

CODY HART FOR GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY

November 3, 2020 Skagit County Election Audit

SECTION 3 Duplicate Ballot Analysis: Evidence of Ballot Fraud and Forgery

By: Cody R. Hart Date: January 17, 2022

Contents

NTRODUCTION
Ballot Duplication Process
Auditing and Audit Trail
Canvassing and Certifications
Data Analysis
INDINGS
CONCLUSION

Appendices

Appendix C.1	Email Correspondence
Appendix C.2	Statutes
Appendix C.3	Canvassing Board Manual
Appendix C.4	Reconciliation Report
Appendix C.6	Employee Timesheets
Appendix C.6	Certification Documents
Appendix C.7	Election Results
Appendix C.8	Duplicate Ballot Logs and Audits

SECTION 3: Duplicate Ballot Analysis: Evidence of Ballot Fraud and Forgery

INTRODUCTION:

Following the November 3, 2020 election, numerous records requests were submitted to the Skagit County Records office for election results, communications, videos, reports, and other data critical to performing an audit of how the election was managed by County officials. Responses to late 2021 record request relating to the ballot duplication process and other obtained data, as referenced and found in the Appendix, was used as the basis of this reports analysis and findings.

Ballot Duplication Process

Upon review of Washington state election laws, the State legislature has established many statutes for election offices to follow during the ballot duplication process with many requirements outlined in RCW 29A.60, WAC 434-261, and WAC 434-262.

Furthermore, individual election offices are required to adopt state minimum standards into county specific documents acknowledging state requirements while also outlining local processes to be used to comply with state laws as described in RCW 29A.60.140.

(4) The county canvassing board shall adopt administrative rules to facilitate and govern the canvassing process in that jurisdiction.

Outlined by both state law and in the locally adopted *Skagit County Canvassing Board Administrative Rules (Pg. 19)*, the ballot duplication process requires teams of two for both the duplication and the audit approval process before duplicated ballot can be tabulated;

Ballot Duplication Procedures

If a ballot is damaged, unreadable, uncountable, or unable to be resolved by the tabulation system, a team of two people working together must duplicate ballots to reflect the voter's intent according to WAC 434-261-086. A different team of two working together must audit every duplicated ballot to verify the ballots were duplicated correctly. The voter's original ballot may not be altered. The county auditor shall tabulate the duplicate ballot.

Of particular importance to a ballot duplication analysis, unreadable ballots commonly include those received electronically (email or fax) that cannot be read by the election system tabulation machinery so a duplicate ballot must be generated. Notably, the legislature has clearly defined the limitations on ballots that may be received electronically as described in WAC 434-250-120 that states;

(5)(b)Only service and overseas voters are eligible to return a ballot electronically. For electronic ballots received from voters who are not service or overseas voters the county auditor must:

... (ii) Count only the ballot received by mail or ballot drop box if the voter returns both an electronic ballot and a ballot by mail or ballot drop box.

Ballot Duplication Process -CONTINUED-

The service and overseas voter's electronic (Email or Fax) ballot submittal accommodation of WAC 434-250-120 parallels federal standards under 52 USC 20301, commonly referred to **Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act** (**UOCAVA**)

Notably, UOCAVA electronic ballot submittals is the least secure of all ballot submittal processes in Washington States election system by not requiring a physical ballot be submitted by the voter and allowing the ballot to be received electronically from unknown sources and further by the legislation that the source of the email transmittal be prohibited from disclosure;

WAC 434-250-120 (5)..... The electronic versions of the email, ballot declaration, and ballot are exempt from public disclosure.....

Skagit County Canvassing Board Administrative Rules define the ballot duplication process as shown Exhibit 1.

Procedures to Duplicate a Ballot

- Duplicate any ballot that is unreadable or uncountable by the tabulating machines. The ballot markings must be clear enough to determine the intent of the voter. If the voter's intent is not clear, the ballot must be sent to the Canvassing Board. Only the Canvassing Board may reject a ballot or part of a ballot.
- 2. Create and maintain an audit trail for the action taken with each duplicated ballot.
- Assign each ballot duplicated and sent to the Canvassing Board a unique control number. Mark the number on the face of the original ballot and its duplicate.
- 4. Use teams of two to duplicate ballots. First team member will mark the duplicate ballot while the second team member calls out the offices and/or measures to be marked. The first person then will read back the offices/measures that have been marked while the second person verifies them.
- 5. Keep a log of the ballots duplicated and sent to canvass, including the following:
 - (a) The control number of each ballot;
 - (b) The initials of two people who participated in the duplication process;
 - (c) The total number of ballots duplicated and sent to canvass.

6. Each initial duplication team shall be audited by a different two person team to ensure all ballots have been processed accurately.

7. Originals and duplicated ballots are kept in secure storage at all times, except when being processed, tabulated, inspected by the Canvassing Board, or to conduct an audit. The seal numbers, along with the date and time, are recorded on a ballot security log and are witnessed by two elections employees whenever ballot storage is opened and closed.

EXHIBIT 1 – SKAGIT COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD BALLOT DUPLICATON, PG 19-20

Auditing and Audit Trail

Skagit County Canvassing Board Administrative Rules define the Duplicate Ballot Audit process to be performed by two independent auditors after ballot duplication as shown in Exhibit 2.

Audit of Duplicated Ballots Procedure All duplicated ballots will be audited before the duplicated ballots are scanned. A team of two other than the team of two who duplicated the ballots will conduct the audit. The auditor shall notify political parties of the date of the audit. Procedure: 1. Teams of two that were not involved in the duplicating process will conduct the audit. a. Items needed: i. Spoiled ballots ii. Duplicated ballots iii. Spoiled ballot log iv. Certification of Audit form 2. Pull all duplicated ballots and spoiled ballots, putting them in order of the duplicating log. 3. Using the audit certification form, write down the control number from the duplicated ballot. 4. Working with one set at a time (one spoiled ballot and the matching duplicated ballot), compare them to each other and mark if they match or not on the form. 5. If there are any discrepancies, place the set containing the spoiled ballot and matching duplicated ballot aside. 6. The duplicating team will then duplicate a new ballot. a. Attach the incorrectly marked ballot to the original spoiled ballot marked by the voter and place them with the other spoiled ballots. b. Place the new duplicated ballot with the other duplicated ballots waiting to be audited. c. Use a red pen and write the next sequential duplication number (from the duplicating log) on the new ballot, the incorrectly marked ballot and the original spoiled ballot marked by the voter and write the precinct on the log. d. Make a notation of "N" (not matched) in the column on the Certification of Audit form. 7. Replace the audited spoiled ballots in the spoiled ballot envelope. 8. Replace the new audited duplicated ballots back in the tray - to be tabulated. 9. Report the results of the audit to the canvassing board. -24-

EXHIBIT 2 – SKAGIT COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD AUDIT OF DUPLICATED BALLOTS, PG 24

Auditing and Audit Trail -CONTINUED-

Required in Skagit County Board Administrative Rules, and specifically outlined in RCW 29A.60.18 Audit of results, independent of the two member audit team used for duplication approval, the Auditor ALSO has an Audit role specially assigned for ballot duplication,

"Prior to certification of the election, the county auditor must conduct an audit of ballots duplicated"

Additionally, RCW 29A.60.125 requires that a log must be kept of the ballots duplicated, which must at least include

"(c) The total number of ballots duplicated."

In RCW 29A.60.235 the Washington State legislature outlines mandated election reporting requirements to be provided in reconciliation reporting that requires reconciliation reporting to include all information necessary to "maintain an audit trail";

(1) The county auditor shall prepare at the time of certification an election reconciliation report that discloses Any other information the auditor or secretary of state deems necessary to reconcile the number of ballots counted with the number of voters credited with voting, and to maintain an audit trail.

Significantly, the importance of the state Audit Trail requirements are supported by federal statute as found in **52 U.S. Code § 21081 - Voting systems standards**, that affirms the requirement to provide an audit trial under item (b) Voting system defined;

(1) the total combination of mechanical, electromechanical, or electronic equipment (including the software, firmware, and documentation required to program, control, and support the equipment) that is used—

... (D) to maintain and produce any audit trail information...

Further emphasized as a significant oversight aspect of the election system, ballot duplication has been specifically identified by the State Legislature as an item requiring auditing, thus an audit trail, prior to certification as confirmed in the language of RCW 29A.60.185

(2) Prior to certification of the election, the county auditor must conduct an audit of ballots duplicated under RCW 29A.60.125. The audit of duplicated ballots must involve a comparison of the duplicated ballot to the original ballot. The county canvassing board must establish procedures for the auditing of duplicated ballots.

Canvassing and Certifications

The legislature clearly defined in RCW 29A.60.140 the responsibility for election certification

(3) The county canvassing board may not delegate the responsibility of certifying the returns of a primary or election, of determining the validity of challenged ballots, or of determining the validity of provisional ballots referred to the board by the county auditor.

Also, the legislature affirmed that the Canvassing Board has a responsibility to inspect duplicate ballots as can be found in the language of RCW 29A.60.125:

Original and duplicate ballots must be sealed in secure storage at all times, except during duplication, inspection by the canvassing board, tabulation, or to conduct an audit under RCW 29A.60.185.

Furthermore, the legislature clearly defined in RCW 29A.60.140 that the Canvassing Board meetings are public and that public meeting laws and rules apply.

(5) Meetings of the county canvassing board are public meetings under chapter 42.30 RCW. All rules adopted by the county canvassing board must be adopted in a public meeting under chapter 42.30 RCW, and once adopted must be available to the public to review and copy under chapter 42.56 RCW.

Each member of the Canvassing Board affirmed to the public in their signed certifications, the election had been conducted in accordance with state requirements and that the "RESULTS" were true and correct.

Certification of the Canvassing Board
STATE OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF SKAGIT)
The undersigned officers designated by law as constituting the Canvassing Board for the County of Skagit, State of Washington, hereby certify that this is a full, true and correct copy of the Abstract of Votes including the number of registered eligible voters, cumulative results, precinct results, and report of votes cast at the General Election held on November 3 rd , 2020 in Skagit County, State of Washington.
Oath of Authenticity
STATE OF WASHINGTON)
STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF SKAGIT)
I solemnly swear that the unofficial returns and supporting documentation of the General Election held on November 3 rd , 2020 in Skagit County, State of Washington, are true and correct.

EXHIBIT 3 – SKAGIT COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD ELECTION CERTIFICATION

Data Analysis

The Skagit County records office provided PDFs of hand notated (signed/initialed) duplication logs from the Skagit County Election office in response to records requests for November 2020 election ballot duplication that were titled "Inspection/Board/Duplication/Audit".

B	s of Duplication INN US	n Board		-			
	us Grot			Board #	3	Date: 0 3	<u>9-20</u>
Signature	s of Audit Boar	19		Board #	<u>+</u>	Date: <u> . 6</u>	0-20
Inspection Batch	0	Duplication/A	Audit Board	Ballots to	Ballots	Duplication	Audit
Number	Split	Duplication	Number	Canvassing Board	to Scanner	Team Initials	Team Initials
elechamu	217-00		169		1	Can Do	KP wh
pallots	311-00		170		1	C.C.	11
no pati	12-11-00		17-1		1	17	
number	1211-00		172		1	21	1
	211.00		173		1	$\left \right\rangle$	1 1
	211-00		174		1		
	211-00		175		0	\rightarrow	
	211-00		176	4		+//	
	211-00		178	1		+ +	
	209-01		179			111	
	209-01		180		1	H	
	209-01		181		1		
	209-01		182		1		
	209-01		183		1		
	209-01		184		L.		
	209-01		185		1		
	209-01		186		1		
	204-00		187				
	209-00	_	158				
	209-10		189				
	209-10		190				

EXHIBIT 4 – Duplication Form Title

Very noticeably, the provided duplication PDF data file was suspected to have been intentionally organized out of sequence and scanned at an extremely high resolution making both working with and interpreting the large amount of data difficult.

Data Analysis -CONTINUED-

After reorganizing the data into groupings based on sequenced duplications (i.e. Numeric, Alpha) per each two person duplication team, referred to as "BOARD", it was found that for ballot duplications, of the six board members most consistently involved in the duplications, four of those, Boards 3 and 4, had overwhelming involvement and it was also recognized that these same boards almost exclusively audited the other Boards duplications including the electronic and UOCAVA duplications.

Signatures of Duplication Board	BOARD 3
- the make	CHERI MCRAE
Dark Arotte	DARA GROTTE
- 0	
Signatures of Audit Board	BOARD 4
	KATHLEEN PETRZELKA
Judy Kusser	JUDY KIESSER
Signatures of Duplication Board	
Signatures of Dupintation Board	BOARD 5
Xander	GABRIELLE CLAY
Aver om le	LISA LOMSDALEN

EXHBIIT 5 – Signatures of Duplication Boards 3, 4 and 5

Next, using the reorganized sequenced groups, tabulation of the general categories of ballot duplication used by the election offices documentation was performed and it was found that according to provided Duplication Logs, the Skagit County Election office had duplicated approximately 1900 ballots as shown in Exhibit 6.

BOARD	ELECTRONIC	UOCAVA	SPOIL/TB	SUSPEND SKAGIT	SUSPEND NON- SKAGIT	TOTAL
3	200	326	190	0	0	716
4	492	135	318	0	0	945
5	19	0	47	100	66	232
TOTALS =	711	461	555	100	66	1893

EXHIBIT 6 – TABLUATION OF REPLACEMENT BALLOTS

Unfortunately, because the Skagit County election office used a duplication numbering system that repeated numerical labeling by independent Boards, included alpha characters, and most notably the election office or auditor did not provide a total number of ballots in response to multiple records request or in its reconciliation report, the official total number of duplicated ballots in the November 2020 election is still undefinable.

Data Analysis -CONTINUED-

Next, a review of the Board 3 and 4 ballot duplication logs was performed for compliance with the approved two member duplication and auditing requirements outlined in the Skagit County Canvassing Board Administrative Rules

BOAR	3: TWO PERSON DUPLICATION/AUDITING COMPLIANCE	
1.	DUPLICATION BOARD	
	a. BALLOTS NOT INDIVIDUALLY INITIALED/SIGNED:	VIOLATIONS
	b. TWO BOARD MEMBERS APPROVE DUPLICATION:	VIOLATIONS
2.	AUDIT BOARD	
	a. BALLOTS NOT INDIVIDUALLY INITIALED/SIGNED:	VIOLATIONS
	b. TWO BOARD MEMBERS APPROVE DUPLICATION:	VIOLATIONS
BOAR	4 TWO PERSON DUPLICATION/AUDITNG COMPLIANCE	
1.	DUPLICATION BOARD	
	a. BALLOTS NOT INDIVIDUALLY INITIALED/SIGNED:	VIOLATIONS
	a. DALLOTS NOT INDIVIDUALLY INTIALLO, SIGNED.	THO EXTRONTO
	b. TWO BOARD MEMBERS APPROVE DUPLICATION:	VIOLATIONS
3.		
3.	b. TWO BOARD MEMBERS APPROVE DUPLICATION:	

EXHIBIT 7 – TABULATION OF TWO PERSON DUPLICATOIN AND AUDIT DISCREPRENCIES

Following the documentation compliance analysis, an analysis of the reconciliation report against the ballot duplication data found significant discrepancies between the certified results and the ballot duplication logs.

- 1. 1172 Ballots were duplicated and tabulated based on being electronic and UOCAVA. Since only UOCAVA can be considered if received electronically, all 1172 were processed through the duplication process as UOCAVA however only 668 County UOCAVA ballots were certified received.
- 711 Ballots were duplicated and tabulated based on being received electronically, not considering an additional 461 received and duplicated as UOCAVA. Contrarily, the Certified Reconciliation report indicates only 432 were received electronically (email/fax) creating a 279 ballot discrepancy in the certified records.
- 3. While the currently available data provided for this analysis may be found to represent all duplicated ballots, the lack of being provided a summary sheet in any form by election officials, and the lack of information on the nature and quantity of duplicated ballots on the reconciliation report, provides no auditable means (audit trail) to determine the true magnitude of ballots duplicated.

Category Reconciliation (detailed accounting of ballots) Issued (number of voters in sound) Ballots Accepted Ballots not counted Ballots Rejected (l'armound for woters issued containing ballots) Discrepancy (ff zero, category balances) UOCAVA 1,230 673 Beallots Accepted (Counted / Tabulated) Ballots Rejected Discrepancy (ff zero, category balances) UOCAVA 1,230 673 Beallots Accepted (Counted / Tabulated) Ballots Rejected Discrepancy (ff zero, category balances) UOCAVA 1,230 673 Beallots Accepted (Counted / Tabulated) Ballots Rejected Discrepancy (ff zero, category balances) UOCAVA 1,230 673 Beallots Accepted (Counted / Tabulated) N/A 0	Reconciliation Forn	n							
Support Support Addite registered votes BLock Category Reconciliation (detailed accounting of ballots) Issued Oversensity Ballots not counted Discrepancy Votes registered votes 142000 Ballots not counted Discrepancy Discrepancy Votes registered votes 14200 Votes votes Votes votes Discrepancy Votes registered votes 0 0 0 0 0 Votes votes 0 0 0 0 0 0 Votes votes 0 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Burnet the second se</th><th></th><th></th></t<>					Burnet the second se				
Betein date 11/2020 Instrument works 3,88 Category Reconciliation (detailed accounting of ballots) Category Reconciliation (detailed accounting of ballots) UCXVA Instrument Ins		Searit					85.687		
Issued (number of voters insued) voters insued insues voters insued insues voters insued insues voters insued insues voters insues voters vote							3,886		
Issued (number of voters insued) voters insued insues voters insued insues voters insued insues voters insued insues voters insues voters vote	Category Reconcilia	ation (detaile	d accounting of ba	allots)					
Issued (voters insued voters insued					n-II-s				
Uncome of the constraining ballots, contraining ballots, constraining ballots, constrai		Issued	Conditad unters in		and the second second second	s not counted	Discronancy		
UDCAVA Feetral writerin Provisional DBIs 13.30 672 0 Feetral 0 NA 5 0 Provisional DBIs 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 All votes rategorise 38,760 73,373 0 507 0 Total for all voter categorise 39,590 74,551 74,633 0 512 0 Summary Reconciliation Overall Ballet Reconciliation Discrepancy Additional Information Registerment Ballets 3,69 Ballet NVC Curried Discrepancy 74,531 84,639 0 512 0 Vater credited to ballots counted Credited oten in VoteWA Credited to ballots counted Discrepancy 74,531 84 3,40 Discrepancy 0 74,531 74,633 0 512 0 Vater credited to ballots counted Credited oten in VoteWA Credited to ballots counted Discrepancy 74,531 84,639 0 512 0 Vater credited to ballots counted Credited to ballots coun		voters issued	VoteWA (envelopes		forwarded to other	Ballots Rejected	(If zero, category		
No. 0 0 NA 0 0 NA 0 0 DBIS 0	UOCAVA	1830	673	668		5	0		
DBLS 0 0 0 No. 0 0 All Vietrs not redited in above categories 90,590 74,551 74,039 0 507 0 SUmmary Reconciliation Additional Information Additional Information Replacement Bullets 3.49 Balos Accepted Balos A									
All votes categories 48,760 72,872 73,371 0 507 0 Summary 90,590 74,551 74,039 0 512 0 Summary Reconciliation Additional information Reparation 14,000 3,69 Summary Additional information Reparation 14,000 3,69 3,69 Subtract Reconciliation 74,051 74,051 14,000 3,69 3,69 Bitos Reconstitution 13,600 3,600 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>Ð</td><td>0</td></td<>			0	0		Ð	0		
above creptories All / Vo 74.8/12 0 50/7 0 Total for all voter categories 90,590 74,551 74,039 0 512 0 SUmmary Reconciliation Overall Ballot Reconciliation Regressed 3,09 3,09 Ballots Accepted 74,551 Regressed 3,09 3,00 Ballots Accepted 74,503 Based 3,00 3,00 Ballots Accepted 74,039 Based 3,00 3,00 Credited to ballots counted Credited in NoteWA 74,036 101 Fax 1 1 Credited in VoteWA (examples: FWA8 or ACP) 13 Credited in NoteWA 74,036 1 1 Daccepancy Cotage or WoteWA (examples: FWA8 or ACP) 13 Credited in NoteWA 3,00 1 1	and the second se	0	0	0	N74	-0	0		
Summary Reconciliation Additional information Overall Builds Reconstitution Repareted 34039 Balots Accepted Balots Necophied 34039 Discrepancy 0 Voters credited to ballots counted Counted Credit often in VoteWA Credited in VoteWA (examples: FWAB or ACP) 74,038 (11) Discrepancy (2) Category discrepancy explanation 74,038 (11) Category discrepancy explanation (2) Category discrepancy explanation (2) Category discrepancy explanation (2) Report prepared by: Category discrepancy Gabrielle Clay Report prepared by: Category for prepared by: Category discrepancy Gabrielle Clay		88,760	73,878	73,371	0	507	0		
Overall Ballet Reconciliation Replacement Ballets Ballets Reconciled 74553 Ballets Moc Counted 3.40 Description 0 Ballets Moc Counted 3.40 Control of the second distribution 3.40 Ballets Moc Counted 2.45 Control of the second distribution 3.40 Voters credited to ballots counted Counted Credet voters in VoteWA 74,036 Credet voters in VoteWA 74,036 Credet voters in VoteWA (examples: FWAB or ACP) 13 Descrepancy 13 Operation the lattice 13 Descrepancy (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay	Total for all voter categories	90,590	74,551	74,039	0	512	0		
Reconciliation Additional Information Overall Sulter Recondition Reparation Ballos Accepted 74,051 Ballos Accepted 74,051 Ballos Accepted 74,051 Decrepancy 0 Voters credited to ballots counted 2,62 Credited envelopes atthout ballots 111 Order and envelopes atthout ballots 111 Total valid ballots 74,036 Credited envelopes atthout ballots 113 Decrepancy 0 Return method 260 Fax 12 Decrepancy (1) Total valid ballots 74,036 Decrepancy (1) Generation method 2,62 Fax 13 Decrepancy (1) Generation method 2,62 Votewn At creating in none programs 2,62 PDF originating from county 3,69 Non-UOCAVA returned by Fax or Email 3,69 Other online programs 2,62 Votewn At energing seperated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in one sectrecy sleeve, both ballocs were rounted	Summary								
Overall Ballet Reconciliation Replacement Ballets Ballets Reconciled 74553 Ballets Moc Counted 3.40 Description 0 Ballets Moc Counted 3.40 Control of the second distribution 3.40 Ballets Moc Counted 2.45 Control of the second distribution 3.40 Voters credited to ballots counted Counted Credet voters in VoteWA 74,036 Credet voters in VoteWA 74,036 Credet voters in VoteWA (examples: FWAB or ACP) 13 Descrepancy 13 Operation the lattice 13 Descrepancy (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Category discrepancy explanation (1) Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay				Additional Inf	formation				
Baless Acceled 74.531 Hequested 3.69 Baless Acceled 74.039 Hequested 3.69 Baless Acceled 3.69 3.69 Credied evolutions outled 74.036 Email 44 Descrepancy (2) Generation method 0.04 VotewA 1.99 0/04er online programs 1.99 PDF orginating from county Non-UOCAVA hallots isoued electronicaly 3.42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay									
Building Not Counted 512 Returned 2,65 Decrepancy 0 Counted 2,66 Rejected 2,63 Voters credited to ballots counted Rejected 2,63 Credited voters in VoteWA 74,036 4 Fask 1 1 Voters not credited in VoteWA (example: FWAB or ACP) 13 Deposited at staffed, unstaffed deposit sites and voting centers 60,74 Total valid ballots 74,036 1 1 1 Decrepancy (1) Center of the programs 60,74 Total valid ballots 74,036 1 1 Decrepancy (2) Generation method 1 Category discrepancy explanation 1,39 0 1,49 Category discrepancy explanation AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020	Ballots Received		74,551				3,69		
Discrepancy 0 Counted 2.62 Voters credited to ballots counted 3 Credited voters in VoteWA 74,036 Credited involves without ballots (11) Fax 1 Voters not credited involves without ballots (11) Fax 1 Deposited at staffed, unstaffed depositistes and voting centers (6) Total valid ballots 74,036 Discrepancy (2) Category discrepancy explanation (2) Category discrepancy explanation (2) Category discrepancy explanation Votewall environmented by AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Estimated for water privacy, found 2 ballots is source stered stere, both ballots were rounted Point prepared by: Gabrielle Clay			74,039	Issued			3,68)		
Weighted Rejected a Voters credited to ballots counted Tredied oversions in VoteWA 74,036 Credied oversions without ballots 11 Fax 14 Voters not credited in VoteWA (examples: PWAB or ACP) 13 Deposited at staffed, unstaffed deposit sites and voting 60,74 Total valid ballots 74,036 Centers Other not control 60,74 Discrepancy (4) Generation method 0 VoteWA 1,39 Other online programs 1,39 Other online programs PDF originating from country 1,40 Non-UOCAVA ballots issued electronically 1,40 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU							2,651		
Credited ivoters in VoteWA 74,036 Email 41 Credited invotepes without ballots 111 Fax 1 Voters not credited in VoteWA (examples: FWAB or ACP) 13 Deposited at staffed, unstaffed deposit sites and voting centers 60,74 Total valid ballots 74,038 Report Prepared by: Generation method 60,74 Category discrepancy (1) Generation method 5,39 Other onlice programs 0,74 1,39 Other onlice programs 0,74 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU 1,42 Category discrepancy explanation After being separated for voter privacy, found 2 balons in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were rounted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020	Discrepancy		0				2,627		
Credited envelopes without ballots 11) Fax 1 Voters not credited in VoteWA (examples: FWA8 or ACP) 13 Deposited at staffed, unstaffed deposit sites and voting 60,74 Total valid ballots 74,038 Non-UOCAVA returned by Fax or Email 60,74 Decrepancy (1) Generation method 1,39 Other online programs 90,74 1,42 AVU State of environmethod by Fax or Email 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU 1,42 Category discrepancy explanation Atter being separated for voter privacy, found 2 bits in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were counted counted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020	Voters credited to ballots co	unted		Return method					
Voters not credited in VoteWA (examples: FWAB or ACP) 13 Deposited at staffed, unstaffed deposit sites and voting centers 90.74 Total valid ballots 74,033 Decosted at staffed, unstaffed deposit sites and voting centers 90.74 Discrepancy (1) Generation method 1,39 Other online programs 1,39 Other online programs 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation Attract paper ballots printed by AVU Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date:	Credited voters in VoteWA		74,036	Email			43		
Votes in VoteWA (examples: FWAB of ACP) 13 60,74 Total valid ballots 74,038 Non-UOCAVA returned by Fax or Email Decrepancy (1) Generation method 1,39 Other online programs 1,39 Other online programs 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation AVU Category discrepancy explanation Atter being separated for voter privacy, found 2 balots in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were rounted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay	Credited envelopes without ball	lots	(11)	Fax			14		
Total valid ballots 74,034 Descrepancy (4) Generation method 1,39 VoteWA 1,39 Other online programs PDF orgination from county Non-UOCAVA returned by Fax or Email 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU AVU Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020	Voters not credited in VoteWA	examples: PWAB or	ACP) 13	60.749					
Descrepancy (4) Generation method 1.39 VoteWA 1.39 Other online programs 1.42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Editated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation After being separated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in once sectrecy skeeve, both ballots were rounted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020			- S. M						
Generation method 1,39 Other online programs PDF originating from county Non-UCCAVA ballots issued electronically 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation VoteWA discrepancy explanation After being seperated for voter privacy, found 2 balars in once sectrecy sleeve, both balkots were counted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020			11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Non-UOCAVA retu	urned by Fax or Em	ail			
Other online programs PDF originating from county Non-UOCAVA ballots issued electronically 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation VoteWA discrepancy explanation After being separated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were counted Countered Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020	Discrepancy		(1)		hod				
PDF originating from county 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation VoteWA discrepancy explanation After being seperated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were counted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay							1,391		
Non-UOCAVA ballots issued electronicaly 1,42 AVU Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation VoteWA discrepancy explanation After being seperated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were counted counted									
Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation After being separated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were counted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020									
Estimated number of paper ballots printed by AVU Category discrepancy explanation After being separated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were counted Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020				1000					
After being seperated for voter privacy, found 2 ballots in once secrecy sleeve, both ballots were rounted				and a believe that a set of the s	r of paper ballots p	rinted by AVU			
Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020	Category	nation	VoteWA discrepancy explanation						
Report prepared by: Gabrielle Clay Date: November 24, 2020			After being sepera	sted for voter privacy		ecy sleeve, both ballots were			
Contact number: 360-416-1702	Report prepared by:	Gabrielle Cla	ıγ	1	Date:	November 24, 20	020		
		102 22 24	2.2						

EXHIBIT 8 – RECONCILIATION REPORT

Data Analysis -CONTINUED-

Oath of Authenticity

STATE OF WASHINGTON)

COUNTY OF SKAGIT

I solemnly swear that the unofficial returns and supporting documentation of the General Election held on November 3rd, 2020 in Skagit County, State of Washington, are true and correct.

dia

County Auditor/Designee Subscribed and sworn to me this 24th day of November 2020

SS.

an 20

County Legislative Authority/Designee (witness)

County Prosecuting Attorney/Designee (witness)



Certification of the Canvassing Board

STATE OF WASHINGTON ١

COUNTY OF SKAGIT

The undersigned officers designated by law as constituting the Canvassing Board for the County of Skagit, State of Washington, hereby certify that this is a full, true and correct copy of the Abstract of Votes including the number of registered eligible voters, cumulative results, precinct results, and report of votes cast at the General Election held on November 3rd, 2020 in Skagit County, State of Washington.

Witness our hands and official seal this 24th day of November 2020

) \$\$.

)

County Auditor/Designee

in

County Legislative Authority/Designee

County Prosecuting Attorney/Designee



EXHIBIT 9 – ELECTION CERTIFICATION

FINDINGS

After receiving responses from Skagit county for ballot duplication records, an analysis of audit records and data was performed to confirm the logic of the data provided, compliance with applicable laws, and conflicts with certified election results that has found the following;

BALLOT DUPLICATON AUDITING COMPLIANCE FINDINGS

- 1. Skagit County ballot duplication processes began occurring on October 28, 2020 without any known public notification or invitation to major political parties in violation of state laws.
- 2. Skagit County Canvassing Board has provided no meeting documents, including minutes of any November 2020 Canvassing Board meeting in violation of state laws.
- 3. SKAGIT COUNTY BALLOT DUPLICATION PROCESSESSING BY BOARD 3 AND BOARD 4 WAS KNOWINGLY AND WILLFULLY NEGLECTFUL IN ITS DUPLICATION AND AUDING OF BALLOTS IN VIOLATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS.

BALLOT DUPLICATON ANALYSIS FINDINGS

- 4. If only UOCAVA ballots can be received electronically, and certified records confirm only 432 ballots total were received electronically, and none rejected, and zero non-UOCAVA Ballots were received electronically, 234 UOCAVA Ballots were received physically concluding either;
 - a. Another 505 ballot duplications were forged by election officials in violation of state and federal laws.
 - b. All 711 electronica ballot duplications were forgeries in violation of state and federal laws.
- 5. Accordingly to state and county election data, only 432 ballots are categorized as being received by email or fax, affirming the certified results. However, based on the analysis that 505-711 ballots as being received electronically were forged, this can only conclude that the state records as reported electronically by the County Election office is forged to conceal the forged duplicate ballots in violation of state and federal laws.

BALLOT CERTIFICATION FINDINGS

- 6. IF NO MORE THAN THE CURRENTLY IDENTIFIED 505-711 FORGED BALLOTS ARE FOUND, THERE MAY BE A MIMIMUM TOTAL VOTE IMPACT OF 1422 VOTES IMPACTING THE OUTCOME OF **13 COMPETITIONS** IN THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020 SKAGIT COUNTY ELECTION.
- 7. THE SKAGIT COUNTY AUDITOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BALLOTS TABULATED AND AN AUDIT TRAIL OF DUPLICATED BALLOTS IN THE RECONCILIATION REPORT IS A VIOLATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS.
- THE SKAGIT COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD SIGNED CERTIFICATIONS FOR THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020 CONFLICT WITH SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION IN VIOLATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the events and findings as described above, adequate evidence exists to support the determination that during the ballot duplication process for the November 3, 2020 election, multiple Skagit County election officials, including employees and canvassing board members, knowingly violated state laws and participated in the forging of ballots in violation of multiple state and federal laws.