### Dynamic Symmetry in Stochastic Population Models: Revealing Hidden Regularities and Resilience

OXQ Editorial (9)

Abstract: Dynamic symmetry theory offers a powerful framework for understanding how complex systems, such as biological populations, sustain resilience and reveal hidden regularities despite the influence of random fluctuations. This paper explores the application of symmetry principles to stochastic population models, demonstrating how feedbacks, invariances, and symmetry-breaking phenomena shape the dynamics of populations under uncertainty. By drawing on mathematical biology, probability theory, and recent research, we show that dynamic symmetry not only clarifies the behaviour of populations subject to noise, but also provides practical insights for conservation, management, and prediction in ecology and beyond.

#### Introduction

Biological populations rarely exist in perfectly stable or predictable environments. Instead, they are subject to a multitude of random influences: environmental variability, demographic stochasticity, genetic drift, and unpredictable disturbances. Traditional deterministic models, while valuable for capturing central tendencies and long-term equilibria, often fail to account for the richness and unpredictability of real-world population dynamics. Stochastic population models, which explicitly incorporate randomness, have therefore become essential tools in ecology and evolutionary biology  $(\underline{1}, \underline{4}, \underline{5}, \underline{6}, \underline{7})$ .

Dynamic symmetry theory, which posits that systems achieve resilience and adaptability by balancing order and chaos, provides a new perspective on these models. By identifying and analysing symmetries—such as invariance under population exchange, time translation, or spatial reflection—researchers can uncover hidden regularities, predict tipping points, and understand the emergence of complex behaviours such as cycles, chaos, and extinction events (2,3,5). Moreover, the breaking or restoration of symmetry often signals critical transitions in population dynamics, offering early warning signals for managers and conservationists.

This paper examines the role of dynamic symmetry in stochastic population models, from classic logistic and Lotka–Volterra equations to modern approaches that incorporate competition, migration, and environmental noise. We explore how symmetry methods reveal structure in seemingly random processes, and how stochasticity itself can lead to spontaneous symmetry breaking and novel patterns. Throughout, we highlight the practical implications of these insights for understanding resilience, predicting risk, and guiding intervention in biological systems.

### 1. Stochastic Population Models: Foundations and Motivation

Stochastic population models extend deterministic frameworks by introducing random variables or noise terms to represent environmental or demographic uncertainty. For example, the classic logistic growth model,

$$rac{dP}{dt} = rP\left(1 - rac{P}{K}
ight)$$

can be modified to include a stochastic term:

$$dP = rP\left(1-rac{P}{K}
ight)dt + \sigma PdW_t$$

where Wt is a Wiener process (Brownian motion) and  $\sigma$  measures the intensity of environmental fluctuations (2.2). This stochastic differential equation (SDE) describes how the population size P evolves under both deterministic growth and random perturbations.

Stochastic models are particularly important for small populations, where random events can have outsized effects. For example, a rare sequence of bad years may drive a population to extinction, even if the average growth rate is positive ( $\underline{1},\underline{7}$ ). Conversely, random bursts of recruitment or survival can allow populations to recover from near-collapse. Stochasticity also plays a key role in the dynamics of invasive species, disease outbreaks, and population cycles, where chance events can trigger rapid transitions between states ( $\underline{1},\underline{4}$ ).

Symmetry principles enter these models in several ways. First, many population models are constructed to be invariant under certain transformations, such as exchanging the identities of two otherwise identical populations (exchange symmetry), or shifting the time origin (time translation symmetry) (2,3). These symmetries can simplify analysis, reveal conserved quantities, and predict the existence of regular patterns such as cycles or heteroclinic orbits (2).

#### 2. Symmetry Methods in Mathematical Biology

Symmetry methods, rooted in group theory, provide systematic techniques for analysing pattern formation and dynamic behaviour in biological systems (2). In the context of population models, symmetries can be used to:

- Simplify equations by reducing the number of independent variables.
- Identify conserved quantities or invariants.
- Predict the existence of steady states, cycles, or complex attractors.
- Analyse stability and bifurcations, including symmetry-breaking transitions.

For example, in a model of two bird populations on neighbouring islands, if the islands are identical and migration is symmetric, the system is invariant under exchange of the two populations. This symmetry allows for the existence of symmetric steady states (equal population sizes) and can also support more complex dynamics, such as heteroclinic cycles—trajectories that linger near one equilibrium before rapidly transitioning to another (2).

When stochasticity is introduced, these symmetries can be preserved or broken, leading to new behaviours. For instance, even if two populations start with identical conditions, random fluctuations can cause one to outcompete the other, resulting in spontaneous symmetry breaking (5). This phenomenon is not captured by deterministic models, which require explicit parameter differences to break symmetry.

## 3. Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in Stochastic Models

One of the most intriguing effects of stochasticity in population models is spontaneous symmetry breaking. In deterministic systems, symmetry breaking typically requires an explicit perturbation or parameter difference. In stochastic systems, however, random fluctuations alone can drive the system from a symmetric state to an asymmetric outcome (5).

A recent study of the stochastic Lotka–Volterra model for two similar prey and two similar predator populations illustrates this phenomenon (5). The model begins with perfect symmetry—identical equations for each group, no population excess, and no initial bias. Yet, after a transient period, the system typically ends in an asymmetric state, with one prey and one predator group dominating. This transition is driven purely by stochastic fluctuations, which, over time, amplify tiny differences and push the system towards one of several possible outcomes.

This result has important implications for understanding speciation, competitive exclusion, and the persistence of diversity in ecological communities. It suggests that even in the absence of deterministic differences, randomness can generate lasting asymmetries and drive the emergence of new patterns ( $\underline{5}, \underline{2}$ ).

## 4. Hidden Regularities and Resilience in Stochastic Systems

Despite the apparent unpredictability of stochastic population models, symmetry principles often reveal hidden regularities. For example, many systems exhibit invariance under time translation, meaning that their statistical properties do not depend on the absolute time origin. This allows for the definition of stationary distributions—probability distributions that describe the long-term behaviour of the system regardless of initial conditions (3, 7).

In models with exchange symmetry, such as those describing populations on identical islands or patches, the stationary distribution may be symmetric (equal probability for each population) or asymmetric (one population dominates), depending on the balance of drift, migration, and stochastic fluctuations (2, 5). The transition between these regimes can be analysed using tools from bifurcation theory and stochastic analysis.

Resilience—the ability of a population to recover from perturbations—is also shaped by dynamic symmetry. Populations with higher symmetry (e.g., larger, more stable distributions) tend to be more resilient to stochastic effects, while those with broken symmetry (e.g., small, fragmented populations) are more prone to instability and extinction (3). Management practices that reduce environmental variability or enhance connectivity can help stabilise populations and extend the range of parameters for which stable solutions exist (3,1).

### 5. Competition, Random Events, and Population Fluctuations

Stochastic models of competition, such as those based on the Lotka–Volterra framework, further illustrate the interplay of symmetry and randomness (4, 5). In a competitive two-species system, random events can cause the population mean of both species to transition smoothly across growth rate thresholds. Notably, the weaker species may persist at non-zero mean even when its deterministic growth rate is negative, due to the effects of noise and competition (4).

As competition increases, the population statistics of the weaker species can become highly chaotic, with fluctuations that do not die out even as growth rates are raised. This behaviour is especially pronounced at maximum competition, where the system's symmetry is most strongly challenged by stochasticity ( $\underline{4}$ ). These results highlight the importance of considering both deterministic structure and random fluctuations when assessing population viability and management strategies.

## 6. Moment Closure, Stationary Distributions, and Analytical Solutions

A key challenge in stochastic population modelling is the accurate characterisation of population size distributions and their moments (mean, variance, etc.). Deterministic models typically predict only the mean behaviour, neglecting the variability introduced by stochasticity ( $\underline{6}$ ). Moment-closure approximations attempt to bridge this gap by expressing higher-order moments in terms of lower ones, but their accuracy is limited and model-dependent.

Recent work has provided explicit solutions for the stochastic dynamics of population growth, allowing for the exact quantification of community dynamics and the inference of important growth parameters ( $\underline{6}$ ). These solutions reveal that discrepancies between deterministic predictions and observed data are often due to unclosed-moment dynamics, particularly in small populations where the variability of birth times and extinction events is significant.

By analysing the stationary distributions of these models, researchers can identify parameter regimes where populations are stable, prone to extinction, or exhibit complex cycles. Symmetry principles, such as invariance under population exchange or time translation, play a crucial role in determining the shape and stability of these distributions ( $\underline{3}, \underline{6}$ ).

# 7. Supersymmetric Theory of Stochastic Dynamics and the Edge of Chaos

Recent theoretical developments have extended symmetry analysis to the algebraic and topological structure of stochastic dynamics. The supersymmetric theory of stochastic dynamics (STS) interprets chaos as a form of spontaneous supersymmetry breaking, providing a theoretical basis for long-range dynamical phenomena such as 1/f noise and self-organised criticality (8).

In this framework, the presence of topological supersymmetry reflects the preservation of phase space topology under stochastic flows. When this symmetry is broken, the system exhibits a stochastic variant of the butterfly effect, with long-range memory and sensitivity to initial conditions. The "edge of chaos" is interpreted as a noise-induced phase where symmetry is broken in a specific manner, leading to rich and unpredictable dynamics ( $\underline{8}$ ).

STS and related approaches offer new tools for analysing the emergence of complexity in stochastic population models, connecting classical symmetry methods with modern developments in statistical physics and algebraic topology.

### 8. Practical Implications: Conservation, Management, and Prediction

Understanding dynamic symmetry in stochastic population models has practical implications for conservation biology, resource management, and risk assessment. For populations at risk of

extinction, stochastic models can quantify the probabilities of catastrophic outcomes, identify early warning signals, and guide interventions to reduce variability or enhance resilience ( $\underline{1},\underline{3}$ ).

For invasive species or pest outbreaks, stochastic models reveal how chance events can trigger rapid population explosions or collapses, even when average conditions are stable (<u>1</u>,<u>4</u>). Management strategies that exploit symmetry principles—such as enhancing connectivity, reducing environmental variability, or maintaining population size above critical thresholds—can help stabilise systems and prevent undesirable transitions (<u>3</u>,<u>1</u>).

In epidemiology, stochastic models are used to predict the spread of infectious diseases, accounting for random contacts, transmission events, and recovery rates. Symmetry analysis can identify conditions for endemic persistence, extinction, or epidemic outbreaks, informing public health responses and vaccination strategies.

# Conclusion

Dynamic symmetry theory provides a unifying framework for understanding the behaviour of stochastic population models. By identifying and analysing symmetries, researchers can reveal hidden regularities, predict critical transitions, and understand the emergence of resilience or instability in populations subject to random fluctuations. Recent advances in mathematical biology, probability theory, and supersymmetric dynamics have deepened our understanding of these phenomena, offering new tools for conservation, management, and prediction in complex biological systems.

As research continues to advance, the interplay of symmetry and stochasticity will remain central to the study of population dynamics, ecology, and evolutionary biology. By harnessing these principles, scientists and practitioners can better anticipate, manage, and sustain the living systems on which we all depend.

# **References and Further Reading**

- 1. USDA Forest Service (2010). *Stochastic population dynamic models as probability models*. [PDF] <u>https://research.fs.usda.gov/treesearch/download/36762.pdf</u>
- Golubitsky, M., Stewart, I., & Schaeffer, D. G. (2017). Symmetry methods in mathematical biology. [PDF] <u>https://www.asc.ohio-state.edu/golubitsky.4/reprintweb-0.5/output/papers/</u> Symmetry\_methods\_math\_bio.pdf
- 3. Arxiv (2024). Equilibrium Analysis of Discrete Stochastic Population Models with Symmetry. <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2411.15859.pdf</u>
- 4. Tehrani, M. M., & Soltanieh, S. (2020). *Species Population Dynamics with Competition and Random Events*. <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.07307.pdf</u>
- 5. PubMed (2018). *Stochastic Lotka-Volterra model for competition among two similar prey and predator populations*. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29571811/</u>

- 6. Marrec, L., et al. (2023). *Solving the stochastic dynamics of population growth*. Ecology and Evolution. <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ece3.10295</u>
- 7. PMC (2020). *Analysis of the Stochastic Population Model with Random Parameters*. <u>https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7517083/</u>
- 8. Wikipedia (2025). *Supersymmetric theory of stochastic dynamics*. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Supersymmetric\_theory\_of\_stochastic\_dynamics</u>
- 9. DiLeonardo, J. (2018). *Stochastic Population Dynamics: Undergraduate Thesis*. <u>https://www.math.csi.cuny.edu/Undergraduate/HonorsTheses/2018-dileonardo.pdf</u>