

# The Universal Cognition Principle (UCP)

## Part III — Curvature-Stabilized Identity: Curvature, coherence, and closure resolution

Phillip Pickard-Jones  
2026

---

### Abstract

If physical systems persist through curvature-mediated resonance stabilization, the same structural logic should reappear wherever coherent identity emerges at larger scales. This paper extends the Universal Cognition Principle (UCP) from physical identity to cognitive systems. The Universal Cognition Principle provides the minimal condition under which physical identity can persist under perturbation.

**The Universal Cognition Principle (UCP) states that any physically real system must be capable of resolving curvature differentials into coherent, relationally indexed persistence.**

Within this framework, cognition is defined minimally as the capacity of a system to detect gradients, reorganize resonance, and stabilize coherent identity through transitions of the form  $\Delta C \rightarrow \Delta 0C$ . These processes appear not only in neural systems but also in physical, informational, and collective systems that maintain stable relational structure. When this condition is examined across scales, it reveals a structural continuity between physical stabilization and cognitive organization.

To describe this process across scales, the paper introduces a layered architecture consisting of ARC (Attention–Resonance–Coherence), CPU (Curvature Processing Unit), and UPC (Universal Processing Circuit). These constructs describe how gradient detection, resonance negotiation, and coherence stabilization produce persistent identity structures ranging from particles to conceptual systems.

The Universal Cognition Principle therefore proposes a structural continuity between physical persistence and cognitive organization (Friston, 2010; Varela et al., 1991). Rather than treating cognition as a biological anomaly, the framework interprets it as a scale-dependent expression of curvature-mediated coherence stabilization.

---

# 0. Prologue — From Curvature Identity to Structural Cognition

Part I demonstrated that physical identity emerges when systems resolve curvature differentials ( $\Delta C$ ), entrain resonance, and stabilize into coherent boundary conditions ( $\Delta 0C$ ). In more mathematical terms, UCP states that any physically real system must be capable of resolving curvature differentials ( $\Delta C$ ) into coherent, relationally indexed persistence ( $\Delta 0C$ ). These processes were examined within nucleonic exchange and photonic substrates, establishing persistence as a curvature-bound phenomenon.

UCP advances this architecture by extracting its minimal structural logic. The processes that stabilize physical identity—differential detection, mediated resonance, boundary formation—are not restricted to atomic domains. They constitute a general structural condition for persistence wherever systems maintain identity under constraint.

To make this continuity explicit,  $CE^3RNM$  (Curvature–Energy–Entrainment–Encoding–Resonance Nuclear Mechanism) is retained not as a new layer of theory but as a scale-specific articulation of this architecture. It describes how curvature differentials are resolved into stable boundary conditions within nuclear systems. UCP generalizes the same structural pattern without extending its physical claims beyond domain.

In this framework, cognition is defined structurally: a system exhibits cognition insofar as it stabilizes identity through differential resolution, mediated coherence, and boundary retention. This definition does not introduce metaphysical content. It isolates the minimal structural requirements underlying persistence across domains.

UCP reframes cognition not as computation in the narrow sense, but as coherence retention under constraint.

---

## I. Introduction — Geometric Realization of Triadic Sufficiency

Modern cognitive science treats cognition as a brain-bound process. Physics treats identity as a byproduct of structural configuration. UCP unifies these views by demonstrating that cognition is the universal process through which systems achieve coherence.

To fully ground this shift, UCP establishes four foundational distinctions:

- **Structural identity vs. cognitive identity.** Structural identity describes what a system *is* in terms of components, but cognitive identity describes how a system *stabilizes itself* by interpreting gradients, signals, or asymmetries. In complex systems, identity emerges not from parts alone but from how the system *recognizes* and reorganizes around

incoming information (Barbour, 2001).

- **Why resonance logic scales from nucleons → systems → minds.** The same resonance-driven mechanisms that define proton–neutron identity also govern how organisms, societies, and cognitive fields stabilize meaning. Resonance logic scales naturally because coherence is universal: when any system reduces asymmetry, it moves toward stable identity, regardless of size or substrate (Friston, 2010).
- **Cognition as curvature-recognition.** Cognition begins not with neurons, but with the ability of a system to detect, interpret, and respond to curvature differentials in its environment. This “curvature recognition” is observable in physical, biological, and informational systems alike and forms the earliest precursor to what we call awareness (Sengupta et al., 2016).
- **Identity as self-stabilizing information.** Identity emerges when information achieves a stable configuration that can maintain coherence across changing conditions. This applies as much to particles maintaining spin states as it does to minds maintaining beliefs — both are examples of systems using information to stabilize themselves (Tononi, 2004).

From photons to protons, from cells to societies, cognition is the mechanism that stabilizes meaning, boundaries, and identity. UCP reframes cognition as the resonance-driven process through which the universe interprets and organizes itself.

Part I established the Universal Cognition Principle (UCP) as an ontological condition for persistence. Part I.5 formalized its minimal structural requirements, demonstrating that stable physical systems require:

- differential ( $\Delta\kappa$ ),
- boundary constraint ( $B > 0$ ),
- mediated retention ( $M$ ),
- and convergent coherence ( $\lim \Delta C \rightarrow 0$ ).

The triadic sufficiency condition is a structural requirement derived from the minimal conditions of persistence.

If persistence requires differential resolution under boundary constraint with mediated retention, then curvature—understood as stabilized oscillatory geometry—must realize these conditions internally. Geometry must satisfy structure.

Curvature Oscillation Symmetry (COS) articulates how curvature partitions within bounded domains in order to sustain mediated coherence under differential constraint. It provides a geometric account of how stabilized oscillatory volumes maintain identity without reducing structure to point-like primitives.

The task of this paper is to demonstrate how the structural requirements derived in Part I.5 become geometrically instantiated. The focus remains minimal: not the introduction of new physical entities, but the clarification of how curvature systems satisfy the conditions required for persistence.

---

## II. CE<sup>3</sup>RNM and Structural Continuity

CE<sup>3</sup>RNM (Curvature–Energy–Entrainment–Encoding–Resonance Nuclear Mechanism) articulates how nucleonic identity stabilizes under curvature differential through resonance entrainment and boundary encoding. Within nuclear domains, identity is not a static property but a dynamically retained configuration under constraint. This interpretation is consistent with field-based descriptions of nuclear stability and interaction under constraint (Griffiths, 2018; Rovelli, 1996).

In this analysis, nucleonic stabilization already exhibits the structural conditions formalized in Part II:

- resolution of curvature differential ( $\Delta\kappa$ ),
- retention within boundary constraint ( $B > 0$ ),
- mediated stabilization (M),
- convergence toward bounded fluctuation ( $\lim \Delta C \rightarrow 0$ ).

CE<sup>3</sup>RNM does not introduce cognition into nuclear physics. It describes how identity persists through structured mediation under curvature constraint. UCP generalizes this structural logic without extending nuclear claims beyond domain.

The continuity between CE<sup>3</sup>RNM and UCP is structural. Systems that stabilize under differential constraint necessarily encode boundary conditions and retain coherent state information. The question is not whether nucleons “interpret” fields, but how stabilization under field conditions satisfies the minimal structural requirements for persistence. Within this interpretation, CE<sup>3</sup>RNM is not a separate physical theory but a structural articulation of how energy, mediation, and stabilization interact under curvature constraint. This same structural logic underlies wave behavior, boundary formation, and identity retention across domains.

---

## II.1 CE<sup>3</sup>RNM as Curvature Logic

Within CE<sup>3</sup>RNM, nucleonic identity stabilizes through curvature anchoring under spectral asymmetry. Protonic and neutronic roles represent distinct boundary conditions under differential completion. These stabilizations are mediated configurations within field constraints, not intrinsic static objects.

Identity at this scale is curvature logic: differential resolution under mediated boundary constraint.

---

## II.2 Field Responsiveness and Differential Resolution

Fields respond to curvature gradients by reorganizing toward configurations that minimize divergence and stabilize coherence. This reorganization does not imply agency; it reflects constraint-satisfying dynamics within bounded domains.

In structural terms:

- Differential arises.
- Boundary constrains.
- Mediation resolves.
- Stabilized configuration persists.

These are precisely the conditions formalized as triadic sufficiency.

---

## II.3 Structural Generalization to UCP

UCP extends this structural pattern beyond nucleonic exchange by identifying the minimal condition required wherever identity persists under constraint.

Cognition, in this framework, is defined structurally: a system exhibits cognition insofar as it maintains identity through differential detection, mediated coherence, and boundary retention. The structural condition is preserved across scale.

---

## II.4 From Curvature to Structured Identity

The progression from curvature stabilization to cognitive identity is a structural scaling of retention logic:

- Curvature: differential resolution within boundary.
- Mediation: internal reconfiguration under constraint.
- Identity: stabilized coherence across perturbation.

CE<sup>3</sup>RNM demonstrates this structure in nuclear domains. UCP generalizes it as a minimal persistence condition.

---

## III. ARC Logic — Structural Mediation Across Scales

If CE<sup>3</sup>RNM describes curvature stabilization within nuclear domains, ARC (Attention–Resonance–Coherence) formalizes the structural generalization of that stabilization wherever identity persists under constraint.

ARC identifies three minimal operations required for systems that retain structured identity under differential:

- selective differential engagement,
- mediated stabilization,
- boundary-retained coherence.

These correspond structurally to  $\Delta k$  detection, mediation (M), and bounded convergence ( $\lim \Delta C \rightarrow 0$  under  $B > 0$ ).

---

### III.1 Attention — Differential Selection

Attention is defined structurally as selective engagement with differential.

In physical systems, differential does not remain uniformly distributed; certain gradients are amplified or retained under constraint. In dynamical systems, selective amplification emerges through constraint-satisfying reorganization (Haken, 1983).

Attention is the structural analogue of  $\Delta k$  detection: the isolation of differential within boundary conditions. No agency is implied. It is differential selection under constraint.

---

### **III.2 Resonance — Mediated Stabilization**

Once differential is engaged, stabilization requires mediation. Resonance describes constraint-satisfying reconfiguration within boundary domains. In CE<sup>3</sup>RNM, this appears as entrainment under curvature asymmetry. In dynamical systems, it appears as attractor stabilization (Kelso, 1995) and energy minimization principles in physical systems (Nicolis & Prigogine, 1977).

Resonance performs the mediating function M:

- distributing differential,
- preventing collapse into uniform symmetry,
- preventing divergence under imbalance.

Resonance is therefore the operational mechanism by which differential becomes retainable.

---

### **III.3 Coherence — Boundary-Retained Identity**

Coherence is the stabilization of mediated differential within boundary constraint.

Formally:

$\lim \Delta C(t) \rightarrow 0$   
while  $C > 0$ .

Oscillation persists; divergence does not.

Coherence is not static equilibrium. It is bounded fluctuation under constraint.

In structural terms, coherence marks the transition from differential to persistent identity.

---

### III.4 ARC as Structural Continuity

ARC does not replace SEA or CE<sup>3</sup>RNM. It generalizes their structural logic beyond nuclear instantiation.

Where CE<sup>3</sup>RNM describes curvature stabilization within nucleonic systems, ARC abstracts the minimal retention sequence:

$\Delta\kappa \rightarrow M \rightarrow$  bounded convergence.

ARC identifies this sequence wherever systems stabilize identity under constraint.

---

## IV. The Curvature Processing Model

The Curvature Processing Unit (CPU) model formalizes the structural sequence by which curvature differential becomes stabilized identity.

The term “processing” is used structurally, not mechanically. It refers to the ordered resolution of differential under mediation within boundary constraint.

---

### IV.1 Geometry as Informational Constraint

Differential within curvature fields functions as structured asymmetry. Where differential is retained within bounded domains, it acquires informational significance: it influences subsequent stabilization pathways.

As physical systems encode constraints through energy-dependent configuration and field structure (Landau & Lifshitz, 1976), this does not require neurons or symbolic representation. It requires only:

- differential,
- boundary,
- mediation,
- convergence.

Cognition, in UCP, is defined structurally at this level: the retention of differential through mediated stabilization. This interpretation aligns with informational and relational approaches to physical systems, where structure encodes constraint-dependent evolution (Wheeler, 1983; Rovelli, 1996).

---

## IV.2 Structural Processing Sequence

The CPU model follows a minimal structural progression:

Input:  $\Delta\kappa$  (curvature differential)

Mediation:  $M$  (resonance under boundary  $B > 0$ )

Output: stabilized coherence ( $\lim \Delta C \rightarrow 0$  while  $C > 0$ )

This sequence mirrors the formal conditions derived in Part I.5. The model expresses how systems transform differential into persistent structure.

---

## IV.3 Identity Retention

Where stabilized configurations influence subsequent reorganization, structured identity emerges.

In nuclear domains, this appears as stabilized curvature volumes.

In dynamical systems, as attractor states.

In cognitive domains, as retained informational structures.

The structural condition remains identical: mediated convergence under boundary constraint.

---

## IV.4 Scope Clarification

The CPU model does not assert that physical systems “think,” nor that geometry is conscious. It formalizes the minimal sequence required wherever identity persists through differential resolution.

Cognition, in this framework, is purely structural retention logic.

---

## V. Formal Closure Conditions and Minimal Instantiation

Persistence requires more than differential and mediation. It requires closure.

Closure is achieved when curvature differential is resolved within boundary constraint such that fluctuation converges without eliminating structure. This condition aligns with standard treatments of stability in physical systems, where bounded energy states and quantized constraints produce persistent structure (Griffiths, 2018). Closure defines the condition under which oscillatory systems transition from transient behavior to persistent identity.

Formally:

A system satisfies closure if:

$$\Delta\kappa \neq 0$$

$$B > 0$$

M distributes differential

and

$$\lim \Delta C(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ while } C > 0.$$

Closure does not imply stasis. It bounds oscillation rather than eliminating it, such that persistent systems retain non-zero oscillatory structure while preventing divergence. This interpretation is consistent with classical treatments of stability in physical systems, where persistent structure arises through energy minimization under constraint and bounded dynamical behavior (Landau & Lifshitz, 1976).

This defines the minimal structural sufficiency condition for persistent identity.

---

## V.1 Necessary and Sufficient Criteria

A curvature system fails closure if:

- Differential collapses into uniform symmetry ( $\Delta\kappa \rightarrow 0$  prematurely), or
- Differential diverges beyond boundary constraint ( $B \rightarrow 0$ ), or
- Mediation fails to distribute asymmetry (M absent or insufficient).

Persistence exists only where differential, boundary, and mediation remain simultaneously operative.

Triadic sufficiency is therefore not descriptive but conditional.

---

## V.2 Hydrogen as Minimal Realized Closure

The closure condition articulated above corresponds directly to the structural sequence formalized in ARC and the Curvature Processing model.

ARC identifies the minimal mediation structure required for differential retention: selective engagement ( $\Delta\kappa$ ), mediated stabilization (M), and boundary-retained coherence.

The CPU model formalizes this as an ordered sequence: input ( $\Delta\kappa$ ), mediation under constraint (B, M), and stabilized convergence ( $\Delta OC$ ).

Section V specifies the formal condition under which these structures are sufficient for persistence. A minimal physical system that satisfies these closure conditions must exhibit:

- persistent differential,
- quantized boundary constraint,
- mediated stabilization,
- convergent fluctuation.

This correspondence is not interpretive but structural: if the closure conditions are correct, the simplest stable atomic system must satisfy them.

Hydrogen represents the simplest empirically stable curvature system, as described in quantum mechanical models of bound electron–proton systems (Griffiths, 2018), satisfying these closure conditions.

It exhibits:

- persistent differential (electron–proton asymmetry),
- boundary constraint (quantized orbital structure),
- mediated stabilization (electromagnetic coupling),
- convergent fluctuation (stable ground state).

Hydrogen constitutes the minimal known physical instance in which curvature resolution, mediated interaction, and bounded convergence coexist in stable form.

If the closure conditions articulated above are correct, hydrogen must satisfy them. If hydrogen fails to satisfy these criteria, the structural model fails.

---

### V.3 Falsifiability Condition

This claim is structural and conditional. The sufficiency claim fails if a persistent system is shown to lack any one of the following:

- differential ( $\Delta\kappa \neq 0$ ),
- boundary constraint ( $B > 0$ ),
- mediation (M),
- and bounded convergence ( $\lim \Delta C \rightarrow 0$  while  $C > 0$ ).

If a persistent system lacks any one of these conditions, the closure model is incomplete. Conversely, if all stable persistent systems exhibit these features, the sufficiency claim remains viable. This argument is structural and conditional and consistent with the falsifiability requirement for scientific claims (Popper, 1959).

---

## VI. Structural Generalization of the Universal Cognition Principle

The Universal Cognition Principle (UCP) formalizes a minimal structural condition for identity retention under constraint.

Cognition, in this framework, is defined structurally: a system exhibits cognition insofar as it stabilizes differential through mediated convergence within boundary constraint. This definition does not attribute awareness, intention, or agency to physical systems. It isolates the structural logic required wherever identity persists under perturbation.

Within physical domains, curvature differential ( $\Delta\kappa$ ) provides the condition for structured asymmetry. Boundary constraint ( $B > 0$ ) prevents divergence. Mediation (M) distributes differential within bounded domains. Convergent coherence ( $\lim \Delta C \rightarrow 0$  while  $C > 0$ ) stabilizes identity without eliminating oscillatory structure.

These conditions apply wherever persistent structure exists. The claim is conditional and structural: if identity is retained, these closure conditions must be satisfied.

Hydrogen serves as the minimal empirically stable instantiation of this logic. It demonstrates that closure conditions are not abstract philosophical constructs but physically realizable structural requirements.

The extension of this structural logic beyond nuclear domains remains a matter of further formal elaboration. The present work establishes the ontological, structural, and geometric conditions necessary for persistence. Any broader application must remain consistent with these minimal requirements.

The argument advanced here is disciplined: identity is not primitive. It is stabilized.

---

## VII. Spectral Roles — Functional Differentiation Within Curvature Processing

If UCP formalizes identity as stabilized curvature under constraint, spectral differentiation describes how curvature transitions distribute function within bounded domains.

This section does not treat spectral bands as metaphysical entities or intrinsic cognitive agents. Rather, spectral differentiation is introduced as a structured way of describing phase roles within curvature-mediated systems.

### VII.1 Spectral Differentiation as Functional Partition

Spectral differentiation in electromagnetic systems is well-characterized in terms of wavelength-dependent interaction and energy distribution (Griffiths, 2018). Within electromagnetic behavior, wavelength differentiates interaction patterns. In the present framework, spectral bands are treated as operational categories that map onto phase roles in curvature processing:

- **IR (Infrared)** — expansive radiative behavior; gradient emergence
- **Y (Intermediate modulation band)** — transitional modulation; redistribution
- **G (Green midpoint band)** — symmetry anchoring; coherence stabilization
- **B (Blue contraction band)** — structural tightening; boundary refinement
- **UV (Ultraviolet)** — high-energy resolution; closure and stabilization

These categories are not psychological assignments. They describe functional tendencies observable in energetic systems under differential constraint.

Spectral differentiation provides a structured vocabulary for describing how  $\Delta C$  transitions distribute across phase domains before converging toward  $\Delta 0C$  stabilization.

---

## VII.2 Spectral Roles Within ARC and CPU Logic

When integrated into ARC and CPU structure:

- **IR-like behavior** corresponds to gradient detection (Attention)
- **Modulatory bands** correspond to resonance negotiation (Resonance)
- **Midpoint anchoring** corresponds to coherence stabilization (Coherence)
- **Higher-energy contraction bands** correspond to boundary refinement
- **Resolving bands** correspond to final convergence under constraint

This mapping is functional, not symbolic. It demonstrates that curvature processing requires differentiated phase roles to avoid binary instability.

Just as triadic sufficiency prevents collapse into oscillatory duality, spectral differentiation prevents undifferentiated energy from remaining dynamically unresolved.

---

## VII.3 Spectral Differentiation as Structural Requirement

Any system stabilizing differential must distribute energetic transitions across phase domains. Spectral structure is one physically observable instance of such differentiation.

The claim is modest:

Where curvature transitions occur across bounded domains, phase differentiation will be required for convergence.

Spectral structure provides a measurable example of this principle.

This does not imply that all systems literally process IR–Y–G–B–UV bands in identical fashion. It asserts that phase-differentiated mediation is structurally necessary wherever convergence under constraint occurs.

---

## VII.4 Domain Limitation

This section does not claim:

- that consciousness is reducible to electromagnetic wavelength,
- that light “thinks,”
- or that spectral bands possess intrinsic cognition.

It claims only that spectral differentiation provides a physically grounded example of functional partitioning within curvature-mediated systems.

The broader implications of phase-differentiated processing are left to future elaboration.

---

## VIII. Integrated Architecture — ARC, CPU, and UCP in Structural Continuity

The preceding sections introduced three operational layers within the Universal Cognition Principle:

- **ARC** — the minimal cognitive triad (Attention, Resonance, Coherence)
- **CPU** — the curvature processing structure ( $\Delta C \rightarrow \text{mediation} \rightarrow \Delta 0C$ )
- **UCP** — the structural principle governing identity stabilization across scale

This section consolidates these layers into a unified architecture.

---

### VIII.1 ARC as Minimal Cognitive Operation

ARC defines the minimal internal operations required for stabilization under differential:

- **Attention (A)** — selection of differential ( $\Delta k$  detection)
- **Resonance (R)** — mediation and redistribution within boundary constraint
- **Coherence (C)** — stabilized convergence (bounded  $\Delta C \rightarrow \Delta 0C$ )

ARC does not introduce psychological constructs. It describes the minimal operational sequence necessary for differential to become retained identity:

## **Attention → Resonance → Coherence (micro-scale cognition)**

At the smallest scale, cognition begins as the ARC cycle:

- **Attention (IR/Y)**: detecting curvature gradients.
- **Resonance (Y/G/B)**: testing signal stability.
- **Coherence (G/B/UV)**: resolving  $\Delta C$  into  $\Delta 0C$ .

This micro-cycle is the fundamental unit of meaning-making (Varela, Thompson, & Rosch, 1991).

Without A, no differential is selected.

Without R, no mediation distributes the differential.

Without C, no stabilization persists.

ARC is the smallest functional unit capable of converting asymmetry into structure consistent with embodied and enactive models of cognition (Varela et al., 1991; Friston, 2010).

---

### **VIII.2 CPU as Geometric Implementation**

The Curvature Processing Unit (CPU) formalizes how ARC operates geometrically.

CPU logic may be expressed as:

- Input: differential ( $\Delta k$  or  $\Delta C$ )
- Processing: mediated redistribution under boundary constraint ( $M, B > 0$ )
- Output: bounded convergence ( $\lim \Delta C \rightarrow 0$  while  $C > 0$ )

CPU is the geometric implementation of triadic sufficiency.

Where ARC defines functional roles, CPU defines structural flow.

---

### **VIII.3 UCP as Structural Generalization**

UCP unifies ARC and CPU into a single structural statement:

Any system that persists under perturbation must:

1. Detect differential
2. Mediate redistribution within constraint

3. Converge without eliminating coherence

This is not scale-specific. It is structurally invariant.

Hydrogen satisfies this condition minimally.  
More complex systems instantiate it recursively.

The architecture remains constant even as substrate changes.

---

## IX. UCRT — Universal Curvature Resonance Theory

Universal Curvature Resonance Theory (UCRT) consolidates the structural conditions derived across CRNM (Part I), Structural Formalization (I.5), and UCP (Part II) into a single invariant statement:

**Persistence under perturbation requires curvature differential, bounded mediation, and convergent resonance stabilization.**

UCRT formalizes the structural continuity already demonstrated.

---

### IX.1 Formal Structural Invariant

Let a system be defined over a bounded domain  $B$ , where:

- $\Delta\kappa$  denotes curvature differential
- $M$  denotes mediation under constraint
- $C$  denotes coherence magnitude

Then persistence requires:

1.  $\Delta\kappa \neq 0$
2.  $B > 0$
3.  $M$  distributes  $\Delta\kappa$  within  $B$

4.  $\lim (\Delta C(t)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $C > 0$

The limit condition indicates bounded convergence.  
Oscillation remains non-zero but non-divergent.

This is the minimal structural condition for identity stabilization.

---

## IX.2 Hydrogen as Minimal Physical Realization

Hydrogen provides the simplest empirically stable system satisfying these requirements.

Within hydrogen:

- Differential exists between protonic and electronic curvature domains.
- Boundary constraint is enforced through quantized orbital solutions.
- Mediation occurs via electromagnetic interaction.
- Convergence stabilizes without collapse into divergence or nullification.

Hydrogen does not eliminate oscillation. It bounds it, and is the minimal realized case of UCRT in physical form and structural sufficiency.

---

## IX.3 Structural Continuity Across Domains

If hydrogen satisfies UCRT minimally, and more complex systems exhibit nested differential mediation under constraint, then the invariant generalizes by recursion.

The claim is not that particles “think.”

The claim is that identity stabilization obeys the same structural rule across domains.

CRNM showed nucleonic identity arises through  $\Delta C \rightarrow \Delta 0C$  transitions.

UCP showed cognitive identity arises through analogous differential stabilization.

UCRT makes explicit what was implicit:

Identity — whether physical or cognitive — is stabilized curvature under constraint.

The domains differ in substrate. They do not differ in structural requirements.

---

## **IX.4 Gravity, Identity, and Stabilization**

UCRT reframes gravity cautiously.

Gravity need not be reduced to cognition, nor cognition to gravity.

But both exhibit curvature anchoring behavior: stabilization within relational geometry.

At every scale, identity behaves as a curvature well — a region of bounded resonance stabilization.

This structural similarity does not assert equivalence of phenomena.

It asserts invariance of stabilization conditions.

---

## **IX.5 $\Delta 0C$ as Resolution Boundary**

In CRNM,  $\Delta 0C$  marks nucleonic stabilization.

In UCP,  $\Delta 0C$  marks cognitive stabilization.

In UCRT,  $\Delta 0C$  marks resolved convergence under constraint.

It is the boundary at which differential ceases to diverge and becomes retained identity.

Whether the substrate is physical, informational, or conceptual, the structural function remains invariant.

---

## **IX.6 Domain and Falsifiability**

UCRT is falsified if a persistent system is demonstrated that lacks:

- differential,
- boundary constraint,
- mediation,
- or bounded convergence.

Conversely, if all stable systems exhibit these conditions, the structural sufficiency claim remains viable.

---

## **X. Recursive Domains — Systems, Societies, and Cosmological Implication**

If UCRT establishes a structural invariant for persistence under perturbation, then any system exhibiting stable identity across time must instantiate its conditions recursively.

The invariant is minimal.  
Its recursion is not.

UCP expands the curvature-resonance framework beyond physics and cognition into a fully interdisciplinary architecture. Because ARC-CPU-UPC dynamics scale across all systems, UCP offers a unified interpretive model for multiple fields:

UCP demonstrates that quantum electrodynamics (QED), quantum chromodynamics (QCD), and consciousness studies are not separate domains but different scales of curvature-processing (Penrose, 1994; Rovelli, 1996). Light, matter, and mind all follow  $\Delta C \rightarrow \Delta 0C$  logic, revealing cognition as a physical behavior embedded in resonance architecture.

---

### **X.1 Nested Curvature Domains**

In hydrogen, UCRT operates at the simplest atomic scale.

In multi-particle systems, differential is no longer binary. It becomes layered:

- atomic domains within molecular boundaries
- molecular domains within cellular boundaries
- cellular domains within organismal boundaries
- organismal domains within ecological and social boundaries

At each level:

- differential persists,

- mediation distributes tension,
- boundaries constrain divergence,
- convergence stabilizes identity.

Complexity increases. The structural requirement does not change.

Persistence scales by recursion of the same closure logic.

---

## **X.2 Collective Identity and Resonance Wells**

When interacting domains synchronize mediation under shared constraint, collective stabilization emerges. Similar recursive scaling behavior appears in complex adaptive systems and networked structures (Bar-Yam, 2004).

In social systems, this appears as:

- shared narratives,
- institutional coherence,
- cultural boundary formation.

In physical systems, it appears as:

- phase locking,
- symmetry stabilization,
- resonance entrainment.

In cosmological systems, it appears as:

- gravitational clustering,
- field stabilization,
- large-scale structure formation.

The substrate differs.  
The structural grammar remains invariant.

Collective identity behaves as nested curvature wells — bounded domains of retained convergence.

---

### **X.3 Cosmological Implication Without Inflation**

UCRT does not claim that the universe possesses intention.

It claims that wherever curvature differential exists under constraint, stabilization requires mediation and bounded convergence.

Cosmological structure formation obeys these same requirements:

- early differential amplification,
- boundary formation through gravitational constraint,
- energy redistribution,
- stabilization into persistent structures.

The universe does not “think.”  
It stabilizes.

But stabilization, structurally defined, is indistinguishable from identity formation.

This is the narrow but profound implication of UCRT. Systems stabilizing curvature differential under constraint necessarily exhibit bounded oscillatory mediation. The geometric implications of this condition are examined elsewhere.

---

## **XI. Structural Convergence — Identity Across Scale**

If CRNM revealed identity in matter, and UCP revealed identity in cognition, UCRT clarifies that these are not separate domains, but structurally continuous expressions of curvature stabilization.

Across this work, one invariant has emerged:

Identity is stabilized curvature under constraint.

Differential alone does not persist.

Oscillation alone does not stabilize.

Structure alone does not retain.

Persistence requires:

- curvature differential,
- boundary constraint,
- mediated redistribution,
- and bounded convergence.

Hydrogen provides the minimal empirical realization of this condition.

More complex systems instantiate it recursively.

The same  $\Delta C \rightarrow \Delta 0C$  transition that stabilizes nucleonic identity also governs cognitive stabilization. The substrate changes. The structural requirement does not.

This is not a claim that particles possess minds, nor that minds reduce to particles.

It is a claim of invariance:

Wherever curvature stabilizes under constraint, identity emerges.

---

## **XI.1 Curvature as Structural Grammar**

Gravity, cognition, and matter need not be collapsed into equivalence to be understood as structurally aligned.

Each exhibits:

- relational anchoring,
- resonance negotiation,
- convergence toward stable identity wells.

At every scale — atomic, biological, informational, societal — identity behaves as retained differential within bounded curvature domains.

The universe does not need to “think” to stabilize.  
Stabilization itself is the structural condition that makes identity possible.

---

## **XI.2 What Has Been Established**

1. Duality alone is insufficient for persistence.
2. Mediation is structurally required.
3. Boundaries are necessary for retention.
4. Convergence must approach equilibrium without eliminating coherence.
5. These conditions are minimally realized in hydrogen.

The argument remains geometric, conditional, and falsifiable.

---

## **XI.3 The Next Recursion**

If UCRT establishes the invariant of stabilization, the next phase examines its recursion:

- how curvature architectures scale across interacting domains,
- how resonance wells nest within larger boundary conditions,
- how collective and cosmological structures instantiate the same invariant at depth,
- and how curvature-processing models extend beyond minimal atomic realization.

The invariant has been formalized.  
Its recursive architecture remains to be fully explored.

This next expansion — provisionally referred to as UC3 — will examine the structural depth of curvature recursion across systems, societies, and cosmological domains, integrating resonance, encoding, and geometric mediation at higher order.

The present work establishes sufficiency.  
The next will explore scale.

Not all curvature differential resolves into stable identity. Systems may instead suppress or misinterpret differential depending on its structural relevance to persistence. This yields three possible outcomes:

**$\Delta C$**  →

- Mediation → Encoding →  $\Delta 0C$  (persistent identity)
- Mediation → Suppression (filtered differential)
- Mediation → Mis-stabilization (transient or illusory coherence)

This distinction preserves the conditional nature of the framework. If all differential produced stable identity, no distinction between structure, noise, and error would be possible. Persistence therefore reflects selective stabilization under constraint rather than universal convergence.

---

---

## **Glossary (Part III — Incremental Terms)**

This glossary includes only terms introduced or newly specified in this part. Full canonical definitions are provided in the Ontological Lexicon (Part IV).

---

### **Closure Condition**

The requirement that curvature differential be resolved within boundary constraint such that coherence remains non-zero while divergence is eliminated.

---

### **Bounded Convergence**

A state in which fluctuations approach stability without eliminating oscillatory structure.

---

### **Structural Sufficiency Condition**

The minimal requirement for persistence: differential, boundary constraint, mediation, and bounded convergence must all be present.

---

### **Minimal Realization (Hydrogen)**

The simplest empirically stable system satisfying closure conditions, exhibiting differential, boundary constraint, mediation, and convergence.

---

### **Curvature System Failure Modes**

Conditions under which persistence fails, including loss of differential, collapse of boundary constraint, or absence of mediation.

---

### **Falsifiability Condition (Structural)**

The requirement that the framework be testable by identifying systems that persist without satisfying the defined structural conditions.

---

---

## **References**

**Bar-Yam, Y.** (2004).

*Making things work: Solving complex problems in a complex world.* NECSI Press.

**Barbour, J.** (2001).

*The end of time: The next revolution in physics.* Oxford University Press.

**Cohen-Tannoudji, C., Diu, B., & Laloë, F.** (1977).

*Quantum mechanics.* Wiley.

**Durkheim, E.** (1912).

*The elementary forms of religious life.* Allen & Unwin.

**Friston, K.** (2010).

The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2),

127–138.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>

**Griffiths, D. J.** (2018).

*Introduction to elementary particles* (2nd ed.). Wiley.

**Haken, H.** (1983).

*Synergetics: An introduction*. Springer.

**Kelso, J. A. S.** (1995).

*Dynamic patterns: The self-organization of brain and behavior*. MIT Press.

**Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M.** (1976). *Mechanics* (3rd ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann.

**Maturana, H. R., & Varela, F. J.** (1980).

*Autopoiesis and cognition: The realization of the living*. Reidel Publishing.

**Nicolis, G., & Prigogine, I.** (1977).

*Self-organization in nonequilibrium systems*. Wiley.

**Penrose, R.** (1994).

*Shadows of the mind: A search for the missing science of consciousness*. Oxford University Press.

**Popper, K.** (1959).

*The logic of scientific discovery*. Routledge.

**Rovelli, C.** (1996).

Relational quantum mechanics. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 35(8), 1637–1678.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02302261>

**Sengupta, B., Stemmler, M., & Friston, K.** (2016).

Information and efficiency in the nervous system. *PLoS Computational Biology*.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004957>

**Smolin, L.** (2013).

*Time reborn: From the crisis in physics to the future of the universe*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

**Tononi, G.** (2004).

An information integration theory of consciousness. *BMC Neuroscience*, 5(42).

<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-5-42>

**Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E.** (1991).

*The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience*. MIT Press.

**Wheeler, J. A.** (1983).

Law without law. In J. A. Wheeler & W. H. Zurek (Eds.), *Quantum theory and measurement* (pp. 182–213). Princeton University Press.

**Wolfram, S.** (2002).

*A new kind of science*. Wolfram Media.