#### 12/25/18

### **Nothing but Treason**

Treason: "Violation of the allegiance owed to one's sovereign or state; <u>betrayal</u> of one's country. Constitution definition: consisting only of <u>levying war</u> against the U. S., or giving <u>aid</u> and <u>comfort</u> to its enemies." Webster's New World Dict., 4th Ed.

The senators who signed onto the treaty transferring our sovereignty, and national authority to the United Nations, did indeed betray the U. S., and in an applicable sense, "gave aid and comfort to our enemy, the New World Order conspiracy to take over our country, and replace our Constitution (governing authority) with the terms and conditions of the U. N. Charter.

Yet, as serious as the violations of the Constitution are, not only are the perpetrators not confronted with their traitorous acts, but it is a tradition to publicize the merits and accomplishments of central figures of government, politics. business and education; but to omit, or minimize their faults, and misdeeds.

It "may" be thoughtful, and understandable to always speak of certain people in the best light, but it can easily be misleading, and give a false impression of the subject person. There is a Bible verse which gets to the heart of the matter, and with some meditation on the principle involved, it is the best way, but usually difficult to emulate!

The reference is to Prov. 27:5 which says, "Open rebuke, is

better than secret love." (1) A rebuke, if true and caring, and accepted, can help a relationship become stronger. (2) But love claimed, and not expressed may not be sincere, or real. At least, a rebuke can be potentially constructive, but secret love is mostly of no value to either party!

#### **Examples of Private and Public Personal References**

- 1. Private, personal matters, like services at funerals, eulogies, and other remembrances: Rather than specific references, or details of a person's life, the fact of some negative occurrences may be generalized, as mistakes that many (if not all) people make, without unnecessary details, which might unduly denigrate a person's reputation, (in some circumstances).
- 2. But, writing a general life story, like a biography or report of a person, especially a public servant, it would be more honestly disclosing to include faults and other negative references that affected one's public service--rather than trying to avoid, or cover up, or whitewash the truth.

A fitting example, that is widespread, involves employees references, requested from a previous employer, who discharged the employee for work failure, or misconduct. More often than not the former employer will soft pedal or omit any criticism that could, and should be made! First, it is dishonest, and secondly it may mislead a prospective employer to hire the person, who may be a similar problem on a new job!

But, in view of the responsibility involved of a high level public

official, how else can people make an informed judgment of a particular person's service, and life testimony, career and effect on society?

## **Presidential Cases in Point**

### President Ronald Reagan:

In 1985, The U. S. made a serious mistake in education policy, by accepting the Soviet "workforce training program", which has begun to makes its way into our school system today, by <a href="restructuring">restructuring</a> our pre-1965 conventional educational system, and is destined to get worse, unless someone sees the problem, and is able to do something serious about it!

How can such a radical, inferior method of education deceive our government, and go on with "Common Core" principles, disincentivising our elementary, and secondary students with B. F. Skinner animal training techniques--so that they all reach the same limited learning level? Which, purposely only qualifies them for servile work, to accommodate the needs and desires of the ruling elitists, and their peers.

The 1985 agreement was made betwen Pres. Reagan and Soviet President Gorbachev. It also included the influence of the Carnegie Institute, and support of the Heritage Foundation. The Carnegie organization has, since 1930 been promoting a radical change in our economy, by attempting to use the schools to change our capitalist economy to a communist planned and controlled political and economic system.

Even the term "school choice" is misleading. It is part of the Soviet school terminology, which means it refers to tax paid, government control, and therefore charter schools, which are under federal jurisdiction. So, too is Common Core which standardizes school teaching, under the Objective Based Education (OBE)principles of the B. F. Skinner training system. And, whether in ignorance, which I doubt, of the end goal of one-world government domination, President Reagan may have done more to traitorously promote the conspiracy, except maybe the Carnegie Institute who worked for decades to change our capitalist economy, to a communist system.

Which, then, marks Reagan as an NWO advocate, and responsible for the advent of limited level achievement (Soviet "school to work" training)--versus the traditional unlimited academic possibilities of attainment of whatever students may aspire to, that is, the opportunity of "upward mobility". This shows the basic socialist practice of denying the natural, Godgiven right of "personal liberty" to anyone but the movers and shakers of the self-serving wealth and power-driven world control conspiracy. They have also twisted and misappropriated the Biblical principle of "From each according to his ability (means), and to each according to his need". The principle is voluntary morality, as in a willingness to <a href="share">share</a>, not a <a href="forced">forced</a> requirement, as in the dictatorial commands of Communism.

In my commentary, "Charlotte Iserbyt", who was a highly regarded school teacher, and school history expert, I explained her role in the education system, and her attempt to inform Pres.

Reagan of the serious problems developing in the field of elementary and secondary education in the U. S. Mrs. Iserbyt at the time was Senior Policy Advisor in the Office of Research and Improvement, part of the U. S. Dept. of Education.

But, unfortunately, the Secretary of Education was T. H. Bell, a communist from Utah, a "change agent", linked with the Carnegie cause. I would not think that such a wrong-headed person would ever be put in such position. But then again, many wrong appointments exist in national government jobs, that do not belong in our Constitutional Republic!

Anyway, Mrs. Iserbyt had written a lengthy letter to Reagan explaining the problems in the Dept. of Education, and asking to meet with him. Reagan had left a note with his Chief of Staff, Ed Meese, to remind him when he returned from a trip, to arrange a meeting with Mrs. Iserbyt.

But, Meese and others, questionably, didn't want the Pres. to be influenced by Mrs, Iserbyt, so they failed to remind him of his plan to meet with her. It seems strange that Reagan couldn't have remembered himself, but the meeting never took place. It appears that the Soviet/U. S. movement may have already been afoot. I know that the Soviet school training program was initiated to some extent, as indicated by the "Common Core" (Soviet induced) methods having been incorporated into the U. S. education system.

How, and why the radical change has not been publicized and addressed, is more of the general mystery of the UN/NWO stealth

movement undermining our national sovereignty, regarding politics and our economy, and threats to our security and freedom. But, neither would I fail to note the increasing evil effect of the supernatural Satanic factor, as the only plausible cause of the mystery, overriding the limited capacity of any normal human actions! The Biblical reference to "wickedness in high places", transcends any conceivable human capability, of carrying out the prodigious evil events that have transpired in the decades involved.

Another serious act that Reagan signed into law, regarding immigration, was his allowance of "Amnesty" in 1986 for illegal immigrants that were in the country at the time, having emigrated here before Jan. 1, 1983. That decision has set a dangerous precedent for handling of illegal immigration ever since.

# President George H. W. Bush:

3. To classify and commemorate a President, for example, as a <u>patriot</u> should mean that he served his country with honor and integrity, and did nothing contradicting the oath of office he pledged to obey. Take, for instance, an official like George H. W. Bush, who showed a serious interest and involvement in globalism, i.e., the existing New World Order conspiracy, which is diametrically opposed to our system of <u>Constitutional</u> nationalism. Is that an example of a good American? No, but most people ignorantly praise him, as if betrayal of trust isn't that serious.

Therefore, to be an <u>activist</u>, like the elder Bush (and others)

for the one-world government movement, as evident in his Dec. 1991 presentation to both houses of Congress, leaves no doubt about the fact of his New World Order allegiance.

In that speech. the elder Bush said, "We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations, a New World Order, a world where the rule of law (international World Court law), not the rule of the jungle (?) governs the conduct of nations. When we are successful, and we will be (note the elitist power arrogance), we have a real chance at the New World Order, an order in which a credible (by whose judgment?) United Nations can use its peacekeeping role to fulfill the promise and vision of the U. N.'s founders" (self-serving elitists, conspiring to establish global control by a ruling cabal of mainly Zionist Jews, who in effect practically dominate the world today with their wealth and power). Parenthetical portions, are commentary author's edits and comments).

In another speech by George H. W. Bush, to the U. N. General Assembly, he added, "It is the <u>sacred</u> (<u>a misnomer for "socialist"</u>) principles enshrined in the U. N. Charter, to which the American people will henceforth pledge their allegiance" (forced compliance). Hopefully, this prediction will not come to pass, even though our turncoat, sellout leaders capitulated to a traitorous alliance with the U. N., in a 1945 treaty.

Note: Skull and Bones, the "Order", a secret society at Yale University, of which the Bush's and selective others vow a blood oath that "absolves them from any allegiance to any nation, or

king, or government, or constitution! They are sworn only to the "Order", and its goal of a New World Order." Which makes an oath of office as President of the United States, mean nothing to them.

How, then, can a President, or other high government office-holder who leads a double life, be trusted to serve his country with any honor? Obviously he can't, so why isn't there an outcry about it, and action taken? As I have written before, that besides the human culpability for traitorous conduct by many "trusted" officials, who seem to go on in their positions, or life as if they have done nothing seriously wrong--creating a mystery that can only be answered as <a href="mailto:something paranormal">something paranormal</a>, beyond human capacity to carry out such an extreme treasonous act and coverup!

Which relates to the supernatural dimension of life that is a potential controlling cause, or influence of all things that occur in the universe. That is, as a hymn expresses, "This is my father's (God's) world", or "The devil made me do it" (a doctrinal truth at times, not merely a human excuse). The secret, Satanic rituals that go on at Yale University (and after graduations) by the "Skull & Bones" brotherhood is a classic example of the evil side of the supernatural realm that I am referring to!

# President George W. Bush:

More of the same insidious, double-dealing at the high end of government has been committed by the younger Bush president, disguised by propaganda, and a smokescreen of regular normal

service. I alluded to such in my commentary, "WTC (World Trade Center) Tragedy". Without recounting the details again here, it is indisputable that an expert professional demolition, was planned and executed by our government! Who else, and how else?

If you are not familiar with the proof of conspiratorial "false flag", engineered confrontations with target countries, read the full account of murderous, diabolically cruel acts carried out by the NWO puppets of our own government! For an excellent source of well-researched information on the subject, I would refer you to the website, Spingola Speaks, which I have used extensively. I know of no other account of political history to be as thorough, and educating!

In cold hard facts, the evidence clearly shows that President George W. Bush and his cohorts, purposely caused the tragic deaths of nearly 3,000 innocent citizens, on that fateful day, to further their mission to advance the nefarious plot of the New World Order conspiracy! Despite all the lying about Bin Laden causing the plane attacks, and the claims of Al Qaeda possessing WMDs, had literally nothing to do with the terrible WTC tragedy, and the subsequent Afghanistan, and Iraq wars; so, the blood is on the hands of George W. Bush, and other neocon warhawks involved. "Like father, like son", certainly applies!

In simple, but uncommon language, with devastating eventual effect, the Bible informs us that it is all part of the devil's plan to "establish his evil kingdom" on the earth, that he threatened to do before he was banished from heaven by God for his rebellion

against Him. As I stated in previous commentaries, there is no possible way that the extent of the cruel destructive event of 9/11/01, in America, could ever have been accomplished, and the truth concealed by human means, alone!

Only supernatural intervention could explain how supposedly loyal and responsible men could perpetrate such a traitorous act against their country, and appear normal and not show guilt, or confess their insidious actions, nor be prosecuted for 70 plus years, since the signing of the 1945 treaty with the U. N., and as I have indicated, there is only a modicum of awareness, or concern in the country in general.

We have such a convoluted concept of who's who, and what's what in our bloated government structure, with growing historical encroachment by self-serving officials, agency and bureau leaders that we either ignore, or forget the fact that the cart is before the horse, so to speak, because the ordained order has been turned on its head by unscrupulous "scoundrels", as the founding fathers would have rightly called them.

Instead of the government having a limited role in our national public and personal lives, for our overall safety, and necessary law and order, as specified in the Constitution--which does not delineate mainly what the government <u>can do</u>, but what it <u>cannot do!</u>. Its function is to <u>serve</u> the <u>will of the people</u>, who are the <u>employers</u>, and all government personnel are the <u>employees</u>, or <u>servants</u>, not that the elected, and appointed leaders see themselves that way.

Turning back to our No. 1 national problem, there seems to be very little understanding of the impact of the socialist language and meaning of the U. N. Charter, our new international "Constitution". In brief, all the decision making authority is vested n the U. N. Security Council, and Secretary General. The world's member nations, have only a slave status, interdependent membership which is under the typical communist, elite dictatorial ruling authority, that has subjugated most of the world to its influence and power!

In closing, there are of course other presidents, dignitaries, celebrities, etc. whose inflated reputations should be debunked for various faults and actions that undermined their responsibility for loyalty and national trust. Being fondly, or respectfully remembered would be an undeserved accolade, for anyone who abused their authority, or betrayed an important trust!

Anyway, there ought to be a stigma attached to the legacy of the ones noted, if not a shunning of them for their disrepute!

Think of the contrast between them and Will Rogers who said, "I'd rather be <u>right</u>, than president." I am sure he meant morally right, vs. knowledgeably corrrect. Ironically, and unfortunately, too many would "rather be <u>president</u> than right." So, as someone said, "beware of ambitious men"! That is, in the sense of being power-driven, and self-serving.

Everett Falvey efal@comcast.net