

Dear Mike,

It is unclear to me why you continue to provide notice of FL's fuel deliveries to me given that the Court's preliminary injunction order expired on August 3, 2021. The court has also ruled that FlightLevel does not have any access rights to BEH's leaseholds.

Additionally, Norwood Engineering provided engineering plans which showed FLN can easily access the fuel farm utilizing the plans completed on February 14, 2017. We now know that FLN, working with Mark Ryan and Francis Maguire, concealed these plans from BEH and the public, in violation of the Public Records Law. They did this with the knowledge and assistance of Town Attorneys. I am not sure how many plans Norwood Engineering did, they used different truck sizes, engineering stamps and routes. Most of the plans appear to be dated the same although they include misleading labeling, IE. the same job number (9588-02), "sheet 1 of 1", varying truck sizes and routes, "NFPA" and "TOFA" claims which are false, various engineering stamps and dates and other misleading information.

According to your Attorney, regarding Norwood Engineering "fuel plans" and fuel procedures:

“FlightLevel wanted as little of its engineering work product as possible to be subject to Public Records requests by its litigation adversary. This too was discussed with Mark Ryan and Russ Maguire at the time, who agreed”

FlightLevel had an engineered “fueling Plan”, “which has been repeatedly shown to and reviewed by Russ Maguire and Mark Ryan, and which has been withheld from the public record, with the understanding of airport administration, due to the pendency of the litigation commenced by BEH against FlightLevel.

“Drawings memorializing a number of truck fueling routes were shown at the time to Russ Maguire and Mark Ryan in person, but were withheld from the public record” “FlightLevel wanted as little of its engineering work product as possible to be the subject of Public Records Requests by its litigation adversary”(BEH).

Incredibly, Attorneys knowingly concealed information from BEH and in some cases the court. Supreme Judicial Court Rule 3:07 Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys. (in part) states:

-
- It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation. Substantive law may require a lawyer to disclose certain information to avoid being deemed as having assisted the client's crime or fraud.
 - In the course of representing a client a lawyer shall not knowingly make a false statement of material fact or law to a third person or fail to disclose a material fact to a third person when disclosure is necessary to avoid assisting a criminal or fraudulent act by a client.
 - A lawyer is required to be truthful when dealing with others on a client's behalf. A misrepresentation can occur if the lawyer incorporates or affirms a statement of another person that the lawyer knows is false. Misrepresentations can also occur by partially true but misleading statements or omissions that are the equivalent of affirmative false statements.
 - A lawyer is prohibited from counseling or assisting a client in conduct that the lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent.
 - A lawyer may reveal confidential information to prevent a criminal or fraudulent act.
 - A lawyer shall not knowingly make a false statement of fact or law to a tribunal or fail to correct a false statement of material fact or law previously made to the tribunal by the lawyer.
 - Legal argument based on a knowingly false representation of law constitutes dishonesty toward the tribunal.
 - Offering evidence requires that the lawyer refuse to offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false, regardless of the client's wishes.
 - A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects, shall inform the Bar Counsel's office of the Board of Bar Overseers.

In February of 2017 Norwood Engineering presented "fuel plan(s)" (ANOTHER MADE UP NAC TERM AND CONDITION) which we now know was one of many plan(s). According to FLN's attorney, Maguire and Ryan concealed public records with the knowledge and assistance of attorneys. FLN's attorney communicated numerous times with your attorneys, who intentionally concealed these material facts and communication. Attorneys were asked about communication through Public Records Requests, and attorney to attorney communication. This is outlined in motions and affidavits with the federal court. Documents which when compared to what was going on between FLN and Town Attorneys, show possible illegal behavior. Within days of the July 30, 2019 settlement agreement, those same attorneys violated the agreement they just crafted. They then lied about this for years, including in motions with the federal court.

All the while knowing FLN could always access their fuel facility without going on the West ramp. Then making up more lies so attorneys can keep taking taxpayer dollars defending illegal conduct. Knowing it was all based on fraud. Knowing they were aware and assisting in the fraud.

Thank you,
Christopher