

The Heresy of the Eucharist

Transubstantiation (Real Presence) a church proclaimed miracle within a Eucharist

- This Doctrine believes that the Communion bread and wine given during Mass to the congregation is able to be transformed by the words of a Priest into the literal body and blood of Christ with the help of the Holy Spirit. And, if a believer eats of this bread and wine, they ingest the literal body and blood of Messiah, which provides them a special power of anointing from Christ himself. This belief and ritual take huge liberties from what the scripture actually says.

Eucharist a church invented ritual connected to the Last Supper

- There is zero doctrinal evidence that Christ institutionalized a ritual to eat bread and wine for sanctification.
- *eukharistia* Greek word meaning 'thanksgiving'

In **Matthew 26** the disciples discuss when / how they will prepare the Passover. The entire context of this last supper is of Jewish / Hebraic origin with Jewish / Hebraic meaning – all originating with God's original commandments to Moses. By the 10th of Nisan an unblemished lamb would be selected for the sacrifice, the evening of Nisan 14 the lamb would be sacrificed, cooked and eaten before the morning. The first Passover also involved the blood of the lamb mixed with hyssop and marked on the door posts of the houses of the children of Israel, signifying to God the houses that were to be passed over by the angel of death. The Sedar was to be eaten with unleavened bread and bitter herbs was to remind them of their need for haste to exit Egypt, their emphasis on faith in God's pure words, and remembrance of their time in Egypt under bitter bondage. The Passover then was followed by First Fruits and the Feast of Unleavened bread with the counting of the Omer.



The Passover with the Disciples

Mat 26:17-25 *“Now the first day of the feast of unleavened bread the disciples came to Yeshua, saying unto him, where wilt thou go that we prepare for thee to eat the Passover? And he said, go into the city to such a man, and say unto him, The Master saith, My time is at hand; I will keep the Passover at thy house with my disciples. And the disciples did as Yeshua had appointed them; and **they made ready the Passover**. Now when the even was come, he sat down with the twelve. And as they did eat, he said, Verily I say unto you, that **one of you shall betray me**. And they were exceeding sorrowful, and began every one of them to say unto him, Lord, is it I? And he answered and said, **He that dippeth his hand with me in the dish, the same shall betray me**. The Son of man goeth as it is written of him: but woe unto that man by whom the Son of man is betrayed! it had been good for that man if he had not been born. Then Judas, which betrayed him, answered and said, Master, is it I? He said unto him, Thou hast said.”*

The Roman Catholic / Christian churches teach that Christ instituted a New Thing (Eucharist) with this meal, and that authority was given to the western church to institute a ritual. While there was a doctrinal priestly change happening here, this “new thing” can only be understood and defined by scripture as symbolic of an old practice. No where here does it suggest a western or Roman church has any authority, nor does it command, a new ritual to be done and repeated 4 times a year for one’s sanctification, with new updated meaning for the sole use of the Gentiles. To further emphasize this as something foreign to what was being taught by Christ, the implements of the Mass during Eucharist (like the paten, the ciborium, the chalice, the monstrance) were not even invented until after the 6th century. The shape and design of these things also has connection to Sun worship or (Baal worship). The drinking of blood idea may also be connected to Pagan Baal worship, as explained in books like Alexandar Hislops’ Two Babylons.

Lord's Supper in Matthew

Mat 26:26-29 *“And as they were eating, Yeshua took bread, and blessed it, and brake it, and gave it to the disciples, and said, Take, eat; this is my body. And he took the cup, and gave thanks, and gave it to them, saying, Drink ye all of it; For this is my blood of the **New Covenant**, which is shed for many for the remission of sins. But I say unto you, >> I will not drink henceforth **of this fruit of the vine**, until that day when I drink it new with you in my Father's kingdom.”*

The Last Supper account of Mark is similar to Matthew. However, there is a dialog following here regarding the comments about betrayal and the hour that Christ must go and fulfill his

Father's plan of salvation, and his commandment for the disciples "to watch;" yet they could not even watch one hour. The "spirit is ready and the flesh is weak," as he said. He also tells how Peter will deny him three times. He also announces when Judas returns he will betray him-It is (probably of) no coincidence that Judas' name refers to the tribe of Judah, prophetically. A couple of things to point out here is that the phrase "New Covenant" is more literally translated as "Refreshed Covenant". There isn't a new command or precept being introduced here, but an old one with symbolic significance of transferring the Priestly order from a present one to an older one. And the blood commentary is not a blood drinking ritual but a promise Christ made to his disciples to sup with them again when he returns the second time to rule over his Father's Kingdom. The blood of the "Lamb of God" had to be shed to fulfill prophecy: to seal the mercy seat in order to end sacrificial law and to allow his bride (Israel) legal standing to be remarried.

Lord's Supper in Luke

Luk 22:14-23 *"And when the hour was come, he sat down, and the twelve apostles with him. And he said unto them, With desire I have desired to **eat this passover with you before I suffer**: For I say unto you, **I will not any more eat thereof, until it be fulfilled in the kingdom of God**. And he took the cup, and gave thanks, and said, Take this, and divide it among yourselves: For I say unto you, **I will not drink of the fruit of the vine, until the kingdom of God shall come**. And he took bread, and gave thanks, and brake it, and gave unto them, saying, **This is my body which is given for you: this do in remembrance of me**. Likewise also the cup after supper, saying, **This cup is the new testament (Refreshed Covenant) in my blood, which is shed for you**. But, behold, the **hand of him that betrayeth me** is with me on the table. And truly the Son of man goeth, as it was determined: but woe unto that man by whom he is betrayed! And they began to enquire among themselves, which of them it was that should do this thing."*

Jews created a tradition of dipping bread in wine, which later changed to dipping Matzah in blood. It is known as Blood libel, and it was understood as matzah bread being dipped in the blood of Goyim children based on a number of accounts from the middle ages and to the present. In 1150ad Jews were accused in England of abducting Christian children, putting a crown of thorns on their head and torturing them and shedding their blood prior to Passover. This practice of course was condemned by the Christian church; however, some European governments also didn't interfere with Jewish religious practices. This practice, of course, has nothing to do with any tradition Jews were doing during the time of Christ, nor before; at least where there is clear evidence of, nor does it appear anywhere under Mosaic Law. The last blood libel to receive the official backing of a European government emerged during "the Beilis affair", an episode that began with the discovery of the body of a murdered 12-year-old, Andrei Yushchinsky, in a cave outside Kiev in April, 1911. Nazi Germany of course had accusations

against the Jews similar to this. We also have a modern testimony (admission) from a Rabbi Abe Finkelstein, given after a lecture at the University of Maastricht in 2008, where he described how some Jews murder children in their synagogues, and use their blood for the blood libel ritual, and give their meat to processing plants that mix it into the general meat batches where they sell to places such as McDonalds. I'll refrain at this point in going down too many rabbit holes on this one, but it should be plain and clear that this practice (if true) is not in the Spirit of anything God commanded in the Torah. This kind of practice of eating blood is in fact forbidden, by both the Rainbow Covenant and Holy Covenant. Somehow, the Roman Catholic Church got the idea that dipping bread (representing Christ's body) into wine (representing his blood) and eating it, is something God's Son taught, which cannot be supported by scripture in any translation. At the same time, we know this practice was being done in at least the western churches during the time of Paul, since he commented on it in his letter to the Corinthians.

In Didache 9: 1-4, an early Christian text that may have been written as early as 50 AD, also called the "Teaching of the Twelve Apostles," there are some differences in the ceremony noted, as it appears to be more of a prayer of thanksgiving done during meals, which says:

"First, concerning the cup: We give thanks to you, our Father, for the holy vine of David your servant, which you have revealed to us through Yeshua your servant. To you be glory forever.

And concerning the broken bread: We give thanks to you, our Father, for the life and knowledge which you have revealed to us through Yeshua your servant. To you be glory forever.

As this broken bread was scattered upon the hills and has been gathered to become one, so gather your church from the ends of the earth into your kingdom."

In the book of Genesis, we see a breaking of the bread ceremony with Melchizedek-- reference to this practice done for over a thousand years before Rome even existed.

Genesis 14:18-20 *"And Melchizedek king of Salem brought forth bread and wine: and he was the priest of the most high God. {19} And he blessed him, and said, Blessed be Abram of the most high God, possessor of heaven and earth: {20} And blessed be the most high God, which hath delivered thine enemies into thy hand. And he gave him tithes of all."*

References to Fruit of the vine: Genesis 40:9-10, Deut 32:32, Isaiah 5:2, Hosea 10:1, John 15:1-5, Rev 14:18-19.

Reference to the Communion in John

John 6:53-55: “Very truly, I tell you, unless you eat the flesh of the Son of Man and drink his blood, you have no life in you. Those who eat my flesh and drink my blood have eternal life, and I will raise them up on the last day.”

This language is not literal. This is symbolic, idiomatic and metaphoric language, for Christ’s disciples to learn and preach his pure Doctrine as he commanded them; keeping his words (and the words of the Father) as close to them as having to eat it for supper. As it explains in John 14, “If you love me keep my commandments” ...and I will send you the Holy Spirit of truth to dwell inside of you. The pure doctrine of Christ is like the blood of the believer with the life thereof in it! The Unleavened bread is another idiom representing the same type of reference for pure and unsoiled doctrine; whereas leavened bread is polluted doctrine. Where blood is mentioned as literal blood (many times in reference to pagan practices) it is something we should not eat. Where it has symbolic references to the Jewish Temple, such as with Strong Wine, this is poured out over the sacrificial lamb (making the fire hotter, which is God’s wrath to come) it gives it a sweet savor unto God. Wine also represents an elevated substance of the Holy Spirit, understood by how we receive it through the washing out of sin by water (true baptism). No longer was the blood of bulls and goats acceptable for atonement of sin as it is stated in the book of Hebrews and many other places, but only by Christ’s sacrifice could his blood fully atone for the transgression of Israel. Scripture does not suggest in the slightest that eating the bread was literally eating Christ’s body, but showing you he sacrificed his body in place of the lamb for teaching the people God’s Word! God condemns eating literal blood (as it has the life thereof)--and that would be an abomination even by a symbolic reference; just as the traditions of the pagans are abominations to God! In the verse where Christ says “this is my blood” the follow up verses call it the “fruit of the vine”, and do not mention blood a second time. Why did he not reemphasize this literal blood idea by saying it twice, instead of referring to it as “the fruit of the vine?” Because it is symbolic and not to be taken as literal blood. It is understandable if one imagines that If Christ can turn water into wine, as he did at the wedding of Cena, that this wine could “transubstantiate” into some magical substance, but to assume this idea as serious bible doctrine is presumptuous sin, seeing how scripture does not say this at all, and barely by inference. This is only a tradition and interpretation of man, yet the Roman Church prides itself as a pillar sacrament! Everyone who is serious about learning God’s Truth, and forsaking all false teachings of man, must ask themselves, how can this practice not be a heretical? Interesting enough, Paul calls it a Heresy!

The Apostle Paul has some interesting rebukes of the western church in his letter to the Corinthians (read all of chapters 10-11, below is only a snapshot):

1Co 10:16-19 *"The cup of blessing which we bless, is it not the communion of the blood of Christ? The bread which we break, is it not the communion of the body of Christ? For we being many are **one bread**, and **one body**: for we are all partakers of that one bread.* Behold Israel after the flesh: are not they which eat of the sacrifices partakers of the altar? What say I then? that the idol is any thing, or that which is offered in sacrifice to idols is any thing? **But I say, that the things which the Gentiles sacrifice, they sacrifice to devils, and not to God: and I would not that ye should have fellowship with devils. Ye cannot drink the cup of the Lord, and the cup of devils: ye cannot be partakers of the Lord's table, and of the table of devils.** Do we provoke the Lord to jealousy? are we stronger than he?"

In the next chapter Paul says:

1Co 11:17-18 *"Now in this that I declare unto you **I praise you not, that ye come together not for the better, but for the worse.** For first of all, when ye come together in the church, I hear that there be divisions among you; and I partly believe it."*

- Paul calls the doctrine of eating bread and wine in a church in front of idols a heresy!
- Paul refers to the betrayal of Judas in this passage, and compares it to drinking from the cup of devils and doing it unworthily!
- Paul emphasizes that we give thanks to Yeshua every time we eat and drink, but this is not a ritual--this can be done at home!
- Paul emphasizes that those who eat the bread and drink the cup unworthily bring damnation upon themselves, not discerning the meaning of the Lord's body and blood.

Understanding "body and blood" in 1 John.

1John 5:6-12 *"This is he that came by water and blood, even Yeshua Messiah; not by water only, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit that beareth witness, because the Spirit is truth. For there are **three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost**: and these three are one. And there are **three that bear witness in earth, the Spirit, and the water, and the blood**: and these three agree in one. If we receive the witness of men, the witness of God is greater: for this is the witness of God which he hath testified of his Son. He that believeth on the Son of God hath the witness in himself: he that believeth not God hath made him a liar; because he believeth not the record that God gave of his Son. And this is **the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in his Son. He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life.**"*

In John 6, Christ tells of his doctrine before the last supper.

I Am the Bread of Life

John 6:22-58 *"The day following, when the people which stood on the other side of the sea saw that there was none other boat there, save that one whereinto his disciples were entered, and that Yeshua went not with his disciples into the boat, but that his disciples were gone away alone; (Howbeit there came other boats from Tiberias nigh unto the place where they did eat bread, after that the Lord had given thanks:) When the people therefore saw that Yeshua was not there, neither his disciples, they also took shipping, and came to Capernaum, seeking for Yeshua. And when they had found him on the other side of the sea, they said unto him, Rabbi, when camest thou hither? Yeshua answered them and said, **Verily, verily, I say unto you, Ye seek me, not because ye saw the miracles, but because ye did eat of the loaves, and were filled.** (See also Barnabus Epistle chapter 10 commentary on the spiritual meaning of pigs) **Labour not for the meat which perisheth, but for that meat which endureth unto everlasting life, which the Son of man shall give unto you: for him hath God the Father sealed.** Then said they unto him, What shall we do, that we might work the works of God? Yeshua answered and said unto them, **This is the work of God, that ye believe on him (obey him) whom he hath sent.** They said therefore unto him, What sign shewest thou then, that we may see, and believe thee? what dost thou work? Our fathers did eat manna in the desert; as it is written, He gave them bread from heaven to eat. Then Yeshua said unto them, Verily, verily, I say unto you, Moses gave you not that bread from heaven; but **my Father giveth you the true bread from heaven. For the bread of God is he which cometh down from heaven, and giveth life unto the world.** Then said they unto him, Lord, evermore give us this bread. And Yeshua said unto them, **I am the bread of life:** he that cometh to me shall never hunger; and he that believeth on (obey) me shall never thirst. But I said unto you, That ye also have seen me, and believe not. All that the Father giveth me shall come to me; and him that cometh to me I will in no wise cast out. For I came down from heaven, not to do mine own will, but the will of him that sent me. And this is the Father's will which hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me I should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the last day. And **this is the will of him that sent me, that every one which seeth the Son, and believeth on him, may have everlasting life: and I will raise him up at the last day.** The Jews then murmured at him, because he said, I am the bread which came down from heaven. And they said, Is not this Yeshua, the son of Joseph, whose father and mother we know? how is it then that he saith, I came down from heaven? Yeshua therefore answered and said unto them, Murmur not among yourselves. No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day. **See prophets (Isaiah 54, Jeremiah 31:33-34,***

Micah 4:2) *And they shall be all taught of God. Every man therefore that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me. Not that any man hath seen the Father, save he which is of God, he hath seen the Father. Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me hath everlasting life. **I am that bread of life. Your fathers did eat manna in the wilderness, and are dead. This is the bread which cometh down from heaven, that a man may eat thereof, and not die. I am the living bread which came down from heaven: if any man eat of this bread, he shall live for ever: and the bread that I will give is my flesh, which I will give for the life of the world.** The Jews therefore strove among themselves, saying, How can this man give us his flesh to eat? Then Yeshua said unto them, **Verily, verily, I say unto you, Except ye eat the flesh of the Son of man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you. Whoso eateth my flesh, and drinketh my blood, hath eternal life; and I will raise him up at the last day.** For my flesh is meat indeed, and my blood is drink indeed. He that eateth my flesh, and drinketh my blood, dwelleth in me, and I in him. As the living Father hath sent me, and I live by the Father: so he that eateth me, even he shall live by me. **This is that bread which came down from heaven: not as your fathers did eat manna, and are dead: he that eateth of this bread shall live for ever.**"*

Nothing could have been more provocative from the Messiah's mouth to describe himself in this manner, nor be as easily misunderstood. It apparently remains a mystery to all of the Churches who fall into the same devil's trap. Those who are provoked with presumption are exposed!

John 6:63 *"It is the spirit that quickeneth; the flesh profiteth nothing: **the words that I speak unto you, they are spirit, and they are life.** But there are some of you that believe not. For Yeshua knew from the beginning who they were that believed not, and who should betray him. And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father."*

John 6:70 *"Yeshua answered them, Have not I chosen you twelve, and one of you is a devil?"*

Christ intentionally speaks in symbolic, idiomatic language and in parables because not everyone is worthy to be his disciple, nor are they worthy of his Kingdom. Those who seek him will find him, but those who invent false doctrine and build churches by men's hands, and lie and steal will be cut off! It is a way for Christ to separate sheep from goats!

Read also: Hebrews 8-10, Eph 4:21-22, 1 Th 4:9, Eph 1:17, 1 John 4:1-3

So what can we conclude about the Eucharist?

- Eucharist and Transubstantiation is a doctrine from man, and is false doctrine. Paul called it a heresy. This was done by the Western Church and adopted by Rome.
- Priests have zero authority to give life or sanctify through a manmade ritual, especially those done in the presence of idols.
- You cannot drink from the cup unworthily, which means you cannot claim to have anything to do with Christ as your savior if you fail to hear and obey Father and Son.
- You betray Christ by seeking after the bread of men and their idols. God calls this adultery. Judas was a goat and so are the modern day Christian churches.
- The “Real Presence” idea is found in scripture, as God’s presence above the mercy seat, in the most Holy of Holies of the Tabernacle. The consummation of bread and use of wine by the Temple Priests was one of the weekly duties, seen as the elements placed on the shewbread table. The Passover Sedar meal had bread and wine also. This Catholic doctrine ignores the symbolic Hebraic references and focuses on the literal and superstitious. The Real Presence is only received from obedience to God as it says in John 14: 15-26.
- The Implements of the Mass, like the paten, the ciborium, the chalice, the monstrance has loose historical pattern of implements of the Jewish Temple, but are entirely new and were not even invented until after the 6th century. The shape and design of these things also has connection to Sun worship or (Baal worship).
- Some modern Jewish sects have their own abominable version of the Eucharist, which may also be criminal, and not patterned after Torah.
- This doctrine wasn’t even made official until 1215 AD during the Fourth Lateran Council.
- Those not obeying God’s 10 Commandments will be harvested and pressed in the fury of God’s wrath in his winepress. Those not obeying God through great Tribulation have zero chance to make it to the Kingdom. Sons of Jacob are caught up beforehand, and are the beloved who know his name and keep his commandments.
- We are to give thanks everytime we break bread and sup with our brothers and sisters in remembrance of Yeshua Messiah and his sacrifice. Knowing he will return to eat and drink with his beloved in his Kingdom at a future time appointed by God.