

12/1/13

God--Creator and Administrator  
Of the Universe

Quoting from a newspaper (Sarasota Herald-Tribune) article by Steve Olson Special to the Washington Post): "Creationists have not come up with a single scientific observation that undercuts evolution". How about direct observation of everything in nature, and easily concluding that everything exhibits supernaturally designed creation, and since the object of science is to determine the truth of a matter, it is definitely established by the "light of nature".

Evolutionists, therefore, have embarked on what must be the biggest "fool's errand" in the history of the world, without credentials, dedicating their intelligence to a fairy tale philosophy. The odds against its theoretical validity are far beyond any imaginable gamble that one could ever take, i.e., like betting everything on a lottery of all the world's wealth, with no winning number available!

And, the scientific observations that evolutionists take credit for, are those that they have stolen, and rebranded from the evidentiary proof of creation! The hijackers can't establish or verify their own theory, since they don't have a starting point, or origin. All they are doing is observing, and studying what God has created, and taking bows for it! The sophisticated mind game they are engaged in, with no basis in reality, is nothing more than "science fiction"! Webster accurately defines it as "fiction" (or feigned truth) "of a highly imaginative or fantastic kind, typically involving some actual or projected scientific phenomenon", which, in the case at hand, is completely antithetical to the self-evident, logical truth of supernatural creation!

Natural observation of the intricately complex makeup of man, clearly shows that only a powerful supernatural creator could produce such a magnificent creature, that a human being is, plus the myriads of other life forms, and substances, and physical laws, and materials that constitute the vast universe.

Strange, that people with exceptional intellects, can engross themselves in

biological and chemical observations and studies, examining things in minute detail--but apparently cannot, or will not see and appreciate the fact that they are dealing with specimens of God's workmanship. One does not have to be a scientist to be awed and fascinated by the amazing complexity, and designed functionality of the intricate features of the human mind and body, alone!

There is a very similar parallel, in principle, to that paradox, in theology, which will not be of much interest to a non-Christian, since the determinable literal truth of the issue, is not even heeded by most believers, to their shame and detriment! But, what the comparison reveals about human nature, is very telling and worth thinking about. Unfortunately, the human trait referred to is universal, and prevails in nearly every profession, or relationship--especially where the subject can have both a conservative, and liberal view or interpretation.

In the controversy about the question of the origin of the universe, all of the evidence is on the side of supernatural creation, even though there is comparatively little movement towards it from the evolutionary side. That is the inveterate human characteristic that I mentioned before. It is very much like partisan politics, where the issues are voted on as "us against them"!

The theological conflict relates to the interpretation of the gospel. The scriptural principles are absolute revelations from God about His sovereignly decreed, and administered plan of salvation. The literal doctrine specifically defines all the particular factors of the gospel, and for whom (predestinated), and how God executes His plan of redemption!

It is, therefore, the true gospel, authored and delivered by God, through Jesus Christ, to the apostles, and recorded intact in the scriptures for the churches, to be followed for all time. But, in the early centuries, a liberalized interpretation of the gospel was introduced into the churches, which has proliferated over time, until today most Christians have adopted the adulterated form of the gospel, which, since the reformation era has taken on the name of Arminianism, after a major promoter of the false doctrine, Jacobus Arminius.

In brief, the concept advocates that salvation is available universally, and that

every person is naturally able to believe the gospel, and have faith in the Lord Jesus Christ, as savior. Without taking issue with that specious theology, for the purpose of the illustration mentioned, and emphasizing the typical reaction of people to something different than they believe--however logical, and indisputably true the opposite position may be--the age-old controversy goes on, largely unsettled.

This divisive human tendency can be seen throughout the world, in law, in medicine, in politics, and probably in nearly every profession, or endeavor in life. Sometimes it is a good thing, if it leads to the best judgment, results etc., but it is counterproductive if agreement cannot be reached when it is important, and beneficial to those it affects.

When the reason for not being open to honest discourse, and debate over an issue, is unjustified ideological opposition, progress or truth itself suffers, and how is that serving God, and mankind responsibly, and caringly? When the erroneous, or otherwise wrong side of a conflict, even with majority consensus, acts with false superiority, and condescension--it has a divisive, or polarizing effect on society. What kind of a legacy, or heritage is that?

Why did Will Rogers say that he "would rather be right than president"? Please don't miss the honorable meaning of that statement, which should be a lifetime commitment of everyone! Yet, how few ever conscientiously practice that desire! I don't see how an evolutionist could claim that principle, having capitulated to a literally impossible concept, that is completely contrary to the "light of nature", and scriptural revelation!

Returning to the main subject at hand, it would be advisable to think about some of the varied meanings of "science", "theory", etc., noting the "progressive" nuancing of the traditional definitions of them. The original meaning of the word "science", per Wikipedia Dict., was derived from root words translated as "to know"; orig. to discern, or distinguish, etc. but, for a suspicious reason, the original English meaning of "state or fact of knowledge, or "knowledge" (relative to the subject of the origin of life and matter) is now archaic, even though it is

fundamentally and logically timeless and valid.

I wonder (but, not really) who is/was behind the subtle revised definitions, that are supposedly the modern currency for the subject in question. The reason is obvious; simply modify the strict meanings of words, etc., because they do not accommodate the irrational, imaginary philosophy of evolutionary "science". I think it is nothing more than a devious method of trying to create authenticity, or credibility for their scheme, where there is none.

Evidently, even in the 19th century, the word "science" became increasingly associated with the "scientific method", or disciplined way to study the natural world, through physics, chemistry, geology, and biology. Other sciences operate on the more traditional use of the word, because they are dealing in reality, not the formulation of a fictitious, or mythical philosophy (both being inventions of presumptuous imaginations, without material basis, except for co-option of fossil, and living evidence that is the irrefutably logical result of supernatural creation)!

The modern, evolutionary description of the word science, per dictionary reference is, "(a) a systematic enterprise that builds and organizes knowledge in the form of testable explanations and predictions about the universe. (b), in an older and closely (?) related meaning, science also refers to a body of knowledge itself, of the type that can be rationally explained and reliably applied.

Another word that has undergone revision, by scientific "license", is "theory". Today, continuing from Wikipedia, even a scientific theory (not only evidence) is empirical, but, is always open to falsification ("defacting"), if new evidence (?) is presented. Theories vary in the extent of testing and verification, as well as their acceptance in the scientific community.

I.e., the theory of evolution bears the name theory, even though in practice, it is considered "factual". Leaving Wikipedia notes, I would say that of any method of trying to learn the origin of the universe, in all of its particulars, nothing has been discovered but the stubborn, incontrovertible reality of supernatural creation, abounding throughout the universe--which because of their self-imposed ideological bondage, evolutionists would never admit, or openly consider. And,

even if it is true philosophical conviction that they labor under, the concept is still, and will remain, a futile endeavor.

It is, of course, creational phenomena they have been observing in fossils and modern remains, and living specimens, etc.--all the time calling their discoveries stages of evolutionary development, but they just can't back the process up to the "theoretical" beginning. So, what are they taking credit for?

Charles Krauthammer commented that the "persistent battles over evolution in the U.S., are so "anachronistic (outdated) and retrograde (living in the past), as to be a national embarrassment", and that Intelligent Design is "today's tarted-up version of creationism". His reference to opposition to evolution, as living in the past, is a strange use of words, especially since evolution has no past, or present, or future! In other words, its tenseless, and senseless!

He said further that "ID may be interesting as theology, but as science it is a fraud'. How about appropriate terms for "enlightened" evolutionists, such as, "iconoclastic", per dictionary def., "One who seeks to attack and destroy (at least, discredit) widely accepted ideas, beliefs, etc. (esp. conservative religious ones), and "progressive" (in their own eyes), as "having to do with a person, movement, etc., thought of as being modern, or advanced in ideas, methods, etc."

Is there anything much more ridiculous than error calling truth a liar? In this case, with no prospect of evidence of its ideological beliefs vs. creationism, it is speculative evolutionary science (the real fraud),that continues to malign and denigrate ID as only a theological belief! How on earth can they call physical and mental observation of supernatural creation, theology, or religion?

Especially when there are objective-minded, honest scientists who believe it, that are not religious, or faith-driven? In fact, why wouldn't any mentally capable person see the same thing, that is too overwhelmingly convincing to deny? So, it is not that the ideologues can't see what the rest of us see, but either that they won't let themselves see, or won't admit what they would have to be blind not to see! Is there any way that Krauthammer and his cronies do not observe the same things that admitted creationists do? Impossible, but if they were to be honest,

and admit it, their contrived evolutionary empire would collapse! So, what is the cost of dishonesty, a hopeless fantasy?

Therefore, they dishonor themselves, among themselves. The only other answer to this problem, is the power of another supernatural being, Satan, who after the advent of sin, which left mankind with inherited morally fallen natures, God gave temporary, limited power over unredeemed man under the law of sin and death. The bible describes Satan as "the god of this world" (temporarily, and not in a good sense). 2 Cor. 4:4, Eph. 2:2.

Having access to the mind of man, he can if he chooses take control of, or effectively influence, the lives of those who are not redeemed by the Lord, by "taking them captive at his will". 2 Tim. 2:26. Even Christians, who may submit to false teaching, especially major doctrine, also "give place" to Satan. Quoting from another commentary I wrote, "The Controlling Forces of Life", "There is no completely independent freedom in the world, that is not subject to one, or the other controlling power, God (absolute), or Satan (limited)".

The contrast between the perfect logic of creationism, and the utter illogic of evolution, is like day and night, or more fittingly truth and fiction. When Krauthammer wrote that "Intelligent Design may be interesting as theology, but as science, it is a fraud", I say that true science is knowledge of the truth, like it always was, which is acquired from honest observation of humanity, and all of the wondrous creation of nature, and the rest of the universe.

As for theology, note a dictionary definition of it, "...the study of God and the relations between God, humankind, and the universe". That is, in addition to religious doctrines and matters of divinity. God's natural, physical world consists of everything that exists, and any way that knowledge of the universe may be acquired is valid, and is itself science, categorically.

When C. K., and his evolutionary brain trust attacks creationists' ID belief, they are creating a "straw man" argument by misrepresenting the creationists' position, as only a matter of religion, or a principle of divinity. Surely, they can't think that

the creationism vanguard is as naive, as they are acting about it.

The straw man tactic is characterized by fallacious reasoning, which if not intentional obfuscation, is irresponsible failure to conduct an honest, objective quest for the truth of a given subject! An interesting statement follows, by Alan Gishlick, of The National Center for Science Education, on the Cambrian period explosion ("big bang"), re: worms, and chordates, with all the speculation about them, etc., he says, "It does not address the problem of how the first chordate originated". Nor the first anything--by denying the only self-evident, logical answer: supernatural creation.

Inconsistently, for him, referring to Newton's discoveries of physical laws (gravity, etc.), Krauthammer stated, "The laws of his (God's) universe were so simple, so elegant, so economical, and therefore so beautiful, that they could only be divine". He could have said supernatural, but "divine" is interestingly used (and better). If he would only relate the other phenomena of the universe to God so thoughtfully, and supportive of His universal creation, he would come almost full circle from the impossible, imaginary domain of evolution.

How can Krauthammer ascribe the physical laws of the universe to God, and not the rest of creation? If somehow he believes that God has a theistic connection to evolution, without directly creating anything, at least in a large scale way, it runs counter to God's scripturally revealed attribute of absolute, sovereign administration of every facet of His universe.

I wrote in my website, the following question: "If, as the dictionary defines it, "logic" is the science of reasoning, why wouldn't a scientist naturally use it to the fullest advantage, instead of trying to mentally muscle their way over creationists? The use of logical reasoning and conclusions is conspicuously missing in their assumptions, which action begs the question: why? Yet, even elementary reasoning should convince one of the overwhelming evidence of creation!"

I believe it is either intentional disregard, or ideological opposition by which the resolute evolutionists refuse to rationally and objectively consider the apparent

logic of supernatural creation, from thoughtful observation alone.

As for intelligent design being "interesting as theology", what is wrong with theology being a source of knowledge of the universe, along with spiritual subjects? Believing that God is Lord and creator of everything that is in the cosmos, is logical, especially as scripture is replete with references to His works, creative, as well as spiritual. Ps. 19:1.

For agnostic, atheist, or nominally religious critics to ignore, or limit the revelations of the bible as not including scientific truth, is nothing but willful disregard, and disdain for the extensive disclosure of God's creative acts, and sovereign authority over the universe, which is all His handywork!

And, regarding the discounting, and condescending attitude toward faith-related beliefs, it defies Krauthammer's reputed intelligence for him to buy into the far-fetched fantasy of impossible evolution occurring from nothing; that only unlimited imagination, and illusion or delusion could ever believe, and stranger still, declare it to the world as fact, without a modicum of evidence.

Somewhere I read that a plausible (which they would call probable) theory, which has not been disproved, or replaced, may be considered a "fact" Why is that no surprise? I suppose they have to fortify their speculation, with something more believable than an imaginary philosophy!

Evolutionists, even the most avid and devoted, at times wander off the reservation, or from laboratory testing of fossil and other specimens of life forms. They are desperate for their hoped-for evolutionary answers to the perennial question of origin! Most of them apparently do not want it to be attributable to a sovereign, divine God!

But, occasionally they make concessions to the overwhelming evidence of a created universe. For example, Charles Krauthammer who usually denounces and belittles creationists, made the following inconsistent remark, ".....every once in a while God steps into this world of constant and accumulating change (evolutionary, of course), every million plus years, or so, and says, "I think I'll make

me a lemur today!" No evolution in that statement!

To which, I would say, if he concedes that God can make a lemur, then he can agree that God can make everything, which He did, as the sole source and cause of everything in the universe! Krauthammer even adds the question, "How does one empirically disprove the proposition that God was behind the lemur, or evolution--or behind the motion of the tides, or the "strong force" that holds the atom together"? Mostly well said, whether he believes it or not, except to include the humanly conceived illusion of evolution--which makes no sense for God ever to be identified with!

The effect of that statement at least implies that Krauthammer is probably a believer in some form of theistic, "hands off" evolution, established by God ("first cause", to some), but the reality remains that the theory cannot mysteriously, or miraculously produce something from nothing, or that whatever exists , or has existed in the universe has an unknown origin!

He also remarked, "How ridiculous to make evolution the enemy of God What could be more elegant, more simple, more brilliant, more economical, more creative, indeed more divine than a planet with millions of life forms, distinct and yet interactive, all ultimately derived from accumulated variations in a single double-stranded molecule, pliable and fecund enough to give us mollusks and mice, Newton and Einstein." And, who makes molecules?

As for his remarks questioning whether there is divine purpose in everything, it is ridiculous to think that God ever does anything without designed purpose! He would leave nothing to chance that he created, or its own unguided, or happenstance development. God's ways, and works are never arbitrary, or subject to uncontrolled external forces; they follow predisposed designs.

Krauthammer's praise and appreciation for the various observations of biological, and chemical changes and developments in an imaginary evolutionary context may be understandable to them, but not compared to the epically grander scale of divine creation.

Just because a fossil, or tiny life specimen can be observed, and traced through

stages of development to complete form, is not evidence of an unknown original cause. In fact, the complexity of living organisms, and creatures--especially humans--ought to be believed by the "light of nature" to have been miraculously created. Of course, miracles to us, are only natural acts of God! Also, the super intelligence factor is the capstone of it all.

"Cutting to the chase", it ought to be enough to squash and rule out every idea, and alternative thought, by admitting that creation is self-evident by the incontrovertible fact that an intelligent being, or creature cannot be produced by unintelligent origination! Intelligence can be diminished, but it cannot develop from nothing, because even though God created man from "the dust of the ground" it was His infinite intelligence and creative power that made man; and moreover, in His image!

It is important to re-emphasize the key factor by which everyone could, and should acknowledge that "All things were made by Him (God)". John 1:3. The basic means to be able to observe and come to that conclusion (supernatural creation) is the "light of nature". Rom. 1:19-20, "Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them (mankind); for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:...."

"This is my Father's world" (from the hymn)--creatively and administratively! The fact of creation is obvious from fundamental observation, as the passage quoted above declares. Reading on, in the scripture noted, we see what man does in defiance of God, by engaging in idolatry, and every form of immorality, and reprobate behavior.

A disingenuous treatment of the "intelligent design" factor , by using the "straw man" argument that it is a faith-related, non-scientific position, is made conspicuously obvious by the evolutionists giving no recognition to non-religious ID proponents--who obviously can see the validity of the term, scientifically, as the most logical, convincing observation that can be made in support of the principle

of creation. In fact, it should seal the debate, if the "scientists" would submit to open, honest, full discourse!

Because the evolutionary scientists (ES's for short) never comment on the creationist scientists (CS's), religious, or not, it strongly appears to be a conspiracy against any creation advocates, making the CS's become sacrifices to the evolutionary cause--having enough consensus without them. So much for the brotherhood of physical, or natural science!

I do wish more of the secular creationist scientists would openly claim their beliefs in creation. Their voices could be very effective against the ES theoretics, that are declared to be factual knowledge, when neither of those last two words are authentic claims!

The term evolutionary science is a misnomer, since there is no evidence of the feigned, or assumed process by which life forms come into existence (originate), and therefore remains only suppositional. They, however, classify their biological and chemical observations as successive stages in a process for which they are unable to establish a cause, or beginning. So, it is not really a case of "missing links", but a missing source.

Hence, all their findings, and musings are from observations of God's created universe. So, why don't they realize that, and give up the futile waste of time and resources, and take on the challenge and fulfillment of real science careers, and devote attention to other areas of their occupation and expertise.

I have difficulty thinking that any seriously interested observer of life and nature, wouldn't be awed and thrilled at the amazing complexity and functionality of man. Especially a doctor, like Chas. Krauthammer, having studied anatomy, and other pertinent subjects, even as the bible refers to it in Ps. 139:14, "I will praise thee (God); for I am fearfully and wonderfully made; marvelous are thy works; and that my soul knoweth right well."

In contrast, what would an evolutionary dreamer say? In effect, "I will praise thee, Mother Nature, for perpetuating thyself in millions of living creatures,

especially man who has inexplicable intelligence, and other genetically inherent endowments, all of which must predate you, for you do not possess any of the characteristics and abilities, of us mysteriously procreated human beings".

"I am your dedicated son and servant, wandering in the cosmos, looking for our originator. I am told there is an all-powerful, divine ruler of the universe, but if there is, I have been assured that he is not actively engaged in direct creation of life, so I will keep on looking for evidence of evolutionary origin, because as Richard Dawkins says, and many others of the intelligentsia think, 'Anyone that doesn't believe in evolution is either stupid, or ignorant, or insane'."

Of course, he's the same condescending "authority", who, in a rare logical admission, said that, "The essence of life is a statistical improbability (I would say impossibility) on a colossal scale." By which, he meant evolving from nothing, or possibly some form of matter. Yet, he and his cadre of visionary philosophers will keep on living the fairy tale, that they try to dignify as "science", but it can never have a happy ending, because nothing can exist that never had a beginning, except in the uncontrolled imagination of stargazers, and impractical dreamers!

All the presumptuous evolutionary science in the world, biological, chemical, cosmological, or whatever, can't refute, or honestly be worthy of debate against the overwhelming evidence of the results of "intelligent design" that shouldn't even be questionable, let alone impugned and ridiculed by evolutionist fantasizers, who possess no empirical, or logical rationale for their foolish venture into "no man's land."

That is, by real world meanings of related scientific terms, or language. But, they have cleverly devised conceptual adaptations of key words, not only to make their philosophy more plausible, but even going so far as to give "factual" evolutionary status to their observations, and analyses! Which, as said before, is nothing less than hijacking the works of divine creation, and naming it evolution--without ever finding an undeveloped source of matter, or anything else (which they never will) to substantiate their claims (unfounded "facts")!

Besides their being often aggravatingly arrogant, it is at times both laughable,

and pitiable that man can become so taken in with the extraordinary mystery of life, its cause, or origin, and the wonders of the intricate designs and purposeful functions of its myriads of creatures, and forms, that he can jump right over the obvious explanations for the whole phenomena--and formulate imaginary, illogical theories, which betray, and foolishly misuse his intelligence and common sense.

What I meant by arrogance is the condescending superiority of its devotees, who, from a position of assumed cosmological enlightenment (read: figment of their imagination), accuse and belittle those who believe in the logical evidence of supernatural creation!. The overwhelming weight of such, is irrefutably convincing! So much so, that anyone who acts like he doesn't believe it, and postulates otherwise, is falling into a trap of his own making!

Why would any intelligent person choose to act like a fool, in that respect? Mainly, because of not wanting to be submissive to an obvious higher power? I am not referring to a spiritual submission, because only God, through personal regeneration can restore the spiritual relationship, that man lost in the consequences of the fall (original sin, in the garden of Eden).

The submission referred to, is to the reality of a creator (who is God), which scripture says that everyone is able to comprehend, by the "light of nature", so that "they are without excuse". That revelation alone, about one's ability to acknowledge divine creation, seals the case against any possible justification for maintaining any philosophy contradictory to that fact!

It is understandable when the opposing sides of an issue or controversy have at least plausible arguments for their positions, but when one's contention is inconceivable, it is not even a worthy debate. Truth against error is one thing, that properly and honestly discussed, should come down on the side of truth. But fiction (i.e., impossible evolution)against truth (absolute creation), isn't even a legitimate contest.

While no concession has been made by the evolution hierarchy, the preposterous fantasy will eventually go by the way of the "flat earth", and "sun rotating around the earth" false arguments. But, it will probably require the

advent of the millenium, when the Lord will reign on the earth, and He will set many misconceptions straight, and truth will generally prevail!

But, even If I, for one were not a Christian, yet required to know the truth about the subject conflict, I would hate to have the Lord expose my ignorance, and failure to acknowledge the creation proof which is self-evident in all of nature, and the universe. Especially, since God endowed man to have dominion over the world, or, to be "lord(s) of the earth", which surely would mean that they would understand its origin, and in time, at least, the designed purpose for all of its components.

And, a particular thing I am glad for, is not having had to sit in a classroom listening to a professor trying to fill my head with pretentious knowledge and wisdom about a subject that is nothing more than a "scientific" fairy tale, and, of course, demeaning the truth of supernatural creation, either by intentionally lying about it, or being so deceived and deluded about the fallacious idea of evolution, that he is out of touch with reality.

To name a few things that prove supernatural creation, first would be design, and then purpose. Design meaning that things are constructed to provide a certain use, or function. The purpose of it might be obvious, or it might need the ingenuity of man to discover its usefulness. Another evidence of divine creation is beauty, as in a flower, with ornate design and color. Then, there is symmetry, or proportion and order of integral parts. Also, reproduction, in kind, by seed development.

That, in itself, is amazing and fascinating enough, that an intricately constructed tree, flower, fruit, or vegetable can develop from a tiny germ state, into such a magnificent product. Evolution from nothing, or nothing living, as a cause for any living thing that has ever existed, is realistically beyond comprehension, and nothing but a ridiculous, illogical philosophy from the fertile imagination of man, which only misleads and confuses mankind, in general.

Going along with a sci-fi scenario for a minute, the process of evolution would have be its own "intelligent designer" itself having become the supernatural

creator that is necessary, even to make such a uniquely designed fruit as a pineapple! Pretty good for an original brainless blob progenitor, huh? But, the sad truth for evolutionary science is, that you can't get here from there, or there from here! Back to reality! That's all the "mad" science I can take!

Or, put in a different way, all roads to the universe, and the existence of natural things that comprise it, come directly from God! Too bad, that evolutionary scientists picked a career that there is no need for, and is nothing but a prodigious waste of time, resources, and brainpower!

Some think that God initiated the evolutionary process (or, was the "first cause of creation"), and left it to do what it might. But God, being perfect in everything he is, and does, would not leave anything of nature to chance, that He initiated, because it would just "die on the vine". If it had not been for the intervention of Adam's sin, the earth would be populated with immortal people, and friendly animals, etc., and be supplied with all the basic things of nature to be utilized, and developed, and enjoyed, under the stewardship and lordship of man.

But, the advent of sin not only corrupted man, but everything in the world, as part of God's earthly judgment (for a time) for man's disobedience, including difficult working conditions, childbearing trouble, pestilence, and various other things adversely affecting man's life. Krauthammer referred to the observation and study of "drug resistance to certain bacteria", as some kind of evolutionary evidence, when it can well be something God anticipated, or reacted to, relative to the breakdown of perfect life conditions that existed before the advent of sin, corrupting man, animal, and plant life. That is, for man to learn how to deal with sickness, disease, etc. All natural phenomena falls within the unlimited purview of divine creation, and administration.

Otherwise, life would have continued like it was in the garden of Eden, before the fall in sin, progressing into a world full of discoveries, opportunities, and developments, on an unhindered and grander scale than it has ever been. And, like it will increasingly be again, in the kingdom age, and after God has carried out all His prophesied judgments, and cleansing the earth of its physical corruption.

In the meantime, foolish men, will deny and ignore the reality of God, the creator of life, and all universal matter, which is manifested in every example of nature, as bearing the incontrovertible proof of His deity, and supernatural acts of creation! The bible says of those, in Romans, chapter 1, that they "..... became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened, professing themselves to be wise, they became fools....". What else can explain the fault and guilt of man any better, than not to heed the clear revelation in nature of the power and deity of God?

Krauthammer asked, "Why should evolution be an enemy of God?", and he went on enumerating certain planetary phenomena to be appreciated (evolutionary, that is) saying or implying that there is a place for those things , and creative acts of God. But, Rom. 1:25, tells us that man".....changed the truth of God into a lie.....". First, by turning to other gods (idolatry), and then, applying to our times, denying God as Lord, and creator, and attributing His works to a theoretical process of natural evolution, and that is why the concept is an enemy of God, because it is a product of the finite mind of man, contending against the sovereign mind and authority of God.

In addition to the fascinating creature (creation) that man is, every time I observe things of nature, whether animals, birds, flowers, fruit, vegetables, almost anything one could name--the details of the complexity, and perfection of their parts and features, is amazing to behold. It should boggle anyone's mind that carefully and seriously considers and visually examines such specimens of God's creative genius. How can any normal human being view and contemplate the wonders of the universe, and not be in awe and adoration of God's supernatural powers, and deity?

Why, and how, then, would any rightly thinking person venture into a make-believe world of impossible assumptions about the mystery of life, and dare to call it "science"? No wonder they had to re-define science-related terms, to conform to their conceptual theory, which has no basis in facts, except those commandeered from creation!

The latter word, being the only intelligent explanation of the super-abundant evidence of the source and process of all forms of life and activity, and the existence of physical matter, and laws of the universe. Honest discourse and debate is a moral and ethical law imperative, that cannot be violated without serious negative consequences, both here and hereafter!

The most egregious and dishonest subterfuge that evolutionists are guilty of, is the incessant "straw man" argument they use, that belief of creationism is inextricably the result of religious, or faith-related influence! Ironically, the overly simplistic tactic of trying to make such people look stupid, or brainwashed, etc.--makes the accusers themselves look unintelligent by using such empty rhetoric, and self-defeating unjust criticism!

Think about it, it not only eliminates all people in the discredited category of being religion-connected, from possibly being right in their creation belief, but automatically wrong by association. Others, secular people, who believe in supernatural creation, must just be wrong because they picked the wrong horse in the race! They can't all be mentally deficient, so they must simply be unlucky guessers.

Whatever rationale the E's use to justify their cosmological adventure, doesn't exonerate them from being philosophical liars and thieves! Lying, i.e., about biological and chemical observations and results of tests they conduct on God-created specimens of life and materials, and stealing that body of truth, and calling it evolution!

And, then, indoctrinating the generally gullible, irrational masses with the imaginary science that they have manufactured, to the extent that they have them arguing and blogging all over the world that evolution is the only possible answer to the question of the origin of all universal phenomena! The accompanying air of enlightenment, and sophistication is usually exhibited in their comments. Of course, they don't know that it is purely conceptual science, but who wants to be that technical about it, except those who know the truth, or are honest seekers of it!

Personal character and principles are relatively easy to maintain when they don't cost too much, or are not seriously tested. But let a man be confronted with a difficult decision to make, that involves a sizeable amount of personal wealth, or that could adversely affect his professional reputation, or ego, or livelihood, and he often would begin to morally compromise, by lying, scheming, attacking justified critics, disparaging other beliefs and opinions, or doing whatever is required to maintain his image, however tainted, or questionable it may have become.

That is the kind of scenario that the evolutionary community has gotten itself into. But, don't expect them to ever admit that they have engaged in anything devious, or dishonest. Not when their dignitaries, and erudite scholars are held in such falsely acquired high esteem, by professing unsubstantiated philosophical claims, that they have been foisting on an unsuspecting majority of mankind.

By the way, I have a hard time believing that they could even debate against creation truth, with their misconceived philosophy, applied to God-created specimens of life and matter, without the basis of any evolutionary evidence. There is another strange aspect of their exercise in futility. They are in a position, working assiduously, but misguided, with creation evidence, to become increasingly convinced of the proof of its "intelligent design" origin and cause, which realization they would have to admit (to themselves, at least), has nothing to do with their "straw man" misuse of the association of religion, to discredit creationism belief!

The further act of tampering with the traditional dictionary meanings of science related words, enabled them to take some deceitful giant steps over reality, to try to keep their uncorroborated theory (theory, in the speculative sense), artificially credible, when it is not even possible! Some of those actions reflect an understandable sense of desperation in the camp, knowing that they could not satisfy the criteria of true science, they had to get more inventive, and push the envelope of their abstract concept further!

Ever since the early launching of the evolutionary movement, there has been a distinct pattern of manipulation of the truth pertaining to the subject of the origin

of human and animal life, and other associated phenomena. Those devious maneuvers have been discussed in this commentary, and by virtue of natural logical observations, and the clear revelations of the holy scriptures on the subject, the whole evolutionary scheme is exposed for the fairy tale, or philosophical fraud that it is!

To me, the biggest stumbling block in the evolutionary theory is the inability to explain the intelligence factor that exists in every living creature! It is conspicuously absent in every account of the concept I have read, or heard about, yet it is the most distinguishing feature or characteristic of every life form that exists, verifying belief in supernatural creation, as the only explanation of the phenomenon.

While it is impossible for even an unintelligent life form to develop by some evolutionary process, it doesn't answer the question of what could produce it, that is not some kind of a super intelligent creative being. It is illogical, and practically inconceivable to me, and I would think to almost everybody else, that there is any way that an intelligent human, or other creature could develop from an unintelligent source.

Nor, do I believe that any evolutionary scientist wouldn't almost instantly be faced with that unavoidable decisive factor. So, what did they elect to do about it, foolishly ignore it, or get so lost in their philosophical musings, that they become deluded, and lose contact with that insuperable reality? How can anyone have respect for such irresponsible, unscholarly treatment of something so elementary and undeniable?

It defies common sense not to acknowledge obvious truth, and then to devote oneself to an impossible, imaginary philosophy, for which there is no evidence, or logical support. Fairy tales are for children, or some possible sci-fi entertainment that is for adults, not for serious contemplation regarding the mysteries of the universe.

I expect that if I were to confront an evolutionary practitioner, he would proceed to obfuscate the issue, by relating certain biological, or chemical

discoveries that to them proves self-evident evolution, rather than admit that they were, instead, observing particular examples of divine, purposely designed creation--which they boldly, and unconscionably plagiarized from creationists!

There must be a general reluctance, and refusal of evolutionist leaders to engage in open debate with creationism believers, because I can't see how the E's could maintain their charade of nonsensical "science", and empty philosophical ideas, that cannot stand the scrutiny of honest inquiry, and logical analysis. It is an argument between an impossible fantasy (evolution), and a reality (creation), for which there is no alternative! As I said, or implied before, surely they know that the latter is true, but pride, or some kind of delusive obsession keeps them captive!

If it could just be confined to what it is, science fiction, it could be interesting and fascinating as entertainment for those inclined to it, but it has no plausible real world connection, and zero chance of ever emerging as true science! It is a lost cause, or rather a cause that never existed! So, in the words of today's cultural vernacular, "give it up"! Because, it's nothing, going nowhere!

Chas. Krauthammer called the controversy a "national embarrassment", demeaning the creation side, of course. But, could we say that maybe the evolution side is something like a "circus", with "clowns" that act "foolish", by making vain, inane arguments contrary to the truth of supernatural creation, by which they betray their own intelligence, and mislead most of mankind--proving their motivation to be self-serving, and adversarial! It is an extensive propaganda campaign, for their own undeserved furtherance, and to discredit the truth of divine creation.

Or, put another way, much more pointedly, they spend a good part of their lives, lying to the world. If you don't think they are lying because they didn't personally originate the false information, is it really any better to be a lie conveyor, or messenger? There is an adage, or Euclid's axiom (slightly reworded) that says, "Things of the same effect, are equal to the same thing".

Either way, it is not a good legacy to leave behind: having brainwashed (or brain

polluted) a majority of mankind, with a bogus concept of the cause and development of universal phenomena (life and matter)--in defiance of the light of nature, and scriptural revelations, which indisputably establish the divine source, and genius of creation! As a little play on words, both beliefs have the same sounding one-word description, truly awesome, for creation, and "awsome", for evolution, as in "aw", you've gotta be kidding!



