<DATE>

<YOUR FIRST LAST NAME>
<YOUR CONTACT INFO>

ATTN: <FIRST AND LAST NAME OF PERPETRATOR>

RE: THE ACT OF TREASON

NOTICE OF LIABILITY:

This is your first and only official Notice of Liability.

<YOUR FIRST LAST NAME>, a private human living soul, of lawful and legal age of majority presents this notice of liability to **<FIRST AND LAST NAME OF PERPETRATOR>**, another private human living soul, of lawful and legal age of majority. If **<FIRST AND LAST NAME OF PERPETRATOR>** who has violated the Criminal Code section 46 (2).

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

- <FIRST AND LAST NAME OF PERPETRATOR> without the consent of <YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME>
 has committee treason in becoming a member/participant of the WEF/UN Sustainable Development
 Goals 2030¹.
- 2. The implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals 2030 agenda set by this foreign entity undermines our human rights and fundamental freedoms currently protected in Canadian common law and codified laws such as the Canadian Bill of Rights.
- 3. For example, the 15-Minute City plans intend to violate the Canadian Bill of Rights section 1(a) the right to liberty by restricting mobility to a 15-Minute radius and consumption of food and clothing, etc. and the right to enjoyment of property by removing vehicles and other property.
- 4. As well personal data held by the city is being shared between different programs and private agencies including foreign bodies.
- 5. Canada is a democratic government where the people are sovereign. Government is only supposed to act by consent of the people and in the interest of the people.
- 6. Our interests and needs are not being considered and we are not being meaningfully consulted regarding these changes.

HARM CAUSED:

- 1. **<YOUR FIRST LAST NAME>** is a victim of treason.
- 2. **<YOUR FIRST LAST NAME>**'s tax dollars are being spent in preparation of the 15-Minute Cities without consultation of the public nor legal authority.
- 3. Privacy of **YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME**> is currently being breached where personal information is not kept private and there is no consent provided to share the information. This breach of privacy puts **YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME**> at risk of unknown persons using this information for solicitation or exploitation.

THE LAW:

The British North American Act now known as 'at law' as the Canada/Constitution Act, 1867 to 1982 as amended to include the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, remains a UK statue and has not yet been ratified to become Statue

of Canada. Section 1, 7 and 24 of the Charter are inconsistent with the preamble and section 91 of the Constitution Act because they allow a violation of civil liberties that are protected under these sections. And the constitution of Canada is based on the supremacy of God who accords human rights and fundamental freedoms, and the Charter undermines this in section 1 by indicating our rights and freedoms come from the Charter; "guarantees rights and freedoms set out in it", i.e. rights are issued by the government. Under section 1 of the Charter the government can violate out rights without first going through Parliament or the court. Therefore, these sections of the Charter are unconstitutional and therefore have no force or effect of law under section 52.

This supreme law of Canada in the preamble states: "WHEREAS the Provinces of Canada, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick have expressed their Desire to be federally united into One Dominion under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, with a Constitution similar in Principle to that of the United Kingdom". Based on this preamble the Supreme Court of Canada decided in 1938 case law, The Bank Taxation Act; The Credit of Alberta Regulation Act; and the Accurate News and Information Act, SCC, that Canada has an implied bill of rights and that civil liberties is a matter of Federal jurisdiction and the provinces do not have the power to pass law that undermines civil liberties.

This supreme law includes protection of civil liberties also under section 91. In section 91 it designates civil liberties as exclusive, federal jurisdiction because it is not designated to the provinces. Section 92.13 which designates all provincial authority only states civil rights, not civil liberties. Therefore, civil liberties codified in the implied bill of rights and the Canadian Bill of Rights are federal jurisdiction and have constitutional authority under the preamble and section 91.

The Canadian Bill of Rights recognizes that our rights come from God. "An Act in Recognition and Protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms". And in the full content of the Bill, unlike the Charter it does not claim rights come from the state.

Canadian Bill of Rights Preamble states; "The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions.

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its constitutional authority, and which shall ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada:"

Therefore, this notice of liability does not rely on the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, it relies on the supremacy of God enshrined in the Canadian Bill of Rights which has constitutional authority from the preamble and section 91 of the Constitution of Canada and common law.

1. Canadian Bill of Rights:

Section 1(a) the right of the individual to life, liberty, security of the person and enjoyment of property, and the right not to be deprived thereof except by due process of law. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-12.3/page-1.html

2. Criminal Code of Canada:

Section: 46 Treason

(2) Every one commits treason who, in Canada,

- (a) uses force or violence for the purpose of overthrowing the government of Canada or a province;
- **(b)** without lawful authority, communicates or makes available to an agent of a state other than Canada, military or scientific information or any sketch, plan, model, article, note or document of a military or scientific character that he knows or ought to know may be used by that state for a purpose prejudicial to the safety or defence of Canada;
- (c) conspires with any person to commit high treason or to do anything mentioned in paragraph (a);
- (d) forms an intention to do anything that is high treason or that is mentioned in paragraph (a) and manifests that intention by an overt act; or
- **(e)** conspires with any person to do anything mentioned in paragraph (b) or forms an intention to do anything mentioned in paragraph (b) and manifests that intention by an overt act. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/section-264.1.html

3. Common Law Precedent:

Implied Bill of Rights: The Bank Taxation Act; The Credit of Alberta Regulation Act; and the Accurate News and Information Act, 1938, SCC:

The Credit of Alberta Regulation Act; and the Accurate News and Information Act, SCR 100,1938 Summary: The Supreme Court of Canada ruled on the Reference re Alberta Statutes that restricted the media from criticizing the government. It found that the Accurate News and Information Act, along with the others submitted to it for evaluation, was ultra vires (beyond the powers of) the Alberta government. In the case of the Accurate News and Information Act, the court found that the Canadian constitution included an "implied bill of rights" that protected freedom of speech as being critical to a parliamentary democracy.

https://www.canlii.org/en/ca/scc/doc/1938/1938canlii1/1938canlii1.html

Jones v Tsige, 2012, ONCA:

Summary: The Ontario Court of Appeal declared that the common law in Canada recognizes a right to personal privacy, more specifically identified as a "tort of intrusion upon seclusion", as well as considering that appropriation of personality is already recognized as a tort in Ontario law. Meaning that one can sue for breach of privacy.

https://www.canlii.org/en/on/onca/doc/2012/2012onca32/2012onca32.html

Roncarelli v Duplessis, 1959, SCC:

... every official, from the Prime Minister down to a constable or a collector of taxes, is under the same responsibility for every act done without legal justification as any other citizen. The Reports abound with cases in which officials have been brought before the courts, and made, in their personal capacity, liable to punishment, or to the payment of damages, for acts done in their official character but in excess of their lawful authority. A colonial governor, a secretary of state, a military officer, and all subordinates, though carrying out the commands of their official superiors, are as responsible for any act which the law does not authorize as is any private and unofficial person."

https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do

RECTIFICATION:

If <FIRST AND LAST NAME OF PERPETRATOR> immediately ceases and desists the unlawful conspiracy with foreign bodies to undermine the human rights and fundamental freedoms of <YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME> i.e., the sovereignty of <YOUR FIRST AND LAST NAME> within 7 business days, this matter will be considered resolved.

FAILURE TO RECTIFY WILL RESULT IN 7 DAYS:

<YOUR FIRST LAST NAME> hereby gives notice if rectification as described above is not established after the allotted time, **<YOUR FIRST LAST NAME>** will pursue compensation in the amount of:

- 1. \$5,000.00 for the tort of treason
- 2. \$5,000.00 the violation of privacy

TOTAL CLAIM = \$10,000

As well, <your first="" last="" name=""></your> will	seek a federal	court order to remed	ly this ongoing violation of	the rights
including the right to privacy, and crimir	nal violations b	y <first and="" last="" n<="" td=""><td>IAME OF PERPETRATOR>.</td><td></td></first>	IAME OF PERPETRATOR>.	