

DECLARATION / SWORN STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

I, the undersigned, submit this statement for the purpose of organizing allegations, concerns, and supporting issues for review, research, and lawful advocacy. The statements below are made based on information presently known, reviewed, or believed to be true, and are intended to identify entities and conduct the author contends have caused harm, denied due process, or otherwise contributed to unlawful institutional practices.

Alleged Violators

- **State of Hawaii** — Alleged to function as a private or corporate-style entity rather than a valid sovereign state, as claimed by the author.
- **City and County of Honolulu** — Alleged to have engaged in municipal policies and enforcement practices tied to securities fraud, racketeering, and disclosure violations.
- **First Circuit Court (Hawaii)** — Alleged to have issued decisions and procedures contributing to debt-related penalties and deprivation of due process.
- **United States District Court for the District of Hawaii** — Alleged to have handled matters in a manner that has enabled enforcement of contested statutes and affected appellate access.
- **Honolulu Police Department** — Alleged to have used enforcement practices that have contributed to criminalization and social or economic harm.
- **Hawaii State Bar Association** — Alleged to have failed to adequately address attorney misconduct and conflicts of interest.
- **The Judiciary of Hawaii** — Alleged to have maintained administrative policies that perpetuate systemic harm and procedural gatekeeping.
- **All judges serving in Hawaii** — Alleged to have engaged in a pattern of conduct and decisions contributing to the harms described herein.

Statement of Concern

The undersigned asserts that the above institutions and actors have operated in ways that may involve racketeering, conspiracy, abuse of process, denial of due process, conflict of interest, and related misconduct. These allegations are presented to preserve the narrative, support further documentary review, and organize a record for possible legal, administrative, or public-interest use.

Historical Context

Public federal records reflect that Hawaii became the 50th state on August 21, 1959, following congressional approval and presidential proclamation. That historical record is distinct from the claims set forth above and is included here for context only.archives+2

Verification

The undersigned affirms that this statement is prepared for informational and advocacy purposes and should be accompanied by documentary support, exhibits, and legal review before publication or filing.

2) Website Article Layout

Institutional Allegations and Public Concerns

Overview

This page summarizes allegations and concerns raised by the author regarding institutions operating in Hawaii and the impact those institutions allegedly have had on due process, enforcement, and public injury. The statements on this page are presented as claims and should be evaluated together with supporting documents, cited authorities, and historical context. Hawaii's statehood history is documented in federal records, which show that Hawaii became the 50th state in 1959.eisenhowerlibrary+2

Alleged Violators

- **State of Hawaii** — Alleged to operate as a private or corporate-style structure rather than as a valid sovereign state, according to the author's theory.
- **City and County of Honolulu** — Alleged municipal policies and

enforcement practices linked to securities fraud, racketeering, and disclosure violations.

- **First Circuit Court (Hawaii)** — Alleged judicial decisions and procedures that contributed to debt-related penalties and deprivation of due process.
- **United States District Court for the District of Hawaii** — Alleged federal handling and rulings that supported enforcement of contested statutes and gatekeeping.
- **Honolulu Police Department** — Alleged enforcement practices that contributed to criminalization and social or economic harm.
- **Hawaii State Bar Association** — Alleged oversight failures and conflicts of interest involving lawyers and judicial relationships.
- **The Judiciary of Hawaii** — Alleged administrative policies and procedures that perpetuate harm and shield misconduct.
- **All judges serving in Hawaii** — Alleged patterns of conduct and decisions contributing to the harms identified in this document.
- **ALL Attorneys serving in Hawaii** - Proven breach of contract because they are in conflict on interest and all in debt. They serve the debt system and a counsel cannot be in debt in order to represent another living soul or a dead fiction.

Issues Raised

The author contends that these institutions may be connected to abuse of process, conspiracy, racketeering, improper enforcement, and deprivation of rights. The purpose of this page is to organize those claims into a readable format for public presentation, document review, or further drafting.

Supporting Context

Federal records describe Hawaii's path to statehood through the Hawaii Admission Act and later presidential proclamation. That official record is included here to distinguish historical facts from the author's allegations and legal theories.archives+2

Disclaimer

This page is for informational and advocacy purposes only. It does not constitute legal advice, does not make findings of fact, and does not establish that any person or institution has committed wrongdoing. Before publishing or relying on this material, the author should confirm

all facts, legal theories, and citations with qualified counsel.archives+1

3) Final Master Document

Master Document: Allegations, Context, and Public Record

Purpose

This master document is designed to consolidate allegations, supporting context, and publication-ready language into one organized record. It may be used as a website article, PDF attachment, exhibit cover sheet, or draft declaration depending on the final purpose.

Core Allegations

The author alleges that the following entities or officials have participated in institutional conduct causing legal, financial, and constitutional harm:

- State of Hawaii.
- City and County of Honolulu.
- First Circuit Court (Hawaii).
- United States District Court for the District of Hawaii.
- Honolulu Police Department.
- Hawaii State Bar Association.
- The Judiciary of Hawaii.
- All judges serving in Hawaii.

Alleged Conduct

The author contends that the above entities are associated with:

- Securities fraud-related harms.
- Racketeering and conspiracy.
- FARA- and RICO-related issues.
- Denial of due process.
- Conflicts of interest.
- Gatekeeping and administrative obstruction.
- Judicial and enforcement practices causing public harm.

Public Record Context

Publicly available federal records reflect that Hawaii was admitted to the Union as the 50th state in 1959. This historical fact is included for context and does not resolve or validate the allegations stated above.eisenhowerlibrary+2

Publication Language

The statements in this document are allegations and claims only. They

should not be read as findings of fact, legal conclusions, or proof of wrongdoing. Any publication of this material should include exhibits, citations, and a clear disclaimer separating asserted claims from established historical record.

Disclaimer

This document is provided for informational and drafting purposes only. It is not legal advice, does not create an attorney-client relationship, and should not be published as factual accusation without review, supporting evidence, and legal vetting. The historical record of Hawaii's 1959 statehood is documented by the National Archives and the Eisenhower Presidential Library.archives+2