Characteristics of Sprint Reviews

The following are characteristics of effective Sprint Reviews. For each statement, indicate how strongly you agree with that statement.

| | | Strongly | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | Not |
|----|--|----------|----------|---------|-------|----------|------------|
| _ | Statement | Disagree | | | | Agree | Applicable |
| 1 | A Sprint Review is consistently held every Sprint. | | | | | | |
| 2 | The "right" set of cross-functional Stakeholders consistently attend and contribute meaningfully in our | | | | | | |
| | Sprint Reviews. Stakeholders may include, but are not limited to: actual end users/consumers of your | | | | | | |
| | team's work product, members from other product development teams, product support/customer | | | | | | |
| | service, marketing and/or sales, management/leadership team representatives, product release, etc. | | | | | | |
| 3 | The Sprint Review focuses on gathering feedback on the increment of work product the team created | | | | | | |
| | together not as a demo (i.e. as a one-way "here's what we did - so, do you like it?"), but instead as a two | | | | | | |
| | way informal conversation of the increment and how it may solve/address the Stakeholders' needs. | | | | | | |
| 4 | Only items that meet the team's Definition of Done are shared in the Sprint Review. | | | | | | |
| 5 | The Sprint Review is not a project status update meeting held for the purpose of informing internal | | | | | | |
| | management on progress. | | | | | | |
| 6 | Developers who contribute to the creation of the increment for that Sprint share the work that they | | | | | | |
| | contributed in the Sprint Review (i.e. the Product Owner or other proxy does not "demo" the work of | | | | | | |
| | Developers); the people who do the work share their work. | | | | | | |
| 7 | Our Stakeholders feel the Sprint Review is a valuable use of their time. | | | | | | |
| 8 | Our Scrum Team feels the Sprint Review is constructive and useful. | | | | | | |
| 9 | Feedback is welcomed, even if received late in the product development process. | | | | | | |
| 10 | We appropriately adjust our Product Backlog based upon feedback from Stakeholders obtained in the | | | | | | |
| | Sprint Review. | | | | | | |
| _ | We spend enough reasonable time in Sprint Review (i.e. it's not too long, it's not too short). | | | | | | |
| 12 | The Sprint Review is not used as a sign-off meeting for the formal acceptance of Product Backlog Items. | | | | | | |
| 13 | Enough reasonable time is used to prepare for the Sprint Review (typically around an hour or so per person | | _ | | | | |
| | on your Scrum Team) | | | | | | |
| 14 | Other aspects of the product, such as Product Roadmap, Release Plan (including the anticipated scope and | | | | | | |
| | release dates), next set of highest priority items in Product Backlog, budgets/burn-rate, etc. are discussed | | | | | | |
| | as appropriate. | | | | | | |

Note: The term "reasonable" is used several times in the assessment. It is anticipated that for your Scrum Team, you can more specifically define what does "reasonable" mean to you.

While the above is not an all-inclusive list, Scrum Teams that have more effective Sprint Reviews tend to exhibit the characteristics above. Review your answers. See if there are any patterns to your responses. Are there any opportunities for improvement you see? What actions might be needed to increase the impact of your Sprint Reviews?