

The Engine of Evolution: The Fertile Egg

Robin Calamaio - Copyright 2021

Introduction

Just by the title, I have exposed ... no evolutionists actually exist. At least I have never met any. Why such an assertion? Well, I do know many who *say* they are evolutionists, but I have never heard *even one objecting to* ... attacks on the fertile egg. So, what's the big deal about that?

Well, if something is *the* bedrock of your system, and you are totally oblivious to its importance - how can you be a believer in that system - much less an advocate for it? In this case, for the more complex lifeforms, the fertile egg is the engine - literally, the lifeblood - of the theory of Evolution. And yet, I know of no evolutionists coming to the fertile egg's defense. In fact, it's only people like me - theists, particularly Biblical theists - working to defend the fertile egg from lethal attack. So, let's take this step by step. First, ...

What is a Fertile Egg?

While it is many things, here are two foundational points.

Scientifically

1. In humans, it is a single cell that is the successful merger of two "*half breed*" cells. A sperm cell with 23 chromosomes has merged with an egg cell of 23 chromosomes forming *one* cell with 46 chromosomes. Two lifelines have created one "*genetically complete*" cell. Astounding.

2. Immediately, at the instant of merger, a clock turns on - and with time, nourishment and the absence of death, a fertile egg will become a unique human adult 100% of the time. This is an amazing science stat that cannot be outdone by any physical reality in any branch of science. More astounding.

While a mountain could be scientifically detailed about this living matter, I am trying to keep this article concise. So now, I want to discuss the fertile egg's place in the three worldview systems - Theism (just the Biblical view), Humanism, and Evolution (Naturalism).

Biblical Theism

This is as simple as it gets. To begin, the only way inorganic elements form living matter is because the Author of the Bible says He "*makes alive*" (Gen 2:7, Deut 32:39, 1Sam 2:6, 2Ki 5:7, Ne 9:6, and Ezk 37:6). He infuses what I call, "*the life element*" into an inorganic conglomeration that is then alive. This applies to a single cell or to a creature made up of trillions of cells.

Concerning the merger of the two little half breeds that result in the fertile egg, the Author of the Bible also takes full credit for that event. He often calls it, "*opening the womb,*" or conversely, "*closing the womb*" or "*closing fast the womb.*" (Gen 20:17-18, Gen 29:31, Gen 30:22-23, 1Sam 1:5-6, Lk 1:31, etc.) So, a woman conceives (or not) by His determination.

Concerning the womb in general, there are a half dozen passages where the Author of the Bible declares He forms us in the womb (Isa 44:2, Isa 49:5, Jer 1:5, Ps

139:13, etc). This starts with the fertile egg ... and Him *“turning on the clock,”* as I like to say. The mother’s womb is His domain - and workshop - for each human life. Does a warning need to be issued about invading this space with lethal intent? Now, back to the fertile egg and worldview number two.

Humanism

In the United States, a few years before this writing, legislation was passed requiring health insurance plans to include, *“the morning after pill,”* or *“Plan B,”* or the *“post coital contraception”* pill. It is for a woman who has had intercourse and if a fertile egg has been produced, this drug prevents it from attaching to the mother’s uterine wall. The result? It is expelled and, I assume, flushed down the toilet. If not killed, as stated earlier - with time, nourishment and the absence of death, that fertile egg would have become a unique human adult 100% of the time. The fertile egg destroyed in this way has been denied all three.

This law also mandated that businesses, who provide health insurance for their employees, must pay for those pills. This was challenged in court by Biblical theists - and they won. The owners of Hobby Lobby, a corporation, contended that being forced to pay for this abortive drug through health insurance premium dollars, violated their religious freedom and freedom of conscience - arguments in this vein.

A co-worker of mine, an adamant evolutionist, confronted me with this *“ridiculous”* ruling by the Supreme Court. For Hobby Lobby, a corporation, to have *“the right”* to refuse paying for this abortive drug, was, in his view, a great injustice. He asserted this was *“absurd”* as a corporation is not a person *“with rights.”*

Rather than engage him on his Humanism of *“rights”* (Evolution recognizes no such thing - detailed in my ebook on abortion at <https://freelygive-n.com>), I engaged him as the evolutionist he professed to be. I asked, *“So, ... what is a fertile egg?”* He said, *“The morning after pill just prevents a fertile egg from attaching to the uterine wall.”* I responded, *“That is not what I asked. What is a fertile egg?”* He danced around and began speaking of the percentage of miscarriages, stats on unwanted pregnancies, etc. I said, *“Forget all that. Looking at a fertile egg from a purely scientific view, what is it?”* He finally said, *“It is not an entity.”* I said, *“**not an entity**” ??? What does that have to do with anything? That is just some **metaphysical**, humanistic concept completely void of science - totally irrelevant to what I just asked. Abandon all human opinion, all theological contention, and look only at it - forgetting everything else in the entire Universe. From a purely scientific, atheistic, naturalistic, physical and factual basis - what is it? What is that fertile egg?”* He then started talking about atheism ... and I stopped the dance.

This exchange was a perfect example of Humanism. Human opinion becomes the ultimate authority on any given issue. If Biblical Theism presents a contrary view, just ignore that. If science presents an unwanted view, toss that too. And Humanism is quite malleable. Each one opting for such a value set can make it accommodate any given opinion he/she wants to hold.

The Bible, while sometimes complex, is just as often quite simple. Humanism defined: *“everyone did what was right in his own eyes”* (Jg 21:25). While Humanism might dress itself up in manifestos or soft *“science”* (which should be labeled *“social observation,”* or *“political observation,”* etc., leaving the word *“science”* for the hard sciences of chemistry, genetics, physics, etc.), Humanism’s foundational tenants rest

solely on human opinion. Bottomline, this creates a worldview based upon the weakest form of all thought and valuation.

Concerning my humanistic co-worker, below are the basics of the rest of that conversation following his stopped dance. I have no idea if any of this even made a dent.

Scientific Again

A fertile egg is simply the earliest stage of a unique, human lifeline. It is human life, nothing else - and it cannot become anything else. While the theory of Evolution asserts it is possible it could become some other lifeform, the honest evolutionist will admit this has never been witnessed. So, what is the fertile egg in the context of evolutionary theory?

The Fertile Egg: the Engine of Evolution

That is a pretty bold statement. But since Evolution is built upon the absolute bedrock of profitable mutations from the primordial goo to all present lifeforms, concerning a sexual species (like us), the fertile egg is the only vehicle now containing those mutations. But, I want to back up a bit.

Some might contend that the engine driving Evolution is *change in the environment* - housing the existing lifeforms. *This* causes the change in lifeforms. But, these are external, inorganic things - separate from the actual living matter. I don't know any evolutionary theorist who proposes that the inorganics of the given environmental change inserts itself into a fertile egg and *makes* the biological change. The biological mutation itself is actually what changes the organism's living matter. But, putting that aside ... what else is the fertile egg in evolutionary theory?

This is basic. *The fertile egg is the fruit of evolutionary victors* - and it may contain the profitable mutation(s) necessary for that lifeline's continued survival - making the line better fit to function in some ecological niche or niches. As profitable mutations arise randomly, each fertile egg is the premier contemporary lifeform - until proven otherwise. That includes superiority over mom and dad. It is from the fertile egg, and only there, that evolutionary "*success*" arises.

The key assertion above, is that such mutations arise *randomly*. Which fertile egg may contain critical changes for survival in this ever changing, inorganic environment - no one can know. That means that any desire, or philosophy, accepting the concept of "*unwanted*" fertile eggs only comes from the metaphysical insanity of Humanism. Such a valuation of the fertile egg directly opposes the theory of Evolution. It is opposite the theory. It defies the theory. It attacks the theory. It cannot be overstated how hostile this thinking is ... as it leads to the wanton killing of the engine of the entire theory of Evolution.

Having said this, I suppose some evolutionist could develop a theory of "*Extinctology*" where such reasoning could be validated. The theory would have to assert something like this: "*A species attacking its preborn population is a **natural** occurrence when that species has run its course and is heading into extinction.*" But, since the current abortive practices in our species are artificially induced, that may be a tough argument. No species has ever invented *artificial* abortive drugs (or tools) to use on itself. In many ways, it is hard to call that natural. I address this in more detail in my abortion ebook as well.

Conclusion

Concerning my co-worker, the adamant evolutionist, the very fact I had to first explain the science of the fertile egg's reality, and secondly, its role in Evolution - is proof he is not an evolutionist. He is simply a humanist camouflaging himself with an air and pretense of science. Even after this exchange, I think this theory's basic tenants continue to elude him.

But, he is not alone. Who challenged the abortive drug law referenced above? It was only Biblical theists from Hobby Lobby. Evolutionists should always be at the forefront of *all* abortive fights - fertile egg through delivery. But, I know of no such movement in any of their ranks.

It is not from lack of money that they fail to engage this assault on their theory. And it is not from censorship or lack of access to "*the airwaves*" that they are silent on this matter. Indeed, they have had unimpeded platforms from which to propagate their "*truths*" for decades. They dominate the entire public education system (kindergarten through Doctorate Degrees in every field of human endeavor) - and they dominate almost all science facilities, publications and organizations in the entire world. Evolution, and its unchallenged deep time declarations, dominate almost every media platform - movies, documentaries, magazines ... everything. There is nothing prohibiting evolutionists from crushing this attack on their "*science*." Yet ... not a peep. They even allow the Humanist Manifesto II, a clearly non scientific declaration on abortive and homosexual practices, to go unchallenged ... while its authors and adherents claim evolutionary theory as the base of their manifesto and worldview!

But, there is at least one last evidence that there are no evolutionists in existence. *I* am the one writing this article ... a Biblical theist. Evolutionists should be writing this - not me. I have more on that on my website (below) as well.

I do think it is possible that in the early years of this theory, there may have been some evolutionists who would have agreed with the primary contentions made here. And, if they were living in this current environment, they would be aggressive pro-lifers. But, if that suspicion is correct, that is what is now extinct.

So, the reason it is only Biblical theists coming to the defense of our preborn population, which starts with the fertile egg, is because ... there are no evolutionists.

* * * * *

This is just the beginning of the abortion argument.
For several other articles and an ebook on this matter, go to
<https://freelygive-n.com>

Robin Calamaio
BA, Business Administration (Milligan College '90)
Master of Divinity (Emmanuel School of Religion '92)