

## **Meeting Minutes**

### **Reston Planning and Zoning Committee - Regular Meeting**

Monday, August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2020 at 7:30 PM  
Meeting held virtually

Committee members present: Rob Walker; Mike Jennings; Jake Hovermale; William Penniman; William Pegues; Shelly Mastran; Richard Kennedy; John Kauppila; Tammi Petrine; Matt Stevison; Sue Ann Straits; David Vanell; Rebecca Smith-Zakowicz; and Michelle Kimmel

**1. Administrative** - Approve the meeting minutes for two previous meetings Jan 2020 and July 2020.

**2. Application: Tall Oaks Assisted Living PRC Plan**

Application number: PRC 2020-0151 (Temporary until the County confirms)

Applicant: Tall Oaks at Reston, L.C.

Applicant Representative: Sara Mariska from Womble Bond Dickinson and John Albert from Tall Oaks

PC Hearing Date: TBD

BOS Hearing Date: TBD

Staff Report: TBD

Sara provided an overview of the proposed improvements .

Comments from the Committee

**Tammi** – Had a concern about the small amount of parking available for the adjacent retail. She had questions about the fence. She believed the canopy looked good. She deferred review of stormwater to others.

**Matt** – Asked the applicant to clarify how they are reconnecting to the ex asphalt pathway.

**Sue Ann** – Asked if they had reached-out to adjacent land owners. The applicant said no but they would do so. The applicant checked no in the application form regarding public art. Sue Ann asked that they consider art with their signage.

**David V.** – Asked how many people who live there actually drive? John responded by saying many have cars but drive very little.

**Rebecca** – She asked how would bikers get there. Asked if they can clarify the pedestrian circulation, which they did.

**Michelle** – reiterated that coordination with adjacent property owners was a good idea.

**Mike J** – Asked about the timing of staff parking.

**Jake** – Asked if there is a change to the ex lighting?

**William Pegues** – Did they consider pervious pavement in their stormwater plan? The applicant responded that slopes are limiting.

**Shelly** – Was there a previous parking problem and or violation?

**John K** – had no comments

**Rob** – He understood the need for the plan and had no major issues with it. He commented about the pedestrian circulation and understood one walkway allowed for handicap accessibility while the more direct route was not handicap accessible.

**Commissioner Carter** – had no comments

We asked the applicant to come back for final approval.

**Meeting Adjourned**