paul Shaughnessy?

A. I definitely saw them together in the still photograph that was provided by FlightLevel. I don't remember seeing the two of them together beyond that.

Q. Who from FlightLevel provided you with the photograph?

A. I'm not sure who exactly it was. Whoever it was handed it to my assistant. My assistant showed it to me.

Q. And what do you remember the photograph showing?

A. Mr. Donovan and Mr. Shaughnessy at Mr. Shaughnessy's plane either pushing it in or pushing it out of the hangar.

Q. You also mentioned there was a third commissioner called Mr. Hutchens?

A. Yes.

it was very hard to feel that he was going to
 give us any kind of fairer treatment after that
 letter.

Q. Do you remember what the letter said that caused you to believe it was disparaging?

A. He just asked that the Board of Selectmen get more involved in the airport, that it wasn't being run properly.

Q. Did Mr. Paul Shaughnessy, to your knowledge, have any experience in managing or running airports?

A. No, he didn't.

Q. Did his letter to the newspaper explain what his basis was for claiming that the airport wasn't being run properly?

MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go ahead.

A. I don't recall his reasoning, but -- other than the tone of the letter.

Q. Is there anything else you can recollect about what Mr. Paul Shaughnessy did vis-a-vis you as the airport manager?

A. He was starting to shadow either me

Q. First name Hylie or went by Hylie?

A. Or Lee Hutchens.

Q. How did you meet him?

A. He was appointed to the Commission at the same time as Oulten Hues, Jr., and Paul Shaughnessy and Michael Sheehan.

Q. Did you know him before that?

A. I knew of him. I had seen him at -- either coming in for a security badge, or I was giving a talk at the Norwood Elks Club and he was at the back of the room asking questions.

Q. And what was his business?

A. He was -- I believe he was retired from a tire company and was doing some refereeing, but I don't recall whether he was part time, full time or what. And he had a plane on the airport.

Q. Do you remember what kind of plane?

A. It was a single-engine piston engine. I just don't recall the type.

Q. Do you recall what color it was?

A. I do recall it had a very bad paint job. It was a pretty worn paint job. Beyond that, I don't recall.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 170 to 173)

170 172 1 1 Q. Okay. Tail number? need to straighten him out on that. 2 2 A. I don't recall. Was he ever, in fact, given a break 3 3 Did you have any communications or on his tie-down? O. 4 4 interactions with Mr. Hutchens outside of the A. No. 5 5 Norwood Airport Commission meetings? And by a break on his tie-down, did Q. 6 6 Those were limited as well. We had you understand that to mean he wanted some sort 7 7 of reduced rent? a few casual conversations, but I didn't have --8 8 didn't spend a lot of time with him outside the Yes. A. 9 9 What is the monthly charge for a Airport Commission meetings. Q. 10 10 Q. How would you describe your tie-down? 11 11 relationship with him? It's 265 a quarter. A. 12 12 A. I don't think I had the best Q. \$265? 13 13 relationship with him. Yes. A. 14 14 And why do you say that? Any other interactions with O. Q. 15 15 Because he, in my opinion, was a Mr. Hutchens? A. 16 16 commissioner who didn't want to learn the job Very little interaction outside the 17 17 and already had some preconceived ideas. It was Airport Commission meetings. 18 18 hard to get him off of -- so it was very How long was he on the Commission? 19 19 difficult for him to learn the things that I He took himself off. He resigned 20 20 felt he needed to learn to do the job properly. his position at about the same time as Mr. Paul 21 21 What do you believe were the Shaughnessy. 22 22 preconceived ideas that Mr. Hutchens had? Did you ever have any discussions 23 23 Well, he was one of the -- he was with anybody as to why Mr. Hutchens resigned 24 24 from the Commission? an airport commissioner who, I felt, was using 171 173 1 A. No. his position at times in the wrong direction. 2 When we went through the compliance inspection 2 Was he subsequently killed in an Q. 3 3 with the FAA, one of the things that the FAA airplane crash? 4 4 required us to do, as insignificant as it Yes. A. 5 5 Do you remember when that was? seemed, was to remove a picnic table inside the Q. 6 6 fence because FAA considered that to be a I don't remember the exact date. 7 7 wildlife attraction. And so I had to put forth Was he flying the plane that he Q. 8 8 that message to the patrons of the airport and kept at Norwood Airport? 9 9 he, rather than support me and support what the He was in the aircraft with another 10 10 FAA wanted us to do, just became very contrary pilot, both of whom fly out of Norwood Airport. 11 11 and ended up calling the FAA. They ended up And one gentleman survived and Mr. Hutchens was 12 reiterating what I had passed along in my 12 killed. 13 13 initial message. He also wanted a break on his MR. HARTZELL: Let's take a 14 14 five-minute break. tie-down right after he was appointed and he 15 15 actually asked me that in front of the town (A recess was taken) 16 16 counsel as we were getting ready to bring up the MR. HARTZELL: Back on the 17 17 conflict of interest issue at the Airport record. 18 18 Commission meeting. (By Mr. Hartzell) Mr. Maguire, I'm 19 19 And what did you say when he asked going to show you a document that was marked as Q. 20 20 you that? an exhibit at Mr. Silva's deposition. I will 21 21 I didn't answer him. first ask you -- it's a photograph, appears to A. 22 22 Did anybody answer him? have a time stamp on it of January 26 -- it Q. 23 23 No, but town counsel did talk to me looks like 2015 --24 24 after he left the room and said we definitely Mm-hmm.

Springfield 413.732.1157

(Pages 174 to 177)

174 176 1 1 O. -- and ask you if you can identify concern in at least one letter that I saw. 2 2 anything in this photograph? Do you remember if FlightLevel ever 3 3 I can see it appears to be the BEH requested an independent third-party consultant 4 4 hangar. So looking generally southwest, it be retained by the Norwood Airport Commission to 5 5 appears to be a BEH fueler. study the issue and advise whether an additional 6 6 When you say "fueler", you mean FBO could coexist -- whether two FBOs, excuse Q. 7 7 like a fueling truck? me, could coexist at the Norwood Airport on an 8 8 Yes, a mobile fueler. economic basis? A. 9 9 Does BEH use that truck currently I have some recollection of that. Q. 10 10 for fuel of any kind? Did the Norwood Airport Commission Q. 11 11 No. It's just parked outside the ever take up FlightLevel's suggestion on that? 12 12 Not to the best of my knowledge. hangar right now. 13 13 And it appears to be a truck just Did FlightLevel ever, to your Q. 14 14 to the left of that? knowledge, instruct the Norwood Airport 15 15 Commission or you, as airport manager, to do A. Yes. 16 16 Q. Do you recognize that vehicle? anything in connection with its operations at 17 17 It looks like a vehicle that I'm the airport or anything that BEH was requesting 18 18 familiar with, but I'm not -- without seeing the at the airport? 19 19 license plate or having more identifying MR. SIMMS: Objection to the 20 20 features, discernable, it's hard to tell. form. 21 21 Q. Is that vehicle -- strike that. MR. FEE: Objection to the 22 22 The vehicle shown on the left-hand form. 23 23 side of what was marked as Exhibit 36 at I think I might need that question A. 24 24 Mr. Silva's deposition, is that a vehicle owned rephrased. 175 177 1 1 or operated by anybody at your office? Let me try it again. 2 Not by my office, no. 2 In connection with the Norwood 3 And to your knowledge, is it owned 3 Airport Commission's operations at the airport 4 4 or operated by anybody from the Town of Norwood? and in connection with your job as manager of 5 5 To the best of my knowledge, no. the Norwood Airport, do you recall any times 6 6 Okay. Moving along, I'm going to when the Airport Commission or you, as manager 7 7 show you another document marked as 85 to Mr. of the airport, took any actions in response to 8 Odstrchel's deposition, which is a memorandum 8 any instructions from FlightLevel Aviation? 9 9 dated January 12, 2015, from Mark Ryan who was MR. FEE: Objection. 10 10 then, I guess, the advice chairman of the A. No. 11 11 Airport Commission, to the Airport Commission? Do you recall a time when either 12 Mm-hmm. 12 A. you, as airport manager, or the Norwood Airport 13 Q. And it appears to be a number of 13 Commission took any actions as a result of any 14 questions he had about the business plan 14 requests of FlightLevel Norwood? 15 15 submitted by BEH, dated July 9, 2014. Have you MR. FEE: Objection. 16 ever seen this before? 16 MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go 17 I don't recall whether I did or 17 A. ahead. 18 18 not. It might be just an interpretation, 19 Q. Okay. 19 but I think FlightLevel has expressed concern at 20 20 I don't recall seeing that. the meeting in which BEH had stated that it had 21 Do you remember a point in time 21 property rights to the east of its Lot F and 22 22 that FlightLevel Norwood had a concern about a FlightLevel voiced its -- basically contradicted 23 23 second FBO operating at the airport? BEH. I want to say that the Commission on that 24 FlightLevel had expressed their 24 matter wanted to ensure that there weren't any

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

2.4

2.2

property rights that were going to be violated.

Q. Anything else that you can remember?

A. No.

2.4

2.2

MR HARTZELL: I'm going to have this marked Exhibit 240. (Exhibit 240, Letter dated January 29, 2015, marked for identification)

Q. (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you, Mr. Maguire, a copy of a document that we just marked as Exhibit 240 with Bates numbers 2006 through 2009, which appears to be a copy of a letter -- and I apologize for the light copy -- dated January 29, 2015, from Peter Eichleay at FlightLevel addressed to you at the airport. It concerns recent developments at the airport. It's a supplement to a January 2015 submission that says, "BEH blocking FlightLevel fuel farm." Do you remember receiving this letter?

A. Yes.

Q. And directing your attention to the winter of 2015, in January in particular, and February, what do you remember observing, if anything, concerning FlightLevel's fuel farm?

piled up around the fuel farm in January of 2015?

A. Again, I don't recall there being anything about the fuel farm snowbanks that caught my attention only because that winter we had snow piles all over the airport.

Q. Do you remember that there was a Norwood Airport Commission meeting, I believe in February of 2015, where the complaints of FlightLevel about BEH plowing in its fuel farm were discussed?

A. Yes.

Q. Were you present at that meeting?

A. Yes

Q. Do you remember if Mr. Donovan was present at that meeting?

A. Yes, he was.

Q. And do you remember Mr. Donovan saying anything during that meeting?

A. I do, but I don't have perfect recall on exactly what he said.

Q. Just tell me what you remember generally what he said.

A. I don't recall whether he admitted

A. That, as I recall, was a horrible winter. So much of the airport had snowbanks and whatnot. I don't recall being struck by the sight of the pile of snow between the Lot F and Lot G only because that particular winter we were having trouble finding room for all the snow that we were stockpiling, so I don't recall having -- thinking much about it. I didn't know who did the plowing and I had no reason to assume it wasn't FlightLevel that stockpiled that. It really wasn't until I saw this letter that it became clear that it was not FlightLevel's plan to put the snow there.

Q. But do you remember -- did you do

Q. But do you remember -- did you do any inspections of the fuel farm in the winter of 2015, particularly in January?

A. I'm sure we did as part of the Spill Prevention Plan, but it could have been accessed. This is to the west side of Lot G and that fuel farm. We could have done what we needed to do from the east side as long as that gate was opened. I can't tell from this photograph whether it is or not.

Q. Do you remember observing any snow

to doing the plowing or not, but that did come up.

Q. Do you remember if Mr. Donovan said he plowed the snow in or around FlightLevel's fuel farm pursuant to advice from his lawyer or advice from counsel or words to that effect?

MR. FEE: Objection.

A. I don't recall that, no.

Q. But you do recall him being there?

A. Yes.

Q. And you have some memory of him saying something about operating the snowplow?

A. I have a memory of it. I don't really have, again, exact recall on whether he was saying that BEH did the plowing, he did the plowing. I don't recall exactly the wording that he used.

Q. Okay. Do you remember anything else about that meeting?

A. Yes.

Q. What else do you remember about that meeting?

A. I remember Mr. Sheehan wagging his finger at both FlightLevel and BEH and telling

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

184

185

(Pages 182 to 185)

them to essentially behave and that this was a land dispute and it was beyond the jurisdiction

of the Airport Commission.

So the Airport Core

Q. So the Airport Commission did not take any action as a result of this complaint from FlightLevel?

A. I don't recall them taking any action.

Q. Anything else you remember from that meeting?

A. No.

Q. Later that winter, in February or late January of 2015, do you remember FlightLevel installing some barriers on its land on Lot G?

A. I do.

Q. Tell me what you remember about that.

A. I had a conversation with one of the FlightLevel personnel -- I don't recall whether it was Nick Burlingham or Mike DeLaria or Peter Eichleay -- stating that they were within their right to put those barriers on their property on Lot G to protect their Q. Did the Airport Commission, to your knowledge, take any position one way or the other as to the installation of the barriers?

A. No. As I told Chairman Ryan, I had told the FlightLevel representative that gave me a heads-up that it needed to be -- the erection of the barriers needed to be legal and peaceful and they needed to contact the Norwood police to keep it peaceful and they needed to keep town counsel in the loop to make sure it was legal, and we weren't approving or disapproving it.

Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did FlightLevel contact the Norwood police?

A. They did.

Q. Were the Norwood police present when the barriers were installed?

A. I believe so, based on the report.

Q. To your knowledge, did FlightLevel contact the town counsel before the barriers were installed?

A. To the best of my knowledge and subsequent conversation with Brandon Moss, town counsel was never advised.

O. Was advised?

183

182

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

business interests.

Q. And do you remember when this conversation took place?

A. I believe it was the day that the barriers were tipped over, that night. The barriers were tipped over that night. I believe we had the conversation at some point that day.

Q. Did anybody from FlightLevel notify you beforehand that they were putting up the barriers?

A. They let me know that their intent was to put up the barriers.

Q. Before they put them up, right?

A. Before they put them up.

Q. And did you notify anybody?

A. Yes.

Q. Who did you notify?

A. I notified the Airport Commission chairman.

Q. Was that Mr. Ryan?

A. Yes

Q. And were you present when the

barriers were installed?

A. No.

A. Was not advised.

Q. Okay. Did you have an understanding as to what was going to be -- what liquid, if any, was going to be inside the

5 barriers?6 A

A. My understanding was it was going to be glycol/water mix.

Q. What is glycol used for?

A. It's used for various things. It's used on airports that approve it as an aircraft de-ice.

Q. And what was your understanding as to why there was a glycol mix going into the barriers?

A. To keep the water from freezing. It's an antifreeze.

Q. Is there any requirement to label barriers such as this, that it has a glycol mix in it, at the airport?

A. I know that issue came up after the barrier issue was brought to the attention of the Commission. I don't recall whether there was a -- we ever determined whether there was a

requirement to label it. I know that was

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 186 to 189)

186 188 1 1 discussed. I know there was some conversation removed. 2 2 with the Conservation Commission agent after Q. As there ever a report that the 3 3 glycol material was toxic to anyone? this about the use of these fluid-filled Jersey 4 4 Under the 2008 Storm Water barriers. 5 5 Did you observe the barriers after Pollution Prevention Plan, both ethylene and Q. 6 6 propylene glycol were identified as toxics they had been tipped over? 7 7 I don't recall seeing them. I materials when they're introduced in significant 8 8 definitely saw the photographs. quantities into the receiving waters of 9 9 And what conversations, if any, did Purgatory Brook of the Naponsett River. 10 10 you have with anybody from BEH about the How far is the Purgatory Brook from 11 11 barriers being tipped over? the airport? 12 A. I actually received a phone message 12 A. It runs -- kind of circumnavigates 13 13 from Peter Eichleay on my cell phone, which I around the physical plant. And ultimately, 14 14 picked up the day after the spill, and sent an because we're only 49 feet above sea level, 15 15 e-mail to both Peter Eichleay and Chris Donovan everything ultimately drains into the Naponsett. 16 16 confirming that I understood that there were Q. Based on that, did you believe that 17 17 300-plus gallons of glycol water that had been the glycol needed to be cleaned up? 18 18 spilled out on pervious/impervious surfaces on 19 19 the airport and we had concerns about our And did you convey that to Mr. Q. 20 20 environmental stewardship obligations with the DeLaria? 21 21 federal government and that I wasn't going to A. I did. 22 22 make a decision on who needed to clean it up. Q. Do you remember what else he said? 23 23 They could make that between the two parties, I just recall FlightLevel saying 24 24 but it needed to be cleaned up. they were going to do the cleanup. But again, 187 1 And was it cleaned up? an e-mail went out to both companies, both BEH Q. 2 2 and FlightLevel. A. Yes. 3 3 Q. And who cleaned it up? Do you know if there were criminal 4 4 FlightLevel. charges levied against or brought against A. 5 5 Mr. Donovan and Mr. Silva of BEH in connection Did FlightLevel hire an 6 6 environmental firm to assist with the cleanup? with the barrier-dumping incident? 7 7 I recall the police reports. I A. That was my understanding, yes. 8 8 Q. Do you know what the name of the don't recall the specifics beyond that. 9 9 Okay. At some point in time there firm was? 10 10 was a show cause hearing or some sort of hearing A. I think it was Cyn Environmental, I 11 11 in front of Norwood Airport Commission about the believe. 12 12 barrier-dumping incident? And other than the e-mail that you 13 13 just referenced, did you have any conversations A. Yes. 14 14 or any communications with BEH about the Q. Did you attend that hearing? 15 15 barrier-dumping incident? A. 16 16 A. I don't recall having any And during that hearing,

Springfield 413.732.1157

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

conversation with BEH about it.

with FlightLevel about it; is that correct?

talked about the cleanup and the fact that we

And you did have a conversation

Yes. I believe Mr. DeLaria and I

needed to have a copy of the report from the LSP

or whoever was conducting the cleanup to certify

that hazardous material. Toxic materials were

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by BEH testified?

Yes.

words to that effect?

Yes.

A.

48 Worcester 508.767.1157

representatives of -- or so-called experts hired

to be labels on the barriers because glycol was

a hazardous substance, do you remember that, or

And one of them said that there had

(Pages 190 to 193)

190 192 1 1 And another one said that the February of 2015 or late February or early March 2 2 glycol mixture that was spilled was not a 2015? 3 3 hazardous substance. Do you recall that? A. I would say late February, early 4 4 Yes. March. 5 5 And there was a lawyer called Q. But in any event, this is a packet 6 6 Mr. McCulloch who presented at this hearing? of materials that you presented to the Airport 7 7 Yes, I do recall. Commission; is that right? 8 8 And was Mr. Donovan at the hearing? Yes. Q. A. 9 9 And the last sentence on the first No, I don't recall him being there. A. Q. 10 10 Q. What was your reaction when you paragraph on Page 1 says, "In advance of this, 11 11 to keep the peace, airport management learned that Mr. Donovan was not at the hearing? 12 12 I was surprised. recommended that FlightLevel notify both the 13 13 And what happened as a result of Norwood police and Norwood town counsel, while Q. 14 14 the airport manager (AM) briefed the Airport the hearing? 15 15 The Commission, as I recall, took Commission chairman." Do you see that? A. 16 16 no action. A. 17 17 Q. Is that because they believed it Q. That's what you testified earlier, 18 18 was a dispute between BEH and FlightLevel? that FlightLevel did notify you before the 19 19 barriers were installed, correct? MR. FEE: Objection. 20 20 I don't recall what their rationale Yes. A. 21 21 was for not taking action. Q. You mentioned an e-mail that you 22 22 Okay. From time to time you sent out in connection with the barrier dumping 23 prepared manager's reports to the airport, 23 that's in this exhibit. 24 24 correct? MR. HARTZELL: And we'll mark 191 193 1 1 this as the next exhibit. A. Yes. 2 Let me show you what we'll mark as 2 (Exhibit 242, E-mail dated February 14, Q. 3 3 2015, marked for identification) an exhibit. 4 4 (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you MR. HARTZELL: I'm going to 5 5 Exhibit 242, which is an e-mail dated February mark this as Exhibit 241. (Exhibit 241, Norwood Airport Commission 6 6 14, 2015. It appears to be from you to 7 7 Manager's Report, 02/01/15-02/28/15, Mr. Donovan with a copy to Mr. Silva. By the 8 8 marked for identification) way, I don't think we have identified Mr. Silva. 9 9 (By Mr. Hartzell) I'm showing you Do you know who Mr. Silva is? 10 10 what has been marked as Exhibit 241, which Yes. A. 11 11 appears to be a memorandum prepared by you for O. Who is he? 12 the time period February 1, 2015, through 12 He was a former employee. I'm not 13 13 February 28, 2015. Do you see that? sure that he still is an employee, but he was an 14 14 A. Yes. employee of Boston Executive Helicopters. 15 15 And it references a number of And it copies -- Brandon Moss we O. 16 16 talked about -- Mark Ryan, Mark Raymond, and exhibits, which are not attached here. I don't 17 17 Kevin Grasso. Who is Kevin Grasso? know why. But in any event, is this a memo you 18 18 prepared? The assistant to the Chief of 19 19 A. Police for the Town of Norwood. Yes. 20 20 Q. Is this the e-mail that you Q. And do you remember when you 21 21 prepared this? referenced earlier that you had sent out 22 2.2 I don't recall the exact date that following the so-called barrier-dumping Α. 23 23 I prepared it. incident? 24 Would it have been sometime in 24 Yes. Q. A.

Springfield 413.732.1157

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

11

12

13

14

19

196

197

(Pages 194 to 197)

194

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you ever get any response from BEH to this e-mail?
 - A. I don't recall getting a response.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know if the
- barrier-dumping incident and the hearing that
- 6 later occurred in 2015 occurred after BEH had 7
 - sued the Town of Norwood and the individual
- 8 members of the Norwood Airport Commission among 9 others?

MR. FEE: Objection.

- A. As I recall, the hearing took place in October of 2015. And I don't have a recall of the exact date of the lawsuit.
- Q. In connection with the barrier-dumping incident, did anyone, to your knowledge, or did you, review the time card records at Norwood Airport Commission for Mr. Donovan and Mr. Silva?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And what do you recall observing about the time card records?
- 22 Well, the access control system at 23 Norwood Airport requires the badge holder to 24
 - present the card to the card reader and input a

fueling plan that not only shows they would not be fueling in the TOFA area that we talked about earlier and also that they would not be fueling east of their hangar building at the airport; is that correct?

- A. That scaled plan or drawing, that would actually show that they had the land available. It wasn't encumbered by the land that's in dispute in the courts now and is not obviously property rights of somebody other than BEH.
- Q. And has that plan ever been submitted?
- No, not to the best of my A. knowledge.
- We talked about taxilane markings Q. earlier. Do you remember that?
- Yes.
- And remember I asked you if you had Q. to second -- I called it a reminder, but you weren't sure if it was a reminder or just notified about the taxilane markings, correct?
 - Yes. A.
 - Let me show you what was marked as Q.

195

- 1 four-digit code that identifies the card and the
 - user. So we did have -- we did confirm that
- 3 both Mr. Donovan and Mr. Silva were on the
- 4 airport earlier prior to the actual dumping of
- 5 the glycol/water mix. What we don't know is --
- 6 because the airport gates have a free-exit
- 7 feature, you can pull up to a gate and it won't
- 8 register who it is that is pulling up. We just 9 don't know if they were still on the airport at
- 10 the time.
 - But the records did show that they O. were on the airport property before the barrier-dumping incident, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 15 Okay. So at some point in time did 16 the Norwood Airport Commission vote to issue an 17 FBO -- what do you call it a permit or a
- 18
 - license?
 - A. Permit.
- 20 -- to BEH subject to certain Q.
- 21 conditions?
- 22 I do recall that. Α.
- 23 And is one of the conditions that O.
- 24 they have to submit a scaled engineered-stamped

Exhibit 116 at Mr. Ryan's deposition. And this

2 appears to be a copy of a letter from Mr. Ryan 3 to BEH dated July 8, 2015, regarding

4 "Re-Application of Gate 3 taxilane markings."

5 Do you see that? 6

- A. Yes.
- And it references, "As a result of your company's hangar apron construction on

9 November 8, 2013, you were sent an e-mail from 10

airport manager, Russ Maguire, noting his 11 concerns on the joint Gate 3 taxilane." Do you 12 see that?

- A.
- And do you remember seeing this Q. letter?
- Yes, I do remember. Α.
- Okay. And was it after this letter that the taxilane markings were restored to the Gate 3 lane?
 - A. Yes, they were, after the letter was sent out.
- And when had the taxilane markings Q. been removed?
 - They were removed as part of the

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 198 to 201)

198 200 1 of the e-mail, "This is a story that the media apron project, the BEH April project, just to 2 2 the north of their hangar. That kind of tied in should cover instead of the lies promulgated by 3 3 with the taxilane. BEH and their unscrupulous attorneys." Do you And do you remember when that took 4 4 see that? 5 5 place, when the removal took place? A. Yes. 6 6 A. I don't remember the exact --Do you know what he was referring Q. 7 to? It references November 8 of 2013, 8 8 the concerns on the adjoining taxilane? MR. FEE: Objection. 9 9 A. I don't want to speculate. 10 10 Q. There were cracks and the work also Do you have any understanding, as 11 11 resulted in the removal of some taxilane edge you sit here today, what that is referencing? 12 12 MR. FEE: Objection. markings. Do you see that? I'm looking at the 13 first page, and then it references your e-mail 13 (By Mr. Hartzell) You can answer Q. 14 to him --14 if you can. 15 15 A. Yes. MR. SIMMS: Don't speculate. 16 16 Q. -- which begins on BEH8483? (By Mr. Hartzell) You don't have 17 17 to guess or speculate. If you know what he's A. Yes. 18 18 talking about, you should tell me. If you don't And so is it fair to say that 19 19 know what he's talking about or your answer November 2013 there was an issue with the 20 20 taxilane marking being removed? would just be a guess, then tell me. 21 21 Yes. It would be a guess. I would 22 22 Q. And then those taxilane markings prefer not to guess. 23 23 had not been restored as of July 18, 2013; is But in any event, you requested 24 24 that correct? financial information for Waltzing Matilda 199 201 1 MR. FEE: Objection. including attachments showing bank statements 2 That's correct. 2 from WMA, correct? A. 3 3 But they were subsequently Q. Yes. A. 4 4 restored? Q. And that was part of the financial 5 5 A. Yes, as I recall. information requested of them, correct? Okay. Let me show you another 6 6 Q. A. 7 7 document, sir. This was marked as Exhibit 125 And did Norwood Airport Commission 8 at Mr. Sheehan's March 2017 deposition. And 8 also request bank statements from Boston 9 9 Executive Helicopters? this appears to be an e-mail to you from Mike 10 10 Sheehan. Do you remember receiving this e-mail? A. Yes. 11 11 A. 0. And Boston Executive Helicopters 12 12 didn't want to provide them, did they? And was this referencing a request 13 for financial material from Waltzing Matilda 13 MR. FEE: Objection. 14 14 Aviation? (By Mr. Hartzell) You can answer. Q. 15 15 There was some difficulty getting A. 16 16 And just so the record is clear, financial information from Boston Executive O. 17 17 Mr. Mike Sheehan is who? Helicopters. 18 18 The vice chairman of the Norwood And ultimately they wanted some 19 Airport Commission. 19 third-party consultant to review the financial 20 20 And does this e-mail also copy Q. information, correct? 21 other members of the Commission? 21 MR. FEE: Objection. 22 22 That's correct. Α. A. 23 23 And it references, "This is a story And that took many months to O. 24 24 that the media..." -- it states right at the end accomplish, did it not?

Springfield 413.732.1157

(Pages 202 to 205)

204 202 1 1 MR. FEE: Objection. Maguire, as I said, thank you for coming 2 2 A. That took some time. in today. 3 3 Just give me a minute. We may be THE WITNESS: Thanks for 4 4 getting close to finishing. lunch. 5 5 I'm going to show you what was (Deposition suspended) 6 6 marked as Exhibit 90 at Mr. Odstrchel's 7 deposition, which are copies of meeting minutes 8 8 from February of 2016 of the Norwood Airport 9 9 Commission. And it shows, I believe, on the 10 10 first page that you were in attendance? 11 11 Yes. 12 12 And if we go to the second page --Q. 13 bear with me a minute. 13 14 14 I meant to give you Mr. Odstrchel's 15 15 deposition Exhibit 92, which it appears I only 16 16 have one copy of. 17 17 Let me show you what was marked as 18 18 Exhibit 92 at Mr. Odstrchel's deposition, which 19 I believe everyone in the room has a copy. And 19 20 20 I apologize, I don't have other copies of this. 21 21 I want to direct your attention to the second 22 22 page of it, which talks about -- it says, "On a 23 23 motion by Mr. Sheehan and seconded by Mr. 24 24 Odstrchel, the Commission voted 4/0 to 203 205 1 provisionally extend until the next Airport COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS NORFOLK, ss 2 Commission meeting FY2017 commercial permit for I, RAYMOND F. CATUOGNO, JR., a Notary 3 the following business, Boston Executive Public in and for the Commonwealth of 4 Helicopters, LLC. A letter will be written to Massachusetts, do hereby certify that there came before me on March 30, 2018, at the offices of 5 Boston Executive Helicopters as a reminder that LeClair Ryan, One International Place, 11th 6 the NAC is waiting for the fueling plan, and Floor, Boston, Massachusetts, the following named person, to wit: FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III, 7 once it's received BEH will have their FBO. Do who was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth 8 you remember attending that meeting? and nothing but the truth as to his knowledge touching and concerning the matters in 9 Yes. A. controversy in this cause; that he was thereupon 10 examined upon his oath and said examination Do you know whether BEH said reduced to writing by me; and that the statement 11 anything or made any representations at that is a true record of the testimony given by the witness, to the best of my knowledge and 12 meeting, or any members of BEH? 13 A. I don't recall. I further certify that I am not a relative 14 MR. HARTZELL: Okay. Let me or employee of counsel/attorney for any of the 15 take five minutes. I think I'm just about parties, nor a relative or employee of such parties, nor am I financially interested in the 16 finished for the day. outcome of the action. 17 (A recess was taken) WITNESS MY HAND April 13, 2018. 18 MR. HARTZELL: I think we can 19 suspend for the day. Thank you very much, 20 Mr. Maguire, for coming in. We will pick Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr. Notary Public 21 up on an another date and time to be 22 agreed upon by all counsel. My Commission expires: 23 MR. SIMMS: Agreed. February 12, 2021 24 MR. HARTZELL: And Mr.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 206 to 207)

		 (Pages	 	٥ ـــ
	206	 	 	
April 16 2019				
April 16, 2018 Adam Simms, Esq.				
PIERCE, DAVIS & PERRITANO, LLP				
10 Post Office Square				
Boston, MA, 02109				
Re: BEH v. FLIGHTLEVEL NORWOOD, LLC, et al.				
Dear Counselor:				
Enclosed is a copy of the deposition of FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III, taken on March 30,				
2018, in the above-entitled action.				
According to Rule 30(e) of the				
Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, the				
deponent has thirty days to sign the deposition				
from the date of its submission to the deponent,				
which is the above date. Please have the deponent sign the enclosed				
Signature Page/Errata Sheet and return it to the				
offices of:				
A. Neil Hartzell, Esq.				
LeCLAIR RYAN				
One International Place Boston, MA 02110				
Whereupon it will be attached to the				
original deposition transcript, and a copy				
thereof to all counsel of record.				
Thank you for your cooperation in this				
matter.				
Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr.				
cc: A. Neil Hartzell, Esq.				
Michael C. Fee, Esq.				
	207			
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS				
Norfolk, ss. 1582CV00213				
BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC;				
MII AVIATION SERVICES, LLC, AND				
HB HOLDINGS, INC.,				
Plaintiffs,				
V.				
FLIGHTLEVEL NORWOOD, LLC; EAC REALTY TRUST II; AND PETER EICHLEAY,				
Defendants.				
I, FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III, do hereby				
certify, under the pains and penalties of				
perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and				
belief, with the addition of the following				
changes/corrections:				
Page Line Change/Correction				
Page Line Change/Correction				
Page Line Change/Correction				
Page Line Change/Correction				
Page Line Change/Correction				
Page Line Change/Correction				
Page Line Change/Correction				
Page Line Change/Correction				
WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2018.				
WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2018. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III cc: A. Neil Hartzell, Esq.				
WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2018. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, III				

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

	1	1	1	1
A	207:24	affirming 50:8	135:16 149:20	136:24 138:8,17
abbreviation 24:20	add 72:4	agency 50:3 80:24	150:22 164:14,17	138:18 140:3,8,19
ability 64:8 205:10	added 40:19	agenda 131:21	164:20 173:9	140:20,22 141:4
able 27:18 41:14	adding 70:17	agent 186:2	185:10	141:21 142:3,6
46:21 60:2 156:21	addition 26:1 37:22	ago 22:16 58:15	airlines 165:22,24	147:15 148:13,21
aboveentitled 206:9	52:16 207:9	73:22 108:10	airplane 165:7	149:19,21,23
abutting 64:10,23	additional 81:6	118:15 119:11	173:3	150:2,3,15,22
93:15 94:20 95:20	91:1 95:5 108:18	agree 103:5 114:9	airport 2:13 3:11	152:2,24 153:20
accept 108:23	136:11 137:1	agreed 22:1 23:12	3:14,19 4:17,19	154:9 155:5,24
109:13 110:4,8,11	138:19 139:13,15	48:12 51:11 94:8	5:3,8 7:23 9:19,21	156:23 157:4
142:13 144:14	140:8 142:16	95:18,24 96:3,15	10:19,20,24 11:3	158:13 159:12,16
145:6 146:1	176:5	96:18 122:2 123:8	11:7,8,10,12,15	159:19,23 161:4,6
147:11 148:2	address 28:22,23	142:12 144:14	11:16 13:4,5,15	163:12,14 166:3
acceptable 51:9,15	33:16,17 87:17	145:6 203:22,23	13:21,23 14:18	166:12,16,24
51:19,21	94:18 118:23	agreeing 96:8	15:7 16:11,15	167:8,16,23
accepted 147:16	addressed 62:11	agreement 93:11	17:4 19:4,6,13	169:17 170:5,9,24
160:10	66:24 74:24	96:13 112:3	20:17 21:17,17	171:8,17 172:17
access 41:17 98:9	113:16 178:15	ah64s 20:2	28:11 29:12 31:7	173:8,10 175:11
194:22	adjacent 39:1 42:17	ahead 108:16	31:11 32:7 33:17	175:11,23 176:4,7
accesscontrol 22:9	71:20 96:17 102:7	167:18 177:17	34:22,23 35:22	176:10,14,15,17
accessed 179:19	104:8,13	aimola 29:23,24	37:6 38:1,12,20	176:18 177:3,3,5
accident 52:5 55:7	adjoining 198:8	30:2,3,12,17,20	39:16 40:12 43:23	177:6,7,12,12
accomplish 201:24	adler 53:17,24	31:3 45:1	44:18 45:11,19	178:15,16 179:2
accounting 14:2	54:21 55:18,18	aimoli 121:5 134:6	47:18 48:5 53:13	180:6,8 182:3,4
16:3	80:21 93:10	134:11	53:20 54:1,12,17	183:18 184:1
accreditation 9:18	administration	air 8:15 13:14	55:3,24 56:6,20	185:19 186:19
accuracy 83:11	14:3,5,22 38:14	19:10 141:9	58:22 59:1 62:22	188:11 189:11
151:24	75:2	airassaulttype	63:20 65:13,17	190:23 191:6
accurate 62:20	administrator	12:16	71:16 73:14 74:5	192:6,11,14,14
207:8	161:18	aircraft 12:8 17:6,8	79:6 80:1,4,9 81:7	194:8,17,23 195:4
accusation 155:10	admitted 180:24	17:9,11,14 21:18	82:14 83:2 87:18	195:6,9,12,16
accusations 158:12	advance 142:19	25:16 26:5,15,16	90:13 91:2 92:6	196:4 197:10
accused 155:4	192:10	26:22 39:22 42:10	93:7,19 94:7,17	199:19 201:7
act 14:6	advice 175:10	42:12,18,20 43:6	96:24 97:5,8 99:7	202:8 203:1
action 160:10 182:5	181:5,6	43:6,9 55:2 57:9	99:17 101:2 103:4	airports 52:7 84:14
182:8 190:16,21	advise 176:5	57:23 58:5 71:23	105:23 106:7,23	84:17 98:16
205:14 206:9	advised 101:20	71:23 89:7,11	111:8 112:17,21	120:24 127:11
actions 79:22	184:23,24 185:1	95:19 101:22	115:8 116:8	161:22 167:12
154:24 177:7,13	advisory 40:12	104:7,9 105:6	120:15,23 121:24	185:10
active 8:5 11:21	aeronautical 9:15	106:3,12 115:21	122:17 123:10	airtraffic 13:13
activity 89:13	10:7	115:22 121:7	125:9,13 126:22	al 206:5
134:15,16	aeronautics 14:6	123:4 124:17	129:10,24 130:6	alabama 12:7 18:15
actual 128:9,10,18	52:5 161:16	125:2,5,7,8,10	130:23 131:4	alaska 9:11 12:5
195:4	aerospace 9:16	128:24 133:15,17	133:15 134:19,21	18:22
adam 2:15 206:1	affiliated 20:8	133:19 135:4,14	135:1,14 136:4,16	alert 56:20

				209
alleged 156:5	67:23,24 70:24	185:10	133:16 134:9	105:12 118:22
allow 39:21 40:22	83:10 90:20,23	approved 35:19,21	135:6,7 196:2	assurance 159:13
41:13 43:8 51:9	91:11 131:3 142:3	127:13	areas 40:13,14 65:5	attached 33:20,23
	appearances 2:1	approves 70:1	101:22,23 102:5	68:5 69:22 71:4
	appear ances 2.1	approves 70.1 approving 184:11	101.22,23 102.3	85:6 89:1 191:16
allowed 40:21	27:14 28:17 33:5	approximate 10:15	104.7,9,11,14,17	206:17
52:12 101:21	33:19 36:8 44:5			attaches 67:7
		approximately	army 8:8,13 18:7 18:20	
alterations 50:5	56:5,11 66:19,21	16:12 20:11 30:23		attachment 58:8 84:11
american 9:19,20	71:4 76:9,11	32:14 134:2 152:7	arrangement	
amount 107:24	79:12 83:5,21	163:16	105:15 106:2,5,8	attachments 3:8
108:4 109:3,4,10	84:11 86:5 90:6	april 5:2 134:17,23	106:11,20	32:21 201:1
amounts 135:8	91:24 100:4,6,9	139:5,11 140:13	arrangements	attempt 79:21
amphibious 17:8,9	110:23 113:20	163:21 198:1	135:16	105:1
andy 53:3,10	115:5 117:20	205:15 206:1	arts 9:17	attempted 61:4
anew 87:10 147:1	124:3 125:24	apron 116:3,4	asbuilt 73:19 74:6	attempting 55:5
answer 7:8,16	126:9,13 132:15	120:24 121:2,7,9	asbuilts 73:23,24	attend 156:7,11
15:17 27:13 35:12	133:7 139:11	122:8,18 124:14	aside 50:1	189:14
35:13 40:10 65:20	142:1 144:4,8	124:16,21 129:16	asimms 2:16	attendance 2:18
65:21,22 66:2	147:20 173:21	132:1,16 134:10	asked 21:21 32:14	47:23 50:23 51:1
85:18 121:19	174:3,5,13 175:13	135:3,5,5,15	54:4 65:6 79:3	80:21 93:8,9
135:18 146:8	178:12 191:11	142:15,16 146:1	144:10 160:17,19	131:8 202:10
162:20 171:21,22	193:6 197:2 199:9	147:7 165:17	167:7 171:15,19	attended 156:12
200:13,19 201:14	202:15	197:8 198:1	196:19	attending 203:8
answered 81:10	applicant 127:12	aprons 168:2	asking 7:2,8 32:8	attends 53:24
answers 7:6 32:12	application 24:4	arbitrary 100:23	78:22 82:21 106:9	attention 36:10
antagonistic 157:20	27:19 119:12,16	area 18:23 31:23	106:13 116:8	71:2 123:7 178:21
157:22	119:23 120:6	38:10,23 39:15,18	137:5,20 159:17	180:5 185:21
antifreeze 185:16	applied 9:10 56:22	39:23 40:5,17,23	159:20,24 169:11	202:21
anybody 15:10	applies 92:10	41:9,20 42:3,3,8	assault 156:1	attorney 49:1,2,3
30:23 32:1 42:9	apply 38:11 98:14	42:18 46:20 48:4	assaulted 156:3	113:16,18 114:17
52:15 58:18 60:13	98:21	48:7,13 51:10,12	assess 140:16	123:6 145:14,14
78:9 103:4 106:19	applying 25:12	51:16 52:9 54:9	assigned 17:2	157:9 205:12
111:18 112:9	27:9,15	54:16,24 55:2	assist 187:6	attorneys 49:3,4
159:17 171:22	appointed 15:9	56:22 58:21 59:17	assistance 14:19	112:10 200:3
172:23 175:1,4	155:8 158:2	62:16 70:11 72:22	assistant 15:14	attraction 171:7
183:8,15 186:10	163:18,20 169:4	74:2 77:22 80:14	139:23 155:4	august 98:10 160:4
anyway 137:24	171:14	81:15,19 88:16,21	158:18,20 168:1	auto 160:24 161:9
	approach 13:11	89:8,20 92:10	168:15,16 193:18	availability 57:7
apart 112:10	54:5 99:8 114:13	93:12 96:1,16	associates 9:9	available 57:12
-	approached 17:24	98:14,20 99:13	association 9:19,21	78:24 124:21
132:14 147:3	20:13 78:9	104:20 105:6,7	56:24 57:19	125:10 134:24
	approaching 60:23	115:18 116:11	assume 103:18	196:8
	approval 37:22	119:1 121:15,17	179:10	aviation 1:7 2:21
118:3,13 145:15	75:17 76:2 101:20	121:22,23 122:9	assumed 61:19	2:22 9:11,16 10:3
'		*		· ·
Lappear 23:10 33:21 L	approve /():16	1 122:10 124:13	Lassuming Zotiu	1 10:4 14:4 10:8 10
appear 23:10 33:21	approve 70:16	122:10 124:13	assuming 25:10	10:4 14:4 16:8,10

16:13 17:4 24:20	125:9 149:20	95:23 96:3,7,13	beh000137 144:5	114:18 116:7
24:24 31:18 38:14	based 71:1,24	96:15,24 97:4,11	beh000138 144:12	128:1 135:8
39:3 75:1 81:9	72:18 78:1 83:9	99:6 103:6 106:19	beh0002933 36:19	145:12 147:7
112:4 177:8	88:14,24 184:17	106:20 108:6,7,11	beh0002935 36:20	151:1,4,9 152:5
199:14 207:3	188:16	108:11,14,18,23	beh0002952 86:5	152:11 153:17,19
aware 41:3 45:5	basically 26:15	109:5,12,17,23	beh0002978 131:3	156:14,19 159:19
63:2,4,7,8,18	39:20 125:7	110:4,6,7,10,20	beh0003056 133:8	162:3 165:5,8,10
106:22 153:9	177:22	111:10 112:3	beh0003303 115:4	167:5 169:13
163:8 164:6	basis 159:3 167:15	113:18 114:9	beh0003461 98:3	170:21 180:8
	176:8	119:11,14 120:3	beh0003638 162:14	183:4,6 184:17
B	bates 33:4 44:6	121:8 122:24	beh0004357 100:6	187:11,20 188:16
bachelor 9:12	47:12 67:3 69:17	123:2,3,7,8	beh0004359 100:6	202:9,19
back 19:4 23:3 28:9	71:3 75:2 84:10	124:12,22 127:15	beh0008036 56:15	believed 190:17
35:11,14 40:6	86:4 95:14 98:3	127:16 128:21	beh0008038 47:13	bell 91:13 99:3
42:12 43:15 50:22	100:5 113:7 115:4	130:7 131:12,15	56:16	beneath 98:12
58:23 68:3 76:16	117:22 123:15	132:22 133:11,14	beh0008040 47:13	bennett 45:23 84:2
76:20,22 77:11	125:23 126:8	134:24 135:21	beh0008463 90:8	84:2,4
78:17 80:17 81:21	133:7 139:9	136:3,12,21 137:1	beh0008464 90:17	benning 8:11
92:16 94:12 99:21	178:11	142:9,12,16	beh0010317 125:23	best 7:13 22:15
117:13 129:11	beach 10:8	144:13,13,17,20	beh0010597 76:10	28:14 54:14 74:10
137:7 138:3	beacon 2:4	144:21 145:1,5,16	beh003326 75:3	83:1 99:4 106:6
140:13 144:24	bear 58:10 202:13	145:16,23 147:6	beh010645 67:4	170:12 175:5
145:1 149:1,10	becoming 136:21	153:2,4,10 163:8	beh8483 198:16	176:12 184:21
169:11 173:16	138:11	164:8,10,15 174:3	behalf 29:6 52:15	196:14 205:10
backflow 37:15	beginning 27:6	174:5,9 175:15	behave 182:1	207:8
bad 34:18 62:7	begins 100:19	176:17 177:20,23	behs 38:11 40:24	beyond 57:9 72:16
169:22	198:16	178:18 180:10	46:6 53:6,7,19	86:16 95:9 120:11
badge 22:8,10,12	begun 70:22 72:12	181:15,24 186:10	78:3 79:24 89:16	121:4 138:7
169:9 194:23	beh 18:1 30:4,6,23	187:14,17 189:1,5	93:11,14 94:18	141:16 144:23
badges 22:8,10	31:13,17 34:4	189:18 190:18	119:22 121:3	168:11 169:23
bank 201:1,8	37:12 38:3,21	194:2,6 195:20	128:14,16 130:10	182:2 189:8
bar 19:2	39:2,6 41:3,8 44:6	196:11 197:3	140:16 163:4	big 12:14
barrier 185:21	45:9 47:12 48:6	198:1 200:3 203:7	165:13	bit 8:24 60:3 89:23
192:22	48:10,12,17,20,23	203:10,12 206:5	belaboring 147:3	108:17
barrierdumping	49:7 51:8,15 54:3	beh000 139:10	belief 207:9	blocking 178:18
187:15 189:6,12	54:14 57:8 58:18	beh0000138 142:5	believe 18:5,12 20:6	bma 11:10
193:22 194:5,15	60:7 61:7,8,10,12	beh0000514 111:11	27:24 30:9,11,13	board 15:9 36:9
195:13	61:15,18 63:1	beh0000651 79:11	32:18 43:19 48:20	92:19 127:12
barriers 182:14,23	64:7 73:6,11,12	beh0000705 117:22	49:2,5 50:24 58:9	131:16 152:6
183:5,6,10,12,23	74:8 78:9 79:19	beh0000869 33:5	58:16,24 60:15	155:8 162:14,21
184:3,7,16,19	80:20 81:22 82:2	beh0000869beh0	61:4 67:12 69:5	167:7
185:5,14,18 186:4	82:17,23 84:5	3:9 32:22	69:10 72:2 77:5	boiler 58:1,3
186:5,11 189:21 192:19	85:3 90:8,11 91:2	beh0000872 33:5	77:24 85:2 99:10	bolded 57:3 58:8
	91:12,23 93:8,24	beh0000930 124:3	101:4 102:4 109:7	boot 11:24 18:4,11
base 8:10,15 19:10	94:4,8,24 95:4,18	beh00010641 67:3	111:6 113:17	18:13
•				

borders 39:8 54:15	142:12 145:5	148:11,15,18,22	cc 206:23 207:23	chris 18:1,3,3 24:10
boston 1:7,21 2:4,9	184:22 193:15	149:6 153:9	ccd 111:12	28:18 33:13 44:5
2:14,19 11:8,16	break 7:11 8:4	169:12 175:14	cell 186:13	48:24 66:21 68:21
17:2,23 20:9,15	43:11 171:13	183:1 203:3	center 103:2,21	76:12 77:7,13
21:1 22:18 23:3	172:2,5 173:14	businesses 13:23	104:3,4	83:22 130:10
24:4,9,15 25:5,11	briefed 192:14	41:21	certain 50:17 63:21	132:13 164:7
25:19,24 26:3	briefing 31:6	butters 60:15,18,20	124:11,11,17	186:15
27:8 29:6 32:2	briefly 126:20	60:22 62:4 99:2,5	136:5 137:12	christopher 2:19
33:13 36:11 37:18	bring 171:16	126:19	140:6 195:20	74:24 115:6
38:3 45:5 46:6,21	broad 13:6	120.17	certainly 41:19	circuit 129:13
47:9 56:24 62:21	broken 135:12	C	50:19 72:17 74:12	circular 40:13
63:5,9,10,13,20	broker 157:14	c 2:3,5 206:24	135:3	circumnavigates
64:1,11,21 65:1,3	brook 188:9,10	207:24	certification 9:20	188:12
65:10,16 71:5	brought 41:7 62:21	calculated 109:3	certify 187:23	circumstances
72:7,20 74:24	185:21 189:4	call 31:23 158:8	205:4,12 207:7	87:24
75:22 79:13 83:22	budgetary 14:2	195:17	cetera 58:1 85:14	cite 59:1
84:12 86:19 92:1	building 30:15	called 16:7 20:9	chairman 14:15	citizens 14:9
92:7 98:8,15	31:13,17,20 39:2	33:4 42:11 168:23	32:8 37:5 54:13	civil 206:10
99:15 104:18	40:24 41:4 45:10	190:5 196:20	59:15 80:1 99:7	claimed 108:6
105:22 106:1,24	46:7 48:19 49:7	calling 171:11	126:23 155:24	156:2
107:9,10 115:7	51:13 59:22 60:7	camp 11:24 18:4,11	156:2,23 157:4	claiming 115:20
131:11 135:10	70:11,14,21 72:12	18:13	175:10 183:19	167:15
138:10,20 147:10	72:15 73:24 96:4	camps 8:13	184:4 192:15	claims 159:3
147:15,24 148:1	104:21,21 121:5	cant 7:9 22:14	199:18	clarify 105:5
148:12 149:5	122:4,10 130:14	42:20 43:3 64:16	challenges 42:1	clarifying 38:13
151:6 160:14,19	134:6 196:4	97:8 115:21	challenging 42:8	clean 186:22
161:7 193:14	bulk 98:22	179:22	change 40:5 207:10	cleaned 186:24
201:8,11,16 203:3	bullet 119:5 142:9	capabilities 17:20	changes 116:2	187:1,3 188:17
203:5 205:5 206:3	bumped 19:1	capital 14:2	207:9	cleanup 187:6,21
206:16 207:2	burlingham 2:22	card 194:16,21,24	changing 120:14	187:23 188:24
bostonexecutiveh	113:9,11 114:13	194:24 195:1	charge 13:9 54:6	clear 13:12 19:18
77:7	114:17 123:7	career 19:15	156:1 172:9	31:17 37:1 49:14
bottom 28:22 33:4	182:21	carolina 8:12	charges 156:19	96:15 98:2 111:2
44:6 57:4 66:20	burlington 54:7	carrier 98:22	189:4	113:10 125:4
77:12 82:20 119:5	bus 165:23	case 23:11,21,23	charter 20:20 25:15	159:23 179:12
126:18 127:4	business 4:17,19	57:22,24 61:21,22	25:15 141:9	199:16
139:10	5:4 13:8,20 16:14	103:22 128:1	checked 26:10,12	clearly 77:2 131:21
boundary 64:21	16:22 17:1,3	cases 6:24	checkmark 26:9	143:11
71:15 73:2 82:13	20:23 112:5	casual 170:7	checks 15:5	clients 150:13
box 72:7,20	119:12,14,22	categories 25:11	cherokee 164:21	cliff 54:5 69:2,3
boxes 25:9	120:1,4 130:1,24	catuogno 1:24	chief 111:6 126:15	close 202:4
bragg 8:12	131:11 135:16	205:3,18 206:22	193:18	club 150:12,14
branch 8:7	136:9,11 138:24	caught 180:5	choubah 28:23,24	169:10
brandon 90:6	140:24 141:22	cause 189:10 205:8	29:5,9,11,15,19	code 195:1
126:10 139:16	142:17 144:22	caused 88:1 167:5	29:21 44:17,21	coexist 176:6,7
	•	•	•	•
~ ' ~ ' - ' - '				

collapsed 16:16,21	93:5,8,19 94:7,17	commissions 48:5	171:2	177:2,4 189:5
college 9:18 13:2,2	97:1,5,9,10 99:7	140:15 177:3	comply 38:6,9,10	192:22 194:14
colleges 15:16	101:19 105:24	commonwealth 1:2	57:12 98:13,20	conservation 73:20
color 164:24 169:21	106:7,24 108:12	52:7 205:1,3	comprehensively	186:2
colors 151:15	109:9 110:14	207:1	94:18	consider 135:5
com 2:6,11,16 77:7	112:17,21 114:19	communicated	concern 46:20 57:7	considered 26:19
comanche 151:9	115:8 119:18,21	158:23	80:18 82:23 92:18	26:20 40:24 80:12
combat 20:4	119:21 122:1,17	communication	94:15 104:1	171:6
come 40:7 46:10	125:13 126:23	88:1	175:22 176:1	considers 92:20
58:22 80:17 88:1	129:10,24 130:6	communications	177:19	consistent 82:24
92:16 94:6 108:17	130:23 131:4,17	63:9 86:12 166:15	concerning 34:8	116:2
129:9 144:24	134:19 135:14	170:3 187:14	68:13 75:21 82:18	constructed 41:4
145:1 160:16	136:4,16,24	community 12:23	91:10 94:6 128:22	constructing 31:13
181:1	137:20 138:9,17	companies 140:21	148:4,22 178:24	39:3
comfortable 59:16	138:18 140:3,9,20	189:1	205:7	construction 30:4,5
93:6,10	141:11,21 142:3,6	company 11:7 16:7	concerns 21:23	30:11,13,22,24
coming 7:20 14:13	141.11,21 142.3,6	17:7 20:8,15	48:4,5,5 54:22	31:11 35:3 38:21
14:15 165:16	147:15 148:13,21	24:10,15,19 25:2	58:19 80:8 81:6	40:23 41:1,8
169:9 203:20	147.13 148.13,21 149:23 150:2,4,6	25:4,5 50:4 94:16	120:8 162:20	44:14 45:9 50:5
204:1	152:24 154:9	120:14 141:3,5,15	178:16 186:19	50:12,17,20 59:22
comments 162:3	155:24 156:24	154:1,3,6 169:14	197:11 198:8	59:23 60:7 70:16
commercial 13:24	157:4 159:12,16	companys 59:19	conditions 37:22	70:22 72:12,18
14:1 20:17,19	159:19,23 161:4,8	92:11 120:10	125:13 195:21,23	75:10 80:23 92:11
24:3 25:12 27:10	163:12,14,23	197:8	conduct 48:18,21	117:3 130:14
28:8,12 32:10	164:4 166:12,16	comparable 108:5	51:15 57:8 78:12	160:22 197:8
64:3,3 83:4 119:7	166:24 169:4	comparable 108.3	78:23 81:18 88:16	consult 7:17
119:11,15,23	170:5,9 171:18	complaint 152:14	104:19 109:9	consultant 84:4
120:5 141:6 203:2	170.3,7 171.18	152:17 155:12,16	114:9 116:9	176:3 201:19
commercially 27:15	175:11,11 176:4	155:18 162:24	121:21 122:3,7,14	contact 184:8,13,19
commercially 27:13	176:10,15 177:6	163:3,6,8,9 182:5	159:11	contain 85:10
13:22	177:13,23 180:8	complaints 21:15	conducted 82:23	content 112:9
commission 2:13	182:3,4 183:18	21:22 180:9	conducting 187:23	157:18
4:17,19 5:3,9	184:1 185:22	complete 44:13	conference 76:15	continue 119:4
14:18 15:8 20:18	186:2 189:11	70:7 144:4,9	confident 59:17	155:14
29:12 31:7,12	190:15 191:6	completed 37:12	confirm 50:3 195:2	continued 4:1 5:1
32:7 35:22 37:6	192:7,15 194:8,17	69:18,20 101:21	confirming 186:16	contractor 158:17
38:1,20 45:20	195:16 199:19,21	160:21	conflict 171:17	158:18
47:18 54:1,13	201:7 202:9,24	completely 146:9	confusing 145:22	contradicted
56:6,21 58:23	203:2 205:22	completing 10:11	149:8	177:22
59:7 62:23 63:20	commissioner	complex 37:13	connection 45:9	contrary 171:10
64:7 65:6,13,18	149:20 166:18	134:11	58:13 59:10 63:22	control 13:13,14,15
70:1 73:20 74:6	168:4,23 170:16	complexity 40:20	86:13 110:12	13:19 59:19 85:13
74:11 78:22 80:2	170:24	compliance 13:16	119:15,22 120:5	101:22 161:4
80:4,10 81:7	commissioners 32:8	159:11,15,22	136:12,20 137:2	194:22
90:13 91:3 92:6	144:20	160:2 161:12	156:9 176:16	controversy 205:8
70.13 71.3 72.0	111.20	100.2 101.12	150.7 170.10	201111010131 203.0
	l		l	l

conversation 21:20	correct 10:17 11:18	203:22 205:12	5:2,5,7,10 28:4,18	156:12
22:3 29:17 31:15	19:21 23:16 25:12	206:18	33:21 36:4,8	defendants 1:12 2:8
45:4 50:2 54:19	27:11 31:18 34:9	counselor 206:7	43:24 44:4 47:3	207:6
58:18 62:2 68:17	35:16,17,22 38:1	countermeasures	56:1,5 62:10	defined 26:21
145:13,13 147:21	38:4 42:16,22	13:19	66:21 74:19,23	definitely 59:8
154:11,23 182:19	43:1 55:10,13	couple 7:4 10:2	76:13 79:7,14	151:18 166:4
183:3,7 184:22	58:16 67:1,22	21:4 23:4 76:10	83:17,23 84:18	168:8 171:24
186:1 187:17,18	69:11 74:3,4,9	100:16 163:12	86:1,6 90:2,7	186:8
conversations	77:11,22 78:4	course 140:18	91:20,24 97:17	definition 26:18
29:13 30:7,10,14	81:19,20 82:11,14	court 1:3,4 7:1,7	99:24 107:17	defy 122:12
30:17 31:2 44:16	82:15 86:20 88:22	156:8	110:24 113:3,8	degree 9:9,13,14
53:18 58:12 68:12	89:3,20 91:3 96:1	courts 196:9	114:24 115:5	10:12
78:10 86:11,15	96:5,18,19 99:18	cover 120:18 200:2	117:17,21 118:4	degrees 9:23,24
152:16 154:7	104:22,23 105:2,4	coverage 157:10	123:23 124:2	deice 185:11
157:2,6 170:7	105:20 107:4	cracks 198:10	125:20 126:2,10	delaria 111:1,2,23
186:9 187:13	110:20 121:10,15	crane 70:13,17	126:17 132:9,14	182:21 187:20
converted 101:6	122:4 128:6,18,19	75:10 80:24	133:3 139:5,11	188:20
convey 188:19	129:7 130:19	crash 173:3	162:9 175:9,15	delay 68:4
cooperation 206:19	135:23 139:23,24	created 15:3	178:7,14 193:2,5	delineate 71:15
copied 75:6 123:7	140:4 147:8,12,13	creative 9:17	197:3	82:13 103:22
125:17 139:20	147:17 148:2	criminal 156:7	dave 29:23 84:2,2	delineates 65:5
140:2	187:19 190:24	189:3	davis 2:13 206:2	73:2
copies 139:15	192:19 195:13,14	criteria 38:7	day 65:3 97:4 183:4	delineating 64:24
193:15 202:7,20	196:5,22 198:24	currently 57:12	183:7 186:14	103:2
copy 67:1 68:22	199:2 201:2,5,20	174:9	203:16,19 207:20	delivered 94:16,24
73:7 76:7 77:5,12	201:22	customer 125:11	daylong 159:10	deliveries 48:8
84:1 90:5,21	corrected 160:9	customers 125:3	160:1	demonstrate 94:10
100:7,9 112:13	correction 207:10	135:4,15,17	days 206:11	96:24
115:5,12 124:4	corrections 207:9	cyn 187:10	daytona 10:8	demonstrated 97:4
126:10 127:15,16	corrective 160:10		dealing 13:24 14:1	97:12
127:19 132:13	correctly 10:5	<u>D</u>	dear 69:2 206:7	department 1:3
133:7 139:8 142:1	57:13 67:19,19	dahlonega 8:14	december 3:10 4:10	14:5 27:22 41:18
143:20 144:4,9	70:18 143:2	daily 12:22	4:11 8:4 10:23	47:17 59:15 60:11
148:18 178:10,12	correspondence	data 86:23 88:6	11:6 16:14 36:4,8	60:14 61:2,16,19
178:13 187:22	91:9 123:5	database 15:3	36:22 84:18 113:3	61:24 62:3 69:24
193:7 197:2	corroborated	date 16:17 23:11	113:8 114:24	98:8 127:14 128:4
199:20 202:16,19	158:20	26:23 27:8 98:21	115:6 152:8,9,9	128:8,13 154:12
206:8,17	cost 63:12	132:4 148:5 150:7	152:12	154:16,16 155:12
copying 33:6 83:23	couldnt 122:8	152:7 173:6	decided 109:13	155:15
97:22 132:15	counsel 14:19	191:22 194:13	110:8 125:13	departmental
139:13	113:14 139:18,19	203:21 206:11,12	129:10	14:23
cordial 21:21	142:11 145:5	dated 3:7,10,12,13	decision 94:6	departure 13:11
corner 26:24	147:22 171:16,23	3:15,17,20,21,22	186:22	depending 26:18
corporate 153:23	181:6 184:10,19	4:2,3,5,6,8,9,11	decisions 79:22	deponent 6:1
corporation 11:9	184:23 192:13	4:12,14,16,21,22	dedham 12:24	206:11,11,13
L				

				214
deposed 7:5	178:16	46:18 48:16 51:6	documentation	68:10,16 72:16
deposes 6:4	devens 18:4	51:7 52:12 75:13	120:16	73:10,16 75:14
deposit 15:4	diagram 89:7	75:14 93:22	documents 23:4,19	76:1 77:1,20
deposition 1:16 3:6	didnt 8:22 31:5	106:16 112:8,23	32:3 69:17 94:17	78:21,24 79:1
23:7,10 24:2 25:9	52:22 63:13 77:21	164:2	94:23 95:2 136:23	82:5 84:3,5 86:15
33:2 62:10 68:20	110:10 111:20	discussions 31:10	doesnt 83:9	87:10 88:3,4
73:9 89:19 126:7	157:8,24 158:7	44:24 51:11 53:23	doing 17:15 21:2	91:15 95:1,2,8
129:6 143:24	157.8,24 138.7	111:18,20 112:20	169:14 181:1	97:10 98:6 100:12
129.0 143.24	166:4 167:13	111.18,20 112.20	donovan 2:19 18:1	101:11,13,16
149:2 173:20	170:7,8,16 171:21	119:13 166:14	19:21 20:1,8	105:7,9,12 109:15
174:24 175:8	170.7,8,10 171.21	172:22	24:10,14 28:18	103.7,9,12 109.13
197:1 199:8 202:7		dismissed 156:20	*	112:23 114:5,16
	difference 34:17,21 different 6:24 9:23		31:3,6 33:6,13	,
202:15,18 204:5 206:8,11,17	14:13 33:20 50:18	disparaging 166:24 167:6	44:5,13,22 45:6 45:13 48:24 51:11	116:13,18 119:17 120:7 125:16
depositions 23:21	62:15 68:22 76:10	dispense 160:16	58:18 59:4,10,16	131:22 132:4
23:22 143:3	107:20 120:19	_	65:11 66:21 67:5	
describe 13:5 57:16	107:20 120:19	dispensing 36:11 89:9	68:13,21 73:6,11	135:2,8 137:3,4 138:6,14,21 139:2
170:10	difficult 42:13	disposition 109:19	74:24 75:13 76:12	141:16 142:4
described 23:1	170:19	dispute 182:2	77:13 78:9 79:13	143:9 146:9,22,23
77:17 161:10	difficulty 57:1	190:18 196:9	79:19 80:3 81:17	148:23 149:7,9
describes 68:14	201:15	distance 57:22	82:21 83:22 84:22	151:17 152:9
describing 86:18	dinner 15:19	distribution 77:3	85:2 88:15 92:1	151.17 132.9
description 70:8	direct 101:22	division 14:6	100:10,14 106:18	162:3 163:5,24
75:9 158:2	202:21	document 24:6	115:6,17 116:7	165:14 166:5
design 34:14 35:16	directing 71:2	27:23 32:17 33:1	117:21 124:5	167:19 168:10
37:22 38:12 40:12	178:21	33:3 35:24 36:19	126:2 130:11	167:19 168:10
73:18 92:9 98:16	direction 171:1	43:19 44:3,8,11	132:13 133:9	170:2,12 173:6
103:10,15 127:21	directly 154:14	44:12 46:10,23	134:19 137:10,15	175:17,20 179:3,7
127:24 128:2	157:24 158:7	47:6 55:21 56:14	139:12 140:7	180:3,20,24 181:8
136:13	disapproving	66:11 68:19 73:1	152:21 153:11,14	181:13,16 182:7
designated 116:4	184:11	74:23 76:8 79:11	164:7 168:6,19	182:20 185:22
125:11,12	discernable 174:20	82:22 83:20 84:7	180:15,18 181:3	186:7 187:16
desire 63:22 124:11	discourage 43:3	84:11,21 85:6,8	186:15 189:5	189:8 190:9,20
160:15	discouraged 55:7	85:13,14,22,24	190:8,11 193:7	191:16,22 193:8
destination 115:22	55:15	86:4 89:23 90:5	194:18 195:3	194:3,12 195:5,9
detail 34:2	discuss 22:17 53:5	91:16,23 97:22	dont 7:18 16:16	198:6 200:9,15,16
detailed 73:17	116:15 118:17	98:3 100:5 107:13	17:15 23:24 25:1	200:18 202:20
details 35:2,2 37:23	discussed 46:19	107:20 111:10	27:24 29:10,16,20	203:13
127:22 155:2	48:2,3 59:6,8	112:18 113:6	30:18,21 31:14,15	double 109:10
determination	93:19 94:3 106:18	114:21 115:4	32:18 44:20 45:3	151:12
75:21 89:12	180:11 186:1	123:15 126:5,7	45:16,17,21 46:10	draft 69:22 84:13
determinations	discusses 115:23	139:8 143:2 144:6	49:9,13,22,22	85:5,8 142:1
80:22 81:8	discussing 53:9	144:8 146:15	51:17 52:10,23	drafted 116:19
determined 185:23	99:2 116:18	173:19 175:7	53:8 59:24 61:7	drains 188:15
developments	discussion 32:1	178:10 199:7	64:4,24 67:12,23	drawing 35:4 63:24
F			,,	
	I	I	I	I

64:14,20 65:8,9	easily 160:9	33:16,17,23 54:21	124:16 196:8	206:1,14,23,24
65:12,17 67:15,17	east 39:8 48:14,22	66:15,19 67:5,7	encumbrances	207:23,24,24
71:4,6,10 73:13	51:12 59:19 78:3	68:9,21 69:11,14	41:20,24	essentially 182:1
74:12 78:1 82:10	78:11,12 88:21	76:5,9,11 77:1,5	ended 19:17 171:11	establish 57:8
83:11 88:24 90:20	93:24 94:9 95:19	77:12,12,16,24	171:11	estate 57:12 59:18
95:10,13 122:20	96:4,9,18 97:13	80:7 83:17,21	engine 151:13,13	157:14
123:12 196:6	103:2 104:21	84:9 86:1,5,14	164:23 169:20	et 58:1 85:14 206:5
drawings 33:22	121:6 122:3,10,14	87:16,17,21 90:2	engineer 29:6 35:6	ethylene 188:5
34:2,8 37:23	123:2 134:5,11	90:6 101:18,18	37:3 53:13 55:19	event 41:18 95:15
63:22 64:5 67:8	177:21 179:21	118:3 125:16	65:7 67:18,21	119:20 191:17
67:11,20 68:13	196:4	126:9,13,14,18	71:13 82:12 93:10	192:5 200:23
73:17,18,19 74:6	eastern 48:18 49:6	127:20 139:5,11	99:8 128:14,16,21	events 144:15
90:21 129:3	141:8	140:7 186:15	engineered 67:11	evergreen 165:22
160:12	economic 176:8	187:12 189:1	67:14,16	165:24
drew 47:15 51:23	edge 103:2,22	192:21 193:2,5,20	engineeredstamp	evidenced 123:5
52:3,10	104:5 198:11	194:2 197:9	195:24	exact 16:17 77:21
driver 165:23	editor 12:22 166:23	198:13 199:9,10	engineering 34:14	105:9 173:6
drivers 6:3	education 9:1,7	199:20 200:1	54:6 73:17	181:14 191:22
drugs 155:5 158:12	effect 31:15 52:11	emails 4:15 76:10	engineers 47:16	194:13 198:6
158:21,23 159:4	54:22 181:6	76:20,24 91:9	52:14,19,23 53:1	exactly 51:18
dubois 53:13	189:23	125:20,24 126:3	53:4,12 68:5,8	138:21 151:18
118:16	efforts 79:21	140:2 155:20,21	80:1	168:14 180:21
due 70:9,15 72:10	eglin 8:15	155:21 157:16,18	england 54:6	181:16
duly 6:3 205:6	egress 35:6	157:21	ensure 38:21	examination 3:3
dumping 192:22	eichleay 1:11 2:20	emblematic 12:13	117:13 128:12	6:15 205:8
195:4	6:24 49:11,15	embry 10:7	159:12 177:24	examined 205:8
durability 78:22	62:11,14 178:14	embryriddle 10:6	ensuring 13:10	exceeded 108:5
durations 43:8	182:22 186:13,15	employee 15:12	enter 107:11	exchange 3:16,18
duties 13:5,6	207:5	193:12,13,14	entirety 143:23	28:17 66:15 76:5
duty 11:21	eight 38:7	205:12,13	entitled 84:12	exchanged 22:11
	either 31:3 42:9	employer 15:6,7	entity 28:10,12	exchanges 29:19,21
E	45:19 49:20 53:6	employment 150:8	entrance 165:18	30:19
e 206:10	53:9 59:10 116:19	enclosed 206:8,13	environmental	excuse 28:18 69:19
eac 1:11 6:23 207:5	134:18 135:11	enclosures 4:16	13:16 186:20	114:11 136:20
earlier 45:2 50:2	152:9 164:14	125:20 126:1	187:6,10	176:6
77:18 89:3 95:11	166:6 167:24	133:10	equipment 14:3	executive 1:7 2:19
113:18 128:17	168:20 169:9	encompass 39:24	38:12 41:14 70:12	17:2,23 20:9,16
129:5,15 136:2,23	177:11	encourage 39:21	98:15	21:1 22:18 23:3
137:9 158:11	electrical 134:7	encouraged 144:21	era 12:13	24:4,9,15 25:5,11
163:9 192:17	elks 169:10	155:13	erection 70:14	25:19,24 26:4
193:21 195:4	email 3:7,8,16,18	encroaching 64:9	184:6	27:9 29:7 32:2
196:3,17	3:21,22 4:2 5:2,10	encroachments	errata 206:13	33:13 36:12 37:18
early 12:20 18:5	28:4,17,17,22	38:22 40:21 42:4	especially 41:16	38:4 45:5 46:6,21
21:14 131:14	29:19,20 30:19	42:5 55:1 122:13	94:19	47:9 56:24 62:22
166:20 192:1,3	32:21 33:6,8,11	encumbered	esq 2:5,10,15,22	63:5,9,11,13,21

				216
64:1,11,22 65:1,4	97:24 98:2 99:23	138:11 175:24	187:21	fee 2:5 6:13 27:12
65:10,16 71:5	99:24 100:3	177:19	fair 66:10 114:7	35:11 40:9 62:24
72:8,21 75:1,22	102:16 107:14,15	expressing 20:14	117:8 130:13	63:17 75:24 91:4
79:13 83:22 84:12	107:17 110:23	131:15 136:3,21	198:18	92:13 96:10
86:19 92:2,7 98:8	111:19 113:2,3,6	extend 203:1	fairbanks 9:12	102:23 105:3
98:15 99:15	113:22,23 114:4	extent 22:2,12	fairer 167:2	116:12 117:10
104:18 105:23	114:15,23,24	137:22	familiar 29:22 55:3	118:8 121:16,18
106:1 107:1,9,11	115:3 116:16		174:18	122:5,11 124:24
115:7 131:12	117:14,16,17,20	F	far 58:23 64:2	135:13,19,24
135:11 138:10,20	118:6 122:23	f 1:24 58:8 65:1	72:16 103:21	137:16,22 139:1
147:10,16,24	123:17,19,22,23	71:16,20 73:2	105:10 160:21	142:22 143:4,11
148:1,12 149:5	125:19,20 126:6	88:22 105:16	188:10	143:17,22 144:18
151:6 160:14,20	127:7 129:6,23,24	106:3,12 116:3	farm 27:20 30:4,15	146:4 148:8 162:1
161:7 193:14	130:5,21,23 132:7	122:14 177:21	31:9 34:4,6,15	176:21 177:9,15
201:9,11,16 203:3	132:9,12 133:1,3	179:4 205:3,18	35:3,7 38:22 48:8	181:7 190:19
203:5 207:2	133:6 137:7,8	206:22	50:6,10,12 53:6	194:10 199:1
executives 9:19,21	138:2 139:4,5	fa 69:9	53:19 54:3 63:15	200:8,12 201:13
exercise 120:17	141:20,21 142:24	faa 14:8 39:16	70:22 73:18 74:3	201:21 202:1
exhibit 3:6,7,8,10	143:23 144:3,6	40:11,20 44:14,17	86:19 99:17	206:24 207:24
3:11,13,14,16,17	148:16 149:2	44:24 45:7,13,14	101:21 117:3	feel 7:11,17 167:1
3:18,19,21,22 4:2	162:6,8,9,12	46:5 47:15 50:2,4	121:3,10 127:22	feet 57:24 58:2,4
4:3,5,6,8,9,11,12	173:20 174:23	50:6,8,11,17,24	130:17 160:15	107:4,23 108:21
4:14,15,17,19,21	178:6,7,11 191:3	51:6,6,15,20,21	178:18,24 179:15	109:6,7,17 110:2
4:22 5:2,3,5,7,8	191:5,6,10 192:23	52:11,12 54:5,7	179:20 180:1,4,10	129:15 132:2,17
5:10 23:6,7 24:2	193:1,2,5 197:1	54:20,22 55:7,12	181:5	134:21,24 135:8,9
25:8 28:3,4 32:21	199:7 202:6,15,18	56:12 58:13 59:14	farms 52:6	135:21 145:24
33:1 35:1,15 36:4	exhibits 1:1 3:5 4:1	69:3,4,21 70:5	fbo 119:7 120:12	147:6 188:14
36:7 37:9,20	5:1 191:16	75:16,20 76:1,12	136:4,13,22	fell 70:9,15 72:9
41:10 43:22,23	existing 40:21 72:9	77:18 78:1 79:23	138:12 140:17	felt 62:5 97:11
44:3 47:2,3,7 50:1	135:22	80:19,22 81:7	142:10 144:13	133:16 161:7
55:21,23,24 56:4	expanded 138:24	87:16 88:19 89:16 92:9 93:7 99:6	175:23 176:6	170:20,24
57:5 58:20 60:10	expenses 157:8		195:17 203:7	female 60:18
62:9 66:12,14,15	experience 167:11	103:12,13,16,18	fbos 176:6	fence 171:6
66:18 68:15,20	expert 45:7	118:16 121:14,20 126:1 152:14	feature 195:7	field 13:14
73:7,8 74:15,16	experts 189:17	159:11 160:2,9	features 174:20	fifty 58:2,4
74:18,19,22 76:4	expires 205:22	163:2,2 171:3,3,6	february 4:18 5:11	file 85:1,15 152:14
76:5 78:2 79:5,6	explain 39:13 50:14	171:10,11	16:18 126:17,24	155:12,17
79:10 82:8 83:14	61:10 67:13 81:13	faas 86:18 89:10	130:2,6,13 178:23	filed 50:10 70:16
83:16,17,20 85:7	125:5 137:14	face 39:6,6	180:9 182:12	119:11,14 152:18
85:9,22 86:1	159:2,18 167:15	facility 48:15,22	191:12,13 192:1,1	159:9 163:7,9
87:15 89:2,18	explanation 65:11	73:13 98:11 121:6	192:3 193:2,5	filing 50:21 80:23
90:1,2,18,19	express 21:1 109:12	160:20	202:8 205:22	filings 44:14
91:17,19,20 93:4	110:6	fact 55:2 56:21	federal 14:4 38:14	final 27:21 70:1
94:14 95:7,14,16	expressed 58:24	121:24 172:2	40:16 75:1 159:14	80:22 109:18
96:22 97:16,17,20	108:14 131:20,23	121.27 1/2.2	186:21	110:17
	<u> </u>	l	l	l
Springfield	PEAT.	TIME COURT REP	ORTING	Worcester

finalize 69:23	five 22:16 98:12	fly 173:10	111:23 113:17,17	118:24 121:3,8,10
finance 14:2 138:19	133:23 138:5	flying 12:9 19:7,9	134:18,18 142:10	121:10,10 122:18
financial 136:5	203:15	19:12 153:24	142:11,15 145:14	122:19 127:22
137:1,5,12 138:9	fiveminute 173:14	173:7	147:23	128:5,10,18,23
140:6,16,21 141:1	fiveyear 142:19	follow 37:18 108:9	foxboro 9:4,5	130:17 160:15,16
159:17,20,24	fixedbase 131:13	followed 80:23	frame 32:15	174:10 178:18,24
199:13 200:24	fixedbased 131:18	following 80:19	francis 1:16 3:2 6:1	179:15,20 180:1,4
201:4,16,19	fixture 39:24	85:6 93:6 101:20	6:18 205:6 206:8	180:10 181:5
financially 205:13	fixtures 40:1	162:21 193:22	207:7,22	fueler 174:5,6,8
finding 179:6	flew 12:2 20:2	203:3 205:5 207:9	free 7:11 62:5	fuelers 48:9 64:4
fine 6:13,14 9:17	flight 12:1,6,7 18:6	follows 6:4	freeexit 195:6	fueling 17:20 21:2
finger 181:24	18:18 25:14,15,16	followup 148:20	freely 41:14	26:20,21 32:9,10
finish 7:7	134:16	149:5	freezing 185:15	34:16,22,24 38:12
finished 10:12	flightlevel 1:10 2:21	followups 10:3	freight 25:15	39:17 46:22 48:12
203:16	2:22 6:23 49:11	foot 142:14 145:7	frequent 149:21	48:18,22 51:8,9
finishing 202:4	49:18 54:15 63:6	footage 108:4,6	friday 28:19 68:23	51:15 52:8 53:20
fire 27:21 41:17,18	63:6,10,11,12	109:10	front 100:24 102:21	56:7,23 57:6,8,9
47:17 56:23 57:18	85:1,4,15 93:23	footnote 122:22,23	103:6 104:8,10	57:16 58:24 59:2
59:14 60:11,13	94:11 105:15	123:13	105:6,10 116:3,10	59:2,11,17,20
61:2,16,19,23	106:2,6,11,21	force 8:15 19:10	143:5,12,15	60:5 62:17 63:2
62:2,4 69:24	108:8 111:6	foregoing 207:8	171:15 189:11	64:4 73:14 74:8,9
79:24 80:20 98:8	113:15 114:8	forget 91:8	fuel 17:17 27:10,15	75:18,22 77:22
118:17 126:15	121:5 123:8 141:7	forgive 102:14	27:16,17,20 28:10	78:12 80:4,17
127:14 128:4,8,13	164:12 168:10,12	forgot 60:9 87:20	30:4,15 31:9 32:1	81:18,23 82:2,5
firm 187:6,9	175:22,24 176:2	form 6:7 50:4,15	34:4,5,11,14,21	82:23 83:5 84:24
first 7:20 11:12	176:13 177:8,14	69:19,21 70:5	35:3,4,4,7,9,15	85:5,8,10,11
17:22 20:16 21:10	177:19,22 178:15	91:10 92:14 96:11	36:11 38:22 48:7	88:16 89:7,9,17
21:12 22:3 25:7	178:18 179:10	176:20,22	48:8,8,13,14 50:6	89:19 91:1 93:14
31:4 33:9 37:19	180:10 181:24	forma 141:14	50:10,12 51:12	94:9,18 95:5,19
57:4 67:17 68:3	182:6,14,20 183:8	formalize 155:15	52:6 53:6,7,19	96:14 97:7 98:15
76:11 80:6 88:5	184:5,13,18 187:4	former 39:3 45:10	54:3 57:22 58:5	104:19 105:15
92:18,23 93:3	187:5,19 188:23	45:13 149:19	63:12,15,15,23,23	106:3,12,20
94:13 97:21	189:2 190:18	193:12	63:24 64:13,20	114:10 116:9
100:18 109:6	192:12,18 206:5	formulated 69:22	70:9,17,22 72:22	120:22,23 121:15
110:15,19 112:1	207:5	fort 8:11,12,12,15	73:13,18 74:3,7	121:21 122:3,7,14
118:6,10 119:5	flightlevels 39:7,9	12:5,7 18:4,15,16	74:11 75:18 76:2	122:24 123:4,10
124:12 126:13	39:11 65:2 94:5	forth 42:12 76:21	78:3,11,23 82:18	123:12,14 127:13
127:5,14 130:10	121:6 123:6 134:6	89:17 110:10	86:19,24 87:2,5,8	127:23 128:3,9,22
131:19,22 132:15	176:11 178:24	171:7	88:7,9,18 89:8	174:7 196:1,2,3
132:20 142:4	179:13 181:4	forward 31:2 63:14	90:12 91:11,12	203:6
143:9,21 150:12	flights 25:17	forwarding 126:14	93:24 95:9,24	full 34:3 94:13
169:1 173:21	floor 1:20 2:9 205:5	four 10:1,2	96:4,8,16,18	95:17 169:16
192:9 198:13	florida 10:8	fourdigit 195:1	98:22 99:17	fullservice 119:6
202:10	fluidfilled 186:3	fourpage 33:2	101:21,21 104:7,9	120:12 131:12,17
fiscal 24:5 27:5,7	flush 87:1 88:8	fox 49:2,20 110:24	105:1,2,6 117:3	136:4,13,22

138:12	171:16 194:3	gotten 157:11	39:7 42:10,17	66:10,13,17 74:17
fulltime 19:8	201:15 202:4	gov 69:9	43:7 50:7 57:23	74:21 76:3,7,16
fully 39:19	give 109:10 155:2	government 14:7	59:19 70:8,15	74.21 76.3,7,16
function 20:23	167:2 202:3,14	159:14 186:21	72:8,9,9,21 73:19	79:4,9 83:15,19
function 20.23	given 13:23 85:2	graduate 9:1	75:10 78:3,11,13	85:23 86:3 87:7
further 112:24	89:9 120:10 172:2	grant 159:13	88:10,20 94:1,9	87:12 89:24 90:4
205:12	205:9	grants 14:4	96:17,18 97:14	91:18,22 97:15,19
furthermore 95:18	giving 137:11 168:2	grasso 193:17,17	101:1 102:21	98:1,4 99:19,21
future 72:16 98:21	169:10	greeley 126:14,19	103:6 104:8,10,13	100:2 101:13
fy2015 27:7	glycol 185:7,8,13	green 165:1	105.0 104.8,10,13	100.2 101.13
fy2013 27.7 fy2017 203:2	185:18 186:17	ground 7:4 26:8,14	103.7,10,10,11	114:22 115:2
1 y201 7 205.2		26:21 39:22 43:5	,	
G	188:3,6,17 189:21	55:5	121:5 122:3 123:2	117:15,19 122:6 123:21 124:1
g 65:2 71:16,18,20	190:2 195:5		130:11 134:11	
72:1,2 73:3 94:5	go 7:13 8:22 15:2	grow 144:22	153:2,4,13 164:9	125:18,22 129:22
104:22 105:16	22:8 41:14 68:3	growing 120:10	164:15 165:13,17	130:4,21 131:2
104.22 103.10	70:4 73:20 76:22	guard 8:18 19:9,9	165:18 168:21	132:7,11 133:1,5
114:11 121:6	77:11 81:21 98:12	19:11 153:17	174:4,12 196:4	135:20 137:18,19
123:3,9 179:5,19	102:12 137:7	guess 66:2,5,7	197:8 198:2	137:24 138:16
182:15,24	158:1 167:17	101:12,13 105:12	hangars 21:16,19	139:3,7 141:19,24
gallons 70:10	177:16 202:12	175:10 200:17,20	39:7,10,11 42:7	143:1,7,14,19
186:17	goes 102:11	200:21,22	42:11,13 43:3	144:1 146:6,21
	going 7:1,2 18:4,5	guidance 38:13	87:3 88:20 105:16	147:2 148:7
gate 40:15 41:16,17	23:3,19 28:2	guide 138:5	106:13	152:13 162:7,11
42:2,3 54:8,15	32:10 34:7 35:14	guidelines 57:20	happen 155:6	173:13,16,18
56:7,22 57:11	35:17 36:6 46:14	gulf 19:17,17 20:1	happened 16:23	178:5,9 191:4,9
80:13 81:15 92:9	50:22 55:20 58:23		21:17 107:7	192:24 193:4
102:18 115:18,20	63:14 65:19 66:11	<u>H</u>	144:16 145:18	200:13,16 201:14
115:21,21 117:5	66:13,17 68:18	hadnt 18:24 82:3,4	147:19 148:4	203:14,18,24
121:22 133:24	74:14,17 79:4	halim 28:23	155:9 190:13	206:14,23 207:23
134:1 135:6	81:18 83:4,13,15	hall 156:3 158:1	happening 147:5	havent 74:13
179:22 195:7	85:21,23 89:22	hallway 18:17	happens 162:4	hazard 66:7 101:11
197:4,11,19	90:5 94:12 97:15	hand 205:15 207:20	happy 157:7	hazarding 66:2,4
gates 195:6	97:19 102:15	handdrawn 58:9	harassing 155:21	hazardous 187:24
general 32:11 111:5	114:20,22 117:15	handed 144:7	157:15	189:22 190:3
113:14	121:14 125:15,18	168:15	harassment 155:19	hb 1:8 207:3
generally 32:6	126:5 139:3,7	handle 13:14 14:21	hard 167:1 170:18	heading 102:17
50:20 174:4	141:17 142:23	16:3,6,6 46:22	174:20	headquartered
180:23	146:18 150:18	59:20	hartzell 2:10 3:3	12:23
gentleman 31:4	160:4 162:7 167:1	handles 52:4	6:5,15,22 15:20	headsup 184:6
173:11	168:3,4 173:19	handling 26:15,21	19:22,24 23:5,9	health 150:12,14
georgia 8:11,14,16	175:6 178:1,5	handwriting 24:19	27:13 28:2,6	heard 54:2
germany 18:22	185:3,4,6,13	handwritten 100:7	32:19,24 35:14	hearing 156:12,18
19:16	186:21 188:24	hangar 16:16 26:9	36:2,6 43:10,13	189:10,10,14,16
getting 21:14	191:4 202:5	26:22 30:4 31:8	43:15,18,21 44:2	190:6,8,11,14
120:16 129:11	good 62:7 127:3	36:22 38:22 39:6	47:1,5 55:22 56:3	194:5,11
	0		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	I	I	I	I

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

				219
hearings 29:12	high 9:1,3,4,8	identification 6:2	107:19,22 110:16	123:3 142:11
heating 58:1	hilliard 49:1,20	23:8 28:5 32:23	113:5 114:20,22	145:4
held 8:1,3 161:6	76:21	36:5 44:1 47:4	117:11,15 118:9	individual 29:22
helicopter 12:12,14	hire 187:5	56:2 66:16 74:20	118:22 119:3	45:12,24 46:2
12:16,17 20:3	hired 45:6 189:17	76:6 79:8 83:18	124:1 125:15,18	51:22 149:11
21:15,19 98:8	hiring 45:13 157:9	86:2 90:3 91:21	125:22 126:5	194:7
101:6,23 102:5	history 11:19	97:18 100:1	128:1 130:4	individuals 45:22
133:20	hold 76:22 97:22	107:18 113:4	131:16,19 132:11	140:1
helicopters 1:7 2:19	143:16 155:6	115:1 117:18	133:5 137:16	infer 102:24
17:2,23 20:9,16	holder 194:23	123:24 125:21	139:3,7,10 141:17	informal 31:2
20:21 21:1 22:18	holders 14:1	130:3 131:1	141:24 142:22	information 81:8
23:4 24:5,9,16	holdings 1:8 207:3	132:10 133:4	144:2 145:20	89:10 122:16
25:5,11,19,20	hopefully 47:16	139:6 141:23	147:3 150:18	128:13 136:5,12
26:1,2,4 27:9 29:7	horrible 179:1	162:10 178:8	160:4,21 162:7,11	136:15 137:1,5,12
32:2 33:14 36:12	hose 89:5	191:8 193:3	165:22 168:14	138:9,19 140:6,8
37:18 38:4 45:6	hours 55:4	identified 188:6	173:18 174:17,18	140:13,15,21
46:6,21 47:9 57:1	hues 149:12,12,13	193:8	175:6 178:5,9	141:1,8,10,13
62:22 63:5,10,11	149:14,17,22	identifies 195:1	179:17 191:4,9	158:4 159:24
63:13,21 64:1,11	150:5,11,17,18,19	identify 33:22 37:7	193:4,12 198:12	166:11 200:24
64:22 65:2,4,10	150:21 152:13,17	97:21 126:20	202:5 203:15	201:5,16,20
65:16 71:5 72:8	152:20 153:3,10	174:1	impact 83:1	ingress 35:6
72:21 75:1,23	153:13,15,18,21	identifying 151:19	impede 104:22	initial 18:6 20:23
79:14 83:22 84:13	154:8,20,24 156:2	174:19	impervious 186:18	82:4 107:21
86:19 92:2,7	156:9,13,21	ii 1:11 6:23 207:5	impression 51:18	119:11,14,15,23
98:15 99:15	157:16 158:6,23	iii 1:16 3:2 6:1,18	168:2	120:5 136:9
104:18 105:23	159:3,7 162:22,23	205:6 206:8 207:7	incident 156:5	171:13
106:1 107:1,9,11	163:6,7,19 164:1	207:22	187:15 189:6,12	initially 104:19
115:7 131:12	166:1,6 169:5	ill 44:7 80:17	193:23 194:5,15	145:15 147:11
135:11 138:10,20	huey 12:10	im 6:22 7:1 14:14	195:13	initiated 62:2
147:10,16,24	human 154:12,15	23:9,19 24:1	include 74:7 120:18	input 15:3 194:24
148:1,12 149:6	154:16 155:11,15	25:10 27:18 28:2	120:23	inside 40:23 51:10
151:6 160:15,20	humiliated 157:10	28:6 32:24 34:18	included 90:12	121:21 153:4
161:7 193:14	hutchens 163:19	35:20 36:6 38:7	114:18 119:12	171:5 185:4
201:9,11,17 203:4	166:7 168:23	40:11 42:16 55:20	includes 89:7	insignificant 171:4
203:5 207:2	169:2 170:4,22	56:3 58:10 65:19	including 40:13	insist 117:4
help 16:19 32:4	172:15,23 173:11	66:4,11,13,17,20	83:24 101:23	insisted 159:10
44:13 60:2	hylie 163:19 169:1	68:18 69:6 74:14	140:24 201:1	inspection 27:21
hes 37:5,16 49:18	169:1	74:17,21 75:18,18	incorrect 69:5	159:11 160:2,7,16
54:1 60:19 101:4	hyphen 10:6	76:8 77:2,11	95:13	160:18 161:10,11
102:2,4 103:1		78:16 79:4,9	increase 58:2	161:13 171:2
104:11,14 105:8	<u>I</u>	83:13,15,19 85:21	independent 176:3	inspections 13:9
105:19 111:5	ice 16:16	85:23 86:3 87:20	index 3:1	52:4 161:23
113:14 115:20	id 143:15	89:22 90:4 96:15	indicate 64:21	179:15
118:22 139:18	idea 62:7,7 65:15	97:15,19 100:2	indicated 92:19	inspector 52:4
200:17,19	ideas 170:17,22	102:15,19 105:11	101:19 104:18	inspects 52:6
1				

install 32:3 63:14	investigations 52:6	158:2 169:23,23	39:17 46:22 57:2	176:12,14 184:2
99:16	involve 92:9	170:16,20 177:4	76:2 119:2 151:7	184:12,18,21
installation 34:6	involved 13:20	joint 197:11	159:6 164:19	194:16 196:15
70:1 74:2 98:9	14:10,12,20 19:5	joshua 49:1 110:24	167:2 169:18	205:7,10 207:8
130:17 184:3	167:8	113:17 142:10	174:10 188:12	known 6:19
installed 34:8 69:24	involves 95:20	journalism 9:12,14	198:2	knox 8:13
183:23 184:16,20	involving 54:3	jr 1:24 149:14,22	king 53:14 118:16	
192:19	issue 7:15 40:20	150:11,19,21	knew 158:20 169:8	L
installing 182:14	50:9,9 58:14	162:22 169:5	know 7:5 14:17,22	label 185:17,24
instruct 176:14	81:10,14 83:6	205:3,18 206:22	18:19 19:14,16,24	labels 189:21
instruction 25:16	93:24 94:7 118:18	july 3:17,18,20,21	20:5 21:5 24:23	land 14:21 64:2
instructions 177:8	134:12 158:16	3:23 4:4 8:6 11:11	25:1 26:2,12 29:2	78:23 108:6 109:8
insurance 26:4	171:17 176:5	18:13 27:4,6	30:24 40:15 41:3	109:11 145:2
intent 125:8 183:11	185:20,21 195:16	74:19,23 76:5,13	41:6 43:8 45:15	161:7 182:2,14
intentions 116:13	198:19	77:11 78:8,20	49:9,15 51:9 60:6	196:7,8
intents 16:24	issued 75:16,21	79:2,7,14 82:6	61:3,9 65:23 68:8	landed 21:18
interaction 172:16	76:1	83:17,23 86:1,6	71:18 76:1 77:21	landing 17:11
interactions 22:23	issues 54:2 60:4	91:20 92:1,5,24	83:3 84:2,14,22	133:24
159:6 170:4	81:6 160:8	96:3 118:15 123:1	87:11 101:2 102:2	landuse 161:11,12
172:14	item 131:21,24	148:13 149:6	102:22 103:16	lane 197:19
interest 20:14 21:1	items 40:2 62:15	175:15 197:3	104:14 105:7,9,19	language 57:3 58:8
54:14 93:15 94:20	98:11 120:19	198:23	106:9,13 107:21	127:3
108:14 119:6	itinerant 55:2	jumping 108:16	107:22,24 109:2	late 12:20 131:14
120:10 131:13,16	ive 8:3 26:3,20	june 3:13,15,16 4:2	110:15,16 111:2	147:7 182:13
131:20,23 136:3	95:13	46:15 47:3,7 56:1	113:10 116:13	192:1,3
136:21 138:11		56:5 59:13,22	127:19 131:13,22	law 1:18
140:15 142:10	<u>J</u>	60:12 62:11 66:15	134:1 138:8 141:7	lawsuit 194:13
144:13 171:17	james 49:1	66:21 68:23 70:23	142:4 143:9	lawyer 6:22 7:12,18
interested 83:4	january 3:12 4:13	72:11,16 73:15	145:10 148:20	181:5 190:5
118:14 205:13	4:14,16 5:7 43:24	74:12 77:16 80:7	149:11,15,16,17	lawyers 84:1
interests 120:14	44:4 117:17,22	80:19 90:2,7,24	153:15,20 156:17	layout 160:11
183:1	118:4 119:10	91:6 95:9	158:22 161:24	learn 17:22 170:16
intern 15:16,21	123:23 124:2,17	junior 150:1	162:2 164:11	170:19,20
internal 85:12	125:21 126:2	jurisdiction 182:2	165:6,14,23 169:7	learned 190:11
international 1:20	173:22 175:9	K	179:8 183:11	lease 11:9 14:13,15
2:9 205:5 206:15	178:7,14,17,22		185:20,24 186:1	14:16,20,21 17:1
internship 10:22	179:16 180:1	keep 46:14 152:1	187:8 189:3	106:24 107:12,21
interpretation	182:13	184:9,9 185:15	191:17 193:9	107:22 108:15,18
177:18	jason 31:5	192:11	194:4 195:5,9	108:23 109:6,19
intimidating	jeff 53:17 54:4	kentucky 8:13	200:6,17,19	110:12 112:5
155:21 157:16	80:20 93:10	kept 173:8	203:10	124:11,12,13,20
intimidation	jersey 186:3	kevin 144:20	knowledge 75:17	124:22 125:1
155:20	job 11:6 15:11 19:4	193:17,17	75:20 103:7	129:11,18 132:1,5
intimidations 155:1	21:13 153:15,21 153:22 157:12	killed 173:2,12 kind 9:22 12:8,13	105:24 140:19	132:16 134:21,24 142:10,14,19
introduced 188:7	133.44 137.14	MIIIU 7.44 14.0,13	167:11 175:3,5	144.10,14,17

144:13,16,23	123:23 124:2,4,8	157:9 172:16	122:14 123:3,9	managing 167:11
145:7,15,24	132:9,13 133:3,7	lived 18:16	146:18 161:1	mandell 2:3
146:16 147:6	133:8,11,13 137:9	llc 1:7,7,10 2:19	170:8 177:21	manholes 37:14
148:2,5,9	162:9,13,16,19	6:23 203:4 206:5	179:4,5,19 182:15	manner 82:24
leased 135:10	163:1 166:23	207:2,3,5	182:24	manor 9:17
leasehold 64:9	167:3,4,14,20	llp 2:13 206:2	lots 71:16,16,24	manual 84:13,16,24
95:20 96:9	176:1 178:7,13,19	load 121:9 122:8	105:16 106:3,12	85:1,3,4
leaseholders 64:23	179:11 197:2,15	loading 48:14 72:22	low 63:12	march 1:17 4:20,21
leaseholds 95:21	197:17,20 203:4	118:24	lsp 187:22	4:23 23:11 101:19
leases 14:11,12	letters 41:10	local 14:7 15:16	lunch 204:4	130:24 131:4,24
135:11,22	level 188:14	located 71:18 72:1	lyons 36:10	132:9,14,22 133:3
leclair 1:19 2:8	levels 14:13	116:11		133:9 142:13
205:5 206:15	levied 189:4	location 81:1 91:12	M	145:6 192:1,4
leclairryan 2:11	lewis 19:2	120:22 123:2	m 68:23	199:8 205:4 206:8
led 48:20 49:5 51:5	liaison 14:7	128:10,17	ma 2:4,9,14 206:3	marina 125:7
51:7 52:11	license 6:3 174:19	locus 35:8	206:16	mark 15:14 16:1
lee 169:2	195:18	long 8:1 10:9 15:23	maguire 1:16 3:2	23:5 28:1,3 32:20
left 114:19 164:3	lies 200:2	21:13 73:22 84:10	6:1,18,21 28:6	36:1,2,21 37:2,3
166:11 171:24	lieutenant 60:15,18	163:22,24 165:12	33:16 83:21 87:17	47:17 52:16,17
174:14	60:19 62:3	172:18 179:21	88:14 90:4 100:2	55:21,22 66:12,14
lefthand 100:8	light 132:14 178:13	longer 96:8	115:8 124:1	74:15,18 76:4,21
174:22	lighter 90:22	longterm 11:9	125:22 147:2	77:1 79:5 80:20
legal 184:7,10	lighting 13:10	look 47:13 66:20	173:18 178:10	83:16,24 85:22,24
lesperance 50:23	limited 166:17	75:2 77:4 80:5	197:10 203:20	91:17,18 97:16
69:3,11 76:12	170:6	100:18 115:14,24	204:1 205:6 206:8	99:22 107:14
77:13 81:9 86:6	limits 103:20,23	127:2 131:10	207:7,22	113:1 114:23
86:12,17 87:16	104:5 144:23	137:8,10 138:1	maher 11:2,2,4	117:16 123:22
88:2 126:1	line 26:11,12,14,19	158:16	maintaining 13:13	125:19 126:11
letter 3:10,13,17	65:1 103:2,21	looked 60:10 78:1	maintenance 17:7,7	139:4 155:24
4:3,5,6,8,9,11,12	104:4 207:10	89:2 91:10	17:14 39:12	162:5,8 175:9
4:14,21,22 5:5,7	lines 64:21 82:13	looking 25:7 57:6	major 160:13	191:2,5 192:24
36:4,8,13 38:18	liquid 185:4	58:11 82:7 138:9	making 137:15	193:16,16
47:3,7,9,10 60:10	lisa 50:23 51:5 69:2	141:5 174:4	male 60:18,19	marked 23:7,20,22
62:9,10,12,23	69:3,3,11 76:12	198:12	management 9:16	24:1 25:8 27:24
63:3 74:19 80:7	77:13 81:9 86:6	looks 24:19 47:8	10:4 11:7 83:1	28:5 32:18,22
91:20,24 92:3	86:12 87:16 126:1	139:10 173:23	192:11	33:1 36:5,7 37:8
97:17 99:24 100:7	list 98:11 102:11	174:17	manager 7:23	37:20 43:20,24
100:9,13,18,23	120:19	loop 184:10	10:24 11:3,12,15	44:3 47:4,6 49:24
107:17 110:24	listing 24:9 26:4	lost 9:22 19:3	13:4,7,8 30:5	56:1,4 57:5 58:20
111:12,15,18,23	lists 70:7	lot 65:1,2,2,2 71:18	111:5 140:19	62:9 66:16,18
112:2,9,14 113:3	little 8:24 25:10	71:20,20 72:1,2	167:23 176:15	68:19 73:7,8
113:8,21 114:8,24	33:3 50:18 58:7	73:2,3 88:21 94:4	177:4,6,12 192:14	74:20,22 76:6
115:5,12,15,16	66:19 72:19 89:23	104:22 112:5	197:10	79:7,10 83:18,20
116:16,19 117:17	90:22 108:17	114:11 116:3	managers 5:9	85:9 86:2 87:15
117:21,24 118:3	145:22 149:8	118:24 121:6	190:23 191:7	89:18 90:3 91:21
OiE-i1-1		DINKE COLLDE DED		T.T

93:3 94:14 95:16	99:6 118:16	129:24 130:1,6,23	60:9	122:14 174:8
96:22 97:18,20	161:16,19	130:24 131:4	message 171:8,13	modification 54:8
100:1,3 102:16	massdots 47:15	134:20 141:21,22	186:12	55:8,9 58:14
107:18 110:23	master 9:16 25:15	142:7 159:13,14	met 18:2,3,4 21:8,9	moment 43:5
111:19 113:4,6,22	masters 9:14 10:11	161:20 171:18	21:10,12 22:3,6	monday 83:23
113:23 114:15	material 187:24	177:20 180:8,13	92:6 150:12,13	money 40:16
115:1,3 116:16	188:3 199:13	180:16,19 181:19	metropolitan 11:8	month 142:18
117:18,20 122:22	materialize 142:17	181:22 182:10	11:16	monthly 31:8 172:9
123:24 125:21	materials 187:24	202:7 203:2,8,12	mfee 2:6	months 18:12
126:6 127:7	188:7 192:6	meetings 22:24	michael 2:5 36:10	118:15 201:23
129:23 130:2,5	matilda 199:13	30:23 31:5,7,8,11	111:2 169:6	morning 127:4
131:1 132:10,12	200:24	31:12 32:7 41:7	206:24 207:24	mosa 84:15
133:4,6 138:1	matter 44:10 86:13	54:1 58:22,23	middle 37:21	mosaus 84:14
139:6 141:20,23	92:20 115:15	59:4,7 62:23	mihaley 47:15	moshe 21:5 24:11
143:3,23 144:2,6	177:24 206:19	138:17 153:1	51:23	moss 90:6 126:10
148:16 149:1	matters 59:5 62:21	166:16 170:5,9	mii 1:7 24:19,23	139:16 142:12
151:17 162:10,12	80:6 92:8 99:1	172:17	25:3 207:3	145:5,14 147:22
173:19 174:23	115:16 205:7	member 138:18	mike 163:19 182:21	184:22 193:15
175:7 178:6,8,11	mcculloch 90:7	163:11,14	199:9,17	mothers 151:1,2
191:8,10 193:3	190:6	members 140:3	military 8:5,7 11:23	152:2 153:19
196:24 199:7	mean 17:10 41:24	148:21 194:8	12:2 19:15	motion 202:23
202:6,17	79:18 87:10 172:6	199:21 203:12	minimal 83:1	motions 6:8
marking 198:20	174:6	memo 3:12,15,20	minimum 37:14	move 28:7 42:18
markings 71:22	means 6:2 42:14	36:20 37:10 43:24	119:24 120:15	63:14 64:8
100:24 101:5,9	84:15	44:4 56:1,5,16,19	127:11 138:4	moved 91:12
102:20 103:1,5,8	meant 28:7 87:7	58:20 79:7,15,18	minute 80:18 92:17	movement 39:21
103:14,17,22	202:14	83:9 191:17	102:13 108:10	43:9
115:18 116:9,21	medevac 12:16	memorandum	146:12 202:3,13	moving 42:11 43:6
117:4,13 151:14	media 199:24 200:1	79:12 175:8	minutes 4:17,19 5:4	121:5 175:6
151:17 165:1	meet 29:8 150:11	191:11	58:15 60:1 130:1	municipal 11:12
196:16,22 197:4	169:3	memorial 3:11,14	130:6,24 131:5	murphy 53:3,10
197:18,22 198:12	meeting 4:17,18,19	3:19 43:23 55:24	141:18,22 142:2	
198:22	4:20 5:4,4 22:13	79:6	143:22 202:7	N
marks 25:10 103:3	22:15 30:11 31:1	memorialize 79:21	203:15	nac 37:24,24 80:9
mary 163:2	46:5,24 47:14,19	80:2	missing 143:11	118:13,15 120:12
mass 9:5 98:10	47:23 48:2,11,17	memories 32:11	missouri 9:13	122:24 135:12
massachusetts 1:2	49:12,21 50:22	memory 22:15	misspoke 87:13	140:12 142:18
1:21 6:3 9:18	51:4 56:12 59:13	91:14 107:6	mistake 97:22	147:6 203:6
12:24 14:5 19:10	60:12,16,22,24	140:11 181:11,13	mix 185:7,13,18	nacs 92:18 119:10
161:23 205:1,4,5	77:17 79:23 80:19	mentioned 47:23	195:5	127:10 142:13
206:10 207:1	84:3 88:15 90:13	57:15 59:12 60:5	mixing 75:19	144:14 145:6
massdot 14:8 44:15	92:5,19,24 93:19	83:8 113:17	mixture 190:2	name 6:16,21 11:8
44:19,24 50:19	94:16 95:18	157:15 160:1	mmhmm 137:13	28:23 29:23 31:4
51:22 52:5 59:14	112:17,22 118:23	168:22 192:21	173:24 175:12	45:16,22 69:7
79:24 80:20 93:7	119:10 123:1	mentions 37:13	mobile 48:9 64:4	149:12 151:2
			2	
	ı	I	1	ı

154:5 169:1 187:8	102:5,6,7,8	207:5	95:14 98:3 100:5	obligations 159:13
named 205:6	105:11 135:6	nose 104:3	113:7 117:22	186:20
naponsett 188:9,15	165:18 198:2	notarized 6:12	125:23 126:8	observe 152:20
national 8:18 19:9	norwoo1318 126:8	notary 205:3,19	130:7 151:19	153:3,7 168:5
19:11 56:23 57:18	norwood 1:10 2:13	note 100:7	178:11	186:5
nature 143:12	2:21,22 3:11,14	noted 80:24		observed 153:6
near 46:6	3:19 5:8 6:23 7:24	notepads 168:2	0	155:3
necessarily 168:3	10:19,19 11:10,13	notice 3:6 23:7,10	oath 205:8	observing 178:23
need 6:11 7:10,17	13:5,21 14:9 15:7	50:4	object 40:5 65:20	179:24 194:20
28:8 172:1 176:23	15:8 16:11 19:2,6	noticed 82:19	142:23	obstacles 13:12
needed 41:15,15	20:17 28:11 31:11	notification 50:16	objectfree 38:10,23	obtain 124:11
160:11,12 170:20	34:22,22 35:22	notified 183:18	39:15,18,23 40:13	obtained 55:10
179:21 184:6,7,8	37:5,5,24 40:20	196:22	40:17,23 41:9,19	obtaining 124:10
184:9 186:22,24	41:17 42:1 43:23	notify 183:8,15,17	42:2,3,8,18 46:20	obviously 23:11
187:22 188:17	45:10,19 47:17,18	192:12,18	48:4,7,13 51:10	34:2 41:8 44:21
needham 12:21	53:4,7,20 55:3,24	noting 197:10	51:12,16 52:9	159:9 196:10
needs 142:16	56:6 60:11,13	notwithstanding	54:9,16,24 55:1	occasional 15:21
neil 2:10 6:21	62:22 63:20 65:13	50:8 81:5	56:22 58:21 59:16	occasionally 15:15
206:14,23 207:23	65:17 73:14 74:5	november 4:5,7,8	62:16 70:11 77:22	166:18
neilhartzell 2:11	79:6,24 80:9,20	8:5 16:2 97:17	80:14 81:15 89:20	occupation 7:22
never 53:8 96:12	87:18 91:2 92:6	99:24 100:8,14,20	92:10 93:12 95:24	occur 146:3,5
106:4,7,18 109:21	94:7 98:7,9 99:17	100:22 106:23	96:16 98:13,20	161:14
128:20 161:15,17	112:21 115:8	107:17 110:24	99:13 104:20	occurred 60:6
161:18 163:5	118:17 120:15,22	113:21 197:9	116:11 119:1	130:18 160:3
184:23	121:24 122:16	198:7,19	121:17,22,23	194:6,6
new 22:10 23:23	126:15 127:14	number 23:19 25:9	122:9 135:6,7	october 33:21
27:5 31:20 40:22	128:4,8 129:10	38:8,8 62:15 67:1	objection 27:12	194:12
41:1 54:6 72:8	136:15,24 138:8	68:21 81:22 86:4	40:9 62:24 63:17	odstrchel 202:24
111:6 131:11	139:18 140:2,20	92:17 93:13	75:24 89:12,16	odstrchels 24:2
138:23	142:2 147:15,23	102:12,13,15	91:4 92:13 96:10	25:8 62:10 126:6
news 19:13	148:13 149:23	104:6 111:10	102:23 105:3	143:24 144:3
newspaper 167:14	150:15,22 152:2	115:23 118:2,13	116:12 117:10	148:17 149:2
newsroom 12:23,24	153:20 154:17	119:10 120:21	118:8 121:16,18	175:8 202:6,14,18
nfpa 57:19 59:2	157:4,8 158:19	123:15,16 129:5	122:5,11 124:24	ofa 50:9 80:14,18
nicholas 2:22 113:9	166:16 169:10	133:10 139:9	135:13,19,24	81:10,14,19 88:11
nick 123:6 182:21	170:5 173:8,10	151:21,23 165:2	137:16,23 139:1	88:16 89:11
night 10:12 183:5,6	175:4,22 176:4,7	170:1 175:13	143:13,18 144:18	118:14,17
nighttime 55:4	176:10,14 177:2,5	191:15	146:4 148:8 162:1	offer 106:24 107:8
nominal 160:8	177:12,14 180:8	numbered 80:6	167:17 176:19,21	107:9,12,21,22
nonstandard 54:23	184:8,13,15	84:10 93:3 124:13	177:9,15,16 181:7	108:11,13,15,24
55:6	189:11 191:6	142:5	190:19 194:10	109:6,14,16,19,23
norfolk 1:4 7:1	192:13,13 193:19	numbers 23:23	199:1 200:8,12	110:4,8,15,19
205:1 207:1	194:7,8,17,23	33:3,4 44:6 47:12	201:13,21 202:1	129:10,18 132:2,5
north 8:12,14 21:16	195:16 199:18	67:3 69:17 70:5	objections 6:6	132:16,20 144:19
39:6,8 101:5,5	201:7 202:8 206:5	75:3 90:8 91:23	120:4	144:21,23 145:15
L				

				224
145:16,19 146:2	50:10 75:14 153:1	otis 19:10	102:13,16 112:1	112:18 117:3
147:5,11,14 148:9	203:7	oulten 149:12,14,22	116:1 118:6,11	118:5 120:17
offered 108:1,10,18	oneonone 114:17	162:22 163:6,19	119:4,5 122:22	122:19 123:16,16
109:4 129:19	onepage 86:4 98:2	166:5 169:5	127:5 130:10	127:10 129:6,15
144:17 145:23	ongoing 142:16	oulton 149:13	131:11 132:15	133:15 138:13
offers 110:13,18	online 15:2	outcome 110:17	137:11 132:13	152:14 157:22
offhand 31:14	onsite 30:5 79:23	156:17 205:14	142:4 143:6,9,12	159:9 162:23
office 2:14 14:24	86:16 118:17,23	outlined 120:11	143:15,21 144:11	163:3,4,6,9
15:1,5 16:4 38:20	open 90:17 125:10	outlines 68:5,14	146:14,15 192:10	169:16 179:17
85:15 127:13	opened 179:22	outlining 37:11,16	198:13 202:10,12	197:24 201:4
175:1,2 206:2	openings 58:6	outright 165:8,11	202:22 206:13	partial 142:1
officer 12:1 18:14	operate 131:17	outside 7:13 42:7	207:10	participant 99:5
45:14 62:5 111:7	140:22 150:17,21	46:22 51:10,12	pages 1:1 36:19	participate 14:17
159:16,22	operated 16:10	57:10 70:10,12	56:15 67:9 95:6	particular 125:11
officers 24:10,15	153:19 175:1,4	88:19 93:11	124:3 144:4	134:15 144:16
offices 1:18 205:4	operating 31:18	104:20 152:24	162:13	151:14 153:24
206:14	101:7 111:7	153:1 166:16	paid 26:24	164:17 178:22
official 45:13,18	133:14 141:4	170:4,8 172:16	pains 6:10 207:7	179:5
officially 109:20	175:23 181:12	174:11	paint 169:22,23	particularly 55:3
officials 14:8 59:14	operation 20:20	outsource 16:5	paper 12:21	179:16
118:16	57:2 74:7 120:11	outstanding 92:8	paperwork 160:18	parties 63:16
offrecord 76:15	operationally 13:8	122:20	paragraph 49:24	156:20 186:23
oh 118:9 139:9	35:10	overriding 80:8	58:11 80:17 81:5	205:13,13
okay 10:2 14:10	operations 13:7	overseas 8:22	82:20 86:22 87:14	partnership 165:9
16:3 18:9 22:17	21:15 25:14,16	overtures 63:5	88:6 92:17 93:13	parttime 19:12
23:18 27:23 42:16	26:8 48:18 51:16	owned 165:7,8,10	94:13 95:17 96:21	passed 27:21 97:10
52:13 53:11 58:7	57:9 59:20 69:23	174:24 175:3	100:18 101:18	158:3 171:12
58:17 66:8 68:18	73:15 78:12 81:19	owner 149:20	106:10 112:2	passing 97:11
71:2 74:14 76:3	84:13,16,24 85:5	owners 125:7	115:24 118:6,9	paths 13:11
77:15 78:6 84:6	97:7 104:19 109:9	owns 161:5	192:10	patrons 171:8
90:24 91:16 93:13	112:6 114:10,10		parameters 50:18	paul 60:19 127:4
96:20 98:7 108:9	116:10 121:21	P	50:19 105:9	163:13,22 164:3,7
131:24 137:7	122:3,7 125:9	p 2:3 68:23	parcel 39:4 45:10	164:16 165:6,19
146:16 148:11	128:22 176:16	packet 90:13	parcels 82:14	166:2,4,15 167:10
150:10,20 158:5	177:3	112:17 114:19	parent 24:18 25:4,5	167:22 168:6
161:14 163:7	operator 131:13,18	192:5	parenthesis 26:11	169:5 172:20
170:1 175:6,19	opinion 65:24,24	page 3:2 24:8 25:7	park 42:20,24	pavement 87:1 88:9
181:18 184:12	170:15	33:9 36:16,18	88:19 105:6	102:10
185:2 189:9	opposed 85:10	37:8,19,21 47:14	parked 89:8 174:11	pay 142:19
190:22 194:4	orally 55:16	49:24 57:4 68:3	parking 71:23,23	payments 14:20,21
195:15 197:17	order 39:19 48:7	70:4 71:3 77:4,14	101:23 102:5	14:24
199:6 203:14	56:11 121:8 135:9	80:6 89:1,17	121:7 135:4	peace 192:11
old 72:9	142:17	90:17,18,19 94:13	part 16:4 18:17	peaceful 184:7,9
onairport 50:19	original 120:12	95:12,15 96:21	68:14 78:2 85:6	penalties 6:10
once 7:5,10 19:1	206:17	97:23 100:11	97:24 104:1	207:7
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I
			DELIC	

pending 146:20 physicals 18:6 188:5 196:1,6,12 189:7 192:13 188:5 people 7:10 33:7 pick 203:20 203:6 193:19 previously 18:2 47:22 67:1 68:22 picked 186:14 plane 150:24 151:2 pollution 13:18 43:20 76:24 9
people 7:10 33:7 pick 203:20 203:6 193:19 previously 18:2 47:22 67:1 68:22 picked 186:14 plane 150:24 151:2 pollution 13:18 43:20 76:24 9
47:22 67:1 68:22 picked 186:14 plane 150:24 151:2 pollution 13:18 43:20 76:24 9
83:24 139:13,16 picnic 134:8,8 151:3,4,7,8,10 188:5 primarily 14:1'
percent 151:24 160:12 171:5 152:1,2 153:20 port 57:22 58:5 primary 8:10
perfect 95:1,2 piece 161:3 165:12 168:20 portion 112:5 123:9 prior 11:14 18:
137:4 180:20 pierce 2:3,13 206:2 169:17,18 173:7 posed 81:6 18:15 20:14 4
perform 48:14 piercedavis 2:16 planes 26:1 position 8:2,3 10:22 50:11 63:3 70
140:16 piercemandell 2:6 planned 80:24 171:1 172:20 78:14 79:2 86
period 16:11 18:23 pile 179:4 123:4 184:2 150:7,8 165:1
151:6 155:7 piled 180:1 planner 81:9 possible 54:11 195:4
191:12 piles 180:6 planning 14:2 possibly 53:2 prison 19:8 153
perjury 6:11 207:8 pilot 12:2 153:23 plans 34:4,14 35:20 post 2:14 138:5 153:16
permission 104:7,9 165:21 173:10 37:23 53:7,20 206:2 private 11:7
106:14 pilots 41:22 104:3 63:14,22 69:22 posted 112:16 privately 161:6
permit 13:24 14:1 pine 9:17 92:11 93:14 94:18 postgrad 10:22 privilege 7:15
20:17,19,23 24:4 piper 151:9 122:16 127:21,24 practices 83:1 pro 141:14
25:12 27:10,19 piston 26:5 151:13 128:14,15,16,21 preconceived probably 7:12
28:9,12 61:1,17 169:19 129:2 140:24 170:17,22 143:5
99:16 119:7,12,15 place 1:20 2:9 plant 188:13 preexisting 55:1 procedure 206
119:23 120:5 57:19 74:9 96:23 plate 174:19 prefer 66:6 200:22 procedures 51:
127:11 140:22
141:6 195:17,19 183:3 194:11 please 7:7,11 17:5 124:20 93:14 94:19
203:2 198:5,5 205:5 35:12 37:8 39:14 prepared 155:12,17 proceedings 15
permits 112:3 206:15 42:15 118:21 190:23 191:11,18 156:8
permitted 39:24 plaintiffs 1:8 2:3 126:21 130:22 191:21,23 process 127:11
perritano 2:13 207:4 133:2 141:20 presence 7:14 130:15
206:2 plan 13:18,19 34:11 147:1 206:13 present 19:5 59:4,5 processed 22:1
person 11:5 205:6 34:22,24 35:4,4,5 plowed 181:4 138:16 156:4 procurement 1
personnel 13:13 35:15,19 63:24 plowing 166:2,6,8 180:13,16 183:22 produced 6:2
182:20 64:12,13,14,20 179:9 180:10 184:15 194:24 production 143
pervious 186:18 65:4 74:11 75:18 181:1,15,16 presented 190:6 professional 29
peter 1:11 2:20 75:22 76:2 78:3 plus 81:8 192:6 35:5 65:7 67:
6:24 49:15 62:11 80:4,17 81:23 plymouth 11:3 presently 116:11 67:21 71:12
178:14 182:22 82:2,5,18 85:10 point 20:7,24 31:1 president 18:1 profiles 37:13
186:13,15 207:5 90:12 91:1,6,13 31:24 35:20,21 49:18 115:7 program 18:14
phone 22:21 145:13 95:5,9 119:13,14 40:4,18 46:4 press 157:10 programs 13:1
186:12,13 119:22 120:1,4,12 63:19 70:23 95:23 pretty 13:6 53:24 progress 30:14
photograph 164:13 120:13 122:20 117:1 124:22,23 160:9 169:23 project 69:21 1
164:13 168:9,13 127:13,15,24 129:9 147:3 prevent 41:20 198:1
168:18 173:21
174:2 179:23 136:9,11 142:17 183:7 189:9 prevented 106:4,8 prompt 80:3
photographs 186:8 148:11,15,18,22 195:15 preventers 37:15 prompted 80:8
physical 188:13 149:6 160:10,11 points 138:5,6 prevention 13:18 promulgated
physically 161:5 175:14 179:13,18 police 184:8,13,15 13:19 62:5 179:18 103:11 200:2

				226
propeller 151:10	37:17 41:21 58:22	149:9 163:13	82:21	194:12,20 195:22
proper 161:6	85:3 119:10	169:11 175:14	reasoning 167:19	194.12,20 193.22
properly 56:21	157:19 205:3,19	quibble 87:10	recall 16:7,17,20	receipts 14:23
167:9,16 170:20	pull 195:7	quickly 132:6	17:15 19:8 20:22	receivable 15:4
property 35:8,8	pulling 195:8	quickly 132.0	29:10,16,20 30:10	receive 73:19
40:1 48:21 49:6	purchase 63:6	R	30:18,21 31:6,14	received 27:4 34:3
64:8,10,22,22,23	purgatory 188:9,10	raising 120:3,7	31:15 32:6 44:20	50:3,7 65:8 69:6
65:1 94:5,10,20	purpose 39:20	ramp 100:24 101:7	45:3,16,17,21	73:6,21,23 74:6
97:1,5,12 108:7	56:18 162:18	102:18 116:3,4	48:20 49:1,13,22	74:11 75:15 80:21
122:13,13 161:3	purposes 17:1	125:10	51:5,17 52:10,11	82:3,4,6,7,16,17
177:21 178:1	pursuant 181:5	ran 12:20	52:17,23 53:8	83:12 95:6 96:12
182:24 195:12	pushback 61:5,6,10	ranger 8:13	54:4 59:15,24	112:13 116:20
196:10	61:12,15	rationale 138:22	60:23 61:7 62:3	128:16,20 129:1
proportioned 67:19	pushed 164:14,15	190:20	64:4,24 68:12,16	163:3 186:12
proposal 70:8 93:24	pushing 168:20,21	ray 7:9	72:16 73:10,16	203:7
142:13 144:14	put 23:23 31:20	raymond 1:24	75:14 77:2 78:21	receiving 62:12
145:6 148:2,5	38:17 57:11 60:24	15:15,23 139:20	78:22,24 79:1,14	67:5 69:13 71:8
proposed 50:4,7,17	61:19 82:22	156:15 159:1	79:20 82:3,5 84:3	77:8 84:6 86:9
53:19 72:8,21	110:10 117:13	193:16 205:3,18	86:15 87:21 88:3	87:21 100:13
73:13,14 75:17,22	121:10 143:15	206:22	88:4 93:5 95:1,2,8	111:14 113:23
78:3 89:16 97:7	160:11 161:8	reach 54:3	97:10 98:6 109:5	115:11 124:7
120:22 128:22	171:7 179:13	reaction 112:13	109:15,18,21	178:19 188:8
proposes 128:23	182:23 183:12,13	190:10	111:24 112:15,23	199:10
propylene 188:6	183:14	read 6:9 35:11,13	114:5,16 116:18	recess 43:14 99:20
prospect 120:23	putting 61:15 99:12	38:18 43:17 57:13	119:17,20 120:7	173:15 203:17
protect 182:24	183:9	70:18 78:17,18	125:1 132:4	recognize 24:6 33:8
protection 56:24	puzzled 145:4	114:8 144:12	134:22 135:2	33:11 36:12 45:17
57:18		146:14 149:1,3	136:1 138:6,21	71:6 100:11
protracted 39:18	<u>Q</u>	162:23	139:2 141:14,16	174:16
41:20,23 42:14,20	quality 85:13	reader 194:24	144:19 148:14,23	recollect 167:22
provide 45:18	quantities 188:8	reading 38:7	149:7,9 151:17	recollection 47:19
54:16 63:21 64:13	quarter 172:11	ready 171:16	152:10 154:5,10	70:21 72:11,14
73:12 82:21	question 6:7 7:8,12	real 57:12 59:18	154:14 158:10	110:9 132:19
122:15 141:10	7:16,17 15:18,19	157:14	163:5,24 166:5	137:4 176:9
201:12	34:18 66:3 78:17	realize 56:10 90:21	167:19 169:15,20	recommended 11:5
provided 64:12	78:18 83:6 127:14	really 18:17,24	169:21,22,24	192:12
65:4,11,12,16	145:21 146:20,22	44:12 49:9 179:11	170:2 175:17,20	reconnected 18:16
127:15,16 136:10	147:1 176:23	181:14	177:5,11 179:1,3	reconstructed
141:7 164:12	questioning 19:20	realty 1:11 6:23 207:5	179:7 180:3,21,24	40:16 42:6
166:10 168:9,12	questions 7:2 32:11		181:8,9,14,16	record 6:17 31:16
providing 54:22	32:14 73:5,11	reapplication 197:4	182:7,20 185:22	37:1 43:13,16
57:2 120:4	79:3 81:10 100:16	reason 41:11 43:7 109:13 110:10	186:7 187:16	49:14 67:14 69:16
provisionally 203:1	111:22 119:18		188:23 189:7,8	76:14,17 98:1
proximity 160:23	142:23 144:8 148:21,24 149:5,7	179:9 reasonable 62:6	190:3,7,9,15,20	99:19,22 111:1
public 31:7 37:4,11	140.21,24 149.3,/	1 casonable 02.0	191:22 194:3,11	113:10 125:4

126:21 137:23	refused 129:19	62:12 64:15,17	102:19,20 103:5	140:22 176:17
139:16 142:22	145:16	67:4,6 69:13,15	115:17 116:8	requests 102:12
173:17 199:16	regard 37:12	71:8 75:12 76:23	171:5	157:20 177:14
205:9 206:18	145:19	77:8 79:17 84:5,6	removed 116:22	require 50:20
recordkeeping	regarding 34:4,5	86:9 87:24 92:2	160:13 161:5	required 103:8
85:13	36:10,21 92:18	92:23 93:2,18,21	188:1 197:23,24	171:4
records 85:3 157:20	98:22 119:6	93:23 94:2,23	198:20	requirement
159:17,20 194:17	145:14 197:3	95:4 99:2 100:13	renewal 14:16	185:17,24
194:21 195:11	region 54:7	100:15 101:14,15	rent 25:20 172:7	requirements 44:18
rectangular 72:7,20	register 195:8	101:16 111:14,17	rental 25:16 26:9	44:19 45:8,8
recurrence 134:14	regular 4:17,19 5:4	112:12,19,20	repaving 116:24	requires 103:16
red 151:17	31:5 130:1,24	113:23 114:2,3	117:2	127:12 194:23
reduced 172:7	141:22	115:11 117:23	rephrase 146:11	requiring 38:3
205:9	regulation 40:7	119:13 120:3	rephrased 176:24	reschedule 23:12
refereeing 169:15	82:24 103:9,13	124:7 133:10	replace 70:8,14	rescue 41:13,18
reference 28:21	regulations 44:24	134:17 136:6,8,14	72:9	reserved 6:8
44:12 49:23 53:12	45:1,7 59:1	137:2 140:5	report 5:9 45:19,21	reserves 8:17
81:22 122:21	reimburse 157:8	141:12 142:6	184:17 187:22	resigned 156:23
132:16 136:22	reiterate 142:15	143:1 144:15	188:2 191:7	157:3 172:19,23
150:19	reiterating 171:12	147:4 149:4 151:7	reporter 1:24 7:7	resolve 92:8 156:21
referenced 58:19	rejected 110:20	151:20,22 154:3	35:13 43:17 78:18	resolved 92:20 93:4
59:3 95:11 118:3	relation 121:2	157:17,19 160:3	reports 189:7	resource 154:12,15
118:5 123:13,15	relations 13:21	162:15 163:14,16	190:23	154:16 155:11,15
187:13 193:21	relationship 153:10	164:19 165:4	represent 6:22 24:3	respect 93:14 94:19
references 33:23	164:6 166:19	167:4 168:10,17	representations	responding 118:4
46:24 47:14 58:7	170:11,13	169:18 173:5,6	203:11	response 23:16
58:12 62:14,15	relative 73:10 81:10	175:21 176:2	representative	113:20 177:7
75:9 80:16 90:11	205:12,13	178:3,19,23	47:16 51:7 93:9	194:1,3
92:5 122:23	relief 54:17,23	179:14,24 180:7	161:20 184:5	responsibilities
133:22 191:15	relocated 18:23	180:15,18,22	representatives	13:7
197:7 198:7,13	relocation 91:11	181:3,18,21,23	79:24 189:17	responsibility
199:23	remain 70:12 93:11	182:9,13,17 183:2	representing 30:6	14:18
referencing 82:1	96:23	188:22 189:22	147:24	rest 82:22 96:20
138:3 199:12	remaining 57:10	191:20 196:17,19	represents 15:8	restore 117:4
200:11	remedied 134:13	197:14,16 198:4,6	request 63:20 64:5	restored 197:18
referred 127:20	remember 16:12	199:10 203:8	85:3 107:7 119:22	198:23 199:4
referring 95:13	17:3 20:11 22:14	remind 14:14 117:8	120:1 142:16	restrict 94:8 96:14
99:9,12 101:4	29:18 31:10,24	117:11	199:12 201:8	restriction 39:15
102:2,4 145:10	32:4,5,13 44:8,23	reminded 41:9	requested 136:12	40:18 62:17 95:19
200:6	45:12 46:5,9,17	reminder 196:20,21	140:20 176:3	96:9,23 122:2,10
refers 101:2 102:3	47:10 48:1 49:7	203:5	200:23 201:5	restrictions 38:11
118:20	49:19 51:3,23	removal 100:23	requesting 100:23	39:24 40:5,15
refrain 39:17 48:12	52:1,14,20,21	101:9 102:17	101:8 135:22	41:13 61:1,16,20
refresh 47:19 70:20	53:22 56:16 59:9	198:5,11	136:5,23,24	63:3 98:14,21
72:10 132:19	59:21 60:12,17,21	remove 97:23	138:19 140:6,7,12	99:13 102:18

result 54:18 155:9	rucker 12:7 18:15	37:21 38:6 50:1,6	146:15 175:23	seeking 106:5
160:6 177:13	18:16	50:22 52:13 57:5	196:20 202:12,21	136:16
182:5 190:13	rule 103:9 206:10	58:8 68:4 69:2,18	seconded 12:21	seen 18:24 23:12
197:7	rules 7:4 45:7	71:22 72:6,7,20	202:23	26:3,6,20 98:5
resulted 198:11	206:10	72:21 80:5 81:22	secretaries 158:3	112:15 126:3
retained 45:7 176:4	run 89:6 103:1	84:12 86:22 87:15	section 58:4 146:14	163:1 169:8
retired 157:13	167:9,16	88:18 89:5 90:11	security 22:9 169:9	175:16
165:21,24 169:13	running 27:20	93:13 94:15 95:16	see 24:21 25:17	selectmen 15:9 36:9
return 206:13	167:12	96:22 98:12,13,19	27:1 28:19,24	152:6 154:8,11,13
review 14:14 50:8	runs 39:5,8,10	100:8,19,22	36:23 37:12,17	154:14 155:8
68:5 118:14	161:21 188:12	100.8,19,22	38:14 50:12 53:5	162:14,21 167:8
194:16 201:19	runway 103:19	101:17 102:13,17	54:7 56:7 57:2	self 17:19,19 21:2,2
reviewed 50:11	runway 103.19 runways 13:9,11	102.20 104.0,9	62:18 64:7 66:22	27:17,17 28:10,11
revised 81:1	russ 6:19 15:17	112:1 116:1		63:23 105:1,2
riddle 10:7	33:16 68:4 85:17	118:13 119:6,9	67:8 68:6,23 70:2	
	87:17 197:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	72:22 75:3,7 76:13 80:14 81:2	selffueling 32:10
right 10:14 11:17 23:1 34:12 35:18		120:9,21 124:20		64:2,3 83:5
	ryan 1:19 2:8 36:21	127:3,10 130:11	81:11,23 84:19	sell 17:17,17 27:10
35:20 42:21,24	36:21 37:2,2,3,10	131:11 133:23	86:7 87:4 88:11	27:15,15 53:7
48:17 56:13 77:13	47:17 52:16,17 59:15 76:21 77:1	142:9,11 144:12	89:13 90:9,13	63:11,15,15,23 105:1
78:11 80:10 82:8		144:13 145:4	92:12,20 93:16	
87:11,18 98:19	80:20 83:24	178:18 192:10	94:21 95:21 97:2	send 155:20
101:17 102:19	126:11 156:1,14	202:22	98:16,23 100:20	sending 56:19
105:8 114:9	175:9 183:20	scaled 35:5 65:7	101:24 105:16	162:15,18
121:17 122:13	184:4 193:16	67:18,19,24 71:10	111:12 112:6	senior 150:2
129:20 130:20	197:2 205:5	195:24 196:6	115:9 116:5 118:7	sent 36:21 37:10
132:3 150:14	206:15	scenarios 64:6	118:11,18 120:19	54:21 62:23 79:17
171:14 174:12	ryans 197:1	schematic 58:9	120:24 123:10	84:22 89:7 100:22
182:23 183:13	S	90:12 122:24	124:5,14 126:11	133:11,13 137:10
192:7 198:9	$\frac{5}{8:8120:22}$	123:13,14 129:4	127:4,17 130:8,11	166:23 186:14
199:24	safety 21:23 30:11	schematics 122:16	131:5 132:17	192:22 193:21
righthand 26:24	31:1 40:14 45:14	school 9:1,3,4,8,13	139:12,20 142:20	197:9,21
rights 48:21 49:6	104:1,2	12:1,1,6,7	145:8 148:11	sentence 38:8 82:20
64:8,10,23 94:10	sara 53:2,9	science 9:10,15	160:22,23 161:20	83:7 88:5,18 93:3
97:1,6,12 108:7	satisfactorily 50:11	scope 32:9	165:15,16 166:1,4	94:15 100:19
177:21 178:1	satisfactory 6:2	sea 188:14	166:7 174:3	116:1 120:9 192:9
196:10	saw 112:18 163:5	second 22:5,7,12,15	191:13 192:15	separate 50:9
ring 91:13 99:3	165:17 168:8	24:8 36:16 47:14	197:5,12 198:12	112:10
river 188:9	176:1 179:11	49:23 58:10 82:19	200:4	september 3:7 5:6
road 98:9 150:15	186:8	86:22 87:14 88:5	seeing 64:24 76:23	10:23 28:4,19
rollins 142:10,10	saying 52:10 91:10	90:16 94:13,14,15	77:2 98:6 112:19	162:9,13
romeo 165:5	180:19 181:12,15	95:15,17 96:21	163:6 166:5	sequence 77:10
roof 16:15,21	188:23	97:23 102:12,15	168:10 174:18	89:23 144:15
room 169:11	says 24:10,18 25:3	110:17 116:1	175:20 186:7	series 7:2
171:24 179:6	26:24 33:8,15	122:22 131:10	197:14	serve 8:9 19:15
202:19	20.27 33.0,13	137:11 138:1	seek 13:16	service 8:4,19 18:20
	l	l	l	<u> </u>

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

				229
37:15,16 155:5	74:14 83:13 85:21	signature 6:12	six 9:23 118:15	145:24
services 1:7 24:21	89:19,22 90:5	36:15 100:11	120:19	speak 29:8 97:8
24:24 26:11,13,14	91:16 97:20	206:13	size 31:12	158:5,7
26:19 207:3	103:20 107:13	signed 6:10	sketches 34:7	speaking 29:10
set 34:3 64:5 89:17	114:20 117:14,19	significant 188:7	skilled 7:9	32:6 50:20 153:7
setback 45:8 58:2	122:17 123:19	signify 27:3	slightly 56:10	specialization 9:10
62:17 63:2	125:15 126:5	signity 27.3 silent 99:5	slip 125:6	9:15
setbacks 55:6 56:7	131:7 139:8	silva 189:5 193:7,8	slope 37:14	specializing 17:7
56:23 57:6,10,16	141:17 160:19,24	193:9 194:18	small 26:5 84:14,16	special projects
58:24 59:2,11,17	162:5 173:19	195:3	smaller 34:2	11:5
60:5	175:7 189:10	silvas 173:20	snow 166:2,6,8	specific 45:3 49:8
sets 57:20	191:2 195:11	174:24	179:4,7,13,24	50:5 64:6 80:18
sewer 37:13,13,14	196:7,24 199:6	similar 35:1 84:24	180:6 181:4	104:12,15 119:17
37:15	202:5,17	85:4,14 125:6	snowbanks 179:2	specifically 54:5
shadow 167:24	showed 74:8 110:22	similarities 163:4	180:4	92:8
shaughnessy	128:17 168:16	simms 2:15 6:14	snowplow 181:12	specificity 82:22
144:21 163:13,22	showing 23:9 24:1	15:17 19:18 65:19	snowplow 181.12 snowstorm 70:9,15	specifics 49:9 78:21
164:3,7,9,16	32:24 56:3 74:21	65:22 66:1,6	72:10	79:1 83:10 189:8
165:6,19 166:2,5	76:9 79:9 83:19	76:14 85:17 87:5	socalled 189:17	speculate 101:3,10
166:11,15 167:10	86:3 91:22 100:3	87:9 101:11	193:22	101:14 138:15
167:22 168:6,7,19	107:19 113:5	121:19 138:14	sole 20:22	200:9,15,17
169:6 172:21	115:2 124:2	143:16,20 146:12	somebody 43:4	speculative 138:13
shaughnessys	125:23 130:4	146:19,23 148:6	147:23 196:10	spelled 11:2 29:24
164:14 168:20	131:2 132:11	152:11 167:17	somewhat 88:20	69:8
sheehan 78:2 90:18	133:5 141:24	176:19 177:16	soon 158:1	spelling 69:6
90:19 95:12,14	144:2 162:11	200:15 203:23	sorry 28:7 34:18	spend 170:8
163:20 169:6	168:18 178:9	206:1 207:24	35:20 58:10 66:20	spending 39:17
181:23 199:10,17	191:9 193:4 201:1	simply 57:11 97:23	68:4 75:18 77:11	spill 13:18 179:18
202:23	shown 28:16 29:11	147:3	78:16 87:20	186:14
sheehans 68:20	47:6 111:11	single 151:12,13	102:19 107:22	spilled 186:18
73:8 82:8 89:2,18	174:22	single 131.12,13	119:4 131:16	190:2
95:7 123:17 129:6		169:19	137:17 139:10	spoken 54:12
199:8	68:22 75:6 77:5	singlepiston 164:23	sort 94:12 106:2	spring 17:24
sheet 44:13 206:13	78:2 84:1 123:1	sir 6:17 7:22 36:7	118:5 172:6	131:14 135:10
sheets 33:20,24	128:10 196:1	44:4 56:3 62:20	189:10	square 2:14 107:4
short 43:8 129:12	202:9	72:3 76:19 86:3	sound 132:2	107:23 108:4,6,20
155:7	sic 87:2	97:20 98:4 106:22	sounds 145:23	109:6,7,10,17
shortly 19:12 152:5	side 39:1,2 48:18	132:12 133:6	south 39:6,10 101:5	110:2 129:14
156:22	49:6 51:13 88:20	162:11 199:7	102:5,7,8,8 135:6	132:2,17 134:20
show 23:4,18,19	105:11 174:23	sit 200:11	southwest 174:4	134:24 135:8,9,21
27:23 32:4,17	179:19,21	site 80:2,19 93:6	space 26:9 31:21	142:14 145:7,24
35:24 36:7 43:18	sight 179:4	99:6,8 160:17	57:8 108:1,18	147:6 206:2
44:2 46:23 55:20	sightseeing 25:17	sited 102:9	124:12 129:11,12	sr 149:18 150:5
62:8 64:1,16 65:8	sign 128:5,9 206:11	siting 38:11 98:14	132:20 135:3	ss 1:4 205:1 207:1
66:11,18 68:18	206:13	sitting 159:10	142:14,17 144:16	stacking 42:11
,			,	
	1	1	1	•

stamp 173:22	step 43:4	175:15 196:13	suspended 204:5	105:19 118:22
stamped 35:5 65:7	stewardship 186:20	submitting 73:16	swift 16:8,10,13	136:2 146:16
67:17,21 71:12	stewart 8:15	95:8	17:4 31:17 39:3	154:14 200:18,19
82:12 128:14,15	stick 15:19	subsequent 58:12	45:10 112:4	talks 120:21 124:10
128:21	stills 133:23	184:22	sworn 6:3 205:6	124:12 127:23
standard 38:13	stipulations 6:6	subsequently	system 32:2 34:16	202:22
40:12 54:8 55:8	stockpiled 179:10	109:22 173:2	34:21,24 36:11	tank 87:8
55:10 92:9 103:10	stockpiling 179:7	199:3	69:24 70:17 74:7	tanks 34:7,9 35:16
103:15 119:24	stop 152:4 166:18	substance 189:22	75:18 194:22	35:21 70:10 81:2
standards 57:6,13	stopped 85:19	190:3	systems 13:10	86:24 88:7,9 89:6
57:19,20,21 82:24	152:5	successor 112:3		91:11,12 98:23
98:16 120:15	storage 36:11 39:10	sued 194:7	T	99:16 128:5,18
127:12 138:4	70:10 81:1 98:11	suggest 159:15	t 1:16 3:2 6:1 205:6	taxi 38:9 55:5
standpoint 37:11	160:24 161:9	suggestion 120:17	206:8 207:7,22	taxied 133:23
37:17	store 151:3 165:12	137:11,15,21	tab 133:22	taxilane 38:10,23
start 34:18 38:9	stored 151:5	159:22 176:11	table 107:10 134:8	39:5,15,19 40:16
69:19 75:19 76:18	storm 13:17 16:16	suite 2:4,14	145:17 160:12	40:17 41:9,19
85:7 87:10,12,23	188:4	summary 57:5	171:5	42:2,3,7 46:19
146:13 150:7	story 199:23 200:1	summer 15:15,21	tables 134:8	48:3,6,13 54:9,16
started 10:12,21	straighten 172:1	46:12 64:18	tail 151:21,22 165:2	55:5 56:22 58:21
12:2 16:1,14	strained 166:20	supercede 96:13	170:1	62:16 80:13 81:16
18:11 19:12 40:11	street 2:4	superintendent	take 7:9,10 10:9	92:10 98:13,20
59:23,24 60:7	strike 6:8 122:1	37:4	25:4 42:23 43:11	99:12 100:24
70:24 72:15 85:17	174:21	superior 1:4 7:1	48:7 74:9 144:21	101:6,9 102:6,7,9
159:15	struck 179:3	supplement 178:17	146:13 173:13	102:20,21 103:3,5
starting 20:15	structure 58:3,4	support 120:13	176:11 182:5	103:8,14,17,20,21
144:5,22 155:20	141:15	168:4 171:9,9	184:2 203:15	104:3,20 115:17
167:24	structures 40:21	supporting 81:8	taken 1:17 23:21	115:23 116:5,8,21
starts 27:5	62:17 70:12	156:15	43:14 99:20	117:4,5 121:22
state 6:16	study 176:5	supposed 97:24	107:10 145:17	133:24 134:1
stated 77:20 109:5	stuff 64:16	sure 40:11 69:6	173:15 203:17	135:6,7 160:23
119:9 177:20	subject 44:10 86:13	110:16 117:11	206:8	196:16,22 197:4
statement 145:3	115:15,16 135:22	118:9 131:19	talk 7:14 22:20	197:11,18,22
205:9	154:22 160:14	136:18 145:20	150:18 169:10	198:3,8,11,20,22
statements 201:1,8	195:20	160:21 165:22	171:23	taxilanes 42:5,9
states 6:4 8:20	subleasee 112:3	168:14 179:17	talked 41:11 45:1	taxiway 38:24
199:24	submission 178:17	184:10 193:13	57:15 58:14	39:23 40:2,5,13
stating 134:18,19	206:11	196:21	107:23 113:21	40:14 42:17 62:16
163:2 182:22	submit 195:24	surface 104:5	129:5,15 136:8	70:11 96:17
stationed 8:10,12	submittal 36:22	surfaces 186:18	137:9 154:12,13	103:19 116:5
12:4 18:21	162:21	surprised 69:7	154:19 187:21	121:15 122:9
stations 19:13	submitted 37:24	190:12	193:16 196:2,16	taxiways 13:10
status 59:21	86:23 88:6 91:2,6	surrounding 14:9	talking 7:10 19:20	41:15
stay 18:9	95:4 122:24	survived 173:11	68:9 102:22 103:1	technical 34:2,13
steel 70:14,14	142:18 148:12	suspend 203:19	104:11,14 105:8	35:2 37:23 73:17

				231
127:22	122:20 123:13,14	thomas 6:18 11:2	195:10,15 202:2	161:4 171:15,23
technology 9:11	128:19 129:6,18	126:20	203:21	175:4 184:9,19,22
telephone 29:14	130:19 140:4	thought 21:21	timeline 46:11 79:1	192:13 193:19
30:16 158:9	143:12 145:10	54:13	times 70:13 163:17	194:7
tell 7:18 8:24 12:11	146:15 147:13	threaten 157:23,24	171:1 177:5	towns 14:9
17:5 27:18 55:16	154:16 192:17,23	threatening 157:16	timothy 90:7	toxic 187:24 188:3
62:6 101:14	196:9 199:2	three 18:12 22:8	tipped 183:5,6	toxics 188:6
118:20 150:10	201:22	33:20,23 36:19	186:6,11	track 9:22
159:1 174:20	thereof 206:18	56:15 67:8	tire 169:14	transcript 12:22
179:22 180:22	theres 10:6 26:9	threepage 100:5	title 111:6	206:17
182:17 200:18,20	28:21 38:24 57:11	tie 125:8	today 7:3,21 23:12	transfer 98:22
telling 60:24 181:24	72:6,19 77:12	tied 151:4 198:2	23:15,20 33:2	transfer 96.22 transformer 134:7
temporary 40:1,2	80:6 84:10 104:24	tied 131.4 136.2 tiedown 125:2,5	45:2 50:2 85:10	transient 41:22
ten 13:22	118:2 122:21	135:4,15,17	129:5 200:11	transport 12:15
tenant 13:20 41:21	126:18 135:7	171:14 172:3,5,10	204:2	transport 12.13
153:13 164:9	145:3 146:19	tiedowns 124:17	tofa 40:17,20,22	treasurers 15:5
tenants 14:8,11	theyre 15:1 42:10	time 6:9 7:11 10:15	41:12 43:6,8 45:8	treating 128:2
42:13 54:17 64:10	42:11 72:2 102:7	16:11,20 18:6,20	46:22 56:7 57:7	treatment 167:2
83:2	102:9 103:10	19:11 20:7 21:10	57:11 59:11 60:4	trial 1:3 6:9
tenure 166:21	188:7	21:12 22:3,5,7	61:1,16 63:2	tried 109:9
terminal 57:23	thing 118:10	31:24 32:14 35:20	70:11,13 92:10	triggering 119:1
terminated 152:6	things 21:5 100:17	39:18 40:4 42:5	93:15 94:19 99:1	trouble 179:6
164:1	115:24 118:2	46:4 53:1 59:1	118:14,17 196:2	truck 42:23 72:22
terms 14:16 75:19	160:11 170:19	62:4 63:4,19	told 55:18,19 61:19	174:7,9,13
testified 50:24	171:3 185:9	70:23 72:18 77:10	62:3 121:14,20	trucks 87:2,6 88:19
147:22 189:18	think 24:20 29:23	80:12 82:18 84:1	158:20 159:7	89:6,8,10 121:11
192:17	31:4 39:1 46:10	94:24 95:23 96:23	161:16 184:4,5	122:8 128:10
testify 23:15 205:6	46:24 60:1 95:11	108:17 117:1	tom 126:22 159:22	true 205:9 207:8
testimony 43:17	100:10 101:8	119:19 123:3	tone 157:21 167:20	trust 1:11 6:23
136:6 205:9 207:8	122:19 125:16	124:22,23 125:14	top 115:24 126:9	121:5 134:6,11
thank 7:20 62:8	143:2,4,19 146:10	126:23 129:9	142:9 144:11	207:5
78:7 102:11	146:22 170:12	131:14,15,20,22	tore 31:17	truth 205:6,7
125:15 134:12	176:23 177:19	132:1 134:9 137:6	touch 18:9 19:3	try 7:19 177:1
203:19 204:1	187:10 193:8	140:18 146:13	touching 205:7	trying 106:1 147:4
206:19	203:15,18	150:16,17,18	tow 42:10,18	tug 43:5
thanks 204:3	thinking 45:24	153:18 154:8	tower 13:13	turbine 133:18
thats 6:13 11:18	179:8	155:7,24 156:3	towing 26:15	turn 95:15 119:3
34:18 35:5 36:20	third 36:18 37:8	157:13 158:18	town 7:23 11:13	turned 90:16 107:8
42:17,22 46:2	63:16 82:20	163:18 165:9,11	14:19 15:3,8 27:6	108:11 145:15
58:16,20 66:24	109:22 110:18	165:23 169:5,16	37:3,4 52:15 53:1	twelve 13:22
69:5 77:6 80:9	118:6,10 168:23	169:16 170:8	53:4 98:7 101:23	twentyfive 57:24
82:16 83:20 87:17	thirdparty 176:3	172:20 173:22	139:18,18 142:11	twice 19:1 21:9
88:14,24 89:1	201:19	175:21 177:11	145:5 147:22,23	153:1
91:5 95:12 103:24	thirteen 13:22	189:9 190:22,22	154:17 156:3	two 6:24 7:9 8:13
111:19 116:16	thirty 206:11	191:12 194:16,21	157:7 158:1,19	18:12 21:16,19
	•		,	
	•	1	1	1

22:24 49:3,4 57:6	39:14 41:12 57:17	161:9 174:9 186:3	voted 202:24	waters 188:8
80:6,8 83:8,24	61:11,14,18 63:1	user 195:2		way 19:6 31:16
88:20 90:20,21	67:16 71:24 74:10	users 39:16 83:2	$oxed{\mathbf{W}}$	33:7 41:21 64:4
92:8 104:17 124:2	86:18,24 88:7,14	ust 98:9	w 2:22	68:1 91:8 111:1
156:20 162:13	89:10,15 91:5	utilize 70:13 123:9	wagging 181:23	113:9 129:12
168:10 176:6	99:4 103:24	utilized 39:20 43:4	wainwright 12:5	184:2 193:8
186:23	105:13 106:7	125:2	wait 7:7 66:1	wednesday 81:7
twopage 47:8 56:14	110:7 114:12	125.2	102:13 146:12	wednesdays 92:19
74:23 76:8 79:11	155:14 185:3,6,12	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	waiting 203:6	94:16 95:17
90:5 91:23 115:4	187:7 200:10	v 1:9 206:5 207:4	walking 168:1	weekly 12:21
126:7	understood 114:8	vacirca 54:5 69:9	walsh 163:2	welcome 144:24
type 106:5 151:8	140:14 146:22	vaguely 67:6 69:15	waltzing 199:13	145:1
165:9 169:20	186:16	100:15	200:24	went 10:18,19
types 141:13	unfortunately	various 82:14 185:9	want 15:18 20:13	11:22,24 16:22
161:23	40:19	vehicle 43:2 115:21	46:12,15 49:4	17:1 18:13,22
typos 149:8	unit 19:9,17 58:1	155:5 158:21,24	64:18 77:22 79:2	20:16 155:11
typos 149.0	united 8:19	159:4 174:16,17	87:9,11 105:12,13	160:18 169:1
U	university 9:11,13	174:21,22,24	146:13 148:17	171:2 189:1
u 8:8	10:8,11,16	vehicles 35:7	163:12,20 170:16	west 39:2,8 103:2
uh1 12:10	unload 121:9 122:8	158:13	177:23 200:9	120:24 121:2,9
ultimately 108:12	unloaded 35:9	vent 58:6	201:12 202:21	120:24 121:2;5
109:16 110:13	unloading 48:14	venting 87:2 88:9	wanted 32:2 37:12	124:16,21 129:15
117:6 129:20	72:22 118:24	verbalize 7:6	38:19,20 56:20	132:1,16 134:10
188:13,15 201:18	unnecessary 102:17	version 34:3	60:24 63:11,24	134:10 135:3,15
unauthorized	120:16	versus 64:2	64:7 74:8 104:19	142:14 145:24
133:15	unpaid 10:22	viability 140:16	107:11 117:12	147:7 179:19
unclear 66:20	unresolved 80:13	viable 40:18	128:12 134:20	westerly 112:4
undated 82:4	unsafe 133:16	vic 69:8	148:1 160:22,23	123:9
underground 70:9	unscaled 82:10	vice 199:18	160:24 171:10,13	wetlands 161:6
81:1 86:24 88:8	129:2	vicinity 123:2 134:7	172:6 177:24	whatnot 179:3
89:6 98:10,23	unscrupulous	vick 159:22 160:17	201:18	whats 37:8,20
99:16 128:5	200:3	video 133:23	wants 37:17 39:16	49:24 73:6,7
underlined 127:3	unstamped 129:2	vietnamera 12:12	102:18 103:19	74:22 87:14 93:3
underneath 24:18	update 70:17	violated 94:11 97:2	115:17	94:14 96:21 97:9
81:5 92:17 98:19	120:13 130:11	97:6,13 178:1	war 19:17 20:1	97:20 100:3
102:19	updated 69:18,20	violating 48:6,6	warrant 11:24	102:16 107:6
understand 7:19	updates 54:2	violation 87:4	18:14	113:23 117:20
34:17,20 42:15	120:18	88:11 119:1	wasnt 109:8 160:20	132:12
67:14 88:21 104:4	upload 48:8	vircirca 69:4	167:9,16 179:10	wheel 104:4
104:10 145:20	uploaded 35:9	visavis 167:23	179:11 186:21	white 151:16,18
146:7,9,10,24	upper 26:24 100:8	visit 80:2 86:16	196:8	165:1
147:4,18 155:23	use 39:11 70:18	93:6 99:6,9	water 13:17 17:11	wildlife 13:14,15
172:6	80:24 112:4	visitor 149:21	17:12 185:7,15	171:7
understanding	121:14 123:4	voiced 177:22	186:17 188:4	willenborg 161:17
24:14 25:21 28:14	125:12 143:5	vote 195:16	195:5	162:3
	•	·	·	<u> </u>

				233
willing 142:19	wrong 42:16 171:1	01 5:9 191:7	1319 126:8	49:24 74:19,23
winter 131:14	wrote 10:4	02 5:9 191:7	132 4:21	76:13 81:22 92:17
178:22 179:2,5,15	wynne 126:20,21	02108 2:4	133 4:23	93:13 144:11
180:5 182:12	126:22 156:2,13	02109 2:14 206:3	139 5:2	20 62:11 84:18
winthrop 53:3,9	156:16,20,23	02110 2:9 206:16	14 3:10 5:4,11 36:4	2003 8:5
wit 205:6	157:3		36:8 141:22 142:2	2005 8:6
withdrawn 108:12	wynnes 157:12	1	146:3 193:2,6	2006 178:11
108:14 109:16,20		1 27:6 33:21 38:8	141 5:4 142:5 144:5	2007 40:17 84:18
110:13,16,19	X	80:13 122:23	15 3:21 5:9 23:11	2008 188:4
129:20 146:2	x 25:10	146:14 191:12	68:23 83:17,23	2009 178:12
147:14,19 148:9	T 7	192:10	118:4 191:7	2010 18:1 20:14,18
148:10	<u> </u>	10 2:14 206:2	1502 5:9 191:7	2011 16:18
withdrew 163:8	y2k 39:7	100 134:20,23	1582cv00213 1:4	2012 3:7,10 27:5,6
witness 3:2 6:9	yanai 21:5,18 22:24	135:8,9,21 151:24	207:1	28:5,19 32:16
19:23 43:12 65:21	24:11,14	100foot 70:13	15th 119:10	33:21 36:5,9,22
66:4,8 146:7,17	year 13:24 24:5	10597 77:4,14	16 5:6 162:9,13	58:23 60:6
204:3 205:10,15	27:5,7 64:15	107 4:8	206:1	2013 3:12,13,15,16
207:20	152:10	11 2:4 101:19	162 5:6	3:17,18,20,21,23
wma 201:2	years 22:9,16 54:2	108:20 109:3,17	17 3:15,23 4:21	4:2,4,5,7,8,10,11
wont 66:9 195:7	119:11 136:9	1100n 2:14	56:1,5 86:1,6	24:5 43:24 44:4
word 19:19 85:18	158:19	113 4:10	92:24 123:1 132:9	46:16 47:3,7 56:1
wording 102:24	youre 19:19 106:22	114 4:11	132:14 142:13	56:5 59:23 60:12
181:16	111:11 118:4	116 197:1	145:6	62:11 64:19 66:15
words 77:21 78:20	youve 7:5 57:3	117 4:13	178 5:7	66:22 68:23 70:23
122:18 128:23	59:11 128:20	11th 1:20 2:9 205:5	18 198:23	72:11,17 73:15
135:20 181:6	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	12 3:20 4:18,20	1866 113:7	74:12,19,23 76:5
189:23		27:1 79:7,14 82:7	1867 113:7	77:17 78:8,20
work 10:18,19	0	123:6 126:17	189 98:9	79:2,7,14 80:7
11:19,20 16:2	0 202:24	130:2,7,13 131:1	19 4:4,8 91:20 92:1	82:7 83:17,23
53:4 59:23 105:14	000 70:10 109:6	131:4 175:9	96:4 107:17	86:1,6 90:2,7 91:7
106:1,11 165:20	134:20,23 135:8,9	205:22	110:24 113:21	91:20 92:1,6,24
198:10	135:21	120 68:20 73:8 78:2	191 5:9	95:9 96:4 97:17
worked 11:10 12:19	0000510 111:11	82:8 89:2,18	193 5:11	98:10 99:24 100:8
15:23 16:1 150:13	0000652 79:12	90:18,19 95:7,12	1986 11:21 12:18	100:14 106:23
153:13 158:19	00008464 90:8	95:14 123:17	12:19 18:5,10,13	107:18 110:24
working 10:10,15	0002936 44:7	129:7	1987 12:2,3 18:23	113:4,8 115:1,6
10:23 11:4,7,15	0002953 91:23	1207 1:1	1991 11:19,22 12:3	118:15 123:1
13:12 19:8 29:5	0002962 130:7	123 4:14	1995 8:4 11:6 16:14	147:8 197:9 198:7
works 15:10 37:4	000andsomething	125 4:16 199:7	18:24 19:6 21:13	198:19,23
37:11,17 111:8	110:2	129 4:18	150:9	2014 4:13,14,16,18
worn 169:23	000something	13 123:6 142:12	1996 21:14	4:20,21,23 5:2,4,6
write 83:7	107:3 108:20	152:14 159:9	1999 11:11 16:2	117:18,22 123:24
writing 9:17 55:16	109:17	162:23 163:3,4,6	2	124:2,18 125:21
83:3 205:9	000squarefoot	163:9 205:15		126:2,18,24 130:2
written 203:4	109:3	130 4:20	2 3:17 38:8 42:2	130:7,13 131:1,4
Chrinafiold	י דעד יי	TIME COUDT DED	ODTING	Wordogtor

_				234
131:15 132:1,9,14	221 3:21 83:17,20	265 172:11,12	102:13 104:6	81 13:3
131:13 132:1,9,14	85:9	27 4:11,14 60:12	202:24	82 12:20 13:1
134:17,23 135:10	222 3:22 85:22 86:1	66:22 72:17 74:12	407 57:20	83 3:21 143:23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	87:15			
136:17 139:5,12	223 4:2 90:1,2	77:17 91:6 95:9	4289 165:5 43 3:12	144:3 84 12:20 148:16
140:13 141:23	, ·	114:24 115:6 123:23 124:2	46 3:13	
142:2,7,12,13	224 4:3 91:17,19,20	27th 80:19		149:2
145:5,7,18 146:3	93:4 94:14 95:16	28 3:7,12 5:9 43:24	49 188:14	85 12:20 175:7 86 3:23
147:7,20 148:13	96:22	1	5	
149:6,10 152:8,9	225 4:5 97:16,17,21	44:4 68:23 72:11	5 4:10 27:1,4 113:3	889 132:2,16
162:10,13 175:15	98:2	73:15 191:7,13	113:8 120:21	142:14 145:7,24 147:6
2015 5:7,11 90:24	226 4:6 99:23,24	29 5:7 178:7,14	123:6	147.0
126:10 152:9,12	100:3 102:16	2944 44:7 2954 91:24	55 3:15	9
160:5 163:21	227 4:8 107:16,17	2964 130:7	598 76:11	9 175:15
173:23 175:9	110:23 111:19 113:22	2904 130:7 2979 131:3		90 4:2 202:6
178:8,14,17,22	= :	2919 131:3	6	91 4:4 10:12,16,17
179:16 180:2,9	228 4:9 113:2,3,6 113:24 114:4,15	3	6 3:3 98:10 107:3	92 202:15,18
182:13 191:12,13	,	3 42:3 54:8,15 56:7	107:23 109:6,7	931 124:3
192:1,2 193:3,6 194:6,12 197:3	229 4:11 114:24 115:3 116:17	56:22 57:11 68:23	129:14 132:2,16	94 126:6 127:8
2016 202:8	23 3:6 4:2 90:2,7,24	80:13 81:15 92:9	142:14 145:7,24	95 10:13,17,18,23
2010 202.8 2017 199:8	110:2 145:5	102:15,18 115:18	147:6 164:21	10:23 11:11
2017 199.8 2018 1:17 205:4,15	230 4:12 117:17,20	115:20 117:5	6173500950 2:15	96 62:9
206:1,9 207:20	118:7 122:23	118:13 121:22	6175028259 2:10	97 4:5
200 .1,9 207.20 2021 205:22	137:8,8,18 138:2	133:24 134:1	6177202444 2:5	99 4:7
2021 203.22 21 4:23 126:10	230242 1:1	135:6 197:4,11,19	66 3:16	1.7
133:3,9	230242 1.1 231 4:14 123:20,22	30 1:17 5:2 70:10		
210 3:6 23:6,7	123:23 137:17	139:5,12 205:4	7	
210 3.0 23.0,7 211 3:7 28:4	232 4:15 125:19,20	206:8,10	7 3:7 27:1 28:4,19	
211 3.7 28.4 212 3:8 32:21 33:1	125:23	300plus 186:17	92:6	
35:1,15	233 4:17 129:23,24	304 115:5	706 117:23	
213 3:10 36:4,7	130:5	3040 139:11	71713 90:12	
37:9,20 41:10	234 4:19 130:23	3071 133:8	74 3:17 91:9	
214 3:11 43:22,23	131:2	32 3:9	7460 50:4,7,10,15	
44:4	235 4:21 132:8,9,12	321 125:24	50:16,21 69:21	
215 3:13 47:2,3,7	236 4:22 133:3,6	3327 75:3	70:5,16 71:5 80:3	
50:1 60:10	237 5:2 139:5	35 71:3	80:23 91:10	
216 3:14 55:23,24	238 5:3 141:21	3567 69:17	76 3:18 13:3	
56:4 57:5 58:20	142:24 144:6	3569 70:5	77 24:2 25:8	
217 3:16 66:14,15	239 5:5 162:6,8,9	3570 69:17 71:3	79 3:20	
66:18 68:15	162:12	95:14 123:15	8	
218 3:17 74:16,19	24 3:13 47:3,7 80:7	129:5		
74:22	240 5:7 178:6,7,11	36 3:10 174:23	8 77:11 197:3,9	
219 3:18 76:4,5	241 5:8 191:5,6,10	3639 162:15	198:7 800 2:4 109:7	
22 4:13 117:17,22	242 5:10 193:2,5			
220 3:19 79:5,6,10	26 173:22	4	800something 107:23 129:14	
		4 40:15 41:16	101.43 149.14	
	I	I	I	I