

Political Profiles
The Phenomenal Rise to Power of Certain Political Leaders
(Noting Similar Characteristics of Barack Obama)

Karl Marx – Per biographies, and the Communist Manifesto.

A prolific philosophical writer, he was called the greatest living thinker of his time.

Was a proponent of Hegel's theory of dialectic materialism. Or the logical progression of thesis, antithesis, and synthesis, which he referred to as the evolving process of history. The principle of which is that “an idea or event (thesis) generates its opposite (antithesis), leading to a reconciliation of opposites (synthesis)”, Websters New World College Dict., 4th Ed.

Marx was dedicated to the destruction of industrial capitalism, and private property.

He pitted the working class (proletariat) against the bourgeoisie (ruling class) supremacy.

Advocated the overthrow and conquest of their political power and property (capital, land, industry, etc.).

Redistribution of wealth, by direct confiscation, being the main socialist/communist principle of his governmental philosophy.

Adolf Hitler – Per biographies, and Mein Kampf (written while he was in prison).

He rose from an unknown, obscure life to Nazi dictator.

As Nazi leader, he built up membership quickly, mostly because of his powerful speaking ability (charismatic oratory, per Wikipedia). Certainly an Obama characteristic talent!

Per Time 100: “He knew how to please, impress and charm the very interlocutors (dialoguers, questioners, etc.) from whom he wanted support.” Another Obama trait!

Per Wikipedia: Hitler expressed admiration for the Muslim military tradition, and religion (as “more compatible to us [Germans] than Christianity,” which he considered too weak and passive).

Driving characteristic forces:

Hatred of Jews – who he charged with responsibility for all the problems and evils of the world (particularly democracy, communism, and internationalism).

He called the Jews an anti-race. Some anti-Semitic question exists about Obama.

Was obsessed with German racial superiority. Could there be an African-American revenge factor in Obama's agenda? There certainly are signs of the possibility.

Fidel Castro – Per biographies, Wikipedia, etc.

Joined the Party of the Cuban People (Ortodoxo Party). They exposed corruption, and demanded reform. Obama similarity, in his campaign theme of “change” (in Wash. “failed policies”).

Principles:

Nationalism.

Anti-foreign influences.

Social justice.

Economic independence..

Distribution of wealth, by government.

Control of resources.

An Obama advocacy.

“ “ “

“ “ “

Per Obama anticipated tax policy, etc.

He led crusade against the ruling party, through:

Violence.

Firebrand speeches.

Effective political organization, which gave him

Recognition, and power.

Protested U.S. domination of Western Hemisphere.

Cynical of electoral process.

Declared advocacy of armed revolution.

Though a confirmed communist, he used the ruse of a return to democracy (per 1940 Constitution). The Cubans couldn't see through his duplicity, any more than most Americans could see the inherent danger in ignoring the very suspicious leanings, and relationships of Obama.

Further changes advocated:

Agrarian reform.

Recovery of government-usurped resources.

Education al reform.

Profit sharing with laborers.

Public housing provisions.

After the revolution, he insituted communist government, with corresponding restrictions and conditions – contrary to his campaign lies. What “surprises” will Obama have for us?

Barack Obama– per presidential campaign news reports, etc.

His rise to possible power, is a work in progress. And, some of the signs are not encouraging.

Matters of concern:

Instances and actions of questionable loyalty to America--

Associations with known racists, terrorists, anti-American people and organizations:

Jeremiah Wright

Obama sat for 20 years under his influence, if not tutelage, in anti-white America, and anti-Jew poisonous vitriole.

William Ayers

Unrepentant domestic terrorist. Leader of anti-capitalistic, and controversial social justice educational reforms (teaching, training, organizing, finance-raising, etc.). Obama personal ties suspected.

Saul Levinsky, Tony Resko, etc.

Involved in various criminal, and shady dealings. Obama connected with both people noted.

Rashid Khalidi

A key figure in the anti-Jewish PLO (terrorist organization). Obama with personal, and plausible ideological affinity with same.

Other observations, raising serious doubts about Obama's loyalty to America:

Instances of refusal to recite pledge of allegiance, salute flag, and wear patriotic pins, etc.

Avowed support of Muslim religion and nationality versus American (?), if circumstances called for such a decision.

Questions:

Is Obama, by virtue of those things, un-American?

Not without question- but very suspicious circumstantial evidence of untrustworthiness, and possible security risk.

Suggests conceivable subversive motivation to radically change the established government.

Why would a patriotic American citizen even consider such a one to be qualified , or fundamentally eligible for the presidency of our country?

He should, instead, be suspected to be potentially dangerous, with ulterior motives, and highly

doubtful credentials for the office of U.S. President.

If John McCain had any of those questionable relationships and sympathies, he would have been vilified by Democrats and the liberal media. He wouldn't have even gotten his own party's nomination. But Obama (the wolf in sheep's clothing?) gets a free pass and adoration, no matter what suspicions, and allegations are produced about him! What else, then, is such mindless submission, but idolatry?

It is very difficult to believe that so many Americans can be deceived, and enamored with a man who, I believe, may turn out to be an impostor. But it will be too late after he is elected. We have too much at stake--our very heritage and tradition--to take such a high-risk gamble with our precious, hard-won freedoms and privileges!

In reference to the redistribution of wealth, which Obama espouses, it is a more subtle socialistic method, than the confiscatory system of Marxism. It is accomplished by the imposition of increasing government control of free market institutions, including excessively higher taxes on the rich, and correspondingly lower taxes on the middle class. Which, further accelerates the progressive tax system we already have, along with other socialist-democratic programs, that diminish incentives for investment in productive commerce, and other free market enterprises.

The current financial crisis in the housing and mortgage, and auto industries, etc., with the correlative effects on other related businesses, is a case in point as to how much socialistic intervention may occur, and be particularly employed by Obama and his ideological associates. Some of which is already in process, on a mind-boggling scale!

Also, in the environmental realm, the redistribution process works by imposing fines, carbon credits, etc. Whereby industry that violates environmental "green" regulations and limits will be required to pay for their supposed excesses, by buying corresponding entitlements from non-violators, or paying fines to a government agency. The global warming control initiative will be aggressively expanded.

The scope and variety of innovative ideas and "reforms" probable to come, shouldn't surprise us, but because of the naivete, and irresponsibility of most citizens, we may wake up when it is too late (after we've sold the farm) for anything but revolution—which we will probably not have the collective courage for either. Example: Cuba!

Thought to consider, carefully:

The Puritans had a watchword: "Beware of ambitious men", i.e., ideologically driven men!

The comparability of these four dominant historical figures in respect to exceptional intellect, charisma, and political prowess is a compelling study in itself. The ideology of the first three is a matter of indisputable record, but for Obama (the fourth) time will tell the story whether he will follow suit. The elementary signs, and disposition indicate the distinct probability of his pushing aggressively for an increasingly socialistic government to develop. It would likely be more sophisticated, and less extreme than the other systems noted. Yet, we can hope that something better may materialize, but it will require strong conservative efforts to possibly intervene before it is too late, and that may not be long from now!

Summary of Barack Obama's philosophical, political, and social background, and its highly probable implications for the near future of America, under his presidency:

By virtue of his some 20 yr. highly suspect relationships with the controversial people noted on Page 2 (Wright, Ayers, Khalidi, Levinsky, Resko, etc.), I believe that these associations characterize Obama as anti-white (racist), anti-Jewish (racist), and anti-capitalist (socialist), to some serious degree, at least!

And that he may well be, therefore, a political opportunist*, driven by a socialistic ideology to radically change (probably the real meaning of the use of it as a key campaign word) our established republican form of government, and free market system of economy. Replacing it with, at best, some form of democratic/socialism, but which is, for all intents and purposes a centralized dictatorial government—by which private ownership of property, industrial capital, production, and distribution facilities and resources is severely affected or eliminated, and property is usurped, or controlled by the government.

*Opportunism, per Webster's Collegiate Dict., "the practice or policy of adapting one's actions, judgments, etc. to circumstances, as in politics, in order to further one's immediate interests, without regard for basic principles (i.e., the Constitution), or eventual consequences."