

2/1/18

Reaction to Jessica Tarlov
Fox News Contributor
Comment on Hillary Clinton

On a recent Fox News Network program Jessica Tarlov made a quick remark, in words to the effect, that she thinks that Hillary Clinton was, and is, the best and most qualified person to be president of the country. Nobody took her up on it, but they should have!

Granted, Hillary is a skilled politician`but so was Hitler, and Obama, with all three of them possessing serious faults, that should disqualify such ones from any responsible leadership positions in government, and other political, or educational fields, as well. Political skills are a poor, risky substitute for integrity! Will Rogers said, "I would rather be right, than President." And, I'm sure that he meant morally, not merely technically!

Only a liberal, Democrat Party sycophant, not a discerning, conscientious voter would ever foolhardily trust such an amoral, corrupt, if not evil person as Hillary Clinton to honor our Constitution, and fulfill the required oath of office for the Presidency of our country. When, just one hour of unbiased, objective research of HRC would undeniably expose her personal unworthiness, and subversive ideology! That being true, wouldn't it make most liberal Democrats, that voted for her, or Obama,

what Rush Limbaugh called "low-information voters?"

No matter how well Hillary tries to disguise her personal and professional shortcomings, by double talk, and other dishonest, devious methods of campaigning, and conduct of office, I believe that an objective, true biography of her life, could not accord her a passing rank of positive qualities of character and integrity!

Among her serious deficiencies, she especially opposes and maligns outspoken religious beliefs, as in the following quote, that "Christians in America must deny their faith in Christianity", or in another statement, "Deep-seated cultural codes, religious beliefs, and structural biases must be changed".

That is the stuff of a typical Communist dictatorship, not loyalty to the the Constitution of the United States. And, of course, a despotic regime which she would establish, if she could, cannot allow any political or social beliefs to compete with its totalitarian rule and control.

When Hillary referred to some of the Republican conservative voters as a "basket of deplorables", it was a "Freudian slip", because the term actually includes Democrats as well, which if they understood its true source and meaning, should have offended and alienated them as much as the Republicans.

To begin with, the phrase comes from the elitists' **population control** philosophy in connection with eugenics. The background of the term "deplorables" meaning is the elitists' reference to excessive world population as a serious cause of worry about

depletion of the world's resources.

The upper class snobbery sees the world being overpopulated by as much as 90%, or 7 billion people. The remaining approx. 500 million is a manageable, or "sustainable" number, in their view. When you think of the various drastic, inhumane methods they have used, and would further employ, to reduce the world population, you could easily think it is the elitist powermongers that should be disenfranchised, not inhumanely, but by some just means, if possible!

Another disparaging name that Henry Kissinger coined for the "deplorables", is "useless eaters", or who Winston Churchill called, approvingly, "live bait", referring to the passengers of the Lusitania, in the intentional attack to provoke U. S. entrance into World War 1.

Eugenics is a study of ways to biologically improve the gene quality of humans, in order to have a superior class of people, by eliminating the lower genetic species (the "deplorables"). Lab studies and experiments have been going on for decades at least, in that vein.

So then, isn't that what the iconoclastic HRC, and her ilk really think about most people, including the ones she got votes from? Of course, she and the rest of her pretentious crowd would reluctantly have to allow enough of the common people to coexist to slavishly produce, or serve the material needs and demands of the ruling class and their minions., which by any other name, is

full-fledged dictatorial communism!

Add to her other "antis", that is, religion, cultural and structural biases (her words), "deplorables", Jewish, and presumably blacks (per comments, etc.), **anti-military**, both organizational, and personal. She has been observed to be unfriendly, and rude to our armed service people. In one instance, besides obviously ignoring them, she replied to one of the soldiers, who had spoken to her, that "it is bad enough that I have to look at you, but I am not going to talk to you, too".

To publicly show that much hatred for those that are willing to lay down their lives for their country, including her, is not something that should ever be overlooked, especially in a public servant. When a government figure who is expected to respect our armed forces, and responsibly act on military matters, is uncivil and openly hostile to them, why should she be elected to disserve the country in any other way, which is highly likely, even certain?

As if there weren't enough negative "anti" feelings noted herein, there is one that is **existentially serious compared to everything else!** That is the subject of a central global government, more aptly described as **a one-world tyrannical government**. Which, mysteriously, is not nearly as well known as it ought to be--due to its conspiratorial, subversive, secret society mode of development.

Hillary has been a strong proponent of the New World Order for a long time, as some of her speeches clearly focus on what she

terms a "hemispheric government", or what she purposefully calls a hemispheric economy, or open market to downplay the total political control that she advocates. This is how she customarily disguises some of her real ambitions and goals. A revealing look at how the real Hillary thinks and surreptitiously acts, can be seen in certain speeches she has made, especially to big banking organizations.

For example, in one such meeting, she spoke privately (she thought) in the following manner, which shows the extent of her devious methodology! In a speech to Goldman & Sachs, she said, "You need to have a private, and a public position on policy". And, on certain issues, she definitely has a double standard of "a public and private position".

Clinton told a housing trade group: "If everybody is watching, you know, all of the back room discussions and the deals, you know, then people get a little nervous, to say the least", said Clinton. "So, you need both a public and private position." No doubt, many politicians operate that way, but don't usually admit it to anybody but insiders.

Also, for those who cannot see through her fake persona, watch how she poses with the sustained, forced dimple smile, and her frequently cacophonous, sneering laugh, which has been aptly described as a witchlike characteristic! Think about it, before dismissively ruling it out!

Surely, the remark wasn't intended for the public to hear, but its like what CIA Director, William Casey said to somebody

internally, that "We will know our disinformation system is working, when the public (or everybody) is saying the same wrong thing."

As for Hillary, her use of the term "hemispheric market or economy" is intentional managed language for the ultimate goal of hemispheric government. It would never be anything short of the self-serving, utopian, elitist cabal goal of total world control!

The website Truthfeed.com cited a Wikileaks drop which included excerpts of Hillary's paid Wall St. speeches. The most frightening thing of which is her excitement over **ending the United States, as we know it**, and replacing it with a hemispheric government. Well, "News Flash" America! Our "trusted " officials already did that dirty deed by signing on to the 1945 UN treaty agreement, legally ending our national sovereignty, and independent economic and personal freedoms, and thus becoming another **interdependent, slave nation, totally subject to the U. N. Security Council authority**. Before the Clinton fanatics criticize that treasonous act, that they were ignorant of, it is what they voted for!

If that is true, as already established more than 70 years ago, it is still **the most serious, existential threat facing our country!** And, the only real force keeping the U. N. from taking control of us, is **our 2nd Amendment right to bear arms**, which is targeted to be ended if and when the U. N. can find an effective political method to accomplish it!

In fact, Diane Feinstein and others have been working for

decades, hoping to eventually disarm the nation's citizens, falsely thinking that that is the way to stop, or minimize gun violence! When, in addition to the natural right of self-protection and preservation, it is the only real means to resist and fight against tyranny, which is always a potential threat of governments against citizens! Just look at how many times it has been done in the world!

The **idealistic justification for the United Nations** is their assurance of universal peace, by means of forced arms control, and their institution of strategically placed worldwide armed forces. Which, is unrealistically utopian, primarily designed for self-serving protection of the ruling elitists. Why, then, is the traitorous un-American act of those in power who betrayed us, not publicized, and action taken to expose and prosecute the scoundrels living who are complicit in the high crime of selling out our country?

It is as if there is a gag order on the voices of most our government representatives, and media news and talk shows, who will **not even discuss** the critical subject involved. I have heard, and observed typical reactions of those approached, like Bill O'Reilly, and Sean Hannity, and no doubt most all of the conservative pundits, especially, who immediately accuse the inquirers of being **"conspiracy theorists"**, and refuse to listen to them, or admit them on their programs!

And, even if they don't believe the problem exists, what justifies ignoring or disallowing the 1st Amendment rights of every

citizen to inquire and discuss practically any subject (except for certain moral limitations, or national security reasons), regardless of their position on it? What happens to the consciences of those who would deny a fellow citizen his rights, by forbidding his freedom of inquiry or expression on a given subject?

That kind of concerted resistance and reaction is so abnormal, and unlikely on a strictly human level, that it suggests something paranormal, and beyond personal control. I understand and believe in supernatural phenomena, being a Christian, and which is attested by scriptural revelation of the truth of God's existence, and infinite creative and administrative powers. Which, also includes the reality of a supernatural being called Satan.

As biblically described, he has influence and control over the fallen minds of mankind resulting from God's original conditional, eternal life or death test of Adam, which he failed by following Satan's temptation to act independent of, and disobedient to God's command, by partaking of "the tree of the knowledge of good and evil" (establishing an organic law of sin and death), instead of "the tree of life", which would have given him, and all mankind, immortality and eternal life!

Satan was banished from heaven for defiance against God, and he declared that "he would be like the Most High", and establish his kingdom on earth, over which God allowed him, because of his identity with sinful man, to have temporary limited rule over them. And, except for God's decree of salvation, by which he would redeem a certain number of the fallen race, Satan

has access to the minds of unredeemed mankind, under God's earthly judgments, and to a certain extent, unfaithful Christians, as well!

As for those complicit **in the one-world government conspiracy, Satan** can force them to act according to his will, and to remain strangely secretive about their involvement in, or information of the movement. The phenomenon can also apply to the uninformed, irresponsible decisions of so many people who voted for Obama (mainly on the basis of race?), to the detriment of our country, and for Hillary Clinton, to its prospective demise.

Therefore, the foregoing examples would be the only logical explanation of why people would act radically contrary to their natural cognitive ability, and normal proclivity! "For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places." Eph. 6:12.

Anyway, I hope nobody is naive enough to think that there are not overriding supernatural forces at work (God, and His angels, and Satan, and his fallen angels) that can move people around like chess pieces, if they so choose; with such power being unlimited in God's case, and conditional in Satan's.

But, "This is my Father's world", as the hymn says, which He will eventually bring under total peace and control, and establish in righteousness, in His self-appointed time!

Everett Falvey

efal@comcast.net