Photonic Resonance: The Weaving of Spacetime

Part III of V — Photonics and the Triadic Resonance Framework

---

Abstract

This paper presents Part III of a five-part monograph series integrating the Unified Resonance Model (URM) and the M-II framework with a refined Photonic Molecule Theory (PMT). While Part I explored relativity and Part II examined spacetime as resonant scaffolding, this installment focuses on photonics: the role of light as both particle and wave, and the triadic resonance structure of IR (expansive), UV (constraining), and Green/ES (mediator). We introduce the Photonic Molecule Theory as a resonance-based extension of QED and QCD, mapping photons into molecular-like constructs that align with quarks, gluons, and baryons. From copper's red tint to auroral displays, we demonstrate how resonance principles manifest across scales. Implications for photonics, condensed matter, and cosmology are outlined, alongside avenues for experimental verification.

This work completes the photonic–gravitational bridge established in URM and M-II by tracing a continuous resonance continuum from photons to curvature, showing how light's harmonic modulation underlies mass formation and gravitational geometry.

I. Introduction — Establishing the Resonant Continuum

QED and QCD provide the modern foundation for particle physics: photons as quanta of EM fields, gluons as carriers of color force (Feynman et al., 1964; Gell-Mann, 1964). Yet both leave unresolved questions about coherence, resonance, and the scaffolding of spacetime itself. The Photonic Molecule Theory (PMT) proposes that light can arrange itself into molecular-like structures—photeons—that act as resonance packets. Rather than replacing QED/QCD, PMT extends them through resonance mapping, unifying photonic and chromodynamic models under a single framework. The purpose of this paper is to consolidate PMT within the URM and M-II frameworks, focusing particularly on photonic resonance and its implications.

Sections III–XIV expand PMT into both experimental and theoretical resonance geometry, demonstrating continuity between quantum chromatic resonance and general relativistic curvature as formalized in the Addenda (Einstein, 1916; Wheeler & Feynman, 1945). The introduction thus establishes the need for a unified resonance continuum spanning the quantum and cosmic domains.

II. Foundational Constructs of the Photonic Molecule Theory (PMT)

The PMT posits that photons are not indivisible particles but structured entities composed of sub-particles: spectrons (color cores), phaseons (field shells), and photeons (molecular resonance packets). Within the Y–G–B schema, Raydeons (yellow spectrons) anchor IR expansion, Glaceons (blue spectrons) anchor UV contraction, and Chromatons (green spectrons) mediate through graviton coupling. This framing provides a resonance-based foundation for QED/QCD, where PMT describes the pre-coherent scaffolding from which quarks and gluons emerge. In this view, photons do not vanish into matter but leave behind resonance lattices that stabilize into atomic structures (Planck, 1901; Dirac, 1928).

Additional constructs enrich this mapping: Proteons (light-analog protons), Newteons (light-analog neutrons), and Meditrons (gluonic equivalents). Chromatons function as superposition particles, regulating balance, sound, and graviton coupling. These are not substitutes for quarks, gluons, or baryons but precursors—resonance-level entities that precede atomic physics. PMT clarifies that these constructs describe pre-coherent resonance particles that function before atomic stabilization; the analogies to protons, neutrons, and gluons are mappings between photonic precursors and their atomic descendants.

PMT thus explains why photons exhibit corpuscular persistence in one setting, wave-like delocalization in another, and coherent “photonic mitosis” under observation (Bohm, 1952; Pickard-Jones, 2025a). This foundation sets the stage for linking microscopic photonic structures to macroscopic spacetime coherence.

III. The Triadic Resonance Framework

At the core of PMT lies a concise triadic framework linking infrared (IR), ultraviolet (UV), and Green/Equilibrium-State (ES) resonances. IR drives outward radiance and expansion, UV governs contraction and termination, and Green/ES sustains equilibrium between them. This triad encapsulates photonic behavior as a single harmonic system rather than three isolated bands.

Within this structure, the Chromaton functions as a resonance mediator whose tri-charge and tri-spin characteristics mirror the color-charge trinity of QCD. Operating at the midpoint between IR and UV, it stabilizes their opposition and enables energy coherence—the foundational step toward mass formation. This balance is interpreted through the **Atomic-Light Encoding Framework (ALEF)**, which treats matter as encoded resonance: light fields harmonizing into stable configurations through frequency alignment (Pickard-Jones, 2025b).

This section also introduces **OP-TICS**, the Optical-Phase Triadic Information Coherence System, as a generalized model describing the harmonic balance between infrared expansion, ultraviolet contraction, and equilibrium-state mediation. Together, ALEF and OP-TICS establish the photonic resonance framework as both a theoretical and experimental construct.

IV. Proton–Neutron Resonance Symmetry

Protons (UUD quark structure) and neutrons (UDD) are traditionally understood in terms of charge and the strong interaction (Gell-Mann, 1964; Wilczek, 2008). Within PMT, they are reframed as **resonance regulators** within a triadic lattice governed by Chromaton anchoring and Green-Equilibrium mediation. Proton states are UV-heavy (UV–UV–IR) with IR providing the balancing component; neutron states are IR-heavy (IR–IR–UV) with UV acting as the stabilizing regulator. In this view, nuclear stability arises from the same equilibrium principle that governs IR/UV resonance—each nucleon contributing to the chromatic balance anchored by the Chromaton's gravimetric center.

This interpretation extends the framework of Section III, where the Chromaton stabilizes the IR/UV dynamic and the Green midpoint maintains equilibrium. As baryons form, this triadic mediation scales upward: neutrons absorb excess UV tension from proton clusters, mitigating Coulomb repulsion and maintaining coherence through IR buffering. The **proton–neutron resonance symmetry** thus becomes an emergent reflection of the underlying IR/UV/Green triad (Einstein, 1916; Pickard-Jones, 2025a). This concept links baryonic stability directly to photonic coherence principles.

V. Mass as Modulated C^2 Arrays — The Encoding of Inertia

Following the proton–neutron resonance balance described in Section IV, mass can now be understood as the next scale of resonance stability. Einstein's $E = mc^2$ established the equivalence of mass and energy but did not fully explain *how* resonance stabilizes into mass. Within PMT, mass is reframed as the outcome of **modulated resonance arrays**—paired opposites within the electromagnetic spectrum whose tension achieves

equilibrium through mediation. These paired inversions (C^2 arrays) define how resonance stabilizes into inertia and serve as a structural manifestation of the ALEF principle: mass as the encoded persistence of balanced photonic frequencies.

When stabilized through Green/ES mediation, these inversions form localized equilibrium states. Mass arises as the *balanced modulation* of these dual resonance inversions. This process parallels QCD's depiction of mass arising from gluon excitation, but PMT reinterprets gluons as resonance-tension mediators within these arrays (Wilczek, 2008; Misner et al., 1973).

Resonance Tension and Stress: Localized buildup (ES-like static fields) and traveling release (EM radiation) weave together into a resonance lattice. Gluons act as contractive UV-tensioners, mesons distribute IR-like release, and pions serve as permeable regulators of stress. This lattice mirrors the stress–energy tensor in relativity: mass emerges where resonance tension coheres spacetime geometry (Padmanabhan, 2010). Figure B illustrates the triadic modulation of these arrays.

Mass is not static "arrested energy" but the *dynamic equilibrium* of dual inversions—macro (RW/Gamma) and meso (IR/UV)—stabilized into a coherent lattice.

VI. Resonance Mediation and Mesonic Carriers

Mesons, within the refined PMT–ALEF framework, are more than transient quark–antiquark pairs. They act as **dynamic resonance mediators**—localized oscillators transmitting and correcting resonance across unstable frequency regimes. Anchored through the Chromaton and stabilized via the Green-Equilibrium midpoint, mesons maintain coherence between constructive (IR) and contractive (UV) poles (Gell-Mann, 1964).

- **Mesons as Chromaton-linked Correctors:** Each meson functions as a dynamic continuation of Chromaton-anchored modulation. Their oscillations act as *real-time resonance correctors*, distributing chromatic tension and rebalancing the Green-Equilibrium within local lattice zones.
- **Empirical Parallels:** Similar mediating functions are seen in *phonon-polaritons, ESR/NMR resonance*, and *NV-diamond coupling systems*, where photonic and acoustic domains merge to stabilize energy fields. These analogues offer experimental pathways for observing mesonic resonance in engineered photonic or plasma-based systems.

Through these refinements, mesons embody the **dynamic mediation** of resonance within the photonic lattice: the feedback mechanism that keeps opposing spectral states coherent (Penrose, 2004; Greene, 1999).

VII. Pionic Interfaces and Resonance Boundaries — The Closure of Harmonic Cycles

Pions, the lightest mesons, represent the **stabilization interface** of the resonance cycle. Within PMT, they act as *permeable boundary regulators* completing the modulation chain initiated by mesons and governed by Chromaton anchoring. Where mesons correct real-time resonance imbalances, pions seal and smooth these corrections across scales.

- **Closure Function:** Pions form the *Green-anchored closure* of resonance loops—absorbing residual IR or UV tension and dispersing it as low-frequency coherence. This action ensures that localized resonance zones transition smoothly between active modulation and stable equilibrium.
- **Structural Continuity:** Their function extends beyond nuclear binding; pions maintain coherence across boundaries, much like dielectric films regulating energy gradients in photonic and electrostatic systems.
- **Scale Linkage:** Acting as the connective tissue between mesonic modulation and large-scale resonance geometry, pions bridge microscopic stabilization and macroscopic field continuity. This progression prepares the theoretical ground for Section VIII, which maps these mechanisms into QED/QCD alignment.

Through this lens, pions are not remnants of strong force decay but **active resonance closures**—finalizing coherence cycles and preserving structural harmony throughout the resonance lattice (Pickard-Jones, 2025b).

VIII. Resonance Mapping and QED/QCD Integration

Sections VI and VII lay the groundwork for aligning resonance mediation and boundary stabilization with the formal language of QED and QCD. This section expands the correspondence: IR, Green, and UV resonance channels mirror the color charge interactions among gluons and quarks. The Chromaton mediates these fields by functioning as a gravitationally stabilized anchor within the photonic lattice.

- **Dual-Channel Resonance Mapping:** Mesons correspond to dual-frequency mediators balancing constructive and destructive interference across field domains. Their frequency-locked oscillations align with the exchange particles of QED/QCD.
- **Spectron–Meditron Lattices:** Within ALEF, these structures act as resonance conduits, mapping quantum confinement into photonic coherence.

- **Green-Equilibrium Link:** The midpoint frequency acts as a stabilizer for both chromatic charge and spatial coherence, paralleling confinement mechanisms observed in QCD.

Resonance mapping provides a language that visualizes the underlying structure of mathematical models. Rather than reinterpreting field equations, it translates them into observable photonic analogs. This synthesis brings quantum electrodynamics and chromodynamics into a shared photonic resonance framework that supports testable predictions for vacuum-field modulation and coherent matter synthesis.

IX. Resonance Implications and Experimental Outlook

The preceding sections outline a coherent chain of photonic modulation, baryonic formation, and resonance mapping. The implications extend across quantum, atomic, and gravitational scales.

1. **Photonic Matter Genesis:** Resonance confinement suggests that light can stabilize into structured matter when mediated by Chromaton and Green equilibrium fields. This predicts observable photon-lattice formations within high-field or diamond-lattice environments.
2. **Dynamic Equilibrium Testing:** Experiments using CHRC or ALEF systems can evaluate transitions between IR and UV resonance to detect static-field equilibrium states corresponding to gravimetric tension.
3. **Acoustic–Photonic Coupling:** Infrared-to-microwave resonance bursts, analogous to sea gluons, can be measured as low-frequency coherence signals, validating the resonance mediation principle.
4. **Macro–Micro Continuity:** The triadic modulation of resonance fields scales consistently with curvature stress in GR, suggesting that photonic coherence directly informs spacetime structure.

Together these implications support PMT as both a conceptual and experimental framework. The outcome is a unified view of resonance as the universal modulator bridging QED/QCD with general relativity.

The closing sections extend this synthesis into curvature geometry, demonstrating how resonance stress translates into gravitational modulation.

X. Gravitational Modulation and Curvature Geometry

Einstein’s stress–energy tensor encodes energy, momentum, and pressure as sources of curvature. Reframed through resonance, these quantities represent coherent stress

modulation across scales. Mass and gravity emerge as **dynamic resonance harmonics**, where curvature is the geometric translation of underlying resonance alignment. Gluon–meson–pion dynamics form the microcosmic analogue of spacetime stress, showing how localized resonance fields translate into macroscopic gravitational curvature.

In this framework, gravity becomes the **geometric shadow of resonance alignment**. Spacetime geometry behaves as a coherence field, where IR/UV balance determines curvature amplitude. The modulation principles governing baryonic resonance also govern GR's stress gradients: energy density and curvature evolve as manifestations of coherent resonance tension.

This model restores symmetry across physical scales, aligning chromatic resonance at the quantum level with geometric curvature in GR. It opens pathways for cross-domain experimentation, from lattice-based field modulation to optical-gravitational coupling in photonic matter environments.

XI. Resonance Mapping and QED/QCD Integration

The mappings outlined here are resonance-level parallels, not renamings. Quarks and gluons remain the observable atomic structures of matter (Gell-Mann, 1964; Wilczek, 2008); photonic particles such as spectrons and meditrons operate at a prior, formative stage (Pickard-Jones, 2025a; Pickard-Jones, 2025b). They serve as scaffolding through which quark–gluon dynamics manifest. For example, when we say "Meditron = gluon equivalent," we mean that meditrons provide resonance pathways that gluons later stabilize within baryonic structures (Feynman et al., 1964; Wilczek, 2008).

This section can be seen as a dual-channel framework: one channel governs energy stabilization (photonic scaffolding), and the other governs matter formation (quark–gluon realization) (Pickard-Jones, 2025a; Pickard-Jones, 2025b). Spectrons and Meditrons preconfigure the chromatic resonance lattice before quark binding occurs, creating a photonic-to-nuclear continuity consistent with URM and M-II models (Pickard-Jones, 2025a; Pickard-Jones, 2025b).

- **Baryons** → BBY (proton analogs, UV-biased) and YYB (neutron analogs, IR-biased). These mappings reflect resonance-phase polarity sustained through the Green equilibrium, linking baryonic formation to nucleosynthetic resonance gates described in URM and M-II (Wilczek, 2008; Pickard-Jones, 2025a).
- **Mesons/antiquarks** → invisible spectra: RW, MW, IR superposition; UV, X-ray, Gamma — resonance dampeners that maintain local coherence (Pickard-Jones, 2025b).
- **Gluon pairs** → spectral swatches mapped into resonance bands, defining the local frequency coherence of the lattice (Gell-Mann, 1964).

This mapping grounds PMT within QED/QCD while extending color charge into resonance scaffolds observable across the EM spectrum. It does not redefine particle physics but reveals an observable resonance continuum—from pre-coherent photonic structures to atomic nucleons—providing testable bridges in QED/QCD correspondence experiments (Feynman et al., 1964).

XII. Resonance Implications and Experimental Outlook

Building on the resonance mapping in Section VIII, the broader implications of PMT span multiple domains of physics, cosmology, and philosophy. Each of these applications highlights how resonance functions as a unifying descriptor across energy, matter, and perception (Bohm, 1952).

- **Photonics:** Exciton–polaritons and photonic lattices may be reinterpreted as chromaton-mediated states, where coherent IR/UV interference creates stable light–matter hybrids. This implies that light confinement and release in engineered materials mirror the triadic modulation principles found in baryonic resonance (Pickard-Jones, 2025a).
- **Condensed Matter:** Defects and color centers operate as resonance converters, bridging UV–IR domains through localized chromatic imbalance. This suggests that lattice vibrations and defect emission spectra are structured outcomes of resonance redistribution (Pickard-Jones, 2025b).
- **Cosmology:** Lithium scarcity in nucleosynthesis and Cas A anomalies can be reframed as macroscopic resonance redistributions at IR/UV gates (Padmanabhan, 2010).
- **Nuclear Physics:** Protons and neutrons function as resonance regulators, their stability maintained through triadic balance rather than simple nuclear binding (Gell-Mann, 1964; Wilczek, 2008).
- **Philosophy of Science and Conscious Systems:** Humans may act as biological modulators in the universal resonance lattice—radiating IR, absorbing UV, and embodying coherent mixtures of light and dark resonance states (Bohm, 1952; Penrose, 2004).

These cross-disciplinary implications demonstrate that resonance unites photonic, nuclear, and cosmic systems under one structural principle, and they provide a practical motivation for experimental programs in CHRC and ALEF platforms (Pickard-Jones, 2025a). Each field reflects a unique scale of the same triadic interaction—constructive, contractive, and mediating—leading naturally to Section X, where the same resonance dynamics are expressed geometrically through General Relativity’s stress–energy tensor (Einstein, 1916; Misner et al., 1973).

XIII. Gravitational Modulation and Curvature Geometry

Einstein's stress–energy tensor encodes energy, momentum, and pressure as sources of curvature (Einstein, 1916). Reframed through the lens of resonance, these same quantities correspond to modulations of coherent stress across scales (Padmanabhan, 2010). Mass and gravity emerge as **dynamic resonance harmonics**, where curvature is the geometric translation of underlying resonance alignment (Misner et al., 1973). Gluon–meson–pion dynamics form the microcosmic analogue of spacetime stress, reflecting how localized resonance fields translate into macroscopic gravitational curvature (Pickard-Jones, 2025b).

In this view, gravity becomes the **geometric shadow of resonance alignment**, and spacetime geometry acts as a coherence field. The same modulation principles that govern IR/UV balance in photonic and baryonic systems manifest in GR as stress gradients and curvature tensors (Pickard-Jones, 2025a). The resonance of matter within spacetime reflects both the local (quantum) and extended (cosmic) scales of one unified modulation process (Padmanabhan, 2010).

XIV. Conclusion

The Photonic Molecule Theory (PMT) and its integration with the Unified Resonance Model (URM) and M-II frameworks reveal a unified picture of light, matter, and geometry (Pickard-Jones, 2025a; Pickard-Jones, 2025b). Across scales—from subatomic to cosmological—resonance operates as the fundamental organizing principle that transforms energy coherence into mass, structure, and curvature. The triadic modulation of IR (expansive), UV (contractive), and Green/Equilibrium-State (mediating) frequencies provides the harmonic foundation that binds all physical systems.

In this synthesis, photons are reinterpreted as structured, self-regulating entities rather than point particles. Their organization into spectrons, phaseons, chromatons, and meditrons generates the scaffolding from which quarks, gluons, and baryons arise (Feynman et al., 1964; Gell-Mann, 1964; Wilczek, 2008). Baryonic stability, mass genesis, and gravitational curvature emerge as expressions of resonance equilibrium anchored by the Chromaton and sustained through the Green midpoint.

PMT provides a bridge between QED/QCD and General Relativity, uniting micro-scale field interactions with macro-scale geometric coherence (Einstein, 1916; Misner et al., 1973; Wheeler & Feynman, 1945). The same resonance laws that govern photonic lattices in plasma and condensed matter apply to gravitational curvature, implying that energy, mass, and geometry are frequency-dependent expressions of a single universal process.

PMT restores light to its central role—not merely as illumination or energy carrier, but as the architect of structure and perception. The next volume will extend this resonance principle into cosmological and metaphysical domains, demonstrating how the same harmonics that shape the atom also shape the universe—and the observer who perceives it.

XV. Addendum: Stress and Scale — EM Tension and Release

At human scales, electromagnetism (EM) and electrostatics (ES) appear distinct. Resonance reframing shows them as the same geometry: ES as trapped resonance, EM as released resonance. This mirrors gluon–meson dynamics microscopically and Einstein's stress–energy tensor macroscopically, underscoring the unification of resonance principles across scales.

In this broader context, **EM–ES duality** represents the tangible expression of resonance tension and release that occurs throughout the PMT lattice (Einstein, 1916; Pickard-Jones, 2025b). ES can be viewed as *potential coherence*—energy confined within a Green-mediated field—while EM represents *active coherence transfer*, where energy propagates through photonic mediation. These two states align directly with the IR (constructive) and UV (contractive) bands, stabilized through Green equilibrium. The same modulation that defines baryonic balance and mass genesis thus reappears at human-observable scales through electrostatic tension and electromagnetic discharge.

This resonance alignment further clarifies why dielectric breakdown, plasma formation, and even auroral discharges obey triadic modulation (Einstein, 1916; Pickard-Jones, 2025b): all express energy's cyclical transition from confinement to release, IR to UV, expansion to contraction. Within GR's geometric framework, this dynamic becomes curvature stress modulation—the continuous negotiation of coherence between local and cosmic scales.

XVI Addendum: Resonance Mapping and GR–QCD Alignment

Resonance provides a complementary descriptive framework to mathematics. It captures observable dynamics of energy as constructive, destructive, or mediating oscillations. Gluons represent contractive tension, mesons expansive mediation, and pions permeable release. This framing reveals a common stress–energy geometry shared between GR and QCD (Gell-Mann, 1964; Misner et al., 1973; Padmanabhan, 2010), scale-invariant across quantum to cosmic levels.

When tied back to Sections VIII–X, this alignment shows that **resonance mapping** is not symbolic but operational: photonic modulation scales seamlessly into gravitational

geometry. The same resonance scaffolds that define baryonic cohesion (via Chromaton anchoring and Green mediation) also dictate spacetime curvature through coherent stress translation. The stress–energy tensor thus becomes a formal mathematical echo of resonance dynamics.

This equivalence implies that curvature is not merely geometric but harmonic: the result of synchronized modulation across nested resonance layers. The chromatic triad (IR/Green/UV) expresses itself as curvature gradients and field densities, revealing a shared harmonic between QCD's confinement and GR's gravitation.

Experimental and Theoretical Continuity:

- CHRC and ALEF systems provide laboratory analogues for observing chromatic curvature effects in photonic lattices.
- Plasma-based field modulation can simulate curvature stress transitions predicted by PMT.
- These alignments validate that resonance, not abstract force, underpins stability from quantum binding to cosmic expansion.

Together, these addenda close the loop between the microcosmic and macrocosmic, illustrating that resonance—as encoded through PMT, URM, and M-II—is both the architect and the language of the universe itself.

Glossary of Core Terms

OP-TICS: Optical-Phase Triadic Information Coherence System — describes light, matter, and coherence through triadic symmetry between IR, Green, and UV resonances.
A-LEF: Atomic-Light Encoding Framework — the model linking photon resonance structures to atomic and subatomic configurations, bridging photonics and nucleosynthesis.
Chromaton: Graviton-bound color core particle anchoring spectral stability and defining chromatic coherence.
Phaseon: Field-shell subparticle carrying the resonance of light's outer phase state (IR, UV, or graviton).
Photeon: A molecular resonance packet — the composite unit of light in the PMT model.
Tri-Chromatic Resonance Node: Structural term describing electrons or other particles as triadic resonance points — electromagnetic, vibrational, and static modes.
Inverse Mirror Principle: Universal symmetry rule proposing that each system has an inverted mirror counterpart that mediates balance across scales.

Baryonic Light: Coherent, mass-bearing light formed through IR/UV superposition; the photonic foundation of atomic matter.
Bosonic Light: Non-mass-bearing radiative light composed of higher-order phase states; generates baryonic light but not dark light.
C-Mode (or C-State): Mediating equilibrium state within the triad, equivalent to the Green midpoint or tau-lepton analog.
Photonic Resonance Model: Interpretive framework proposing that all forces and fields arise from coherent light-phase interactions across triadic chromatic states.
Resonance of Reality: Extension of the Photonic Resonance model to macro- and cosmic-scale inversions of light, gravity, and consciousness.

References

Einstein, A. (1916). *The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity.* Annalen der Physik, 49(7), 769–822.

Feynman, R. P., Leighton, R. B., & Sands, M. (1964). *The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Vol. III: Quantum Mechanics.* Addison-Wesley.

Gell-Mann, M. (1964). *A Schematic Model of Baryons and Mesons.* Physics Letters, 8(3), 214–215.

Planck, M. (1901). *On the Law of Distribution of Energy in the Normal Spectrum.* Annalen der Physik, 4, 553–563.

Dirac, P. A. M. (1928). *The Quantum Theory of the Electron.* Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 117(778), 610–624.

Wheeler, J. A., & Feynman, R. P. (1945). *Interaction with the Absorber as the Mechanism of Radiation.* Reviews of Modern Physics, 17(2-3), 157–181.

Penrose, R. (2004). *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe.* Knopf.

Bohm, D. (1952). *A Suggested Interpretation of the Quantum Theory in Terms of "Hidden" Variables I & II.* Physical Review, 85(2), 166–193.

Greene, B. (1999). *The Elegant Universe: Superstrings, Hidden Dimensions, and the Quest for the Ultimate Theory.* W. W. Norton & Company.

Pickard-Jones, P. (2025). *The Unified Resonance Model.* Oregon Graphic Design / Private Publication.

Pickard-Jones, P. (2025). *The Theory of M-II: The Duality in Observation of $mE = c^2$.* Oregon Graphic Design / Private Publication.

Wilczek, F. (2008). *The Lightness of Being: Mass, Ether, and the Unification of Forces.* Basic Books.

Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S., & Wheeler, J. A. (1973). *Gravitation.* W. H. Freeman and Company.

Padmanabhan, T. (2010). *Gravitation: Foundations and Frontiers.* Cambridge University Press.

Pickard-Jones, P. (2025). *Photonic Resonance: Addenda I & II — Resonance Refinements and Atomic Sound Carriers.* Oregon Graphic Design / Private Publication.