1

```
Pages 1-95
Exhibits 34-52
```

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS

BOSTON EXECUTIVE)
HELICOPTERS, LLC,)
Plaintiff,)

V.)
FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL.,)
Defendants.)

DEPOSITION OF THOMAS WYNNE

TAKEN APRIL 28, 2017

AT THE LAW OFFICES OF

PIERCE MANDELL, P.C.

11 BEACON STREET

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Reporter: Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 2 to 5)

2 APPEARANCES: EXHIBITS: (Continued) Exhibit 44, Letter from Russ Maguire to For the Plaintiff: Peter Eichleay of FlightLevel, dated PIERCE MANDELL, P.C. May 5, 2014 43 11 Beacon Street Suite 800 Exhibit 45, Letter from Christopher Boston, MA 02108 Donovan to State Ethics Commission, BY: MICHAEL C. FEE, ESQ. dated May 1, 2014 47 617.720-2444 mfee@piercemandell.com Exhibit 46, Regular Business Meeting Minutes for May 14, 2014 49 Exhibit 47, Executive Session Meeting For the Defendants: Minutes for May 14, 2014 56 PIERCE, DAVIS & PERRITANO, LLP Exhibit 48, E-mail Chain between 10 Post Office Square Christopher Donovan and Russ Maguire, Suite 1100N beginning on May 3, 2014 59 Boston, MA 02109 BY: ADAM SIMMS, ESQ. Exhibit 49, E-mail, two pages, between 617.350.0950 Russ Maguire and Christopher Donovan, asimms@piercedavis.com beginning April 30, 2014 Exhibit 50, Regular Business Meeting In Attendance: Minutes for July 30, 2014 73 Exhibit 51, E-mail from Michael Sheehan to Oulton Hues, dated November 15, 2014 79 Christopher Donovan, Boston Executive Helicopters, LLC Exhibit 52, Letter from Timothy McCulloch to Brandon Moss, dated March 27, 2015 88 (Exhibits retained by Attorney Fee) 3 5 STIPULATIONS INDEX: WITNESS: THOMAS WYNNE PAGE It is agreed by and between the parties Examination by Mr. Fee 6 that all objections, except objections as to the Examination by Mr. Simms 88 form of the questions, are reserved and may be Further Examination by Mr. Fee 90 raised at the time of trial for the first time. Exhibit 34, Notice of Taking Deposition It is further agreed by and between the of Thomas Wynne parties that all motions to strike unresponsive Exhibit 35, Letter from the FAA to Russ Maguire, dated October 6, 2008 Exhibit 36, Letter from Russ Maguire to answers are reserved and may be raised at the the FAA, dated January 27, 2010 ... time of trial for the first time. Exhibit 37, E-mail Chain between Christopher Donovan and Russ Maguire, beginning It is further agreed by and between the October 14, 2010 parties that the sealing of the original Exhibit 38, Letter from the Norwood Airport Commission to Christopher Donovan, deposition transcript is hereby waived. dated March 14, 2013 28 Exhibit 39, Airport Map 34 It is further agreed by and between the Exhibit 40, Letter from FlightLevel to parties that the notification to all parties of the NAC, dated January 22, 2014 Exhibit 41, E-mail from Peter Eichleay to Russ Maguire, dated March 5, 2014 ... the receipt of the original deposition transcript is hereby waived. Exhibit 43, Minutes of the Executive Session held on April 9, 2014

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 6 to 9)

6 8 1 * * * * * 1 Q. (By Mr. Fee) Mr. Wynne, can you 2 2 THOMAS WYNNE, Deponent, having produced tell me a little bit about your background, 3 3 satisfactory identification by means of a where were you born? 4 4 Massachusetts Driver's License, was duly sworn, Born in Boston. A. 5 5 deposes and states as follows: Where did you go to school? Q. 6 6 I went to St. Anthony Elementary 7 7 EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: School in Allston, St. Collinsville High School 8 8 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Wynne. My name in Brighton and Boston College in Chestnut Hill. 9 9 is Michael Fee, I'm an attorney and I represent When did you graduate from Boston 10 10 Boston Executive Helicopters in litigation that College? 11 is currently pending in the Federal Court in 11 A. In 1960. 12 12 Boston. I'm going to ask you a few questions Q. And did you have a degree in some 13 today, and before we get started, I want to take 13 field? 14 care of a few preliminary matters. Have you 14 A. Business administration. 15 15 ever been deposed before? Q. Can you briefly describe your work 16 16 A. No. history for me? 17 17 Okay. So, as you will see, Ray, Q. Sure. After leaving Boston 18 18 our stenographer, is taking a transcript of our College, I drove a cab for a couple of years, 19 discussion, and so it's very important -- and 19 but eventually ended up in electronics. I moved 20 20 I'm sure your counsel will remind you of this as from there to aviation, from there to 21 21 we go along, that it's important for you to computers -- I'm sorry, prior to that, power 22 22 allow me to get my question out before you plant construction, and then computers, and now 23 23 answer, and then you can answer fully before I I do real estate. 24 24 Okay. And how long have you been will start another question. That way the Q. 7 9 1 transcript and the record of our conversation doing real estate? 2 will be clear. 2 About twenty-seven years. A. 3 3 In what capacity? If at any time you have any Q. 4 A broker. 4 questions about what I'm asking you, if it's not A. 5 5 clear what I'm asking you and you want me to Okay. And you're a member of the 6 6 rephrase the question, just let me know and I'll Norwood Airport Commission, are you not? 7 7 be happy to do so. A. I was. 8 8 If you need to take a break at any You were, okay. And what were the 9 9 years that you were a member of the Norwood time, for any reason, just let us know, and we 10 10 can do that. If you need water or you need me Airport Commission? 11 11 to change the air conditioning or whatever, just I believe it was 2008 to -- I wrote 12 12 let me know, I'm happy to make you as it down -- say 2014. That finish date may not 13 13 comfortable as possible. be accurate. 14 14 MR. FEE: We're going to use And you were appointed to the Q. 15 15 the same stipulations we've been using for Norwood Airport Commission? 16 16 the prior depositions, as well as try to

> MR. SIMMS: Fine by me. MR. FEE: And I understand,

make use, to the greatest extent possible,

of the documents that have previously been

you'll have your standing objections as we go along, and we'll try to work through

that. Okay, let's get started.

marked.

A. Yes.

Q. And did you resign voluntarily?

A.

Q. And you think it was in or about

20 2014?

17

18

19

21

Yes. A.

22 Do you have a general recollection Q. 23 of what month you may have gone off the 24

commission?

Springfield 413.732.1157

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 10 to 13)

A. I think it was October.

MR. FEE: So, as a formality, I need to mark this as Exhibit 34. (Exhibit 34, Notice of Taking Deposition of Thomas Wynne, marked for identification)

- Q. (By Mr. Fee) Mr. Wynne, I'm showing you a document marked as Exhibit 34, which appears to be a Notice Of Deposition. Have you ever seen it before?
 - A. No.

2.2

- Q. Is it your understanding that you are here today to give testimony in connection with a matter in which you are named as a defendant?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me what you have done to prepare for your deposition today?
- A. I have met with Mr. Simms and that's pretty much what I've done.
 - Q. Have you reviewed any documents?
- A. A few documents that were shown to me, but I have not reviewed a complete history of the issues.

Q. And was that because you had to put together an agenda?

- A. It was because -- yes, put together an agenda, you know, choose a date or confirm that a date was good for a particular meeting. Or if we had to set a date -- say the meeting was today and we adjourned, then set the next meeting's date. Then prior to that date, we would talk to make sure that the date was still good.
- Q. How about substantive matters, would you confer with Mr. Maguire privately, outside of the scope of the Commission meetings to discuss substantive matters before the board?
 - A. Not really, no.
- Q. So that wasn't your common practice?
 - A. No.
- Q. You said you had a background in aviation. Can you describe that for me?
- A. Sure. I was -- again, I'm going to correct what I told you earlier. After I left Boston College and drove a cab for a period of time, my first job was in aviation, and it was

- Q. Right. Have you thought about some of the issues that are involved in the litigation in preparation for today's deposition?
 - A. Not really.
 - Q. Now, you were a chairman of the Airport Commission for a period of time, were you not?
 - A. Probably a year-and-a-half.
 - Q. And as chairman, did that position have any additional responsibilities or obligations than just being a regular member of the Commission?
 - A. No. It didn't have any additional responsibilities.
 - Q. Okay. I have to ask you to keep your voice up, if you wouldn't mind. Ray will let you know if you're not speaking loud enough, I'm sure, but it's important for you to try to keep your voice up, if possible.

So as chairman, did you have more regular communication with Mr. Maguire than other members of the Commission?

A. Yes.

¹ at a company called Helio Aircraft Corporation,

which was about a mile from Norwood Airport. It

was a second airport over there. And they built
what was known as a short take-off type of

what was known as a short take-off type of

aircraft, developed them and built them. And I

was with them for a number of years. They

subsequently moved to Hanscom Field. And I
 don't remember -- I went into electronics after

9 leaving Helio Aircraft Corporation. And then

with the airlines, an airline called Northeast
 Airlines, and eventually they were taken over by

Delta.
Q. What did you do for Northeast
Airlines?

A. I was one of their procurement managers.

Q. Do you have a pilot's license of any form?

A. No.

Q. Other than what you've described, do you have any other specialized knowledge or training in airport operations?

A. No.

Q. When you became a commissioner, did

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Pages 14 to 17)

16

17

14

you familiarize yourself with the applicable rules and regulations governing the operation of the Norwood Airport?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. So I'm going to show you a document that has been previously marked as Exhibit 2 to the deposition of Mr. LeBlanc. Have you seen that before?

A. Yes.

And as a member of the Commission, Q. you were familiar with the rules and regulations that were promulgated by the Norwood Memorial Airport in October of 2008?

Familiar to the extent that I knew that this booklet was there and if I needed to research something that involved rules and regulations, that's where I would go.

Right. But did you consider yourself bound by these rules and regulations?

A. Yes.

Okay. Were there other rules and Q. regulations that were applicable that you felt were useful for guidance, either from state or federal authorities?

One more for you. I'm going to 2 show you a document that has been marked as 3 Exhibit 16 to the deposition of Mr. Bishop, and 4 it appears to be a document entitled Grant 5 Assurances from the Massachusetts Department of 6 Transportation. Have you seen that before?

I don't think I've seen this one.

Are you familiar -- are you familiar with the fact that the Massachusetts DOT may also have grant assurances applicable to the airport?

Α. Yes.

Because the airport does receive Q. monies from the Massachusetts DOT, does it not?

A. From time-to-time, yes.

And would you consider yourself -again, in your capacity as a commissioner, bound by the rules and regulations that are set forth in the grant assurances from the Massachusetts DOT?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you familiar with any other rules or regulations that might be applicable to the airport operations or that might guide you

15

No. I mean, I served on other boards and I was familiar with meetings and agendas and decorum and that sort of thing.

Certainly. I'm speaking now specifically about rules and regulations that might be applicable to the operations of the airport. And I'm going to show you a document that has been marked as Exhibit 3 to the deposition of Mr. LeBlanc and ask you if you've ever seen that before?

A. Yes.

Do you know what it is? Q.

Well, this is basically the FAA who was involved with airports and development, improvement, that sort of thing, and the airport received monies for those purposes, and this is what they expect in return.

Okay. And so, in your capacity as a commissioner, were you familiar with grant assurances that had been promulgated by the FAA?

A. Generally familiar, yes.

And did you feel that your actions were bound by these grant assurances?

Yes.

in your capacity as a commissioner, while you were serving on the Norwood Airport Commission

I'm not so sure what you mean by that.

Well, we've looked at the rules and regulations, we've looked at the FAA assurances, we've looked at the DOT grant assurances. I'm wondering if there are any other documents or guidance that you relied on when you were doing your job as the commissioner of the Norwood Airport Commission?

My experience in business, A. whatever.

Q. Right. So I'm not trying to trick you or anything, I'm just wondering if there are any other documents that you looked to regularly for guidance or information when you were doing your job?

A.

Okay. I understand that you joined the board in 2008. Do you recall the process that the board was undergoing at that time with respect to a Part 16 Complaint filed by EAC? MR. SIMMS: Beyond the scope.

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 18 to 21)

18 20 1 1 You can answer. memorialized in any way? 2 2 No. A. I don't know. 3 3 MR. FEE: Could I have this Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a 4 4 document that has been marked as Exhibit 4 to marked as Exhibit 35? 5 5 the deposition of Mr. LeBlanc, and it -- there's (Exhibit 35, Letter from the FAA to Russ 6 6 a cover letter and then on the second page Maguire, dated October 6, 2008, marked for 7 7 starts a document prepared by the FAA entitled identification) 8 8 Director's Determination. And I'll just ask --(Mr. Fee) I'm going to show you a 9 9 I'm not going to ask you to read the whole doument marked as Exhibit 35 and I'm going to 10 10 document, but I'm just wondering if that read parts of it into the record. And you can 11 11 refreshes your recollection as to any of the correct me if I'm wrong, but this appears to be 12 12 a letter dated October 6, 2008 from the FAA to facts and circumstances surrounding the Part 16 13 13 Complaint filed against the Norwood Airport Russ Maguire in his capacity as the airport 14 14 manager. And the second paragraph states: Commission by EAC back in 2008? 15 15 "Specifically, the town must take the following MR. SIMMS: Same objection. 16 16 Go ahead. corrective actions to comply with the final 17 17 agency decision." And I'm going to skip down to A. EAC is Eastern Air Charter and this 18 18 the first -- to the second bullet point on the is Boston. 19 19 I'm sorry, I misspoke, BAC, Boston bottom of the page, where it says, "The town has Q. 20 20 Air Charter, my apologies. ended or will end the practice of awarding 21 21 I don't know what this complaint long-term leases of federally funded ramps that 22 22 was about. There was a complaint through, I have the effect of granting one-party control 23 23 think, BAC, Boston Air Charter. Whether this is over the majority of ramps on the airport." And 24 24 it or not. I don't know. the second bullet point says, "The town will put 19 2.1 1 Do you recall generally what in place a short-term ramp leasing permit policy 2 happened as a result of the complaint, the Part 2 for the airport to assert more control of the 3 16 Complaint filed by Boston Air Charter? 3 federally funded ramps." Did I read that 4 4 correctly? A. No. 5 5 I'm going to show you a document A. Yes. marked as Exhibit 5 to Mr. LeBlanc's deposition, 6 6 Q. And was it your understanding in 7 7 dated May 16, 2008, and it appears to be a 2008 -- and first of all, did you see this 8 8 letter from the Airport Commission -- and it letter from the FAA back in 2008? 9 9 lists you as being a member of the Airport A. I don't remember whether or not I 10 10 Commission at the time -- to the FAA. I just saw the letter. 11 11 want to ask you if that refreshes your Well, is it fair to say that you 12 12 were a member of the Commission at this time? recollection of what occurred as a result of the 13 Part 16 Complaint filed by BAC back in 2008? 13 A. 14 14 MR. SIMMS: Same objection. Okay. So was it your understanding Q. 15 15 Go ahead, Tom. at that time that the FAA was directing you to 16 16 I don't remember specifically end the practice of awarding long-term leases on 17 17 federally funded ramps? seeing this document. But from what parts of it 18 18 I've read, I was aware of the FAA's concern with MR. SIMMS: Let me note the 19 long-term leases. 19 objection to beyond the scope. And I'll

Springfield 413.732.1157

Q.

20

21

2.2

23

24

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

20

21

2.2

23

24

6 **Worcester** 508.767.1157

try to make this a standing objection to

any questions going back to directives

answer the question.

involving the FAA in 2008. Now you can

Okay. What was the question again?

Okay. And what, if anything, did

the Airport Commission pledge to do as a result

We followed their directive.

Okay. And was that promise

of the FAA's concern regarding long-term leases?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

22

(Pages 22 to 25)

24

25

Did you understand this letter to represent the FAA's direction to the Norwood Airport Commission to end the practice of entering into long-term leases on federally funded ramps?

> A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Q. Did you consider yourself to be bound by that directive?

Q. Did you similarly consider yourself to be bound by the second directive, that the town put short-term ramp leasing permit policies in place so that it could assert more control over the federally funded ramps?

A. Yes.

And what, if anything, did the Q. board do to effectuate a short-term ramp leasing permit policy?

Any leases that came before the board that were in excess of five years became a matter of discussion, I think.

Q. Was there any formal written policy adopted?

I don't remember. A.

Yes. A.

O. "And establish a short-term ramp leasing permit policy for the Airport to assert more control of the federally funded ramps." Did I read that correctly?

> A. Yes.

Q. So as of 2010, the FAA considers the Norwood Airport Commission to be in compliance with its prior order, is that your understanding?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And did the Airport Commission consider the FAA's directive at that point, a closed matter, or did it consider it to be an ongoing obligation of the Airport Commission to continue to act congruent with those policies?

A. It would have been to pursue those policies.

So at some point did you, in your capacity as the airport commissioner, come to know that Boston Executive Helicopters was seeking additional space at the airport?

Yes. A.

23

Okay. I'll retrieve that document from you. Thank you, sir.

MR. FEE: Could I have this marked as Exhibit 36?

(Exhibit 36, Letter from the FAA to Russ Maguire, dated January 27, 2010, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Fee) Exhibit 36 is a letter from the FAA to Mr. Maguire, dated January 27, 2010. You were on the Norwood Airport Commission at this time, correct?

A. What date?

January 27, 2010. Q.

A. Yes.

Now, the second full paragraph says, "Our review of the CAP, Corrective Action Plan, indicates that the town has taken the necessary and appropriate steps to comply with the FAA order to" -- and I'm skipping down to the third bullet -- "end the practice of awarding long-term leases of federally funded ramps that have the effect of granting one-party

22 control over the majority of the ramps on the airport." Did I read that correctly?

1 Q. When did you first learn of that? 2

I don't know. A.

When did you first learn of Boston O. Executive Helicopters' desire to become an FBO?

Α. I don't know.

Q. Was it sometime in or about 2010?

A. I can't recall.

MR. FEE: Could I have this marked as Exhibit 37? (Exhibit 37, E-mail Chain between Christopher Donovan and Russ Maguire, beginning October 14, 2010, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Fee) I'm showing you a document, Exhibit 37, that appears to be an e-mail chain. And the first e-mail on the first page is to Mr. Maguire from Mr. Donovan. And I just want to skip -- actually, I'll read from the first paragraph. "If possible, could you present my plan and application for my interest in the expansion of the commercial permit for flight training and aircraft rental, as I have previously discussed? I would like to move forward in these areas and ask for the approval

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 26 to 29)

26 28 1 1 of my application expansion." Do you see that? tight space. 2 2 Yes. Q. And did people bid or entities bid 3 3 Now, it says in the next paragraph, on that DC-3 ramp space? Q. 4 4 "I am also interested in the lease of the DC-3 A. Yes. 5 5 ramp area and the other public ramp space Who was the ultimate winner? Q. 6 6 available." Did I read that correctly? I don't recall the exact name of A. 7 7 Yes. the people who actually won it, were the 8 8 Does this refresh your recollection successful bidders. Q. 9 9 as to when you may have first learned of Boston Q. It wasn't Boston Executive 10 10 Executive Helicopters' interest in leasing Helicopters, though, right? 11 11 additional space at the airport? A. Right. 12 12 Yes. A. **Did Boston Executive Helicopters** 13 13 Q. Was it in or about October of 2010? complain about the bid process? 14 14 I don't recall a complaint, no. A. Yes. 15 15 MR. FEE: Could I have this Now, would Mr. Maguire have shared 16 16 e-mails like this with the commissioners? marked as Exhibit 38? 17 17 A. Yes. (Exhibit 38, Letter from the Norwood 18 18 MR. SIMMS: Take a moment to Airport Commission to Christopher Donovan, 19 19 answer the question, so I can object. Go dated March 14, 2013, marked for 20 20 ahead. identification) 21 21 Q. Now, can you describe for me -- at (By Mr. Fee) Sir, I'm showing you 22 22 some point, did the DC-3 ramp area become a document marked as Exhibit 38, which appears 23 23 available for lease? to be a letter dated March 14, 2013, to Mr. 24 24 A. Yes. Donovan. I'll direct your attention to the last 27 29 1 Q. When was that? page. Is that your signature on the final page, 2 I don't recall what date that was. 2 sir? A. 3 3 Can you describe what process the A. Yes. 4 4 And did you write this document? Commission went through in leasing that Q. 5 5 property? A. No. 6 6 A. In the case of that ramp, we put it Q. Who wrote it? 7 7 out to bid. A. I don't know. 8 8 Q. Was it written by Mr. Maguire? Q. Okay. How come? 9 9 A. I don't know. We didn't have any -- how do I say 10 10 Q. Counsel? this -- I guess we thought it was the prudent 11 11 I don't know. way to go. Α. 12 12 Q. Okay. Do you know how large the Do you recall who presented this to Q. 13 13 DC-3 ramp is? you to sign? 14 14 It's about -- I would say between A. 15 15 12 and 15,000 square feet. Did you read it before you signed Q. 16 16 it? Okay. And in that bid process, did 17 17 the Commission put any restrictions on the use A. 18 18 to which the DC-3 ramp could be put? There's a couple of items in the 19 19 Yes, I think it prohibited fueling document that I'd like to discuss with you. On A. 20 20 the first page, the first paragraph A, talks of aircraft there. 21 21 And why? about the fact that BEH's asserted concerns were Q. 22 22 Again, I'm working from memory, but untimely. And just to back up, to give this A. 23 23 I think one of the primary reasons was that it some context, this is a letter that was written 24 24 was abutting the taxiway, and it was just a very by the Norwood Airport Commission in response to

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 30 to 33)

¹ a challenge to the RFP process for the DC-3 ramp

that was filed by Boston Executive Helicopters.

³ And directing your attention to the first

⁴ paragraph, and I'll take you now to page 2 where

5 the document states, in the fourth full

6 paragraph, "On February 6, 2013 you submitted

twelve (12) separate, detailed questions in

8 connection with the RFP to Patricia Bilotta of

⁹ the Town's Purchasing Department (which issued

and published the RFP). Your questions were

raised less than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the February 8, 2013 deadline for responding to

the RFP. There is no justification for you to

have waited over a month since you obtained the REP or over a week since Addendum #1 was

RFP, or over a week since Addendum #1 was issued, before submitting additional questions

to the RFP." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, my question to you is, on what rule, regulation, law, statute, did you base your determination that the RFP challenge made by BEH was untimely?

MR. SIMMS: Beyond the scope.

You can go ahead and answer.

A. Yes.

Q. And you, yourself, don't know whether there is any legal, statutory or regulatory authority for the concept that the questions posed by Mr. Donovan in his RFP challenge were untimely, do you?

MR. SIMMS: You can answer. I have a standing objection to this line of questioning.

A. No.

MR. SIMMS: I should say, with respect to this topic, not the line of questioning.

Q. (By Mr. Fee) Turning your attention now to the fourth page, the first full paragraph, the second sentence says "Although you seemingly assert that the DC-3 Apron should be considered for use by a fixed-base operator, the DC-3 Apron is approximately 15,295 square feet in area. This relatively limited size of the DC-3 Apron restricts its utility as a site for a full-scale FBO, given paramount safety and efficiency concerns, along with the overarching concerns for the future development of the

A. That was a decision that was made by the purchasing department at Norwood.

Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

A. That determination was made by the Norwood -- Town of Norwood Purchasing Department. They were the ones who were soliciting the bids and they were the ones receiving the bids.

Q. Okay. And according to the Norwood purchasing department, the questions posed forty-eight hours prior to the deadline were untimely because there was no reason that BEH should have waited that long to ask those questions?

MR. SIMMS: I'll make the standing objection to this line of questioning.

Q. Is that true?

A. I can't speak for the Norwood purchasing department, but that's what happened.

Q. Okay. Just so I understand, you signed this document in reliance on information provided to you by others in the Norwood town government, is that fair to say?

Airport property." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

Q. And what authority did you rely upon for the conclusion that 15,295 square feet was too limited in which to conduct FBO operations?

A. Again, from memory, discussion with the commissioners and the manager.

Q. And is it your belief, as you sit here today, that a 15,295 square feet area is insufficient for the proper operation of an FBO?

MR SIMMS: Objection Go.

MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go

ahead.

A. Yes.

Q. Now, turning your attention to the next page, the last paragraph at the bottom that begins, Aircraft parking aprons. The letter states, "Aircraft parking aprons exist at various locations on the Airport, including those leased by FlightLevel Norwood, LLC, Swift Aviation, Inc. (whose sublease for so-called Lot F is being assigned to and assumed by BEH), and Boch Aviation. There is no use restriction on ramp handling." Did I read that correctly?

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 34 to 37)

34 36 1 A. Yes. service aircraft on the apron, or in the hangar, 2 2 MR. FEE: Could I have this but it wasn't an area where you could do 3 3 marked as Exhibit 39? fueling. 4 4 (Exhibit 39, Airport Map, marked for Q. Okay. And how come? 5 5 identification) I don't know if it's the state fire 6 6 (By Mr. Fee) I show you a map of regulations or national fire regulations or FAA 7 7 the airport. And with respect to your testimony fire regulations, but you had to be a certain 8 8 regarding the immediately preceding paragraph amount of feet from any structure on an airport 9 9 that we just read, can you, as you sit here to fuel. 10 10 today, tell me where the apron -- aircraft Right. And is that for self Q. 11 11 parking apron for Lot F is located? And if you fueling or for commercial fueling? 12 12 wouldn't mind marking the map, I would A. It doesn't make any difference, as 13 13 far as I know. appreciate it. 14 14 A. Well, there's no Lot F designated Okay. I want to make sure I Q. 15 15 on this map. understand because you say, in that same 16 16 Lot F is the BEH hangar that is the paragraph, that NAC supported BEH'S application Q. 17 17 subject of the sublease from Swift Aviation, so for VIF license, right? 18 18 I would represent to you that that notation I'm sorry? 19 19 indicates Lot F. If you could show me where you It says that the NAC supported 20 20 believe the parking apron is for Lot F, by BEH's application for a VIF license, correct? 21 21 marking it, I would appreciate it. I can't remember whether or not we 22 22 Is this the existing BEH hangar or did on that issue. 23 23 the previous one? But a VIF license allows fueling, Q. 24 24 Q. Correct, that's existing. correct? 35 37 1 1 Okay. How would you like this Yes. A. A. 2 designated? 2 So -- and again, I'm just trying to Q. 3 3 understand this language. You say, on the one However you want. The question is, 4 4 show me where the parking apron is, and if you hand, that we support -- the Norwood Airport 5 5 can circle it, that would be great. Commission supports a VIF fueling license, and 6 6 This is going to be a rough then I just thought I heard you testify that 7 7 outline, it's not going to be a measured fueling was not possible within Lot F; did I 8 8 misunderstand? outline. 9 9 Q. Understood. And if you would put No, you understood me. A. 10 10 an A for apron in that box and then initial the O. 11 11 document, wherever you like? MR. SIMMS: Off the record? 12 (Witness complying) 12 MR. FEE: Sure. A. 13 Thank you. So the second to the 13 (Off-record conference) Q. 14 14 last page, the last paragraph says, "Lot F MR. FEE: Back on the record. 15 15 constitutes a suitable alternative to the DC-3 Q. (By Mr. Fee) At some point in 16 16 Apron and represents a realistic opportunity for 2014, did you learn from FlightLevel that they 17 17 BEH because the NAC approved the assignment." were seeking extensions -- long-term extensions 18 18 So I want to ask you what you meant by that. In of leases that they held on airport property? 19 19 your opinion, in writing that language, was Lot I don't remember. 20 20 F an equivalent area for BEH to undertake its MR. FEE: Could I have this 21 21 operations as an FBO? marked as Exhibit 40?

Springfield 413.732.1157

A.

Q.

2.2

23

24

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

22

23

24

10 Worcester 508.767.1157

(Exhibit 40, Letter from FlightLevel to

22, 2014, marked for identification)

Norwood Airport Commission, dated January

What did you mean by that language?

There was an area where you could

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

38

(Pages 38 to 41)

40

41

1 (By Mr. Fee) I show you what has 2 been marked as Exhibit 40, which appears to be a 3 letter from FlightLevel to the NAC dated January 4 22, 2014. And if I could turn your attention to 5

the second page, it discusses a request for thirty-five year leases on Lots 5, 6, 7, A, B and C; do you see that?

Mm-hmm.

Does that refresh your recollection Q. as to when you learned --

A.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Q. -- from FlightLevel that they were interested in a long-term extension of their leases?

A. Yes.

Q. So was it in about January of 2014?

17 Per this letter, yes.

> MR. FEE: Could I have this marked as Exhibit 41? (Exhibit 41, E-mail from Peter Eichleay to Russ Maguire, dated March 5, 2014, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Fee) I'm showing you a document that has been marked as Exhibit 41. It

Why? Q.

A. The premise they put forward as to why they wanted to do it made sense, a logical business decision.

Even if the result of granting long-term leases to FlightLevel would give them control over a majority of the federally funded ramp space, were you still in favor of it?

MR. SIMMS: Now I would object. Go ahead. You can answer.

A. It wasn't a consideration. It didn't enter into my thoughts on it.

The consideration of whether or not granting any long-term lease to FlightLevel would end up giving them a control of the majority of the federally funded ramp space did not enter into your consideration of whether or not to approve those lease requests; is that correct?

Α. Correct.

MR. FEE: Okay. Could I have this marked as Exhibit 42? (Exhibit 42, Agenda for Norwood Airport Commission, dated April 9, 2014, marked

39

appears to be an e-mail from Peter Eichleay, president of FlightLevel, to Mr. Maguire, 3 requesting a letter of intent from the Airport Commission indicating a mutual desire to extend

4 5 the leases; do you see that? 6

A. Mm-hmm.

0. Is that consistent with your recollection of what was going on in March of 2014 with respect to FlightLevel's request for long-term leases?

My recollection is that they had requested an extension to their leases to do some forward planning. I don't remember seeing this specific letter.

Okay. Was there a discussion amongst the board members regarding a desire to accommodate FlightLevel's request for long-term leases?

I would think so, but specifically, A. I can't tell you.

Were you in favor of granting FlightLevel extensions to its leases that were long-term?

Yes. A.

1 for identification)

2 (By Mr. Fee) Sir, I'm showing a 3 document marked as Exhibit 42, and it appears to 4 be an agenda for Norwood Airport Commission, 5 dated April 9, 2014. Were you still on the 6 board at this time?

> A. I believe so.

And it says, tentative agenda items. And it lists several items, and then it says executive session, right?

> A. Yes.

Was it the practice of the Airport Commission to list specific topics that were to be the subject of executive session?

A.

How would the Commissioners know what matters were to be discussed in executive session?

The commissioners would have a memory of it from a previous meeting or meetings.

But there was no notice to the public or to the commissioners regarding what topics would be discussed in executive session?

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 42 to 45)

42 44 1 1 No, not to my best recollection. The question is, would you agree 2 2 O. Okay. Do you recall the meeting on with me that this memorializes -- this reflects 3 3 April 9th? a memorialization of the vote taken by the board 4 4 in executive session on April 9th to extend Α. 5 5 MR. FEE: Could I have this long-term leases to FlightLevel on Lots A, B, C, 6 6 marked as Exhibit 43? 5, 6 and 7? 7 7 (Exhibit 43, Minutes of the Executive MR. SIMMS: Same objection. 8 8 Session held on April 9, 2014, marked for You can answer. 9 9 With approvals. identification) Α. 10 10 (By Mr. Fee) Exhibit 43 appears to Q. Right. What approvals? 11 11 be the Minutes of the Executive Session on April Mass DOT and the FAA. A. 12 12 9th. Do you recall being present for this? Q. Any other contingencies? 13 13 No. A. A. 14 14 Q. So where, if anywhere, were the Would you agree with me that the Q. 15 15 Minutes appear to reflect the Airport terms of the leases discussed prior to the board 16 16 Commission's approval of extending long-term voting in favor of renewing them? 17 17 leases to FlightLevel for Lots 5, 6 and 7? I don't remember that. A. 18 18 I don't recall if I was at this Do you recall if the board required 19 19 meeting at all. any financial disclosure whatsoever from 20 20 FlightLevel before it voted to extend these Understood. But would you agree 21 21 with me that the document appears to reflect the long-term leases? 22 22 action of the Norwood Airport Commission to A. I don't remember. 23 23 grant in favor of extending long-term leaseholds Do you know if the board required 24 24 to FlightLevel for Lots 5, 6 and 7? any personal guarantee from the principal of 45 43 1 Yes. A. FlightLevel in connection with its vote to 2 MR. FEE: Could I have this 2 extend these long-term leases? 3 3 marked as Exhibit 44? A. No. 4 4 (Exhibit 44, Letter from Russ Maguire to Q. No, it didn't or no, you don't 5 5 know? Peter Eichleay of FlightLevel, dated May 6 6 15, 2014, marked for identification) No, I don't know. A. 7 7 (By Mr. Fee) Exhibit 44 appears to O. Do you know if the board required 8 8 be a letter written by Russ Maguire to Peter any form of business plan? 9 9 Eichleay of FlightLevel, dated May 15, 2014. I don't know. A. 10 10 And it's entitled Letter of Intent, Lots A, B, Do you know if it required any form O. 11 11 C, 5, 6 and 7; do you see that? of fueling plan? 12 12 A. Yes. No, I don't know. A. 13 13 Would you agree with me that this Do you know what disclosures, if Q. 14 14 represents a notice to FlightLevel that the any, were required from FlightLevel prior to the 15 15 board has acted consistent with the votes taken board voting in favor to extend long-term leases 16 16 at the executive session on April 9, the Minutes on lots A, B, C, 5, 6 and 7? 17 17 we just reviewed? MR. SIMMS: Same objection. 18 18 MR. SIMMS: Note my objection, 19 19 which I meant to note earlier, to this I don't have a recollection of A.

Springfield 413.732.1157

Q.

A.

Q.

Sure.

20

21

2.2

23

24

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING

schedule@realtimereporting.net

20

21

2.2

23

24

that.

O.

A.

12 Worcester 508.767.1157

Is it fair to say there were none?

At this point in time, do you

I don't know.

MR. SIMMS: Same objection.

line of questioning as beyond the scope.

I need to refer to this.

Do you understand my question?

Okay. What was the question?

(Pages 46 to 49)

48 46 1 1 recall discussions before the board in which Donovan to the State Ethics Committee, 2 2 several commissioners articulated a desire to dated May 1, 2014, marked for 3 3 give FlightLevel preferential treatment, in identification) 4 4 terms of the long-term leases awarded by the (By Mr. Fee) I'm showing you a 5 5 Norwood Airport Commission? document dated May 1, 2014. It's a letter to 6 6 the State Ethics Commission from Mr. Donovan, A. No. 7 7 Q. You don't recall Mr. Shaughnessy and it appears to be a transmittal letter in 8 8 saying words to that effect? which he is asserting ethics complaints against 9 9 No. Mr. Ryan and Mr. Shaughnessy; do you see that? Α. 10 10 Q. Mr. Ryan? A. Yes. 11 11 Were you aware in or about May of A. 12 12 2014 that Mr. Donovan had asserted ethics -- a Q. Were you aware of the fact that the 13 13 meeting minutes for the April 9th meeting were complaint to the State Ethics Commission 14 14 regarding activities by Mr. Ryan and Mr. destroyed? 15 15 Shaughnessy? MR. SIMMS: You're making that 16 16 as an affirmative representation. MR. SIMMS: Objection. Beyond 17 17 MR. FEE: I'm sorry, that was the scope. 18 18 a poorly phrased question. I'll get back MR. FEE: It's most definitely 19 19 to you on that issue. within the scope. 20 20 Do you know who Deb Reddick is? Q. 21 21 A. Yes. Q. You have no knowledge of that? 22 22 Q. Who is she? A. 23 23 A. She was the stenographer that we MR. FEE: Okay. Could I have 24 24 used for our meetings. this marked as Exhibit 46? 47 49 1 1 To your knowledge, did Deb Reddick (Exhibit 46, Regular Business Meeting 2 do a competent job in recording the meetings? 2 Minutes for May 14, 2104, marked for 3 3 A. Yes. identification) 4 4 (By Mr. Fee) Sir, I'm showing you 0. And were there -- are you aware of 5 5 any time in which she was unable to record the Exhibit 46. It appears to be the Regular 6 6 minutes of the meeting? Business Meeting Minutes for the Norwood Airport 7 7 Commission on May 14, 2014. There was one instance, but the 8 I have 2013. 8 details of it just -- I don't recall them, where 9 9 there was some difficulty. I don't recall I'll represent to you that I 10 10 believe this to be a typographical error on the whether it was with a recording device that she 11 11 used or whatever. top. And the reason that I believe that is 12 12 based on a variety of entries in the document Do you recall if it was the April Q. 13 13 9th meeting? itself which identify 2014 as being the 14 14 operative time frame. But for purposes of our I don't. A. 15 15 To your knowledge, is that the only discussion, I acknowledge that at the top it Q. 16 16 time that there was difficulty? says '13. I would -- I'm going to pose my 17 17 Again, I recall -- I remember there questions to you based on the assumption that 18 18 was a difficulty with one of the meeting that is a typographical error. 19 19 minutes, and I think it had to do with the MR. SIMMS: I believe it is a 20 20 recording device that she used. But that's -- I typographical error. 21 21 just don't have any details on that, as to date. MR. FEE: Okay. 22 22 MR. FEE: Could I have this All right. So we discussed a 23 23 marked as Exhibit 45? minute ago the April meeting in which the vote 24 24 (Exhibit 45, Letter from Christopher was taken to extend the leases of FlightLevel.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Pages 50 to 53)

52

53

50

1 I'd like to turn your attention to page 2 of 2 this letter -- of these minutes, and at the top 3 the category of discussion is described as BEH 4 Lease/FBO Interest, Joshua Fox, Esquire. Do you

recall being at this meeting in May of 2014?

A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. You're listed as an attendee. Does that refresh your recollection?

I mean, if I'm listed as an attendee, then I was in attendance. Do I specifically remember being at the meeting, no. Is this an actual copy of the meeting with no header as to who attended?

Q. It says at the top, in attendance.

A. I see. Okay.

It says, "Commissioner Wynne Q.

welcomed and introduced the new members of the NAC." So from that, I'm drawing the conclusion that you were, in fact, there.

> A. Okay.

So the fourth full paragraph down, Q. it says, "Mr. Fox would like a copy of the

recording of the April 9, 2014 NAC meeting and again reiterated that on behalf of BEH, he would

MR. SIMMS: Note my objection. Go ahead.

Okay. I was always pretty much of the opinion that BEH was interested in self fueling. At what point that changed to FBO and so on and so forth, I don't recall. The number 6,889 square feet was basically a number we had come up with, the commissioners, which pretty much replicated the space that they would have had, had they been able to fuel on the apron of their new hangar.

Q. Okay. But you knew, did you not, at that time, that the 6,889 was not sufficient to conduct a safe FBO operation?

No, I did not. That's not my recollection at all.

You didn't know that? Q.

A. No.

Well, you testified earlier that Q. you thought 15,000 square feet was not a safe amount of square footage to conduct an FBO operation, right?

A. Yes.

Okay. So why is this different? Q.

51

1 like to accept the 6,889 square foot proposal." 2

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

And was it your understanding that Q. there was an outstanding proposal to BEH in May of 2014?

A. There was a proposal on the west ramp. I can't certify to the dates, but --

Okay. And that was for a portion of the west ramp, correct?

Correct. A.

And the title of this heading says BEH Lease/FBO Interest, right?

A. Yes.

So you were aware of the fact at that time, were you not, that BEH desired to conduct FBO operations, right?

A. Yes.

Okay. And is there some reason -what reason -- as you sit here today, what reason or rationale do you have for the offering of 6,889 square feet of space?

My recollection of my rationale at the time was --

A. Better location, corner lot.

Q. So the location of the lot makes a difference?

Yes. The maneuverability of an aircraft just lends itself to -- planes were being fueled there, even at that point.

Okay. Just so I understand your testimony, was it your understanding that in May of 2014 when the Commission offered 6,889 square feet of space, that that was sufficient to conduct a safe FBO operation, yes or no?

MR. SIMMS: Well, you can't instruct him yes or no, but you can answer the question.

If you can answer it yes or no, that would be great. If you can't, feel free to expound.

A. Yes.

The sixth paragraph of these minutes say, "Mr. Shaughnessy commented that he would like to see from BEH a balance sheet, an income state and a cash flow analysis. Mr. Sheehan also proposed from BEH: business plan, cash flow analysis, market analysis, 12 months

Springfield 413.732.1157 REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 54 to 57)

of bank statements, profit/loss statement,

² feasibility study, 36-month projection,

micro/macro, competitor's analysis, as well as a
 personal financial statement from principles

behind BEH, because the NAC is looking for every reassurance they can get from an incoming

vendor." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

Q. Was it your understanding that it was the position of the Commission at that time that in order for BEH to get an FBO, they would have to provide all of that financial information?

A. Yes.

Q. And was that in addition to the minimum standards that are contained in the regulations of the airport?

A. I think they're pretty much in line with the airport regulations.

Q. You think -- do you think it's more or less than the minimum standards?

A. I don't know. I'd have to sit down and compare them. I'm not sure.

Q. Okay. And did you ask FlightLevel

Q. Your experience as a businessman, you testified earlier, was something that you brought to your role as a commissioner, is that fair to say?

A. Yes.

Q. You utilized your experience and acumen working in private business to try and apply those skills to the operations of the airport, correct?

A. Correct.

MR. FEE: Could I have this marked as Exhibit 47? (Exhibit 47, Executive Session Meeting Minutes for May 14, 2014, marked for identification)

Q. (By Mr. Fee) I'm skipping down now, and this is the Minutes of the Executive Session from May 14. And just to orient you, we just talked about the Regular Business Meeting, and now this is the Executive Session Minutes. Skipping down to the middle, it says, "Boston Executive Helicopter Refusal of Lease Offer." And the paragraph says, "On a motion by Mr.

Odstrchel and seconded by Mr. Ryan, the

for any of this information in connection with the decision to extend their long-term leases just one month before?

A. No.

Q. Why not?

A. We have experience with

FlightLevel. I'm a businessman, you know, you do business with people, you have a -- you make a judgment as to whether or not they are people you'd like to continue to do business with, or if there's a problem, to stop doing business with them, or something in between those two extremes.

Q. And did you feel that you had the discretion to make those kinds of character judgments with respect to the people that you were doing business with?

A. Yes. Well, I did. I don't know about the rest of the members.

Q. And you exercised that discretion by requiring a certain level of financial disclosure from BEH and not requiring it from

FlightLevel, is that fair to say?

A. Yes.

Commission voted eight to zero to withhold

making a decision to re-offer a lease of 6,889

square feet of the West Apron until a scoping
 plan has been completed and reviewd by the

Commission. At such time, it is proposed to
 offer an undetermined number of years lease

offer an undetermined number of years lease,
 based on a new RFP (Request for Proposal)."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

Q. So just so I understand, in the Executive -- I'm sorry, in the Regular Meeting Minutes there are references to Mr. Fox accepting the offer for 6,889 square feet, right? And then, in the Executive Minutes there's a motion to withdraw that offer. Is that what happened?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Do you recall any of the discussion regarding withdrawing the offer to lease space to BEH?

A. No.

Q. What is a scoping plan?

A. They wanted to, basically, as we say in real estate, find out the highest and

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 58 to 61)

58 60 1 1 for the next five years." Did I read that best use for the west ramp. 2 2 Okay. This is -- why wouldn't you correctly? 3 3 do a scoping plan before you had offered that I'm sorry, I missed that. Where A. 4 4 lease initially to BEH? were you? 5 5 MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go Q. Top of page 2. "Regarding question 6 6 number 1, The Norwood Airport Commission has ahead. 7 7 advised me to pass along that, as far as the NAC A. I don't know. 8 8 Q. Why did the Commission change its members are concerned, Lots A, B and C are under 9 9 lease for the next five years." Did I read that mind? 10 10 A. I don't recall. correctly? 11 11 Was it something that BEH said or A. Okay, yes. 12 12 did to the Commission that caused them to So was it your understanding that Q. 13 13 reconsider their prior offer? on May 3, 2014 there was a lease or there wasn't 14 14 a lease with FlightLevel for Lots A B and C? Nothing that I can recall. 15 15 And as you sit here today, can you My recollection is that it was Q. 16 16 think of any reason why the Airport Commission still under consideration by the FAA. 17 would rescind an offer to lease space to the BEH 17 Okay. So when Mr. -- but you will 18 18 after it had previously extended that offer and agree with me that at the March 12 meeting you 19 19 voted to extend those leases to FlightLevel, had been accepted by BEH's counsel? 20 20 correct? MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go 21 21 ahead. A. With the conditions of approval. 22 22 I can't recall any reason. Right. And so when Mr. Maguire 23 23 MR. FEE: Could I have this responds to Mr. Donovan on May 5 saying that 24 24 marked as Exhibit 48? Lots A, B and C have been released to 59 61 1 (Exhibit 48, E-mail Chain between FlightLevel, and he says no, is that, in your 2 Christopher Donovan and Russ Maguire, 2 mind, an accurate response? 3 3 beginning on May 3, 2014, marked for A. Yes. 4 4 identification) Do you consider that the type of Q. 5 5 MR. FEE: Is this a good time forthcoming, transparent communication that 6 6 to take a break? should be expected from a public official? 7 7 (A recess was taken) MR. SIMMS: Objection to the 8 8 MR. FEE: Back on the record. form. You can answer. 9 9 No. What is --(By Mr. Fee) I'm showing you a A. 10 10 document that has been marked as Exhibit 48. It Is the answer no? Q. 11 11 No. I'm saying, what is your appears to be an e-mail chain between Mr. 12 Maguire and Mr. Donovan, which begins on May 3, 12 question directed to? 13 13 2014. And Mr. Donovan asks Mr. Maguire the My question is directed to you. 14 14 And my question is, would you consider Mr. question, Number 1, "Has the lease for Lots A, B 15 15 and C been released to FlightLevel?" And in the Maguire's response to Mr. Donovan of May 5, at 16 16 answer down below Mr. Maguire says, "No." Did I 10:12 a.m., the type of transparent and 17 17 read that correctly? forthcoming communication you would expect from 18 18 A. Yes. a public official? 19 19 Okay. And then turning the page, MR. SIMMS: Same objection. 20 20 there's a subsequent e-mail from Mr. Maguire to You can answer. 21 21 Mr. Donovan saying, "Regarding question number Yes, I answered the question. 22 22 1, the Norwood Airport Commission has advised me So skipping now to the third page, 23 23 to pass along that, as far as the NAC members again from Mr. Maguire to Mr. Donovan, he says, 24 24 are concerned, Lots A, B and C are under lease "However, at its March 12 meeting, at the

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

62

(Pages 62 to 65)

64

65

request of FlightLevel, the NAC did vote to

2 approve an additional five-year lease to

3 FlightLevel for Lots A, B, C. According to NAC

4 Chairman, Tom Wynne, and to help provide 5 clarity, for all intents and purposes, Lots A,

B, C has been re-leased to FlightLevel." Did I 6 7

read that correctly?

I'm sorry, I lost you. Which paragraph?

The top of Page 3. Q.

Okay. A.

> Q. Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. Okay. So fair to say that at this point in time, FlightLevel has leased

16 approximately 600,000 square feet at the 17

Airport, correct?

No. A.

No? How much? Q.

It would be my understanding that

-- you know, I'd have to see more records to indicate when it was approved by the FAA.

Would it be fair to say, at this

point in time, FlightLevel leases approximately

(By Mr. Fee) Exhibit 49 is a

2 two-page e-mail and I'm going to start on page 2 3 and work our way back. On the second page of

4 this e-mail, it appears to be an e-mail from Mr.

5 Maguire to Mr. Donovan, dated April 30, 2014, in

6 which there's a description of some of the 7

information that Mr. Maguire has requested in 8 terms of financial disclosure on BEH's business

plan; do you see that?

A. Yes.

And then Mr. Donovan responds by e-mail dated May 1, 2014, with a narrative describing what he's going to do to provide a business plan; do you see that?

No. What page? A.

On page 1. It says, "I'm working to provide a detailed business plan that will contain," et cetera, et cetera, et cetera; do you see that?

> A. Yes.

Q. So was it your understanding that, at this point in time, the Commission was engaged in active discussions with BEH regarding financial disclosure toward the approval of an

63

ninety percent of the space at the Airport?

A. I don't know.

MR. SIMMS: By the way, Exhibit 48 is the same as Exhibit 9.

MR. FEE: Slightly different. MR. SIMMS: Slightly different

versions?

MR. FEE: No. Exhibit 9 is one page. Exhibit 48, I think, has additional.

MR. SIMMS: Right. Okay. MR. FEE: I could have done

that differently.

MR. SIMMS: That's fine.

MR. FEE: Just give me one minute, please. Moving on. I think I've asked you all I'm going to ask you about Exhibit 48.

19 Could I have this marked as 20

Exhibit 49?

21 (Exhibit 49, E-mail, two pages, between 2.2

Russ Maguire and Christopher Donovan,

23 beginning April 30, 2014, marked for 24 identification)

1 FBO? 2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes. A.

And would you describe Mr.

4 Maguire's e-mail, which is on the second page of 5 Exhibit 49, as being a basic summary of some of

the financial information that was being

7 requested?

A. What was your question again on

that?

Sure. Is it your understanding that Mr. Maguire's e-mail on the second page of Exhibit 49 sets forth, in summary fashion, the categories of financial information that were

being requested?

A.

I'm showing you now Exhibit 13 to Mr. LeBlanc's deposition. Have you ever seen that before?

I don't recall seeing this document A. before.

For the record, Exhibit 13 to the O. LeBlanc deposition is a document entitled Boston Executive Helicopters Business Plan, dated July

9, 2014. Does that refresh your recollection as

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 66 to 69)

68 66 1 1 made as a commissioner; is that correct? to when --2 2 A. Okay, I have seen this. 3 3 Okay. And there were several Did you feel that, in exercising O. O. 4 4 iterations of Boston Executive Helicopters' that discretion, you were allowed to request 5 5 business plan that were submitted to the NAC, from FBO applicants, information that wasn't 6 6 necessarily -- that was over and above that were there not? 7 7 A. Yes. which might have been set forth in the minimum 8 8 Q. Okay. And do you know if this was standards? 9 9 the final version? MR. SIMMS: Can you read that 10 10 A. I don't know. back, please? 11 11 MR. FEE: I can restate it, if At some point, did the Norwood 12 12 Airport Commission become satisfied with the you want. 13 amount of financial disclosure and business plan 13 (By Mr. Fee) You stated earlier 14 14 disclosure that BEH made in connection with the that you brought your acumen and understanding 15 15 FBO permit application? as a businessman to the decisions that you made 16 16 I don't know. I think I was off as a commissioner, correct? 17 17 the Commission shortly after this document. A. Mm-hmm. 18 18 Okay. But as far as you know, at And my question is, did you believe 19 19 the time that you cycled off the Commission, the that you were -- in exercising the discretion 20 20 Commission had not approved an FBO permit for that you had as a commissioner, were you 21 21 BEH? entitled to require financial disclosure over 22 22 A. Correct. and above the minimum standards set forth in the 23 23 Q. Okay. And notwithstanding the Norwood Memorial Airport regulations? 2.4 24 provision of this detailed document that has If I had a question that I felt I 67 69 1 been marked as Exhibit 3 to the LeBlanc needed more information, yes. 2 deposition, do you recall what other outstanding 2 And that's what you did in this 3 3 items were being required from BEH at the time? case, correct,? 4 4 A. Again, I'd have to go back into the 5 5 At the time that you reviewed the record and refresh my memory. I mean, this has 6 6 BEH business plan, did you consider it to be been going on for a number of years. 7 7 complete? I understand. Can you tell me what 8 8 criteria you used to determine whether to A. I don't recall. 9 9 require additional information over and above Q. Do you recall if there were any 10 10 questions in your mind as to whether or not that which is required in the minimum standards? 11 11 additional financial disclosure was going to be MR. SIMMS: Objection. Beyond 12 12 the scope. Go ahead. necessary? 13 13 A. I don't recall. To answer your question, no. 14 14 You know that at some point, BEH Do you know if the financial Q. 15 15 disclosure that was being requested was in filed a Part 13 Complaint, right? 16 16 addition to the minimum standards promulgated by Yes. A. 17 the Norwood Memorial Airport regulations? 17 Do you know what a Part 13 Q. 18 18 MR. SIMMS: Again, beyond the Complaint is? 19 19 scope, but go ahead. A. 20 20 Do you know when a Part 13 A. I'd have to sit down and compare Q. Complaint was filed? 21 21 both of them to give you an answer on that. 22 22 You said earlier that you thought Specifically, no. A. 23 23 I'm going to show you what has been that your experience and acumen as a business 24 person informed some of the decisions that you 24 marked as Exhibit 10 to the LeBlanc deposition

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 70 to 73)

and ask you if that refreshes your recollection as to when BEH filed a Part 13 Complaint?

- A. Yes, I remember this, but I don't remember the details, the particulars.
- Q. My question is whether the date of Exhibit 10 to the LeBlanc deposition, June 5, 2014, refreshes your recollection as to when BEH filed a Part 13 Complaint?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Was it in or about June of 2014?
 - A. Whatever this paper says.
- Q. But you were still on the board at that time, right?
 - A. As far as I know I was.
- Q. And what was your reaction to the filing of the Part 13 Complaint?
 - A. Well, certainly, it's not a pleasant letter to get, but this is something we need to have town counsel look at.
 - Q. Okay. And did you read the Part 13 Complaint?
- A. I'm sure I did.
- Q. Do you know what actions, if any, the board took in response to the Part 13

other. We weren't going to deal with both of them simultaneously. It made more sense to table the FBO application and get this thing cleared up.

Q. So you thought that during the pendency of the Part 13 Complaint it was appropriate for you to suspend consideration of the FBO application?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you now Exhibit 12, which is a letter dated July 10, 2014, which appears to be the Norwood Airport Commission's response to the FBO Complaint and it does list you as chairman at the top, and I just direct your attention to -- I'm going to show you the document and then direct your attention to page 13. I apologize, I'm just going to reach over and direct your attention to part of the document here. Since I can't read upside down, would you mind reading that paragraph?
- A. I'm not sure which paragraph you're referring to.
 - Q. Here.

- Complaint?
 - A. I don't, off the top of my head,
- 3 no.4
 - Q. Okay. Well, let me show you Exhibit 11 to the LeBlanc deposition, which is the meeting minutes of the Airport Commission on June 11, 2014, and I will direct your attention
- to the bottom paragraph on the first page.
 A. What is your question?
 - Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to what action the board took in response to the filing of the Part 13 Complaint?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Would you agree with me that on June 11, 2014 the board voted to table the FBO application of BEH?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And was the decision to table the application, the FBO application of BEH, related to the filing of the Part 13 Complaint?
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. And why?
 - A. Well, we had a complaint in hand
- from BEH. We could only deal with one or the

- A. "At the June 11, 2014 meeting, the
 NAC voted to table further discussion of the BEH
 Lease/FBO Interest, in light of BEH's Part 13
 Complaint filing See attachment 5."
 - Complaint filing. See attachment 5."

 Q. So this is consistent with your
 - testimony that the actions of the board in tabling the FBO permit consideration were directly attributed to the filing of the Part 13 Complaint?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, at some point, did the board think about untabling the discussion of the FBO permit?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - MR. FEE: Could I have this marked as Exhibit 50? (Exhibit 50, Regular Business Meeting Minutes for July 30, 2014, marked for identification)
 - Q. (By Mr. Fee) Exhibit 50 appears to be the Regular Business Meeting Minutes for the Norwood Airport Commission on July 30, 2014. I note that you were identified as a commissioner in attendance. And directing your attention to

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 74 to 77)

74 76 1 the last paragraph, it states, "After a lengthy 1 with, and hopefully dismiss. 2 2 discussion between Mr. Hues, Mr. Ryan and Mr. K. Q. At some point, was the Part 13 3 3 Shaughnessy regarding the motion to untable the withdrawn? 4 4 discussion of BEH as a Fixed Base Operator, A. I understand it was. 5 5 there was a motion by Mr. Hues to untable the Were you on the board then? 6 6 discussion of BEH as a Fixed Base Operator and I don't recall. A. 7 7 seconded by P. Shaughnessy. The Commission Q. Okay. But if BEH had withdrawn the 8 8 voted six to two against untabling the Part 13 Complaint, you would have been amenable 9 9 discussion." to reviewing again the FBO permit, is that fair 10 10 Did I read that correctly? to say? 11 11 Yes. A. A. Yes. 12 12 Do you recall being at this Q. After you ceased to be a 13 13 meeting, or participating in this discussion? commissioner, did you continue to be in any way 14 If my name is on the attendance, I 14 involved with the airport? 15 15 was there, yes. A. No. 16 I understand. But do you recall 16 You said earlier that you thought Q. Q. 17 17 participating in the discussion? it was somewhere around the end of 2014 that you 18 18 cycled off; is that fair to say? 19 19 A. I'm pretty sure it was October. I Q. Do you recall that Mr. Hues was a 20 20 champion of BEH? have it in my notes at home. 21 I don't know if I'd call him a 21 A. Okay. Anything else you can recall 22 22 about the interactions between the NAC and BEH champion, but --23 23 Q. Supporter? during your term? 2.4 24 Supporter. A. MR. SIMMS: That's a pretty 75 77 1 1 broad question. Note my objection to Okay. And Mr. Shaughnessy, would 2 you describe him as a supporter of BEH? 2 form. Go ahead. 3 3 No, I'd describe him as an Airport No. 4 4 Commissioner. Well, what was your predilection 5 5 with respect to BEH's request for permitting Okay. In connection with this 6 6 discussion -- and I'm talking about Mr. P. relief? Did you consider them to be a viable 7 7 Shaughnessy now when I asked you if you thought candidate for an FBO permit? 8 8 that he was a supporter of BEH? Up to the point where we had 9 9 progressed to the date, let's say, of the Part A. I don't know. 10 10 Okay. Do you recall anything at 13 Complaint. 11 11 all about this discussion to table -- to untable So once they filed a Part 13 12 12 Complaint, you weren't interested? the FBO permit decision? 13 13 No. Right. A. A. 14 14 Okay. Do you know, at some point, Q. Was it your position at that time 15 15 that as long as the Part 13 Complaint was that Boston Executive Helicopters filed a 16 16 pending you were not going to take up the FBO? lawsuit seeking public records? 17 That would be my position, yes. 17 A. 18 18 Q. And what was -- just so I 0. Okay. And do you know that that 19 understand, what was the basis for that 19 suit was filed in or about November of 2014? 20 20 I knew it was filed. I don't have position? A. 21 21 A. Again, we had two situations that, the date. 22 22 at least in my mind, were situations that didn't Q. Were you on the board at the time? 23 23 What's the date? make sense to deal with concurrently, and the A. 24 Part 13 was something that we wanted to deal 24 November 24, 2014.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

78

(Pages 78 to 81)

80

81

1 A. That's right on the line. I don't 2 know. 3 Okay. Do you have a recollection Q. 4 of being informed about this, in your capacity 5

as a commissioner? A. No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Q. What were your thoughts about the board being sued for a public records request? MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go ahead. And beyond the scope. Go ahead. MR. FEE: It's well within the scope.

My thoughts would have been, you know, a public records request, given the makeup of the Norwood Airport, was a burden. There were two people down there with a full load of duties for the working week, so it was -- it took an extra effort, if you will, to comply.

Okav.

MR. SIMMS: I stand corrected. Michael. I believe Michael is correct. It is within the scope of what Judge Stearns has said was a viable part of the First Amendment Complaint.

1 Q. It appears to be two e-mails, one 2 from -- I'm sorry, three e-mails, the first of 3 which begins at the end, which is Brandon Moss' 4 letter to Tim McCulloch regarding the public 5 records request, and then Brandon's response --6 I'm sorry, Oulton Hues' response on November 14, 7 2014. That's the e-mail that begins on page 1 and takes up the majority of page 2. And then there's Mr. Sheehan's response that's on the 10 very first part of page 1, okay, and that's the 11 part I want to focus on. 12

Mr. Sheehan writes, "I find your --"Brandon, I find your response to the Public Request by Mr. Donovan and Mr. Hues most appropriate. I fully agree with your sentiment that this is a form of harassment that dedicated public officials certainly do not deserve. It is a continuation that began with the false charges against the honorable Tom Wynne."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

Do you share Mr. Sheehan's assessment that a public records request is a form of harassment?

79

MR. FEE: Could I have this marked as Exhibit 51? (Exhibit 51, E-mail from Michael Sheehan to Oulton Hues, dated November 15, 2014, marked for identification)

(By Mr. Fee) I'm going to show you -- I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 51. It appears to be an e-mail dated November 15, 2014, and it's from Michael

10 Sheehan, who is an Airport Commissioner, 11

correct?

A.

To Oulton Hues. And there are many Q. cc's. Do you see that?

Yes. A.

And you're one of those cc's, are Q. you not?

A. Yes.

Okay. And do you recall seeing this e-mail before?

Let me read it. A.

Q.

A. I'm on the cc'd list, but I frankly

24 don't recall it. 1 A. No.

> Okay. Did you think that, at this time, that Boston Executive Helicopters' public records request was unduly burdensome?

> > A. Yes.

Q. Did you think it wasn't warranted? MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go ahead.

I don't know. A.

Did you think that it was not -did you think that it was illegal?

> A. No.

The last sentence of that first Q. paragraph says, "It is a continuation that began with the false charges against the honorable Tom Wynne." Do you know what he's talking about?

A. Yes.

O. What is it?

It's a separate matter. I don't know if it's even appropriate for this meeting.

I can ask you about it. Your lawyer can instruct you not to answer, but I can ask you specific questions about that altercation, if you want. I'm just giving you

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 82 to 85)

an opportunity to give me an overview, if you'd like.

MR. SIMMS: Do you mind if I take a moment with Tom?

MR. FEE: Not at all. And, again, I'm not going to dwell on that. I'm just asking you what that language is about.

(A recess was taken)

MR. FEE: Back on the record.

Q. (By Mr. Fee) Where we left off was, I asked you what Mr. Sheehan was referring to when he said this is a continuation that began with false charges against the honorable Tom Wynne. Do you know what that refers to?

A. Yes.

2.2

Q. What is it?

A. Things were getting out of hand with Mr. Hues. I had received complaints from the airport manager about his conduct. And this is the first time I had come up against a situation like this. I had served on other boards in the town and I've never run into a situation where one member was put in a position

incident.

Q. How are you aware of it?

A. It was -- I forget who told me, but basically he just acted in an unpleasant way at either one of the executive sessions or one of the regular sessions of the Norwood Airport Commission.

Q. Again, I don't want to dwell on this, but the conduct that you were concerned about and the reason that you called the meeting was what, what was he doing?

A. There were several instances of -this is not all of them, but, you know, parking
his car in the middle of the taxiway and
somebody asked him to move and he said no, you
don't have the authority to tell me to move, and
I'm an airport commissioner. And a couple of
the tenants there were complaining about him
poking his nose into their business. And I
think -- things that -- I don't know, kind of
throwing his weight around, and it was just
making life unpleasant for the two workers at
the airport.

Q. And as chairman, you thought it was

of having to address another member's conduct.

But in any event, I arranged a meeting between

³ Mr. Hues and myself at the Town Hall, and I had

Mark Ryan there as well to address the problems.

5 During the course of the meeting, Mr. Hues

6 passed some papers over to me which were

⁷ irrelevant to what we were discussing, and I

8 flipped them back at him. Well, based on that

⁹ flip, Mr. Hues brought an assault and battery

complaint against me. And this ended up in

Norfolk District Court. And I had to hire an

attorney, and at that session, basically, I

apologized, with reservations for any ill

feeling between Mr. Hues and myself.

Q. As a result of that, was Mr. Hues

removed from the Commission?

A. No. He was removed from the Commission, but not as a result of that.

Q. Something else that he did, another incident?

21 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Were you present at the other incident?

A. No. But I'm aware of the other

appropriate for you to speak to him about that; is that fair to say?

A. Yes, with reservations. It was the first time that I have ever been put in a position on a board or on a committee and having to address another member's conduct. It wasn't something that I was looking forward to. And I was trying to think my way through it. That's one of the reasons I asked Mark Ryan to sit in was so that we'd have a witness to this thing. But it was nothing that I really looked fondly on.

Q. Okay. In the second paragraph in this e-mail from Mr. Sheehan, he states, "I was encouraged when Mr. Donovan withdrew his Part 13 Complaint and was hoping a sensible solution could have been reached regarding BEH. It now appears through the absurd public records request, Mr. P. Shaughnessy's utterly ridiculous letter to the editor, and Mr. Hues' most disingenuous comments, that Mr. Donovan and his surrogates, Messrs. Shaughnessy and Hues, simply want to continue in an acrimonious relationship." Did I read that correctly?

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 86 to 89)

86 88 1 1 A. Yes. Okay. Do you know if you were on 2 2 O. Did you believe that the public the board in March of 2015? 3 3 records request was absurd? I don't think so. 4 4 I wouldn't use the word absurd. MR. FEE: Could I have this 5 5 What word would you use? marked as Exhibit 52? 6 6 MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go (Exhibit 52, Letter from Timothy McCulloch 7 ahead. to Brandon Moss, dated March 27, 2015, 8 8 marked for identification) Burdensome. 9 9 MR. SIMMS: You've answered (By Mr. Fee) Exhibit 52 appears to 10 the question. 10 be a letter from Mr. McCulloch to Mr. Moss, 11 11 Burdensome. dated March 27, 2015. Have you ever seen this 12 12 Q. Okay. Did you believe that before? 13 13 Mr. Donovan was getting access to all of the No. I haven't seen this before. 14 14 public records that he had a right to access? MR. FEE: Give me two seconds, 15 15 A. Yes. please. 16 16 And you felt that his bringing of a (A recess was taken) Q. 17 17 public records request was -- for documents that MR. FEE: Back on the record. 18 18 he had already been provided? I have no further questions. 19 19 I believe so. MR. SIMMS: Give me one A. 20 20 Okay. And --Q. second, please. 21 21 A. Some of the documents had been **EXAMINATION BY MR. SIMMS:** 22 22 previously provided. Q. Let me show you, Mr. Wynne, what 23 23 Did you take any action with was marked as Exhibit 11. Those are the Regular 24 24 Business Meeting Minutes from June 11, 2014. respect to the filing of the public records 87 89 1 request lawsuit? Michael asked you some questions about the last 2 No. 2 entry on page 1. Do you recall testifying about A. 3 3 Did you continue to not consider that topic earlier today? 4 4 the FBO permit request as a result of the filing A. Yes. 5 5 of a public records request? And I believe you said that you 6 6 Say that again. didn't -- in your view, in your opinion, it A. 7 7 didn't make sense for the Commission to deal It was poorly phrased, I'm sorry. 8 8 Did you consider the filing of a public records with both the Complaint BEH had filed with the 9 9 request to be a continuing pattern of Boston FAA on or about June 14, and BEH's application 10 10 Executive Helicopters' behavior towards the for an FBO license simultaneously; do you recall 11 11 board? giving that testimony? 12 12 MR. SIMMS: Objection. Go Yes. A. 13 13 ahead. And why did you think it was not Q. 14 14 appropriate to deal with both of those matters A. No. 15 15 So you didn't believe that Boston at the same time? 16 16 Executive Helicopters was acting inappropriately Well, as far as the Complaint is 17 by filing the public records request, did you? 17 concerned, a Complaint of that nature is 18 18 A. No. significant, to say the least. And my business 19 19 You just thought it was annoying? background and business thinking, what have you, Q. 20 20 kind of tells me to get this thing out of the A. 21 21 0. And the reason that it was annoying way and then deal with the application for a 22 2.2 is because there was limited staff to handle the FBO. I guess maybe one of the other 23 23 considerations is, we wanted to know what the request? 24 Yes. 24 FAA thought. It could well come back and tell A.

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 90 to 93)

90 92 1 1 us to do any number of things. 13 Complaint was pending with the FAA, you -- it 2 2 At least, from your perspective, was prudent and good business not to take any 3 3 your own point of view, whatever the nature of action on any permitting requests from BEH? 4 4 the motion that was tabled by the Commission on MR. SIMMS: With respect to 5 5 June 11, 2014, was that in retaliation for BEH the FBO? 6 6 filing its Part 13 -- or excuse me, its Part 14 With respect to the FBO? Q. 7 7 complaint with the FAA? A. Yes. 8 8 MR. FEE: Objection. Go MR. FEE: Okay. I have no 9 9 ahead. further questions. 10 10 The action on my part, and my MR. SIMMS: Nothing further. 11 11 thinking, was not retaliation. To me, it was MR. FEE: Thank you very much 12 12 just good business procedure. for your time. It was a pleasure to meet 13 MR. SIMMS: I have no further 13 14 14 questions. (Deposition concluded) 15 15 MR. FEE: I have no follow-up. 16 16 Hang on. I'm sorry, I do have another 17 17 question. 18 18 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. FEE: 19 19 Q. Exhibit 12 to the LeBlanc 20 20 deposition is a letter from the Airport 21 21 Commission to the FAA dated July 10, 2014. Do 22 22 you know who prepared that document? 23 23 My recollection would be that this 24 2.4 was prepared by our counsel. 91 93 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Counsel. And who signed it? Q. DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 2 A. I did. I, RAYMOND F. CATUOGNO, JR., a Notary 3 Did you read it before you signed Q. Public in and for the Commonwealth of 4 it? Massachusetts, do hereby certify that there came before me on April 28, 2017, at the law offices 5 A. I'm sure I did. of Pierce Mandell, P.C., 11 Beacon Street, 6 And did you consider -- did you Boston, Massachusetts, the following named person, to wit: THOMAS WYNNE, who was by me 7 participate in any kind of investigation of duly sworn to testify to the truth and nothing 8 facts before you signed this document and but the truth as to his knowledge touching and concerning the matters in controversy in this 9 forwarded it to the FAA? cause; that he was thereupon examined upon his oath and said examination reduced to writing by 10 A. I don't recall. me; and that the statement is a true record of 11 Did you consider it to be a the testimony given by the witness, to the best of my knowledge and ability. 12 definitive response from the Airport Commission to the allegations that were in the Part 13 13 I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of counsel/attorney for any of the 14 Complaint? parties, nor a relative or employee of such 15 parties, nor am I financially interested in the A. I'm sure I would have at the time. outcome of the action. 16 Okay. And if it was a definitive WITNESS MY HAND May 20, 2017. 17 response, can you tell me why you considered it 18 to be prudent to continue to forbear 19 consideration of the FBO permit after the Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr. 20 Norwood Airport Commission had responded? Notary Public 21 A. Well, this is a response. Now we My Commission expires: 2.2 needed a response from the FAA. February 12, 2021 23 Just so I understand your 24 testimony, you thought that as long as the Part

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 94 to 95)

		(rages 94 to .	
	94		
	24		
May 22, 2017			
Adam Simms, Esq.			
PIERCE, DAVIS & PERRITANO, LLP			
10 Post Office Square, Suite 1100N			
Boston, MA 02109			
Re: Boston Executive Helicopters, LLC			
v. Francis T. Maguire, et al			
Dear Counselor:			
Enclosed is a copy of the deposition of			
THOMAS WYNNE taken on April 28, 2017 in the			
above-entitled action.			
According to Rule 30(e) of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, the			
deponent has thirty days to sign the deposition			
from the date of its submission to the deponent,			
which is the above date.			
Please have the deponent sign the enclosed			
Signature Page/Errata Sheet and return it to the			
offices of:			
Michael C. Fee, Esq. PIERCE MANDELL, P.C.			
11 Beacon Street, Suite 800			
Boston, MA 02108			
Whereupon it will be attached to the			
original deposition transcript, and a copy			
thereof to all counsel of record.			
Thank you for your cooperation in this			
matter.			
Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr.			
·			
cc: Michael C. Fee, Esq.			
	٥٦		
	95		
	95		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	95		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC,	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v.	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff,	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v.	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL.,	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections:	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2017.	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2017. THOMAS WYNNE cc: Michael C. Fee, Esq.	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2017.	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2017. THOMAS WYNNE cc: Michael C. Fee, Esq.	95		
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS C.A. No. 1:15-CV-13647-RGS BOSTON EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS, LLC, Plaintiff, v. FRANCIS T. MAGUIRE, ET AL., Defendants. I, THOMAS WYNNE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2017. THOMAS WYNNE cc: Michael C. Fee, Esq.	95		

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

	affirmative 46:16	71:6 72:12 73:22	15:6 16:10,23	assert 21:2 22:13
ability 93:10	afternoon 6:8	75:3 76:14 78:15	applicants 68:5	24:3 32:17
able 52:10	agency 20:17	79:10 82:20 84:6	application 25:20	asserted 29:21
aboveentitled 94:9	agenda 3:20 12:2,4	84:17,23 90:20	26:1 36:16,20	48:12
absurd 85:18 86:3	40:23 41:4,8	91:12,20	66:15 71:16,19,19	asserting 48:8
86:4	agendas 15:3	airports 15:14	72:3,8 89:9,21	assessment 80:23
abutting 27:24	ago 49:23	al 1:10 94:5 95:4	apply 56:8	assigned 33:22
accept 51:1	agree 42:14,20	allegations 91:13	appointed 9:14	assignment 35:17
accepted 58:19	43:13 44:1 60:18	allow 6:22	appreciate 34:13	assumed 33:22
accepting 57:13	71:14 80:15	allowed 68:4	34:21	assumption 49:17
access 86:13,14	agreed 5:2,7,12,16	allows 36:23	appropriate 23:18	assurances 15:20
accommodate	ahead 18:16 19:15	allston 8:7	72:7 80:15 81:20	15:23 16:5,10,19
39:17	26:20 30:24 33:13	altercation 81:24	85:1 89:14	17:6,7
accurate 9:13 61:2	40:10 45:18 52:2	alternative 35:15	approval 25:24	attached 94:17
95:7	58:6,21 67:19	amenable 76:8	42:16 60:21 64:24	attachment 73:4
acknowledge 49:15	69:12 77:2 78:10	amendment 78:24	approvals 44:9,10	attendance 2:14
acrimonious 85:23	78:10 81:8 86:7	amount 36:8 52:21	approve 40:18 62:2	50:10,14 73:24
act 24:16	87:13 90:9	66:13	approved 35:17	74:14
acted 43:15 84:4	air 7:11 18:17,20	analysis 53:22,24	62:22 66:20	attended 50:13
acting 87:16	18:23 19:3	53:24 54:3	approximately	attendee 50:7,10
action 23:16 42:22	aircraft 13:1,5,9	annoying 87:19,21	32:19 62:16,24	attention 28:24
71:11 86:23 90:10	25:22 27:20 33:17	answer 6:23,23	april 1:16 3:21,22	30:3 32:15 33:15
92:3 93:13 94:9	33:18 34:10 36:1	18:1 21:23 26:19	4:12 40:24 41:5	38:4 50:1 71:7
actions 15:22 20:16	53:5	30:24 32:7 40:10	42:3,8,11 43:16	72:15,17,18 73:24
70:23 73:6	airline 13:10	44:8 53:13,15	44:4 46:13 47:12	attorney 4:19 6:9
active 64:23	airlines 13:10,11,14	59:16 61:8,10,20	49:23 50:23 63:23	83:12 93:12
activities 48:14	airport 3:15,16,20	67:21 69:13 81:22	64:5 93:4 94:8	attributed 73:8
actual 50:12	9:6,10,15 11:7	answered 61:21	apron 32:17,19,21	authorities 14:24
acumen 56:7 67:23	13:2,3,22 14:3,13	86:9	34:10,11,20 35:4	authority 32:4 33:3
68:14	15:7,15 16:11,13	answers 5:9	35:10,16 36:1	84:16
adam 2:11 94:2	16:24 17:2,11	anthony 8:6	52:10 57:3	available 26:6,23
95:22	18:13 19:8,9,21	apologies 18:20	aprons 33:17,18	aviation 8:20 12:20
addendum 30:15	20:13,23 21:2	apologize 72:17	area 26:5,22 32:20	12:24 33:21,23
addition 54:15	22:3 23:11,24	apologized 83:13	33:10 35:20,24	34:17
67:16 95:8	24:3,8,12,15,21	appear 42:15	36:2	awarded 46:4
additional 11:11,14	24:23 26:11 28:18	appearances 2:1	areas 25:24	awarding 20:20
24:23 26:11 30:16	29:24 33:1,19	appears 10:9 16:4	arranged 83:2	21:16 23:21
62:2 63:10 67:11	34:4,7 36:8 37:4	19:7 20:11 25:15	articulated 46:2	aware 19:18 46:12
69:9	37:18,23 39:3	28:22 38:2 39:1	asimms 2:12	47:4 48:11 51:15
address 83:1,4 85:6	40:23 41:4,12	41:3 42:10,21	asked 63:17 75:7	83:24 84:2
adjourned 12:7	42:15,22 46:5	43:7 48:7 49:5	82:12 84:15 85:9	B
administration	49:6 54:17,19	59:11 64:4 72:12	89:1	
8:14	56:9 58:16 59:22	73:20 79:8 80:1	asking 7:4,5 82:7	b 38:6 43:10 44:5
adopted 22:23	60:6 62:17 63:1	85:18 88:9	asks 59:13	45:16 59:14,24
advised 59:22 60:7	66:12 67:17 68:23	applicable 14:1,22	assault 83:9	60:8,14,24 62:3,6

Springfield REAL TIME COURT REFORMANCE Schedule@realtimereporting.net

				97
bac 18:19,23 19:13	73:3 77:5 89:9	bound 14:19 15:23	cant 25:7 31:19	chestnut 8:8
back 18:14 19:13	belief 33:9 95:7	16:17 22:8,11	36:21 39:20 51:8	choose 12:4
21:8,21 29:22	believe 9:11 34:20	box 35:10	53:12,16 58:22	christopher 2:15
37:14 46:18 59:8	41:7 49:10,11,19	brandon 4:17 80:3	72:19	3:12,15 4:4,10,12
64:3 68:10 69:4	68:18 78:21 86:2	80:13 88:7	cap 23:16	25:11 28:18 47:24
82:10 83:8 88:17	86:12,19 87:15	brandons 80:5	capacity 9:3 15:18	59:2 63:22
89:24	89:5	break 7:8 59:6	16:17 17:1 20:13	circle 35:5
background 8:2	best 42:1 58:1 93:9	briefly 8:15	24:21 78:4	circumstances
12:19 89:19	95:7	brighton 8:8	car 84:14	18:12
balance 53:21	better 5 3:1	bringing 86:16	care 6:14	civil 94:10
bank 54:1	beyond 17:24 21:19	broad 77:1	case 27:6 69:3	clarity 62:5
base 30:20 74:4,6	30:23 43:20 48:16	broker 9:4		clear 7:2,5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			cash 53:22,24	clear 7:2,3 cleared 72:4
based 49:12,17	67:18 69:11 78:10	brought 56:3 68:14	categories 65:13	
57:7 83:8	bid 27:7,16 28:2,2 28:13	83:9	category 50:3	closed 24:14
basic 65:5		built 13:3,5	catuogno 1:23 93:3	college 8:8,10,18
basically 15:13 52:7	bidders 28:8	bullet 20:18,24	93:18 94:21	12:23
57:23 83:12 84:4	bids 31:7,8	23:20	cause 93:8	collinsville 8:7
basis 75:19	bilotta 30:8	burden 78:15	caused 58:12	com 2:6,12
battery 83:9	bishop 16:3	burdensome 81:4	cc 94:23 95:22	come 24:21 27:8
beacon 1:19 2:4	bit 8:2	86:8,11	ccd 79:23	36:4 52:8 82:21
93:5 94:15	board 12:14 17:21	business 4:6,13	ccs 79:14,16	89:24
began 80:18 81:14	17:22 22:17,20	8:14 17:12 40:4	ceased 76:12	comfortable 7:13
82:14	39:16 41:6 43:15	45:8 49:1,6 53:23	certain 36:7 55:21	commented 53:20
beginning 3:13	44:3,15,18,23	55:8,10,11,17	certainly 15:4	comments 85:21
4:10,12 25:12	45:7,15 46:1	56:7,19 64:8,14	70:17 80:17	commercial 25:21
59:3 63:23	70:12,24 71:11,15	64:17 65:23 66:5	certify 51:8 93:4,11	36:11
begins 33:17 59:12	73:6,11 76:5	66:13 67:6,23	95:6	commission 3:15,21
80:3,7	77:22 78:8 85:5	73:17,21 84:19	cetera 64:18,18,18	4:5 9:6,10,15,24
beh 30:22 31:12	87:11 88:2	88:24 89:18,19	chain 3:12 4:9	11:7,13,23 12:13
33:22 34:16,22	boards 15:2 82:23	90:12 92:2	25:10,16 59:1,11	14:10 17:2,11
35:17,20 50:3,24	boch 33:23	businessman 55:7	chairman 11:6,10	18:14 19:8,10,21
51:5,13,16 52:4	booklet 14:15	56:1 68:15	11:21 62:4 72:14	21:12 22:3 23:11
53:21,23 54:5,11	born 8:3,4		84:24	24:8,13,16 27:4
55:22 57:20 58:4	boston 1:7,20 2:5	<u>C</u>	challenge 30:1,21	27:17 28:18 29:24
58:11,17 64:23	2:10,16 6:10,12	c 1:4,18 2:3,5 38:7	32:6	37:5,23 39:4
66:14,21 67:3,6	8:4,8,9,17 12:23	43:11 44:5 45:16	champion 74:20,22	40:24 41:4,13
69:14 70:2,7	18:18,19,23 19:3	59:15,24 60:8,14	change 7:11 58:8	42:22 46:5 48:6
71:16,19,24 73:2	24:22 25:3 26:9	60:24 62:3,6 93:5	95:9	48:13 49:7 53:9
74:4,6,20 75:2,8	28:9,12 30:2	94:14,15,23 95:2	changed 52:5	54:10 57:1,5 58:8
76:7,22 85:17	56:21 65:22 66:4	95:22	changes 95:8	58:12,16 59:22
89:8 90:5 92:3	77:15 81:3 87:9	cab 8:18 12:23	character 55:15	60:6 64:22 66:12
behalf 50:24	87:15 93:5 94:3,5	call 74:21	charges 80:19	66:17,19,20 71:6
behavior 87:10	94:16 95:3	called 13:1,10	81:15 82:14	73:22 74:7 83:16
behs 29:21 36:16	bottom 20:19 33:16	84:10	charter 18:17,20,23	83:18 84:7 89:7
36:20 58:19 64:8	71:8	candidate 77:7	19:3	90:4,21 91:12,20
	•	•	•	

93:19	comply 20:16 23:18	contain 64:18	course 83:5	defendants 1:10 2:8
commissioner	78:18	contained 54:16	court 1:2 6:11	95:5
13:24 15:19 16:17	complying 35:12	context 29:23	83:11 93:1 95:1	definitely 48:18
17:1,10 24:21	computers 8:21,22	contingencies 44:12	cover 18:6	definitive 91:12,16
50:16 56:3 68:1	concept 32:4	continuation 80:18	criteria 69:8	definitive 31.12,10 degree 8:12
68:16,20 73:23	concern 19:18,22	81:14 82:13	currently 6:11	delta 13:12
75:4 76:13 78:5	concerned 59:24	continue 24:16	cycled 66:19 76:18	department 16:5
79:10 84:17	60:8 84:9 89:17	55:10 76:13 85:23	cycled 00.17 70.10	30:9 31:2,6,10,20
commissioners	concerning 93:7	87:3 91:18	D	deponent 6:2 94:11
26:16 33:8 41:16	concerns 29:21	continued 4:1	date 9:12 12:4,5,6,8	94:11,13
41:19,23 46:2	32:23,24	continuing 87:9	12:8,9 23:12 27:2	deposed 6:15
52:8	concluded 92:14	control 20:22 21:2	47:21 70:5 77:9	deposes 6:5
commissions 42:16	conclusion 33:4	22:13 23:23 24:4	77:21,23 94:11,12	deposition 1:15 3:8
72:13	50:18	40:7,15	dated 3:10,11,15,18	5:14,18 10:4,9,18
committee 48:1	concurrently 75:23	controversy 93:7	3:19,21 4:3,5,15	11:4 14:7 15:9
85:5	conditioning 7:11	conversation 7:1	4:17 19:7 20:6,12	16:3 18:5 19:6
common 12:16	conditions 60:21	cooperation 94:19	23:6,9 28:19,23	65:17,22 67:2
commonwealth	conduct 33:5 51:17	copy 50:12,22 94:8	37:23 38:3,21	69:24 70:6 71:5
93:3	52:14,21 53:11	94:17	40:24 41:5 43:5,9	90:20 92:14 94:8
communication	82:20 83:1 84:9	corner 53:1	48:2,5 64:5,12	94:11,17
11:22 61:5,17	85:6	corporation 13:1,9	65:23 72:11 79:4	depositions 7:16
company 13:1	confer 12:12	correct 12:22 20:11	79:8 88:7,11	describe 8:15 12:20
company 13.1 compare 54:23	conference 37:13	23:11 34:24 36:20	90:21	26:21 27:3 65:3
67:20	confirm 12:4	36:24 40:19,20	dates 51:8	75:2,3
competent 47:2	congruent 24:16	51:10,11 56:9,10	davis 2:9 94:2	described 13:20
competitors 54:3	connection 10:13	60:20 62:17 66:22	day 95:19	50:3
competitors 34.3	30:8 45:1 55:1	68:1,16 69:3	days 94:11	describing 64:13
complaining 84:18	66:14 75:5	78:21 79:11	dc3 26:4,22 27:13	description 64:6
complaint 17:23	consider 14:18	corrected 78:20	27:18 28:3 30:1	deserve 80:17
18:13,21,22 19:2	16:16 22:7,10	correction 95:9	32:17,19,21 35:15	designated 34:14
19:3,13 28:14	24:13,14 61:4,14	corrections 95:8	deadline 30:12	35:2
· /	67:6 77:6 87:3,8	corrective 20:16	31:11	desire 25:4 39:4,16
48:13 69:15,18,21 70:2,8,16,21 71:1	91:6,11	23:16	deal 71:24 72:1	46:2
71:12,20,23 72:6	consideration	correctly 21:4	75:23,24 89:7,14	desired 51:16
72:13 73:4,9	40:11,13,17 60:16	23:24 24:5 26:6	89:21	destroyed 46:14
75:15 76:8 77:10	72:7 73:7 91:19	30:17 33:1,24	dear 94:7	detailed 30:7 64:17
77:12 78:24 83:10	considerations	54:7 57:8 59:17	deb 46:20 47:1	66:24
85:16 89:8,16,17	89:23	60:2,10 62:7,12	decision 20:17 31:1	details 47:8,21 70:4
90:7 91:14 92:1	considered 32:18	74:10 80:20 85:24	40:4 55:2 57:2	determination 18:8
complaints 48:8	91:17	counsel 6:20 29:10	71:18 75:12	30:21 31:4
82:19	considers 24:7	58:19 70:19 90:24	decisions 67:24	determine 69:8
complete 10:23	consistent 39:7	91:1 93:12 94:18	68:15	
67:7	43:15 73:5	counselor 94:7	decorum 15:3	developed 13:5 development 15:14
completed 57:4	constitutes 35:15	counselor 94:7 couple 8:18 29:18	dedicated 80:16	32:24
compliance 24:9	construction 8:22	84:17	defendant 10:15	device 47:10,20
Comphanice 24.7	Constituction 0.22	U 1 .1/	20.10	ucvice 47.10,20
			l	<u> </u>
			ODETNO	

didnt 11:14 27:9	93:1,1 95:1,1	91:10	enter 40:12,17	3:22 4:2,4,6,8,9
31:3 40:12 45:4	document 10:8	dot 16:10,14,20	entering 22:4	4:11,13,15,16
52:17 75:22 87:15	14:5 15:7 16:2,4	17:7 44:11	entities 28:2	10:3,4,8 14:6 15:8
89:6,7	18:4,7,10 19:5,17	doument 20:9	entitled 16:4 18:7	16:3 18:4 19:6
difference 36:12	23:1 25:15 28:22	drawing 50:18	43:10 65:22 68:21	20:4,5,9 23:4,5,8
53:3	29:4,19 30:5	drivers 6:4	entries 49:12	25:9,10,15 28:16
different 52:24 63:5	31:22 35:11 38:24	drove 8:18 12:23	entry 89:2	28:17,22 34:3,4
63:6	41:3 42:21 48:5	duly 6:4 93:6	equivalent 35:20	37:21,22 38:2,19
differently 63:13	49:12 59:10 65:19	duties 78:17	errata 94:13	38:20,24 40:22,23
difficulty 47:9,16	65:22 66:17,24	dwell 82:6 84:8	error 49:10,18,20	41:3 42:6,7,10
47:18	72:16,19 90:22		esq 2:5,11 94:2,14	43:3,4,7 47:23,24
direct 28:24 71:7	91:8	<u>E</u>	94:23 95:22,22	48:24 49:1,5
72:15,16,18	documents 7:18	e 94:10	esquire 50:4	56:12,13 58:24
directed 61:12,13	10:21,22 17:8,16	eac 17:23 18:14,17	establish 24:2	59:1,10 63:4,4,8,9
directing 21:15	86:17,21	earlier 12:22 43:19	estate 8:23 9:1	63:18,20,21 64:1
30:3 73:24	doesnt 36:12	52:19 56:2 67:22	57:24	65:5,12,16,21
direction 22:2	doing 9:1 17:9,17	68:13 76:16 89:3	et 1:10 64:18,18,18	67:1 69:24 70:6
directive 19:23	55:11,17 84:11	eastern 18:17	94:5 95:4	71:5 72:11 73:16
22:8,11 24:13	donovan 2:15 3:13	editor 85:20	ethics 4:5 48:1,6,8	73:17,20 79:2,3,8
directives 21:21	3:15 4:5,10,12	effect 20:22 23:22	48:12,13	88:5,6,9,23 90:19
directly 73:8	25:11,17 28:18,24	46:8	event 83:2	exhibits 1:1 3:7 4:1
directors 18:8	32:5 48:1,6,12	effectuate 22:17	eventually 8:19	4:19
disclosure 44:19	59:2,12,13,21	efficiency 32:23	13:11	exist 33:18
55:22 64:8,24	60:23 61:15,23	effort 78:18	exact 28:6	existing 34:22,24
66:13,14 67:11,15	63:22 64:5,11	eichleay 3:19 4:3	examination 3:3,4	expansion 25:21
68:21	80:14 85:15,21	38:20 39:1 43:5,9	3:5 6:7 88:21	26:1
disclosures 45:13	86:13	eight 57:1	90:18 93:8	expect 15:17 61:17
discretion 55:15,20	dont 13:8 16:7	either 14:23 84:5	examined 93:8	expected 61:6
68:4,19	18:21,24 19:16	electronics 8:19	excess 22:20	experience 17:12
discuss 12:14 29:19	20:2 21:9 22:24	13:8	excuse 90:6	55:6 56:1,6 67:23
discussed 25:23	25:2,5 27:2 28:6	elementary 8:6	executive 1:7 2:16	expires 93:19
41:17,24 44:15	28:14 29:7,9,11	email 3:12,19 4:9 4:11,15 25:10,16	3:22 4:8 6:10	expound 53:17
49:22	32:2 36:5 37:19	·	24:22 25:4 26:10	extend 39:4 44:4,20
discusses 38:5	39:13 42:18 44:17	25:16 38:20 39:1	28:9,12 30:2	45:2,15 49:24
discussing 83:7	44:22 45:4,6,9,12	59:1,11,20 63:21	41:10,14,17,24	55:2 60:19
discussion 6:19	45:19,23 46:7	64:2,4,4,12 65:4 65:11 79:3,8,20	42:7,11 43:16	extended 58:18
22:21 33:7 39:15	47:8,9,14,21 52:6	80:7 85:14	44:4 56:13,17,20	extending 42:16,23
49:15 50:3 57:18	54:22 55:18 57:17	emails 26:16 80:1,2	56:22 57:11,14	extension 38:13
73:2,12 74:2,4,6,9	58:7,10 63:2	emails 20:16 80:1,2 employee 93:12,12	65:23 66:4 77:15	39:12
74:13,17 75:6,11	65:19 66:10,16	enclosed 94:8,13	81:3 84:5 87:10	extensions 37:17,17
discussions 46:1	67:8,13 70:3 71:2	enclosed 94:8,13 encouraged 85:15	87:16 94:5 95:3	39:22
64:23	73:14 74:21 75:9	ended 8:19 20:20	exercised 55:20	extent 7:17 14:14
disingenuous 85:21	76:6 77:20 78:1	83:10	exercising 68:3,19	extra 78:18
dismiss 76:1	79:24 81:9,19	engaged 64:23	exhibit 3:8,9,11,12	extremes 55:13
district 1:2,3 83:11	84:8,16,20 88:3	ciigageu 04.23	3:14,16,17,19,20	
D				

	ı	ı	1	1
\mathbf{F}	feasibility 54:2	71:20 73:4,8	follows 6:5	90:13,18 92:9,10
f 1:23 33:22 34:11	february 30:6,12	86:24 87:4,8,17	followup 90:15	93:11
34:14,16,19,20	93:20	90:6	fondly 85:11	future 32:24
35:14,20 37:7	federal 6:11 14:24	final 20:16 29:1	foot 51:1	
93:3,18 94:21	federally 20:21	66:9	footage 52:21	G
faa 3:9,11 15:13,20	21:3,17 22:4,14	financial 44:19	forbear 91:18	general 9:22
17:6 18:7 19:10	23:21 24:4 40:7	54:4,12 55:21	foregoing 95:7	generally 15:21
20:5,12 21:8,15	40:16	64:8,24 65:6,13	forget 84:3	19:1
21:22 23:5,9,19	fee 2:5 3:3,5 4:19	66:13 67:11,14	form 5:4 13:18 45:8	getting 82:18 86:13
24:7 36:6 44:11	6:7,9 7:14,21 8:1	68:21	45:10 61:8 77:2	give 10:13 29:22
60:16 62:22 89:9	10:2,7 20:3,8 23:3	financially 93:13	80:16,24	40:6 46:3 63:15
89:24 90:7,21	23:8 25:8,14	find 57:24 80:12,13	formal 22:22	67:21 82:1 88:14
91:9,22 92:1	28:15,21 32:14	fine 7:20 63:14	formality 10:2	88:19
faas 19:18,22 22:2	34:2,6 37:12,14	finish 9:12	forth 16:18 52:6	given 32:22 78:14
24:13	37:15,20 38:1,18	fire 36:5,6,7	65:12 68:7,22	93:9
fact 16:9 29:21	38:23 40:21 41:2	first 5:5,10 12:24	forthcoming 61:5	giving 40:15 81:24
46:12 50:19 51:15	42:5,10 43:2,7	20:18 21:7 25:1,3	61:17	89:11
facts 18:12 91:8	46:17 47:22 48:4	25:16,16,19 26:9	fortyeight 30:11	go 6:21 7:23 8:5
fair 21:11 31:24	48:18,23 49:4,21	29:20,20 30:3	31:11	14:17 18:16 19:15
45:21 55:23 56:4	56:11,16 58:23	32:15 71:8 78:24	forward 25:24	26:19 27:11 30:24
62:14,23 76:9,18	59:5,8,9 63:5,8,12	80:2,10 81:13	39:13 40:2 85:7	33:12 40:10 45:18
85:2	63:15 64:1 68:11	82:21 85:4	forwarded 91:9	52:2 58:5,20
false 80:18 81:15	68:13 73:15,20	five 22:20 60:1,9	fourth 30:5 32:15	67:19 69:4,12
82:14	78:11 79:1,6 82:5	fiveyear 62:2	50:21	77:2 78:9,10 81:7
familiar 14:11,14	82:10,11 88:4,9	fixed 74:4,6	fox 50:4,22 57:12	86:6 87:12 90:8
15:2,19,21 16:8,9	88:14,17 90:8,15	fixedbase 32:18	frame 49:14	going 6:12 7:14
16:22	90:18 92:8,11	flight 25:22	francis 1:10 94:5	12:21 14:5 15:7
familiarize 14:1	94:14,23 95:22	flightlevel 3:17 4:3	95:4	16:1 18:3,9 19:5
far 36:13 59:23	feel 15:22 53:16	33:20 37:16,22	frankly 79:23	20:8,9,17 21:21
60:7 66:18 70:14	55:14 68:3	38:3,12 39:2,22	free 53:16	35:6,7 39:8 49:16
89:16	feeling 83:14	40:6,14 42:17,24	fuel 36:9 52:10	63:17 64:2,13
fashion 65:12	feet 27:15 32:20	43:5,9,14 44:5,20	fueled 53:6	67:11 69:6,23
favor 39:21 40:8	33:4,10 36:8	45:1,14 46:3	fueling 27:19 36:3	72:1,10,15,18
42:23 44:16 45:15	51:22 52:7,20	49:24 54:24 55:7	36:11,11,23 37:5	75:16 79:6 82:6
fbo 25:4 32:22 33:5	53:10 57:3,13	55:23 59:15 60:14	37:7 45:11 52:5	good 6:8 12:5,10
33:11 35:21 50:4	62:16	60:19 61:1 62:1,3	full 23:15 30:5	59:5 90:12 92:2
51:13,17 52:5,14	felt 14:22 68:24	62:6,15,24	32:15 50:21 78:16	governing 14:2
52:21 53:11 54:11	86:16	flightlevels 39:9,17	fullscale 32:22	government 31:24
65:1 66:15,20	field 8:13 13:7	flip 83:9	fully 6:23 80:15	graduate 8:9
68:5 71:15,19	filed 17:23 18:13	flipped 83:8	funded 20:21 21:3	grant 15:19,23 16:4
72:3,8,13 73:3,7	19:3,13 30:2	flow 53:22,24	21:17 22:5,14	16:10,19 17:7
73:12 75:12,16	69:15,21 70:2,8	focus 80:11	23:21 24:4 40:7	42:23
76:9 77:7 87:4	77:11,15,19,20	followed 19:23	40:16	granting 20:22
89:10,22 91:19	89:8	following 20:15	further 3:5 5:7,12	23:22 39:21 40:5
92:5,6	filing 70:16 71:12	93:5 95:8	5:16 73:2 88:18	40:14
ĺ				

101

great 35:5 53:16	history 8:16 10:23	61:11 62:8 63:17	38:13 52:4 77:12	know 7:6,9,12
greatest 7:17	home 76:20	64:2,16 65:16	93:13	11:18 12:4 15:12
guarantee 44:24	honorable 80:19	69:23 70:22 72:10	introduced 50:17	18:21,24 20:2
guess 27:10 89:22	81:15 82:14	72:15,17,22 75:6	investigation 91:7	24:22 25:2,5
guidance 14:23	hopefully 76:1	76:19 79:6,7,23	involved 11:2 14:16	27:12 29:7,9,11
17:9,17	hoping 85:16	80:2,6 81:24 82:6	15:14 76:14	32:2 36:5,13
guide 16:24	hours 30:11 31:11	82:7 83:24 84:17	involving 21:22	41:16 44:23 45:5
	hues 4:15 74:2,5,19	87:7 90:16 91:5	irrelevant 83:7	45:6,7,9,10,12,13
H	79:4,13 80:6,14	91:15	issue 36:22 46:19	45:23 46:20 52:17
hall 83:3	82:19 83:3,5,9,14	immediately 34:8	issued 30:9,16	54:22 55:7,18
hand 37:4 71:23	83:15 85:20,22	important 6:19,21	issues 10:24 11:2	58:7 62:21 63:2
82:18 93:15 95:19		11:19	items 29:18 41:9,9	66:8,10,16,18
handle 87:22	I	improvement 15:15	67:3	67:14 69:14,17,20
handling 33:24	id 29:19 50:1 54:22	inappropriately	iterations 66:4	70:14,23 74:21
hang 90:16	62:21 67:20 69:4	87:16	ive 10:20 16:7	75:9 77:14,18
hangar 34:16,22	74:21 75:3	incident 83:20,23	19:18 63:16 82:23	78:2,14 81:9,16
36:1 52:11	identification 6:3	84:1		81:20 82:15 84:13
hanscom 13:7	10:6 20:7 23:7	including 33:19	J	84:20 88:1 89:23
happened 19:2	25:13 28:20 34:5	income 53:22	january 3:11,18	90:22
31:20 57:16	37:24 38:22 41:1	incoming 54:6	23:6,10,13 37:23	knowledge 13:21
happy 7:7,12	42:9 43:6 48:3	index 3:1	38:3,16	47:1,15 48:21
harassment 80:16	49:3 56:15 59:4	indicate 62:22	job 12:24 17:10,18	93:7,10 95:7
80:24	63:24 73:19 79:5	indicates 23:17	47:2	known 13:4
havent 88:13	88:8	34:19	joined 17:20	
head 71:2	identified 73:23	indicating 39:4	joshua 50:4	L
header 50:13	identify 49:13	information 17:17	jr 1:23 93:3,18	15:1
heading 51:12	ill 7:6 18:8 21:19	31:22 54:13 55:1	94:21	language 35:19,23
hear 31:3	23:1 25:18 28:24	64:7 65:6,13 68:5	judge 78:22	37:3 82:7
heard 37:6	30:4 31:15 46:18	69:1,9	judgment 55:9	large 27:12
held 3:22 37:18	49:9 83:13	informed 67:24	judgments 55:16	law 1:17 30:20 93:4
42:8	illegal 81:11	78:4	july 4:14 65:23	lawsuit 77:16 87:1
helicopter 56:22	im 6:9,12,20 7:4,5	initial 35:10	72:11 73:18,22	lawyer 81:22
helicopters 1:7 2:16	7:12 8:21 10:7	initially 58:4	90:21	learn 25:1,3 37:16
6:10 24:22 25:4	11:19 12:21 14:5	instance 47:7	june 70:6,10 71:7	learned 26:9 38:10
26:10 28:10,12	15:4,7 16:1 17:3,7	instances 84:12	71:15 73:1 88:24	lease 26:4,23 40:14
30:2 65:23 66:4	17:14,15 18:3,9	instruct 53:13	89:9 90:5	40:18 50:4 51:13
77:15 81:3 87:10	18:10,19 19:5	81:22	justification 30:13	56:22 57:2,6,19
				50.4 17 50.14 24
87:16 94:5 95:3	20:8,9,11,17	insufficient 33:11	T7	58:4,17 59:14,24
87:16 94:5 95:3 helio 13:1,9	23:19 25:14 27:22	insufficient 33:11 intent 39:3 43:10	K	60:9,13,14 62:2
87:16 94:5 95:3 helio 13:1,9 help 62:4	23:19 25:14 27:22 28:21 31:3 36:18		k 74:2	60:9,13,14 62:2 73:3
87:16 94:5 95:3 helio 13:1,9 help 62:4 hes 64:13 81:16	23:19 25:14 27:22 28:21 31:3 36:18 37:2 38:23 41:2	intent 39:3 43:10	k74:2 keep 11:16,20	60:9,13,14 62:2 73:3 leased 33:20 62:15
87:16 94:5 95:3 helio 13:1,9 help 62:4 hes 64:13 81:16 high 8:7	23:19 25:14 27:22 28:21 31:3 36:18 37:2 38:23 41:2 46:17 48:4 49:4	intent 39:3 43:10 intents 62:5	k 74:2 keep 11:16,20 kind 84:20 89:20	60:9,13,14 62:2 73:3 leased 33:20 62:15 leaseholds 42:23
87:16 94:5 95:3 helio 13:1,9 help 62:4 hes 64:13 81:16 high 8:7 highest 57:24	23:19 25:14 27:22 28:21 31:3 36:18 37:2 38:23 41:2 46:17 48:4 49:4 49:16 50:9,18	intent 39:3 43:10 intents 62:5 interactions 76:22	k 74:2 keep 11:16,20 kind 84:20 89:20 91:7	60:9,13,14 62:2 73:3 leased 33:20 62:15 leaseholds 42:23 leases 19:19,22
87:16 94:5 95:3 helio 13:1,9 help 62:4 hes 64:13 81:16 high 8:7 highest 57:24 hill 8:8	23:19 25:14 27:22 28:21 31:3 36:18 37:2 38:23 41:2 46:17 48:4 49:4 49:16 50:9,18 54:23 55:7 56:16	intent 39:3 43:10 intents 62:5 interactions 76:22 interest 25:20	k 74:2 keep 11:16,20 kind 84:20 89:20 91:7 kinds 55:15	60:9,13,14 62:2 73:3 leased 33:20 62:15 leaseholds 42:23 leases 19:19,22 20:21 21:16 22:4
87:16 94:5 95:3 helio 13:1,9 help 62:4 hes 64:13 81:16 high 8:7 highest 57:24	23:19 25:14 27:22 28:21 31:3 36:18 37:2 38:23 41:2 46:17 48:4 49:4 49:16 50:9,18	intent 39:3 43:10 intents 62:5 interactions 76:22 interest 25:20 26:10 50:4 51:13	k 74:2 keep 11:16,20 kind 84:20 89:20 91:7	60:9,13,14 62:2 73:3 leased 33:20 62:15 leaseholds 42:23 leases 19:19,22

				102
38:6,14 39:5,10	litigation 6:10 11:3	59:16,20 60:22	1:20 6:4 16:5,9,14	met 10:19
39:12,18,22 40:6	little 8:2	61:23 63:22 64:5	16:19 93:1,4,5	mfee 2:6
42:17 44:5,15,21	llc 1:7 2:16 33:20	64:7 94:5 95:4	94:10 95:1	michael 2:5 4:15
45:2,15 46:4	94:5 95:3		matter 10:14 22:21	6:9 78:21,21 79:3
1		maguires 61:15		
49:24 55:2 60:19	llp 2:9 94:2	65:4,11	24:14 81:19 94:19	79:9 89:1 94:14
62:24	load 78:16	majority 20:23	matters 6:14 12:11	94:23 95:22 micro 54:3
leasing 21:1 22:12	located 34:11	23:23 40:7,16	12:14 41:17 89:14	
22:17 24:3 26:10	location 53:1,2	80:8	93:7	middle 56:21 84:14
27:4	locations 33:19	makeup 78:14	mcculloch 4:16	mile 13:2
leaving 8:17 13:9	logical 40:3	making 46:15 57:2	80:4 88:6,10	mind 11:17 34:12
leblanc 14:7 15:9	long 8:24 31:13	84:22	mean 15:1 17:3	58:9 61:2 67:10
18:5 65:22 67:1	75:15 91:24	manager 20:14	35:23 50:9 69:5	72:20 75:22 82:3
69:24 70:6 71:5	longterm 19:19,22	33:8 82:20	means 6:3	minimum 54:16,21
90:19	20:21 21:16 22:4	managers 13:16	meant 35:18 43:19	67:16 68:7,22
leblancs 19:6 65:17	23:21 37:17 38:13	mandell 1:18 2:3	measured 35:7	69:10
left 12:22 82:11	39:10,17,23 40:6	93:5 94:15	meet 92:12	minute 49:23 63:16
legal 32:3	40:14 42:16,23	maneuverability	meeting 4:6,8,13	minutes 3:22 4:7,8
lends 53:5	44:5,21 45:2,15	53:4	12:5,6 41:20 42:2	4:14 42:7,11,15
lengthy 74:1	46:4 55:2	map 3:16 34:4,6,12	42:19 46:13,13	43:16 46:13 47:6
letter 3:9,11,14,17	look 70:19	34:15	47:6,13,18 49:1,6	47:19 49:2,6 50:2
4:2,4,16 18:6 19:8	looked 17:5,6,7,16	march 3:15,19 4:17	49:23 50:5,11,12	53:20 56:14,17,20
20:5,12 21:8,10	85:11	28:19,23 38:21	50:23 56:13,19	57:12,14 71:6
22:1 23:5,9 28:17	looking 54:5 85:7	39:8 60:18 61:24	57:11 60:18 61:24	73:18,21 88:24
28:23 29:23 33:17	loss 54:1	88:2,7,11	71:6 73:1,17,21	missed 60:3
37:22 38:3,17	lost 62:8	mark 10:3 83:4	74:13 81:20 83:2	misspoke 18:19
39:3,14 43:4,8,10	lot 33:21 34:11,14	85:9	83:5 84:10 88:24	misunderstand
47:24 48:5,7 50:2	34:16,19,20 35:14	marked 7:19 10:5,8	meetings 12:8,13	37:8
70:18 72:11 80:4	35:19 37:7 53:1,2	14:6 15:8 16:2	15:2 41:21 46:24	mmhmm 38:8 39:6
85:20 88:6,10	lots 38:6 42:17,24	18:4 19:6 20:4,6,9	47:2	68:17
90:20	43:10 44:5 45:16	23:4,6 25:9,12	member 9:5,9	moment 26:18 82:4
level 55:21	59:14,24 60:8,14	28:16,19,22 34:3	11:12 14:10 19:9	monies 15:16 16:14
license 6:4 13:17	60:24 62:3,5	34:4 37:21,24	21:12 82:24	month 9:23 30:14
36:17,20,23 37:5	loud 11:18	38:2,19,21,24	members 11:23	55:3
89:10		40:22,24 41:3	39:16 50:17 55:19	months 53:24
life 84:22	M	42:6,8 43:3,6	59:23 60:8 83:1	moss 4:17 80:3 88:7
light 73:3	m 61:16	47:23 48:2,24	85:6	88:10
limited 32:20 33:5	ma 2:5,10 94:3,16	49:2 56:12,14	memorial 14:12	motion 56:23 57:15
87:22	macro 54:3	58:24 59:3,10	67:17 68:23	74:3,5 90:4
line 31:16 32:8,12	maguire 1:10 3:10	63:19,23 67:1	memorialization	motions 5:8
43:20 54:18 78:1	3:11,13,19 4:2,10	69:24 73:16,18	44:3	move 25:23 84:15
95:9	4:12 11:22 12:12	79:2,5,7 88:5,8,23	memorialized 20:1	84:16
list 41:13 72:14	20:6,13 23:6,9	market 53:24	memorializes 44:2	moved 8:19 13:7
79:23	25:11,17 26:15	marking 34:12,21	memory 27:22 33:7	moving 63:16
listed 50:7,9	29:8 38:21 39:2	mass 44:11	41:20 69:5	mutual 39:4
lists 19:9 41:9	43:4,8 59:2,12,13	massachusetts 1:3	messrs 85:22	
	I	l .	I	I

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

103

N	41:22 43:14	offrecord 37:13	94:17	70:20,24 71:12,20
$\frac{1}{\mathbf{n} \cdot 5:1}$	notification 5:17	okay 6:17 7:24 8:24	oulton 4:15 79:4,13	72:6,19 73:3,8
nac 3:18 35:17	notwithstanding	9:5,8 11:16 14:21	80:6	75:15,24 76:2,8
36:16,19 38:3	66:23	15:18 17:20 18:3	outcome 93:13	77:9,11 78:23
50:18,23 54:5	november 4:15	19:20,24 21:14,24	outline 35:7,8	80:10,11 85:15
59:23 60:7 62:1,3	77:19,24 79:4,9	23:1 24:12 27:8	outside 12:13	90:6,6,10 91:13
66:5 73:2 76:22	80:6	27:12,16 31:9,21	outstanding 51:5	91:24
name 6:8 28:6	number 13:6 52:6,7	35:1 36:4,14	67:2	participate 91:7
74:14	57:6 59:14,21	37:10 39:15 40:21	overarching 32:23	participating 74:13
named 10:14 93:5	60:6 69:6 90:1	42:2 43:24 48:23	overview 82:1	74:17
narrative 64:12		49:21 50:15,20		particular 12:5
national 36:6	0	51:9,19 52:3,12	P	particulars 70:4
nature 89:17 90:3	o 5:1	52:24 53:7 54:24	p 1:18 2:3 5:1 74:7	parties 5:2,8,13,17
	oath 93:8	58:2 59:19 60:11	75:6 85:19 93:5	5:17 93:12,13
necessarily 68:6 necessary 23:18	object 26:19 40:10	60:17 62:11,14	94:15	parts 19:17 20:10
67:12	objection 18:15	63:11 66:2,3,8,18	page 3:2 18:6 20:19	pass 59:23 60:7
need 7:8,10,10 10:3	19:14 21:19,20	66:23 70:20 71:4	25:17 29:1,1,20	passed 83:6
43:22 70:19	31:16 32:8 33:12	72:10 75:1,5,10	30:4 32:15 33:16	patricia 30:8
needed 14:15 69:1	43:18 44:7 45:17	76:7,21 77:14,18	35:14 38:5 50:1	pattern 87:9
	45:22 48:16 52:1	78:3,19 79:19	59:19 60:5 61:22	penalties 95:6
91:22	58:5,20 61:7,19	80:10 81:2 83:22	62:10 63:9 64:2,3	pendency 72:6
never 82:23	69:11 77:1 78:9	85:13 86:12,20	64:15,16 65:4,11	pending 6:11 75:16
new 50:17 52:11	81:7 86:6 87:12	88:1 91:16 92:8	71:8 72:17 80:7,8	92:1
57:7	90:8	once 77:11	80:10 89:2 94:13	people 28:2,7 55:8
ninety 63:1	objections 5:3,3	oneparty 20:22	95:9	55:9,16 78:16
norfolk 83:11	7:22	23:22	pages 1:1 4:11	percent 63:1
northeast 13:10,13	obligation 24:15	ones 31:6,7	63:21	period 11:7 12:23
norwood 3:14,20	obligations 11:12	ongoing 24:15	pains 95:6	perjury 95:6
9:6,9,15 13:2 14:3	obtained 30:14	operation 14:2	paper 70:11	permit 21:1 22:12
14:12 17:2,10	occurred 19:12	33:11 52:14,22	papers 83:6	22:18 24:3 25:21
18:13 22:2 23:10	october 3:10,13	53:11 52:14,22	paragraph 20:14	66:15,20 73:7,13
24:8 28:17 29:24	10:1 14:13 20:6	operations 13:22	23:15 25:19 26:3	75:12 76:9 77:7
31:2,5,5,9,19,23	20:12 25:12 26:13	15:6 16:24 33:6	29:20 30:4,6	87:4 91:19
33:20 37:4,23	76:19	35:21 51:17 56:8	32:16 33:16 34:8	permitting 77:5
40:23 41:4 42:22	odstrchel 56:24	operative 49:14	35:14 36:16 50:21	92:3
46:5 49:6 59:22	offer 56:22 57:6,13	operator 32:18 74:4	53:19 56:23 62:9	perritano 2:9 94:2
60:6 66:11 67:17	57:15,19 58:13,17	74:6	71:8 72:21,22	person 67:24 93:6
68:23 72:12 73:22	58:18	opinion 35:19 52:4	74:1 81:14 85:13	personal 44:24 54:4
78:15 84:6 91:20	offered 53:9 58:3	89:6	paramount 32:22	perspective 90:2
nose 84:19	offering 51:21	opportunity 35:16	parking 33:17,18	peter 3:19 4:3
notary 93:3,18	office 2:9 94:3	82:1	34:11,20 35:4	38:20 39:1 43:5,8
notation 34:18	offices 1:17 93:4	order 23:19 24:9	84:13	phrased 46:18 87:7
note 21:18 43:18,19	94:14	54:11	part 17:23 18:12	pierce 1:18 2:3,9
52:1 73:23 77:1	official 61:6,18	orient 56:18	19:2,13 69:15,17	93:5 94:2,15
notes 76:20	officials 80:17		69:20 70:2,8,16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
notice 3:8 10:4,9	ULLICIMID OU.17	original 5:13,18	37.20 70.2,0,10	piercedavis 2:12
		l	l	<u> </u>

Thomas Wynne

				104
piercemandell 2:6	predilection 77:4	property 27:5 33:1	7:4 21:21 30:7,10	recall 17:21 19:1
pilots 13:17	preferential 46:3	37:18	30:16 31:10,14	25:7 27:2 28:6,14
place 21:1 22:13	preliminary 6:14	proposal 51:1,5,7	32:5 49:17 67:10	29:12 42:2,12,18
plaintiff 1:8 2:3	premise 40:2	57:7	81:23 88:18 89:1	44:18 46:1,7 47:8
95:3	preparation 11:3	proposed 53:23	90:14 92:9	47:9,12,17 50:5
plan 23:17 25:20	prepare 10:18	57:5		52:6 57:17,18
45:8,11 53:23	prepared 18:7	provide 54:12 62:4	R	58:10,14,22 65:19
57:4,22 58:3 64:9	90:22,24	64:13,17	raised 5:5,9 30:11	67:2,8,9,13 73:14
64:14,17 65:23	present 25:20 42:12	provided 31:23	ramp 21:1 22:12,17	74:12,16,19 75:10
66:5,13 67:6	83:22	86:18,22	24:2 26:5,5,22	76:6,21 79:19,24
planes 53:5	presented 29:12	provision 66:24	27:6,13,18 28:3	89:2,10 91:10
planning 39:13	president 39:2	prudent 27:10	30:1 33:24 40:8	receipt 5:18
plant 8:22	pretty 10:20 52:3,8	91:18 92:2	40:16 51:8,10	receive 16:13
pleasant 70:18	54:18 76:19,24	public 26:5 41:23	58:1	received 15:16
please 63:16 68:10	previous 34:23	61:6,18 77:16	ramps 20:21,23	82:19
88:15,20 94:13	41:20	78:8,14 80:4,13	21:3,17 22:5,14	receiving 31:8
pleasure 92:12	previously 7:18	80:17,23 81:3	23:22,23 24:4	recess 59:7 82:9
pledge 19:21	14:6 25:23 58:18	85:18 86:2,14,17	rationale 51:21,23	88:16
point 20:18,24	86:22	86:24 87:5,8,17	ray 6:17 11:17	recollection 9:22
24:14,20 26:22	primary 27:23	93:3,18	raymond 1:23 93:3	18:11 19:12 26:8
37:15 45:24 52:5	principal 44:24	published 30:10	93:18 94:21	38:9 39:8,11 42:1
53:6 62:15,24	principles 54:4	purchasing 30:9	reach 72:18	45:19 50:8 51:23
64:22 66:11 69:14	prior 7:16 8:21	31:2,5,10,20	reached 85:17	52:16 60:15 65:24
73:11 76:2 77:8	12:8 24:9 30:11	purposes 15:16	reaction 70:15	70:1,7 71:10 78:3
77:14 90:3	31:11 44:15 45:14	49:14 62:5	read 18:9 19:18	90:23
poking 84:19	58:13	pursue 24:18	20:10 21:3 23:24	reconsider 58:13
policies 22:12 24:17	private 56:7	put 12:1,3 20:24	24:5 25:18 26:6	record 7:1 20:10
24:19	privately 12:12	22:12 27:6,17,18	29:15 30:17 33:1	37:11,14 47:5
policy 21:1 22:18	probably 11:9	35:9 40:2 82:24	33:24 34:9 54:7	59:8 65:21 69:5
22:22 24:3	problem 55:11	85:4	57:8 59:17 60:1,9	82:10 88:17 93:9
poorly 46:18 87:7	problems 83:4		62:7,12 68:9	94:18
portion 51:9	procedure 90:12	Q	70:20 72:19 74:10	recording 47:2,10
pose 49:16	94:10	question 6:22,24	79:21 80:20 85:24	47:20 50:23
posed 31:10 32:5	process 17:21 27:3	7:6 21:23,24	91:3	records 62:21 77:16
position 11:10	27:16 28:13 30:1	26:19 30:19 35:3	reading 72:20	78:8,14 80:5,23
54:10 75:14,17,20	procurement 13:15	43:21,24 44:1	real 8:23 9:1 57:24	81:4 85:18 86:3
82:24 85:5	produced 6:2	46:18 53:14 59:14	realistic 35:16	86:14,17,24 87:5
possible 7:13,17	profit 54:1	59:21 60:5 61:12	really 11:5 12:15	87:8,17
11:20 25:19 37:7	progressed 77:9	61:13,14,21 65:8	85:11	reddick 46:20 47:1
post 2:9 94:3	prohibited 27:19	68:18,24 69:13	reason 7:9 31:12	reduced 93:8
power 8:21	projection 54:2	70:5 71:9 77:1	49:11 51:19,20,21	refer 43:22
practice 12:17	promise 19:24	86:10 90:17	58:16,22 84:10	references 57:12
20:20 21:16 22:3	promulgated 14:12	questioning 31:17	87:21	referring 72:23
23:20 41:12	15:20 67:16	32:9,13 43:20	reasons 27:23 85:9	82:12
preceding 34:8	proper 33:11	questions 5:4 6:12	reassurance 54:6	refers 82:15

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

				105
reflect 42:15,21	reoffer 57:2	rest 55:19	s 5:1,1	88:13
reflects 44:2	rephrase 7:6	restate 68:11	safe 52:14,20 53:11	self 36:10 52:4
refresh 26:8 38:9	replicated 52:9	restriction 33:23	safety 32:22	sense 40:3 72:2
50:8 65:24 69:5	reporter 1:23	restrictions 27:17	satisfactory 6:3	75:23 89:7
71:10	represent 6:9 22:2	restricts 32:21	satisfied 66:12	sensible 85:16
refreshes 18:11	34:18 49:9	result 19:2,12,21	saw 21:10	sentence 32:16
19:11 70:1,7	representation	40:5 83:15,18	saw 21.10 saying 46:8 59:21	81:13
refusal 56:22	46:16	87:4	60:23 61:11	sentiment 80:15
		retained 4:19	says 20:19,24 23:16	
regarding 19:22 34:8 39:16 41:23	represents 35:16 43:14		26:3 32:16 35:14	separate 30:7 81:19 served 15:1 82:22
		retaliation 90:5,11		
48:14 57:19 59:21	request 38:5 39:9	retrieve 23:1	36:19 41:8,10	service 36:1
60:5 64:23 74:3	39:17 57:7 62:1	return 15:17 94:13	49:16 50:14,16,22	serving 17:2
80:4 85:17	68:4 77:5 78:8,14	review 23:16	51:12 56:21,23	session 3:22 4:8
regular 4:6,13	80:5,14,23 81:4	reviewd 57:4	59:16 61:1,23	41:10,14,18,24
11:12,22 49:1,5	85:19 86:3,17	reviewed 10:21,23	64:16 70:11 81:14	42:8,11 43:16
56:19 57:11 73:17	87:1,4,5,9,17,23	43:17 67:5	school 8:5,7,7	44:4 56:13,18,20
73:21 84:6 88:23	requested 39:12	reviewing 76:9	scope 12:13 17:24	83:12
regularly 17:16	64:7 65:7,14	rfp 30:1,8,10,13,15	21:19 30:23 43:20	sessions 84:5,6
regulation 30:20	67:15	30:17,21 32:5	48:17,19 67:19	set 12:6,7 16:18
regulations 14:2,11	requesting 39:3	57:7	69:12 78:10,12,22	68:7,22
14:17,19,22 15:5	requests 40:18 92:3	ridiculous 85:19	scoping 57:3,22	sets 65:12
16:18,23 17:6	require 68:21 69:9	right 11:1 14:18	58:3	share 80:22
36:6,6,7 54:17,19	required 44:18,23	17:14 28:10,11	sealing 5:13	shared 26:15
67:17 68:23	45:7,10,14 67:3	36:10,17 41:10	second 13:3 18:6	shaughnessy 46:7
regulatory 32:4	69:10	44:10 49:22 51:13	20:14,18,24 22:11	48:9,15 53:20
reiterated 50:24	requiring 55:21,22	51:17 52:22 57:14	23:15 32:16 35:13	74:3,7 75:1,7
related 71:19	rescind 58:17	60:22 63:11 69:15	38:5 64:3 65:4,11	85:22
relationship 85:24	research 14:16	70:13 77:13 78:1	85:13 88:20	shaughnessys 85:19
relative 93:11,12	reservations 83:13	86:14	seconded 56:24	sheehan 4:15 53:23
relatively 32:20	85:3	role 56:3	74:7	79:3,10 80:12
released 59:15	reserved 5:4,9	rough 35:6	seconds 88:14	82:12 85:14
60:24 62:6	resign 9:17	rule 30:20 94:10	see 6:17 21:7 26:1	sheehans 80:9,22
reliance 31:22	respect 17:23 32:12	rules 14:2,11,16,19	38:7 39:5 43:11	sheet 53:21 94:13
relied 17:9	34:7 39:9 55:16	14:21 15:5 16:18	48:9 50:15 51:2	short 13:4
relief 77:6	77:5 86:24 92:4,6	16:23 17:5 94:10	53:21 62:21 64:9	shortly 66:17
rely 33:3	responded 91:20	run 82:23	64:14,19 73:4	shortterm 21:1
remember 13:8	responding 30:12	russ 3:9,11,13,19	79:14	22:12,17 24:2
19:16 21:9 22:24	responds 60:23	4:2,10,12 20:5,13	seeing 19:17 39:13	show 14:5 15:7
36:21 37:19 39:13	64:11	23:5 25:11 38:21	65:19 79:19	16:2 18:3 19:5
44:17,22 47:17	response 29:24 61:2	43:4,8 59:2 63:22	seeking 24:23 37:17	20:8 34:6,19 35:4
50:11 70:3,4	61:15 70:24 71:11	ryan 46:10 48:9,14	77:16	38:1 69:23 71:4
remind 6:20	72:13 80:5,6,9,13	56:24 74:2 83:4	seemingly 32:17	72:10,16 79:6
removed 83:16,17	91:12,17,21,22	85:9	seen 10:10 14:7	88:22
renewing 44:16	responsibilities		15:10 16:6,7	showing 10:8 25:14
rental 25:22	11:11,15	S	65:17 66:2 88:11	28:21 38:23 41:2
	, -			
	I	1	1	1

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

				106
48:4 49:4 59:9	slightly 63:5,6	states 1:2 6:5 20:14	79:22 91:5,15	95:7
65:16 79:7	sightly 03.5,0 socalled 33:21	30:5 33:18 74:1	surrogates 85:22	thank 23:2 35:13
shown 10:22	soliciting 31:7	85:14 93:1 95:1	surrounding 18:12	92:11 94:19
sign 29:13 94:11,13	solution 85:16	statute 30:20	suspend 72:7	thats 10:20 14:17
signature 29:1	somebody 84:15	statute 30.20 statutory 32:3	swift 33:20 34:17	31:20 34:24 47:20
94:13	sorry 8:21 18:19	stearns 78:23	sworn 6:4 93:6	52:15 63:14 69:2
signed 29:15 31:22	31:3 36:18 46:17	stenographer 6:18		76:24 78:1 80:7,9
91:1,3,8	57:11 60:3 62:8	46:23	T	80:10 85:8
significant 89:18	80:2,6 87:7 90:16	steps 23:18	t 1:10 5:1,1 94:5	thereof 94:18
similarly 22:10	sort 15:3,15	stipulations 7:15	95:4	theres 18:5 29:18
simms 2:11 3:4	space 24:23 26:5,11	stop 55:11	table 71:15,18 72:3	34:14 55:11 57:15
7:20 10:19 17:24	28:1,3 40:8,16	street 1:19 2:4 93:5	73:2 75:11	59:20 64:6 80:9
18:15 19:14 21:18	51:22 52:9 53:10	94:15	tabled 90:4	theyre 54:18
26:18 30:23 31:15	57:19 58:17 63:1	strike 5:8	tabling 73:7	thing 15:3,15 72:3
32:7,11 33:12	speak 31:19 85:1	structure 36:8	take 6:13 7:8 20:15	85:10 89:20
37:11 40:9 43:18	speaking 11:18	study 54:2	26:18 30:4 59:6	things 82:18 84:20
44:7 45:17,22	15:4	subject 34:17 41:14	75:16 82:4 86:23	90:1
46:15 48:16 49:19	specialized 13:21	sublease 33:21	92:2	think 9:19 10:1
52:1 53:12 58:5	specific 39:14 41:13	34:17	taken 1:16 13:11	16:7 18:23 22:21
58:20 61:7,19	81:23	submission 94:11	23:17 43:15 44:3	27:19,23 39:19
63:3,6,11,14	specifically 15:5	submitted 30:6	49:24 59:7 82:9	47:19 54:18,20,20
67:18 68:9 69:11	19:16 20:15 39:19	66:5	88:16 94:8	58:16 63:9,16
76:24 78:9,20	50:11 69:22	submitting 30:16	takeoff 13:4	66:16 73:12 81:2
81:7 82:3 86:6,9	square 2:9 27:15	subsequent 59:20	takes 80:8	81:6,10,11 84:20
87:12 88:19,21	32:19 33:4,10	subsequently 13:7	talk 12:9	85:8 88:3 89:13
90:13 92:4,10	51:1,22 52:7,20	substantive 12:11	talked 56:19	thinking 89:19
94:2 95:22	52:21 53:9 57:3	12:14	talking 75:6 81:16	90:11
simply 85:22	57:13 62:16 94:3	successful 28:8	talks 29:20	third 23:20 61:22
simultaneously	st 8:6,7	sued 78:8	taxiway 27:24	thirty 94:11
72:2 89:10	staff 87:22	sufficient 52:13	84:14	thirtyfive 38:6
sir 23:2 28:21 29:2	stand 78:20	53:10	tell 8:2 10:17 34:10	thomas 1:15 3:2,8
41:2 49:4	standards 54:16,21	suit 77:19	39:20 69:7 84:16	6:2 10:5 93:6 94:8
sit 33:9 34:9 51:20	67:16 68:8,22	suitable 35:15	89:24 91:17	95:6,21
54:22 58:15 67:20	69:10	suite 2:4,10 94:3,15	tells 89:20	thought 11:1 27:10
85:9	standing 7:22 21:20	summary 65:5,12	tenants 84:18	37:6 52:20 67:22
site 32:21	31:16 32:8	support 37:4	tentative 41:8	72:5 75:7 76:16
situation 82:22,24	start 6:24 64:2	supported 36:16,19	term 76:23	84:24 87:19 89:24
situations 75:21,22	started 6:13 7:24	supporter 74:23,24	terms 44:15 46:4	91:24
six 74:8	starts 18:7	75:2,8	64:8	thoughts 40:12
sixth 53:19	state 4:5 14:23 36:5	supports 37:5	testified 52:19 56:2	78:7,13
size 32:20	48:1,6,13 53:22	sure 6:20 8:17	testify 37:6 93:6	three 80:2
skills 56:8	stated 68:13	11:19 12:9,21	testifying 89:2	throwing 84:21
skip 20:17 25:18	statement 54:1,4	17:3 36:14 37:12	testimony 10:13	tight 28:1
skipping 23:19	93:9	43:23 54:23 65:10	34:7 53:8 73:6	tim 80:4
56:16,21 61:22	statements 54:1	70:22 72:22 76:19	89:11 91:24 93:9	time 5:5,5,10,10 7:3
	•	•	•	1

- 0 4 1 - 17 - 1		<u> </u>	1	1
7:9 11:7 12:24	true 31:18 93:9	unresponsive 5:8	wasnt 12:16 28:9	wrong 20:11
17:22 19:10 21:12	95:7	untable 74:3,5	36:2 40:11 60:13	wrote 9:11 29:6
21:15 23:11 41:6	truth 93:6,7	75:11	68:5 81:6 85:6	wynne 1:15 3:2,8
45:24 47:5,16	try 7:16,23 11:19	untabling 73:12	water 7:10	6:2,8 8:1 10:5,7
49:14 51:16,24	21:20 56:7	74:8	way 6:24 20:1	50:16 62:4 80:19
52:13 54:10 57:5	trying 17:14 37:2	untimely 29:22	27:11 63:3 64:3	81:16 82:15 88:22
59:5 62:15,24	85:8	30:22 31:12 32:6	76:13 84:4 85:8	93:6 94:8 95:6,21
64:22 66:19 67:3	turn 38:4 50:1	upside 72:20	89:21	
67:5 70:13 75:14	turning 32:14	use 7:14,17 27:17	wed 85:10	X
77:22 81:3 82:21	33:15 59:19	32:18 33:23 58:1	week 30:15 78:17	Y
85:4 89:15 91:15	twelve 30:7	86:4,5	weight 84:21	
92:12	twentyseven 9:2	useful 14:23	welcomed 50:17	year 38:6
timetotime 16:15	two 4:11 55:12	utility 32:21	went 8:6 13:8 27:4	yearandahalf 11:9
timothy 4:16 88:6	63:21 74:8 75:21	utilized 56:6	west 51:7,10 57:3	years 8:18 9:2,9
title 51:12	78:16 80:1 84:22	utterly 85:19	58:1	13:6 22:20 57:6
today 6:13 10:13,18	88:14	▼7	weve 7:15 17:5,6,7	60:1,9 69:6
12:7 33:10 34:10	twopage 64:2	<u> </u>	whats 77:23	youd 55:10 82:1
51:20 58:15 89:3	type 13:4 61:4,16	v 1:9 94:5 95:4	whatsoever 44:19	youll 7:22
todays 11:3	typographical	variety 49:12	winner 28:5	youre 9:5 11:18
told 12:22 84:3	49:10,18,20	various 33:19	wit 93:6	46:15 50:7 72:22
tom 19:15 62:4		vendor 54:7	withdraw 57:15	79:16
80:19 81:15 82:4	<u>U</u>	version 66:9	withdrawing 57:19	youve 13:20 15:9
82:15	u 5:1	versions 63:7	withdrawn 76:3,7	86:9
top 49:11,15 50:2	ultimate 28:5	viable 77:6 78:23	withdrew 85:15	
50:14 60:5 62:10	unable 47:5	view 89:6 90:3	withhold 57:1	zero 57:1
71:2 72:14	undergoing 17:22	vif 36:17,20,23 37:5	witness 3:2 35:12	
topic 32:12 89:3	understand 7:21	voice 11:17,20	85:10 93:9,15	0
topics 41:13,24	17:20 22:1 31:21	voluntarily 9:17	95:19	000 27:15 52:20
touching 93:7	36:15 37:3 43:21	vote 44:3 45:1	won 28:7	62:16
town 20:15,19,24	53:7 57:10 69:7	49:23 62:1	wondering 17:8,15	02108 2:5 94:16
22:12 23:17 31:5	74:16 75:19 76:4	voted 44:20 57:1	18:10	02109 2:10 94:3
31:23 70:19 82:23	91:23	60:19 71:15 73:2	word 86:4,5	0950 2:11
83:3	understanding	74:8	words 46:8	
towns 30:9	10:12 21:6,14	votes 43:15	work 7:23 8:15	1
training 13:22	24:10 51:4 53:8	voting 44:16 45:15	64:3	1 1:4 4:5 30:15 48:2
25:22	54:9 60:12 62:20	\mathbf{W}	workers 84:22	48:5 59:14,22
transcript 5:14,19	64:21 65:10 68:14	waited 30:14 31:13	working 27:22 56:7	60:6 64:12,16
6:18 7:1 94:17	understood 35:9	waived 5:14,19	64:16 78:17	80:7,10 89:2 95:2
transmittal 48:7	37:9 42:20	want 6:13 7:5 19:11	wouldnt 11:17	10 2:9 3:8 61:16
transparent 61:5	undertake 35:20	25:18 35:3,18	34:12 58:2 86:4	69:24 70:6 72:11
61:16	undetermined 57:6	36:14 68:12 80:11	write 29:4	90:21 94:3
transportation 16:6	unduly 81:4	81:24 84:8 85:23	writes 80:12	11 1:19 2:4 71:5,7
treatment 46:3	united 1:2 93:1	wanted 40:3 57:23	writing 35:19 93:8	71:15 73:1 88:23
trial 5:5,10	95:1	75:24 89:23	written 22:22 29:8	88:24 90:5 93:5
trick 17:14	unpleasant 84:4,22	warranted 81:6	29:23 43:8	94:15
		warranteu 01.0		
			ODELNIC	

				108
1100n 2:10 94:3	48:2,5,12 49:7,13	40 3:17,21 37:21,22	8	
12 27:15 30:7 53:24	50:5,23 51:6 53:9	38:2	8 30:12	
60:18 61:16,24	56:14 59:3,13	41 3:19 38:19,20,24	800 2:4 94:15	
72:11 90:19 93:20	60:13 63:23 64:5	42 3:20,22 40:22,23	88 3:4 4:17	
13 49:16 65:16,21	64:12 65:24 70:7	41:3	889 51:1,22 52:7,13	
69:15,17,20 70:2	70:10 71:7,15	43 3:22 4:3 42:6,7	53:9 57:2,13	
70:8,16,20,24	72:12 73:1,18,22	42:10	33.9 31.2,13	
71:12,20 72:6,17	76:17 77:19,24	44 4:2 43:3,4,7	9	
73:3,8 75:15,24	79:4,9 80:7 88:24	45 4:4 47:23,24	9 3:21,22 40:24	
76:2,8 77:10,11	90:5,21	46 4:6 48:24 49:1,5	41:5 42:8 43:16	
85:15 90:6 91:13	2015 4:17 88:2,7,11	47 4:5,8 56:12,13	50:23 63:4,8	
92:1	2017 1:16 93:4,15	48 4:9 30:11 58:24	65:24	
14 3:13,15 4:7,8	94:1,8 95:19	59:1,10 63:4,9,18	90 3:5	
25:12 28:19,23	2021 93:20	49 4:7,11 63:20,21	9th 42:3,12 44:4	
49:2,7 56:14,18	2104 49:2	64:1 65:5,12	46:13 47:13	
80:6 89:9 90:6	22 3:18 37:24 38:4		10.15 17.15	
15 4:15 27:15 32:19	94:1	5		
33:4,10 43:6,9	23 3:11	5 3:19 4:3 19:6 38:6		
52:20 79:4,9	24 77:24	38:21 42:17,24		
15cv13647rgs 1:4	25 3:13	43:11 44:6 45:16		
95:2	27 3:11 4:17 23:6	60:23 61:15 70:6		
16 16:3 17:23 18:12	23:10,13 88:7,11	73:4		
19:3,7,13	28 1:16 3:15 93:4	50 4:13 73:16,17,20		
195 1:1	94:8	51 4:15 79:2,3,8		
1960 8:11	295 32:19 33:4,10	52 4:16 88:5,6,9		
		56 4:8		
2	3	59 4:10		
2 14:6 30:4 50:1	3 4:10 15:8 59:3,12			
60:5 64:2 80:8	60:13 62:10 67:1	6		
20 3:10 93:15	30 4:12,14 63:23	6 3:3,10 20:6,12		
2008 3:10 9:11	64:5 73:18,22	30:6 38:6 42:17		
14:13 17:21 18:14	94:10	42:24 43:11 44:6		
19:7,13 20:6,12	34 3:8,16 10:3,4,8	45:16 51:1,22		
21:7,8,22	3452 1:1	52:7,13 53:9 57:2		
2010 3:11,13 23:6	35 3:9 20:4,5,9	57:13		
23:10,13 24:7	350 2:11	600 62:16		
25:6,12 26:13	36 3:11 23:4,5,8	617 2:6,11		
2013 3:15 28:19,23	36month 54:2	63 4:12		
30:6,12 49:8	37 3:12,18 25:9,10	7		
2014 3:18,19,21,22	25:15			
4:3,5,7,8,10,12,14	38 3:14,19 28:16,17	7 38:6 42:17,24		
4:15 9:12,20	28:22	43:11 44:6 45:16		
37:16,24 38:4,16	39 3:16 34:3,4	7202444 2:6		
38:21 39:9 40:24		73 4:14		
41:5 42:8 43:6,9	4	79 4:15		
	4 18:4			
	1	1	1	1

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net