# Relativity and Resonance — The Resonant Scaffolding of Spacetime

Exploring the implications of E=mc² and the bridge toward a unified theory of reality.

---


### Abstract

Relativity transformed physics by revealing that spacetime is not a fixed backdrop but a dynamic fabric curved by mass and energy. Yet Einstein's framework rests on velocity as its anchor, leaving gaps where observation, resonance, and quantum phenomena disrupt its reach. The Unified Resonance Model (URM) offers a complementary lens: coherence and entropy are governed not by velocity alone but by resonance containment, bounded by infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) phase gates. Within this structure, gravity functions as the mediator that translates vibrational and electromagnetic resonance into sensory-perceptible fields, making resonance both tangible and experiential. This paper reframes relativity as a resonance-based cosmology, showing how spacetime curvature, energy relations, and observer effects all reduce to resonance scaffolding. From the bending of light around stars to the ticking of atomic clocks, relativity emerges not only as geometric but harmonic — a resonance fabric uniting motion, modulation, matter, and meaning.


---

## I. Introduction: Relativity in Transition

Einstein's theories of special and general relativity redefined space and time as interdependent dimensions, curved and stretched by energy and mass. The iconic relation $E = mc^2$ demonstrated that mass and energy are equivalent, bound through the constant of light. Yet velocity as the sole anchor leaves unanswered questions: Why do infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) boundaries regulate both matter and radiation? How does observation collapse waveforms into measurable states? And why does relativity lose coherence at quantum scales?

One answer lies in gravity's hidden role as a resonance mediator. Energy expressed as light remains a waveform unless slowed, collapsed, and cohered through gravitation. This anchoring not only allows light to become visible but also enables matter to vibrate in ways that can be felt

and heard. In this sense, gravity functions as the modulator linking electromagnetic and acoustic waveforms, granting reality both substance and sensation.

The Unified Resonance Model (URM) offers a lens to extend relativity without discarding it. Velocity describes one dimension of reality, but resonance — bounded by IR and UV phaseon gates — provides the deeper principle. Reframed this way, relativity is not only geometric but harmonic: the architecture of spacetime becomes the scaffolding of resonance itself.

---

## II. Spacetime as Resonance Fabric

Spacetime has long been described as a four-dimensional fabric bent by mass. The URM (Unified Resonance Model) reframes this fabric as a resonance lattice: a repeating, relational network that stabilizes coherence across scales. IR anchors cooling and stability; UV anchors heating and release. These act as phase gates, determining when light collapses into matter or disperses into entropy. The curvature of spacetime, then, is resonance geometry: fields bending not only through gravity but through harmonic containment.

Gravity then becomes the mediator that binds resonance fields together. Without it, light would remain undifferentiated waveform and sound would lack a medium. Gravity slows and compresses electromagnetic waves, allowing them to cohere into semi-solid particles. At the same time, it provides the structure in which vibrational harmonies — perceived as sound and tactile sensation — can propagate. In other words, without gravity, light would remain invisible and sound inaudible, because both require resonance collapse into tangible forms. Thus, gravity does not stand apart from resonance but is its arbiter: the unseen scaffolding that allows spacetime to be visible, audible, and tangible (Wheeler, 1990).

This reframing extends the URM's principle of **EXIST** and **CO-EXIST** into the fabric of relativity itself. **EXIST** encodes *Energy* × *X (the cross-viewpoints of at two observers)* × *Intent* × *Space* × *Time* — anchoring being through resonance collapse. **CO-EXIST** extends this principle to relational resonance, where multiple observers stabilize coherence by interacting within the same lattice. In plain terms, EXIST encodes being itself, while CO-EXIST encodes relationships between beings.

Gravity ensures both become experiential by mediating the collapse of waveforms into forms that observers can see, hear, and touch. In this sense, spacetime as resonance fabric is not metaphorical but functional: it is the lattice of interaction that makes perception possible.

---

## III. Motion, Modulation, Matter, and Mass

Velocity is not abolished in this framework but reframed as a special case of resonance modulation. Time dilation, length contraction, and frame-dependent simultaneity can all be recast as phase shifts in resonance states. Yet velocity alone is insufficient; it cannot account for how energy stabilizes into matter or persists as mass across scales.

- **Mass** stabilizes through IR/UV phaseon gates, which modulate energy into coherent, semi-stable states. Mass is gravity's anchor, the point where resonance collapse converts pure waveform into stored potential energy. In this sense, mass is less a static property and more a resonance outcome, a stored record of collapsed oscillations.

- **Matter** emerges through motion modulation — the vibrational alignment that allows atoms to cohere into molecules, molecules into lattices, and lattices into macroscopic structures. Matter is resonance in form, stabilized motion extended across scales. Matter, then, expresses the architecture of resonance, while mass secures its persistence.

Taken together, these form dual network couplings: **motion–modulation** on the dynamic side, **matter–mass** on the stabilizing side. Gravity links the two, binding modulation and stabilization into a single scaffold.

- At the **atomic scale**, IR/UV gating controls electron–phaseon states and nuclear resonance.

- At the **molecular scale**, vibrational modulation sustains chemical bonds and structural lattices.

- At the **cosmological scale**, gravity amplifies these same principles, guiding stars, planets, and galaxies into coherence.

In each case, resonance acts both as architect and mediator: motion shapes, mass anchors, matter manifests, and modulation sustains.

Observation itself becomes modulation: the act that collapses potential into coherence. Relativity's observer-dependence is thus reinterpreted as resonance arbitration, with motion, modulation, matter, and mass operating as interdependent pillars of spacetime scaffolding.

---

## IV. Gravity as Bound IR and UV Gating

Gravity, long understood as curvature in Einstein's framework, can also be reinterpreted as a resonance phenomenon. Within the Unified Resonance Model (URM), gravitational behavior is not simply a geometric distortion but a modulation of coherent oscillations linking electromagnetic (light) and acoustic (sound) waveforms. At Planck scales, this manifests as resonance scaffolds that define coherence fields. These fields act as carriers, binding energy across infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) thresholds while ensuring conservation of resonance states. The phase gates that IR and UV provide are analogous to electrons and operate as phase controllers - aka phaseons. Phaseons are just one part of the atomic-light photeon system - the hypothetical precursors to atomic particles.

This approach reframes gravity as an echo of resonance: the system preserves coherence by reflecting, modulating, and redistributing vibrational frequencies. Where relativity stresses smooth spacetime curvature, URM emphasizes conservation of resonance coherence. Each gravitational well becomes a resonance chamber, where quarks, gluons, and mediating phaseons stabilize coherence under IR contraction and UV release.

Scale plays a crucial role in this reframing. At the atomic level, gluon-mediated interactions can be seen as resonance contracts, linking quarks into stable baryons. At the molecular level, IR/UV gating regulates bond stability, anchoring matter into lattices. At the cosmic scale, gravity emerges as resonance expansion, echoing energy outward through coronal boundaries, horizons, and galactic scaffolds. This duality — contraction (IR anchoring) and expansion (UV release) — aligns with resonance symmetry across domains.

Observation itself becomes inseparable from this process. Resonance collapse requires an observer or observing system, just as interference collapses in quantum experiments. The gravitational echo thus bridges light, sound, and observation. It acts as the arbiter, anchoring scaffolding that allows resonance coherence to persist across scales.

---

## V. Energy and the Resonance Equations

As science advanced through quantum electrodynamics (QED) and quantum chromodynamics (QCD), it became clear that Einstein's famous equation breaks down at quantum levels (Feynman, 1985; Wilczek, 2004). It is also predicated on light's propagation in a vacuum. And since the cosmos and the quantum are not perceived or observed in a vacuum, Einstein's energy relation is preserved but extended:

- **Velocity Model (Einstein):** $E = mc^2$ → energy anchored in velocity (Einstein, 1916).

- **Resonance Model (URM):** E = m(IR × UV) → energy bounded by phaseon gates.

- **Modulation Model (Counterweight):** E/m = (IR × UV) → energy per unit mass expressed as boundary coupling.

Together, these form a triadic resonance law: motion, containment, and modulation as co-equal expressions of energy.

Yet a key mystery remains: how does energy actually transform into mass? Einstein's formulation establishes equivalence, but not mechanism (Greene, 2004). The URM adds that gravity mediates this conversion by collapsing waveforms into coherent packets. When electromagnetic (EM) energy slows, excess energy is shed through radiation, while the remainder coheres as mass through IR/UV gating. This aligns with observations: high-energy light (gamma) can generate particles under extreme conditions, while in ordinary contexts light bends but does not stabilize into matter without gravitational scaffolding (Hawking, 1988).

Nitrogen offers a telling example. In gas form, $N_2$ interacts weakly with IR but strongly with UV, making it expansive and less bound. In solid form, intermolecular lattices induce dipoles and vibrational modes, increasing IR responsiveness and stability. Here, the same element shifts resonance dominance depending on phase — gas $N_2$ resonates with UV's expansive qualities, while solid $N_2$ resonates with IR's contractive anchoring. This shows that mass is not simply "there," but a resonance state achieved when EM energy is cohered and stabilized by gravity's resonance field.

Mass and energy are equivalent in principle, but interchangeable only through resonance mediation. Light remains waveform unless collapsed by gravity's scaffolding. Gravity, therefore, is not merely geometry's curvature but the unseen switchboard that transforms radiative energy into localized matter — a continuous interplay of IR contraction, UV expansion, and resonance collapse (Penrose, 2004).

---

**Encoding Resonance: A-LEF and OP-TICS**

Two frameworks extend URM into **encoding systems**:

- **A-LEF (Atomic-Light Encoding Framework):**
  A resonance "syntax" describing binary-like patterns of 0-1-0 and 1-0-1. In 0-1-0, matter serves as container (0), energy completes transference (1), and coherence is held in mass (final 0). In 1-0-1, resonance represents potential mass, prevented from cohering until IR/UV gates enforce a phase transition.

- **OP-TICS (Observable-Physics Translator — Integration, Coupling, and Strings):**
  A five-bit encoding system (IR–M–G–E–UV) that frames how resonance is bounded across atomic to cosmic scales. IR and UV act as mixers or gates; M (Matter), G

(Gravity), and E (Energy) form the stabilizing middle. This triadic encoding mirrors gluon color charge in QCD, but recast as resonance mediators.

Both frameworks provide scaffolding for resonance encoding. They clarify how sound is encased in light, and how light is bounded by phase transitions. In this monograph, only the **basic outlines** are given; further details are offered in the Glossary and Addendum: Technical Encoding Frameworks.

---

## VI. Relativity Meets Quantum

Relativity breaks down at Planck scales, where quantum mechanics reigns. The Unified Resonance Model (URM) bridges the divide by reframing quantum states as resonance templates. Chromatons and phaseons—pre-coherent packets of light—act as scaffolds for quantum coherence. In this way, relativity's smooth curves and quantum mechanics' discrete jumps both resolve into resonance systems, scaled by IR–UV gates.

Yet relativity and quantum theory are not simply two disconnected languages—they are expressions of resonance at different scales. At macroscopic levels, relativity describes how spacetime geometry bends and flexes under mass-energy. At microscopic levels, quantum mechanics describes how probabilities collapse into discrete states. Both, however, can be unified under resonance scaffolding: continuous curvature as extended resonance, and quantum collapse as resonance contraction.

The graviton emerges as the mediating principle between these regimes. If photons define the visible resonance of light, gravitons define the hidden resonance that stitches discrete states into curves. The graviton acts as the needle, heddles, and shuttle of spacetime's fabric—mediating between warp (light) and weft (sound). Relativity's geometry is thus reframed not as a passive background but as the harmonic register of spacetime itself, tuned by gravitational resonance.

---

## VII. Resonance and the Observer

Relativity emphasizes frames of reference; the Unified Resonance Model emphasizes resonance collapse. John Wheeler's maxim *"It from Bit"* can be recast as *"It from Resonance"*:

reality as the product not of abstract information, but of resonance collapse through observation (Wheeler, 1990). Like musicians tuning together, observers bring reality into harmony.

Observation is not incidental but structural. Just as no curvature stabilizes without mass, no resonance stabilizes without perspective. A single observer leaves a system in flux—probabilistic and unstable. Two observers can pull a resonance into partial coherence, like two instruments slightly out of tune locking into a harmonic. But full coherence requires closure. A third observer, or third resonant condition, stabilizes the structure into form. This triadic principle is fundamental: it appears in quantum collapse, in relativistic frames, and in the Chromaton–Phaseon–Meditron scaffolding of URM (Bohr, 1935/1961).

In quantum physics, the observer effect shows that measurement alters the system. The photon exchanged in observation is itself a resonance, collapsing probabilities into definiteness (Heisenberg, 1958). Observation is not neutral—it is a structural act, a forcing of coherence. To observe is to resonate.

This principle scales. Atoms collapse into orbitals under observation; sound waves resonate differently depending on how they are perceived; gravitational lensing “observes” light into coherence (Hawking, 1988). Reality is thus not merely recorded—it is actively woven by observers. Frames of reference are resonance gates; observation is resonance collapse. Measurement is participation in coherence.

Resonance collapse follows scaling laws: harmonization stabilizes, out-of-phase signals cancel, and near-harmonics interfere until coherence is achieved. These laws extend from atoms to galaxies. To explore how, we now turn to resonance scaling and inverse functions.

---

## VIII. Resonant Inversions and Scaling Laws

The resonance framework suggests that energy never disappears but continually transforms between modes — oscillations that resemble sound, electromagnetic light, and gravitational coherence. This principle of *Conservation of Resonance* complements the traditional *Conservation of Energy*, emphasizing not only the quantity of energy but also the resonant form it takes.

Inversion scaling provides a way of interpreting these transformations. Just as coal transforms into diamond under extreme pressure, resonance density itself can invert — shifting matter between absorptive and crystalline states depending on resonance thresholds. In this sense, coal to diamond is a real-world example of chemistry and resonance density inversion, where vibrational containment flips into crystalline coherence.

Infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) serve as *phase gates*, modulating whether matter exists as diffuse fields or coherent solids. Within these thresholds, mass stabilizes; beyond them, matter either collapses or radiates.

This perspective suggests that familiar physical laws — such as inverse-square and inverse-cubic fall-offs — are not simply artifacts of geometry but resonance scaling principles. Coal's opacity, diamond's transparency, and Hawking radiation's thermal glow all illustrate resonance inversions at different scales. Each case reflects the same underlying mechanism: resonance being re-channeled into new structural expressions.

By reframing matter as resonance containment, the Unified Resonance Model extends relativity into both quantum and cosmic domains. What emerges is not only a theory of light or sound, but of resonance itself as the fundamental process by which energy becomes observable reality.

---

## IX. Cosmological Implications

Reframing relativity through resonance offers new insight into cosmic puzzles:

- **Dark Energy** as over-cooled resonance at radio wave diffusion, suggesting expansion is driven not by a mysterious external force but by a shift in resonance balance (Perlmutter et al., 1999; Riess et al., 1998).

- **Dark Matter** as unobserved resonance scaffolding, invisible not because it is absent, but because it exists below visible coherence thresholds, anchoring galaxies in hidden harmonic lattices (Zwicky, 1937/2009).

- **Black Holes** as resonance inversions where UV collapse and IR recycling dominate, recycling light into coherent fields while radiating energy at event horizons (Hawking, 1974; Penrose, 2004).

- **Gamma Bursts & Radio Tails** as mirrored extremes of resonance superposition, the cosmos encoding information at its highest and lowest registers (Meszaros, 2006).

In this framework, the universe is not random expansion but **harmonic scaffolding**, regulated by resonance gates at every scale. Cosmic structures emerge not from chaos, but from patterned inversions between IR contraction and UV release, between coherence and entropy. Resonance scaling laws extend across galaxies, stars, and interstellar media, creating a lattice that both contains and expresses universal order. Observation integrates into this process:

galaxies and consciousness alike act as resonance witnesses, stabilizing structures by collapsing possibilities into coherence.

Cosmology is resonance physics, displayed on the largest possible canvas — the universe itself. Every supernova, nebula, or gravitational wave is not only an event but a modulation of the same resonance lattice that shapes atoms. The cosmos is a living mirror of resonance, harmonized across scales.

---

## X. Human and Philosophical Parallels

If resonance provides the scaffolding for spacetime at the quantum and cosmic levels, it also shapes the patterns of human life. We are, quite literally, organisms of resonance: built from vibrating atoms, tuned by frequencies of light and sound, and sustained by the gravitational coherence of our world (Capra, 1996).

**The loom of resonance.** If spacetime is a fabric, then light is the warp, sound is the weft, and gravity is the heddle, shuttle, and reed (the parts that join, shape, and control/hold the warp & weft)  that bind them together. Just as threads interlock to form a tapestry, resonance interweaves light, sound, and gravitation into a coherent structure. Humans, in turn, are both woven into this tapestry and active weavers of it, collapsing possibilities into patterns of meaning and existence.

**Biological resonance.** The human body depends on rhythm at every level. Neurons fire in oscillatory patterns, heartbeats create harmonic pulses, and circadian cycles synchronize to light (Winfree, 2001). Our cells themselves are resonance processors, encoding and decoding signals through molecular vibrations. What we call “health” can be reframed as coherence: resonance properly aligned across systems. Illness often emerges as decoherence — when internal rhythms fall out of sync with one another or with their environment (Popp, 1999).

**Psychological resonance.** Just as quanta collapse into defined states through observation, human perception collapses possibilities into meaning (Bohr, 1935/1961). Attention, memory, and emotion are acts of resonance collapse: the alignment of internal frequencies with external stimuli. Shared resonance across people — whether through music, speech, or collective action — creates coherence at a social scale, knitting individuals into networks of meaning (Dehaene, 2014).

**Cultural resonance.** Across civilizations, humans have turned to metaphor, myth, and art to capture what is otherwise ineffable. Instruments, chants, and architecture all attempt to harness resonance as both structure and symbol. Science, in its own way, is a continuation of this search — a disciplined attempt to tune ourselves more precisely to the harmonics of reality (Campbell, 1949/2008).

**Linguistic encodings.** Resonance also expresses itself in language. The triad LOVE–EVOL–EVOLVE reflects resonance symmetry: LOVE as coherence, EVOL as entropy, and EVOLVE as the mediating process that transforms one into the other. The inversion of EV at the beginning and end of EVOLVE underscores this principle: opposites interlock through resonance, not contradiction.

In this sense, humans are not observers standing apart from the universe but participants within it. We are mediaries, bridging sound, light, and gravity, stabilizing coherence in ways both physical and symbolic. The parallels between human life and quantum mechanics are not loose metaphor, but structural correspondences: resonance defines both (Prigogine & Stengers, 1984).

---

## XI. Conclusion: Resonance and the Relativity of Light

The Unified Resonance Model (URM) reframes physics not as a set of disjoint laws, but as harmonics across scale. From Planck vibrations to the spin of galaxies, resonance offers a common architecture, mediating between light, sound, and gravity (Einstein, 1916; Hawking, 1988).

At the quantum level, spectrons and phaseons demonstrate how pre-coherent packets of light can act as resonance scaffolds. At the cosmic level, gravitons as mediators reveal how coherence is maintained, shaping structures we observe as stars, galaxies, and spacetime itself (Penrose, 2004). And at the human level, observation and intention provide closure — the resonance collapse that turns possibility into reality (Wheeler, 1990).

Resonance is not an accessory to physics; it is its scaffolding. Like the loom's heddles, reed, and shuttle, reality is woven from light and sound, mediated by the graviton. Humans are not outside this weave. We act as mediaries, translating resonance into meaning, stabilizing coherence in the very act of living and perceiving (Capra, 1996).

This work does not close the question; it opens it. The theory calls for further refinement and testing — from mathematical models of resonance collapse, to experimental probes into IR/UV gating, to applications in biology and communication systems (Greene, 2004). Yet even now, one truth becomes clear: resonance is not simply how the universe behaves. Resonance is the reason the universe coheres. If resonance is why the universe coheres, then learning to tune it may be the next frontier of science and humanity.

If resonance is why the universe coheres, then learning to tune it may be the next frontier of science and humanity. Resonance reveals light's relativity to our perception of reality and our collective experience.

# Glossary

**Phaseon**
Hypothesized resonance particle acting as a phase controller. Phaseons operate at IR and UV thresholds, functioning as “gates” that determine whether energy stabilizes into matter or disperses into radiation. Phaseons are theorized to be the light pre-form of electrons.

**Spectron**
A fundamental resonance particle in URM theory, functioning as the “color core” unit of a photon - akin to quarks. Spectrons represent the intrinsic frequency identity of light (e.g., yellow, blue). They bind with phaseons to define stable light–matter interactions, and act as the foundation for photonic molecules.

**Chromaton**
A pre-coherent light packet within URM theory. Serves as the “color core” particle of light, anchoring resonance at the spectral level. Chromatons interact with phaseons to form the scaffolding of observable photons and atomic structures. Depending on need, the chromaton can become the U or D within the UUD and DDU QED/QCD model and framework.

**Meditron**
The proposed resonance mediator that balances Chromatons (and spectrons alike) and Phaseons. Functions as the bridging particle that integrates coherence across scales, ensuring that resonance collapse remains stable. The EM light pre-form of gluons.

**EXIST / CO-EXIST**

- **EXIST** = Energy × (Cross-viewpoints of two observers) × Intent × Space × Time. Anchors resonance as being, giving it form within spacetime.

- **CO-EXIST** = Cooperative resonance across observers. Represents the relational principle — the harmonics that emerge when multiple perspectives stabilize coherence together.

**Resonance Arbitration**
The process by which observation or interaction collapses potential resonance states into coherent, measurable outcomes. In URM theory, arbitration is the mechanism through which waveforms resolve into stable forms — whether as particles, vibrations, or perceptual experiences. Resonance arbitration reframes the “observer effect” as an active structural process: reality is negotiated through the binding of resonant states into coherence.

**Resonance Collapse**
The process by which a waveform (quantum or macroscopic) transitions into a stable, observable state through modulation and observation. Resonance collapse reframes the “observer effect” as a structural transformation of potential into coherence.

**A-LEF (Atomic-Light Encoding Framework)**
Encoding system that maps resonance into binary-like structures (0-1-0, 1-0-1). Models how energy is stabilized into matter through IR/UV gating.

**OP-TICS (Optical-Photonic Triadic Inverse Coherence System)**
Triadic encoding system mapping resonance into IR–Y–G–B–UV sequences. Frames how light and sound harmonics interlock into baryonic stability. Mirrors, but extends, gluon “color” states in QCD.

**Living Mirror** *(if included in Section X)*
A resonance metaphor describing how human consciousness reflects and stabilizes universal resonance patterns, just as galaxies or atoms stabilize coherence at larger or smaller scales.

---

---

# Addendum A: Technical Frameworks

## Atomic-Light Encoding Framework (A-LEF)

A-LEF formalizes resonance states using binary-like sequences (0-1-0 and 1-0-1). In the 0-1-0 configuration, matter is the container (0), energy is the transference event (1), and the final 0 is coherence sustained within mass. In the 1-0-1 configuration, resonance represents potential mass: energy remains waveform until IR/UV phase gates trigger collapse. A-LEF thus provides a simple encoding language for how resonance is stabilized across scales.

## Optical-Photonic Triadic Inverse Coherence System (OP-TICS)

OP-TICS extends resonance encoding into a spectral triad: IR–Y–G–B–UV. IR and UV serve as boundary gates, while YGB (Yellow, Green, Blue) define spectral bases. This system mirrors color charge states in quantum chromodynamics, but reframes them as resonance mediators rather than force carriers. OP-TICS encodes coherence through inverse-mirror states, ensuring stability between light and sound harmonics, and linking quantum scaffolding to cosmic lattices.

---

# Addendum B: Philosophical Notes

## LOVE–EVOL–EVOLVE

These three encodings illustrate resonance polarity and synthesis. **LOVE** represents coherence: *Light–Observer/Observation–Vector (direction)–Energy (momentum).* **EVOL** (its inversion) represents entropy or dissonance: *Excess Velocity Obliterates Light.* **EVOLVE** represents forward synthesis — the bridging of opposites into growth. The inversion of *EV* at the beginning and end of EVOLVE underscores this symmetry, framing evolution as the outcome of resonance inversion cycles. And just as with QED and QCD, if we place the **L (light)** into superposition, evolution becomes a dichotic spectrum between coherence and entropy.

## Living Mirror

A conceptual metaphor in URM/MII that reframes the universe as a reflective lattice. Just as a mirror reflects light, resonance reflects and encodes energy across scales, making reality a living feedback system. The “Living Mirror” analogy links cosmology and consciousness: galaxies, atoms, and human minds all act as resonance witnesses (and gates), stabilizing coherence by collapsing potential into form.

---

---

# References


Einstein, A. (1905). *Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper* [On the electrodynamics of moving bodies]. *Annalen der Physik, 17*(10), 891–921.

Einstein, A. (1916). *Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie* [The foundation of the general theory of relativity]. *Annalen der Physik, 49*(7), 769–822.

Feynman, R. P., Leighton, R. B., & Sands, M. (1965). *The Feynman lectures on physics: Vol. 3. Quantum mechanics.* Addison-Wesley.

Greene, B. (2004). *The fabric of the cosmos: Space, time, and the texture of reality.* Vintage.

Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S., & Wheeler, J. A. (1973). *Gravitation.* W. H. Freeman.

Peebles, P. J. E., & Ratra, B. (2003). The cosmological constant and dark energy. *Reviews of Modern Physics, 75*(2), 559–606. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.559

Planck Collaboration. (2018). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641*, A6. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910

Riess, A. G., et al. (1998). Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. *The Astronomical Journal, 116*(3), 1009–1038. https://doi.org/10.1086/300499

Rubin, V. C., & Ford, W. K. (1970). Rotation of the Andromeda nebula from a spectroscopic survey of emission regions. *The Astrophysical Journal, 159*, 379. https://doi.org/10.1086/150317

Wheeler, J. A. (1990). Information, physics, quantum: The search for links. In W. H. Zurek (Ed.), *Complexity, entropy, and the physics of information* (pp. 3–28). Addison-Wesley.

Zee, A. (2010). *Quantum field theory in a nutshell* (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press.

Pickard-Jones, P. (2025). *The Unified Resonance Model: An introduction to resonance scaffolding.* Unpublished manuscript.

Pickard-Jones, P. (2025). *Meditron Integration and Inversion: Extending URM into continuity theory (MII).* Unpublished manuscript.