

**Stephanie Mikulasek
PhD Student
International Education/Education Leadership
George Mason University
EDLE 802: Dr. Maureen Marshall
Final Research Project**

**What Optimizes Intercultural and Global Competency in
an Immersion Program:**

A Research Proposal and Rationale

Introduction

In 2008, the Longview Foundation gathered a group of scholars to discuss how to equip future teachers' intercultural and global competencies. The central question asked was how to better prepare students to participate and effectively engage with an interconnected and globalized market as well as contribute to peaceful and productive relations across cultures (also see Stromquist, 2005). One result from this gathering was the report, *Teacher Preparation for the Global Age: The Imperative for Change*, which called out:

“The critical role of teachers in internationalizing P-12 education has never been clearer, yet today’s educators rarely begin their careers with the deep knowledge and robust skills necessary to bring the world into their classrooms.”

(Longview Foundation, 2008, p. 3)

If we want to maximize our ability to develop students as globally and interculturally competent 21st century citizens **able to** peacefully address a wide assortment of challenges and productively engage with other peoples and cultures, then we need to intentionally teach and equip our educators with skills, knowledge, and attitudes related to intercultural and global competencies (Bastos & Araujo e Sa, 2014; Cushner, 2009; Cushner, 2012; Deardorff, 2006; DeJaeghere & Cao, 2009; Mahon, 2007; Myers & Rivero, 2020; Shaklee & Baily, 2012; Valdivia & Montoto, 2017). In short, teachers cannot teach what they don’t know (Sadrudin & Wahab, 2013; Santoro, 2014; Schneider, 2003).

This research proposal focuses on how to build intercultural and global competency among school leaders, particularly teachers, as part of the broader effort to internationalize education (see Cushner, 2012; Shaklee and Baily, 2012). Specifically, this exploration centers on immersion programs and their unique ability to serve as a vehicle to instigate and sustainably and positively increase intercultural and global competency among participants **when done well** – but what does **“done well” look like?** The core research question therefore asks: **what components of an**

immersion program optimize the development of intercultural and global competency among participants? Understanding and applying the necessary core components of an immersion program will help maximize the development of intercultural and global competencies among teacher participants; and as teachers increase their competency, so can their students.

Purpose Statement

An oft-cited vehicle to develop global and intercultural competencies is the use of international immersion programs, which can ‘fast track’ the development of intercultural and global competencies if such a program is intentionally designed and implemented explicitly to meet that objective (Che, Spearman & Manizade, 2009; Cushner, 2012; DeJaeghere & Cao, 2009). These types of programs can move participants to a new way of being and knowing – that is, a transformed ontology that facilitates the recognition and value of other epistemologies, and subsequently accepting, adapting, integrating knowledge, skills, and attitudes of intercultural and global competencies (Byram, 1997; Deardorff, 2006; Hammer, Bennett & Wiseman, 2003). When teachers participate in these programs, they become better equipped to support their students’ development in intercultural and global competencies, and consequently help facilitate the students’ ability to positively engage with and navigate the global environment. The question is how to design and deliver an immersion program so that it optimizes learning these competencies among teachers.

Why focus on teachers?

Teachers convey more than a lesson plan. Their framing of questions, cultural assumptions, biases, approach to different genders, personal background, life experiences and a host of other factors form a lens, or framework, through which they teach. However, teachers generally are not aware of those frameworks (Gallavan, 2008; Gilliom, 1993). Further, teachers typically have

minimum training in intercultural or global competencies, including the alternative frameworks held by their students, many of whom come from different countries, cultures, traditions, and ways of knowing information (Cunningham, 2019; Gilliom, 1993; Schneider, 2003).

In order for our students to be interculturally and globally competent citizens, an examination of their teacher's competency is essential (Braskamp, 2009; Davies & Pike, 2009; Schattle, 2009). As Sutton (1998) notes in her historical overview of global education in the United States, the competencies and capacities of individual teachers will dictate how the classroom is internationalized and the strength of global citizenship taught in the classroom. Some researchers suggest schools and colleges of education are often the least internationalized divisions in U.S. post-secondary institutions (Schneider, 2003) and that consequently, the majority of teachers begin their careers with only superficial knowledge of the world (Longview Foundation, 2008).

When exploring different types of immersion programs, (faculty-led, short term, long term, study abroad, etc.), an example of questions to consider might be (Cushner, 2009; Paige, Fry, Stallman, Josić & Jon, 2009): what is the background of the participants and the instructor? How long was the program? Where did they go? How did they define “intercultural and global competency”? What components were included? What was missing? What did pre-departure and post-return programming include? Did the participants (as indicated in the research) determine the program was effective, or not, and why? How was the program evaluated? What lessons were learned? Answers to these questions and other formative and summative assessments during a program, combined with an in-depth review of relevant research and studies, will contribute to the development of a framework containing the necessary components of an immersion program to optimize the embodiment of intercultural and global competency. The purpose of this research

proposal and rationale is to identify the essential components of an immersion experience to optimize the sustained increase in intercultural and global competency skills, knowledge, and attitudes.

Significance

Immersion programs can build intercultural and global competencies so that knowledge, skills, and attitudes (Deardorff, 2006; Reimers, 2009) are fostered, deepened, and sustained. The objective is to increase skills, spark and sustain behavior shifts, and deepen knowledge of others with the goal of increasing attitudes of empathy, curiosity, tolerance, compassion, and respect while reducing fear, prejudice, bias, and conflict.

Defining intercultural and global competency

My area of research aligns the definition of “intercultural competency” with the proposed definition offered by Deardorff (2006): “the ability to communicate effectively and appropriately in intercultural situations based on one’s intercultural knowledge, skills, and attitudes” (p. 247). To understand “global competency,” Fernando Reimers (2009) identifies the inclusion of affective, action-oriented, and academic components as part of developing students’ knowledge, skills, and attitudes to “understand the flat world in which they live, integrate across disciplinary domains to comprehend global affairs and events, and create possibilities to address them...peacefully, respectfully, and productively with fellow human beings from diverse geographies” (p. 184). Closely related to the development of intercultural and global competency is peace or conflict-resolution education, which captures the “educational orientation” (Bar-Tal, 2002, p. 31) necessary to generate peaceful co-existence, non-violence, and humanization of the other (Bar-Tal, 2002; DeMulder, Ndura-Ouedraogo & Stribling, 2009; Harris, 2007). Peace education may be understood as an outcome of or integrated within intercultural and global competency education.

Examining research on immersion programs

To develop intercultural and global competency, research has reiterated the importance of direct personal engagement with other peoples, cultures, and contexts (Brendel, Aksit, Aksit & Schrufer, 2016; Cushner, 2009; Santoro, 2014). Further, the immersion program must be intentionally designed to develop intercultural competency (Crawford, Higgins & Hilburn, 2020; Cushner, 2009; Polat & Barka, 2014; Salmon, Gangotena & Melliou, 2017) and is a continual process involving multiple intercultural experiences leading to an evolving transformation in worldview structure and perspective (Brendel, Aksit, Aksit, & Schrufer, 2016; Deardorff, 2006; Hammer, Bennett & Wiseman, 2003; Parkhouse, Tichnor-Wagner, Cain & Glazier, 2016;). Coursework alone will not develop intercultural competency (Cushner, 2009).

Santoro (2014) emphasizes the need to intentionally design immersion experiences with built in time for reflection; immersion programs must be intentional, well-planned, and structured specifically to build intercultural and global competency. Yershova, Y., DeJaeghere, J., & Mestenhauser, J. (2000) affirmed that intercultural competence; critical thinking; and comparative thinking are not learned automatically in traditional disciplines or by osmosis, and that they are influenced by the cultures in which they are practiced and taught (Also see Ari & Mula, 2017). Further, they found the teaching of intercultural competency is dominated by the "fix the problem" paradigm, which considers cultural differences an obstacle to be over-come. Affirming this research, Polat and Barka (2014) state that teacher training institutions must intentionally include intercultural coursework to develop interculturally competent teachers. The challenge is moving from theory to practice, as cited by Kerkhoff and Cloud (2020); their study reiterated the need to educate teachers on *how* to embed global competency, and to model consciousness to intercultural and global competency.

Immersion programs proposed domestically

Some research indicates an experience must be outside of a participant's home country (Cushner, 2009) and in a context significantly dissimilar from that home country (Che, Spearman & Manizade, 2009). Others suggest an in-person foreign immersion experience may not be necessary, and intercultural competency can be developed through technology and simulations. For example, Crawford, Higgins, & Hilburn (2020) looked at factors having the greatest influence on increasing students intercultural and global competency: (1) students designing a course themselves that incorporated global competency learning; (2) first-hand experience with other cultures through a 4-week asynchronous collaboration with a foreign school; (3) using documentary films as a source of learning; and (4) immersive storytelling. The latter two points centered on the role emotion plays in learning. From another perspective, Myers and Rivero (2020) examined simulations as a tool to build global competency among 24 social studies preservice teachers; their simulation focused on Nigerian oil and globalization during negotiations at a fictional UN conference. Myers and Rivero argued that experiential activities, such as a simulation, rather than memorizing facts, would increase students' global competency. The results of the use of simulations showed a marked increase in understanding global issues, such as the role of domestic politics; a generated shared vocabulary; a more systemic understanding of power; and the interconnections between actions, policies, and economies. For the purposes of this research proposal and rationale, the components offered by Crawford, et al. and Myers and Rivero's research serve as useful tools and insights, which may be integrated into in-person immersion programs; however, just as these researchers do not dispute the varacity and impact of the in-person experience, this proposal contends the optimization of developing intercultural and global competency occurs in the experience of the immersion.

Immersion experiences shift epistemologies

Valdivia and Montoto (2017) found participants in immersion programs often far overestimate their level of intercultural competency; developing intercultural competency among teachers is a social process “in which meanings are made through dialogue between the participants’ ways of knowing” (p. 524). As such, understanding how we know what we know, that is, our epistemology and underlying ontology, is essential to raise consciousness to our perspectives, cultures, and blindspots (Ellerton, 201; Kuhn, 1970; Weinberger, 2013). McCloskey (2012) found the key to success is a highly competent instructor; explicit instructions on communication practices; and significant self-reflection before engaging online. Parkhouse, Tichnor-Wagner, Cain and Glazier (2016) noted the accumulation of experiences better leads to the incorporation of global perspectives and increased intercultural competency. Disorientating experiences, namely when engaging a new culture or environment; self-reflection and critical analysis; and student commitment make up a continuum of motivating teachers to develop their intercultural and global competency; their study, among others (Barrett, 2018; Dimitrov, Dawson, Olsen & Meadows, 2014), also highlighted that teachers do not have access to intercultural training in their professional development.

Measuring intercultural competency

To measure intercultural competency, a useful tool is the Intercultural Communicative Competence Scale (ICCS), an assessment tool to measure pre-service teachers’ perceived levels of intercultural competency in the classroom (Kazykhankyzy & Alagozlu, 2019). Another important assessment tool is Bennett’s Developmental Model of Intercultural Sensitivity (DMIS) and the Intercultural Development Inventory (IDI), which uses variables such as an initial baseline;

personal experiences; and integration of training in professional development initiative (DeJaeghere & Cao, 2009; Hammer, 2011).

Summary of significance

Summarily, while several elements of an effective immersion program to develop intercultural competency have been suggested, scholars have not concluded how best to develop and sustain intercultural competency, and, more particularly, what specific approach should be implemented in an academic immersion program to maximize the impact of building intercultural competency (Deardorff, 2006; Knight, 2004). Both qualitative and quantitative evaluation tools exist to assess whether actions we take are meeting our objectives.

Future Research

This research proposal and rationale focuses on uncovering the key components of an immersion program to optimize the development, advancement, and sustainability of intercultural and global competencies. The next question, however, is how can we leverage these teased out components and apply them to domestic and local “immersion” experiences with the goal of achieving some or similar growth in these competencies? This question is key given the majority of students do not participate in an immersion program. Specifically, just under 350,000 American students participated in some type of study abroad program in 2018-2019, of which a mere 3.1% were students majoring in education, which is less than 11,000 future teachers (Open Doors, n.d).

Another future research area to examine is the organization of an immersion program itself. An immersion program is effectively a group, or organization, that contributes to the success of the experience and development of competencies. For example, what type of organization and leader offers an environment to optimally stimulate intercultural and global competencies, and can we

then create this type of “organization” within a classroom or local experience? To start, a way of approaching an organization is through the theory of systems thinking as a vehicle to develop intercultural and global competency (Schwiger, Stouten, & Bleijenbergh, 2018). Explaining systems thinking, Suchman (2011) contends that organizations are rooted in the principles of complexity or systems dynamics; context cannot be separated from organizational assessments; the inclusion of culture, diversity, and stories must be used to recognize patterns of how knowledge, attitudes and habits are developed and expanded. Teerikangas and Hawk (2002) also conclude a holistic and expansionist approach as found in systems and complexity theories reflects the “living nature” of an organization as its members embody and respond to changing cultures, contexts, and chaos (p. 11).

The follow-on question is the role of leadership in an immersion program. Seeing organizations as a series of conversations, reflecting patterns of meaning and relating, the role of a leader is to interrupt existing patterns to initiate change. Further, the role of the leader is to observe what is occurring, perceive differences, craft new relevant patterns, and help interweave the knowledge, beliefs and attitudes to help an organizational change emerge, be responsive, and be perceived as legitimate to its members and others (Tsoukas & Chia, 2002). Looking closely at leadership, Nkomo and Kriek (2011) examine how life stories reveal how leaders perceive, interpret and respond to change based on their attached meaning to their stories. Their study demonstrates how a leader’s life story underlies his/her influence, response, and navigation to organizational change and re-creation as emerging culture, values, structures, people, and processes arise and intersect with the organization/group. Oreg (2007) looks at how to reduce resistance to change by addressing the change process. A lack of confidence in an organization’s leader most strongly correlated with negative attitudes, behaviors, and cognition toward the

change. In addition, social influence – whether an individual is surrounded by advocates or critics of the change – has a significant impact on the resistance or acceptance of change.

Additional questions for future research might include:

- What type of leadership approach optimizes the development of Intercultural competency among students? Among students in an immersion group? In an immersion group internationally?
- What characteristics of a leader optimize the development of IC among students? Among students in an immersion group? In an immersion group internationally?
- How do life stories of a leader affect his/her leadership of an immersion group? If the students know his/her life story, to what extent does this information influence the group and the individual members of the group?
- What role does the power of indirect influence have on school leaders and immersion group organizations, such as the particular context/culture; the school's institution; the host institution (if relevant); and so forth?

Summary and Implications

Summarily, this research proposal explores the intersection between international immersion programs and human relations, organizational theory and approaches, leadership, and experiential education to identify the key components that optimize intercultural and global competencies. The target audience are teachers with an initial focus on pre-service teacher preparation programs and a second focus on in-service professional development. The growth of these competencies and associated characteristics can be measured quantitatively and qualitatively with the overarching goal to equip teachers with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of intercultural and global competency so that they can bring that background to their students. Ultimately our concern is to raise the next generation capable of navigating a global marketplace, engaging productively and peacefully with multiple cultures, and mitigating conflict based on bias, prejudice, and fear. In order to bring those skills to our students, our teachers deserve intentional,

well-designed training and experiences to bring forth their sense of selves, and how they are interweaved into the tapestry of cultures and peoples that we are.

References

- Ari, L. & Mula, W. (2017). "Us and them": towards intercultural competence among Jewish and Arab graduate students at Israeli colleges of education. *Higher Education*, 74(6), 979–996. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-016-0088-7>

- Bar-Tal, D. (2002). The elusive nature of peace education. G. Solmon & B. Nevo, Eds. *Peace education: The concept, principles and practices in the world*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence, Erlbaum. 27-36.
- Barrett, M. (2018). How Schools Can Promote the Intercultural Competence of Young People. *European Psychologist*, 23(1), 93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000308>
- Bastos, M. & Araujo e Sa, H. (2014). Pathways to teacher education for intercultural communicate competence: teachers' perceptions. *The Language Learning Journal*. 43(2). 131-147. DOI: 10.1080/09571736.2013.869940
- Bennett, M. J. (1993). Towards ethnorelativism: A developmental model of intercultural sensitivity. R. Paige (Ed.). *Education for the intercultural experience*. 21–71. Yarmouth: Intercultural Press.
- Braskamp, L. A. (2009). Internationalization in higher education: Four issues to consider. *Journal of College and Character*, 10(6), 1-7.
- Brendel, N., Aksit, F., Aksit, S. & Schrufer, G. (2016). Multicultural group work on field excursions to promote student teachers' intercultural competence. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. 40(2). 284-301. DOI: 10.1080/03098265.2016.1140731
- Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Che, S.M., Spearman, M. & Manizade, A. (2009). Cognitive and emotional development through dissonant experiences in less familiar destinations. *The Handbook of Practice and Research in Study Abroad*. Ross Lewin, Ed. Routledge. 151-169.
- Crawford, E., Higgins, H. & Hilburn, J. (2020). Using a global competency model in an instructional design course before social studies methods: A developmental approach to global teacher education. *The Journal of Social Studies Research*. 44, 367-381.
- Cunningham, H. (2019). Responding to what we notice: International student teaching as a pathway to cultural responsiveness. *Urban Education*, 54(9), 1262–1289. DOI: 10.1177/0042085919860569
- Cushner, K. (2009). The role of study abroad in preparing globally responsible teachers. *The Handbook of Practice and Research in Study Abroad*. Ross Lewin, Ed. Routledge. 151-169.
- Cushner, K. (2012). Intercultural research in teacher education: An essential intersection in the preparation of globally competent teachers. *Action in Teacher Education*. 33(5-6). 601-614. DOI: 10.1080/016266202011.627306
- Davies, I., & Pike, G. (2009). Global citizenship education: Challenges and possibilities. Ed. R.

- Lewin. *The handbook of practice and research in study abroad: Higher education and the quest for global citizenship*. Routledge. 61-77.
- Deardorff, D. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Association for Studies in International Education*. 10(3). 241-266. DOI: 10.1177/1028315306287002
- Deardorff, D. & Arasaratnam-Smith, L., Eds. (2017). *Intercultural competence in higher education: International approaches, assessments and application*. Routledge.
- DeJaeghere, J. & Cao, Y. (2009). Developing U.S. teachers' intercultural competence: Does professional development matter? *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*. 33, 437-447.
- DeMulder, E., Ndura-Ouedraogo, E. & Stribling, S. (2009). From vision to action: Fostering peaceful coexistence and the common good in a pluralistic society through teacher education. *Peace and Change*. 34(1), 27-48.
- Dimitrov, N., Dawson, D. Olsen, K. & Meadows, K. (2014). Developing the Intercultural Competence of Graduate Students. *Canadian Journal of Higher Education*. 44(3), 86-103.
- Ellerton, P. (2017, August 3). How do you know that what you know is true? That's epistemology. [Blog post.] Retrieved from <https://theconversation.com/how-do-you-know-that-what-you-know-is-true-thats-epistemology-63884>
- Gallavan, N. (2008). Examining Teacher Candidates' Views on Teaching World Citizenship. Heldref Publications. *The Social Studies*. 249-254.
- Gilliom, M. E. (1993). Mobilizing teacher educators to support global education in preservice programs. *Theory into Practice*. 32(1), 40-46.
- Gnyawali, D. & Stewart, A. (2003). A contingency perspective on organizational learning: Integrating environmental context, organizational learning processes, and types of learning. Sage Publications. 34(1), 63-89
- Hammer, M.R. (2011). Additional cross-cultural validity testing of the intercultural development inventory. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*. 35. 474-487. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijintrel.2011.02.014
- Hammer, M.R., Bennett, M.J., & Wiseman, R. (2003). Measuring intercultural sensitivity: The intercultural development inventory. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*. 27. 421-443. DOI: 10.1016/S0147-1767(03)00032-4
- Harris, I. (2007). Peace education theory. *Journal of Peace Education*. 1(1), 5-20. DOI: 10.1080/1740020032000178276

- Kazykhankyzy, L. & Alagozlu, N. (2019). Developing and validating a scale to measure Turkish and Kazakhstanni ELT pre-service teachers' intercultural communicative competency. *International Journal of Instruction*. 12(1), 931-946.
- Kerkhoff, S. & Cloud, M. (2020). Equipping teachers with globally competent practices: A mixed methods study on integrating global competence and teacher education. *International Journal of Educational Research*. 103.
- Knight, J. (2004). Internationalization remodeled: Definition, approaches, and rationales. *Journal of Studies in International Education*. 8(1), 5-31. DOI: 10.1177/1028315303260832.
- Kuhn, T. (1970). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (2nd ed.). International Encyclopedia of Unified Science (Vol. 1, 2). University of Chicago (Originally published in 1962)
- Longview Foundation (2008). *Teacher preparation for the global age: The imperative for change*. Longview Foundation.
- Mahon, J. (2007). Under the invisibility cloak? Teacher understanding of cultural difference. *Intercultural Education*. 17(4). 391-405. DOI: 10.1080/14675980600971426.
- McCloskey, E. (2012). Global teachers: A model for building teachers' intercultural competence online. *Scientific Journal of Media Education*. 38(XIX), 41-49.
- Myers, J. & Rivero, K. (2020). Challenging preservice teachers' understandings of globalization: Critical knowledge for global citizenship education. *The Journal of Social Studies Research*. 44, 383-396. DOI: 10.1016/j.jssr.2020.05.004
- Nkomo, S. & Kriek, D. (2011). Leading organizational change in the 'new' South Africa. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*. 84, 453-470.
- Open Doors (n.d.) Retrieved on 7/24/2021 at <https://opendoorsdata.org/data/us-study-abroad/>
- Oreg, S. (2007). Personality, context, and resistance to organizational change. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*. 15(1), 73-101.
- Paige, R., Fry, G., Stallman, E., Josić, J. & Jon, Jae-Eun. (2009). Study abroad for global engagement: The long- term impact of mobility experiences. *Intercultural Education*. 20, S29-S44. DOI: 10.1080/14675980903370847.
- Parkhouse, H., Tichnor-Wagner, A., Cain, J.M. & Glazier, J. (2016). "You don't have to travel the world": accumulating experiences on the path toward globally competent teaching. *Teaching Education*. 27(3), 267-285.
- Polat, S. & Barka, O. (2014). Preservice teachers' intercultural competence: A comparative study

- of teachers in Switzerland and Turkey. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*. 54, 19-38.
- Reimers, F. (2009). Educating for global competency. Joel E. Cohen, Martin B. Malin, Eds. *International Perspectives on the Goals of Universal Basic and Secondary Education*. United States: Taylor & Francis. P. 183-202.
- Sadruddin, M. & Wahab, Z. (2013). Are we preparing global competent teachers? - Evaluation of the incorporation of global education perspectives in teacher education curriculum in Pakistan. *Bulletin of Education and Research*. 35(1), 75-94.
- Salmon, A.K., Gangotena, M.V. & Melliou, K. (2018). Becoming globally competent citizens: A learning journey of two classrooms in an interconnected world. *Early Childhood Education J.* 46. 301-312. DOI: 10.1007/s10643-017-0860-z.
- Santoro, N. (2014). "If I'm going to teach about the world, I need to know the world": developing Australian pre-service teachers' intercultural competence through international trips. *Race Ethnicity and Education*. 17(3), 429-444.
- Schneider, A.M. (2003). *Internationalizing teacher education: What can be done?* Washington, DC: US Department of Education. (ERIC no. ED480869).
- Shaked, H., & Schechter, C. (2016). Sources of Systems Thinking in School Leadership. *Journal of School Leadership*, 26(3), 468–494. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105268461602600304>
- Shaklee, B. & Baily, S., Eds. (2012). *Internationalizing teacher education in the United States*. Pennsylvania: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
- Schattle, H. (2009). Global citizenship in theory and practice. Ed. R. Lewin. *The handbook of practice and research in study abroad: Higher education and the quest for global citizenship*. Routledge, 3-20.
- Schwiger, S., Stouten, H. & Bleijenbergh, I. (2018). A system dynamics model of resistance to organizational change: The role of participatory strategies. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*. 35, 658-674.
- Stromquist, N. (2005). Comparative and international education: A journey toward equality and equity. *Harvard Educational Review*, 75(1), 89–111. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.75.1.4842626v0r385j12>
- Suchman, A. (2011). Organizations as machines, organizations as conversations. *Medical Care*. 49(12)1, S43-S48.
- Sutton, M. (1998). Global education and national interest: The last fifty years. *International Journal of Social Education*, 13(2), 6-28.

- Teerikangas, S. & Hawk, D. (2002). Approaching cultural diversity through the lenses of systems thinking and complexity theory. *International Society for the Systems Sciences*. Shanghai: 46th Annual Meeting.
- Tsoukas, H. & Chia, R. (2002). On organizational becoming: Rethinking organizational change. *Organization Science*. 13(5), 567-582.
- Valdivia, I.M.A. & Montoto, I.G. (2017). Teachers' intercultural competence: a requirement or an option in a culturally diverse classroom? *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. 22(5), 510-526.
- Weinberger, D. (2013, Feb 24). *Shift Happens*. The Chronicle of Higher Education. (Originally published April 22, 2012)
- Yershova, Y., DeJaeghere, J., & Mestenhauser, J. (2000). Thinking not a Usual: Adding the Intercultural Perspective. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 4(1), 39–78. <https://doi.org/10.1177/102831530000400105>