1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
2	TRUMBULL COUNTY, OHIO
3	
4	State of Ohio, : CASE NO: 2017-CR-403
5	Plaintiff, :
6	:
7	-vs- : <u>TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS</u>
8	Austin Taylor Burke, :
9	Defendant. : VOLUME I - JURY TRIAL
10	
11	
12	Be it remembered, that at the Jury Trial of the
13	above-entitled cause, in the Court of Common Pleas, Trumbull
14	County, Ohio, beginning on the 5th day of March, 2018, and
15	continuing thereafter, as hereinafter noted, before the
16	Honorable Andrew D. Logan, the following proceedings were had
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Official Court Reporter: Lori J. Rittwage

1	<u>APPEARANCES:</u>
2	
3	On behalf of the State of Ohio:
4	
5	Atty. Christopher D. Becker Trumbull County Prosecutor's Office
6	160 High Street Warren, OH 44481
7	Phone: (330) 675-2426 Email: psbecker@trumbull.co.oh.us
8	
9	On behalf of the Defendant:
10	Atty. Bradley G. Olson Dimeo Olson Law Group, LLC
11	28 North Mill Street New Castle, PA 16101
12	Phone: (330) 318-3453 Email: brad_olson_jr@yahoo.com
13	Mr. Edward Hartwig
14	120 Marwood Circle PO Box 3965
15	Boardman, OH 44513 Phone: (330) 718-9499
16	1 Holle: (330) 710-3433
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	<u>I</u> N D E X		
2	V 0 T D D T D E		
3	<u>VOIR DIRE</u>	-	
4	Voir Dire of the Potential Jurors	Page 6	<u>Line</u> 18
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

March 5, 2018

_

Ü

THE COURT: Again, the Court calls case 2017-CR-403, which is state of Ohio versus Austin Burke. This matter is set for jury trial today. The state is in court through Assistant Prosecutor Christopher Becker. The defendant is in court along with his attorneys, Mr. Olson and Mr. Hartwig.

We're here prior to the jury being summoned up in court for any last-minute items but in particular to indicate whether there had been any settlement negotiations between the parties and the nature and extent of those.

Mr. Becker, did the state make an offer regarding a resolution of this matter?

MR. BECKER: Your Honor, yes, it's been awhile, and it was rejected by the defense. The state had proposed amending the aggravated murder charge in Count 1 to murder with a firearm specification which carries a potential penalty of 18 years to life in prison with a three-year firearm specification, running the other charges concurrently. And that was rejected. So I think that's why we're here today.

THE COURT: Right. And, Mr. Olson, you don't mind if I talk to your client?

1	MR. OLSON: I do not, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Burke, you understand that
3	the serious nature of these charges would result in, if you
4	were convicted of just charge one, not even all the rest of
5	the charges, the potential sentence could be life without
6	possibility of parole; you understand that?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: All right. That's and if
9	you were convicted of a lesser offense still there's a
10	possibility that the Court could add 11 years as to both the
11	robbery charges with the firearm spec and additional time for
12	the other charges.
13	So even if they didn't convict you of this aggravated
14	murder, but a lesser murder, you could still get 50 some years
15	in prison; do you understand that?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: All right. You are rejecting
18	that at this point in time?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: You want to go forward?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: You've discussed this with your
23	attorneys?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any questions to
3	the Court?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
5	THE COURT: All right. I just wanted to
6	make sure that this was on the record so in the event you're
7	convicted you can't come back and say your attorneys were
8	ineffective in not discussing this issue with you.
9	All right. Anything else at this time, Mr. Olson?
10	MR. OLSON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, we're through until
12	the jury?
13	MR. BECKER: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were
16	had with the prospective jurors present.)
17	* * *
18	VOIR DIRE OF THE POTENTIAL JURORS
19	THE COURT: Good morning, Ladies and
20	Gentlemen. Welcome back to Courtroom Number 1. I'm Judge
21	Logan. I will be presiding over the case for which you've
22	been summoned. You've met my bailiff, Ms. Camuso. My court
23	reporter is Ms. Rittwage. If there is anything we can do to

make your stay here a little bit more comfortable, please make sure we're aware of that. It's our intention that your service as jurors be as rewarding as possible. It's obviously not monetarily rewarding, but it will be rewarding in the sense that you'll better under our American system of justice.

Ladies and Gentlemen, you've been summoned this date for a criminal case that is styled on the court's docket as case 2017-CR-403, State of Ohio versus Austin Burke. This is a serious criminal case involving allegations of murder and robbery.

Now, I'm going to read from the indictment as to a couple of the counts just to refresh your memory as to whether or not you know anything about this particular case because we'll go into that a little later.

It indicates that on or about the 12th day of June, 2017, and in Trumbull County, Ohio, Austin Burke did purposely cause the death of another, one Kenneth Brandon Hayes Sample, while committing or attempting to commit, or while fleeing immediately after committing or attempting to commit, kidnapping, rape, aggravated arson, arson, aggravated robbery, robbery, aggravated burglary, burglary, trespass in a habitation when a person is present or likely to be present, or escape, with a specification regarding a firearm.

There is a robbery count relative thereto, tampering with weapons, having guns while under disability, and then the final count is, again, an aggravated robbery. That on or about the 20th day of June, 2017, the defendant did, in attempting or committing a theft offense, or in fleeing immediately after the attempt or offense, did have a deadly weapon on or about his person, or displayed the weapon, brandished it, indicated he possessed it, or used it. Again, with a firearm specification.

Again, I'm just reading those to give you a sense of the charge because we will ask a little later on if you know anything about this particular case.

Now, I want to introduce the parties that will be presenting this case. At the table at my left, which is state's table, is Assistant Prosecutor Christopher Becker. He represents the state in this matter. At the table toward my right are Attorneys Edward Hartwig and Bradley Olson, along with the defendant, Austin Burke.

Now, jury service may be somewhat strange to you so a short explanation would be in order. Those who participate in trial do so in accordance with certain established rules.

That is true of the witnesses, the lawyers, the judge, and you as jurors. The lawyers present the evidence in accordance

with these rules. The judge enforces these rules and determines what evidence will be admitted. You will be the sole judges as to the facts, the credibility of the witnesses, and the weight to give to any of the testimony.

Now, the state of Ohio and the defendant are entitled to jurors who will approach this case with open minds and agree to keep them open until a verdict can be reached.

Jurors must be as free as humanly possible from any bias, sympathy or prejudice, and you must not be influenced by any preconceived notions either as to the facts or as to the law.

Now, although you may be qualified to serve as a juror in a general sense, there may be something that would make it inappropriate for you to serve in this particular case. That is why the first part of each juror -- or first part of each trial is the questioning of the jurors, again, in order that we can make sure that you would be fair and impartial when this case is presented. It's an important part of the case, and it's necessary that you be sworn in before we can ask you any questions.

Ms. Camuso.

(Whereupon, the venire was administered the oath.)

THE COURT: All right. At this time, the

Court and then counsel will ask you some questions. These questions are not designed to pry into your personal affairs, but to discover if you have any knowledge of this particular case, if you have any preconceived opinions you cannot lay aside, or if you have any experience that might cause you to identify with one side or the other in this particular case.

Now, if at any point in time the Court or counsel ask you a question that would be uncomfortable for you to answer in open court, just make sure we're aware of that. You can approach the bench and give the answer in the privacy of the sidebar solely with the Court and counsel.

All right. As we begin, I will ask you questions as a group. If you are silent in your answer, we will consider that a negative answer. If your answer is other than the negative, please raise your hand and we'll go into it a little further. If we are addressing a question or an answer that you have, we'll ask you what your juror number is, so beware of that as we go along.

First of all, have any of you been subpoenaed in good faith to testify as a witness in this case?

Do any of you have any physical defects that might prevent you from serving as a juror in this case?

Are any of you not residents of Trumbull County? Has

1	anyone moved out of the county?
2	Do any of you have an interest in the outcome of this
3	case in any fashion?
4	Have any of you, to the best of your recollection,
5	read or heard anything about this particular case? That's why
6	I mentioned the nature of it. All right. I'm going to have
7	you come to the bench one at a time.
8	Counsel, if you'll approach. And Ms. Camuso, if
9	you'll bring the jurors ahead one at a time.
10	BAILIFF JODI CAMUSO: 156.
11	THE COURT: 156? That's Miss Alberini?
12	JUROR NO. 156: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right. Miss Alberini, what
14	do you know about this case?
15	JUROR NO. 156: I was
16	THE COURT: This is for the court reporter.
17	JUROR NO. 156: Oh, okay. I was Brandon's
18	8th grade teacher at Howland Middle School and my daughter is
19	a good friend of Brandon's was a good friend of Brandon's.
20	THE COURT: That was the deceased?
21	JUROR NO. 156: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Any questions,
23	Mr. Becker?

1	MR. BECKER: Well, with that in mind, do
2	you think you're too close?
3	JUROR NO. 156: I'm sorry?
4	MR. BECKER: With that in mind, do you feel
5	that you're too close to this case or would you be able to
6	separate that out?
7	JUROR NO. 156: I don't think I can
8	separate it. Only because all of my daughter's friends were
9	Brandon's friends.
10	MR. BECKER: Okay. So you think that you
11	would be influenced by the fact that you knew him and you have
12	children that are his friends? You couldn't give the
13	defendant the benefit of the doubt?
14	JUROR NO. 156: Yeah. I don't think I
15	could.
16	THE COURT: Do you have any questions?
17	JUROR NO. 156: I'm going to be honest.
18	MR. OLSON: I don't have any questions.
19	THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you very
20	much.
21	JUROR NO. 156: Thank you.
22	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: Juror Number 148.
23	THE COURT: You are Dawn Adams?

1	JUROR NO. 148: Yes, I am.
2	THE COURT: What do you know about this
3	case?
4	JUROR NO. 148: I don't really remember a
5	lot. I just remember reading in the newspaper a story about
6	the criminal act and, you know
7	THE COURT: You don't have any particular
8	individual involvement in this?
9	JUROR NO. 148: No.
10	THE COURT: But you read it in the paper?
11	You watch the news?
12	JUROR NO. 148: Yeah. Pretty much. He
13	does look familiar, so I don't know if he went to my school or
14	not. I teach at the TCTC, but I don't know him, I don't
15	think.
16	THE COURT: You haven't prejudged this case
17	in any fashion?
18	JUROR NO. 148: No.
19	THE COURT: You understand what's in the
20	newspapers and what's in the news could be
21	JUROR NO. 148: Right. Could be biased.
22	THE COURT: And that all the evidence would
23	have to be presented in this case?

1	JUROR NO. 148: Sure.
2	THE COURT: And you could disregard
3	everything else that you've heard?
4	JUROR NO. 148: Sure.
5	THE COURT: And you could be fair to
6	everybody?
7	JUROR NO. 148: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Any questions?
9	MR. BECKER: I'm going to follow up just
10	with what the Judge said.
11	JUROR NO. 148: Uh-huh.
12	MR. BECKER: Obviously we want people in
13	our forum that read the newspaper, that watch the news. The
14	question here is, though, can you put aside anything you've
15	heard and decide this case solely on what you hear in the
16	courtroom?
17	JUROR NO. 148: Yes.
18	MR. BECKER: Okay. So you wouldn't let
19	those outside media influences make the decision for you? You
20	could just base it on the courtroom?
21	JUROR NO. 148: No.
22	MR. BECKER: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
23	MR. HARTWIG: Couple questions. I'm sorry,

1	ma'am.
2	Do you recall any of the specifics that were in the
3	newspaper or on television about the case prior to coming in
4	today and hearing the summary from Your Honor?
5	JUROR NO. 148: No. Basically just what he
6	said. Just what I read about, you know, that there was a
7	murder. But I don't know that he did it.
8	MR. HARTWIG: Is there anything at the time
9	or now is there anything about what you read or saw that
10	makes you so uncomfortable that you wouldn't want to serve on
11	the jury?
12	JUROR NO. 148: No.
13	MR. HARTWIG: Okay.
14	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
15	BAILIFF JODI CAMUSO: 284.
16	THE COURT: You are Donna Gleydura?
17	JUROR NO. 284: Yes.
18	THE COURT: All right. Miss Gleydura,
19	please tell us what you remember about this case.
20	JUROR NO. 284: I read it in the paper.
21	THE COURT: Right.
22	JUROR NO. 284: And my neighbor's name was
23	Sample, so that's what caught my attention. And I really

1	don't remember a whole lot. I mean, other than the fact of
2	what happened.
3	THE COURT: You say your neighbor's name is
4	Sample?
5	JUROR NO. 284: Uh-huh.
6	THE COURT: Do you know if they're related?
7	JUROR NO. 284: I don't think so. I mean,
8	I didn't ask.
9	THE COURT: But that kind of rang a bell
10	for you and you thought you better come up and talk to me?
11	JUROR NO. 284: Yeah. Just in case.
12	THE COURT: Now, you understand anything
13	that might be in the paper or on TV isn't necessarily
14	accurate? And you understand if you're in the courtroom you
15	have to rely only on the evidence that we present in the
16	courtroom to make any decisions? Can you disregard everything
17	that you've read and heard and rely only on the evidence that
18	you see and hear in this courtroom?
19	JUROR NO. 284: Yeah. I imagine I can.
20	You know.
21	THE COURT: And, again, you hadn't
22	indicated to me that there was something that you really are
23	committed to that you've heard or read about.

1	So you can rely you know, again, you have to kind
2	of disregard anything you might have read. All the evidence
3	will be presented from the witness stand.
4	JUROR NO. 284: Well, at my age, I
5	disregard a lot. So, you know. I might nap, you know, if you
6	pick me.
7	THE COURT: All right. But you can do your
8	best to set aside everything and rely only on the evidence
9	that's presented by the state and the defense in this case?
10	JUROR NO. 284: I think so.
11	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Becker, any
12	questions?
13	MR. BECKER: No questions, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, any questions?
15	MR. HARTWIG: No questions, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: You may have a seat.
17	BAILIFF JODI CAMUSO: Juror Number 255 just
18	came in. She wasn't in for any of the orientation and I
19	haven't sworn her in yet. Do you want me to swear her in?
20	THE COURT: Yes, swear her in.
21	BAILIFF JODI CAMUSO: It's going to have to
22	go on record, I assume.
23	THE COURT: Let's work with the rest of

1	them first.
2	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: Okay.
3	Number 211.
4	THE COURT: You are Megan Smith-Vincent?
5	JUROR NO. 211: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: What do you know about this
7	case?
8	JUROR NO. 211: I'm a student driver
9	instructor and I've had a few kids that were friends with
10	Mr. Brandon Sample.
11	THE COURT: You know some of the kids that
12	knew him? Have they talked about it?
13	JUROR NO. 211: We just I mean, I
14	haven't read anything about it, but they just mentioned, like,
15	that they lost somebody. So I knew a little bit about the
16	case, but I haven't read.
17	THE COURT: And understanding what you
18	might have read or heard from one of these people might not be
19	accurate
20	JUROR NO. 211: Correct.
21	THE COURT: can you disregard that stuff
22	and rely only on the evidence that we hear and see in this
23	case?

1	JUROR NO. 211: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: That's all you can rely upon in
3	this case; you can do that for us?
4	JUROR NO. 211: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, any questions?
6	MR. BECKER: No. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, any questions?
8	MR. HARTWIG: Just a few questions. Did
9	you read anything on social media?
10	JUROR NO. 211: I have no social media.
11	Just Snapchat.
12	MR. HARTWIG: That's unusual.
13	JUROR NO. 211: Right.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Do you recall anything being
15	said specifically about Mr. Burke? Austin Burke?
16	JUROR NO. 211: Negative.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: 241.
19	THE COURT: You are Darlene Frantz?
20	JUROR NO. 241: Yes.
21	THE COURT: All right. Darlene, tell me
22	what you know about this case.
23	JUROR NO. 241: I don't. I just know I get

1	the paper. I remember seeing his picture, but I don't
2	remember any of the details.
3	THE COURT: Right. And again, the
4	newspapers are out there. They may not be accurate in what
5	they put in there, but you don't remember any of the
6	specifics?
7	JUROR NO. 241: No, I don't.
8	THE COURT: You just knew this was going
9	on?
10	JUROR NO. 241: Right.
11	THE COURT: You can disregard anything you
12	might have read or heard and rely only on the evidence that
13	you hear in this case?
14	JUROR NO. 241: Yes. Yes, I can.
15	THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Becker?
16	MR. BECKER: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig?
18	MR. HARTWIG: No questions.
19	THE COURT: Have a seat.
20	JUROR NO. 241: Okay.
21	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: 168.
22	THE COURT: You are Mr. DiTommaso?
23	JUROR NO. 168: Yes.

1	THE COURT: What do you know about this
2	case?
3	JUROR NO. 168: Not a lot. I just remember
4	seeing it on the news.
5	THE COURT: You saw some type of news
6	report on this?
7	JUROR NO. 168: (Nods head.)
8	THE COURT: All right. And you understand
9	that in a trial the only evidence that can be relied upon is
10	the evidence as presented from the witness stand because we
11	don't know about the reliability of anything you might have
12	read or heard in the news. Can you disregard everything that
13	you read and heard in the news and rely only on the evidence
14	we present in this case?
15	JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
16	THE COURT: All right. Any questions,
17	Mr. Becker?
18	MR. BECKER: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hartwig?
20	MR. HARTWIG: Yes. Do you know did you
21	know the decedent Brandon Sample in any way?
22	JUROR NO. 168: No.
23	MR. HARTWIG: Or the defendant Austin

1	Burke?
2	JUROR NO. 168: No.
3	MR. HARTWIG: Do you recall any specifics
4	from reading the articles, or just general?
5	JUROR NO. 168: General.
6	MR. HARTWIG: No further questions.
7	THE COURT: Have a seat.
8	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: 199.
9	THE COURT: You are Anita Pace?
10	JUROR NO. 199: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And, Anita, tell us what you
12	know about this case.
13	JUROR NO. 199: I remember reading it in
14	the paper.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	JUROR NO. 199: And I honestly it's been
17	so long I don't remember all the details. It would probably
18	come back to me if I heard details, but
19	THE COURT: All right. Well, that's what
20	we're all about here is getting you the details.
21	JUROR NO. 199: Yes.
22	THE COURT: But you can't rely upon
23	anything that was in the paper.
	ii

1	JUROR NO. 199: Right.
2	THE COURT: Or anything in the news as
3	being reliable.
4	JUROR NO. 199: Right. Yeah. I just
5	remember the case, but not
6	THE COURT: So you'd have to be able to
7	disregard everything you read and heard.
8	JUROR NO. 199: Uh-huh.
9	THE COURT: And rely only on the evidence
10	that you hear in this case.
11	JUROR NO. 199: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And you don't know either of
13	the parties?
14	JUROR NO. 199: No.
15	THE COURT: The defendant or the decedent
16	in this case?
17	JUROR NO. 199: No.
18	THE COURT: And you can listen to the
19	evidence and be fair and impartial?
20	JUROR NO. 199: Yes.
2021	JUROR NO. 199: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Becker, any questions?

1	where you got your information?
2	JUROR NO. 199: Yeah. Whenever this case
3	was at the time.
4	MR. BECKER: Okay. You don't remember any
5	of the details, though, of what you read?
6	JUROR NO. 199: No. No. Not
7	MR. BECKER: Okay. Not a whole lot?
8	JUROR NO. 199: No.
9	MR. BECKER: And even if you did, you
10	understand that there's not been a trial. There's been no
11	evidence or testimony. No one has heard the evidence yet.
12	JUROR NO. 199: Right.
13	MR. BECKER: Not the judge, not the
14	attorneys. No one has actually heard. So whatever the
15	newspaper put in there may or may not be incorrect, and you
16	can set that aside; right?
17	JUROR NO. 199: Yes.
18	MR. BECKER: Okay. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig.
20	MR. HARTWIG: Yes, ma'am. Do you recall
21	when you did read the newspaper whether or not you prejudged
22	the case at that point in time?
23	JUROR NO. 199: I can't really say because

1	it seems like it leaned one way. You know. You didn't hear
2	both sides or anything like that.
3	THE COURT: Okay. That's the nature of
4	most cases.
5	JUROR NO. 199: Yeah.
6	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Have a seat. Thank you.
8	JUROR NO. 199: Uh-huh.
9	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: Anybody else need to
10	speak to the Judge?
11	Judge, do you want to bring up Number 255
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: that came in late
14	and then just kind of explain to her what the charges are?
15	THE COURT: Right. Go ahead and swear her
16	in.
17	For the record, this is Juror Number 255 who came in
18	late. She is being sworn in by bailiff Camuso.
19	(Whereupon, Juror Number 255 was sworn in
20	by the bailiff.)
21	THE COURT: Step forward. And, again, you
22	are 255?
23	JUROR NO. 255: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: So that would be Miss Rogers? 1 2 JUROR NO. 255: Yes. 3 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Rogers, again, we've just gotten to the part of this case where -- you 4 5 understand it's serious charges. It's aggravated murder and 6 robbery with gun specifications. The serious nature of these 7 regarding an incident that happened back last year, on or about June 12, 2017, in Trumbull County, Ohio. And it's 8 alleged that the defendant did purposely cause the death of 10 one Kenneth Brandon Hayes Sample, age 22, while committing or 11 attempting to commit, or in fleeing immediately after 12 committing or attempting to commit kidnapping, rape, 13 aggravated arson, arson, aggravated robbery, robbery, 14 aggravated burglary, burglary, trespass in a habitation when a 15 person is present or likely to be present. That's just to 16 give you an idea. Do you know the defendant, Austin Burke? 17 JUROR NO. 255: Huh-uh. 18 THE COURT: Do you know the decedent, 19 Kenneth Hayes? JUROR NO. 255: 20 No. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 JUROR NO. 255: At least not by name, but 23 maybe the face. But neither one of them sound familiar to me.

1 THE COURT: And you don't remember reading 2 or hearing anything about this case --3 JUROR NO. 255: Huh-uh. THE COURT: -- at any point in time? 4 5 If called upon, then, you could listen to the evidence they put on the witness stand and be fair and 6 7 impartial in your decision? JUROR NO. 255: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Becker? 10 MR. BECKER: No. Thank you very much. 11 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hartwig? 12 MR. HARTWIG: No questions, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. We're through that 14 part of it. 15 Now, have any of the prospective jurors formed or 16 expressed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the 17 defendant in this case? Now, it's highly inappropriate to do 18 that. We haven't heard any of the evidence yet. But it's 19 important that you don't even in jest suggest something. 20 Someone might overhear it. It might cause problems with the 21 verdict when it finally comes down. Withhold any expression 22 of an opinion until you're in the jury room.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

Do any of you have an action pending between you and

23

any of the parties to this cause of action?

Do you or any members of your family have a lawsuit pending in which any of the attorneys in this case is an attorney for you or against you?

Do any of you know or are you related to any of the parties to this cause of action or the attorneys who represent them?

Now, the Court will instruct you concerning the law as it applies in this case. Is there any one of you who cannot accept the law as explained to you by the Court and apply it to the facts as you find them in this case?

Now, the Court will instruct the jury as to the degree of proof required to prove the issues in this case. Is there any one of you who cannot follow the instructions of the Court in that respect?

Now, in arriving at your verdict, is there any one of you who cannot lay aside such matters as race, religion or sympathy, as none of these are to have any effect upon your deliberations?

Now, is there any one of you who cannot base the verdict solely on the facts as testified to by the witnesses, the exhibits that are admitted into evidence, and the law given to you by the Court?

Is there any reason why you cannot serve on this jury and render a fair and impartial decision when the case is finally submitted to you?

Now, it is the duty of the jury to decide whether or not the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the crime charged. If he is found guilty, it then becomes the duty of the Court to determine any sentence. The Court makes this decision with the benefit of an investigation that gives the Court a large amount of information that is not available to you as jurors. So my question is this: Is there any one of you who cannot perform your duty in determining the guilt or innocence of the defendant without concerning yourself with any potential sentence?

Seeing no answers in the affirmative, if you'll please call 12 jurors into the jury box.

BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: Number 1, Kathleen Hollenbank; Number 2, Dawn Adams; Number 3, Anna Shargo; Number 4, Kellie Bordner; Number 5, Mark Stimpert; Number 6, Michelle Pattinson; Number 7, Melvin West; Number 8, Eric Mikesell; Number 9, Tindoro Fuda; Number 10, Dominic DiTommaso; Number 11, Gerald Wills; and Number 12, Kevin Williamson.

THE COURT: Now, at this time, the Court

1 and then counsel will address their questions to the jurors 2 who are in the jury box, but I ask everyone to listen to these 3 questions. They may be asked of you if you are at any point in time in the jury box. It may facilitate the selection of 4 5 the jury if you can volunteer something you know is important to the Court or counsel. 6 I know the parties have all received the questionnaires, so we're not going to try to be redundant 8 here. But, in general, have any of you in the jury ever been 10 involved in any criminal event as a victim or a witness or in 11 any fashion? If you do, please raise your hand. 12 All right. Juror Number 4, can you tell us a little 13 bit about that? 14 JUROR NO. 4: I've been in a jury for 15 almost 25 years, so... 16 THE COURT: All right. Okay. You're an 17 attorney in this case. So you know people that are involved 18 in the criminal system? 19 JUROR NO. 4: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Would that affect your ability 21 to be fair and impartial? 22 JUROR NO. 4: Not at all. 23 THE COURT: And the second one was Juror

1	Number 11. That's Mr. Wills.
2	JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
3	THE COURT: What happened to you?
4	JUROR NO. 11: I'm a victim of domestic
5	violence.
6	THE COURT: All right. And would that
7	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
8	JUROR NO. 11: It shouldn't, no.
9	THE COURT: Should not? Doesn't have
10	anything to do with this case.
11	Do any of you have oh, yes, ma'am. Juror Number
12	3, Miss Shargo?
13	JUROR NO. 3: Yes. I was called for as
14	a witness to listen to a grand jury case to see if they were
15	going to be indicted. I don't know if that applies or not.
16	THE COURT: Okay. What was the charge?
17	JUROR NO. 3: What was the charge?
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	JUROR NO. 3: It had to do with the county.
20	THE COURT: With the county?
21	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.
22	THE COURT: But you can disregard anything
23	that happened there and rely only on the evidence here in this

1 case? 2 JUROR NO. 3: Oh, yes. 3 THE COURT: Do any of you on the jury have relatives or close friends in law enforcement? Raise your 4 5 And again, my only thing there is most people know some people in law enforcement. There will be people from law 6 7 enforcement on the stand. And my instruction to you is everyone must be held to the same standard when they are in 8 the jury box. And so you can't immediately give more 10 credibility to someone in law enforcement than a lay witness. 11 It's you that has to determine everyone's credibility when 12 they're in the jury box. 13 All right. Have any of you been in court as a 14 plaintiff or a defendant in a civil lawsuit? Any hands? 15 Counsel. All right. 16 And is there anything -- I guess I'll ask you, have 17 any of you ever been on a petit jury before? Raise your hand. 18 Juror Number 5, what was the case? Criminal or civil? 19 JUROR NO. 5: Criminal. THE COURT: Criminal? In this courtroom --20 21 or courthouse? 22 JUROR NO. 5: In this courthouse, yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. How long ago?

1	JUROR NO. 5: I want to say about two years
2	ago.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And the charge?
4	JUROR NO. 5: Breaking and entering and
5	with a firearm.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And you sat on the jury?
7	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And came to a verdict?
9	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And what was the verdict?
11	Guilty or not guilty?
12	JUROR NO. 5: Not guilty.
13	THE COURT: Very well. And would that
14	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
15	JUROR NO. 5: No.
16	THE COURT: All right. Now, in general,
17	can any of you think of anything in your past that would cause
18	you to identify more with the state or more with the defendant
19	with these type of charges? Yes, sir? Juror Number 8. That
20	would be Mr. Mikesell?
21	JUROR NO. 8: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	JUROR NO. 8: I've been on the criminal

1	side of it. I served a little bit of time, so I know what
2	it's like to be behind bars.
3	THE COURT: Right.
4	JUROR NO. 8: And I don't know if
5	THE COURT: Would you come up to the bench,
6	please?
7	JUROR NO. 8: Sure.
8	(Whereupon, the following sidebar
9	discussion was had.)
10	THE COURT: Mr. Mikesell.
11	JUROR NO. 8: I've been charged with quite
12	a few crimes in my life. I've been found not guilty on most
13	of 'em, but I have served time. And I can see myself siding
14	one way or the other very quickly.
15	THE COURT: Okay. You can't set that
16	aside? You think that it might affect your ability to
17	deliberate in this case?
18	JUROR NO. 8: Maybe.
19	THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Becker?
20	MR. BECKER: Just briefly. You say you've
21	been I don't want to embarrass you, but you have served
22	some time for a felony?
23	JUROR NO. 8: I was charged on quite a few

1	felonies, found innocent on those, and got charged on the
2	lesser.
3	MR. BECKER: Okay. So you've only served
4	time on misdemeanors?
5	JUROR NO. 8: Yeah.
6	MR. BECKER: But you feel because of your
7	past and your personal experience it would be easier to find
8	against the state?
9	JUROR NO. 8: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hartwig?
11	MR. HARTWIG: No.
12	THE COURT: Thank you very much. You're
13	excused.
14	(End of sidebar discussion.)
15	BAILIFF, JODI CAMUSO: David Zatvarnicky.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Zatvarnicky, you've heard
17	all the questions we've asked in general here. Obviously you
18	know a lot of people that are in law enforcement?
19	JUROR NO. 8: Yes, sir, I do.
20	THE COURT: Again, the fact that you're in
21	law enforcement, would that affect your ability to be fair and
22	impartial in this case?
23	JUROR NO. 8: No, sir.
	1

1 THE COURT: You can listen to the evidence 2 and make a call based on the evidence that you see before you? 3 JUROR NO. 8: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: Any other questions that I've 5 asked of this jury that you think you need to respond to? 6 JUROR NO. 8: No. sir. THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Becker, you may inquire. 8 MR. BECKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 May it please the Court, Mr. Hartwig, Mr. Olson, and the defendant and, most of all, Ladies and Gentlemen of this 11 12 prospective jury. 13 First of all, I want to take my time, take a moment 14 here to thank all of you for your participation here today, 15 your service to our community. As the Court has indicated, 16 the 12 jurors that are already seated are most likely to be 17 the bulk of our jurors in this case. However, those of you 18 that are in the back of the courtroom that do get called, 19 please keep in mind any answers that you feel would be 20 relevant. So I'll try to direct my attention mostly to the 12 21 jurors in the box. 22 With that said, I do want to thank each and every one

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

of you for your service today. This is really the most

23

important part of the case in terms of your service in this case. Because it's really the only time in this proceeding that not only do we get to talk to you as lawyers, but it's also the only time that you folks get to talk to us as jurors. If you are seated and selected as jurors in this case, we will not be able to have that interaction. For instance, if we're here on Tuesday or Wednesday and I see you in the hallway I can't stop and chitchat with you about the case and say, "Hey, how do you think things are going?" Or, "Should I ask this?" Or, "Should I ask that?" Obviously that's inappropriate. the only time we'll be able to speak to you from this point forward will be here in the four walls of the courtroom. It's not that we're rude. It's not that we don't want to speak to you or talk to you. It's just that the rules prohibit that. And obviously that would look as if we're trying to influence you outside of the four walls of this courtroom. And that's certainly something that no one wants to have happen.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

As the Court has indicated to you, if you have -- and we've already had a few people come up for a sidebar -- if there is something that you feel is sensitive in nature, by all means, we'll take you up to the bench there and we'll have a discussion out of the earshot of everyone else in the courtroom.

1 The Court has indicated -- and I don't mean to be redundant, but this case will probably last all week into 2 3 Friday. I think at the latest it would go until Monday, but hopefully it will only go until Friday. Is there anyone that 4 has any issue with that timeframe; work schedule,

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

baby-sitters, parents, trips, anything like that? Okay. 6

In Ohio, criminal cases are prosecuted in the name of the state of Ohio. And criminal cases are brought forth by the local county prosecutor's office that represents the state of Ohio. So there's 88 counties. We obviously live in Trumbull County. And criminal cases that occur within this jurisdiction that are violations of state law are styled State of Ohio versus whoever the named defendant is. And. in this case, it's Austin Taylor Burke.

Now, with that understanding -- and I know I'm going to get a couple of answers because I know we have two people on this prospective jury that actually work for the county. Is there anyone here familiar with Trumbull County Prosecutor Dennis Watkins or any of the assistants? Or anyone that works in the office. And I know obviously we have two. Okay, three. We have three because you have a relationship working with our office, probably on civil matters; correct?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.

1	MR. BECKER: Okay. Would there be anything
2	that would prohibit any is there anybody else other than
3	these three? No one else know the prosecutors?
4	JUROR NO. 9: I played golf with him.
5	MR. BECKER: Okay. You golfed with Dennis?
6	You're related to Frank somehow?
7	JUROR NO. 9: Yeah. He is my brother.
8	MR. BECKER: Right. And I think I may have
9	actually met you a couple of times. But you probably have met
10	Dennis and some of the other people. That wouldn't be a
11	problem for you to sit as a fair and impartial
12	JUROR NO. 9: No.
13	MR. BECKER: Okay. I'll just go right down
14	the list. Wouldn't be a problem?
15	JUROR NO. 1: (Shakes head.)
16	MR. BECKER: Ann Marie, I know you're
17	retired now, but you used to work with our office sometimes as
18	the auditor's office; correct? Not gonna be a problem for
19	you?
20	JUROR NO. 3: No.
21	MR. BECKER: Okay. And you've probably
22	worked with, what, Lynn, or maybe Bill Danso?
23	JUROR NO. 4: Yes, Bill.

1	MR. BECKER: Okay. Bill. And he's on the
2	civil side of our office.
3	JUROR NO. 4: Right.
4	MR. BECKER: But that wouldn't create a
5	problem for you to sit as a fair and impartial juror?
6	JUROR NO. 4: No, sir, not at all.
7	MR. BECKER: All right. The defendant in
8	this case is obviously represented by Mr. Olson and
9	Mr. Hartwig. Is anyone familiar with either of those two
10	gentlemen?
11	JUROR NO. 8: I'm familiar with Mr. Hartwig
12	through other proceedings that I've been involved with with my
13	occupation. But nonetheless, I've had the opportunity to meet
14	him.
15	MR. BECKER: Right. You just know him
16	because he's done some defense work in the past?
17	JUROR NO. 8: That's correct.
18	MR. BECKER: You wouldn't hold that against
19	him or hold that against, more importantly, his client?
20	JUROR NO. 8: Not at all.
21	MR. BECKER: Is anyone here familiar with
22	the defendant? He is 19 years old. He is from Bristolville,
23	Ohio. Anyone familiar with either the defendant or his

1	family? I believe his mother's name is Jamie Sell. Is anyone
2	familiar with any of those people? You look like you
3	JUROR NO. 2: I'm not positive.
4	MR. BECKER: You think maybe he was a
5	student?
6	JUROR NO. 2: A student.
7	MR. BECKER: At TCTC at one point?
8	JUROR NO. 2: I think. I am not positive.
9	He looks very familiar.
10	MR. BECKER: All right. Would that play
11	any role in your decision-making here?
12	JUROR NO. 2: No.
13	MR. BECKER: Okay. You wouldn't go out of
14	your way to say, well, boy, he might have done all of this,
15	I'm really convinced the state proved their case, but he was
16	such a good student that I can't
17	JUROR NO. 2: No.
18	MR. BECKER: You wouldn't do that; right?
19	And conversely, the other way is you wouldn't say, boy, he was
20	an awful student I don't think the state proved his case,
21	but he was awful and I'm going to find him guilty.
22	JUROR NO. 2: No.
23	MR. BECKER: Okay. I'm going to read a

list of witnesses here that will be testifying and -- or potential witnesses. They may or may not testify. And if we -- I'll read the list. And if you hear any names, just keep that in your memory banks there and then we'll ask you if you recall any of them.

1

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The state anticipates calling Detective Wayne Mackey, Detective John Greaver, both of the Warren Police Department, a Rickey Roupe, Haley Roupe, Deidre Keener, Jessica Simms, Josh White, Meredith Loges, Melanie Engle, Nathan Moats, Officer Nick Mancini of Cortland, Dr. Humphrey Germaniuk the Trumbull County Coroner, William T. Moskal of the Bureau of Criminal Investigation or BCI, Tim Cook, Jamie Sell, Stephanie Taylor, Dave Morris of the Cortland Police Department, Britni Williams, Jamie Hillard, Shawn Marx, Donavon Bunner, John Weston of the Cortland Police Department, a Leah Smith, Kimberly Pistilli, that's P-i-s-t-i-l-i, Justin Borawiec, that's B-o-r-a-w-i-e-c, Stacie Cassidy, Mike Roberts from BCI, Joanne Gibb from BCI, Brittani Merten, Chris Mannella of Niles Police, Lynda Eveleth of BCI, William Prince, Brandon Rice, Ken Sample, Dan Lester who works in the Trumbull County Jail, and Stephanie Kerstetter, K-e-r-s-t-e-t-t-e-r.

Is anyone familiar with any of those individuals?

Okay. I'll take it by your silence that none of you are.

Well, let's talk about criminal cases. As I indicated to you, criminal cases are brought to court by the local county prosecutor's office in the name of the state of Ohio. And almost exclusively -- there are some exceptions -- those cases are investigated by some type of law enforcement agency. And this case is no different. In fact, this case was investigated primarily by the Cortland Police Department and the Warren City Police Department. There are actually two different dates that are involved here. There are basically two separate events that led to crimes on June 12th, and the allegation is that there were some events that occurred on June 20th that led to some crimes being allegedly committed on June 20th of 2017.

The crimes involving June 12th would involve the Warren Police Department, and the crimes that are alleged to have occurred on June 20th involved the Cortland Police Department.

Now, does anyone have any feelings or any thoughts about either of those police departments? And it could be as simple as someone got a ticket and "I don't like Warren Police" or "Cortland police didn't do this for me," or "They stopped me one day when I was in a hurry and, you know, they gave me a seatbelt ticket." Anybody like that? Anybody have

any experience with any of those departments?

All right. Now, the meat of this case, like most cases in a criminal setting, is there are two very, very important concepts. And the way it works is -- I think we have had some people that have served on prior jury duty. And it's a concept we hear all the time. You've probably read it in newspapers. Some of you have studied it actually. But the concept of reasonable doubt -- and the Court will instruct you later on on what reasonable doubt is.

And essentially, though -- I'm going to try and give you a silly -- a couple silly examples. And they're not really silly because I think they're very basic examples of what I'm trying to tell you and what we're trying to get across.

The defendant in this case does not have to present one witness. The defendant in any case never has to present one witness. And that is because he is presumed innocent unless and until the state can prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now we're going to talk about beyond a reasonable doubt in a minute. But if the Court were to say to myself and say, "Well, Mr. Becker, go ahead and have a seat. I'm just going to tell the jury what the instructions are and give you

the instructions relating to the elements of the crimes and what your duty is and then say, okay, the jury room is back here through this door, go back and vote." Mr. Fuda, what would your verdict have to be if you had to vote right now.

JUROR NO. 9: I don't know.

MR. BECKER: Anybody have an idea?

JUROR NO. 1: Innocent.

MR. BECKER: Right. You'd have to note not guilty or innocent. Correct. Because you've not heard one bit of evidence or testimony in this case; correct? And it remains that way unless and until the state can prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that this defendant is guilty of the crimes charged. Or we'll discuss later you can find him guilty of some, all, or none of the charges. But unless we meet our burden of proof you cannot find him guilty.

Is there anyone who is unfamiliar with that concept?

I assume we're all familiar, whether through movies or novels or just reading the newspaper what I'm talking about.

Now, along with that -- and really what that is is it's the presumption of innocence. He is presumed innocent unless and until the state can prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. So he has what's called, sometimes they refer to it as a cloak or a blanket of innocence that covers

1	him and protects him throughout these proceedings. And,
2	again, unless and until the state presents to you evidence
3	that proves his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
4	Now, I use this as a little bit of a silly example
5	sometimes. But is it Mr am I pronouncing it right,
6	Mr. Stimpert?
7	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
8	MR. BECKER: Okay. Mr. Stimpert, I see on
9	your left hand you have a gold band; is that correct?
10	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
11	MR. BECKER: And I don't know if the jurors
12	in the back can see, but if you hold your hand up they can see
13	that you have a wedding ring on; correct?
14	JUROR NO. 5: Yeah.
15	MR. BECKER: All right. Let me ask some of
16	the other jurors. Mr. Williamson, I see you back there in the
17	back. You see Mr. Stimpert, he has a gold band on his finger.
18	Is that proof to you beyond a reasonable doubt that he is
19	married?
20	JUROR NO. 12: Yeah.
21	MR. BECKER: That's proof beyond a
22	reasonable doubt? You don't need any more?
23	JUROR NO. 12: I see his ring.

1	MR. BECKER: Okay. Is there anybody who
2	thinks differently? Okay. Go ahead.
3	JUROR NO. 1: He might just like the ring.
4	MR. BECKER: Excuse me?
5	JUROR NO. 1: He might just like the ring,
6	right.
7	MR. BECKER: So you might need some more
8	evidence; correct?
9	JUROR NO. 1: (Nods head.)
10	MR. BECKER: Okay. And what kind of
11	evidence would you want me to present to you to show that he's
12	married?
13	JUROR NO. 1: A marriage certificate, proof
14	that he hasn't been divorced.
15	MR. BECKER: Okay. Would you like some
16	people anybody else? Any other thoughts? What evidence
17	you'd like.
18	JUROR NO. 8: Testimony.
19	MR. BECKER: Testimony. Right. From who?
20	JUROR NO. 8: From the wife.
21	MR. BECKER: That's always a good one.
22	Sometimes that's not good testimony. But, yeah. Maybe the
23	preacher who married them.

1	JUROR NO. 8: Right.
2	MR. BECKER: Or whoever officiated.
3	Whether it be a civil union or done by a mayor or something.
4	Maybe some of the witnesses? Maybe some photographs; right?
5	Maybe a video? All right.
6	Mr. Stimpert, how long have you been married?
7	JUROR NO. 5: 20 26 years.
8	MR. BECKER: She won't get a copy of this.
9	Don't worry. Were you married in a large ceremony or a
10	JUROR NO. 5: A large ceremony.
11	MR. BECKER: So you probably had, what, 200
12	people, 300 people?
13	JUROR NO. 5: Probably about 200.
14	MR. BECKER: 200 people? You would agree
15	with me that probably a number of those people are not around
16	anymore?
17	JUROR NO. 5: Correct.
18	MR. BECKER: Unfortunately, some of them
19	have passed or maybe they've just moved on in your life. So
20	it would be impossible for me to get all 200 witnesses here to
21	that event?
22	JUROR NO. 5: Correct.
23	MR. BECKER: Do you know where your

1	marriage license is?
2	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
3	MR. BECKER: Okay. Do you have the video
4	of the wedding?
5	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
6	MR. BECKER: Okay. DVD or VHS?
7	JUROR NO. 5: Beta.
8	MR. BECKER: Right.
9	JUROR NO. 5: VHS.
10	MR. BECKER: VHS. Okay. Has it been
11	played in awhile?
12	JUROR NO. 5: Not in a long time.
13	MR. BECKER: Okay. It hasn't been
14	converted to a DVD or digital; right?
15	JUROR NO. 5: No.
16	MR. BECKER: Have some photographs?
17	Probably have a wedding album; right?
18	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
19	MR. BECKER: All of you agree that at some
20	point, even Mr. Williamson, you can see the point I was trying
21	to make is the fact that he has a ring on his finger doesn't
22	necessarily mean he's guilty of the crime of marriage; right?
23	JUROR NO. 12: (Nods head.)

MR. BECKER: Okay. So you, too, would like to see some evidence of him being married. You would agree you'd like to maybe hear from the spouse or hear from some of the people or see some physical evidence that he was married;

correct?

JUROR NO. 12: Right.

MR. BECKER: Okay. So let me ask you this. What if we couldn't get all 200 witnesses that were at that wedding? Would that be enough to cause you to think that he's not guilty? No? Okay. Because you understand people move. Sometimes people die. Sometimes people don't remember things. I mean, there might be people that you know that were at your wedding and you might have pictures of them and they would say, "Boy, I don't remember being at that wedding 22 years ago"; right? It's a common event in human life; right?

So, with that said, let's talk about another issue that is going to be a theme in this case or something that would be important to you. The Court is going to tell you that to determine reasonable doubt, it's not proof beyond all possible doubt because, just like in Mr. Stimpert's marriage example, you would agree that sometimes people move or maybe they have water damage in their house. Their wedding book may have been damaged or lost or stolen or something?

1	JUROR NO. 5: Yes, sir.
2	MR. BECKER: Sometimes it's hard to get all
3	of the evidence together, for whatever reasons; correct?
4	JUROR NO. 5: Correct.
5	MR. BECKER: Do all of you agree with that?
6	And the Court is going to instruct you at some point
7	that reasonable doubt is a doubt based on reason and common
8	sense and would you rely on it in the most important of your
9	affairs. And there are some things that are important, and
10	there are some things that are not important.
11	Mr. West, do you own your own house?
12	JUROR NO. 7: No.
13	MR. BECKER: You rent?
14	JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
15	MR. BECKER: Okay. Let me get back to you.
16	Miss Adams, do you own your own home?
17	JUROR NO. 2: Yes.
18	MR. BECKER: Okay. How long have you lived
19	there?
20	JUROR NO. 2: 40 years.
21	MR. BECKER: All right. When you purchased
22	that house, what were some of the things that you thought were
23	important when you purchased that house?

1	JUROR NO. 2: The neighborhood, the school
2	system.
3	MR. BECKER: Okay. And everyone agrees
4	those are some important things you might want to put on your
5	checklist? Was there a certain style of house you looked for?
6	JUROR NO. 2: I guess.
7	MR. BECKER: Okay. Ranch or two-level,
8	split level?
9	JUROR NO. 2: Two-story.
10	MR. BECKER: Okay. You wanted a two-story?
11	Did you worry about was it a new house? Did you build it
12	or did you buy it used?
13	JUROR NO. 2: Nope. It was older.
14	MR. BECKER: Okay. Did you worry about or
15	have the roof examined and the hot water heater and the
16	furnace and those type of things?
17	JUROR NO. 2: We were 19.
18	MR. BECKER: But those were things like if
19	you had gone in there and seen big holes in the roof, you'd
20	say, "Oh, my goodness. This probably is not for us"; right?
21	JUROR NO. 2: Well, yeah.
22	MR. BECKER: So those were reasonable
23	things to put on your checklist

1 JUROR NO. 2: Sure. 2 MR. BECKER: -- in making that purchase 3 which is probably the most important or largest purchase you've ever made. Most people's home is their biggest 4 5 purchase. JUROR NO. 2: Sure. 6 7 MR. BECKER: And did you worry about how many hurricanes had gone by your house at the time? 8 JUROR NO. 2: No. We live in Ohio. 9 10 MR. BECKER: It's not really a concern here 11 It might be a concern in a different case or in a 12 different situation if you were moving to Florida or North 13 Carolina, but obviously earthquakes and hurricanes are not the 14 kind of things we worry about in Ohio. 15 So you can see how each situation in each case has 16 different things that are important. Everyone agree with that 17 basic concept and what I'm saying? Okay. 18 Now, each and every one of you, I believe, was here 19 in this very courtroom last week for juror orientation; is 20 that correct? Okay. And, Mr. Wills, you were here last week 21 as well in this courtroom and Judge McKay got up on the bench

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

and kind of gave you the general rules about serving as a

22

23

juror; correct?

1	JUROR NO. 11: Yeah.
2	MR. BECKER: Now, without I want you to
3	think about this, but don't say it out loud. How old did you
4	think Judge McKay was? And just hold your thought right
5	there, how old you thought he was. Is it Mrs. Pattinson?
6	JUROR NO. 6: Yes. Pattinson.
7	MR. BECKER: Mrs. Pattinson, you were here
8	as well?
9	JUROR NO. 6: Correct.
10	MR. BECKER: What number I just want you
11	to think of how old you thought Judge McKay was, all right,
12	when he was up here on the bench and maybe how tall he was
13	too. And both of you can tell me how tall he was.
14	All right. Mr. Wills, how old do you think Judge
15	McKay was?
16	JUROR NO. 11: 62.
17	MR. BECKER: All right. Mrs. Pattinson?
18	JUROR NO. 6: 56.
19	MR. BECKER: Wow. He's looking young.
20	He's a lot older. You guys are giving him the benefit of the
21	doubt.
22	How tall do you think he was?
23	JUROR NO. 6: Oh, 5'9".

MR. BECKER: 1 Okay. 2 JUROR NO. 11: 5'10". 3 MR. BECKER: Do you remember what color tie he had on? 4 JUROR NO. 6: (Shakes head.) JUROR NO. 11: I do not. 6 7 MR. BECKER: Now, the reason I used that 8 little example is because, do you agree that you were both in the same room together; correct? 10 JUROR NO. 6: Correct. 11 MR. BECKER: You two don't know each other, 12 yet you gave a pretty big swing of ages here, fifty something 13 and sixty something. You're pretty close on the height. 14

MR. BECKER: You two don't know each other, yet you gave a pretty big swing of ages here, fifty something and sixty something. You're pretty close on the height. You couldn't remember his tie; right? But you know you were here and you got oriented as a juror; correct? Okay. So my point is -- and does everyone on this jury understand that sometimes events happen and you may not pay attention to every detail, but you know it happened; correct? And you remember the important things. You remember you were here. You remember there was a judge here in a robe and got on the bench; right? And he told you some of the rules. I'm sure you probably couldn't remember verbatim. If I asked you how long it lasted, Miss Pattinson, how long would you say it lasted?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	JUROR NO. 6: I don't know. Three hours.
2	I don't know.
3	MR. BECKER: Three hours? Okay. Anybody
4	have a different time that was here?
5	JUROR NO. 2: I think we were out of here
6	by 10:30.
7	MR. BECKER: 10:30? Okay. So you got
8	here, what, about 8:30? An hour and a half? So now we have a
9	pretty big discrepancy there. Miss Adams and Miss Pattinson,
10	one of you said three hours, one of you said an hour and a
11	half. That's an hour and a half time difference. Does that
12	mean you're lying about being here for jury orientation?
13	JUROR NO. 2: I hope not.
14	MR. BECKER: Does that mean she's lying
15	about being here?
16	JUROR NO. 2: No.
17	MR. BECKER: In fact, the fact that she
18	gave a different answer, does that influence you and think
19	that she's lying if she wasn't here?
20	JUROR NO. 6: (Shakes head.)
21	MR. BECKER: Okay. Now, if she said
22	something totally crazy like we did it outside in the gazebo
23	and the Judge passed out candy bars afterward, that would be a

little something that you would say, wait a minute, that didn't happen outside. It was February. It was probably raining that day, if I know Ohio.

So you have to look at the totality of what was said and the totality of what was taken; correct?

Do each and every one of you feel that you can do that with witnesses as they come in here and testify? And would it surprise you if some witnesses in some cases come in and say, "Well, this happened at 2 in the morning" and someone else says, "Well, no, it was really 3 in the morning"? Would that surprise you?

Would it surprise you if some jurors said -- or I'm sorry -- some witnesses came into the courtroom and said, "I think he was 5'10"" and another one comes in and says, "You know, I think he was 5'7". Is that the kind of thing that would surprise you? Okay.

So you realize there are sometimes -- now, it might be very important if they both said they measured him with a tape measure, correct, and they got two different measurements? But you can understand -- and you as jurors are going to have to figure out what's important and what's not important to believe and disbelieve witnesses. You may believe all of a witness's testimony. You can believe some of

it, all of it, or none of it. And that's really your job here in the courtroom.

Now, would you agree -- and let me ask you, is there anybody here who is feeling a little uncomfortable with all these questions at 'em? Anybody who's like I don't want to be here or scared or didn't know what to expect; right? What if you were on the witness stand and being cross-examined under oath? Would that change anybody's opinion? Someone might not want to be here, particularly in a case like this. If they had information, maybe they'd be scared? Anybody feel that sometimes a witness can be scared? Go ahead.

JUROR NO. 1: Sure. Facing the people in court might be intimidating.

MR. BECKER: Sure. This isn't a type of case like this, but if we had a drug dealer -- and he's not charged with anything to do with drugs -- but if we had a drug dealer and he had brought drugs in from Detroit and sold them to let's say a confidential informant, and now the confidential informant is charged and says, "Well, yeah, I got the drugs from this guy," you can imagine that would be scary.

JUROR NO. 1: Terrifying. Terrifying.

MR. BECKER: Right. Okay. Let's ask you this. We have -- every case has witnesses in it. And every

case gets witnesses based upon an investigation or when they come forward. Sometimes witnesses don't come forward. We have lots of unsolved crimes. Homicides. Sometimes we've all heard, unfortunately, of cases where there's been rape or incest or molestation and the victim doesn't come forward or doesn't come forward for years. Are you all familiar with that? Okay.

Mr. Stimpert, I'll go back to you. You certainly are probably aware of cases like that where a victim maybe was hesitant to come forward because a stepfather may have raped the victim; correct?

JUROR NO. 5: Correct.

MR. BECKER: You can imagine the fear that that victim may have in coming into a courtroom and testifying; correct?

JUROR NO. 5: Correct.

MR. BECKER: And there's other cases where witnesses may be hesitant or may have been afraid to come forward and may not have said anything. Let me ask you this. Would it surprise you? What would you have to look at to see if that person was telling the truth? What if the police had been called, say, to an investigation of a 7-year-old that alleged that they were molested and told their mother and they

said, "No, it didn't happen," but then two, three years later the mother and father get divorced and the daughter brings it up again and says, "Well, it really did happen, but I was afraid because I lived with my dad"? Is that something you would evaluate and look at?

JUROR NO. 5: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Is that something that every juror here would look at and evaluate? And those are the kind of things that you would look at and try to evaluate in terms of whether or not the witness was telling the truth; is that correct? So all times that a witness doesn't say everything or maybe even lie about something happening doesn't mean that it didn't happen; correct? Each and every one of you agree with that? Okay.

Sometimes there is evidence that a person leaves that sort of links them to a crime. And there's things that we see that they forgot or that they know or acknowledge. And sometimes it's harder to find those, and sometimes we never find those. But all of you are open to the possibility that the police did the best job they could? Okay. And law enforcement? I mean, they can only do as best they could.

Now, I'm going to follow that up with a question that I like to ask. And is it Mrs. Bordner?

1	JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.
2	MR. BECKER: Okay. Mrs. Bordner, let me
3	ask you. I know you have a background as an attorney, so I'm
4	going to ask you this. How are the witnesses chosen? Whether
5	it is a civil or criminal case, how are the witnesses chosen?
6	JUROR NO. 4: Based on whether or not they
7	have any information relative to a particular case.
8	MR. BECKER: Right. And it's the
9	witnesses I'm sure that you've had cases in your practice
10	where you've wanted maybe better witnesses or different
11	witnesses, but they're a product of whatever the facts were;
12	right?
13	JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.
14	MR. BECKER: Okay. So you've handled cases
15	that maybe some auto accidents?
16	JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.
17	MR. BECKER: And you may have had some
18	witnesses that weren't maybe the best witnesses, the
19	eyewitnesses?
20	JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.
21	MR. BECKER: You didn't actually pick them
22	yourselves; right? I mean, they were the product of what
23	happened and where the crime happened or where the accident

1 happened at; correct? 2 JUROR NO. 4: That's correct. 3 MR. BECKER: And that applies to the criminal side as well. For instance -- this isn't the case, 4 5 but if this was an allegation of Austin Burke running a dogfighting operation, we'd expect to find certain witnesses 6 7 there: correct? JUROR NO. 4: Correct. 8 9 MR. BECKER: We'd probably have some people 10 that owned the dogs; correct? 11 JUROR NO. 4: Correct. 12 MR. BECKER: We might have some people 13 there that were gambling since that's what they like to do 14 there. 15 I would hope we wouldn't find Father Kish from 16 St. Roberts up in Cortland or someone like that. I hope we 17 wouldn't find any local police officers there; correct? 18 JUROR NO. 4: We would hope not. 19 MR. BECKER: Does everyone agree, though, 20 that the witnesses aren't really picked by either side? 21 They're sort of a by-product of what happened. So if 22 Mr. Burke was running a dogfighting operation, we'd expect the 23 witnesses to be the people that he invited there or the people

1 that he trusted to bring their dogs to fight and ones that he 2 wanted to gamble; right? It wouldn't necessarily be people I 3 wanted; right? So in some ways, would you agree that in criminal cases the witnesses are sort of chosen by who the 4 5 victims are, who the defendant associates with, who the defendant shows things and does things and tells things to; 6 7 correct? JUROR NO. 4: Correct. 8 9 MR. BECKER: Otherwise, you know, I'd call 10 in the pastor up here at the Methodist church and have him 11 testify to every case and say, "Boy, isn't he a great witness? 12 He's a pastor. He's a family man. He's been at the church 13 for 40 years." But that's not always the case; correct? 14 JUROR NO. 4: Correct. 15 MR. BECKER: Would you expect anything 16 different? I mean, that's basically how we get the witnesses; 17 correct? Does everyone agree with that? 18 All right. Is it Mr. DiTommaso? 19 JUROR NO. 10: Di Tommaso. 20 MR. BECKER: DiTommaso. I'm sorry. I 21 mispronounced your name there. Mr. DiTommaso, let me ask you.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

One of the things in a case is direct and circumstantial

evidence. And I know we hear that a lot and the Court is

22

23

going to explain that. What is -- do you know the difference between direct and circumstantial evidence? Or do you think you do?

JUROR NO. 10: I think I do.

MR. BECKER: Okay. What do you think it

is?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JUROR NO. 10: Circumstantial is like, like theoretical almost.

MR. BECKER: Well, circumstantial evidence -- and I'll tell you, the Court is going to tell you, circumstantial evidence is a fact that you can infer other facts from. For instance, I've been standing here and I've put my hands on the podium. And it's probably not a conducive surface to leave fingerprints. And there won't be any fingerprint evidence in this case. But I may have left some DNA on here. And it's possible there could have been. But evidence that my hands were on this podium -- let's just assume they got DNA off this podium. And there was a crime committed in this courtroom and they were trying to charge Chris Becker with it. And they came here and they swabbed these handles and they found some of my DNA from my skin cells. Now, it's also possible that I couldn't leave anything here. They might not find anything. But let's assume they

1 found some skin cells here, some skin cells that had my DNA in 2 it. Would that mean that I committed the crime? 3 JUROR NO. 10: Huh-uh. MR. BECKER: Might put me there; right? 4 5 JUROR NO. 10: (Nods head.) 6 MR. BECKER: What if they found DNA from, 7 say, another lawyer? Let's say they didn't find any of my DNA. You would agree there's probably been a lot of people 8 that have probably touched this podium over the years; right? 10 JUROR NO. 10: Uh-huh. 11 MR. BECKER: Okay. That's circumstantial 12 evidence. It's on there, but it doesn't mean I did it. 13 Direct evidence, though, is either someone that has 14 direct knowledge, either they saw something or heard 15 something; correct? So if someone was, let's say, looking in 16 through the crack of the door there and saw me steal the court 17 reporter's computer here and stuff it in my box and leave, 18 that's direct evidence; right? 19 Now my question is, the charge is I'm charged with 20 stealing the laptop. They may question how good the person's 21 eyesight was, maybe any motive they'd have to testify against 22 But generally, direct evidence is evidence that someone

either saw or heard something; correct? And the evidence that

23

we think sometimes the greatest is DNA and fingerprints.

That's not direct evidence. That's circumstantial evidence.

Is there anyone who disagrees with me on that or has a different opinion?

Okay. So -- and the Court is going to tell you direct and circumstantial evidence have the same weight. And you can make inferences from that fact that my DNA was either on or not on this podium. And you use that to fit into the

Now, speaking about evidence -- and particularly witnesses -- I think it's Mr. Wills? Mr. Wills, you have three children that are adults now; is that correct?

rest of the evidence.

JUROR NO. 11: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Okay. And I imagine you had the same issues that I had growing up sometimes. Close in age, sometimes a fight would break out or an argument or something would happen. Maybe your kids weren't as bad as mine?

JUROR NO. 11: They were.

MR. BECKER: Okay. And oftentimes did you find yourself having to settle a dispute or figure out who did what or how something got broke or how somebody got hit with a whiffle ball bat or something?

JUROR NO. 11: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Okay. And when those kind of incidences happened at your home, I realize it's probably like my house, there was no presumption of innocence in my house. They were all guilty until they're innocent. But it's not like that. What are some of the things that you would look for? For instance, if there was a dispute about who broke something or who hit somebody, how something got started, did you delve into it and try to find out, hey, something is wrong here. Somebody is not telling me the truth here?

JUROR NO. 11: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: And did sometimes you find, like I did, that, yeah, this person wasn't really telling the truth? What they were telling me wasn't jibing. One of my kids was not telling me exactly how it happened. And then you settled the dispute that way; correct?

JUROR NO. 11: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Anybody have those kind of experiences in real life either with their own siblings, their own children? Sometimes it happens at work. Well, that's kind of what you're going to have to do here. Because obviously at one point, one side, that's this side, is saying that this defendant, and throughout the case, committed these

crimes beyond a reasonable doubt. And even though he doesn't have to present any witnesses, or even take the witness stand himself, they are going to try and attack the witnesses. And some of them will be -- they'll tell you they didn't come forward right away. Or they added on or embellished the story or had additional information later on. Sort of like the incident where we talked about with the 7-year-old who was molested and then when dad moved out and got divorced and then all of a sudden they came forward and said, "Well, yeah, he really did." And you find out there is some physical evidence that corroborates that story. Will all of you be open to that kind of testimony? And you'll evaluate it?

And there are going to be other witnesses that were honest right from the very beginning and that said, "This is what I know," and, "This is what I said," and, "This is what I saw." Does that surprise you that different people -- that different people would be involved and different witnesses would have different recollections of things? Just like the example of jury orientation. No? Okay.

All right. I'm almost done here. I'm going to ask you just a few more questions here. The Court is going to instruct you on what the law is for these various crimes. The Court doesn't make the law. That job is up to our state

1 representatives and our state senators down in Columbus. 2 create the laws for the state of Ohio. And one of the things 3 we always worry about is sometimes jurors say, "Well, that shouldn't be the law. I don't think that's how it should be." 4 5 So, Mr. West, having heard that, are you the kind of person that's going to follow the law? 6 7 JUROR NO. 7: Yeah. MR. BECKER: Okay. You'll follow the law 8 as the Court instructs you and not create your own idea of 10 what you think it should be? 11 JUROR NO. 7: Correct. 12 MR. BECKER: Okay. Each and every one of 13 you promise to do that? 14 All right. Is there anyone who has ever heard of 15 Ginseng? Anyone know what Ginseng is? It's a root that grows 16 in the ground. You're familiar with it? 17 JUROR NO. 1: The tea? 18 MR. BECKER: Right. It grows out in the 19 wild. And I'm going to tell you, there's an actual law in the 20 state of Ohio, and it says no person shall dig, harvest, cut, 21 root up, gather or otherwise collect wild Ginseng from its 22 natural habitat, except from September 1st to December 31st.

And I don't know if that's because the Ginseng can, you know,

23

is dormant at that time and it's not, you know, flowering or mushrooming or doing whatever it needs to do. But that's the only time you can take it in the state of Ohio. So you can go out and you can find it in your backyard or one of the parks or something and dig it up. But you can only do it from September 1st to the end of the year, December 31st. So what if the testimony was August 31st at about 10 p.m. up at Mosquito Lake Park the forest ranger who has his watch on goes out and he checks to make sure that no one is cutting Ginseng up or digging it up early. And there's no question about the There's no question about the defendant is digging it up. Are you going to be the type of juror -- or any of you -to say, "Oh, come on, Becker. What's the big deal? 10:00 on August 31st. Cut the guy a break. Let's get out of here"? Or are you going to say, "Well no, the law is the law"?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JUROR NO. 1: The law is the law.

MR. BECKER: Does everyone agree with that?

Okay. Now, the Court has already talked a little bit about sympathy. And I'm not going to touch upon that. But I do want to touch upon sometimes crazy facts that happen and things that sometimes people want to know why. Why did this happen? Why did this have to happen this way? Or why did

this go down this way or happen?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And one of the things that the Court is going to tell you is the state doesn't have to prove motive. It might be one of those things that comes into play, but the state doesn't have to prove motive. Does that surprise you? Do you think -- you might say, well, they have to prove why they did it. We just have to prove they did it; correct? Okay.

So the state has to still meet its burden of proof of beyond a reasonable doubt, which is the highest standard of proof, but it is not all possible doubt. Just like Mr. Stimpert's example when we were trying to prove that he was married. Some of you, in your minds, may reach the conclusion that he was married if you saw his ring, a woman came in and claimed his spouse under oath and said, "Yeah, we are married," and you had the wedding certificate. Some of you might want a little more. Some of you may want to see some photographs in a wedding and his wife in a bridal gown. Some of you may want to see a VHS or that beta that he has at home; right? But each and every one of you has to determine this case and each and every charge that you're satisfied yourself that the state has proved the case beyond a reasonable doubt. And then you have to talk with your fellow jurors to see if they're convinced. Because in a criminal

case, and in this case, it takes each and every one of you to find the defendant guilty. Each and every one of you has to sign off on that verdict form. So you have to believe it yourself and you have to have your other 11 jurors believe it their self too.

So I'm going to go right down the line and ask. And it doesn't matter if the state -- if you don't believe we proved the case or you believe we proved the case. But if you're the type of juror and each one of you individually believes, either way, that the state has not proved beyond a reasonable doubt its case, or has proved beyond a reasonable doubt its case, are you going to stick to your guns and listen, but stay firm in your convictions? Whatever your belief is. Whether it's not guilty or guilty. But being open to the other possibility that you might be wrong; correct?

JUROR NO. 1: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

JUROR NO. 3: (Nods head.)

JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

JUROR NO. 5: (Nods head.)

JUROR NO. 6: (Nods head.)

JUROR NO. 12: (Nods head.)

1	JUROR NO. 11: (Nods head.)
2	JUROR NO. 10: (Nods head.)
3	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
4	JUROR NO. 8: (Nods head.)
5	JUROR NO. 7: (Nods head.)
6	MR. BECKER: And you all would stick to
7	your convictions; correct? And that's regardless of whether
8	you believe he's guilty or innocent once you've heard the
9	evidence back in there; correct? Okay.
10	Who here on our jury owns firearms? Who has firearms
11	in their home? I'm going to go right down the list here.
12	What kinds of firearms do you have?
13	JUROR NO. 1: A small pistol.
14	MR. BECKER: Do you know the caliber?
15	JUROR NO. 1: No. My husband bought it.
16	MR. BECKER: Okay. Have you fired it
17	before?
18	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
19	MR. BECKER: Okay. No?
20	JUROR NO. 3: Rifles.
21	MR. BECKER: Okay. And have you fired
22	those before?
23	JUROR NO. 3: When I was young. They're

1	unloaded. Nothing
2	JUROR NO. 4: Ruger .22.
3	MR. BECKER: Ruger .22. Okay.
4	JUROR NO. 5: .22 and a 12-gauge.
5	MR. BECKER: And I assume you both have
6	fired those?
7	JUROR NO. 4: (Nods head.)
8	JUROR NO. 6: (Shakes head.)
9	MR. BECKER: What do you have, sir?
10	JUROR NO. 10: I have a 20-gauge, a
11	12-gauge, .380, 9-millimeter, and a .22.
12	MR. BECKER: Okay. And you've fired all of
13	those?
14	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
15	MR. BECKER: Okay.
16	JUROR NO. 9: .410.
17	MR. BECKER: .410?
18	JUROR NO. 8: .40-caliber SIG Sauer.
19	JUROR NO. 7: No.
20	MR. BECKER: Okay. Well, at this time, I
21	think I'm going to thank each and every one of you for your
22	service. We may take a break because we're getting close to
23	11:00. Of course Mr. Burke's attorneys are going to ask you

some questions about what they feel are important issues in this case. And I do want to take this time and thank each and every one of you. I have a son who's currently serving in Iraq right now, and short of serving your country in the military, I can't think of a more important duty that we have in this country other than serving as jurors in these matters. Because, honestly, without you folks, there's no jury system. There's no justice. You folks speak for justice. You folks are going to determine this case. And you will determine this case and the disputes and the facts in this case. And at the end of the day, Mr. Burke and the state of Ohio can go home next week or at the end of this week and say, well, we received a fair trial from 12 jurors who were open-minded, who were not influenced by anything outside of that courtroom. We got to talk to them, they talked to us, and they determined this case. So I'm going to thank you. They'll ask you some questions. We may take a break though.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: I think Mr. Becker had a good idea. Let's take a short break at this point in time. We'll take 15 minutes.

The jurors who are in the jury box, come back into those same seats here. Don't speculate on this case and don't discuss it with anyone.

Take 15 minutes, and we'll come right back.

(Whereupon, a recess was had commencing at 10:55 a.m. and concluding at 11:13 a.m.)

THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, you may inquire.

MR. HARTWIG: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mr. Becker. Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'm going to do my best not to repeat things that Your Honor has indicated and Mr. Becker, how he summarized it.

But in short, we appreciate you and the sacrifice you're making to be away from your families for one week. And that's on behalf of myself, Mr. Olson and my client.

So on that note, we try not to pry into your personal lives. We have you do questionnaires. They don't ask you too much, but we get to learn some things about you. So in all fairness, you learned some things about Mr. Becker.

Personally, I'm born and raised in Mahoning County. I've been practicing for just shy of 20 years. I'm married. I have three kids. I'm not wearing a wedding ring. We'll get into that here in a couple minutes. I do criminal defense work, like Mr. Olson does. And I've been doing that for many years. And I do it because I appreciate the jury system, just like Mr. Becker does. It's one of the most honorable service things you can do in your lifetime. So I, like Mr. Becker,

thank you for that.

Just a couple preliminary matters. I must have drawn the short straw or something, but my back is to you here at the table. I'm trying to do my best to take notes and look at you at the same time. So I mean no disrespect if something is going on and I'm a little busy there.

So like Mr. Becker indicated, this process is the only time you get to talk to us. And we need to talk to you. Not just to ask you questions and have you shake your head. We need to know what your thoughts and feelings are. We all have life experiences, good or bad. All the biases, prejudices, opinions, whatever it might be. There are no right or wrong answers if we ask you questions. So don't be embarrassed. We're not going to pry, but it's important that you be honest with us. You may be good for one particular kind of case but not for another. That serves well for everyone involved. The state and the defense. So our goal is to get fair and impartial jurors.

So let's talk a little bit about how the case gets started, just in case some people aren't familiar. Does anybody know what an indictment is? Can you raise your hand? All right. I would expect Miss Bordner and Mr. Zatvarnicky. Go ahead, trooper, tell me what that is.

1	JUROR NO. 8: It's basically where an
2	officer or police department would present their findings to
3	what would be a panel of grand jury members and then present
4	those findings and then they would make a ruling whether it
5	would be a true bill or not on the those specific charges.
6	MR. HARTWIG: So would you agree with me
7	that what the decision is is their probable cause that
8	somebody may have committed a crime; right?
9	JUROR NO. 8: Yes, sir.
10	MR. HARTWIG: And then they issue, like you
11	said, a true bill, but an indictment. It is just a piece of
12	paper that says so and so is charged with a crime; correct?
13	Now, would you also agree with me that that's a
14	secret proceeding?
15	JUROR NO. 8: That's correct.
16	MR. HARTWIG: And that it's a one-sided
17	presentation of supposed facts?
18	JUROR NO. 8: Correct.
19	MR. HARTWIG: So the defense doesn't get to
20	go. They don't call witnesses; correct?
21	JUROR NO. 8: Correct.
22	MR. HARTWIG: Does anyone here believe that
23	if a person is indicted and they're charged they must have

1	done something wrong? Miss Hollenbank?
2	JUROR NO. 1: I wasn't there to hear what
3	happened, so I would have to go by their words. So I'd have
4	to hear it myself.
5	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Miss Adams?
6	JUROR NO. 2: I agree.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Miss Bordner, I know you're a
8	practicing attorney. And you've done defense work in the
9	past?
10	JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
11	MR. HARTWIG: Would you agree with me that
12	it has no impact whatsoever on the guilt or innocence of any
13	particular thing?
14	JUROR NO. 4: Not whatsoever.
15	MR. HARTWIG: Much less Mr. Burke.
16	Okay. Does everyone agree with that? And if you
17	don't, can you raise your hand and we can have a discussion
18	about it?
19	Miss Shargo?
20	JUROR NO. 3: Repeat that question so I can
21	understand it a little more clearly.
22	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Very good. I
23	appreciate you asking me that.

1	So if someone is charged with a crime
2	JUROR NO. 3: Uh-huh.
3	MR. HARTWIG: by way of a piece of
4	paper.
5	JUROR NO. 3: Uh-huh.
6	MR. HARTWIG: And they've done something
7	that the police and the prosecutor believe they did, do you in
8	any way in your mind think automatically they must have done
9	something?
10	JUROR NO. 3: No.
11	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Which dovetails into
12	the concept that Mr. Becker discusses, the presumption of
13	innocence; correct?
14	JUROR NO. 3: Correct.
15	MR. HARTWIG: So despite a grand jury
16	charging someone, do we all agree that the presumption of
17	innocence still follows any particular defendant? And you're
18	shaking your head. Mr. Fuda?
19	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
20	MR. HARTWIG: And why do you think that's
21	important? Let's ask Mr. Stimpert. Why do you think that
22	concept of presumption of innocence is so important in the
23	United States of America?

1	JUROR NO. 5: It's the constitutional
2	right. They have to be found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt
3	for any criminal or crime.
4	MR. HARTWIG: Sure. Now sometimes, whether
5	you're watching television or talking with friends, those seem
6	like buzz words sometimes. Like beyond a reasonable doubt.
7	Right? And Your Honor will be the one who gives you the law.
8	But, you know, I expect that he would tell you that's the
9	highest burden in the United States of America. Okay?
10	And Mr. DiTommaso, why do you think beyond a
11	reasonable doubt is required in a criminal case?
12	JUROR NO. 10: Because it's worse to send
13	an innocent man away.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Right. Because, Mr. Fuda, we
15	agree that someone's liberty is at stake; correct? It's not
16	beyond all doubt, but it is an extremely high burden for an
17	important reason. Miss Adams, do you agree?
18	JUROR NO. 2: Yes, I do.
19	MR. HARTWIG: Has anybody served on a civil
20	trial? So in a civil trial let's say you're in a car
21	accident and they dispute maybe who caused the accident, there
22	is a much different burden. It's called preponderance of the
23	evidence. Okay? And that's basically like a 50.1 percent.

1	If you tip the scale, then you win. So and so caused the
2	accident. So it's a big difference in burden; would you agree
3	with me, ma'am? Miss Pattinson?
4	JUROR NO. 6: (Nods head.)
5	MR. HARTWIG: Right. And why do you think
6	it's so different? Do you think it's property versus life?
7	Do you think that's the reason we have such a high burden in a
8	criminal case?
9	JUROR NO. 6: Yeah.
10	MR. HARTWIG: And do you agree with that,
11	disagree with it?
12	JUROR NO. 6: I agree.
13	MR. HARTWIG: Are you comfortable with it?
14	Let's say that.
15	JUROR NO. 6: I'm comfortable with it.
16	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. So you could require
17	that the state, as instructed by the Judge, require the state
18	to prove all elements of each particular charge beyond a
19	reasonable doubt?
20	JUROR NO. 6: Uh-huh.
21	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And if the state
22	couldn't, would you be comfortable rendering a not guilty
23	verdict?

1	JUROR NO. 6: Can you repeat that again?
2	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. If you didn't feel in
3	your mind, and after discussing it with fellow jurors if you
4	were a juror, you didn't feel the state proved beyond a
5	reasonable doubt every element of every charge, could you find
6	a verdict of not guilty on any particular charge?
7	JUROR NO. 6: Uh-huh.
8	MR. HARTWIG: You think you could?
9	JUROR NO. 6: Probably not.
10	MR. HARTWIG: Probably not? Okay. I want
11	to make sure I understand your answer. Would you feel
12	comfortable saying someone is not guilty in a case?
13	JUROR NO. 6: No.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Why?
15	JUROR NO. 6: Because I don't know.
16	MR. HARTWIG: Well, it's okay. Like I
17	said, there's no right or wrong answers. Go ahead and try to
18	tell me, you know, what's the reasoning behind that.
19	JUROR NO. 6: I don't know.
20	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Let me ask you a
21	different question. If you really felt that the state didn't
22	do its job, okay, based on the law, and all 11 other jurors
23	think they did, would you be able to maintain your opinions in

1	deliberation and stick to your opinion of not guilty?
2	JUROR NO. 6: No.
3	MR. HARTWIG: You would feel some pressure?
4	JUROR NO. 6: Uh-huh.
5	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. All right. We'll hop
6	around a little bit. Okay? I don't want to stay on you and
7	make you uncomfortable, but we'll talk a little bit more about
8	that. Okay?
9	So let's say, Mr. Wills, you had a friend, very good
10	friend, that was accused of a crime. And you weren't judging
11	him as a juror, judging him as a friend, how would you want
12	the testimony or the evidence presented to you before you
13	found that your friend was actually guilty? What type of
14	quality of evidence would you want?
15	JUROR NO. 11: I'd want a lot of evidence.
16	I'd want facts. Facts that I would believe.
17	MR. HARTWIG: In order to convince you in
18	your mind beyond a reasonable doubt your friend was, in fact,
19	guilty. Yes?
20	JUROR NO. 11: Yeah.
21	MR. HARTWIG: Right. So when we talk about
22	quality of evidence versus quantity of evidence, if somebody
23	just presented 20 witnesses to you and they were all average

1	or worse, would that mean anything to you, that there were 20
2	of them?
3	JUROR NO. 11: No.
4	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And if there were one
5	good one, you would be you would have to consider that as
6	well?
7	JUROR NO. 11: One good one beyond a
8	reasonable doubt, yeah.
9	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Okay. Mr. West, how
10	do you feel about that?
11	JUROR NO. 7: About the same. I would, you
12	know.
13	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. What if what if you
14	were judging your friend and found out that testimony or
15	evidence that could have been presented to you
16	JUROR NO. 7: Uh-huh.
17	MR. HARTWIG: wasn't.
18	JUROR NO. 7: I think I would be upset
19	about it.
20	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And would you agree
21	that you would be upset because you would want all
22	available
23	JUROR NO. 7: Evidence.

1 MR. HARTWIG: -- easily available evidence 2 or information that could go to that finding; right? 3 JUROR NO. 7: Right. MR. HARTWIG: You would agree with that? 4 5 JUROR NO. 7: Yes. 6 MR. HARTWIG: Mr. DiTommaso, I see you 7 shaking your head. What are your thoughts? JUROR NO. 10: I would want as much 8 9 evidence as possible so you could make a decision based on 10 very accurate circumstances. 11 MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Right. Now, we've all 12 watched CSI or First 48. And I think those who do this work, 13 we understand that that's television; right? It's not always 14 things that are available in a criminal case. So in a case 15 where you're saying, oh, we wanted, you know, satellite images 16 of something and that wasn't sought by a detective so we're 17 going to hold it against the detective, that would be 18 unreasonable; would we agree? Would we all agree, 19 Miss Shargo? 20 But what if something could have been important like 21 following up on a witness who was in town or interviewing a 22 witness. If that's not done in an aggravated murder case,

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

Miss Shargo, do you think that's important?

23

1	JUROR NO. 3: That that you follow up?
2	MR. HARTWIG: Right. Or did not follow up
3	on easily available information.
4	JUROR NO. 3: Oh, easy, yes. You should
5	follow up.
6	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And easy or just
7	it's available. It's there. It could have been done. To
8	rule something in or rule something out. Would you agree?
9	JUROR NO. 3: (Nods head.)
10	MR. HARTWIG: And why do you think that's
11	important? And I think I'm asking an obvious question, but
12	you tell me.
13	JUROR NO. 3: Well, you have to in order
14	to find reasonable doubt, you have to you have to know. It
15	would have to come forward if somebody was available.
16	MR. HARTWIG: It would be hard for you to
17	reach a conclusion beyond a reasonable doubt without that
18	information?
19	JUROR NO. 3: If I had it?
20	MR. HARTWIG: If you had it, it would be
21	easier?
22	JUROR NO. 3: Right.
23	MR. HARTWIG: Correct? And if you didn't

1	have it, then you would be questioning why.
2	JUROR NO. 3: Because somebody's life could
3	be at stake.
4	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Miss Bordner, do you
5	agree?
6	JUROR NO. 4: Oh, absolutely.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Let's go to this
8	example that Mr. Becker used. I thought that was good. And
9	by the way, everyone in this courtroom that's working on this
10	case understands the seriousness of the charges and the
11	gravity of it. But there will be times when there's some
12	levity, even with Your Honor and counsel and you about a joke.
13	It's okay if we smile or laugh on something like that. But we
14	all understand why we're here. Okay?
15	So the example was the wedding ring. And we had
16	Mr. Stimpert who showed his gold band and then we talked about
17	whether or not that's proof beyond a reasonable doubt he's
18	married. Okay?
19	So, Miss Adams, I'm not wearing a wedding ring;
20	correct? Is that proof beyond a reasonable doubt I'm not
21	married?
22	JUROR NO. 2: No. My husband has never
23	worn a ring.

1 MR. HARTWIG: Right. Okay. A lot of men 2 are like that. It doesn't fit right or something. In my 3 particular case, I've lost it twice in 20 years. Most recently on a ski trip. Got one on back order. Okay? 4 So if somebody saw me at a bar and I'm talking to a woman and I don't have a wedding ring on, right, people may 6 7 assume I'm not married; correct? All right. What if, then, on top of that someone comes up and says, "Yeah, I heard that, 8 I heard that guy, he's not married." Okay? Is that proof 10 beyond a reasonable doubt? 11 JUROR NO. 2: No. 12 MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Before you would 13 conclude and go tell people this guy is there talking with 14 another woman, doesn't have his wedding ring on, would you 15 want more information before you go making that conclusion?

JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. HARTWIG: All right. That could affect my true relationship with my wife; correct? My three children; right?

So sometimes in cases people say things or they heard it from other people. All right. Would you want to know how they heard it or what the tests of truthfulness are with regard to witnesses?

1 JUROR NO. 2: Yes. 2 MR. HARTWIG: Okay. I think, yeah, we all would on a case like this. 3 Now, there's an important concept that Mr. Becker 4 5 didn't touch upon that's going to come up here. Mr. Burke has 6 a constitutional right not to testify. We all do; right? 7 That means nobody can make him get on the stand and say whatever they'd like him to say or anything. Does anybody have a problem with that? 10 Mr. Williamson, if Mr. Burke did not get on the 11 witness stand and testify, would you be -- would you feel like 12 you want to hold that against him? 13 JUROR NO. 12: No. 14 MR. HARTWIG: All right. Because Your 15 Honor is going to explain and it's part of the law that if he 16 didn't that can't be used in any way against him. You would 17 be fine if he did not? 18 JUROR NO. 12: Right. 19 MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Now, I think with 20 everybody it's natural sometimes to say I'd like to hear two 21 sides to the story. Mr. DiTommaso, how do you feel about 22 that? 23 JUROR NO. 10: I agree with it.

1	MR. HARTWIG: You agree that people would			
2	like to hear both sides? Or?			
3	JUROR NO. 10: Well, yeah, I agree with			
4	people want to hear both sides of the story, but I also agree			
5	that it's his right if he doesn't want to.			
6	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Miss Shargo, how do			
7	you feel?			
8	JUROR NO. 3: Same way.			
9	MR. HARTWIG: Mr. Zatvarnicky, how do you			
10	feel?			
11	JUROR NO. 8: I believe that the evidence			
12	has to be documented properly to have an investigation so that			
13	it so that the investigation itself speaks for the true			
14	circumstances of whatever happened. It has to be followed up			
15	accordingly.			
16	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And especially in your			
17	job. If you pull somebody over for OVI, drunk driving, and			
18	they say, "With all due respect, Trooper, I'm not answering			
19	any questions," you can't hold that against them; correct?			
20	JUROR NO. 8: That's correct.			
21	MR. HARTWIG: So you base your			
22	investigation on whatever else you have; correct?			
23	JUROR NO. 8: Correct.			

1	MR. HARTWIG: But personally you're in			
2	agreement with that constitutional right?			
3	JUROR NO. 8: Absolutely.			
4	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. So from a defense			
5	perspective, Mr. Becker indicated and we all understand that			
6	the defense never has anything to prove; right? We can choose			
7	not to call any witnesses. We could call ten witnesses if we			
8	wanted or found that it was appropriate. That it's solely			
9	their burden of proof; right?			
10	Miss Pattinson, how do you feel about that? If we			
11	presented no evidence whatsoever, would you be okay with that?			
12	JUROR NO. 6: No, not really.			
13	MR. HARTWIG: You would want us to present			
14	evidence?			
15	JUROR NO. 6: Exactly.			
16	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And if we didn't,			
17	again, that would make you somehow uncomfortable or unwilling			
18	to be fair and impartial?			
19	JUROR NO. 6: Uh-huh.			
20	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. All right.			
21	Mr. Stimpert, how do you feel about that, and her response?			
22	JUROR NO. 5: I would it doesn't matter			
23	to me whether you present witnesses or not. It would depend			

1	if the state proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.
2	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Miss Adams?
3	JUROR NO. 2: That's me.
4	MR. HARTWIG: I'm sorry. I'm getting Miss
5	Hollenbank and Miss Adams confused.
6	JUROR NO. 2: I don't know. I mean, I
7	guess if the state can prove sometimes I think maybe
8	hearing something from the other side would be helpful.
9	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. That's a fair answer.
10	But if the Judge says that's not required
11	JUROR NO. 2: Right.
12	MR. HARTWIG: Could you still say, "Well, I
13	have to follow the law"?
14	JUROR NO. 2: I would have to.
15	MR. HARTWIG: Mr. Fuda, how do you feel
16	about that?
17	JUROR NO. 9: If you don't have to present
18	any evidence, I'm okay with it.
19	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Aside from presenting
20	evidence, from a defense perspective, we have to ask state's
21	witnesses questions. We call that cross examination. Okay?
22	If I happen to be particularly tough on a certain witness
23	within the confines of the rules from Your Honor, would

1	anybody hold that against Mr. Burke?			
2	Miss Bordner?			
3	JUROR NO. 4: No, sir.			
4	MR. HARTWIG: All right. You understand			
5	that that's part of the practice?			
6	JUROR NO. 4: Correct.			
7	MR. HARTWIG: All right. To demand certain			
8	answers, honest answers, from potential witnesses; correct?			
9	JUROR NO. 4: Absolutely.			
10	MR. HARTWIG: Never degrading a witness or			
11	never making fun of a witness, but seeking the truth?			
12	JUROR NO. 4: Absolutely.			
13	MR. HARTWIG: All right. And you would not			
14	hold that against my client if I or Mr. Olson happen to be			
15	particularly tough?			
16	JUROR NO. 4: Not at all.			
17	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. You wouldn't find that			
18	unprofessional or anything like that? Miss Hollenbank?			
19	JUROR NO. 1: No. That's your job, I			
20	believe.			
21	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Does everybody agree			
22	that that would be okay?			
23	Miss Pattinson, how do you feel about that?			

1	JUROR NO. 6: (Nods head.)			
2	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. So, in our ordinary			
3	lives, I think, like I said, we all have life experiences and			
4	opinions. And whether we like it or not, people judge people			
5	by the way they look, by the way they act, how they're brought			
6	up, even nationality, race, gender. That's just, I think,			
7	natural with some people or most people in different varying			
8	degrees.			
9	So, Miss Adams, would you judge somebody just by the			
10	way they looked?			
11	JUROR NO. 2: No.			
12	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. If somebody has			
13	tattoos, does that indicate to you one way or another what the			
14	character of that person is?			
15	JUROR NO. 2: No.			
16	MR. HARTWIG: No? It seems like more			
17	people today, younger people, have tattoos than 50 years ago;			
18	correct?			
19	JUROR NO. 2: Right.			
20	MR. HARTWIG: Right? And sometimes more			
21	visible tattoos than we've ever seen; correct?			
22	As Mr. Zatvarnicky understands, if you had to guess a			
23	percentage on a young person these days, what do you think?			

1	How many have tattoos?
2	JUROR NO. 8: It's very high.
3	MR. HARTWIG: It's very high; right? Do
4	you feel that having a tattoo in any way is a reason to
5	prejudge a person?
6	JUROR NO. 8: Not at all.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Now, does anybody feel
8	differently about tattoos? All right. Mr. DiTommaso?
9	JUROR NO. 10: If the tattoo has a specific
10	meaning.
11	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Whether like words?
12	JUROR NO. 10: Or symbols.
13	MR. HARTWIG: Symbols. Now, do you feel
14	it's common knowledge on certain symbols that certain things
15	mean certain things?
16	JUROR NO. 10: Not common.
17	MR. HARTWIG: I'm sorry. Can you speak up?
18	JUROR NO. 10: Not common knowledge.
19	MR. HARTWIG: Not common knowledge. Do you
20	have any experience in understanding tattoo meanings?
21	JUROR NO. 10: A little bit. Not much.
22	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Does anybody else feel
23	the same way, that there are sometimes meanings to tattoos?

1	Trooper?				
2	JUROR NO. 8: Yes, sir.				
3	MR. HARTWIG: You have experience in that?				
4	JUROR NO. 8: I've had trainings as to				
5	some, as to what some things could mean, but I'm not an expert				
6	by any stretch.				
7	MR. HARTWIG: Even if somebody has a tattoo				
8	that has a supposed meaning, does that mean it's true?				
9	JUROR NO. 8: No, it does not.				
10	MR. HARTWIG: All right. And in your				
11	experience, has it been that, in fact, if somebody has one and				
12	it's not true?				
13	JUROR NO. 8: That is correct. It often				
14	doesn't reflect who the person is or anything they've ever				
15	done.				
16	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. I mean, some people				
17	put tattoos on themselves about God or whatever and it may be				
18	that they have no faith at all; correct?				
19	JUROR NO. 8: Correct.				
20	MR. HARTWIG: But for some reason they put				
21	a tattoo on their body.				
22	Okay. Miss Bordner, how do you feel about that?				
23	JUROR NO. 4: Doesn't bother me at all. I				

1	have younger family members, younger nieces, that have a lot		
2	of tattoos.		
3	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. How much defense work		
4	did you do in your practice?		
5	JUROR NO. 4: If I had to put a percentage		
6	on it, I would say it was maybe 25 percent.		
7	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Did you handle		
8	misdemeanors and felonies?		
9	JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.		
10	MR. OLSON: From the defense side, we're		
11	talking?		
12	JUROR NO. 4: Yes.		
13	MR. OLSON: Did you also do it from the		
14	state side?		
15	JUROR NO. 4: No, I did not.		
16	MR. HARTWIG: All right.		
17	Mr. Stimpert, let me ask you a little bit about your		
18	jury service. You were on a criminal trial; correct?		
19	JUROR NO. 5: Yes.		
20	MR. HARTWIG: Were you the foreman?		
21	JUROR NO. 5: No.		
22	MR. HARTWIG: It was a felony case?		
23	JUROR NO. 5: (Nods head.)		

1	MR. HART	WIG:	Yes. You deliberated v	vith 11
2	others and came up with a n	ot gu	ilty verdict?	
3	JUROR NO). 5:	Correct.	
4	MR. HART	WIG:	How was your experience	∍?
5	JUROR NO). 5:	I'm sorry?	
6	MR. HART	WIG:	What was your experience	се
7	like? Was it a good experi	ence?	Not so good?	
8	JUROR NO). 5:	It was a good experienc	ce.
9	MR. HART	WIG:	Okay. Were there any	
10	holdouts at the time, or di	d you	reach a unanimous verdi	ct
11	immediately or after deliberation?			
12	JUROR NO). 5:	There were three holdou	ıts
13	before.			
14	MR. HART	WIG:	Okay. How did you reso	olve
15	that?			
16	JUROR NO). 5:	Just going through the	
17	evidence.			
18	MR. HART	WIG:	Took your time, discuss	sed
19	things with one another?			
20	JUROR NO). 5:	Correct.	
21	мр цлрт	WIG:	Everybody stayed open-	ninded?
۷ ا	III. HAKI			
22	JUROR NO		Yes.	

1	one of the holdouts initially?			
2	JUROR NO. 5: No.			
3	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Mr. Fuda, some things			
4	came up initially that you were you golfed with			
5	Mr. Watkins?			
6	JUROR NO. 9: (Nods head.)			
7	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Are you friends with			
8	him?			
9	JUROR NO. 9: Not really.			
10	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Where do you golf at?			
11	JUROR NO. 9: Avalon. Old Avalon.			
12	MR. HARTWIG: 01d Avalon? Okay. Now, when			
13	you say you golfed with him, do you golf in his foursome?			
14	JUROR NO. 9: Well, yeah, it was a			
15	scramble.			
16	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Was it one time?			
17	JUROR NO. 9: Yeah. One time.			
18	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. How do you know			
19	Mr. Watkins?			
20	JUROR NO. 9: Just I met him there.			
21	Through my brother.			
22	MR. HARTWIG: Is your brother friends with			
23	him?			

1	JUROR NO. 9: He is a commissioner, yeah,		
2	so I got to meet him through him.		
3	MR. HARTWIG: I see. Okay. Does he		
4	socialize with him?		
5	JUROR NO. 9: I don't know. I don't know		
6	if he does or not.		
7	MR. HARTWIG: Have you socialized with		
8	Mr. Watkins?		
9	JUROR NO. 9: No.		
10	MR. HARTWIG: So you golfed with him one		
11	time at a scramble?		
12	JUROR NO. 9: One time. That was it.		
13	MR. HARTWIG: Is he a good golfer?		
14	JUROR NO. 9: Yeah. Decent.		
15	MR. HARTWIG: How about yourself?		
16	JUROR NO. 9: Yeah.		
17	MR. HARTWIG: Yeah? Good.		
18	Okay. Miss Hollenbank, you work for the county?		
19	JUROR NO. 1: Correct.		
20	MR. HARTWIG: Do you feel in any way		
21	because you're paid by the county, just like Mr. Becker is,		
22	that that inherently would affect your ability to be fair and		
23	impartial on a case?		

1	JUROR NO. 1: No.	I don't work with him or
2	for his office.	
3	MR. HARTWIG: Okay.	But, you know, the
4	county pays you?	
5	JUROR NO. 1: Corre	ct.
6	MR. HARTWIG: Right	. And so that doesn't
7	make you uncomfortable or anything like	e that?
8	JUROR NO. 1: No, n	ot at all.
9	MR. HARTWIG: And,	Miss Shargo, you are
10	retired from the county?	
11	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.	
12	MR. HARTWIG: Do yo	u feel loyalty to the
13	county or to any friends that may stil	l be employed?
14	JUROR NO. 3: Do I	have friends that are
15	employed there?	
16	MR. HARTWIG: Yes.	Do you?
17	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.	
18	MR. HARTWIG: Do yo	u feel any loyalty to
19	them, being that you're retired from t	ne county and you
20	wouldn't feel like you need to be on t	ne team here with
21	Mr. Becker in any way?	
22	JUROR NO. 3: Oh, n	ο.
23	MR. HARTWIG: No?	Okay.

1	Mr. Wills, let me ask you briefly. You had indicated
2	you were a victim of domestic violence?
3	JUROR NO. 11: Correct.
4	MR. HARTWIG: All right. Did that case get
5	prosecuted?
6	JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
7	MR. HARTWIG: All right. Did it go to
8	trial or end in a plea agreement?
9	JUROR NO. 11: A plea.
10	MR. HARTWIG: And how was your experience
11	as a victim in the case?
12	JUROR NO. 11: It was unpleasant because it
13	was my family.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. I'm sure that was hard
15	to go through. Did it resolve like you wanted it?
16	JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
17	MR. HARTWIG: Did they include you in the
18	process?
19	JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
20	MR. HARTWIG: Listened to what you wanted?
21	JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
22	MR. HARTWIG: Mr. DiTommaso, do you have
23	family members that are police officers?

1	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
2	MR. HARTWIG: All right. And who are they?
3	JUROR NO. 10: I have a cousin who is
4	actually a border patrol and I have another distant cousin
5	that's a state trooper.
6	MR. HARTWIG: Do you feel that the
7	testimony of a police officer would be more credible than from
8	what we call a lay person, just somebody off the street?
9	JUROR NO. 10: No.
10	MR. HARTWIG: I mean, they're trained to be
11	police officers, but you don't find that automatically they
12	are more believable than other people?
13	JUROR NO. 10: No.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Would you agree with me that
15	there are good officers and some that are not so good?
16	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
17	MR. HARTWIG: I'm not saying like
18	personally. You know, like they're liars or something. But
19	would you agree with me that just like in any profession some
20	people do their jobs better than others?
21	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
22	MR. HARTWIG: So if they were criticized
23	for maybe not doing the best they could do, would you hold

1	that against us?
2	JUROR NO. 10: (Shakes head.)
3	MR. HARTWIG: No? All right.
4	Would anybody hold that against us? Even, trooper,
5	would you?
6	JUROR NO. 8: I'm an internal affairs
7	officer.
8	MR. HARTWIG: Okay.
9	JUROR NO. 8: So I see all spectrums, if
10	you will. So I would hold no ill will towards you if need be,
11	if you have to. You have to do your job.
12	MR. HARTWIG: And, in fact, would you hold
13	officers to a higher standard for doing their job, saying this
14	is what you're trained to do? You should go out and do it the
15	best you can do it?
16	JUROR NO. 8: Yes, sir.
17	MR. HARTWIG: So there was an example of a
18	7-year-old who later disclosed to someone that she had been
19	raped after their parents split up. So you have a young girl
20	who makes a serious accusation against her father; right? No
21	other physical evidence. And they would ask you to make a
22	conclusion beyond a reasonable doubt that that happened.
23	Okay? That was the example.

1	Now, let me add something to that hypothetical. If
2	you knew that during that two years she was taken to
3	counseling. Okay? And the prosecutor knew that. The
4	detectives knew that. But they did not go and get the
5	counseling records. All right? Does anybody find that that
6	would be a problem before reaching a conclusion? Miss
7	Hollenbank?
8	JUROR NO. 1: That we didn't have the
9	evidence from the counselor?
10	MR. HARTWIG: Right.
11	JUROR NO. 1: Are we allowed to have that
12	evidence?
13	MR. HARTWIG: If you could. If it was
14	available.
15	JUROR NO. 1: If it was a doctor/patient
16	confidentiality
17	MR. HARTWIG: Well, that would be up to the
18	Judge. Let's assume for the hypothetical that the Judge says
19	yes, it could have been provided.
20	JUROR NO. 1: Then it would have been nice
21	to have it.
22	MR. HARTWIG: When you say "nice to have
23	it," would it be fatal in your mind to say we don't know what

1	she would have told this counselor about her father?
2	JUROR NO. 1: It can't be fatal. I'd have
3	to hear all the other evidence.
4	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Miss Shargo, how do
5	you feel?
6	JUROR NO. 3: I feel differently.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Tell me how.
8	JUROR NO. 3: If the Judge let the evidence
9	come in and the counselors no, I would feel that it should
10	have been there.
11	MR. HARTWIG: That you would have wanted to
12	have seen what she told the counselor?
13	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Mr. Fuda, how do you feel
15	about that?
16	JUROR NO. 9: Pretty tough. I'd have to
17	see the evidence.
18	MR. HARTWIG: But if you couldn't when
19	you say "see the evidence," you would hear from the 7-year-old
20	girl, and that would be it. What you wouldn't see are the
21	counseling records. So how would that make you feel?
22	JUROR NO. 9: I'd have to believe her.
23	MR. HARTWIG: You would have to believe

1	her? Because she's a 7-year-old girl?
2	JUROR NO. 9: I don't think she would lie.
3	MR. HARTWIG: All right. What if her
4	father was a state trooper, a great guy, and just never no
5	record at all?
6	JUROR NO. 9: That don't make no
7	difference.
8	MR. HARTWIG: You would side with the
9	little girl. Interesting. All right.
10	Mr. DiTommaso, how do you feel about that?
11	JUROR NO. 10: I would need to see the
12	records.
13	MR. HARTWIG: Miss Bordner?
14	JUROR NO. 4: Yeah. I mean, I would need
15	to see the record. I would need to see her, hear more, you
16	know, because there would be, for me, in my mind, the
17	possibility that she could have been coached, that she could
18	have, you know, overheard things and wanted to please someone
19	and wanted to speak in a certain way. It's just
20	MR. HARTWIG: Miss Adams, how do you feel
21	about that?
22	JUROR NO. 2: I would need that evidence
23	too.

1	MR. HARTWIG: Because let's all face it.			
2	The elephant in the room in a case like that is why would a			
3	7-year-old girl come in and say that about her dad; right?			
4	Those cases are always very difficult. But also makes it more			
5	challenging if you don't have everything that could have been			
6	produced. Would you agree, Mr. Wills?			
7	JUROR NO. 11: Yeah.			
8	MR. HARTWIG: But, Mr. Fuda, that would be			
9	enough for you?			
10	JUROR NO. 9: (No response).			
11	MR. HARTWIG: I know this is a hard			
12	hypothetical.			
13	JUROR NO. 9: I'm saying it would be tough.			
14	It would be tough.			
15	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. I appreciate your			
16	honesty.			
17	So we talked a little bit about direct and			
18	circumstantial evidence. And it's just easier for me to use			
19	Mr. Becker's examples and then tie something into it. So			
20	well, I'll change it up a little bit. I have three kids. And			
21	we've all had these stories. But let's just assume that my			
22	son says he didn't eat the cookies downstairs. My oldest			
23	daughter says he did. My middle daughter we all know			

1	middle children she comes down, you know, and says, "I	
2	heard Nick. Nick says he ate the cookies. He ate all 30 of	
3	'em, dad." Okay? Would you agree with me that if my son said	
4	he did and my oldest daughter said he didn't, that would be a	
5	difficult conclusion to reach beyond a reasonable doubt that	
6	he did it and she's not telling the truth? You're shaking	
7	your head, trooper?	
8	JUROR NO. 8: It would be difficult to come	
9	to a definitive answer.	
10	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Now, if he had	
11	chocolate all over his face, that might help you make the	
12	decision; right?	
13	JUROR NO. 8: Sure.	
14	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Now, if you determined	
15	that my middle daughter and son don't get along at all, all	
16	right, and we know that all 30 cookies weren't eaten, only	
17	one, would you be tending to believe my middle daughter, Miss	
18	Shargo?	
19	JUROR NO. 3: Huh?	
20	MR. HARTWIG: I know for a fact that 30	
21	cookies weren't eaten. So what does that say about what she's	
22	telling?	
23	JUROR NO. 3: She's not telling the truth.	

1	MR. HARTWIG: Yeah, because I know for a	
2	fact that he didn't eat 30 cookies; right? Now, there's one	
3	missing. So is she lying to get him in trouble?	
4	Miss Adams, do you think that's what she's doing?	
5	JUROR NO. 2: Maybe.	
6	MR. HARTWIG: Maybe. Or maybe he did eat a	
7	cookie. Right? Just one. And now she's saying he ate 30	
8	because he's really gonna get in trouble. But I know that's	
9	not true. So what am I going to do? So do you think that's	
10	proof beyond a reasonable doubt in that hypothetical? Even	
11	with a witness, a supposed witness. Trooper?	
12	JUROR NO. 8: No, sir.	
13	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. All right. Miss	
14	Bordner, how do you feel about that?	
15	JUROR NO. 4: I would think she had some	
16	sort of other reason for trying to get him in trouble or	
17	something like that.	
18	MR. HARTWIG: Right. I'm almost done. Let	
19	me just double check some things.	
20	Your Honor, could I have one moment, please, with	
21	co-counsel?	
22	THE COURT: You may.	
23	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you.	

1	Ladies and Gentlemen, listen, again, I thank you for	
2	your time. Is there anything I didn't touch upon or that you	
3	had thoughts on but I didn't individually call it out and you	
4	would want to say something about it? Does anybody want to	
5	raise your hand?	
6	JUROR NO. 3: I do.	
7	MR. HARTWIG: Miss Shargo, thank you.	
8	JUROR NO. 3: When you were talking about	
9	tattoos, I know nothing about really tattoos.	
10	MR. HARTWIG: Okay.	
11	JUROR NO. 3: But there is one tattoo that	
12	probably, if	
13	MR. HARTWIG: Can I stop you there for a	
14	second? Okay. Your Honor, may we approach?	
15	THE COURT: Would you approach the bench,	
16	ma'am?	
17	(At sidebar:)	
18	THE COURT: I didn't want you to say	
19	something that might taint the jury.	
20	JUROR NO. 3: I was going to say	
21	MR. HARTWIG: Just keep your voice down.	
22	JUROR NO. 3: Can you hear me? If	
23	somebody, a witness or somebody came on that stand that had a	

1	Nazi tattoo on them, I'm afraid that		
2	THE COURT: Again, I understand exactly		
3	what you're saying. Anybody have a followup question? I		
4	think we all agree.		
5	MR. BECKER: I have no questions.		
6	MR. HARTWIG: No further questions. Thank		
7	you.		
8	THE COURT: Thank you very much.		
9	(End of sidebar discussion.)		
10	MR. HARTWIG: Just a few questions, Your		
11	Honor.		
12	THE COURT: All right.		
13	MR. HARTWIG: Miss Shargo, thank you for		
14	explaining that to us.		
15	Let me just ask you a couple questions. And in no		
16	way meant to embarrass you or anything. These are just what		
17	you had indicated. You had said that if a particular witness		
18	had a Nazi symbol somewhere on their body that would bother		
19	you?		
20	JUROR NO. 3: Did you say would it bother		
21	me?		
22	MR. HARTWIG: Yes.		
23	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.		

1 MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And that's what you 2 were meaning about that before I stopped you? 3 JUROR NO. 3: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. All right. I 4 appreciate that. 5 6 Is there anyone else who has any issues like that 7 that I didn't bring up that they would want to get into further? 8 Does everybody here feel that they're comfortable now 9 10 with possibly being a juror if left on? All right. Miss Pattinson? Okay. We'll get into here I think 11 12 in just a minute. Okay. 13 Your Honor, there will no further questions. Thank 14 you. 15 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and 16 Gentlemen, there are two different ways you might be excused from the jury at this time. One is by matter of legal cause. 17 18 There is a reason that you shouldn't be on this jury; you're 19 related to one of the parties or you've indicated you can't 20 follow the instructions of the Court. The Court makes that 21 decision, but I allow the attorneys to suggest something I am 22 not aware of. 23 Mr. Becker, pass for cause?

1	MR. BECKER: Yes, sir, Your Honor.	
2	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, pass for cause?	
3	MR. HARTWIG: Your Honor, may we approach?	
4	THE COURT: You may.	
5	(At sidebar:)	
6	MR. HARTWIG: Your Honor, based on the	
7	responses from Juror Number 6, Miss Pattinson, we don't feel	
8	that she could be fair and impartial in this case.	
9	THE COURT: Mr. Becker.	
10	MR. BECKER: I think the Court may want to	
11	clean that up a little bit. I think it was a little bit	
12	confusing.	
13	THE COURT: I didn't hear very well. I	
14	will ask a few questions. I will take that under	
15	consideration and inquire.	
16	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you, Your Honor.	
17	(End of sidebar.)	
18	THE COURT: Ms. Pattinson, when you	
19	answered some of the questions and you're a very light	
20	speaker. I wasn't sure that I heard all your answers. So I	
21	want to make sure I'm fully aware of what your answers were.	
22	Defense counsel had asked you my instruction in general	
23	would be the state has the burden of proving the case beyond a	

1	reasonable doubt. If they proved the case beyond a reasonable			
2	doubt, your finding should be in favor of the state. If they			
3	fail to prove beyond a reasonable doubt their case then it			
4	should be a defense verdict. Is that what your testimony is?			
5	JUROR NO. 6: Uh-huh, yes.			
6	THE COURT: Okay. I thought you said that			
7	you would have a difficult time even if the state failed to			
8	prove beyond a reasonable doubt finding for the defendant; is			
9	that what you said?			
10	JUROR NO. 6: Right.			
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much,			
12	Miss Pattinson. I think I'm going to excuse you. I don't			
13	think you would be able to quite follow the instructions of			
14	the Court. You're free to go.			
15	JUROR NO. 6: Okay.			
16	THE COURT: Anita Pace.			
17	(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the			
18	record.)			
19	THE COURT: Ms. Pace, you've heard all the			
20	questions that I've asked everyone. And you've heard the			
21	plaintiff and the defendant. Have you anything to offer in			
22	response to any of the questions that we've asked in general?			
23	JUROR NO. 6: Not that I can think of, no.			

1

THE COURT: Mr. Becker, any specific

questions for Miss Pace?

3

4

6

7

8

13

17

18

19

20

21

2

MR. BECKER: Miss Pace, I think there has

been some discussion here, and I want to go back to an easy

5 example that I can use. And the wedding example is a good

Obviously the police have a job to do. And they can

go so far and talk to so many people and do so many things.

Just like Mr. Stimpert. You recall my wedding example about

whether he's married or not? You can only go so far and find

10 so many people that were at the wedding. You can only have so

many photographs. For instance, if the trial was Mr. Stimpert 11

12 being married, I'm assuming the police could go and find maybe

150 people that were at the wedding that were there, ask them

14 for their photographs, ask them if they had any videos, ask

15 them to write statements, but do you feel that there becomes a

16 point where you've got enough?

JUROR NO. 6: Yes.

MR. BECKER: Okay. And just like in

life -- and in that example -- and in hindsight it's always

We can always do more; right? That doesn't mean that

someone hasn't done their job. That doesn't mean that someone

22 is not being truthful; correct?

> JUROR NO. 6: Right.

23

1	MR. BECKER: And that doesn't mean that the	
2	state hasn't met its burden; correct?	
3	JUROR NO. 6: Right.	
4	MR. BECKER: Okay. Was there anything else	
5	that you heard or anything that you thought I know you had	
6	some pretrial publicity, but that has not affected your	
7	ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror; correct?	
8	JUROR NO. 4: Correct.	
9	MR. BECKER: Okay. I want to thank you for	
10	your time.	
11	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig.	
12	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you, Your Honor.	
13	Morning, Miss Pace. Can you hear me okay?	
14	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	
15	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Were you able to hear	
16	everything that I was asking earlier?	
17	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	
18	MR. HARTWIG: You didn't have any trouble	
19	hearing back there?	
20	JUROR NO. 6: No.	
21	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. I was trying to keep	
22	my voice up. Was there anything in particular that I	
23	discussed that you found interesting or one way or another you	

1	would have contributed to had you been sitting up here?	
2	JUROR NO. 6: No.	
3	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. So we talked about, at	
4	least initially, presumption of innocence. And you heard	
5	that, like Mr. Becker said, our client is cloaked in, right,	
6	innocence? That unless and until that's removed beyond a	
7	reasonable doubt that he would be found not guilty?	
8	JUROR NO. 6: Correct.	
9	MR. HARTWIG: Are you comfortable with that	
10	concept?	
11	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	
12	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. So if you had to make	
13	a decision right now, how would you have to find the defendant	
14	if you had to rule right now?	
15	JUROR NO. 6: Right now? I don't know	
16	anything about him.	
17	MR. HARTWIG: Okay.	
18	JUROR NO. 6: So I wouldn't have an	
19	opinion.	
20	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. But the Judge would	
21	say he's not guilty as he sits here unless and until the state	
22	can prove beyond a reasonable doubt what their charges are;	
23	right?	

1	JUROR NO. 6: Right.
2	MR. HARTWIG: So he's not guilty. Are you
3	comfortable with that?
4	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
5	MR. HARTWIG: As he sits here?
6	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
7	MR. HARTWIG: We talked about the highest
8	burden in our land, beyond a reasonable doubt.
9	JUROR NO. 6: What's that?
10	MR. HARTWIG: The burden of proof.
11	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
12	MR. HARTWIG: Beyond a reasonable doubt.
13	JUROR NO. 6: Uh-huh.
14	MR. HARTWIG: It's the highest burden in
15	our country. And there's a reason why. And some of the
16	potential jurors had indicated because somebody's liberty is
17	at stake; correct?
18	JUROR NO. 6: Correct.
19	MR. HARTWIG: And are you comfortable with
20	that level of burden being put on the state?
21	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
22	MR. HARTWIG: Do you think there's a good
23	reason for that?

1	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	
2	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And you could follow	
3	the law and be fair and impartial and make them do that?	
4	JUROR NO. 6: Uh-huh.	
5	MR. HARTWIG: Yes? Okay. And we talked	
6	about our client perhaps not testifying?	
7	JUROR NO. 6: Right.	
8	MR. HARTWIG: If he did not, would you be	
9	okay with that?	
10	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.	
11	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And if the Judge	
12	instructed you that that's the law, that you can't use that	
13	against him, that would be okay?	
14	JUROR NO. 6: Right.	
15	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And you also heard us	
16	talk about that we have no burden of proof, which means we	
17	don't have to present any witnesses. If we didn't and I'm	
18	not saying we wouldn't but if we didn't, would you hold	
19	that against us?	
20	JUROR NO. 6: (No response.)	
21	MR. HARTWIG: If we didn't call any	
22	witnesses on our side, would you be okay with that?	
23	JUROR NO. 6: (No response.)	

1	MR. HARTWIG:	It's okay. Go ahead.
		•
2		Well, you have to have
3	witnesses.	
4	MR. HARTWIG:	Well, the state does, for
5	sure. We don't.	
6	JUROR NO. 6:	Oh, okay. I see what
7	you're	
8	MR. HARTWIG:	It just happens to be the
9	rules of this process.	
10	JUROR NO. 6:	Yes. That would be fine.
11	MR. HARTWIG:	You would be okay with that?
12	JUROR NO. 6:	Yes.
13	MR. HARTWIG:	You seem to have some
14	reservations. And that's okay.	Because this is all new.
15	JUROR NO. 6:	Right.
16	MR. HARTWIG:	Do you think you could follow
17	the law and say that's okay, the	ey don't need to prove
18	anything?	
19	JUROR NO. 6:	Yes.
20	MR. HARTWIG:	Okay. What about the issue
21	of tattoos? Does that bother yo	ou at all?
22	JUROR NO. 6:	No. My kids have 'em.
23	MR. HARTWIG:	Okay. Most do; right?

1	JUROR NO. 6: Some they wish they didn't
2	have after a time period.
3	MR. HARTWIG: But you love your grandkids
4	and your kids and everybody who has them?
5	JUROR NO. 6: Oh, yeah. Oh, absolutely.
6	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Do you think you would
7	feel comfortable sitting on this jury and deciding this case?
8	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
9	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Do you want to be a
10	juror?
11	JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
12	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. All right.
13	JUROR NO. 6: I think.
14	MR. HARTWIG: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Becker, pass
16	for cause?
17	MR. BECKER: Yes, pass for cause for this
18	jury, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, pass for cause?
20	MR. HARTWIG: Pass for cause.
21	THE COURT: The second manner in which you
22	might be released from this jury is by a peremptory challenge.
23	Each side has a limited number of peremptory challenges. They

1	can request that a juror be excused. They don't have to give
2	the Court a reason. It's their part of the jury selection
3	process. Don't take offense if you're released by a
4	peremptory challenge.
5	Mr. Becker, does the state have a peremptory
6	challenge?
7	MR. BECKER: Yes. We would thank and
8	excuse Mrs. Bordner.
9	THE COURT: Mrs. Bordner, thank you very
10	much. You're excused. Please call back in after 4:30
11	tomorrow for additional instructions.
12	JUROR NO. 4: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Cheryl Falatic. All right.
14	Miss Falatic, you heard all the questions I asked in general
15	and the questions from the state and defense counsel.
16	Anything that you think you have to respond to any particular
17	of those questions?
18	JUROR NO. 4: No.
19	THE COURT: And Mr. Becker and Mr. Hartwig
20	are going to be very short with the following up then.
21	Go ahead, Mr. Becker.
22	MR. BECKER: I have no questions, Your
23	Honor.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig.
2	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Your Honor Miss Falatic, is
4	it your daughter that works for Trumbull Family Court?
5	JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
6	MR. HARTWIG: And what does she do?
7	JUROR NO. 4: She works in I think okay.
8	I think she works I think she works in the file room. And,
9	and see, her husband, he is a probation officer.
10	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Was there anything
11	that made you uncomfortable about the questions that
12	Mr. Becker and I asked the jury?
13	JUROR NO. 4: No.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Would you feel comfortable
15	sitting on this jury?
16	JUROR NO. 4: I think so, yes.
17	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Did you agree with
18	what we had indicated regarding burden of proof and all of
19	those things?
20	JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
21	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. No further questions,
22	Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Pass for cause, Mr. Becker?

1	MR. BECKER: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig?
3	MR. HARTWIG: Pass for cause.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, does defense have
5	a peremptory challenge?
6	MR. HARTWIG: We do, Your Honor. We would
7	like to thank but excuse Juror Number 10.
8	THE COURT: All right. Mr. DiTommaso,
9	thank you very much. You're excused. Please call back in
10	tomorrow after 4:30 for additional instructions.
11	Megan Smith-Vincent. Miss Smith-Vincent, you've
12	heard all the questions the Court asked and the state and
13	defense counsel?
14	Anything specific to answer to any of those
15	questions?
16	JUROR NO. 10: No.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Becker.
18	MR. BECKER: Nothing, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig?
20	MR. HARTWIG: Just still good morning.
21	Okay? So just briefly. You've heard us talk about a variety
22	of topics; right?
23	JUROR NO. 10: Yes, sir.

1	MR. HARTWIG: Was there anything that you
2	had a strong opinion on one way or another?
3	JUROR NO. 10: The only thing I was
4	thinking about was, I agree with her. Some tattoos have
5	symbologies that have certain meanings why people would wear
6	them.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And is that just from
8	like your general life experience, or do you have any training
9	in that?
10	JUROR NO. 10: I have no training, no, sir.
11	I have a sister that has went through court and jail and a
12	bunch of stuff and I've learned a lot of things. When she
13	spoke, different groups with tattoos like could be gang
14	related or Nazi symbols. I mean, if there were certain
15	tattoos that would have like I don't know how to word it
16	properly.
17	MR. HARTWIG: Would well, you heard
18	Mr. Zatvarnicky indicate that in his experience as a trooper
19	sometimes it's true, sometimes it's not true, what people put
20	on their bodies?
21	JUROR NO. 10: People do change,
22	absolutely.
23	MR. HARTWIG: Or change, absolutely. And

1	don't get it removed. Would it influence you in some way if
2	you saw a witness with a particular tattoo or the defendant in
3	this case?
4	JUROR NO. 10: Not personally. I mean, I
5	have tattoos myself. So, I mean, I like them. So I wouldn't
6	hold anyone accountable for it.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Would you be able to
8	disregard how somebody looks as far as judging their
9	credibility as a witness or whatever they're used for?
10	JUROR NO. 10: I could. I could do you
11	want to reword that question? I'm sorry.
12	MR. HARTWIG: Would the fact that a person
13	has tattoos that's involved in this case, whether it is a
14	witness or the defendant, would that influence how you judge
15	the evidence in this case?
16	JUROR NO. 10: Negative.
17	MR. HARTWIG: All right. Meaning you could
18	be fair and impartial?
19	JUROR NO. 10: Absolutely.
20	MR. HARTWIG: And that would have nothing
21	to do with it?
22	JUROR NO. 10: Yes, sir.
23	MR. HARTWIG: All right. And as far as our

1	concept of proof beyond a reasonable doubt and presumption of
2	innocence, that's okay with you?
3	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
4	MR. HARTWIG: You understand it?
5	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
6	MR. HARTWIG: You would follow the law?
7	JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
8	MR. HARTWIG: No further questions. Thank
9	you.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, pass for cause?
11	MR. BECKER: Yes, Your Honor, state would
12	pass for cause.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, for cause?
14	MR. HARTWIG: Pass for cause.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, does the state have
16	a peremptory challenge?
17	MR. BECKER: State would pass, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hartwig, does
19	the defense have a peremptory challenge?
20	MR. HARTWIG: One moment, Your Honor. Your
21	Honor, we would thank but excuse Juror Number 1.
22	THE COURT: Miss Hollenbank, thank you very
23	much. You're excused. Please call back after 4:30 tomorrow

1	for additional instructions.
2	Larry Ayers. Mr. Ayers, you've had a chance to
3	listen to all the questions of the Court and state counsel and
4	defense counsel. Anything jump out at you that you think you
5	need to answer?
6	JUROR NO. 1: No, sir.
7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Becker.
8	MR. BECKER: No questions. Thank you,
9	Mr. Ayers.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig.
11	MR. HARTWIG: No questions, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Very well. Pass for cause,
13	Mr. Becker?
14	MR. BECKER: Yes, sir, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Pass for cause, Mr. Hartwig?
16	MR. HARTWIG: Pass, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. Then we're back to
18	the state. Does the state have another peremptory challenge,
19	Mr. Becker?
20	MR. BECKER: State would pass, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hartwig, does
22	the defense have a peremptory?
23	MR. HARTWIG: Your Honor, we would thank

1	but excuse Juror Number 9.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Zatvarnicky or no.
3	That's 8. Mr. Fuda, you're excused. Thank you very much.
4	Please call back in after 4:30 tomorrow for additional
5	instructions.
6	Mark Smolinsky. Mr. Smolinsky. You've heard all the
7	questions of the Court
8	JUROR NO. 9: Yes, I have.
9	THE COURT: and state's counsel and
10	defense counsel. Anything that jumps out at you that you
11	think you have to answer?
12	JUROR NO. 9: The only thing that I have is
13	I was the victim of a crime years ago.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	JUROR NO. 9: And, to be honest, I think
16	that might have some influence.
17	THE COURT: We need to get into that. I
18	appreciate you bringing that up to me. Was anyone ever
19	prosecuted for it?
20	JUROR NO. 9: No.
21	THE COURT: So, again, that would be one
22	thing that, number one, you might hold it against the state
23	for not prosecuting, but you also might hold it against the
	1

1	defendant because he's the defendant?
2	JUROR NO. 9: Exactly.
3	THE COURT: You think that you couldn't set
4	that aside and listen to the evidence in this case?
5	JUROR NO. 9: I think I would have a hard
6	time.
7	THE COURT: All right. Any questions,
8	Mr. Becker?
9	MR. BECKER: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig?
11	MR. HARTWIG: Just a few, Your Honor. So
12	Mr. Smolinsky, when you say you think you would have a hard
13	time, do you think you would be more swayed in favor of the
14	prosecution or the defense?
15	JUROR NO. 9: The prosecution.
16	MR. HARTWIG: So you would in favor of
17	the prosecution?
18	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
19	MR. HARTWIG: More likely to find against a
20	defendant just because of your personal experiences?
21	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
22	MR. HARTWIG: So despite the instructions
23	of law, despite you trying to be fair and impartial, listening

1	to other jurors, you think you could not do it?
2	JUROR NO. 9: I think I would have some
3	difficulty with it.
4	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Have you served as a
5	juror before?
6	JUROR NO. 9: No, I haven't.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And what was it about
8	the experience that bothered you so much?
9	JUROR NO. 9: It kind of doesn't feel good
10	when a crime is committed against you and it's kind of hard to
11	forget about it.
12	MR. HARTWIG: Sure. Did you know anything
13	about the alleged perpetrator?
14	JUROR NO. 9: No.
15	MR. HARTWIG: All right. So there wasn't
16	an identification? Couldn't put the case together?
17	JUROR NO. 9: No. They never found any
18	information about any of it.
19	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Did they try?
20	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
21	MR. HARTWIG: All right. So you don't
22	fault the police for not trying?
23	JUROR NO. 9: No.

1	MR. HARTWIG: You're just based on that
2	experience, it's a tough thing to live with?
3	JUROR NO. 9: Yes. It was a traumatic
4	experience.
5	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you very much.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Smolinsky, thank you very
7	much. You're excused for cause.
8	Greg Robertshaw. Mr. Robertshaw, again, you heard
9	the questions of the Court and the state and defense counsel.
10	Anything that jumps out at you that you think you have to
11	answer?
12	JUROR NO. 9: No, sir.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, any questions?
14	MR. BECKER: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, any questions?
16	MR. HARTWIG: No questions, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, pass for cause?
18	MR. BECKER: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, for cause?
20	MR. HARTWIG: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Does the state have a
22	peremptory challenge?
23	MR. BECKER: State would pass, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, does the defense
2	have a peremptory challenge?
3	MR. HARTWIG: One moment, Your Honor. Your
4	Honor, we would thank and excuse Juror Number 1, Mr. Ayers.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Ayers, thank you very much.
6	You're excused. Please call back in after 4:30 tomorrow for
7	additional instructions.
8	Todd Jones. Mr. Jones, you heard all the questions
9	of the Court and the state and defense counsel. Anything that
10	jumps out at you that you think you need to answer?
11	JUROR NO. 1: No.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, any questions?
13	MR. BECKER: Yeah. Just briefly.
14	Mr. Jones, I have a few questions for you. You own
15	any firearms?
16	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
17	MR. BECKER: What do you have?
18	JUROR NO. 1: Couple of shotguns and a .380
19	pistol.
20	MR. BECKER: Okay. And I also see you have
21	some teen-age children, looks like twins?
22	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
23	MR. BECKER: I hope I got that right. And

1	a 16-year-old? You were able to hear some of the questions
2	that I asked about, you know, kids and sometimes and
3	Mr. Hartwig as well about trying to sort through some
4	issues with your kids?
5	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
6	MR. BECKER: You feel you can perform that
7	duty here as a juror in this case?
8	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
9	MR. BECKER: All right. Thank you very
10	much for your time, Mr. Jones.
11	Mr. Hartwig.
12	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you. Mr. Jones, good
13	morning. Now you heard us talk a little bit about tattoos and
14	that?
15	JUROR NO. 1: Yeah.
16	MR. HARTWIG: Right? You work as a
17	laborer?
18	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
19	MR. HARTWIG: You work with people with
20	tattoos?
21	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
22	MR. HARTWIG: You know anything about the
23	supposed meanings of tattoos?

1	JUROR NO. 1: Just basics. I mean, you see
2	like the people were saying earlier. I mean but
3	MR. HARTWIG: You wouldn't judge a witness
4	or the defendant if they had a tattoo?
5	JUROR NO. 1: No, I have some myself.
6	MR. HARTWIG: You have tattoos?
7	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
8	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. If you would learn
9	during the course of being a juror whether a witness or a
10	defendant had done something criminal in the past, would that
11	lead you to judge him differently based on future conduct or,
12	you know?
13	JUROR NO. 1: No.
14	MR. HARTWIG: So because somebody did
15	something before, are they more likely in your mind to have
16	done something again?
17	JUROR NO. 1: No. People change. I mean,
18	people do stuff when they're kids and get in trouble and then
19	never get in trouble again.
20	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. So in this case, if
21	you were a juror, you could judge the defendant, for example,
22	based on just the evidence related to this particular case?
23	JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

1	MR. HARTWIG: Wouldn't have any problem
2	with that?
3	JUROR NO. 1: No.
4	MR. HARTWIG: Wouldn't be looking on the
5	outside?
6	JUROR NO. 1: No.
7	MR. HARTWIG: Anything else that we talked
8	about that you would have jumped in and said, hey, I feel
9	strongly about this or that?
10	JUROR NO. 1: No.
11	MR. HARTWIG: Would you like to be a juror
12	on this case if you had to choose?
13	JUROR NO. 1: Yeah.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, pass for cause?
16	MR. BECKER: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig, pass for cause?
18	MR. HARTWIG: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Let's see. Scott
20	Hardval and Darlene Frantz, if you you'll come forward.
21	And at this time Mr. Hardval will be Alternate Number
22	1 and Ms. Frantz Alternate Number 2. This is a criminal
23	trial. In order to have a verdict, we must have a unanimous

1 verdict of 12; can't be 11, can't be 10. So every trial that's going to take more than part of a day we need to have 2 3 alternates in case some illness or injury befalls one of the other jurors. We rely on our alternates on a regular basis. 4 5 So your duty is the same as the other jurors; to listen to all of the evidence and be ready and willing, if called upon, to 7 step in as a relief type of operation here. You've heard all the questions that the Court has 9 asked throughout this process and the state and the defendant. 10 Anything that you think has to be added or responded to? 11 Mr. Hardval first.

ALTERNATE NUMBER 1: No. sir.

THE COURT: Miss Frantz, anything?

ALTERNATE NO. 2: The only thing that bothered me was when the defendant asked, you know, would I have a problem if they didn't call any witnesses.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Again, the fact is the state has the burden of proving the case.

ALTERNATE NO. 2: Right.

THE COURT: The defense does not have a burden. So if the state doesn't make their burden, the defense doesn't have to. That doesn't mean they won't call witnesses. That means they're not obligated to.

1	JUROR NO. 2: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand that? All
3	right. Very well. Any other questions?
4	ALTERNATE NO. 2: No.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, you may inquire.
6	MR. BECKER: Okay. Let's start with the
7	easy one first. Mr. Hardval, I see your son is an attorney?
8	ALTERNATE NO. 1: Yes, sir.
9	MR. BECKER: Where at?
10	ALTERNATE NO. 1: Pendleton, Oregon.
11	MR. BECKER: Oregon. That's what I
12	thought. Okay. Do you know what kind of law he practices?
13	ALTERNATE NO. 1: General practice.
14	Whatever comes through his door.
15	MR. BECKER: I hear ya.
16	And, Miss Frantz, you brought up and I just want
17	to be sure we're clear on this. They don't have to present
18	one witness. They may get up at the end of this case on
19	Friday and I may do such a terrible job and the witnesses may
20	be so awful and the evidence so lacking that they say, "Hey,
21	you know what, we got this in the bag. Forget about it." And
22	you 12 jurors, if you're an alternate and you somehow get on

there, you may say, "Boy, that Becker and their case is awful.

23

They stink." And you might render a verdict in 10 or 15 minutes. So there is a lot of reasons they may not even present evidence or testimony. So you wouldn't hold that against them if they didn't; correct?

ALTERNATE NO. 2: No.

MR. BECKER: Okay. I just wanted to be clear because you had mentioned that. So you feel you could be a fair and impartial juror in this case; correct?

ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.

MR. BECKER: And I hate to tell you this, but I'm gonna tell you this anyway for both of you. The reasons we put the alternates so close to the witnesses and so close to the action is because there's obviously a chance you won't serve on this jury and you won't get to deliberate. But I think the Court and probably the attorneys will speak, it's been more often than not, particularly in cases such as this where there's high stakes, a homicide and death involved, where something -- and I hope it doesn't happen to one of our regular jurors -- but sometimes something happens where they might have a sick child or spouse or something at home or they may themselves get sick. I know we are in terrible flu season now. So that's why we put you folks here. So it can be disappointing in the fact that you may get all the way through

1	the trial and not get to serve and deliberate. But it's just
2	as important that you folks pay attention, knowing that
3	there's that possibility you might not be able to get in and
4	go in the jury room to deliberate. Both of you are up for
5	that task?
6	ALTERNATE NO. 1: Yes.
7	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.
8	MR. BECKER: Thank you very much for your
9	time and your service.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig.
11	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	Good afternoon. Mr. Hardval?
13	ALTERNATE NO. 1: Yes, sir.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Does your son do any criminal
15	defense work?
16	ALTERNATE NO. 1: What's that?
17	MR. HARTWIG: Does your son do any criminal
18	defense work?
19	ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. He's been practicing
20	for two years.
21	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. All right. I saw you
22	were you or your family member were the victim of a home
23	robbery?

MR. HARTWIG: How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 1: 33 years. Actually married one year, so I know. MR. HARTWIG: Do you have feelings about that one way or another? ALTERNATE NO. 1: I wish I could have got my stuff back. That's it. MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl? ALTERNATE NO. 2: They found out who it	1	ALTERNATE NO. 1: Yes.
married one year, so I know. MR. HARTWIG: Do you have feelings about that one way or another? ALTERNATE NO. 1: I wish I could have got my stuff back. That's it. MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	2	MR. HARTWIG: How long ago was that?
MR. HARTWIG: Do you have feelings about that one way or another? ALTERNATE NO. 1: I wish I could have got my stuff back. That's it. MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	3	ALTERNATE NO. 1: 33 years. Actually
that one way or another? ALTERNATE NO. 1: I wish I could have got my stuff back. That's it. MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	4	married one year, so I know.
ALTERNATE NO. 1: I wish I could have got my stuff back. That's it. MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	5	MR. HARTWIG: Do you have feelings about
my stuff back. That's it. MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	6	that one way or another?
MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	7	ALTERNATE NO. 1: I wish I could have got
ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	8	my stuff back. That's it.
MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy? ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	9	MR. HARTWIG: Was it prosecuted?
ALTERNATE NO. 1: No. MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	10	ALTERNATE NO. 1: No.
MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	11	MR. HARTWIG: Never found the guy?
were the victim of a home robbery? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	12	ALTERNATE NO. 1: No.
ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	13	MR. HARTWIG: Miss Frantz, likewise, you
MR. HARTWIG: You personally? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	14	were the victim of a home robbery?
ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes. MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	15	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.
MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that? ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	16	MR. HARTWIG: You personally?
ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years ago. MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	17	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.
20 ago. 21 MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same 22 experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	18	MR. HARTWIG: Yes? How long ago was that?
MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	19	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Oh, geez, 30 some years
experience or did they catch that guy or girl?	20	ago.
	21	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. And was it the same
23 ALTERNATE NO. 2: They found out who it	22	experience or did they catch that guy or girl?
	23	ALTERNATE NO. 2: They found out who it

1	was.
2	MR. HARTWIG: All right. And did the
3	prosecution go forward?
4	ALTERNATE NO. 2: No.
5	MR. HARTWIG: All right. Do you have
6	feelings about that then?
7	ALTERNATE NO. 2: No.
8	MR. HARTWIG: You're not frustrated
9	ALTERNATE NO. 2: No.
10	MR. HARTWIG: with the police or with
11	like we had another potential juror that said, "Hey, I can't
12	be fair and impartial because of that. I just have a bad
13	experience."
14	ALTERNATE NO. 2: No.
15	MR. HARTWIG: You could be fair and
16	impartial in the case?
17	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.
18	MR. HARTWIG: Okay. You know, I respect
19	Mr. Becker as a prosecutor. He is an excellent lawyer. I
20	also respect Lebron James. Okay? Sometimes Lebron James
21	doesn't do everything he can; right? Doesn't hustle. Maybe
22	misses foul shots. Commits unnecessary fouls. And they may
23	lose a game. Doesn't mean I don't respect him anymore.

1	Likewise, the state of Ohio is not infallible. Just because
2	they bring a case, like an indictment, doesn't mean that
3	everybody is guilty. Do you agree with that?
4	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.
5	MR. HARTWIG: That they have the highest
6	burden and that must be maintained for all of us.
7	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.
8	MR. HARTWIG: And you're okay with that?
9	ALTERNATE NO. 2: Yes.
10	MR. HARTWIG: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, pass for cause?
12	MR. BECKER: Yes, Your Honor, we would pass
13	for cause.
14	MR. HARTWIG: Pass for cause.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, peremptory as to
16	the alternates?
17	MR. BECKER: State would pass.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Hartwig?
19	MR. HARTWIG: Likewise. We'll pass.
20	THE COURT: Parties are satisfied with the
21	jury and alternates. The remaining prospective jurors, it
22	will not be necessary for you to serve in this case. You can
23	see by the nature of the selection process, it's obviously

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

necessary that we have a lot more jurors than less when we start. Your service will not be required in this case. You are excused, with directions to call back in after -- tomorrow after 4:30 for additional instructions. Thank you very much. You're excused.

Now, members of the jury, we swore you in before we asked you any questions. Now it's necessary to swear you in

Now, members of the jury, we swore you in before we asked you any questions. Now it's necessary to swear you in as the official jury in this case. If you'll please stand and raise your right hand.

Do you swear or affirm that you will diligently inquire into and carefully deliberate all matters between the State of Ohio and the defendant Austin Taylor Burke? Please answer, "I will."

ALL JURORS: I will.

THE COURT: Do you swear or affirm you will do this to the best of your skill and understanding, without bias or prejudice, so help you god? Please answer, "I will."

ALL JURORS: I will.

THE COURT: You may be seated.

Now, we are going to do a jury view that's scheduled this afternoon so I'm going to give you some preliminary instructions before we go to lunch and then we'll go to lunch and then we'll do the jury view. That will have to be how we

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

have it set up.

Now, it is important that you be fair and attentive throughout the trial. Do not discuss this case among yourselves, nor with anyone else. Do not permit anyone to discuss it with you or in your presence. Do not form or express an opinion in this case until it is finally submitted to you.

You will receive the opening statements, the evidence, the arguments, and the law in that order. It would be unfair to discuss this case among yourselves before you have everything necessary for your decision. You must explain this rule prohibiting discussion of this case with your family and friends. When trial is over, you will then be released from this instruction and at that time you may, but are not required, to discuss this case and your experiences as a juror. Until that moment, you must control your natural desire to discuss this case both here and at home.

Now, the Court instructs you not to converse with the attorneys, parties, or witnesses during the trial. Likewise, the other participants in the trial must not converse with you. If anyone should attempt to discuss this case with you, report that incident immediately to the Court by reporting it to my bailiff.

_

Ö

You may not investigate or attempt to obtain additional information about this case outside of the courtroom. Now, there's been a new twist in this. Everyone has a smart phone now. You have the whole world at your fingertips. We've had mistrials throughout the state because people go on just to get a definition or a description. You are not allowed to do that. Stay away from social media. Stay away from your smart phones. Do not try to investigate anything about this case. All the evidence must come from the witness stand.

All right. Now, the procedure for trial is controlled by statute. First, counsel for the state, the prosecutor, outlines what he expects his evidence will be. Then counsel for the defendant may state what their evidence will be. These opening statements are not evidence. They are a preview of the claims of each party designed to help you follow the evidence and understand the case as it is presented.

In the presentation of the evidence, the state proceeds first; thereafter, the defendant may offer evidence; and the state may offer rebuttal evidence. The trial itself concludes with the arguments of counsel and instructions of law by the Court and thereafter you will deliberate upon your

verdict.

Now, before we hear the opening statements of counsel and begin to take evidence, I believe it would be helpful if you were to have some preliminary instructions to follow in listening to and considering the evidence which you will hear in this case. Later, after you've heard all of the evidence and closing arguments of counsel, I will give you further instructions covering additional law which you are required to follow in this case. It is the duty of the Judge to instruct you on the law, and it is your duty to follow the law as I give it to you both now and at the conclusion of the evidence.

First of all, it is your exclusive duty to decide all questions of fact submitted to you. In connection with this duty, you must determine the effect and value of the evidence. You must not be influenced in your decision by sympathy, prejudice or passion toward any party, witness, or attorney in this case.

Now, if in these instructions or in the instructions I will give you at the conclusion of the evidence any principle or idea is repeated or stated in varying ways, no emphasis thereon is intended and none is to be inferred by you. Therefore, you must not single out any particular sentence or individual point or instruction and ignore the

others; but, rather, you are to consider all of the instructions as a whole and consider each instruction in relation to the other instructions.

Now, the fact that I give you some of the instructions now and some at the conclusion of the evidence has no significance as to their relatives importance, nor does the order in which I give the instructions.

Now, the attorneys for the parties will, of course, have active roles in the trial. They will make opening statements to you, question witnesses, make objections, and finally will argue the case as the last step before you hear my final instructions and commence your deliberations.

Now remember, the attorneys are not witnesses. And since it is your duty to decide this case solely on the evidence which you see and hear in this case, you must not consider as evidence any statement made by any attorney during the trial. There is, of course, an exception. And that is if the attorneys agree upon a fact. Such agreement, stipulation, or admission of fact would be brought to your attention and you may then regard that fact as being conclusively proved without the necessity of further evidence as to that fact.

Now, if a question is asked and an objection to that question is sustained, you will then not hear the answer and

you must not speculate as to what the answer might have been or the reason for the objection. If an answer is given to a question and the Court then grants a motion to strike the answer, you are to completely disregard such question and answer and not consider them for any purpose. A question, in and of itself, is not evidence and may be considered by you only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

Now, any fact in this case may be proven by either direct or circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence means exactly what that name implies. That is, evidence which directly proves a fact without having to infer that fact from some other fact. Direct evidence is usually the testimony given by a witness who has seen or heard the facts to which he or she testifies, and it includes the exhibits admitted into evidence during the trial.

Now, circumstantial evidence, on the other hand, is proof by facts -- proof of facts by direct evidence from which you may infer a fact in question. The law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence as to the degree of proof required, and facts may be proven by either type of evidence or a combination of them. Each is accepted as a reasonable method of proof, and each is respected for such convincing force as it may carry.

Now, as jurors, you have the sole and exclusive duty to decide the credibility of the witnesses who will testify in this case, which simply means that it is you who must decide whether to believe or disbelieve a particular witness and how much weight, if any, to give to the testimony of each witness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In determining these questions, you will apply the tests of truthfulness which you apply in your daily lives. These tests include the appearance of each witness on the stand; his or her manner of testifying; the reasonableness of the testimony; the opportunity that witness had to see, hear, or know the things concerning which he or she testified; accuracy of memory; frankness or lack of it; intelligence; interest and bias, if any; together with all the facts and circumstances surrounding the testimony. Applying these tests, you will apply to the testimony of each witness such weight as you deem proper. You are not required to believe the testimony of any witness simply because he or she is under oath. You may believe or disbelieve all or any part of the testimony of any witness. You should not decide any issue of fact merely on the basis of the number of witnesses who testify on each side of an issue. Rather, the final test in judging evidence would be the force and weight of the evidence, regardless of the number of witnesses.

testimony of one witness, if believed by you, is sufficient to prove any fact.

Also, discrepancies in a witness's testimony, or between that testimony and others, does not necessarily mean you should disbelieve the witness, as people commonly forget facts or recollect them erroneously after the passage of time. You are all certainly aware of the fact that two persons who witnessed the same incident may often see or hear it differently. In considering a discrepancy in a witness's testimony, you should consider whether the discrepancy concerns an important fact or a trivial one.

Now, if you conclude that a witness has willfully lied in his or her testimony as to a material fact, you may then distrust all of that witness's testimony, and you would have the right to reject all of that witness's testimony unless from all of the evidence you believe that the probability of truth favors that testimony in other particulars.

Now, this concludes my preliminary instructions.

Again, I may instruct you during the trial and you will receive final instructions prior to your deliberations.

Now, the next matter on the agenda is a jury view.

You will be taken to various locations involved in this case.

You will remain together under the supervision of my bailiff until you return to the courtroom. Counsel may accompany you, but they may not discuss this case or demonstrate anything relating to it. The bailiff may call your attention to certain areas or objects previously requested by counsel.

Now, what you observe at the scene is not evidence. The conditions may have changed since the time of the events in this case. The evidence as to the physical appearance of the scene must come from the witness stand. The sole purpose of this view is to help you understand the evidence as it is presented during the trial.

Now, we're going to release you to lunch now. It's almost 12:30 so we'll release you until 1:30. I'm going to have you come back to the petit jury room at that time. I see no reason to reconvene in court unless counsel requests that, but I don't hear anything from Mr. Becker or Mr. Hartwig. Report down to the petit jury room. My bailiff will, at that point in time, get you organized and take you on a jury view. When you've completed that, you'll come back to the court and we'll continue with the case.

Have a pleasant lunch. Back at 1:30 in the jury room.

(Whereupon, the jury was excused for its

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

1	luncheon recess at 12:31 p.m.)
2	(Whereupon, the following proceedings
3	occurred in open court, out of the presence of the jury, at
4	12:32 p.m.)
5	THE COURT: Back on the record.
6	Mr. Hartwig and Mr. Olson, it's my understanding you're going
7	to waive your client to go on the jury view?
8	MR. HARTWIG: That is correct, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. And again, I'll
10	indicate to the jury when they come back that that was, you
11	know, your intention to do that; that he wasn't trying to
12	disregard or insult his presence. But that's your intention.
13	So we will not bring him back over, then, until the jury
14	returns from the jury view then.
15	MR. OLSON: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Keep him over there until we
17	get a hold of you.
18	(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was had
19	commencing at 12:33 p.m.)
20	(Whereupon, the jury was excused to view
21	multiple scenes, commencing at 1:40 p.m. and concluding at
22	4:56 p.m.)
23	(Whereupon, the following proceedings

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

occurred in open court at 4:56 p.m.)

THE COURT: That will obviously conclude today's presentations. Tomorrow I have some matters I have to deal with in the morning, so we will not start until 1:00. I ask you to report to the petit jury room prior to 1:00. We'll try to get you right up and start moving at that point in time.

From now on until the end of the trial at any time I advise you that we're going to take a break, take your break and then go back down to the petit jury room so that you're not interacting with any of the witnesses or parties that might be up on the third floor here.

Now, the news media was in here today. There may be some things on TV. There may be things in the paper. There may be things on the internet. Again, avoid all news, because we're only limited to what's going to come from the witness stand here. So don't watch the news and don't read the paper regarding anything that has to do with this trial.

So I admonish you now, and I will every time, do not discuss this case among yourselves, nor with anyone else. Do not form or express an opinion. Have a pleasant day. I will see you tomorrow.

(Whereupon, the jury was excused at 4:57

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

1 p.m.) 2 (Whereupon, the following proceedings occurred out of the presence of the jury.) 3 THE COURT: Mr. Olson, for purposes of the 4 5 record, it was my understanding as we proceeded that you had 6 waived the necessity of your client being present just for the 7 release of the jury today? That is correct, Your Honor. 8 MR. OLSON: 9 THE COURT: We did not bring him over, but 10 he'll be over tomorrow morning when we start again. 11 Anything further we need to deal with today, Mr. Olson? 12 13 Nothing further, Your Honor. MR. OLSON: 14 THE COURT: Mr. Becker, anything? 15 MR. BECKER: Just, Your Honor, there was a 16 disc that I forgot to get a copy to Mr. Olson. I will have 17 that here. It's a security video from one of the locations 18 near the bike path. I don't think there's anything 19 significant on it, but we do have that. I will get that to 20 We just got him a fresh copy of what I believe the 21 up-to-the-minute notes of Detective Greaver. And earlier 22 today I provided defense counsel, it was a cell phone mapping,

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO

but it was a changed mapping because we extracted -- all the

23

1	calls were basically layered on top of each other. So we've
2	extracted them out one by one so now they're about 80 to 100
3	pages. So I think with that said we have everything done and
4	we'll see you at 1:00.
5	THE COURT: 1:00 for opening statements.
6	MR. OLSON: Thank you.
7	MR. BECKER: Thank you. Your Honor.
8	(Whereupon, court was adjourned to Tuesday,
9	March 6, 2018.)
10	(Note: For further proceedings in this
11	matter, please refer to Volume II.)
12	* * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER TRUMBULL COUNTY * WARREN, OHIO