

8/16/12

Creation vs. Evolution

Supplement

Thoughts about various materials in the earth.

Consider first, oil, the hydrocarbon, petroleum product.

A. Per Evolutionary Science. Source: Encyclopedia Britannica. Explanations of origin, and formation process: Crude oil, basic substance composition--organic materials (life form remains, or fossil fuels): "Bacterially altered plant, and animal detritus (debris)". First stage: "biological activity, and chemical rearrangement". Resulting product: kerogen, and then from kerogen to petroleum.

"Deeper burial by continuing sedimentation, increasing temperatures, and advancing geologic age, result in the mature stage of petroleum formation. Related products of the geologic process: paraffin, bitumen compounds (asphalt, etc.). Natural gas liquids occur below the oil depths".

B. Per Supernatural Creation Belief. Realizing that this position is mainly held by Christians, it has minority credibility among scientists, geological, and in general. They are normally opposed to creation-based opinions, and theories, as religious faith-related, and thus "unscientific".

In so doing, they contradict or deny a basic tool of true science, which is logic. What is that omission, but a disingenuous copout? That way, they avoid consideration of arguments such as "intelligent design" on its own merits, by rejecting it out hand as being merely a product of religious faith, when it is, in fact, a matter of simple logic.

And, what does the evolutionist have to support his objection to the "intelligent design" principle? A giant leap over logic, to the far-fetched, barely imaginable idea, or fantasy that the earth naturally formed the oil by material, and chemical (geological) processing of the fossil remains of organic plant and animal debris.

Ironically, while they automatically dismiss the postulate of supernatural creation, as being an "unscientific" product of religious faith, they employ a non-spiritual faith in nature, which is effectively their "god", and a long way from true

science.

While neither position on life, and material origin has empirical proof, the creation belief is the only rational answer to the question. A conservative observation, arrived at by honest objective reasoning, should certainly be more convincing than a presumptive concept of unexplainable natural evolution.

To put it in simple terms, the creationist says God purposely made things like coal, and natural gas in the earth in finished basic form in vast quantities, located in selected places to be discovered by man, and further refined and utilized as needed, and desired. If it was developed by a natural geological process involving plant and animal remains, why wouldn't it be found in all areas where such remains occur?

Thought: regarding the environmental restrictions imposed on drilling, or mining of oil, gas, and coal--the deciding question should be, "what are they there for?" To be used, which should be obvious, not to be refused because of some ecological objections, as if man couldn't make the required decisions to responsibly meet those concerns, if, on balance, they are worth considering.

Think, too of the other materials in the earth--soil, sand, gravel, clay, etc. How can such massive deposits of sand, for example, be close to the earth's surface and be so amazingly constituted in uniform sized small particles? Who could conceive of any other logical explanation for such available materials, which man utilizes to meet the specific needs, and desires of his life?

Included also are other minerals, i.e., industrial and precious metals, jewels, chemicals, wood, rock materials, water reservoirs, and many other things so unique and useful that design, and purpose are the only logical explanation for their existence! Notwithstanding man's fall from grace, and the resulting chaos that resulted, affecting man, animals, and nature, God had every need, and rightful desire that man could have, fully provided for in advance.

Evolutionists ridicule creationists for their beliefs in obvious supernatural intelligence, and creative genius, to account for the amazing complexity of biological life, plus the vast array of inorganic substances of the universe. Yet, it is they who refuse logical reasoning, for fear that they would have to admit to some infinite higher power, as both the divine, and sovereign creator and administrator of all universal life, and matter.

So, having forsaken the only reliable intellectual method of learning the abstract truths of the original cause and effect of life and matter--which is, logical reasoning-- they operate in a foggy mental world of unrestrained imagination and fantasy. Whereas, after direct original creation by God, the fact of supernatural, predisposed reproduction of human, animal, and plant life from a tiny seed, or sperm and egg, is the only sensible answer to such incredible reality.

The human phenomenon itself, is overwhelming evidence (yea proof) of supernatural creation, to the extent of negating any other imaginable, but impossible ridiculous evolutionary theory to the contrary. But, they will not honestly and objectively consider the incontrovertible truth of it!

Their so-called science is therefore void of credibility! When you read some of the technical language of their fanciful ideas, and schemes, (1) it is either too difficult to understand (as in intentional obfuscation), (2) based on illogical, preposterous assumptions, or (3) containing vital missing links, and unanswerable questions. In a simple critical summary of the theory, what the evolutionist hierarchy dares to call conclusive, is in reality unfounded, and delusive!

One observation alone ought to squash the thought of any kind of happenstance source of evolution. That is, the miraculous reproductive process of conception, pregnancy, and birth of a child. The Bible quotation of David saying, "I am fearfully and wonderfully made, marvelous are thy works, and that my soul knoweth right well", refers to the only rational explanation of the existence of human, or animal life. There isn't a plausible, even possible different answer to any of the great mysteries of our world and universe!

Neither is there any such thing as random occurrences of the various forms of life, and substances that exist. They were all designed, and formed by the creator, and sovereign administrator of everything that exists--even purposefully, and exactly programmed to reproduce "after their own kind".

Funny, that the very claim evolutionists make that "cumulative natural selection is the only theory we know of that is in principle capable of explaining the existence of organized complexity", is to a creationist (with, or without religious faith) a ridiculous assertion for any unbiased, honest thinker to make. Nature (which logically was created) cannot select, or do anything unless it was designed and predisposed to do it! Only in the sci-fi world that the evolutionists live in, can

uncontrolled imagination produce such grandiose delusions of reality!

In other words, contrary to the popular misconception, perception is not reality, unless it is corroborated by correct analysis of evidence. Truth is not subject to human interpretation, but vice-versa!

In reality, there is no evidence that rationally explains the baseless theory of natural evolution, or even the compromised idea of theistic evolution. In fact, the very "complexity" of life, and geological nature that they cannot deny, rules out any imaginary thought of some fortuitous cosmic miracle that could produce intelligent beings from mindless, primordial "gobs", or "oozes" and, is a vastly more fantastic illusion, than the evolutionists accuse religious creationists of believing. Incidentally, they don't use the term "miracle", because it suggests or implies supernatural cause.

And, they lump all religious beliefs, or faiths into one common lot, as totally subjective indoctrination with a great variety of speculations about abstract spiritual matters. As if you could get any more abstract than their imaginary life and matter theory!

By the way, what do they blame the non-religious believers of creation with? The very same principles of the overwhelming evidence of supernatural creation are maintained by them. Just because the evolutionists automatically (categorically) refuse to credit religious people with logical, scientific thinking and conclusions, gives them no fair grounds to disallow the non-religious creationists the right to make the case for their beliefs.

It is more than a mere opinion to say that I believe the evolutionists are afraid of debate with the creationists. Therefore, they shut the door, and refuse to discuss the controversy, thinking that their self-established, phony eminent authority gives them the right to foist their fairy tale philosophy on the world, as the only credible theory possible.

The biggest, most indefensible heavy-handed superiority they exhibit, unashamedly, is daring to call their specious, far-out theory the truth, when it violates the very foundation of true science! In so doing, they have unofficially revised the basic dictionary definition of the word "science". Just like the audacious, unprincipled ultra-liberal politicians are constantly ignoring, or trying to re-write the Constitution.

Simply put, wherever evolutionary assumptions don't conform to the matrix, or basic tools of science, they change the rules to fit their deluded ideology! Their knee-jerk dismissal of the creationist position is dishonestly predicated on the judgment that it is religion related, and thus automatically "unscientific". By which they deliberately fail to acknowledge, or follow the scientific principle of the use of "cause and effect" logic!

It seems that since the intellectual elitists have ventured far beyond reality, that they can never admit it--so they invent whatever obfuscating explanations they can conceive of (conjure up) to perpetuate their other-worldly, impossible cosmic views, of which the rest of us are simply incapable of comprehending. So, we must accept the pronouncements of the enlightened superior class, as unquestionably credible, and authoritative!

How much confidence should anyone have in the evolutionary science terms, in effect, of "bangology, globology, or oozology"? Pseudo-science, or voodoo science would be much more accurate names for their cosmological babble, no matter how erudite, and sophisticated the practitioners think they are!

Even a child who knows, or believes the truth of creation, is wiser than any evolutionist, on the subject of the origin of life, and matter of the universe. But, of course, as Richard Dawkins says, "It is absolutely safe to say that if you meet somebody who claims not to believe in evolution, that person is ignorant, stupid, or insane.....".

A recurring statement by Dawkins, that creationists (esp. religious ones) ignore evidence, is a charge that they themselves epitomize, because it is the complexity of life forms that validates creation claims of supernatural intelligent design, and purpose, and renders impossible any other origin, or source of the existence of universal life forms, and matter.

Typical of the essence of the evolutionary theory is a quotation from Dawkins that, "Matter flows from place to place and momentarily comes together to be you". Here is the height of their gigantic leaps from logic to delusion! For what? To blindly grope for a purely imaginary, hopeless alternative to the only reasonable answer for the great mystery of life, and matter--which is the work of a supernatural, sovereign creator and administrator! In a word, or a name, God!

In reality, the phantasm of evolution's concept of the universe, cannot account

for a single component of life, or have a general credible explanation for the myriads of phenomenal intricacies of nature on this planet, alone!

Pertaining to which, Dawkins says that, "The essence of life is a statistical improbability (or, in truth a metaphysical impossibility) on a colossal scale." Now, how can such an admission of reality be of any encouragement, or enlightenment to anyone who isn't an unrealistic, visionary dreamer? Parenthetical insert, mine.

However, a Christian believer in creation is convinced of the contrasting truth that, "The essence of life is supernatural creation by God on a controlled scale". If the idea that an indiscriminate source, and process of evolution can eventuate into the amazingly complex world that we are in, is an acceptable theory, surely an infinite, omnipotent God is a more credible, efficient origin and cause than man can conceive of, by refusing the light of nature, and groping in the dark, defying logic, and running amok with unrestrained sci-fi imagination!

Not wanting to concede to the rational deduction of supernatural creation as the only sensible explanation for the intricate, complicated, brilliantly designed forms of life, and materials--the evolutionists leave themselves no truly scientific theory, and yet commit their lives to the preposterous, futile fantasy of natural evolution, which is an infinitely impossible mystery, compared to the logical, believable answer of creation!

Evolution, then, is a scientifically nondescript mysterious process of either nothing becoming something incredibly different, and advanced (which only a super-intelligent creator could accomplish), or something plain, or crude inexplicably developing into something as amazingly constituted, as the human mind and body, along with every other species of biological life, and inorganic substances that exist.

The subject of the origin of life, and matter is by its nature abstract, and cannot be empirically proven by science, or religion. In contrast to the specious, and spurious so-called evolutionary science of today, true science, by unedited dictionary definition, includes logic as one of science's essential fundamental resources, or tools of honest observation, and examination and analysis.

But, the evolutionists do not recognize, or utilize pure logic in their methodology--at least not admittedly. That is, they ignore, or fail to incorporate the use of unbiased, or unlimited rational reasoning, and argumentation. Instead,

they would use conceptual logic, the kind that would plausibly fit their incredible cosmological fantasies!

And, in order to keep inquiring "unscientific" minds from getting too informed of their intellectual findings (read: assumptions, fabrications, and Hail Marys), they engage in professional obscurity--mostly from being afraid that their foolish assumptions might be exposed for the unscientific gobbledegook, and illusions that they are!

Of course, they can't hardly have anything more ridiculous than the "erudite" theory of the "big bang". Wouldn't it be better to admit having no credible answer, than to blurt out, in desperation, such a science-fiction shot in the dark, as that one? But, since that's all they have, they should at least be given credit for their honesty, and courage!