**Re: Important Information**

**Jane Robert** <jane.robert@gmail.com>, Mon Nov 1, 2021 at 1:07 PM
To: Michael Wilson <michael.wilson@ecb.europa.eu>, Mark Dowling <mark.dowling@ecb.europa.eu>, Ralf Ahrens <ralf.ahrens@ecb.europa.eu>, Thalia Dixon <thalia.dixon@ecb.europa.eu>, Rebecca Kaiser <rebecca.kaiser@ecb.europa.eu>, Tobias Gaudlitz <tobias.gaudlitz

I will deal with your email in order. I have removed the non-party counsel to this proceeding.

1. I do not represent the JCCF. I am counsel to Freedom Corp. JCCF has their own counsel in the proceeding.
2. Bridgitte has been treated equally to all witnesses.
2. The confidentiality undertaking is similar to the implied undertaking rule that exists at common law for court matters. Further, there is evidence in the production dealing with national security issues. All our clients signed it of their own free will.
2. Your understanding of the evidence of Mr. Wilson, K.C. is incorrect.
3. Your understanding of the ability to challenge the composition of a commission or a tribunal for bias is misguided and has no basis in law.
4. You cannot "accuse" or prosecute someone for treason as a private citizen. It is an offence not permissible to be brought by way of private information, and can only be brought by the Attorney General.
5. It is clear you simply have zero understanding of civil rights law and constitutional law.

For the balance of your submission I rely upon *Meads v. Meads*, 2012 ABQB 571, link here: https://www.canlii.org/en/ab/abqb/doc/2012/2012abqb571/2012abqb571.html

Regards,

Brendan M. Miller
Counsel for Freedom Corp.

Sent from Mail for Windows

**From:** Jane Schardt
**Sent:** November 7, 2022 7:38 PM
**To:** Brendan Miller; John Mather
**Cc:** Brousseau, Eric; Eva Chipiuk; Bath-Sheba van den Berg; Keith Wilson, Q.C.; Rebecca Sheppard; Dave Freedom; robert.mackinnon@justice.gc.ca; Donnarae.nygard@justice.gc.ca; Sharon.johnston@justice.gc.ca; Sanam.goudarzi@justice.gc.ca; philippe.dupuis@justice.gc.ca; mitch.mcadam@gov.sk.ca; Michael.Morris@gov.sk.ca; Michael.Morris@gov.mb.ca; Coral.Lang@gov.mb.ca; mandy.englander@gov.ab.ca; stephanie.bowes@gov.ab.ca; anne.tardif@gowlingwlg.com; alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com; jennifer.king@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; tourry@litigate.com; rjones@litigate.com; ndestefano@litigate.com; dmigicovsky@perlaw.ca; jbarrow@perlaw.ca; chris.diana@ontario.ca; jinan.kubursi@ontario.ca; thomas.mcrae@ontario.ca; heather.paterson@ontario.ca; chandler@windsorpolice.ca; heather.paterson@hhbleyrighton.com; nini.jones@jonesparce.com; lauren.pearce@jonesparce.com; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; greg@gregdelbigio.com; mckeown@danielbrownlaw.ca; mel@melliawgroup.ca; jbeltchman@loonix.com; su@choudhry.law; janani@qslp.ca; pchamp@champlaw.ca; elaman@champlaw.ca; cjohnson@champlaw.ca; dsanford@protonmail.com; alan.honner@thedemocracyfund.ca; mitchell@JCCF.ca; antoine@sblaw.ca; czwiebel@cca.org; ekrajewska@hhlp.ca; kwilson@wilsonlawoffices.ca; Eva Chipiuk; mfiorino@bc.ca; adam.fogel@thedemocracyfund.ca; hkher@jccf.ca; daniel.chomski@gowlingwlg.com; css@sulaw.ca; Bozena; Vee Mongoose Gandhi; larry.brock@parl.gc.ca; media@ottawapolice.ca; communications@oppa.ca; editorial@thecanadianpress.com; Newsroom@globeandmail.com; news@thespec.com; readers@metronews.ca; toronto@metronews.ca; letters@nationalpost.com; letters@ottawacitizen.com; ottsun.city@sunmedia.ca; newsroom@thereport.com; city@thestar.ca; torsun.city@sunmedia.ca; news@windsorstar.com; breakingnews@qp24.com; newstips@globalfn.com; Cfra News; tips1310@rogers.com; janed@newsmax.com; jdoe@newsmax.com; response@peerdeals.ewebgroup.com; CHRIS DACEY; contact@whatsupcanada.org; info@unfettered.ca; Bridgitte Belton; contact@vicunrel.com; editor@thehoser.ca; editor@upyourdate.ca; editor@canadaland.com; tips@topstalkers.ca; news@hockeyfeed.ca; contact@vicunrel.com; editor@thehoser.ca; editor@upyourdate.ca; darren.krause@newsnetcalgary.com; editor@northernhaps.com; editor@thenewhub.ca; news@niagaraindependent.ca; hello@theottawan.com; RCMP.HQMediaRelations-GDRelationsmedias.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca; editorial@the-pigeon.ca; editor@cochrel.media; hello@jamiedoodmotion.ca; hello@sprawlcurgery.com; Bridgitte Belton
**Subject:** Re: Important Information

**Dear John Mather, Public Order Emergency Commission (POEC)and Brendan Miller, Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedom (JCCF) RE:**

**A. Response to JCCF's request to the Public Order Emergency Commission (POEC) to disallow Bridgitte Belton's evidence.**
**B. And regarding my request to the POEC to allow me to cross examine Keith Wilson and allow further submissions on Keith Wilson testimony past Oct 31 deadline.**
**C. Legal references**

## A.
## Your submission to the POEC

1. Ms. Belton was advised and summoned as a witness in these proceedings on or about July 2022. Providing these records mere hours before she is to take the stand, with no real opportunity to review same, violates procedural fairness. Ms. Belton has known for months about her obligation to provide all relevant and material records and did not do so.

### Response

The POEC provided duty counsel for Bridgitte who did nothing to help her prepare. She reached out to us in a panic to assist her 1 week before her testimony date and we agreed to help her. Bridgitte only received a summons to produce documents 1 week before she was to testify. And the documents from your clients for her to review she received 2 days before she was to testify.

Please note I did not get to prepare her for her testimony as her testimony was directed by the POEC. Bridgitte had to testify without even being given her questions by the POEC in advance. On the other hand, you got to prepare your clients statements and question them yourself.

## Your submission the POEC

2. From the email batch that we have been able to be reviewed, most if not all such records are from the time period well after the events in question before the POEC.

### Response

Not sure way you would object to Bridgitte testifying as to why she called this convoy. Evidence of how she was treated at the border related to the mandates and the efforts she made to try and resolve these matters through the CBSA and politicians. Thankfully the POEC did not agree to exclude this evidence.

## Your submission to the POEC

3. Further to (2), the records submitted this morning by Ms. Belton have little if any nexus whatsoever to the POEC's mandate as set out in the Order-in-Council.

### Response

Not sure what your concern is here. However, I notice that the Order-in-Council in addition to have been passed illegally i.e. without passing through the Federal Cabinet, it indicates as state of fact that there were blockades and seeks to learn what effect these blockades had on citizens. This is leading right out of the gate with all the witnesses for Ottawa as there was no blockades.

Oxford dictionary definition of **BLOCKADE**: an act or means of sealing off a place to prevent goods or people from entering or leaving.

## Your submission to the POEC

4. As well, from the sets of records that have been reviewed, they are an impermissible collateral attack on the credibility of witnesses from my client, Freedom Corp.; and

### Response

You do not question the credibility of the records only that they paint your clients in a disparaging light. How does that help the truckers involved to suppress this evidence?

## Your submission to the POEC

5. From a principled approach, tied in with procedural fairness, the prejudicial effect of these documents far outweighs any form of probative value within the mandate of these proceeding.

### Response

I find it outrageous that you would complain about procedural fairness and the prejudicial effect of records silencing witnesses.

a) You complained those you were representing to sign a confidentiality agreement with the POEC which silences anything that does not get brought out in the public portion of the hearing including documents, videos, photos etc. and all of their story and evidence had the POEC not called them at all. All witness testimony was guided by either the JCCF and a limited number of other lawyers who had standing or the POEC. This is not the way truth is sought it is the way narratives are created.
b) You get to prepare and question all your witnesses
c) You get to cross examine all witnesses
d) Your client Keith Wilson got to testify after Brigitte and after the deadline to submit documents to the POEC of Oct 31 so that neither Brigitte or anyone that spoke before Keith or members of the public could provide any counter evidence to that submitted by Wilson.
e) And I did not get to cross examine Keith Wilson for Brigitte.
1) Keith made a public statement that the truckers did not want to speak to Justin Trudeau we have many people who want to support what Brigitte testified to that she did want to speak with Justin Trudeau and in fact that was the trucker's main goal, before the Keith Wilson/JCCF, took over the organization of the convoy.
2) Keith made a public statement that the POEC made a false statement that a group at Coventry Rd where planning to attack police military style and he had to intervene. Several persons with no charges of criminal conduct in relation to this statement but are unable to do so because the deadline for submission is passed.
3) Keith Wilson testified that that a group at Sussex/Rideau would not move their trucks on to Wellington as per the agreement with the mayor again no opportunity to cross examine.
4) There may be other items in Keith Wilson's statement that people may want to challenge but are unable to do so because of the Oct 31st deadline for submissions.
f) You made no submissions about the composition of the POEC being illegal under section 63(1) of the Emergencies Act because it was not brought in by a vote of the entire Federal Cabinet rather Trudeau brought it in himself. And that is not impartial because Bill Blair sat it up and appointed the POEC commissioner who is a liberal supporter, appointed by Paul Martin.
g) You make no representations that the Emergencies Act preamble paragraph 3 disallows the violation of unconditional rights protected in the Canadian Bill of Rights when executing their emergency plans. Specifically, the right to free speech and assembly were violated with excessive use of violence during the executing of the emergency plan.
g) Your clients are grossly overrepresented in the lineup of witnesses from the convoy.

## B.
## My submission to the POEC re: permission to cross examine Keith Wilson and to have the deadline for public submissions extended to address Keith Wilson's testimony.

I have received the response from the Police Nov 7 for an opportunity to cross examine Keith Wilson. My request was disallowed because I missed the deadline to apply for standing June 2022. I was not representing any witnesses in June 2022 when the deadline elapsed. Therefore, this decision is not reasonable.

I am waiting now for an answer as to whether further evidence will be accepted by the POEC passed the Oct 31 deadline to refute Keith Wilsons testimony and perhaps the testimony of others that testified after Oct 31.

## C. Legal References

**National emergency**

**3** For the purposes of this Act, a ***national emergency*** is an urgent and critical situation of a temporary nature that

**(a)** seriously endangers the lives, health or safety of Canadians and is of such proportions or nature as to exceed the capacity or authority of a province to deal with it, or
**(b)** seriously threatens the ability of the Government of Canada to preserve the sovereignty, security and territorial integrity of Canada
and that cannot be effectively dealt with under any other law of Canada.

We have seen thus far in testimony that the protest was not a serious danger to the lives, health and safety of citizens and that there was no attack on the sovereignty or security of Canada. I will provide further evidence. As well, several City of Ottawa Staff and police officers testified that they did not receive any help or even communication from the province. Now Doug Ford is even refusing to attend the commission to testify because he says this is a federal matter. As well no evidence has been given by the Premiers of Ontario that they requested the Emergencies Act be invoked to end the protest being peaceful.
I question why this inquiry is investigating the grounds for the invocation of the Emergencies Act on February 14 and not the fact that it was invoked illegally according to section 17(1) of the Emergencies Act (EA). The Governor in Council is the entire Federal Cabinet and, in this case, it was Prime Minister, Marc Mendicino, invoked the EA. As well this commission is supposed to be stuck according to section 63(1) of the EA requiring the entire federal cabinet, Governor in Council, not only Justin Trudeau struck the committee. As well, the representatives for Alberta and Saskechewan testified that they were not consulted as required under section 25 of the EA even though their provinces are identified in the Emergencies Order.

*Emergencies Act Order in Council*

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1fuBvq7-HfpJSWe3ydMUK6Gzxwn1e_3/view?usp=sharing

Public Order Emergency Commission Order in Council:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1BJRK-2U2FfEf7-oXTJJBDPdNwB14fs3/view?usp=sharing

**Declaration of a public order emergency:**

- **17 (1)** When the Governor in Council believes, on reasonable grounds, that a public order emergency exists and necessitates the taking of special temporary measures for dealing with the emergency, the Governor in Council, after such consultation as is required by section 25, may declare

- **26 (1)** Subject to subsections (2) and (3), before the Governor in Council issues, continues or amends a declaration of a public order emergency, the lieutenant governor in council of each province in which the effects of the emergency occur shall be consulted with respect to the proposed action.

**EA PREAMBLE PARAGRAPH 3**

AND WHEREAS the Governor in Council, in taking such special temporary measures, would be subject to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Canadian Bill of Rights and must have regard to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, particularly with respect to those fundamental rights that are not to be limited or abridged even in a national emergency

"Governor in Council – **The Governor General, acting on the advice of Cabinet, as the formal executive body that gives legal effect to these decisions of Cabinet that are to have the force of law.**"

From this government website with definition of Order-in-Council : https://www.oag-bvg.gc.ca/internet/English/parl_oag_201602_03_e_41247.html

## CONCLUSION

I am accusing the executive branch of the government i.e. Trudeau, Freeland, Blair, Mendicino and Lametti of treason with a plenitude of evidence. If the JCCF assists them in the act of bypassing parliament and using extreme violence against peaceful protesters, JCCF will be complicit in this act of treason. If the executive of the government can take such measures without regard to the Canadian rule of law including parliamentary procedure and can violently attack citizens and violate their most fundamental rights, we are no longer a democratic society where the people are sovereign. Trudeau and his executive will become the sovereign. This would throw us back 800 years before the Magna Carta where the monarchy or government where the sovereign and they had absolute consent of the people. Treason Incident Report.doc

In order for JCCF to stand up for the Canadians effectively and protect our rule of democratic law JCCF needs to consent to the POEC allowing more evidence from the public to counter allegations of blockade and insurrection by Keith Wilson and to allow me to cross examine Wilson. And finally, JCCF must also call out the unlawful procedures used to invoke the EA and this POEC. i.e that there was no lawfully required vote by the Cabinet in either case.

This email is not confidential and may be distributed to the public and members of the press.

Jane Schardt

MJS Legal Services

Phone: (613) 884-9065
Fax: (866) 544-9981

On Nov 1, 2022, at 1:42 PM, Brendan Miller <bmiller@fosterlp.ca> wrote:

Ms. Scharf,

I understand you are the paralegal acting for Ms. Belton.

Please see the below objection to the admissibility of all records that were submitted by Ms. Belton only a few hours ago.

Thank you,

Brendan M. Miller
Counsel for Freedom Corp.

Sent from Mail for Windows

**From:** Brendan Miller
**Sent:** November 1, 2022 1:35 PM
**To:** Brousseau, Eric; Mather, John; Parties (POEC/CEDU); HDC Support; Mahoney, Lynn
**Cc:** robert.mackinnon@justice.gc.ca; Donnarae.nygard@justice.gc.ca; Sharon.johnston@justice.gc.ca; Sanam.goudarzi@justice.gc.ca; philippe.dupuis@justice.gc.ca; mitch.mcadam@gov.sk.ca; Michael.Morris@gov.sk.ca; denis.guenette@gov.mb.ca; Coral.Lang@gov.mb.ca; mandy.englander@gov.ab.ca; stephanie.bowes@gov.ab.ca; anne.tardif@gowlingwlg.com; alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com; jennifer.king@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; tourry@litigate.com; rjones@litigate.com; ndestefano@litigate.com; dmigicovsky@perlaw.ca; jbarrow@perlaw.ca; chris.diana@ontario.ca; jinan.kubursi@ontario.ca; thomas.mcrae@ontario.ca; heather.paterson@ontario.ca; chandler@windsorpolice.ca; heather.paterson@hhbleyrighton.com; nini.jones@jonesparce.com; lauren.pearce@jonesparce.com; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; greg@gregdelbigio.com; mckeown@danielbrownlaw.ca; mel@melliawgroup.ca; meagan@melliawgroup.ca; jbeltchman@loonix.com; su@choudhry.law; janani@qslp.ca; pchamp@champlaw.ca; elaman@champlaw.ca; cjohnson@champlaw.ca; dsanford@protonmail.com; alan.honner@thedemocracyfund.ca; mitchell@JCCF.ca; antoine@sblaw.ca; czwiebel@cca.org; ekrajewska@hhlp.ca; kwilson@wilsonlawoffices.ca; eva@chipiuk-law.ca; mfiorino@bc.ca; Bath-Sheba van den Berg; adam.fogel@thedemocracyfund.ca; hkher@jccf.ca; daniel.chomski@gowlingwlg.com; css@sulaw.ca; Leon, Jeffrey
**Subject:** RE: Important Information

Good Afternoon,

We object to the admissibility of all documents, records and evidence submitted last minute by Brigitte Belton. The grounds for same are as follows:

1. Ms. Belton was advised and summoned as a witness in these proceedings on or about July 2022. Providing these records mere hours before she is to take the stand, with no real opportunity to review same, violates procedural fairness. Ms. Belton has known for months about her obligation to provide all relevant and material records, and did not do so.
2. From the email batch that we have been able to be reviewed, most if not all such records are from the time period well after the events in question before the Commission.
3. Further to (2), the records submitted this morning by Ms. Belton have little if any nexus whatsoever to the Commission's mandate as set out in the Order-in-Council.
4. As well, from the sets of records that have been reviewed, they are an impermissible collateral attack on the credibility of witnesses from my client, Freedom Corp.; and
5. From a principled approach, tied in with procedural fairness, the prejudicial effect of these documents far outweighs any form of probative value within the mandate of these proceeding.

In closing, the purpose of these proceedings is not to settle old scores in a corporate dispute that Ms. Belton and her squatterettes may have with my client.

In the event that Ms. Belton is permitted to put any of these records provided last minute into evidence, we request we be permitted to put forward rebuttal evidence to the purported allegations that she puts forward. The fact is, that Ms. Belton was removed as a member and director of Freedom Corp. in May 2022 due to her misconduct, and this is simply an attempt to derail the purpose of this proceeding and turn it into a dispute that she has with Freedom Corp.

Thank you,

Brendan M. Miller

Sent from Mail for Windows

**From:** Brousseau, Eric
**Sent:** November 1, 2022 11:59 AM
**To:** Mather, John; Parties (POEC/CEDU); HDC Support; Mahoney, Lynn
**Cc:** robert.mackinnon@justice.gc.ca; Donnarae.nygard@justice.gc.ca; Sharon.johnston@justice.gc.ca; Sanam.goudarzi@justice.gc.ca; philippe.dupuis@justice.gc.ca; mitch.mcadam@gov.sk.ca; Michael.Morris@gov.sk.ca; denis.guenette@gov.mb.ca; Coral.Lang@gov.mb.ca; mandy.englander@gov.ab.ca; stephanie.bowes@gov.ab.ca; anne.tardif@gowlingwlg.com; alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com; jennifer.king@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; tourry@litigate.com; rjones@litigate.com; ndestefano@litigate.com; dmigicovsky@perlaw.ca; jbarrow@perlaw.ca; chris.diana@ontario.ca; jinan.kubursi@ontario.ca; thomas.mcrae@ontario.ca; heather.paterson@ontario.ca; chandler@windsorpolice.ca; heather.paterson@hhbleyrighton.com; nini.jones@jonesparce.com; lauren.pearce@jonesparce.com; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; greg@gregdelbigio.com; mckeown@danielbrownlaw.ca; mel@melliawgroup.ca; meagan@melliawgroup.ca; jbeltchman@loonix.com; su@choudhry.law; janani@qslp.ca; pchamp@champlaw.ca; elaman@champlaw.ca; cjohnson@champlaw.ca; dsanford@protonmail.com; alan.honner@thedemocracyfund.ca; mitchell@JCCF.ca; antoine@sblaw.ca; czwiebel@cca.org; ekrajewska@hhlp.ca; kwilson@wilsonlawoffices.ca; eva@chipiuk-law.ca; mfiorino@bc.ca; Bath-Sheba van den Berg; Brendan Miller; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; adam.fogel@thedemocracyfund.ca; hkher@jccf.ca; daniel.chomski@gowlingwlg.com; css@sulaw.ca; Leon, Jeffrey
**Subject:** RE: Important Information

Hi all,

Brigitte Belton produced documents to the Commission this morning. They have been uploaded to the Party Database with prefix BRB. We appreciate that they are not on any party's list, and no decision has been made regarding admissibility.

**Eric Brousseau** (*/he/him*)
Phone: 613-337-8172
Email: eric.brousseau@poec-cedu.gc.ca

**From:** Mather, John <John.Mather@poec-cedu.gc.ca>
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 9:23 PM
**To:** Parties (POEC/CEDU) <Parties@poec-cedu.gc.ca>
**Cc:** robert.mackinnon@justice.gc.ca; Donnarae.nygard@justice.gc.ca; Sharon.johnston@justice.gc.ca; Sanam.goudarzi@justice.gc.ca; philippe.dupuis@justice.gc.ca; mitch.mcadam@gov.sk.ca; Michael.Morris@gov.sk.ca; denis.guenette@gov.mb.ca; Coral.Lang@gov.mb.ca; mandy.englander@gov.ab.ca; stephanie.bowes@gov.ab.ca; anne.tardif@gowlingwlg.com; alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com; jennifer.king@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; tourry@litigate.com; rjones@litigate.com; ndestefano@litigate.com; dmigicovsky@perlaw.ca; jbarrow@perlaw.ca; chris.diana@ontario.ca; jinan.kubursi@ontario.ca; thomas.mcrae@ontario.ca; heather.paterson@ontario.ca; chandler@windsorpolice.ca; heather.paterson@hhbleyrighton.com; nini.jones@jonesparce.com; lauren.pearce@jonesparce.com; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; greg@gregdelbigio.com; mckeown@danielbrownlaw.ca; mel@melliawgroup.ca; meagan@melliawgroup.ca; jbeltchman@loonix.com; su@choudhry.law; janani@qslp.ca; pchamp@champlaw.ca; elaman@champlaw.ca; cjohnson@champlaw.ca; dsanford@protonmail.com; alan.honner@thedemocracyfund.ca; mitchell@JCCF.ca; antoine@sblaw.ca; czwiebel@cca.org; ekrajewska@hhlp.ca; kwilson@wilsonlawoffices.ca; eva@chipiuk-law.ca; mfiorino@bc.ca; Bath-Sheba van den Berg; Brendan Miller; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; adam.fogel@thedemocracyfund.ca; hkher@jccf.ca; daniel.chomski@gowlingwlg.com; css@sulaw.ca; Leon, Jeffrey; Brousseau, Eric; Brousseau@poec-cedu.gc.ca; Leon, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Leon@poec-cedu.gc.ca>; Sheppard, Daniel <Daniel.Sheppard@poec-cedu.gc.ca>
**Subject:** RE: Important Information

Hi All,

There has been a change in the order of tomorrow's witnesses. The examinations will proceed as follows:

1. Chris Barber
2. Steeve Charland
3. Brigitte Belton

Thanks

**John Mather**
**Avocat de la Commission/Commission Counsel**
(*l/he/him*)
Public Order Emergency Commission/Commission sur l'état d'urgence
Phone: 613-301-9926
Web: www.commissionsurletatdurgence.ca / www.publicorderemergencycommission.ca
Email: John.mather@poec-cedu.gc.ca

**From:** Mather, John <John.Mather@poec-cedu.gc.ca>
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 6:54 PM
**To:** Parties (POEC/CEDU) <Parties@poec-cedu.gc.ca>
**Cc:** robert.mackinnon@justice.gc.ca; Donnarae.nygard@justice.gc.ca; Sharon.johnston@justice.gc.ca; Sanam.goudarzi@justice.gc.ca; philippe.dupuis@justice.gc.ca; mitch.mcadam@gov.sk.ca; Michael.Morris@gov.sk.ca; denis.guenette@gov.mb.ca; Coral.Lang@gov.mb.ca; mandy.englander@gov.ab.ca; stephanie.bowes@gov.ab.ca; anne.tardif@gowlingwlg.com; alyssa.tomkins@gowlingwlg.com; jennifer.king@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; Michael.Finley@gowlingwlg.com; tourry@litigate.com; rjones@litigate.com; ndestefano@litigate.com; dmigicovsky@perlaw.ca; jbarrow@perlaw.ca; chris.diana@ontario.ca; jinan.kubursi@ontario.ca; thomas.mcrae@ontario.ca; heather.paterson@ontario.ca; chandler@windsorpolice.ca; heather.paterson@hhbleyrighton.com; nini.jones@jonesparce.com; lauren.pearce@jonesparce.com; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; greg@gregdelbigio.com; mckeown@danielbrownlaw.ca; mel@melliawgroup.ca; meagan@melliawgroup.ca; jbeltchman@loonix.com; su@choudhry.law; janani@qslp.ca; pchamp@champlaw.ca; elaman@champlaw.ca; cjohnson@champlaw.ca; dsanford@protonmail.com; alan.honner@thedemocracyfund.ca; mitchell@JCCF.ca; antoine@sblaw.ca; czwiebel@cca.org; ekrajewska@hhlp.ca; kwilson@wilsonlawoffices.ca; eva@chipiuk-law.ca; mfiorino@bc.ca; Bath-Sheba van den Berg; Brendan Miller; jen.delricolo@jonesparce.com; adam.fogel@thedemocracyfund.ca; hkher@jccf.ca; daniel.chomski@gowlingwlg.com; css@sulaw.ca; Leon, Jeffrey; Brousseau, Eric; Brousseau@poec-cedu.gc.ca; Leon, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Leon@poec-cedu.gc.ca>; Sheppard, Daniel <Daniel.Sheppard@poec-cedu.gc.ca>
**Subject:** Important Information

Hi All,

Your important matters relating to this week.

**Application by Benjamin Dichter**

The Commission received the attached application from Benjamin Dichter this afternoon. Mr. Dichter requests that his counsel, Jim Karahalios, lead his evidence, as contemplated by Rule 56.

Parties that wish to make written submissions on the application should deliver them to John Mather and Eric Brousseau by **7 p.m. on Tuesday, November 1**. The submissions may be in the form of email.

Copies of submissions should be sent to Mr. Dichter's lawyer at: jim@karahalios.law

**Application by Jeremy MacKenzie**

The Commission intends to call Jeremy MacKenzie on Friday.

Mr. McKenzie will testify via videoconference and not in person.

Mr. MacKenzie's lawyer, Sherif Foda, this morning delivered the attached application to have, among other relief, Mr. MacKenzie's testimony be conducted *ex parte* and *in camera* or, in the alternative, under publication ban.

In addition to the attached materials, Mr. Foda has advised the intends to deliver a short written submission and case authorities tomorrow.

Parties that wish to make short, written submissions on Mr. MacKenzie's application should deliver them to John Mather and Eric Brousseau by **7 p.m. on Wednesday, November 2**.

Copies of the submissions should be sent to Foda's lawyer at: sherif@fodalaw.com

The media will be informed about Mr. MacKenzie's application and also be invited to make submissions.

**Witness Documents**

Many of this week's witnesses are not parties. As a result, the Commission arranges to send the witnesses the documents that parties may put to them. To facilitate this process and provide as much notice as possible, it is very important that parties send their document lists by 5 p.m. and, in doing so, copy Lynn Mahoney and Heuristica.

Some of the non-party witnesses have also provided documents to the Commission for reference during their testimony. Any documents provided are uploaded to the Party Database. The relevant prefixes are:

- PKI – Pat King
- JBA – James Bauder
- BRB – Brigitte Belton

**Presentation of the Crowdfunding Overview Report**

Thank you to the parties that provided comments on the Crowdfunding Overview Report. Commission Counsel plan to present the this week and will advise when that will be scheduled.

**John Mather**
**Avocat de la Commission/Commission Counsel**
(*l/he/him*)
Public Order Emergency Commission/Commission sur l'état d'urgence
Phone: 613-301-9926
Web: www.commissionsurletatdurgence.ca / www.publicorderemergencycommission.ca
Email: John.mather@poec-cedu.gc.ca

PUBLIC ORDER EMERGENCY COMMISSION | COMMISSION SUR L'ÉTAT D'URGENCE

**9B437BF6997A6A9B0D7BAEABA8B3275C.jpg**
13K