# Understanding Congenital vs. Hereditary Conditions in Golden Retrievers 2025

# By: Dan from Just Behaving

#### I. Introduction

In the world of dogs, particularly within breeding communities and among dedicated owners, few topics generate as much confusion, anxiety, and sometimes misplaced blame as the distinction between congenital and hereditary health conditions. We see puppies born with issues, and the immediate question arises: "Is this genetic? Will it pass on? Was it the breeder's fault?" While these questions are natural, the answers are often complex and hinge on understanding fundamental biological differences. The purpose of this paper is to delve deep into the science behind congenital and hereditary conditions, clarifying the definitions, exploring the underlying causes, and critically examining the information landscape that breeders, owners, and veterinarians navigate. Getting this right isn't just an academic exercise; it has profound practical importance. Misunderstanding the difference can lead to flawed breeding decisions, unrealistic expectations for puppy buyers, communication breakdowns, and ultimately, can impact the health and welfare of the dogs we care about.

The terms "congenital" and "hereditary" are frequently, and often mistakenly, used interchangeably. A condition present at birth (congenital) is often automatically assumed to be passed down through the genes (hereditary), but this is a critical misconception. This confusion permeates discussions among breeders, is a source of worry for new owners discovering an unexpected issue in their puppy, and can even lead to ambiguity in veterinary diagnoses and communication. Even resources aiming to clarify can sometimes add to the confusion by not being precise enough.

The practical consequences of this lack of clarity are significant. For breeders striving to produce healthy, balanced dogs, incorrectly labeling a developmental anomaly as a hereditary fault might lead to the unwarranted removal of valuable dogs or entire lines from the gene pool, potentially shrinking genetic diversity unnecessarily. Conversely, dismissing a condition with a true hereditary component as simply "congenital bad luck" allows faulty genes to persist and potentially increase within a breed over generations. For owners, understanding the nature of a condition helps set realistic expectations for their dog's future health, potential care needs, and fosters informed conversations with both the breeder and veterinarian. It helps separate unavoidable biological events from preventable genetic issues. For veterinarians, precise understanding and clear communication are essential for accurate diagnosis, prognosis, and providing sound advice on management and breeding suitability.

From the Just Behaving perspective, achieving clarity requires a holistic view. We must look beyond simplistic labels and consider the intricate interplay of genetics, prenatal environment, developmental processes, and even the inherent variability of nature. It demands an evidence-based approach , questioning assumptions and seeking objective scientific understanding, while always keeping the practical welfare of the dogs and the ethical responsibilities of breeders and owners at the forefront. This paper aims to provide that clarity, focusing specifically on the beloved Golden Retriever breed while drawing broader lessons applicable to all dogs. We will explore the scientific realities, address common misconceptions, and offer practical guidance grounded in both science and experience.

The fundamental issue driving the need for this paper is not merely semantics, but the critical importance of accurate causal attribution. The confusion primarily arises because both congenital and hereditary conditions can be apparent early in a dog's life, masking the crucial difference in their origins: one stems from disruptions during development, the other from the genetic code itself. Mistaking a developmental accident for an inherited flaw can lead breeders to make potentially damaging decisions, such as removing healthy dogs with valuable genetic contributions from their programs. Conversely, failing to recognize the hereditary nature of a condition, perhaps dismissing it as environmental or random chance, allows the responsible genes to be unknowingly passed on, potentially increasing the burden of that condition within the breed. This distinction is therefore central to ethical breeding practices and the long-term health management of canine populations.

#### **II. Key Definitions and Core Concepts**

To establish a clear foundation, precise definitions are essential. Understanding the distinct meanings of "congenital" and "hereditary," along with their underlying causes and typical examples, is the first step in dispelling common confusion.

#### A. Congenital Conditions

- Definition: The term "congenital" refers to a condition that is present at the time
  of birth or becomes identifiable shortly thereafter. These are often referred to as
  "birth defects." Crucially, "congenital" describes the *timing* of the condition's
  presence it exists from birth but does *not* inherently specify the *cause*. A
  congenital condition is not automatically inherited.
- **Etiology:** Congenital conditions arise from disruptions or errors that occur during the prenatal period the embryonic or fetal stages of development within the uterus. The causes are diverse and non-genetic factors often play a primary role. These factors can include:

- Prenatal Environmental Influences: Issues related to the mother (dam) or her environment during pregnancy. This encompasses maternal health status (e.g., illness, fever), nutritional deficiencies or excesses, exposure to toxins or certain medications (teratogens), infectious agents (viruses, bacteria, protozoa) that cross the placenta, physical trauma, or significant stress. Uterine abnormalities in the dam can also create an unfavorable environment.
- Developmental Accidents (Stochastic Events): Sometimes, anomalies occur due to random, unpredictable errors in the complex sequence of developmental processes, even in the absence of identifiable genetic or major environmental causes. This can be thought of as biological "bad luck" or developmental noise.
- Genetic Interaction: In some cases, an underlying genetic susceptibility might make an embryo more vulnerable to environmental triggers, leading to a congenital defect. This represents an intersection between hereditary and congenital factors (explored further in Section V).
- **Examples:** Many congenital conditions involve structural abnormalities or failures of normal development:
- Structural Defects: Cleft palate (opening in the roof of the mouth) and cleft lip (harelip) are classic examples often linked to developmental disruption, though breed predispositions suggest potential genetic influences in some cases. Umbilical hernias (failure of the abdominal wall to close properly at the navel) are common in puppies and often considered congenital. Other hernias (e.g., diaphragmatic) can also be congenital. Limb deformities (e.g., missing parts amelia, hemimelia) or joint contractures (arthrogryposis) are visible at birth. Vertebral malformations can also occur.
- Organ Development Issues: Certain heart defects present at birth fall into this category. Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA), where a fetal blood vessel fails to close after birth, is a common congenital heart defect. Some atrial or ventricular septal defects (holes between heart chambers) are also congenital. Cryptorchidism, the failure of one or both testicles to descend into the scrotum by the expected time, is a common congenital reproductive defect. Megaesophagus (enlarged esophagus) can be congenital.
- Other Examples: Anasarca (generalized edema in newborns), hydrocephalus (fluid accumulation in the brain), and cerebellar hypoplasia (underdeveloped cerebellum, often due to in utero viral infection like parvovirus or distemper vaccine exposure) are further examples of conditions typically present at birth due to developmental issues.

#### **B. Hereditary Conditions**

- **Definition:** Hereditary conditions, also called genetic disorders or inherited diseases, are caused by abnormalities in an individual's genetic material (DNA) that are passed down from one or both parents through the germ cells (sperm and egg). The defining characteristic is *genetic transmission* across generations. Importantly, hereditary conditions are not always apparent at birth; they can manifest later in life.
- **Etiology:** The fundamental cause lies in the inherited genes. This could involve a mutation in a single gene (monogenic traits) or the combined effects of multiple genes (polygenic traits). For polygenic traits, environmental factors often play a significant role in whether or how severely the condition develops (multifactorial inheritance).
- **Basics of Genetic Transmission:** Genes, located on structures called chromosomes within each cell, carry the instructions for building and operating the body. Dogs have 39 pairs of chromosomes (78 total). Offspring inherit one set of chromosomes (and thus one copy, or allele, of each gene) from each parent. The way these alleles interact determines how a trait is expressed. Dominant alleles require only one copy to exert their effect, while recessive alleles require two copies (one from each parent). Polygenic traits result from the additive effects of many genes. (These concepts are explored in detail in Section III).
- **Examples (esp. Goldens):** Golden Retrievers, like many purebreds, have documented predispositions to several hereditary conditions:
- Orthopedic: Hip Dysplasia (HD) and Elbow Dysplasia (ED) are classic examples of complex, polygenic conditions with significant environmental influence on expression.
- Ocular: Several forms of Progressive Retinal Atrophy (PRA) are known in Goldens, typically inherited as autosomal recessive traits (GR-PRA1 caused by an SLC4A3 mutation, GR-PRA2 by a TTC8 mutation, and prcd-PRA). Hereditary Cataracts are also common, though the exact genetics are complex. Pigmentary Uveitis is an emerging concern with a suspected hereditary basis.
- Neurological: Degenerative Myelopathy (DM), associated with a mutation in the SOD1 gene, is found in Goldens (autosomal recessive with incomplete penetrance). Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis type 5 (NCL5) is a fatal, autosomal recessive neurodegenerative disease identified in the breed.
- **Cardiac:** Subvalvular Aortic Stenosis (SAS) has a clear hereditary predisposition in Goldens and other breeds, likely involving complex inheritance patterns.

- Dermatologic: Ichthyosis, a scaling skin disorder, occurs in Goldens due to at least two distinct autosomal recessive mutations (Type 1 in PNPLA1, Type 2 in ABHD5).
- Oncologic: Golden Retrievers have a well-documented increased risk for certain cancers, such as Hemangiosarcoma and Lymphoma. While cancer is complex, strong breed predisposition points to underlying hereditary susceptibility factors, likely polygenic.
- **Metabolic:** While less common, hereditary forms of conditions like Renal Dysplasia and Copper Storage Disease exist in dogs.

# C. Clarifying Common Misconceptions

The overlap in timing—the fact that many hereditary conditions *are* indeed present at birth—is a primary source of the confusion between these terms. However, it is crucial to separate the *timing* of appearance from the underlying *cause*.

- Congenital ≠ Hereditary: The most critical distinction is that "congenital" simply means "present at birth," regardless of cause, whereas "hereditary" means "genetically transmitted," regardless of when it appears. A puppy born with skeletal defects due to the dam receiving insufficient Vitamin D during pregnancy has a *congenital* condition, but it is *not hereditary*; proper nutrition in future pregnancies would prevent it. Conversely, a Golden Retriever puppy that appears normal at birth but develops PRA at age 5 has a *hereditary* condition, even though it was not congenital. Many hereditary conditions, like SAS or certain cataracts, *are* also congenital because the genetic defect manifests during prenatal development.
- **Timing of Onset:** This difference in potential onset timing is key. Congenital conditions, by definition, originate before or during birth, though they might not be clinically detected until later (e.g., a small umbilical hernia noticed at the first vet check, or a mild heart murmur). Hereditary conditions, driven by genes, can cause problems at any stage of life from embryonic development (leading to congenital defects or fetal loss), through puppyhood (e.g., juvenile cataracts, early HD signs), adulthood (e.g., many cancers, PRA, DM), to senior years (e.g., late-onset hypothyroidism).
- Implications for Breeding/Ethics: The practical consequences of confusing these terms are most acute in breeding decisions. If a puppy is born with a cleft palate (a congenital defect often caused by developmental disruption, though some breeds are predisposed ), assuming it's a simple hereditary defect might lead a breeder to unnecessarily alter breeding plans for the parents or related dogs, potentially removing valuable genetic diversity. Conversely, if a litter

consistently shows signs of a condition like elbow dysplasia (a hereditary condition influenced by environment), dismissing it as purely environmental or "bad luck" prevents breeders from making informed selections against the underlying genetic predisposition, allowing the problem to continue or worsen in the breed. Responsible breeders have an ethical obligation to understand these differences, utilize appropriate screening (Section III.B), and make decisions based on the best available evidence to improve breed health over time.

The core difficulty lies in separating the descriptive nature of "congenital" (when it appears) from the explanatory nature of "hereditary" (why it appears). Even scientific literature and veterinary resources sometimes use the terms imprecisely, contributing to the confusion. For instance, listing an inherited condition like copper storage disease under a "congenital" heading or stating cryptorchidism is congenital without fully exploring the strong hereditary links can blur the lines. This imprecision hinders effective communication and risk assessment, impacting breeders, owners, and veterinarians alike.

Feature	Congenital Conditions	Hereditary Conditions
Definition	Present at or shortly after birth. Describes <i>timing</i> of presence.	Caused by inherited genetic material (gene mutations, chromosomes) passed from parents. Describes <i>cause</i> (genetic transmission).
Cause	Disruption during prenatal (embryonic/fetal) development. May be environmental, maternal, toxic, infectious, developmental "accident" (stochastic), or genetic interaction.	Inherited gene(s) or chromosome abnormalities. May be single-gene (Mendelian) or multi-gene (polygenic/complex). Expression can be influenced by environment.
Timing of Onset	Present at birth (by definition), though clinical signs may not be immediately apparent.	Can manifest at any time: at birth (congenital), puppyhood, adulthood, or later life.
Inheritance	Not necessarily inherited. The defect itself is not passed genetically	Inherited according to genetic principles (e.g., autosomal

Table 1: Definitions and Distinguishing Features of Congenital vs.	Hereditary
Conditions	

	unless there's an underlying hereditary cause.	recessive, autosomal dominant, X- linked, polygenic).
Examples	Cleft palate, umbilical hernia, PDA, cryptorchidism (manifestation), cerebellar hypoplasia (viral cause), defects from teratogens.	Hip/Elbow Dysplasia, PRA types, Ichthyosis types, SAS, NCL5, DM, hereditary cancers, many breed- specific enzyme deficiencies.

# **III. Genetic Foundations: Hereditary Conditions**

Understanding hereditary conditions requires a grasp of the basic principles of genetics and how traits, including diseases, are passed from parents to offspring. This involves recognizing different patterns of inheritance and the role and limitations of genetic testing, particularly within the context of Golden Retrievers.

#### A. Patterns of Inheritance in Dogs

Genes, the fundamental units of heredity, exist in different versions called alleles. Dogs, like humans, inherit one set of chromosomes (carrying genes) from their sire and one from their dam. The way these inherited alleles interact determines the dog's traits (phenotype). Several main patterns govern the inheritance of single-gene (Mendelian) disorders:

- Autosomal Recessive Inheritance: This is a common mode for many identified genetic diseases in dogs. "Autosomal" means the gene responsible is located on one of the non-sex chromosomes (autosomes). "Recessive" means that an individual must inherit two copies of the mutated allele (one from each parent) to be affected by the condition. Dogs with only one copy of the mutation are called "carriers" (heterozygotes); they typically appear clinically normal but can pass the mutated allele to their offspring. If two carriers are mated, on average, 25% of the puppies will be affected (inheriting two copies), 50% will be carriers (inheriting one copy), and 25% will be clear (inheriting no copies). Examples prevalent in or relevant to Golden Retrievers include specific forms of Progressive Retinal Atrophy (GR-PRA1, GR-PRA2, prcd-PRA), Ichthyosis (Types 1 and 2), Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis (NCL5), and potentially Degenerative Myelopathy (DM, though penetrance is incomplete).
- Autosomal Dominant Inheritance: In this pattern, the gene is also on an autosome, but only one copy of the mutated allele is needed for the dog to be affected. An affected dog will pass the mutation (and thus the condition or predisposition) to approximately 50% of its offspring when bred to a clear dog.

True dominant inheritance is less common for severe diseases because affected individuals might be less likely to reproduce, but it occurs. Examples include PRA-RHO in Labrador Retrievers and some forms of Osteogenesis Imperfecta. Some hereditary cancer syndromes might also follow dominant patterns or have dominant components. Many non-disease traits, like certain coat characteristics, are inherited dominantly.

- X-Linked Inheritance: These traits involve genes located on the X chromosome. Females have two X chromosomes (XX), while males have one X and one Y (XY). This leads to different inheritance patterns in males and females. For X-linked *recessive* conditions, females usually need two copies of the mutation to be affected (one on each X), making them less likely to show signs than males, who only need one copy on their single X chromosome to be affected. Affected males pass the mutation to all their daughters (who become carriers) but none of their sons. Carrier females pass the mutation to about half their sons (who will be affected) and half their daughters (who become carriers). For X-linked *dominant* conditions, only one copy of the mutation is needed for expression in both sexes, but males might be more severely affected. Examples in dogs include some types of hemophilia.
- Polygenic/Complex Inheritance: Many important traits and common diseases are not caused by a single gene but result from the combined influence of multiple genes interacting with each other and often with environmental factors. These are known as polygenic or complex traits. They do not follow simple Mendelian ratios and often show a continuous range of severity. Hip Dysplasia (HD) and Elbow Dysplasia (ED) are prime examples in Golden Retrievers and many other breeds. Subvalvular Aortic Stenosis (SAS) is also considered complex, likely polygenic. Predisposition to many common cancers (like lymphoma and hemangiosarcoma in Goldens) is also thought to be complex. For these conditions, genetics establishes a *risk* or *susceptibility*, but environmental factors (like diet, growth rate, exercise, weight management for orthopedic conditions) significantly influence whether and how severely the condition manifests. The proportion of the variation in the phenotype (e.g., hip score) that is due to additive genetic effects is guantified by the heritability estimate  $(h^2)$ . Heritability estimates for traits like HD and ED range widely depending on the breed and methodology but are generally considered moderate, indicating that genetic selection can be effective, albeit slower than for simple Mendelian traits.

#### **B.** Role of Genetic Testing

Genetic testing has become an increasingly accessible tool for breeders and owners, aiming to identify dogs carrying specific mutations associated with hereditary conditions. However, understanding its capabilities and limitations is crucial for its responsible use.

 Overview of Available Tests (Goldens): For Golden Retrievers, the Golden Retriever Club of America (GRCA) and the Orthopedic Foundation for Animals (OFA) Canine Health Information Center (CHIC) program recommend specific health screenings for breeding stock. These include both phenotypic evaluations (physical examinations and imaging) and DNA tests:

# • Phenotypic Screens:

- *Hips:* Radiographic evaluation by OFA or PennHIP at  $\geq$ 24 months.
- *Elbows:* Radiographic evaluation by OFA at ≥24 months.
- *Eyes:* Annual examination by a board-certified veterinary ophthalmologist (ACVO Diplomate), recorded in an approved database (like OFA CAER). This screens for various conditions including cataracts, PRA (if visible changes), pigmentary uveitis, distichiasis, entropion, etc..
- *Heart:* Auscultation (listening) by a board-certified veterinary cardiologist (ACVIM Diplomate) at ≥12 months, recorded in an approved database (like OFA). Screens primarily for murmurs indicative of conditions like SAS.

#### • DNA Tests:

- *PRA:* Tests for prcd-PRA, GR-PRA1 (SLC4A3 mutation), and GR-PRA2 (TTC8 mutation) are available and strongly recommended due to the blindness associated with PRA.
- Ichthyosis: Tests for Ichthyosis Type 1 (PNPLA1 mutation, also called ICT-A) and the more recently identified Ichthyosis Type 2 (ABHD5 mutation) are available.
- *NCL5:* A DNA test for Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis type 5 is required by the GRCA Code of Ethics, mandating at least one parent be tested clear for this fatal recessive disease.
- *DM:* A test for the SOD1A mutation associated with Degenerative Myelopathy is available, though the condition has incomplete penetrance (not all genetically at-risk dogs develop the disease).

- Complex Traits: It's important to recognize that currently, there are no simple DNA tests to definitively diagnose complex polygenic conditions like HD, ED, or SAS. While research is ongoing to identify contributing genes and risk markers, phenotypic screening remains the cornerstone for evaluating these conditions in breeding stock. Some commercial panels may offer "risk scores" based on markers, but their predictive value and clinical utility require careful evaluation.
- **Reliability, Limitations, Interpretation:** The increasing availability of genetic tests, especially direct-to-consumer (DTC) panels, necessitates a critical understanding of their reliability and limitations.
- Accuracy and Quality Control: The accuracy of a genetic test depends heavily on the laboratory performing it. Reputable laboratories, such as universityaffiliated labs (e.g., UC Davis VGL) or specialized veterinary diagnostic labs (e.g., Paw Print Genetics mentioned as running tests multiple times), often adhere to stringent quality control standards (like ISO accreditation) and validation protocols. DTC tests, however, operate in a largely unregulated space. Concerns exist regarding undisclosed methodologies, lack of transparency in quality control, and potential biases (e.g., potential influence of submitted photographs on breed identification results). VCPs report limited confidence in interpreting DTC results.
- Limitations of Testing: A fundamental limitation is that DNA tests can only detect the specific, known mutations they are designed for. A "clear" or "normal" result for a tested mutation does *not* guarantee the dog will never develop the associated disease, as other unknown genetic mutations or non-genetic factors could still cause a similar clinical picture. For example, a Golden Retriever testing clear for GR-PRA1, GR-PRA2, and prcd-PRA could still develop progressive retinal degeneration from an as-yet unidentified genetic cause or another disease process. Furthermore, for complex/polygenic traits, genetic tests or panels can only estimate risk, not provide a definitive yes/no answer, as environmental factors and the interplay of many genes are involved. Penetrance (the likelihood that a dog with the mutation(s) will actually show signs of the disease) can also vary significantly, as seen with DM.
- Interpretation Challenges: Correct interpretation of test results is paramount but often challenging. Understanding the difference between "Clear/Normal" (two normal alleles), "Carrier" (one normal, one mutated allele for a recessive trait), and "Affected/At-Risk" (one copy for dominant, two copies for recessive) is essential. A common, critical error is equating "Carrier" status for a recessive

disease with being unhealthy or unsuitable for breeding. Carriers are typically clinically normal and can be safely bred to "Clear" partners without producing affected puppies, while still preserving their potentially valuable genetic contributions to the breed's diversity. Misinterpreting results, especially from complex panels or DTC tests without professional guidance, can lead to significant owner anxiety, unnecessary veterinary procedures, or detrimental breeding decisions. Veterinary professionals themselves report varying levels of confidence in interpreting these tests, highlighting a need for better support and education.

- **Recommendations for Breeders:** Genetic testing should be viewed as one component of a comprehensive breeding strategy, not a sole determinant.
- Utilize Recommended Screenings: Follow breed club (GRCA) and CHIC recommendations, which include both DNA tests for known mutations and crucial phenotypic evaluations (hips, elbows, eyes, heart).
- Transparency: Share all screening results openly, typically by recording them in publicly accessible databases like OFA. Full disclosure, including any tests *not* performed or concerning health history, is an ethical requirement.
- Strategic Use of DNA Tests: The primary goal is to prevent the production of puppies affected by serious genetic diseases. For recessive conditions, this means avoiding carrier-to-carrier matings. Breeding a carrier to a clear dog is a valid strategy to retain desirable traits and genetic diversity while ensuring no affected offspring are produced in that generation. Offspring should then be tested to identify carriers for future breeding decisions. For dominant conditions or severe recessives, breeding affected animals is generally discouraged.
- Maintain Genetic Diversity: Over-reliance on popular sires or eliminating carriers unnecessarily can drastically reduce the gene pool, potentially leading to new problems down the line. Balance the goal of reducing specific disease alleles with the need to maintain overall genetic health and diversity.
- Holistic Selection: Genetic test results are just one piece of the puzzle.
   Breeders must consider the whole dog, including temperament (paramount in Goldens), conformation according to the breed standard, overall health, longevity in the lines, and working ability where applicable. Do not rely solely on DNA tests; phenotypic evaluations remain critical, especially for complex traits.

The power of genetic testing, particularly for straightforward recessive disorders, is undeniable. However, its application requires careful thought. The rise of broad commercial panels and direct-to-consumer testing introduces significant risks of misinterpretation and misuse, potentially leading breeders down paths that could inadvertently harm the gene pool by over-selecting against carriers or focusing too narrowly on specific mutations while ignoring overall health, temperament, and genetic diversity. An informed, balanced approach is essential, integrating test results with phenotypic assessments, pedigree knowledge (including tools like Estimated Breeding Values, EBVs), and a deep understanding of the breed and the individual dog. This aligns with a philosophy that values evidence but remains grounded in practical reality and the holistic well-being of the animal.

Table 2: Common Hereditary Conditions in Golden Retrie	evers: Inheritance &
Testing	

Condition	Mode of Inheritance	Available Tests	GRCA/CHIC Recommendation (USA)
Hip Dysplasia (HD)	Polygenic/Complex	Phenotypic: OFA or PennHIP Radiographs (≥24 mos)	Required (OFA or PennHIP)
Elbow Dysplasia (ED)	Polygenic/Complex	Phenotypic: OFA Radiographs (≥24 mos)	Required (OFA)
Eye Conditions (General)	Varies (see specific conditions)	Phenotypic: Annual ACVO Exam	Required (Annual Exam recorded with OFA)
* Progressive Retinal Atrophy (PRA)			
- GR-PRA1	Autosomal Recessive (SLC4A3 mutation)	DNA Test	Recommended DNA Test
- GR-PRA2	Autosomal Recessive (TTC8 mutation)	DNA Test	Recommended DNA Test

- prcd-PRA	Autosomal Recessive (PRCD mutation)	DNA Test	Recommended DNA Test	
* Hereditary Cataracts	Complex/Suspected Hereditary	Phenotypic: Annual ACVO Exam	Screened via required Annual Eye Exam	
* Pigmentary Uveitis	Suspected Hereditary	Phenotypic: Annual ACVO Exam	Screened via required Annual Eye Exam	
Cardiac Conditions (General)	Varies (see SAS)	Phenotypic: Cardiac Exam by Cardiologist (≥12 mos)	Required (Exam by Cardiologist recorded with OFA)	
* Subvalvular Aortic Stenosis (SAS)	Complex/Polygenic Suspected	Phenotypic: Auscultation +/- Echocardiogram by Cardiologist	Screened via required Cardiac Exam. Affected dogs generally should not be bred.	
Ichthyosis (Type 1 / ICT-A)	Autosomal Recessive (PNPLA1 mutation)	DNA Test	Recommended DNA Test	
Ichthyosis (Type 2)	Autosomal Recessive (ABHD5 mutation)	DNA Test	Recommended DNA Test	
Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis (NCL5)	Autosomal Recessive	DNA Test	Required DNA Test (at least one parent clear)	
Degenerative Myelopathy (DM)	Autosomal Recessive (SOD1A - Incomplete Penetrance)	DNA Test	Recommended DNA Test	

Cancer	Complex/Polygenic	Currently no specific	Consider in breeding
Predisposition (e.g.,	Suspected	screening tests;	decisions; prioritize
Hemangiosarcoma,		relies on pedigree	longevity & health
Lymphoma)		knowledge, longevity	history
		data, reporting	

Note: Recommendations based on GRCA Code of Ethics and OFA CHIC requirements for Golden Retrievers. DNA tests are available from various laboratories; consult GRCA or OFA for current information. Phenotypic screening results should be publicly registered (e.g., on OFA website).

# **IV. Understanding Congenital Conditions in Depth**

While hereditary conditions originate from the genetic code, congenital conditions arise from disturbances during the complex process of development within the womb. Understanding these developmental factors is crucial to differentiate them from inherited issues.

# A. Prenatal Environmental Influences

The environment the dam provides during gestation is critical for normal fetal development. Various factors can disrupt this environment and lead to congenital abnormalities:

- Maternal Health and Nutrition: The dam's overall health significantly impacts the developing puppies. Systemic illnesses, infections accompanied by high fever (hyperthermia), or significant physiological stress during critical developmental periods can interfere with normal organogenesis. Nutrition is paramount; both deficiencies and excesses of certain nutrients can act as teratogens. For example, deficiencies in essential vitamins or minerals can lead to skeletal or other developmental defects. Conversely, excessive intake of Vitamin A or Vitamin D during pregnancy has been linked to specific malformations like cleft palate, cardiac defects, and skeletal abnormalities in puppies and kittens. The condition of the uterus itself is also important; issues like cystic endometrial hyperplasia or uterine inflammation can create an abnormal environment detrimental to embryonic survival and development. Placental insufficiency or abnormalities can also compromise fetal growth and viability.
- **Toxicology (Medications, Toxins, Infections):** Exposure to teratogenic substances agents that cause birth defects is a significant cause of

congenital anomalies. The developing embryo and fetus are particularly vulnerable during specific windows of development.

- 0 **Drugs:** Many medications administered to the dam can cross the placenta and potentially harm the developing fetus. While some drug classes like beta-lactam antibiotics are generally considered safer, others pose known risks. Tetracycline antibiotics given during pregnancy can cause permanent staining of teeth and potentially affect bone development. Antifungal agents like griseofulvin have been strongly linked to severe malformations (cleft palate, eye defects, skeletal issues) in kittens and potentially dogs when given early in gestation. Ketoconazole may cause stillbirth. Corticosteroids have been associated with cleft palate and other defects, particularly in brachycephalic breeds (anasarca). Certain anticonvulsants (e.g., primidone, phenytoin), chemotherapeutic agents (e.g., methotrexate), hormonal treatments (e.g., estrogens like DES, androgens like testosterone), and retinoids (like isotretinoin/Accutane, used in humans but highlighting the risk of Vitamin A derivatives) are known or suspected teratogens. Given the limited safety data for many drugs in pregnant dogs, the general recommendation is to avoid all non-essential medications.
- Chemicals/Toxins: Environmental exposures can also be teratogenic. This includes certain pesticides and herbicides, heavy metals like methylmercury, mycotoxins contaminating feed (e.g., fumonisins linked to neural tube defects in some species), insecticides (e.g., carbaryl possibly causing skeletal and other defects), fungicides, and potentially industrial pollutants or chemicals like TCDD (dioxin).
- Infectious Agents: Certain viruses, bacteria, and protozoa can infect the dam and cross the placental barrier, leading to fetal death, resorption, or congenital malformations. Canine distemper virus and canine parvovirus infections (or vaccination with modified live parvovirus vaccine during pregnancy) have been associated with defects like cerebellar hypoplasia.

# **B. Developmental Disruptions & Birth Defects**

The journey from a single fertilized egg to a fully formed puppy involves an incredibly complex and precisely orchestrated series of events, including cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, and programmed cell death. Errors or disruptions at any point, especially during critical periods, can lead to birth defects.

• **Developmental Biology Basics:** Embryonic development is divided into stages. The initial embryonic period involves the formation of the basic body plan and the primordial stages of all major organs (organogenesis). In dogs, this critical period occurs roughly within the first 30-35 days post-fertilization. During organogenesis, the embryo is highly susceptible to teratogens, and disruptions often lead to major structural malformations. The subsequent fetal period (from ~day 35 to birth) involves primarily growth and maturation of these organs. While susceptibility to major structural defects decreases, later-developing structures like the cerebellum, palate, and urogenital system remain vulnerable, and disruptions can cause growth retardation or functional deficits. Critical periods exist for each organ system – specific windows during which development is most active and vulnerability to disruption is highest. The *timing* of an insult (e.g., toxin exposure, maternal fever) relative to these critical periods determines which organs are affected and the nature of the defect. For example, an insult during palate closure (~days 25-28) might cause cleft palate , while an insult later might affect brain development.

- Factors Affecting Fetal Development: Normal development relies on a complex interplay between the embryo's genetic blueprint and the intrauterine environment. Factors beyond direct teratogen exposure can cause disruptions. These include placental problems limiting nutrient/oxygen supply, physical constraints or abnormal positioning within the uterus, and the dam's overall metabolic and hormonal status.
- Differentiating Unavoidable Anomalies ("Bad Luck"): It is crucial to acknowledge that not every congenital defect has an identifiable external cause or a known genetic basis. Biological development is inherently complex and involves stochastic (random) events at the molecular and cellular level. This "developmental noise" means that even with identical genes (like identical twins or clones ) and a seemingly optimal environment, random fluctuations can lead to slight variations or, occasionally, significant errors in development, resulting in spontaneous congenital anomalies. Examples might include isolated minor defects like a kinked tail, a single misshapen digit (in the absence of polydactyly syndrome), or perhaps certain types of simple umbilical hernias occurring sporadically in a litter from health-tested parents with no history of the problem. While it's difficult to definitively prove an anomaly was purely "bad luck" versus resulting from an undetected subtle cause, recognizing the existence of inherent biological variability is important. It helps temper the tendency to assign blame for every adverse outcome and acknowledges the limits of predictability in biological systems.

The occurrence of congenital defects underscores the vulnerability of the developing embryo and fetus. While responsible breeders can control genetic factors through screening and selection, and manage the dam's health and nutrition, they cannot entirely eliminate environmental risks or the inherent randomness of biological processes. This reality highlights why automatically attributing any defect present at birth solely to the parents' genetics is often an oversimplification and potentially unfair. A thorough investigation, considering the specific defect, breed predispositions, maternal history during pregnancy (illness, medications, diet, stress), and litter patterns, is necessary before drawing conclusions about causality, especially regarding inheritance.

Agent Category	Specific Agent	Species	Reported/Suspected Effects
Drugs			
Antibiotics	Tetracyclines	Dog	Permanent tooth staining, potential bone effects
Antifungals	Griseofulvin	Cat/Dog	Severe malformations (brain, skeleton, eyes - cyclopia, anophthalmia), cleft palate, atresia ani/coli, stillbirth, weak fetuses
	Ketoconazole	Dog	Stillbirth
Corticosteroids	Dexamethasone, Cortisone Acetate	Dog	Cleft palate, umbilical hernia, ventricular septal defects, anasarca (esp. brachycephalics)
Anticonvulsants	Primidone	Dog	Cardiac defects, cleft palate, skeletal anomalies
	Phenytoin	Cat	Congenital anomalies
Hormones	Testosterone (androgenic compounds)	Dog	Female pseudohermaphroditism, intersex puppies
	Estrogen Antagonist (CI- 628)	Dog	Malformations (unspecified)
	Diethylstilbestrol (DES)	Dog/Cat	Potential for pyometra, reproductive issues (used for mismating)

 Table 3: Examples of Known/Suspected Canine Teratogens and Effects

Chemotherapeutics	Methotrexate	Cat	Maternal toxicity, abortion, fetal anomalies (umbilical hernia)
	Hydroxyurea	Cat	Reduced fetal mass, resorptions, malformations (cleft palate, microphthalmia)
Retinoids	Vitamin A (excess), Isotretinoin (human ref)	Dog/Cat	Cleft palate, ear defects, kinked tails, cranioschisis, heart defects, CNS defects (human)
NSAIDs	Acetylsalicylic Acid (Aspirin - high dose)	Dog	Cleft palate, micrognathia, anasarca, cardiovascular defects, tail anomalies
Other	Thalidomide (experimental)	Dog/Cat	Severe limb (amelia, hypoplasia), skeletal (scoliosis), facial (harelip, cleft palate), cardiac, and CNS defects; fetal death
	Urethane (experimental)	Dog	Missing kidneys (low frequency)
Chemicals/Toxins			
Heavy Metals	Methylmercury	Cat	Abortions, fetal anomalies, cerebellar neuronal reduction
Pesticides/Herbicides	Various (general risk)	Dog/Cat	Potential for various defects (endocrine disruption suspected for some)
Insecticides	Carbaryl	Dog	Skeletal malformations, short jaw, abdominal fissures (when used on dam)
Fungicides	Various (general risk)	Dog/Cat	Hydrocephalus, cleft palate, open fontanelles, umbilical hernias

Mycotoxins	Fumonisins	Dog/Cat?	Potential link to neural tube defects (extrapolated from other species)
Industrial	Dioxins (TCDD), PCBs	Dog/Cat?	Potential endocrine disruption, general toxicity
Infectious Agents			
Viruses	Parvovirus (infection or MLV vaccine)	Dog/Cat	Cerebellar hypoplasia/agenesis, hydrocephalus, hydranencephaly
	Distemper Virus	Dog	Enamel hypoplasia (if infection affects tooth development timing), potential CNS effects
Bacteria/Protozoa	Various	Dog/Cat	Fetal death, abortion, potential malformations depending on agent
Nutritional Factors			
Deficiency	General Malnutrition, Specific Vitamins/Minerals	Dog/Cat	Low birth weight, increased mortality, skeletal defects (e.g., Calcium deficiency -> bowed limbs), various malformations (e.g., Vitamin A deficiency)
Excess	Vitamin A	Dog/Cat	Cleft palate, kinked tails, cardiac defects, skeletal defects
	Vitamin D	Dog	Tissue calcinosis, enamel hypoplasia, cardiac defects
Physical Agents			
Temperature	Hyperthermia (dam fever/heat stress)	Dog/Cat	Fetal death, growth retardation, developmental abnormalities (e.g., brain)

Radiation	Ionizing Radiation	Dog/Cat	Chromosomal damage, various malformations depending on dose/timing
Uterine Factors	Abnormal Uterine Positioning	Dog/Cat	Potential for physical constraint- related deformities

Note: This table provides examples based on the reviewed literature. The teratogenicity of many agents depends heavily on dose, timing, and duration of exposure during gestation. Absence from this list does not imply safety. Always consult a veterinarian regarding any exposures or medication use during pregnancy.

#### V. The Intersection of Hereditary & Congenital Conditions

While the distinction between purely hereditary and purely congenital conditions is crucial, the reality is often more complex. Many conditions encountered in veterinary practice exist at the intersection of these categories, involving an interplay between genetic susceptibility and developmental or environmental factors. Understanding this overlap is key to navigating diagnostic challenges and making informed decisions.

- Conditions with Both Hereditary and Congenital Components: Several conditions commonly seen in dogs, including Golden Retrievers, exemplify this intersection, where an inherited predisposition manifests as a defect present at birth or developing due to influences during gestation or early life.
- Heart Defects: Subvalvular Aortic Stenosis (SAS) serves as a prime example. There is strong evidence for a significant hereditary component, likely polygenic, with high prevalence in breeds like Newfoundlands, Rottweilers, Boxers, and Golden Retrievers. However, the actual physical obstruction—the fibrous ridge or ring below the aortic valve—develops during fetal life or early puppyhood, making its clinical presentation congenital. Thus, SAS is a hereditary condition with a congenital manifestation. Other congenital heart murmurs or structural defects like ventricular septal defects might also involve genetic predispositions interacting with developmental processes.
- Cataracts: Cataracts (opacities of the lens) can be clearly hereditary, caused by specific gene mutations (like the HSF4 mutation causing Juvenile Hereditary Cataracts in some breeds) or having complex inheritance patterns common in breeds like Golden Retrievers. Some of these hereditary forms are present at birth, making them both hereditary and congenital. However, cataracts can also arise congenitally due to non-hereditary causes like maternal infections, toxins,

or nutritional imbalances during pregnancy, or develop later due to diabetes, trauma, or inflammation. Differentiating the cause based solely on appearance can be difficult without history or genetic testing.

- Cryptorchidism: The failure of one or both testes to descend into the scrotum is, by definition, a congenital condition as the defect (abnormal position) exists at birth or shortly after. However, there is compelling evidence for a hereditary basis, likely involving multiple genes (polygenic) and possibly following a recessive pattern in some lines. Breed predispositions (higher in small breeds and certain purebreds) further support a genetic link. Environmental factors during development, such as maternal hormone levels or exposure to endocrine disruptors, might also interact with genetic susceptibility. A recent large-scale GWAS identified an association with a variant in the HMGA2 gene (also linked to body size) for inguinal cryptorchidism across breeds, though this didn't hold up in within-breed analyses, highlighting the complexity.
- Hip and Elbow Dysplasia (HD/ED): These are fundamentally hereditary, developmental orthopedic diseases with well-established polygenic inheritance. However, their expression—the degree of joint laxity and subsequent arthritic changes—is significantly modulated by environmental factors during the crucial growth period (puppyhood). Factors like rapid growth rates, excessive or inappropriate exercise, and body weight heavily influence the clinical severity. Interestingly, studies suggest that even genetically predisposed puppies often have radiographically normal hips at birth, with the characteristic laxity and changes developing postnatally. So, while the *predisposition* is hereditary, the *manifestation* is developmental and environmentally influenced, and not strictly congenital in the sense of being fully formed at birth.
- Hernias: Umbilical hernias are common and often considered simple congenital defects due to incomplete closure of the body wall. However, their higher prevalence in certain breeds might suggest an underlying hereditary component influencing the strength or closure timing of the abdominal wall in some cases.
- Difficulty in Distinguishing Primary Cause: This overlap creates significant diagnostic challenges. When a Golden Retriever puppy presents with a heart murmur, is it an "innocent" flow murmur that will resolve, a sporadic congenital defect, or the first sign of hereditary SAS?. If a puppy is born cryptorchid, is it an isolated developmental event or indicative of a genetic predisposition carried by the parents?. Without specific genetic tests for all potential causes (which often don't exist, especially for complex traits) or a clear pattern within the litter or family history, distinguishing the primary driver—genetics versus environment/development—can be impossible in an individual case.

Complexities for Breeders and Veterinarians: This ambiguity poses practical dilemmas. Breeders committed to health need guidance on how to interpret such findings. A single affected puppy might be a developmental anomaly, but multiple occurrences in related litters strongly suggest a hereditary component. Veterinarians must communicate these uncertainties clearly to owners and breeders, avoiding definitive statements about inheritance without strong evidence. Decisions about breeding the parents or siblings of an affected puppy require careful consideration of the specific condition, breed risk, severity, availability of screening tests, and the potential impact on genetic diversity. The lack of clear-cut answers in these overlapping conditions often leads to frustration and potential missteps in breeding programs.

The existence of conditions at the intersection of hereditary and congenital underscores the limitations of a rigid binary classification. It emphasizes the need for a nuanced, holistic assessment that considers the genetic background (breed, pedigree, available tests), the developmental history (maternal health, potential exposures), and the specific clinical presentation. This complexity reinforces the importance of ongoing research to unravel the genetic and environmental factors contributing to these multifaceted conditions.

# VI. "Law of Averages" – Nature and Unavoidable Outcomes

While breeders strive for perfection and owners hope for flawless companions, the reality of biology is that adverse outcomes can occur even when all known precautions are taken. Understanding the role of inherent biological randomness, or "bad luck," is essential for managing expectations and navigating the emotional challenges that arise when unexpected defects appear.

- Statistical Explanation: The Role of Chance in Biology:
  - Random Chance and Developmental Noise: Biological development is an extraordinarily complex process involving billions of cell divisions, migrations, and interactions, all guided by genetic instructions executed within a specific environment. Even under ideal conditions (healthy parents with excellent genetic screening results, optimal maternal care), there is an inherent level of randomness or "noise" in these biological processes. Think of it as tiny, unpredictable fluctuations in gene expression levels, protein folding, or cell signaling pathways. Usually, developmental systems are robust enough to buffer this noise, ensuring a normal outcome. However, occasionally, these random fluctuations can accumulate or occur at a critical moment, pushing development off-track and resulting in a spontaneous congenital anomaly without an identifiable external cause or inherited mutation. This is not due to faulty genes being

passed down or breeder negligence, but rather the inherent probabilistic nature of complex biological systems. The occurrence of such events follows statistical probability – they are rare but expected to happen occasionally across a large number of births. Examples might include isolated, non-syndromic defects like a single kinked vertebra, a minor heart valve anomaly, or perhaps even conditions like idiopathic epilepsy where no specific cause is found despite suspected genetic links in some breeds.

- Spontaneous Mutations: DNA replication is remarkably accurate, but not perfect. New mutations can arise spontaneously in the germline (sperm or egg cells) or in somatic cells (body cells) during cell division. Germline mutations occurring spontaneously in a parent's reproductive cells can result in a hereditary condition in the offspring, even if neither parent carried the mutation previously. Somatic mutations occur after conception and affect only the individual dog, potentially contributing to issues like cancer later in life, but are not passed to offspring. The rate of spontaneous mutation is generally low but provides a constant, albeit infrequent, source of new genetic variation, some of which may be detrimental. This means that even in a lineage free of a known harmful mutation, a new instance could theoretically arise by chance in any given puppy.
- Spontaneous Anomalies: Combining these concepts, we understand that some congenital defects arise sporadically, seemingly out of the blue. These may be due to developmental noise, a new germline mutation, or perhaps an undetected, transient environmental insult. Conditions like anasarca or single puppy fading syndrome might sometimes fall into this category, where extensive investigation reveals no clear hereditary pattern or obvious external cause.
- Addressing Emotional Aspects: For a breeder who has meticulously planned a
  mating, performed all recommended health screenings, and provided excellent
  prenatal care, the birth of a puppy with a defect can be devastating and
  confusing. Similarly, owners welcoming a new puppy can be heartbroken and
  anxious if an unexpected problem arises. It is vital for both breeders and
  veterinarians to acknowledge the emotional toll and to communicate clearly
  about the possibility of unavoidable biological events. Emphasizing that nature
  doesn't guarantee perfection and that a sporadic anomaly does not automatically
  imply fault or poor genetics can help manage guilt and blame. This requires
  empathy and a realistic perspective on biological limitations, consistent with an
  experienced, grounded approach.

 Scientific Limits of Prevention: While responsible breeding practices including comprehensive health screening (phenotypic and genetic), careful mate selection to minimize inbreeding and avoid known risks, and optimal husbandry—are crucial for *reducing* the incidence of both hereditary and congenital problems, they cannot eliminate risk entirely. The inherent stochasticity of development and the possibility of spontaneous mutations mean that occasional adverse outcomes are biologically unavoidable. Setting realistic expectations based on this scientific understanding is essential for breeders, owners, and the veterinary community. The goal is risk reduction, not unattainable perfection.

Understanding the "Law of Averages" in biology helps contextualize the occurrence of sporadic congenital defects. It acknowledges that while genetics and environment are major players, a degree of inherent randomness exists in development. This perspective is crucial for maintaining objectivity, supporting breeders through difficult situations, and educating owners about the realities of biological systems, preventing the automatic assumption that every defect present at birth is a sign of irresponsible breeding or flawed genetics.

# VII. Comparative Breed Analysis

Examining the prevalence and types of congenital versus hereditary conditions across different dog populations—including Golden Retrievers, other specific purebreds, and mixed breeds—provides valuable context. These comparisons shed light on the impact of breed formation, selection pressures, genetic diversity, and inbreeding on canine health.

- **Breed Propensities:** It is well-established that certain breeds are predisposed to specific health conditions, both congenital and hereditary. This often stems from the breed's genetic history, including founder effects, genetic bottlenecks during breed creation, and selection for particular physical or behavioral traits. For example:
  - Golden Retrievers: Known predispositions include HD, ED, certain cancers (hemangiosarcoma, lymphoma), PRA types, lchthyosis, SAS, pigmentary uveitis, and potentially atopy.
  - Labrador Retrievers: Similar orthopedic risks (HD, ED), PRA types, hereditary cataracts, predisposition to obesity (linked to POMC gene mutation), laryngeal paralysis, and otitis externa. VetCompass data shows higher odds of arthritis, lipoma, kennel cough, lacerations, stiffness, papilloma, moist dermatitis, obesity, lameness, post-op wounds, ear infections, and diarrhea compared to non-Labs, but lower odds of patellar

luxation, heart murmur, flea infestation, retained deciduous teeth, dental disease, aggression, and anal sac impaction. Chocolate Labs appear more prone to otitis externa and pyo-traumatic dermatitis than black or yellow Labs.

- German Shepherd Dogs (GSDs): High risk for HD and ED, DM, pannus, potentially EPI, and certain cancers.
- Poodles (Standard, Miniature, Toy): Predispositions vary by size.
   Standards: HD, SAS, potentially bloat, Addison's disease, sebaceous adenitis. Miniatures/Toys: Patellar luxation, PRA-prcd, Legg-Calve-Perthes, Cushing's, dental issues, hereditary cataracts, tracheal collapse.
- French Bulldogs: High risk for Brachycephalic Obstructive Airway Syndrome (BOAS), stenotic nares, skin fold dermatitis, hemivertebrae, IVDD, cherry eye, corneal ulcers, dystocia, allergies. VetCompass shows significantly higher odds of narrowed nostrils, BOAS, ear discharge, skin fold dermatitis, and dystocia compared to non-French Bulldogs.
- Mixed Breeds: Generally considered to have lower risk for some recessive disorders due to higher genetic diversity. However, they can inherit predispositions from any of their contributing breeds and may have higher prevalence for certain conditions like ruptured cranial cruciate ligament. Recent large studies suggest overall frequency of health condition diagnoses may be similar between purebreds and mixed breeds, but the *types* of conditions differ.
- **Comparative Statistics (Challenges and Findings):** Directly comparing prevalence statistics across breeds and populations is fraught with difficulty due to methodological differences and biases.
- Data Sources & Biases: OFA data relies on voluntary submissions, primarily from breeders seeking certifications, likely biasing results towards better-than-average hips/elbows and underrepresenting affected animals not submitted. VetCompass data reflects dogs under primary veterinary care in the UK, which may differ from the general population or populations in other countries; diagnoses are based on clinical records, which vary in precision [------. Agria data is from an insured population in Sweden, which may not represent uninsured dogs or dogs in other regions. Owner-reported data (e.g., Dog Aging Project ) relies on owner recognition and recall. Genetic test frequency data (e.g., Donner et al. ) reflects allele frequencies in tested populations, not necessarily disease prevalence.
- Illustrative Comparisons (Use Table 4 below): Despite limitations, some patterns emerge. For Hip Dysplasia, OFA data (up to 2023/2024) shows breeds like

Newfoundlands, Bloodhounds, AmStaffs, Bullmastiffs, and Rottweilers having >20% abnormal rates, while Goldens (18.8%) and GSDs (18.9%) are also high. Labradors are somewhat lower but still significant. French Bulldogs and Poodles generally have lower rates based on OFA submissions. Mixed breeds often show rates comparable to or lower than many large purebreds. For Elbow Dysplasia, Chow Chows, Rottweilers, Bernese Mountain Dogs, Shar-Peis, and Newfoundlands show high OFA abnormal rates (>20%), with Goldens (11.3%) based on older data, likely similar or higher now) and GSDs (17.8%) also significantly affected. Labs have a notable prevalence (OFA ~10%, VetCompass data supports predisposition). French Bulldogs and Poodles are less commonly affected based on OFA. For Otitis Externa, VetCompass data indicates high prevalence across many breeds, including Labs (10.4% overall, higher in chocolate), French Bulldogs (14.0%), and generally high rates overall (7.3% in O'Neill 2021, 10.2% in O'Neill 2014). Purebreds showed higher prevalence than crossbreds in one study. Cataracts are common across many breeds according to OFA/ACVO data, with breeds like Boston Terriers, Poodles (Miniature/Toy), Bichons having high rates. Goldens and Labs also show significant prevalence. SAS prevalence is highest in Newfoundlands, Rottweilers, Boxers, and Goldens based on referral data. *Cryptorchidism* prevalence is generally higher in smaller breeds and purebreds overall.

#### • Discussion (Genetic Bottlenecks, Inbreeding, Diversity):

- Genetic Bottlenecks and Founder Effects: The process of creating distinct dog breeds involved selecting a small number of founding individuals and closing the gene pool (closed studbooks). This inevitably led to a loss of overall genetic diversity compared to ancestral wolf populations or freebreeding village dogs. Deleterious recessive alleles present in the founders could become concentrated within the breed.
- Inbreeding and Homozygosity: Subsequent breeding practices, particularly linebreeding (mating related individuals to fix desired traits) and the use of popular sires (a few males producing a large proportion of offspring), further increased levels of inbreeding within many breeds. Inbreeding increases homozygosity – the likelihood that an individual inherits two identical copies of an allele from a common ancestor. While this helps fix desirable breed traits, it also dramatically increases the risk of expressing recessive genetic diseases.
- Measuring Inbreeding: The Coefficient of Inbreeding (COI) calculated from pedigrees estimates this probability of identity by descent. A COI of 12.5% is equivalent to a half-sibling or grandparent-grandchild mating; 25% is

equivalent to a full-sibling or parent-offspring mating. Genomic methods (using DNA markers like SNPs or STRs) provide a more direct measure of actual homozygosity or heterozygosity in an individual [, S\_S