<=->

V E X Y R I C O N

(vex-ear-ih-con)

Version 2.72

<=->

=--=(outlines near utopia)=--=

This entire book has nothing to do with "religion" nor "spirituality". It is meant to be a realistic mindset shift meant to solve the problems people are facing, through logic. I know this book has a funny name, but this is like math. If I make a claim, it's because I am able to put it behind a sensible logical argument. That goes for every claim I make.

<=->

=---=I N T R O D U C T I O N=---=

Every human's life purpose is literally just to achieve the best existence they can. I'm pretty sure the

meaning of life is that it's a game with both great potential for happiness, and great potential for pain.

So, the "game", obviously, is to achieve max happiness, the best existence you can. By living, you play

the game, opening yourself to be vulnerable to experience both life's full potential for happiness and full

potential for pain. And by dying, you give up the potential for happiness and pain for what I'll assume to

be a void of nothingness (**we've apparently found no proof of any afterlife,** I told you this book is **logic**

based, and it's **smarter** to assume nothingness to be safe and not act like we can just kill ourselves and

risk losing all the potential life offers only to be met with literally nothing)**.** So, the meaning of life and

your life's purpose, are the same, as you can see: to achieve the best existence possible. **The reason**

people "don't know" their life's purpose today, is because they were convinced to give up on it. Let

me explain: As a kid, you might come up with big dreams, and not think about their limitations. But as

an adult, you're taught to accept some hopelessness, like accepting that that you will die one day, and

that you only have a bit over 100 years to live, at max. Accepting that then makes a lot of, if not all of

your **truly** greatest dreams "unachievable". **I'm not talking about average dreams genius**. I'm talking

about **the greatest dreams you can imagine**, **the full extent of all the potential that exists** for us to

make a reality in this existence we're in. When I said "max happiness" I wasn't kidding. **Those huge dreams are and were always your and our true purpose to achieve as people, the true path to "max happiness" and the best existence we could be living**. The very best dreams you can imagine achieving. Every individual person is and was always meant to have the mindset of intending to take seriously the goal of solving any and every obstacle in their way of achieving such dreams. This includes overcoming death itself. **Here is why**: What the hell can you do with 100 years of life? Definitely not achieve all these "greatest dreams" I'm talking about. Our happiness and achievement of our best, true life purpose, is literally crippled by death not having yet been solved by the medical advancement of society. **But on top of that**... **There is enough potential for happiness in this existence that the public hasn't discovered yet**, to the point where, if a person witnesses all of or even just "enough" of that potential in one place at one time, **they will be ignited to be uncorrupt & take seriously overcoming everything in their way of it, even death**. I'm not saying they'd definitely succeed in overcoming death, but they **sure would try**. My point with this is: **human beings, since the beginning of time, were meant to have the mindset of creating the best existence possible and overcoming anything in their way of that, as a team, not succumbing to hopelessness. Even toward death itself. It is our literal life purpose, to achieve the best existence possible as an uncorrupt team, and teaching our kids to accept hopelessness, even teaching yourself that, while it seems sane, is a major reason for deep-rooted depression in this society, as well as corruption**. The only way for me to prove this claim is true to you, is to show you all of that potential. **So that's what I'll do in this book**. **This explains it: he who sees enough of a "why", will find almost any "how"**. This is how you were meant to be. There is simply too much potential you'll see you'll be giving up by accepting hopelessness, accepting death... Too much potential happiness you'll be losing, for you to be okay with accepting that hopelessness. I know, seems unbelievable, but **equally is the reward**, and it's important you see that. Like I said, these dreams are your entire life purpose, and the meaning of life is to achieve the greatest of them. **And when this book shows what that's like... You will sprint after it.**

The amount of regret you'll feel when it's too late, when you're now too old <u>or</u> just 100% guaranteed to die, will scale with the amount of potential that exists in life you see you'll now be losing by dying, the amount of potential you lived your life having given up on chasing. **As someone who's seen a lot of that potential, there's a ton, and thus potential for a ton of regret**. That's not fun 🙁, and what's even less fun is that **most people are headed straight toward all that regret.** Without first witnessing all the potential this existence can offer you, you haven't even seen what you're giving up on by accepting this hopelessness mindset society has taught you. **It's smart to at least see the other side of this coin. And I'm saying, you'll see enough potential I assure you, to the point you will <u>burn</u> to sprint after it,** after you witness it. I have put basically **all of it into plain English for you; <u>this book</u>** should share sensible, full solutions to what seems to be **almost all** individual **and** societal issues. I **don't** mean true "perfection" or "utopia", but the result of this is… ⚠ **<u>CLOSE</u>** ⚠. It **is** the greatest thing I've ever seen, solving pains you probably weren't aware you had; making life better in ways you didn't know it can be. Just keep reading. And yes, just so you know, this book **does** share the plan to overcome death in the next 50 years, **or less**.

=--=E N D   O F   I N T R O=--=

<-=->

(‹o›)

This marks the end of book I, the attention-grabbing thesis, "the arrow", and marks the beginning of "<u>the sword</u>":

<-=->

<=->

THE SWORD, THE IMPORTANT MAIN TEXTBOOK

The full textbook of all this, meant to outline the logical path to the best existence possible. It's all "just an opinion". It's separated into two books: book II has the full plans steps A-Z, and the required/important context. Book III has all the extra, minor context/answers your doubts with it. A chapter in book II has an identically titled one in book III, but the one in book III is only the "extra context", supporting evidence, and answers to potential doubts you might have with the chapter or the logic in it. In short, book II is all the plans A-Z, while book III is their evidence and proof.

<=->

BOOK II TABLE OF CONTENTS

Book II contains only the most important info, formulas, and this entire book's main plan's steps from A-Z. The Plan. If you have unanswered questions or doubts about a chapter in it, check its book III twin, which might answer them.

<=->

--- *sword table of contents* ---

R E A D I N G   T I P S

Introductory info that is possibly helpful to know about this book before/whilst reading it.

-- *sword reading tips* --

warning: cross-referencing

Occasionally, things in this book mention things lower down in the book you may not have read about yet. In the s-ame sense, things already mentioned may be cited again since so many things connect to and support others here. Rest assured, everything should be easy to find, and if it isn't with the table of contents, search for it with CTRL+F… No, seriously, this is going to be an issue for you. That's why I'm saying, literally any time you see a term you don't completely understand, it probably means you need to CTRL+F the shit and read the context behind what it means. Almost always, there are entire entries dedicated to defining each of these slang terms, with the term in their title.

the entries in this book <u>connect</u> far more than I even write about, and to pass the first layer, you'll need to see it

Think for yourself and see how the entries in this book connect to and enable one another when reading through t-his. I try to list how each entry connects to others when I can, but do know that the entries in this book connect far *more* than I even write about, and all it takes for you to see that, is to first understand the entries, obviously, and t-hen to just take five seconds to *actually* contemplate how they connect to and enable one another, to see just how intertwined and connected of a spiderweb they're creating. It should make sense too. They connect so heavily, and you should make it a point to see that in effort to see the truth and the greatness of the bigger picture they create.

what exactly this book means by "motivations"

Remember, a "motivation" in this sense, is something that reminds you of or shows you enough potential to impa-ct you on a deep level; shows you enough pieces of a "best existence possible" in one place to impact you deeply; like a song, or admirable person even. So, it's unimaginably important that you never forget these sentences: if you felt the law of motivations at max strength, it'd ignite you to unimaginable levels. Temporarily. It gives you some s-tupid "free trial" of unimaginable motivation, but who cares. It's showing you the truth, it's *showing you the <u>law</u> of motivations*. You're supposed to take that impact, and never, ever, ever fucking forget that shit. The more impactf-ul motivations you find, the easier self-discipline and following that law, will be. Keep impactful motivations in your possession. Use them to wake up and remind you of what you want to create most. This is also a reminder of how important it is to "pass the first layer" (see enough potential to truly awaken you to become a legendary version of yourself, create the best existence possible) because the more you're passed the first layer, the more potential yo-u'll know is real, and thus the more impact you'll reap from motivations (read the sensitivity to impact entry). It'll be hard to remember the law of motivations unless you find enough strong motivations to wake you up enough, t-he law of motivations is elusive; you might forget what it showed you shortly after you are impacted, unless it imp-acts you hard enough. But don't you realize, even if it didn't impact you "hard as fuck", that such a thing can still o-ccur? The potential doesn't just fucking "vanish" out of existence all because <u>you</u> didn't get hit with it <u>yet</u>. Wake th-e fuck up genius, it exists, and as soon as you witness that "max impact", you <u>truly</u> no longer have any excuse to a-ct like it doesn't. And that's why high sensitivity to impact, and why passing the first layer, is so important for ever-yone. To witness that max, to wake the hell up once and for all with a (positively) traumatizing memory of the hea-ven that is possible in life. Thus, it's likely also important that you find many strong motivations, to make it easier t-

o remember their impact, and to make waking back up *fully*, easier. Just remember, and never forget, the important core warning of the law. "If you were impacted by your strongest motivations right now which you might not yet even know exist, you <u>would</u> feel unimaginably ignited to sprint after them, at full speed, eternally". The law of motivations is a truth I've experienced countless times now, since I paid attention to what it told me to do the first time I experienced it, and paid attention when I found more of it, watching out for that shit and investigating like hopper from stranger things season 1, dissecting the impactful potential I'd seen instead of forgetting it or giving up.

=--=~> *"If you saw heaven, you'd chase it"* <~=--=

corny ass made-up terms are necessary/common in this book <u>to</u> zoom your mind back to these concepts clearly

Yeah, relish in the cringe, but don't miss the points, genius. No matter what you wanna feel about the slang I made up to represent these ideas and to help you remember them more efficiently, it <u>still</u> doesn't negate the statement I made at the very beginning of this book; that none of this shit has anything to do with religion, and that it is completely logic based. Any claim I make, any stupid sounding slang title or whatever, there's a deep reason behind it all. And don't get on me for saying the words "heaven" or "hell" a couple times; I refer to it non-religiously. *Obviously*? You know, like... People will say war is "hell", or "Hawaii was like heaven!". I shouldn't need to explain this to you...

"human magnetism" ← see I told you. how about grow a brain and hear me out, we're talking near utopia here

 I don't see a problem with this being the title of the movement this book creates. It's accurate, and *you'll see why*. "*Human magnet*" is just a term I'll use meaning "the legendary version of oneself" throughout this book. I think that's okay, because the level of "*legendary self*" this book can turn even a *single person* into, is... Something I'd never seen before. Never even knew anything like this was possible. A strong human magnet has been the most impactful motivation I've ever seen, and it'll be *really funny* if I can somehow manage to help everyone become *that legendary* with this little nuke of a book. The three "*overarching traits*" of a "human magnet" are power, attractiveness, and understanding, with all other traits being sub-traits of those three that give someone more of those three.

I use this term because it's just more accurate in describing the "level" of "legendary self" this info makes of people. Just using the words "legendary self" didn't feel accurate enough, because this shit is genuinely beyond that, I think.

- **question:** what do you mean in this book when you say "majority level perception", or you say "there is a majority level perception in society of x thing"? You're neglecting that everybody is individual and unique.

Yes, everyone is their own unique individual with their own identity, and preferences, I don't deny that. When I say "majority level perception", I'm referring to just that, a majority of people perceiving something <u>at similar value</u>. For example, you see a thumbnail to a youtube video of a hot girl, you're probably going to go to the comments section and see some shit like "hello men of culture, I see we meet again", commented like at least 5 times. And then you'll notice 70% of the whole comments section is a bunch of horny males. How hard is this to understand? You can't possibly be telling me you aren't able to see at all, when exactly a majority level perception of something, exists under quite a lot of things society is exposed to, on social media and in real life... You'll see a majority level perception for many things you're claiming are so "individual", I'm claiming they're not. For example, having a preference for "obesity" in your romantic partner, isn't a majority level perception. Does it break moral reality? No... But you really can't deny that it's not "the norm". When I say "majority level perception", I'm kinda labelling something as being a thing you can somewhat <u>use to predict others' behavior</u>; a particular thing you can expect people to respond a particular way toward, reliably. I kinda use this as a gateway to 'understanding/predicting human nature'.

There are majority level perceptions of many things, perhaps humor, romantic attraction, or some song perceptions

my solutions may not be the <u>best</u>, but the <u>severe</u> warning of the law of motivations remains. so find better ones.

I know, maybe my attempts at outlining "laws" of moral reality such as my specifications for what *exactly* should or shouldn't be censored (in the anti-censorship chapter just as one single example), might not be 100% correct, but that doesn't mean the moral reality definition of such things *can't* be found. They probably can be, quite easily, and doing so is *still <u>overwhelmingly</u>* in our and your best interest, especially according to the law of motivations and the potential we *do* know as unarguably correct/real. This book was thought-out attempt at finding the fairest assessment and solutions to all these issues, the "laws of moral reality" behind them, and rather than giving up on finding better ones than me, I think it's significantly more intelligent and even beneficial to you to have the mindset of not only seeing the bigger picture of the things that *are* unarguably correct in this book, but also realize the value of and choose to have the mindset of intending to outline better "moral reality" conclusions than I have, *if* you happen to find I've failed in some area. I just don't see why people focus on small flaws and ignore these foundations.

FOUNDATIONS OF THE INDIVIDUAL

Self-explanatory title.

-- *sword foundations of the individual* --

the law of motivations

This is the <u>*most important thing in the entire vexyricon*</u>. It says, if a person witnesses enough of their best existence possible at once, they *will* feel ignited, possibly on an *unimaginable level,* to achieve that existence and make what they saw a reality. This is a <u>*warning*</u>, and I suggest taking it *extremely* seriously, because the amount of regret you'll feel on your death bed from ignoring this law and not chasing that best existence, will scale with the amount of potential you'll be seeing existed in life that you gave up on chasing and will now lose access to, because now you're guaranteed to die. And as I said, there's enough potential to the point you'll very possibly be ignited on an *unimaginable* level, burning to make that potential a reality when you see it. And so in the same sense, if you're on your death bed, you can experience *unimaginably* painful levels of regret, anger, depression, etc. Seemingly everyone in this society hasn't experienced this (the *"law of motivations")*, and so they are wasting their life, chasing pointless and incomparably worse sources of instant gratification and fulfillment instead as a result, like some NPC, no joke, due to having accepted the hopelessness mindset society taught them, and thus having given up on their true purpose of achieving the best existence possible & having the mindset of solving anything in their way of that existence instead of succumbing to hopelessness (especially since *they will willingly adopt that solving mindset when they witness enough of life's potential/witness enough of the best existence possible at once. Everyone would chase it*). You need to experience a powerful level of this law. It is a dire warning, the direst in existence & in your *entire life*.

- **question:** why would I want to give up my lamborghini grind for your book's "path"

Your "Lamborghini" is <u>*nothing*</u>, compared to what the law of motivations will show. What this book reveals is worth an unimaginable value and you will see that your "lamborghini" is <u>*worthless*</u> in the scope of all this value. You will chase this value this book shows when you see it and this is the direst warning you will ever hear in your whole life.

the solving mentality

The solving mentality is *this*: intend to overcome and solve any and *every* obstacle standing in your way of your best life/existence possible. This means death itself. The economy. Along with any and every major individual *and* societal issue making your existence <u>*significantly*</u> *less than the best that is possible*. Lucky for you, this book lists the solutions/plans to solving most issues *for you*. Our life purpose is literally to achieve the best existence possible, to achieve any and every one of those big dreams you had as a kid that made you so invigorated, to achieve an unjust-pain free existence far better than even what you might've had as a kid; and when you were taught to accept the hopelessness of death, this purpose was thrown out the window, because those dreams are too big to achieve in just 100 years, and what's the point in working your ass off just to experience maybe 20 years of that best existence only to die and lose access. Further, *reality is*, *you will willingly choose this mindset when you see how good this existence can be with these problems solved*. You won't even just *choose* this; you'll wish you'd never lacked it.

This is a vital foundation of basically everything in this book. People refusing to acknowledge the importance of this one mentality, is why you guys haven't been able to find *real solutions* to these issues you then give up on and call *"subjective"* as some coping mechanism for the reality that those very issues are *only solvable* with this *"solving mentality"* which society taught you not to even consider. With this foundational mindset completely out of all of

y'all's consideration at a society-wide level, *it's no wonder* we haven't found objective solutions to these issues and no wonder why you guys had to resort to just coping by labelling them as "*subjective*". You're literally trying to construct a building on top of sand, and resorting to calling the entire project "not objectively possible" when the building keeps falling over, rather than putting a solid foundation there first, all because said solid foundation is made of a material alloy you've been taught is "impossible to manufacture", *all* while you're now looking at the very book that tells you every step in how to manufacture it (this book). Accepting the reality of this "solving mindset's" necessity, has thus enabled me to find *all these other solutions* to these "impossible seeming" societal problems we're facing, *so yes*, this mentality is ridiculously foundational to *everything* in this book, and to *actually, truly* solving any of the issues *in and outside of it*. This is literally the very *definition* of "foundational" info if there ever was one.

dynamics of motivational impact

Think of it like a *puzzle*; the more pieces (of potential) that fit together (that you're aware are real) at one time in one place, the more of an impactful overall image you'll perceive and potentially be impacted on an extreme level emotionally by. This is why *passing the first layer* works. All those topics it tells you to prove you fully understand, are individual pieces of the best existence possible; and when you truly & fully understand enough of them, the bigger picture you're then able to now see they combine to form, is stupendously glorious. As long as what's impacting you is acceptable and attractive from a realistic and moral perspective, it doesn't matter what it is, what matters is how deeply it impacts you (how many puzzle pieces of a "best existence possible" are in whatever image it paints in your head). So, to strengthen your sensitivity to impact, you must become aware of more of life's potential.

To become aware of more potential in life, read, use, and test this book; it's literally a compilation of as much potential as I could find. It should show you enough potential to reach a high sensitivity to impact by default, and teach you how to *find new* potential on your own, through contemplation. One igniting motivation, likely won't be enough. The more of them you find, and the stronger what you find is, the more motivation you'll gain, the more you'll be woken up to the law of motivations. There are often new unique motivations to find which will show you an angle of the best existence possible you didn't even know existed before, so don't assume you've already seen all of them. And when you're reading, throughout this book, remember, when I say "motivations", I mean what this entry is defining "motivations", these examples of media, or songs, or whatever the hell brings about that high *impact*.

"exploring the first layer"

Knowledge alone, even of all the pieces of the best existence possible, likely won't be enough to keep you awake to the *law of motivations' warning*, so it's a good idea to find sensually impactful *examples* of that knowledge which strongly impact you and drive you to pursue making that best existence possible a reality. Even then, *one* impacting example likely won't be enough to keep you awake. The more of them you find, and the stronger what you find is, the more motivation you'll reap; the more you'll be re-woken up to the *law of motivations*. Also, there seems to always exist new unique examples to find which can, if found, show you an angle of the best existence possible you didn't see before, so don't assume you've already seen all of them. Finding impactful examples is important, but there comes a point when you also need to be responsible and make progress in life instead of just hunting for more *reasons* to make progress in life, so maybe take it easy when you feel you've found enough impactful examples. Lastly, I'm pretty sure the most sole impact occurs seeing strong human magnets of the gender you're attracted to.

"passing the first layer"

This is a requirement of anyone claiming to be a "*human magnet*" because it basically proves a person's awareness of the true best existence possible, and that their *allegiance* and their genuine self's desires now truly lay in chasing making it all a reality; the existence aligning with *fairness* rather than selfishness, the existence *this book describes*. This *basically proves a person is uncorrupted*, and now, reliably, does in fact, have their eyes set on making said best existence possible aligning with fairness etc., a reality. This works, because when someone understands enough parts of the best existence possible described in this book, _they will genuinely desire to be uncorrupt_ and make it a reality. For example, they'll see fairness almost always aligns with their path to max happiness, & its objectivity.

These will be the topics someone must prove they understand __fully__ before being considered "passed the first layer":

These are just examples of what you should understand to pass the first layer. I say just understand the full 'sword'.

Extra context: in order to pass the first layer *truly*, one has to also know for sure they will succeed in making all the potential they're witnessing a reality *permanently*, meaning yes, you guessed it, immortality. This is because without that assurance, as the foundations of self-discipline say, your discipline will be infected with a deep-rooted depression, since all you can really experience is some stupid "free trial" of all this amazing potential you're now seeing.

"magnetic vampirism", one of, if not <u>the most motivating</u> and thus <u>most important</u> things in existence

Is experiencing the *combination* of realistically maximum love and realistically maximum lust with a human magnet of the gender you're attracted to. As you can expect, the *absolute* "maximums" are only truly reached by that person being "maxed" out, which you'll basically never *realistically* find. That's *why* I said "*<u>realistically</u> maximum*" in the first sentence. And so, the impossibility of being able to find a *truly* maxed out individual, doesn't even matter, because even them being *<u>remotely close</u>* to "maxed out" (any average human magnet is close enough), will still make this one of if not *the absolute most motivating experiences* in existence, thus still fitting with this entry's title.

So having said all that, and because it is the case… *<u>In the interest of you experiencing the law of motivations</u>* to the maximum extent possible in order to be woken up to it to the max extent possible, and considering that this is one of, if not *the very most* impactful, major, and most motivating pieces making up all the law of motivation's impact…

Any sexual preference or taste you have that *<u>does not break moral reality in any way/cause any unjust pain</u>*, should be explored, not in public, to discover and experience, ideally, it's *maximum* ability for motivational impact on you, its "best" form; its maximum "law of motivations" infliction on you. Whatever way you find its "best" form, is up to you. I really don't wanna sound *lewd* here so, sorry if the wording is confusing, I can't help it. I guess *reality is lewd*.

This book outlines much of maximum love. This says maximum lust is for you to find. I'm just not discussing all that.

the law of pain / law of leverage

This is basically just the common saying "work smarter, not harder". Doing things in a "hard" or "painful" way in any way, tends to signal that more correct ways exist and should be found through contemplation/thinking outside the box. This just reminds you to find the correct/best way to do things. Like in eating healthy, with healthy yet tasty recipes, eating healthy will be easier. When bending over or with any body movement; there's a correct, most painless, and likely even comfortable way to do it. Use this law in *all you do, with the exception of emergencies*. "Work smarter, not harder", at an *<u>intelligent</u> max*, meaning don't spend 50 years contemplating why you stubbed your toe, but rather focus on solving *significant enough* occurrences of *<u>unjust</u>* pain you notice. Including *<u>elusive</u>* kinds like, say, *<u>awkwardness</u>*, or *<u>other forms of discomfort</u>*. A big flaw with this society is us *not truly solving <u>elusive kinds</u>*. You'll see a common person experience the discomfort from elusive pains when they happen, but rarely dissect it.

"portal opening" (let me sound cool for once pls)

On your quest to achieve the best existence possible, which is your core purpose anyways, you should aim to make all significantly impactful potential you ever encounter, a reality, from *<u>wherever it comes from</u>*, as long as it *follows moral reality*. The level of motivational impact felt from any particular thing, is basically a mirror determinant of its significance to your agenda and path toward the best existence possible, since the more impact something is inflicting on you, the more pieces of potential it's showing you at once, and thus, the more significance that thing holds to have all of said potential in it, outlined and dissected fully, and turned into a reality. I say aim to make "significantly impactful potential" a reality, because the word "significant" indicates passing a certain threshold of value, and *<u>impact</u>* on you, and thus a certain threshold of potential something is showing you at once to dissect and figure out how to make a reality. Still, though, don't neglect the small improvements and potentials, because I've found they can stack up to the point the combination of them all, is significantly worthwhile and will significantly strengthen your *<u>sensitivity to impact</u>* as well. If this book *does* in fact outline the most viable path steps to the best existence possible shared between all people, then aside from your individual dreams, you may just come to the same conclusions as are already in this book. Like, yes, you have your individual goals, but this book aims to list off the pieces of the best existence possible everyone *does* share in common, not those individual goals. And if it has succeeded in doing that, I'm saying quite a bit of foundational conclusions and potential has already been outlined here f-

or you, and in trying to use this practice whilst ignoring the rest of this book, you very well may waste your time and wish you'd just read it, in its *entirety*, since you very well may just come to the exact conclusions it's already got outlined in it, except you will have wasted hours or days contemplating to find these same conclusions yourself. If this book is wrong in its conclusions however, you might find better ones! More impact felt from something, basically means that impactful thing is covering more ground in terms of showing you more pieces of your agenda of achieving the best existence possible, and thus, signals the level of importance that thing holds to be dissected fully.

The goal, is to create a society of heavily motivated thinkers and innovators in all areas that hold potential in the agenda of achieving max happiness/the best existence possible. Not only can this exponentially take digits off the time it takes us to advance said agenda, but should effectively result in humanity truly reaching & utilizing its entire intellectual capacity and potential, since there will be so many of us near-maxed-out, contemplating every corner of the intellectual realm and finding everything we are possibly able to, *not* because the common person will be working so *hard*, but because there will be such sheer numbers of "inspired organized thinkers" going at even a comfortable pace… The motivational factor of experiencing the law of motivations to a strong level, is simply *unimaginable*, and should potentially unimaginably fuel the curiosity to find every bit of potential this existence holds, _like it_.

For example, you get impacted by a song, you break down why exactly you're impacted, you spend the hours it takes to dissect a *true* answer if say, that answer hasn't been found yet, that potential hasn't been outlined fully, yet. Or perhaps you see a person express an impactful enough, admirable trait. If unknown, you'll make it known by effective contemplation and hours of dissection outlining the instructions to that potential you just witnessed. This is exactly what I did with _EPE_. It hadn't been outlined so far as I'd seen anywhere yet, so I spent weeks outlining it. People more so told me the concept was *impossible* and _unrealistic_ when I showed them the impacting example. Do you see what this trait can lead to yet? Fully having outlined EPE with it is the main example, but isn't the only one. I'm only saying, this mindset, depending on the material's *level of impact* on you, is a preferable mindset to utilize. I go as far as to dissect scenes in anime if they're impactful enough and find why; *no* morally okay thing is off limits. Those are *literally* the only two factors to pay attention to: the level of *potential* you're _sensing_, and _moral reality_…

Extra context: I guess even when looking at things you'd currently consider "unrealistic" or "fantasies", if they're impacting you enough, then even those things are *beckoning* you to apply the solving mindset to them, to make their impactful potential a reality. That's how I made EPE a reality… Those I showed the examples of it to, before I'd figured out how to make it a reality, said it was impossible and scripted. The examples that first gave me the idea for EPE were extremely impactful, and I did exactly this, applied the solving mindset to that topic for years. Don't get scared at that, though, because you have to remember, it probably only took that long because I hadn't yet strengthened my "chain reaction I" enough to solve EPE quickly. And so despite others saying it was impossible and unrealistic, here we are now, with the exact dynamics to how it works in reality. This is why I called this "portal opening", as odd as that title sounds, because it simply seems to lead or have led to such "seemingly unrealistic" but very impactful topics, "fantasies", you might say, being made a reality. Another example is anti-toxicity. "Invincibility to unjust verbal toxicity" used to seem like pure fiction to me, but I didn't stop trying to figure out the recipe/dynamics to it. Now wait, I'm not saying I've outlined full invincibility to unjust toxicity yet, but anti-toxicity is fairly close to the point I feel justified in saying, it's very impressive what *has* been outlined. I could cite many more topics too.

Such a level of impact, even from a "fantasy", might as well be labelled as an indicator that said "fantasy" seems to hold immense relevance to achieving a best existence possible, because that impact only happens when it's showing such a huge level of potential for happiness, and the more potential for happiness something is showing you, obviously, the more importance that thing has to be made a reality to achieve a best existence possible. So yeah, technically, since your purpose is to achieve the best existence possible, you could say "accurately following portal opening is a gigantic piece of successfully pursuing your true purpose as a human by definition, and is foundational".

See every bit of impactful potential you perceive as real and possible to be made a reality, _all_ the way up until you find it is certainly, undeniably impossible... Think "Hopper; Stranger Things, Season 1", bro really escaped the matrix, really investigated, really thought outside the box, thought for himself; I'm only saying this because I've done it too.

Just like how the law of pain says, "unjust pain perceived signals a solution should be explored and outlined", portal opening says, "Impact perceived signals further potential for happiness should be explored and outlined" creatively.

Welcome to a <u>real</u> path out of the matrix, based on real logic, real potential, real findings, and on really escaping it.

Extra context: solving pains and problems often given up on and left unsolved by others, as a practice, using contemplation and not giving up, is literally how I found everything in this book. I found things like EPE that didn't even seem possible or seem to exist, nor even be in the path of humanity's attention for who knows how many years. These things might not have been found for who knows how long, had I given up like everyone else, so yeah, we probably *shouldn't* be a culture of people who give up on impactful potential we sense, and yeah, the law of pain and solving mindset, I'd say, are pretty fucking important. Look what happened when I looked into the rabbit hole. And I'm not even the smartest one of you, probably average. And look what happened. Catch impact when it happens…

the direct formula to strengthening your self-confidence

Is literally to just use this book. What were you expecting? Math? This book literally outlines the foundations of basically *anyone's* "legendary self", and what will give you confidence in that self is to know how to express all of that genuine self *and* remain attractive doing it—exactly what this book teaches (with things like anti-toxicity, extreme positive energy, even knowing the moral realities behind anti-censorship and every other topic in this book). Understanding moral reality alone deeply will likely boost your self-confidence to a deep level via "knowing your rights".

Extra explanation: after so much social tyranny gets destroyed, by the proper mindsets destroying corruption in society, things like the solving mentality, the right gun laws, and plenty of other things in this book you probably already know I'm going to mention, will now heavily enable easy and extreme self-confidence. If you can't see how yet, I don't know what to tell you other than to get an IQ test. You will be freer than ever to express your genuine self pretty much completely, and know how to do so in a moral and attractive way. You probably won't fear unjust violence, for multiple reasons, like the dynamics of responding to the forms of criticism being known by everyone, they likely won't care or especially need to resort to unjust violence if their ego gets hurt by you expressing yourself, and if you overdo criticism/act unjustly toward them, it makes you vulnerable now to receive criticism… Not to mention the connection between the dynamics of insecurity and the solving mentality, in how the solving mentality enables all flaws to be mentally overcome and physically as well in time… Not to mention, open-mindedness will be a foundation of society, and unjust prejudice will be weak and exacted justice upon, dammingly. These are all just some surface justifications for why this confidence will be enabled. I. Could. Write. A. Book. About. It. (I've done it.)

the danger of the hopelessness mindset and what it has caused

Be aware of the effects of not having the solving mentality & not caring about creating the best existence possible in someone. The lack of that core mindset, has led us to the horrible state of our society and world. I'm talking about the issues in the environment, poverty, slavery, corruption, everything. The hopelessness is understandable, but wrong (and as you probably guessed, I'm gonna say I think this book's is the *right* mindset); I've run into hopelessness inducing problems in contemplation, but I ended up solving even those, and for any I have yet to solve, at this point I'm confident there is a full solution to almost all of them, even the most impossible seeming problems standing in our way of a best existence possible. I'm basically saying I think almost all attractive and morally acceptable dreams can be made a reality. Do you know how amazing that'd be? You literally don't. The law of motivations proves it, because for some reason, it's unimaginably good. We forget the impact we feel from it, but it's still there to be made a reality. I don't know why humans were programmed that way, but the impact you'll feel from strong enough motivations just can't always be put into words yet. I bet it will be able to be though, as we deepen our understanding to be able to. But that's why it's a warning, telling you it's desirable that our culture as humans, be one

that confronts our problems and doesn't give up on them. Many people possess the mindset that they'll just die inevitably and are only motivated to milk as much as they can from life before that time, selfishly, *even if it's at a subconscious level*, hidden from their conscious mind as a coping mechanism to escape their existential crisis (symptom) from <u>*literally giving up on their actual, true life purpose*</u> all because it's hiding behind an intimidating mountain called death. Looking back, I can't believe every person around me including myself accepted this existential crisis as a part of life. That, or they became involved in religion, which I'm confident is either untrue, or based in tyranny. For quite a few, ruling this greed and slavery driven system whilst atop a pile of cash, is their deep-down opinion of what'll give them the best (most instant gratification filled) life they can get. Turns out, that's not the path aligned to their true purpose, nor is it the path leading to true max happiness and fulfillment. Why the fuck would it be. They're still going to be hit like a truck by massive, unimaginable regret, anger, and other horrible emotions if they ever see what they missed out on but are already guaranteed to die from wasting too much time now; seeing everything they gave up to instead participate in this corrupt system, or go enslave the masses, or whatever the hell, just for more "instant gratification"... That's gonna hurt. Did you <u>*seriously*</u> think this was how our lives were meant to be lived? This pathetic greed bullshit, with little to no real, meaningful purpose, deep human connection? Just selfish, hopeless, constant pathetic tit-sucking of life for this unfulfilling, relatively purposeless, time wasting, self-gaslighting instant gratification we've literally adopted as our culture until our inevitable deaths? you think that was all you, me, and every human was meant for? Let's see paul allen's law of motivations. Oh wait, this book is *it*. And even if they don't see what they've now missed out, on what they've sold half their lifetime for (a "(L)amborghini" or whatever) they'll still never experience the happiness they would've by taking the correct path. The vex path.

extra context for "exploring the first layer" ("impactful motivations" can appear in many <u>forms</u>)

There are many forms "motivations" can be in, like a mental setting, whether it be a mental heaven or a mental hell. One example I've seen of a mental 'hell', is a game called Shadow Corridor. Obviously, the significance measurement of any "motivation" is its level of impact on the viewer. I consider that game and setting pretty impactful. A mental setting "heaven" I would consider is something I made called the "Stone City", but you could imagine what I mean by "mental setting heaven" regardless. The point is to use these impactful things as motivational blueprints for what you want to make a reality, if you like them, and perhaps to fuel your creativity further. And the point is also that, these "motivations", can take many forms, not just mental settings, but song melodies, a good smell triggering a memory, an admirable enough person… (I was impacted heavily when I saw the first example of true EPE in an anime as a kid, which motivated me to try and find the blueprints/dynamics to making the personality possible). And obviously another example of a strong motivation I could cite, is motivations involved in 'magnetic vampirism'.

I'm not too sure why, but there seems to be an intriguing aspect about 'hells' like Shadow Corridor, making it a fun setting to imagine rather than something that makes me sick to my stomach; it's not like watching gore, which would be an example of pure hell in life, purely motivating the viewer to get as far away from said gore ever occurring as they can. It's odd how settings like Shadow Corridor, despite being hellish, have some appeal about them, some interest factor that makes it seem like a fun idea to make something like that in real life (perhaps on a less risky level where we are not at risk of… dying in it). Shadow Corridor is just one example, too. I've seen quite a few intriguing "hells" I could list. But obviously, the amount of impact is what matters most in each motivation you ever find.

the law of tyranny

Aim to keep any tyrannical force that has too threatening of power over you satisfied until it no longer has power over you and isn't a threat to you. View all of it like any game of chess. Plot-armor doesn't exist in life and trying to follow willpower or ego over logic and reason can make it far harder to win against the threat or can even get you killed. Understanding what the tyrant wants and their perspective, can aid in convincing them to lower their guard. This justifies some lying and manipulation; don't feel guilty for lying to a tyrant who has left you few other choices. Tyranny comes in many forms and places, like the closed-mindedness of any individual exercising power over you. This is more of a suggestion or warning but, do know the consequences which are possible from ignoring this law…

It might be smart to apply this law over basically all other entries; this law, dominates all others. Kinda like a tyrant.

<=-=>

M O R A L   R E A L I T Y

Definition of moral reality = an unarguable fairest assessment of a situation.

<=- =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=>

-- *sword moral reality* --

moral reality objectively exists in almost all scenarios but is determined based on subjective details (think <u>court</u>)

Moral reality is subjective in the sense that a situation's moral reality depends on that individual situation's details being examined to find that individual situation's moral reality, but moral reality is objective in the sense that a moral reality exists for *at least <u>almost any</u>* situation or conflict you'll find yourself in ever (if I used those words right). Fairness is, <u>*at least, almost fully*</u> objective (there is a moral reality for almost any conflict), our court system knows. As you can see, our court system is just us taking this fact of reality seriously. This fact only matters because of <u>*this*</u>:

fairness can align with everyone's path to max happiness in <u>almost all scenarios</u> (just educate everyone on this)

What this means, is, doing the morally right thing can align with everyone's path to max happiness in *almost every scenario*; doing the right thing is almost always in everyone's best interest, and as the entry above says, there's a "most fair" way to handle *at least* most situations, an objective "most morally right" solution, a *moral reality* we can't <u>*validly*</u> disagree with. One main barrier needing to be crossed to *purify society* is educating its people clearly enough, and truly proving to them, how fairness can almost always align with their path to max happiness. I understand you're probably asking, "how exactly can fairness almost always align like that?". Here's <u>*a couple*</u> reasons why:

The dynamics to bringing empathy, genuine self-expression, self-awareness, mental health, human connection, open-mindedness and achieving justice, dissolvement of unjust bullying and unjust censorship, dissolvement of existential crisis, productive and interesting communication, self-improvement, true self-discipline, motivation, and a plethora of other things, all to an all-time high in society, are outlined in this book. Basically, life will be so good that, for starters, people will have a much greater desire to not fuck up their life and become an enemy of this society from committing crime. Secondly, due to the solving mindset causing people to be actually dedicated to achieving a "best existence possible" for humanity due to them not intending to die and therefore truly viewing life as their canvas, <u>*having the absolute maximum emotional attachment and true dedication to life*</u> and truly caring about it to the max all <u>*because*</u> with the <u>*solving mindset*</u>, they <u>*set their eyes*</u> on making their wildest dreams a reality instead of giving up on them, because they don't intend on dying, they intend on solving death, they intend on solving the time limit death oppresses them with, not succumbing to it, and therefore, there's literally, basically no limit to what they can achieve since that time limit is just another roadblock they intend to jump over. You'll be paying attention to the long-term potential you can make a reality (the best dreams you can imagine making a reality, now that you have limitless time). This is why people will care to such a max level about actually creating the best existence possible, because of the limitless potential that will be opened to them with the *solving mindset*. They will be more than motivated to care about and actually chase achieving this "best existence possible". Thirdly, fair unity leads to more happiness than divided anarchy, since we'll achieve much more as a unified team than with division/anarchy.

And yes, the solving mindset <u>does</u> depend on <u>constantly being sure</u> you <u>really will</u> solve issues like death, no doubts. No delusion here, only confidence reflecting logic, reason, and reality. It all depends on if you find plans that'll <u>work</u>.

This book is supposed to provide that plan, lucky for you. Fourthly, people will be more dedicated to investigations and achieving justice, due to actually caring about achieving the best existence possible from the solving mindset.

People will also have the training and mental health to responsibly carry the same caliber weapons as criminals, deterring crime more. People will know their exact individual path to max happiness, and if not, know how to find it.

full list of reasons why moral reality can <u>almost always</u> align with everyone's <u>most viable</u> path to max happiness

- Everyone hating you isn't fun and being jailed and therefore disabled from all of life's potential isn't either
- Eye for an eye will very likely make crime not worth it or fun in the end for you, it'll be like punching bricks
- Everyone being armed will probably do that too, everyone will be far more motivated to investigate crime
- Everyone being able to destroy your evil ego with valid criticism, as well (it's basically verbal guns I guess?)
- Life will mean a lot more to you when due to immortality you can actually achieve the big dreams you had
- The potential for happiness in life you'll see exists is so stupidly huge you won't be okay with losing it at all
- There will likely exist more and far better options than resorting to crime, with advancement of tech, etc…
- Psychopathy & lacking empathy, objectively isn't a worthwhile alternative, I've already said how it's illness
- Empathy and society's "unarguable conclusions" nature, will wreck your self-esteem, you will see your evil (there are entire chapters about contemplating deeply to find the moral reality & unarguable conclusions)
- Everyone around you will effectively be genuinely admirable & legendary, they will matter far more to you
- Society 'gravitated' toward fair morality rather than anarchy in the first place, because even at our current state, we *realize* that technology can be advanced faster with more hands on deck (with fair unity) rather than with divided anarchy where it is just the current tyrant always getting overthrown by the next tyrant and everybody fighting for that "king" spot with very few if *any* people advancing technology in the chaos. It isn't even just technology, obviously. It's the fact that literally any goal can be accomplished, far quicker.
- Hating yourself, and being *validly* excommunicated socially for your injustices, is one of the worst feelings. Your cortisol can skyrocket, your confidence will plummet, you might feel like killing yourself… It's not fun. This effect is amplified, when you've experienced the law of motivations enough to <u>*know*</u> all you're losing.

- **question:** what *defines* fairness, equivalent exchange of pain and pleasure, and morality? All three please.

People ask me what the "definition" of fairness is. I'm going to tell them it's morality, and equivalent exchange of pain and pleasure. They'll ask me what the definition of morality is, I'm going to tell them it's fairness, and equivalent exchange of pain and pleasure. They'll then ask me what the definition of equivalent exchange of pain and pleasure is, I'll say, morality and fairness. And then they'll ask, how do I measure all three? And I say, *logic and reason*.

My answer is that all three of those things are basically the same thing. And you might ask, "well, what defines them?". And the answer is, *logic and reason*. And you might say "but doesn't logic and reason change between cultures?". Yes, but it just so happens that we can locate *unarguable*, objective logical conclusions (moral reality) to all three of those things, fairness, equivalent exchange of pain and pleasure, and morality, in almost all scenarios. So, a culture may have different logic than us, but going down that logic's rabbit hole will show valid arguments against that logic. Therefore, you could consider it to be not "different logic" they're using, but "underdeveloped, outdated, the *wrong* logic". We can find an objective measurement of the fairest assessment, unarguably, in almost all scenarios. Labelling something "fair" is a descriptive word, it's an adjective, a quality something *can* have. And how do you verify whether an adjective is accurate to label something with? By using *logic and reason*. So, going down the rabbit hole and determining if an assessment fits the label of "fairest assessment" (moral reality), depends on making sure all the logic behind your label is unarguable and holds up, so anything being fair depends on the logic behind labelling it as fair, holding up, according to exploring to the bottom of the rabbit hole of available evidence and arguments conceivable. And don't say "but fairness isn't all about equivalent exchange of pain and pleasure! You've got things like amount of respect, feeling understood, etc.!" because dude, the point of respect or disrespect is to achieve a judged *balance of pain or pleasure with those who deserve either*... And you could literally trace all of this back to achieving balance/equivalent exchange of pain and pleasure, this is just the many colors and methods i-

n which we pursue that equivalent exchange! Respect is just another "color" of pain and pleasure. I say that exactly like how I say that everything humans do ties back to them pursuing their core (even if subconscious) goal of achieving the maximum happiness they think they can in life; you can start to see how fairness aligns with that as well.

- **question:** what if someone's ideology or religion goes against fairness, like saying "kill person x if they don't convert to this religion"? On that note, how do I show this info to a still open-minded religious person?

I've literally already outlined the path to max happiness here and how it aligns with fairness in almost all scenarios. We've got all the ammo we need to logically corner them and their entire ideology just by exploring it in the scope of all of this; that goes for any religion too. And hell, if the religion simply turns out to be correct and we can prove that, or even just prove it's more viable than this book, then shoot, I guess I wrote this for nothing. But in what corrupt world is someone gonna be able to justify unjust murder like this question cites? lmao. Just logically corner them. All you really need to do, is go down the rabbit hole with these people and apply logical cornering with the info you've learned from this book. I've literally already outlined how fairness is in or is going to be in everyone's best interest in almost all scenarios. And if they justify their lack of fairness with belief in a god, just go down that rabbit hole and you'll probably find their belief cornered (find the most reasonable perception of their belief to be) that reality points to it not being true. They can still believe if they want, I'm just saying, the reality conclusion being exposed as "that belief isn't probable" _unarguably_, _combined_ with the greatness of the life this book's ideas paint, should be effective in making the masses have the right mindset and not resort to delusions on a significant enough scale. I might have to make a section containing arguments against religions, teaching logical cornering, I guess I just assumed it's so easy to argue against that you'd find the arguments yourself. Logical cornering is an important tool for the unification of society; we can't be very unified if half the population is deluded with 10 different false religions attacking each other. The rabbit hole simply must be explored and the truth found (I think this book did it).

justifications for: fairness can align with everyone's path to max happiness in <u>almost all scenarios</u> (just educate…

- **explanation of:** the entire entry (it's basically the recipe to _curing_ our corrupt human nature)

People passing the first layer and life thus becoming so damn good from the things in this book being implemented into society, on top of all the things the entry already tells you, are the main idea behind why doing the right thing will be so heavily known to be in any citizen's best interest. People in this society will _desire_ to be uncorrupt for so many reasons, this entire book's info combined is practically that reason since it's a list of and literal instructions to the most crucial aspects of anyone's _best existence possible_. At least one of the main reasons humans gravitated toward a fair moral society rather than anarchy, is because we can accomplish _more_ with fair unity than divided anarchy. That's one of the main reasons fairness aligns with our true path to our best existence possible in the first place, on top of the fact that our best existence(s) possible share so many crucial pieces with one another at an individual level. Like I've said, yes, some people might like red and others might like blue, but when it comes to the most crucial aspects of our best existence(s) possible, we share _and can be united on chasing together_, a ton of stuff. Primarily crucial aspects like _fairness_ itself are the ones I'm talking about. The goals we have in common are minor.

- **question:** "but isn't everyone's idea of "max happiness" different?"

Um no, _not really_. Regardless, it doesn't matter: why do you not commit crime? Why do you not bully others? Why do you not treat others unfairly? The consequences. Not only that, but the info in this book is going to literally turn everyone in society into a legendary version of themselves, an actually understanding, admirable, intelligent, funny, very likeable, attractive version, and so human connection will be at an all time high due to the dynamics literally being known by everyone at a deep level… Not to mention the emotional attachment overcoming death would inflict on people in society—making them actually see this existence like some Minecraft world they can make anything out of; not to mention the freedom of self-expression from things like anti-censorship, anti-toxicity, and the correct gun laws being in place. Not to mention the stupid amount of emotional attachment the existence of real "ex-

treme positive energy" human magnets can cause… Basically, people are going to care a lot more about others, have a lot more faith in problem solving, see a lot more potential in the world and society to make it the best rather than give up on it like they do in this society since they're born into it and immediately bombarded with impossible seeming problems to solve like the extreme amounts of government corruption, everyone saying they only have a hundred years to live… I could go on. People are going to have a much greater desire to be fair and not mess this existence up, with all the things in this book in place, and that's why fairness will align with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios. There are very few where it won't, like the rare occasions where someone's life has to end because, for example, you're out in space and there aren't enough air tanks to save everyone on a ship losing its oxygen… Shit to that level. You have to remember, how often thinking outside the box and innovating can get you out of those scenarios as well. Still, those unfixable scenarios are seemingly extremely few and far between. And did I mention, that people will have a much greater drive to investigate and solve crimes as a result, as well?

I'm saying, people's desires might be different, but they're not so different to the point conflict & crime is necessary. For example, I might like red and you like blue but the consequences of dealing with that unjustly will be very unfun. With immortality, the common person will have far more options and reasons (not losing access to life's potential) to not resort to crime, and to rather take the fair path, even if it's a little longer. On top of this, think about the technological advancements making more paths available than crime… Or you know what, just go read the whole list of reasons moral reality aligns with everyone's most viable path to max happiness, it should explain all of this good.

use negative emotions as a compass

Extra context: negative emotion often hints that the _actual_ moral reality, isn't actually being followed, so you should have the mindset of contemplating to locate a better moral reality if you find you have *negative emotion* toward a current decided moral reality of a situation. For example, people would probably bash me by coming up with an example of "oh what if my grandma accidentally knocks over my dead relative's ash vase, are you telling me in eye for an eye that I should beat her?". And my answer is, no, we would find the moral reality of the situation by considering *all* valid perspectives, including your grandma's perspective of course, to find the moral reality that doesn't have people feeling negative emotion, or feeling unjustly treated (because if they feel that way it indicates they'll be able to put those feelings into words, and thus we must continue further down the rabbit hole and confront that), even the slightest feeling of significant enough injustice, to find the solution where every uncorrupted person is going to look at this solution we're calling the moral reality and will be like "okay, that's fair". So, it's *very import-ant* that you remember that: *negative emotion tends to signal that the actual moral reality is not being followed*, and instead of bashing me, thinking that my system doesn't work and I'm a terrible person for advocating for it, you should realize that your dislike of a solution is likely because it isn't the real solution and it's simply a signal for you to contemplate and find the true moral reality, the real solution, using the law of pain, and this emotion 'compass'.

- **question:** but what if someone is *petty* in pursuing justice/moral reality?

Then that's going to open them up to be validly and unarguably disliked, because they're being unreasonable, and literally anyone who contemplates for 5 minutes on the issue and the source of their perceived dislike and *negative emotion* of this petty person, will be able to uproot, in words, the exact valid criticism, *moral reality*, and logic behind the label of this person being unreasonable and unfair. And all it takes, is a little contemplation, to put those feelings into actual criticisms and words. So basically, as long as you're not breaking the law, nobody's going to physically enforce justice, but even if you're just being petty and unreasonable then that's going to open you to (unarguable until you stop due to reflecting moral reality) valid dislike and valid criticism from other people, especially with one foundation of society now being thinking for yourself and deep contemplation and understanding. And a main reason that's effective against pettiness, is because you have to remember the importance of human connection and self-esteem to basically everyone's happiness... I think you see where I'm going with this. And if you're actually not being petty, and you're basically being falsely accused as being that, then you'll be able to uproot and ex-

pose that logic/moral reality *yourself too*, through contemplation, as to how you're not being petty, and nobody will be able to validly argue against your proposed communication of your perspective If it's actually reflecting the situation's moral reality. So this just fucking works. People won't even need to sound intelligent either, slang works…

importance of articulation & deep contemplation of the <u>full</u>, <u>true</u> moral reality

You, me, and everyone in society, does need to be able to articulate as much of our pain, feelings, and their origins, into sensible, clear logic and words as fully as we can, if we want to achieve justice as *fully* as we can when treated unfairly. You need to be able to communicate and *truly* give others the ability to accurately mentally simulate what you've felt and gone through, *really* make them able to mentally construct the exact experience of <u>all</u>, key word, and I repeat: <u>all</u> of the *significant enough* unfairness, pain, and negative emotions you went through, in order to <u>imbue</u> them with those <u>same</u> emotions you're now feeling towards the person who treated you unfairly (the recipe to <u>causing</u> some "moral-reality-based-hive-mind type shi-", a "you angered one of us = you angered all of us"). This right here, is another extremely clear example of the sheer <u>importance</u> of deep contemplation being taught, as a skill, in schools and in society... Because, to turn the rainbow of all of your complex emotions and pains from breakages in moral reality into this much of a clear, *communicated picture* to an absolute maximum level, you simply absolutely <u>must</u> be able to contemplate to that level and thus find moral reality at that deep/maximal of a level, to achieve maximally full <u>justice</u>, on top of all of the <u>other</u> benefits contemplation yields, of course... In other words, I'm pointing out that whoever is the best "lawyer", the most adept at locating and exposing the fairest assessment of situations, probably will have the most pleasurable and victorious time in achieving justice in conflicts. In the same sense, <u>not</u> having a deep ability to locate deep, elusive moral realities, can cause you to be verbally attacked unjustly and not be able to defend yourself, to the point it makes you want to kill yourself. I'm not fucking kidding. So yeah, this skill is <u>*extremely fucking important*</u>, <u>*for your own sake*</u> too. Now, in a society full of deep contemplators, there may likely be a lessened chance of that happening even if you have zero contemplation skill, but I'm just saying. This "lawyerism"… This skill,… Can be the difference between unjust defamation, or increased admirability. Locating fairness is done with contemplation of moral reality, obviously. Exposing fairness however, is done by being able to effectively articulate and relevantly communicate your concluded moral reality. We as a society, *must* be able to do *both* to a deep level. I am warning you, not being able to do this, can even lead an unfair person into making you think right is wrong or vice versa. It can make you feel guilty for things you don't need to feel guilty for, or vice versa. So when you feel <u>really</u> emotionally fucked up from a criticism, I *highly* recommend you start contemplating, find moral reality as deep as you can, just to make absolutely *sure* you know who's really guilty. This ability can climax at being able to turn society into a hive-mind against injustice, but the <u>true</u> moral reality <u>must</u> be found, or else this hive-mind could attack the innocent. This is why this book advocates for the idea of *full <u>open-mindedness</u>* being taught, for anti-censorship, for everyone's valid arguments to be able to be heard and <u>truly</u> confronted, or else we're risking not <u>truly</u> locating moral reality. Remember to cite this, when sensing injustice deep down.

blanket laws create a high risk of unfair treatment/injustice and are cancer

Blanket law enforcement without finding the actual moral reality of the situation, is cancer, and must not be part of this society. If someone is going to be labelled as guilty and punished, it should be because we have reached the unarguable fairest assessment of the conflict and it has unarguably deemed them as guilty or owing a certain amount; not of money, but of pain, or value in general. The rabbit hole of each situation simply must be explored, fully.

when you don't have enough time to determine the true moral reality (emergencies, for example)

All you can do is use your best judgement. Simply try to do the right thing based on what you *know* at the moment.

aim to not cause permanent damage unless forced to

I theorize your self-esteem can be permanently damaged by causing permanent unfixable damage (such as killing someone). Be wary about causing other "less severe" forms of permanent damage though as well, as you might be thinking in the moment it's justified or okay, but in the future, you may find you were wrong, and can't necessarily fix what damage you caused, resulting in possible damage to your self-esteem (your opinion of yourself, as well as your self-confidence can be damaged because now you feel like a worse person). If you cause damage to someone, try to make sure it's always something you can undo, with one exception being, for example, someone shooting at you to try and kill you (that's just one example). If you don't put a stop to that quickly, for example, you could get yourself killed. Insulting or offending someone is an example of non-permanent damage. That can get repaid/fixed.

justifications for: fairness is, <u>at least</u>, <u>almost fully</u> objective

- **explanation of:** there is an un-validly arguable, fairest assessment to almost all dilemmas (a moral realit…

You can find a moral reality, (an unarguable fairest assessment of the situation), for almost all situations, by going down the rabbit hole of exploring details, evidence, and valid arguments presented *until* you find this "unarguable" assessment of the situation to which others have no <u>valid</u> arguments against. This is <u>why we have a court system</u>, it's our attempt at finding the moral reality of whatever situations fall into its lap. We have a court system because we literally *know* this level of objectivity exists. And what do they do in court? They examine the evidence, valid arguments, and details of the situation until they reach an unarguable fairest conclusion, solution & overall assessment of the situation. Finding a moral reality is exactly like a judicial court. You have to go fully down the rabbit hole, you absolutely must dive fully into the situation's details, evidence, and arguments to fully locate the moral reality.

- **question:** but can't someone just claim someone else is lying and we have to call that a valid argument? Thus meaning the arguments may never end as we would just keep having to investigate forever and ever?

No: they have to have reasons behind their arguments we'd explore, and they have to be reasonable, relevant ones. The court can only do its best at determining the moral reality, and I'm saying, once we've *done* our best, there will be no better arguments or conclusions to present, otherwise we will have *not done our best*, and will have not found the best conclusion we could reasonably come to based on all relevant available evidence. I'm simply saying that we must go to the end of each valid rabbit hole until we do find that unarguable seemingly fairest assessment of whatever conflict we're analyzing, and I'm saying we can find that, a "moral reality" in nearly all situations faced. There simply seems to be no other option, than the court doing its *best* to find the moral reality, with <u>any</u> system…

Extra context: you have to keep in mind, if someone keeps falsely accusing someone else of lying and we find out or corner them, eventually it's going to be obvious who's intentions lie (literally) where… Investigation can be performed in many ways verbally and physically, so it is reasonable of me to say we'd eventually find a *best* judgement.

Extra context: there are a plethora of ways to investigate and find unarguable conclusions in court conflicts. For example, taking a look at someone's past record of lying, or determining whether they have a bias against the person they're falsely accusing, to cite that as a potential motivation for false accusations, and that was just two examples. On top of that, don't forget tools like having witnesses say their accusations under oath, risking their own freedom. Obviously, we won't be able to get to the truth always, but I'm just saying, it's not rocket science and is very possible for us as a society to measure and function on the most fair middle ground between letting someone off loose and unjustly convicting someone, based on whatever evidence is available, with us doing our best to find the moral reality of the situation based on that evidence available, since all we *can* do, is our best. I'm simply telling you near utopia is possible, and this problem of "not always being able to find who the true criminal is" shouldn't prevent it.

- **question:** what if we don't have enough evidence to find the true moral reality, and conclude a false one?

Humanity can only do its best to calculate the moral reality of any situation we get into. We can only debate until a "bedrock", un-furtherly-arguable conclusion, is reached. All we can do is confront all valid evidence and arguments we can obtain and be aware of... If there are still valid evidences and arguments to confront, then we have not seemingly found the moral reality yet. The only true way to fix this lack of all-knowingness, would be a time machine...

- **explanation of:** There are scenarios where (moral reality) isn't easy to locate and there will be disagree...

With the exception of "*trolley scenario-like*" issues, it's basically common sense that in most conflicts a "moral reality" can be found; like I said, it's *why we have a court system already* in the first place. That basically proves this to an extent, and even if a moral reality somehow can't be found for *every* situation, it doesn't change the rest of this book nor does it make near utopia impossible, & *shouldn't even be a significant hindrance* for creating near utopia. Don't try to prove *fully* objective fairness. It's eaten so many hours of my free time. It literally doesn't affect near utopia. It's reasonable to just accept that things like the *trolley scenario* aren't objectively solvable, but despite that, all/almost all other types of scenarios, *are* objectively solvable, or I mean, *do* have a "best" conclusion we can come to and not have there be any validly arguable better, fairer conclusion. You just gotta navigate its rabbit holes.

example of when moral reality doesn't align with the path to max happiness for everyone – selfishness scenarios

In scenarios where the entire law of motivations is at stake (someone's life), selfishness is very likely to prevail over moral reality. Let's say you're out in space with a crew, and the ship loses oxygen, but the ship wasn't stocked with enough oxygen tanks for all crewmates. You play rock paper scissors with the last guy to get one, and he wins... Knowing the law of motivations could very well be a bad thing here, because it'll unimaginably tempt you to steal his oxygen tank... Still, even not knowing that law, people will resort to selfishness in a scenario like this. All we can do truly to avoid scenarios like this, is advance technology to advance our survivability in more situations... Otherwise, just be aware of these. The key factor (even in an uncorrupt society) is usually someone having to give up their life, because having to give up kinda almost *anything* else, is more acceptable, *especially* considering the *consequences*.

I can't exactly say I don't understand the person for resorting to selfishness to not die, but I also know it's not "fair".

Extra context: selfishness scenarios are kinda fixable, if you simply increase the survivability of humans by advancing technology, because the main cause of people resorting to selfishness even after being educated so heavily by this book/passed the first layer, will realistically probably only be the fact they'll die. So... If we have the technology to prevent death in more and more scenarios by say, uploading your consciousness or some shit, maybe we can somewhat "cure" selfishness scenarios occurring, because we're taking out all the *actual* risk, from all scenarios ever. The reason I say that is because dying is really one of if not the only risks that is big enough to make someone who is passed the first layer, and really living in such a near utopia, commit such crimes like murder to save themselves.

example of when moral reality doesn't align with the path to max happiness for everyone – trolley scenarios

The famous "trolley problem" and its variations are a prime example of when there isn't, or at least doesn't *seem* to exist, a fairest assessment of the situation that everyone can unarguably agree on. The key to take away from all these example scenarios, is that moral reality still exists and can align with everyone's most viable path to max happiness, *in almost all scenarios*, and these examples are just here to show how *few* scenarios *will* be problem ones.

It's important that people be aware of which scenarios moral reality won't align with others' path to max happiness in, so they can be prepared when feeling one of those scenarios might occur, and have a deep awareness as to why. We, as a society, will be fully intellectually prepared with the ammunition, to immediately identify these happening.

example of when moral reality <u>doesn't</u> align with the path to max happiness for everyone – "schizo" scenarios

Let's say John was abducted by aliens and they told him they'll nuke earth if he doesn't steal resources from society, and John *isn't* hallucinating, but we found no evidence of alien abduction, and none existed for us to find. We'd be hounding John down for breaking the law since he's got no proof to support himself, despite the abduction actually happening. There really isn't a different solution to this I'm aware of or able to find, so being *aware* of this type of scenario, even with how unrealistic it sounds, is good, since it's better to be aware than be caught off guard… A main point here is, evidence is quite possible to find, and *this <u>shouldn't</u>* occur very often at all in reality but *may*…

how to find a situation's moral reality

This is done by applying the law of pain to the situation the best you can (confronting the situation from others' points of view; the problems/pain they face as well as your own) and confronting any valid arguments from any valid perspective, to find the *unargued fairest assessment of the situation* (the literal definition of moral reality). We use the law of pain because any fracture in moral reality tends to result in *unjust* pain from someone's point of view, thus, picking up zero fractures aka zero unjust/unfair pains, in your solution, means it's likely following a moral reality, especially when there are no visible valid counterarguments. We can only do our best at finding moral reality…

You <u>need</u> to remember, justice isn't always black and white; there might be some "laws of moral reality" that are believed to remain true in every or almost every situation, but finding the true moral reality of any given situation should always, eternally, be done based on that individual situation's details, not some overarching "blanket laws". Any time a court neglects or refuses to confront a <u>valid</u> detail or argument to enforce a blanket law, that's tyranny.

Extra context: having a strong chain reaction I and dedication to contemplation in the first place, will significantly enable or boost your ability to find a situation's moral reality. This is important, because in order to satisfy your negative emotions from being treated unfairly, from someone breaking moral reality and screwing you over in some way, and in order to achieve justice, without dedicated contemplation and without a strong chain reaction I (passing the first layer basically), the person who screwed you over might be able to just get away with what they did to you if they contemplate deeply then find and present an argument your low chain reaction I and low dedication to contemplation won't be able to refute. To achieve justice, I'm saying you're simply going to need to contemplate. What this book educates you on should already give you a ton of ammo. And I'm saying, a strong chain reaction I can significantly help in that too, to the point you win all arguments against your proposed moral reality and can achieve justice and true emotional satisfaction within yourself. So... You not being able to argue your case, is more than likely <u>not</u> "a signal that the vex's system doesn't work", but rather a signal that you need to contemplate more.

FOUNDATIONS OF SOCIETY

Self-explanatory title.

-- *sword foundations of society* --

everyone in society <u>must</u> be passed the first layer

This is because of our current society's widespread lack of desire to "create the best existence possible". People are far more focused on self-centered instant gratification than the path to true max happiness would have them be, and the only barrier is them seeing that, aka, passing the first layer, since that proves someone *knows* and *desires* the *actual* path to max happiness. It's the most *uncorrupt* a person can get. This being absent from society is why the environment is in the shape it's in, why government corruption is rampant, as well as basically all other corruption. Such rampant corruption only reflects such a rampant ignorance of the true path to max happiness, so the only way to fix that is to make that path clear and known. People will actually *want* to max out every aspect of society. Be aware of the effects of this foundation not being followed. It is exactly what's led to the horrible state we're in…

the public education system (continuation of "everyone <u>must</u> be passed the first layer")

The current unargued path aspects to max happiness, considering fairness aligns with that path for everyone in almost every scenario, should be taught in the public school system. In other words, the same way we institute our current school systems, "passing the first layer" should be implemented, to ensure people are taught <u>why</u> they want to be uncorrupt people, and exactly <u>how</u> to be "uncorrupt". As I've said, if anyone has valid arguments against a part of the curriculum (this book is an example of the curriculum perhaps), it will be an environment of open-mindedness, so those valid arguments should and will be confronted. Thinking for oneself will be centrally taught, along with of course every other unargued aspect of people's "legendary self", "best life", "best society", and whatever…

The school system <u>will not</u> just be some factory, engineered to pump out just another class of 9-5 drones every year. People will <u>know</u> and possess the fire of the <u>law of motivations</u> within them, to make something of their existence…

People are obviously still going to be allowed to live in their delusions if they choose to, as long as those delusions aren't breaking the law, it's just that there are certain pieces of proven, unargued information that we need to at least, based on their unargued value, as well as based on the "uncorrupting" effects they yield on those learning them, <u>need</u> to be teaching others, not only for the sake of an uncorrupt society, but for their own max happiness too. Imagine you got indoctrinated to a delusional ideology and wasted your life without knowing the unarguable one…

achieving near-complete ideological unity in society

Our aim is to find the most viable path to the best existence possible, which we happen to share many crucially huge aspects of, even at an individual level. If anyone has an ideology different from the current one proven to align the most with the unargued "most viable path to a best existence possible", their unproven ideology should be compared to the *already proven* ideology, to verify which is truly the more viable path to the best existence possible.

The evidence should be examined behind whether their beliefs are true or not, and the rabbit hole of proving or disproving their beliefs, to find the *truth*, should be explored. It's not as hard as it sounds, and this book should give you the ammo you need for it. The goal here is to find out who's on the wrong path, and why, considering our coll-

ective purpose is to achieve the best existence possible, and considering said best existence possible for anyone has so many foundational aspects in common with every other person's. After the right path has been _unarguably_ identified, it is the _definition of delusion_ for whoever was on the wrong path to remain on their wrong path. Therefore, if they stay on it, they can validly be labelled as delusional but should still be free to believe what they choose.

That is, as long as their delusion doesn't unjustly negatively impact others, or society. If that occurs, then we'll be able to put into communicable reasons how and why, and let them argue against it until we find the unarguable fairest conclusion we can collectively come up with, the moral reality. Basically, you can be delusional if you want, as long as you're replenishing the societal resources you consume, like food, if technology hasn't already advanced to the point that job is so automated that the resource is plentiful enough, just for one example. Locate moral reality.

People can pretty much just exist and do nothing if they choose, as long as they replenish the resources they use up.

The reason this should be okay, is because with how clear the proven most viable path to max happiness will be, those who let themselves resort to delusion, will likely be few in numbers, especially when the law of motivations' impact is made so visible now. I am basically saying there will be so few people desiring a delusion, that this'll work.

justification for: achieving near-complete ideological unity in society

- **question:** so, someone can be delusional as long as it doesn't break the law or cause significant injustices?

Yes, because the proven most viable path to max happiness will likely be clear and widely _desirable_ to _most_ of society thus making a few delusional people not an _issue_, _and_ because of scenarios like this: let's say John thinks he was abducted by aliens and has a memory of the aliens telling him they'll end all life on earth if he doesn't store resources from his society for x amount of years for the aliens to come take _samples_ of (weird example, I know). John probably won't be able to convince anyone he's _not_ delusional, because _let's say there's no evidence_ of this happening. May he be hallucinating? Certainly. But imagine he _isn't_, and the planet gets obliterated or some shit all because we just _had_ to force everyone to prove they weren't delusional even despite their beliefs not actually unjustly hurting anyone significantly. Maybe that's a stupid example for me to use, but I'm just trying to problem solve, ok? I'd love to see you successfully come up with a better solution here. There is one issue: if the "aliens" are ordering John to do some seriously unjust-pain-causing illegal stuff, without his claims being proven, we might have to step in and stop it from happening, so in that case we'd be _screwed_ and the aliens would nuke our planet or whatever... But what am I gonna do? Allow _unproven,_ potential _delusions_ to be able to justify committing significant injustices?

Extra context: I said John is taking "_samples_", meaning he wasn't stealing tons, or significant amounts of resources.

- **question:** so, an ideology will be considered "disproven" if the _unarguable_ conclusion based on examining all available relevant evidence and context deems it disproven, even if all we can do is our _best_ in determining the most accurate conclusion, only able to work with evidence we know of, and may make mistakes?

Yes. Just like we can only do our best in determining an accurate conclusion in judicial settings, if we are trying to determine the most viable path to max happiness for humanity considering how similar and unifiable we are… All we can do, is our best, in determining an accurate conclusion. But as I said, you are free to be what may be considered "delusional" by believing in an ideology that isn't the current unargued "most viable path to max happiness", because we, as a society, may not always have the proper evidence available to actually determine a correct conclusion, and so if you've seen proof of something, and our conclusion is in fact wrong, we shouldn't be forcing you to abandon your ideology just cause we didn't see the proof you might have seen (assuming you _weren't_ hallucinating). Rest assured, anti-toxicity still works by you simply responding with this perspective that you've seen something, if say, someone tries to bully you with this label despite you knowing you can't help but believe in your ideology.

Extra context: There are so many unarguable laws of moral reality and unarguable guidelines to the actual most viable path to the best existence possible to find and understand, even just the ones in this book, for literally anybody, that any ideology straying from them, should be *easily* backed into an unarguable corner and disproven to whatever level it strays from said *actual* most viable path. Even straying 1% results in the 1% more viable path winning.

- **question:** but how are you calling it near complete ideological unity if you're going to allow delusions?

Because, as I said, the current, proven, most viable path to max happiness and the best existence possible (this book for example), will be so clearly outlined and make life so obviously amazingly good, and be so visible to the masses, that I seriously doubt the amount of people still resorting to delusion will have any significant negative impact. In case you forgot, I'm literally doing that before your very eyes... Trying to answer every doubt and show the path. Basically, since such a nice *near*-complete-utopia is possible, *near*-complete ideological unity should be possible once the path to that unimaginably nice *near*-complete-utopia is outlined, visible, and proven clearly, to the masses. This is especially true due to how similar our ideas of max happiness are (all of our crucial pieces are similar, like human connection, feeling understood, feeling justice is satisfied, purpose, self-esteem and worth, confidence, etc.).

Extra context: so, in other words, this achieving near complete ideological unity entry should be a *recipe*, for readers, and the key is if they maximally outline/spread a most viable path by maximally exploring these rabbit holes, this formula should be maximally effective, thus maximally unifying... A bit of a yin yang of *smart* effort and results.

- **question:** *why* should "the rabbit hole behind whether their beliefs are true or not be fully explored"?

It's also likely the most in the interest of finding the true, most viable, unarguable path to a *best existence possible*, and because it's in the interest of achieving ideological unity to the maximum morally fair extent we can since more unity brings higher ability for us to cooperate on bigger projects, more effectively enables human connection; just as a few reasons. What exactly are the *downsides* to greater unity, if it is truly *based on accurate moral realities*?

Extra context: "so let me get this straight, you're saying, because we have near complete similarity in the crucial, huge pieces of all of our paths to max happiness at an individual level, that... We should be able to achieve near-complete ideological unity in society?" Yes, I am. "How does that connection work?": because, if my big pieces of my path to max happiness are human connection, being understood, justice, self-expression, etc., and John's are the same, and I've found the *most viable* path to those four+ things, then John will also want to be on the same path as me, at least in achieving whatever parts we have in common, as it *is* unarguably the *most viable* path to those things, and if it isn't, we go down the rabbit hole and present arguments until we reach that unarguably most viable path. So, in other words, *the exact degree of unity reflects the degree of similarity in our paths to max happiness* at an individual level (as long as we're not being *delusional* and are accepting the current presented unarguable most viable path or proposing a better one... And I already said there will clearly be a most desirable unargued path outlined (if it isn't this book's...); and then people will see the results, and accept it at a majority level more than likely).

The two ingredients to unity are the degree of similarity in our goals, and the degree of viability fairness holds to us. And as I've said, fairness can almost always align with everyone's most viable *path to max happiness. Seeing it yet?*

reasons humanity can be unified

There is an objective moral reality to almost every type of situation. That same moral reality can align with everyone's best interest/most viable path to max happiness, in almost all scenarios. And finally, moral reality (fairness) is literally the lifeblood of unity ever stabilizing. A more minor aid to creating unity, is having common goals. The goal people in society can be unified on, probably the absolute most of any goal, is the goal of achieving the best existence possible (we can be unified to the extent which our "best existences possible" have aspects in common. 10 aspects in common means 10 things we can be unified on, perhaps...). This book simply attempts to list those common aspects, and it seems like everyone actually has a lot of them in common; it seems like a *lot* of unity is possible,

because with being unified on "creating the best existence possible", yes, even though we all have different versions/ideas of that, it has lots of objective, foundational aspects we all pretty much share, like how moral reality (fairness) aligns with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios, and how moral reality (fairness) is almost always objective, and how that same fairness is the lifeblood of unity/any team forming in the first place, as I've said. Those three things are huge. I can also just think within just 5 minutes a couple big goals people might have in common, like achieving immortality, or developing robots to do all the manual labor in society, or other aspects of the best existence possible like creating a creative engine (like Minecraft, but better) to fully and effectively allow people to make explorable versions of their "impactful mental settings" like my Stone City, etc. At the end of the day, it's mainly really just the fact that fairness itself is the lifeblood of unity; and the fact that _we can achieve so much fairness_, means we can _also achieve so much unity_ in society. That's pretty much the main, entire point this entry is telling you. All of these other common traits/goals are just additional opportunities for unity, cherries on top.

Extra context: this even ties into romantic relationships and friendships. Fairness is the lifeblood of unity there too, along with communication, having common goals and interests to connect on, attractiveness, and probably more…

the exact formula to unity

The two ingredients to unity are the degree of similarity in our goals, and the degree of viability fairness holds to us. And as I've said, fairness can almost always align with everyone's most viable path to max happiness. Seeing it yet? Since fairness is at least mostly objective aka there is a fairest assessment to find in almost all scenarios, this works.

one of the main purposes of the entire vexyricon… (upholding anti-corruption)

Is to effectively _find_ then equip all of society with anti-tyranny; anti-corruption, by outlining the roots of those things, outlining moral reality, outlining the solutions, outlining the foundations of the best society possible, etc. Basically what I'm saying is, these foundations of anti-(all that bullshit), should be used to educate the public, so they are _all_, fully known by the public, all the background info, all the _causes_ of tyranny, all the _forms_ of tyranny, corruption, everything. There's a reason I called this book a _nuke_. That's what it's _meant_ to be: _for societal corruption, that is_. These foundations must be known by the people of a society, and the causes of corruption must be known, the info _should all_ be in this book. Once again, that's why I call it a nuke. You can see, in examples like _North Korea_ currently, the consequences that are possible from ignoring this entry; ignoring this book, ignoring the foundations of an uncorrupt society and letting that corruption grow like a cancer. It is nearly physically impossible to escape the tyrannical grasp of North Korea as one of its citizens, currently. That fucking shit, is what can happen if we let the cancer of tyranny grow to be too powerful, and North Korea is a shining example of why this book, or at least the foundations inside it acting as medicines for tyranny/corruption, these foundations to "near utopia", _if these foundations turn out to truly be the correct ones_, absolutely must be used to educate and arm the public, intellectually, to avoid us ever even getting close to that level of corruption; there literally comes a point where there's _no escape_. We must find & uphold the principles of anti-tyranny and anti-corruption constantly, to ensure this never happens. And I am extremely _confident_ that the ones in this book are exactly those principles, to be upheld and to be taught. People will be thinking for themselves, know how to handle any verbal attack justly, know about moral reality, know what is actual spam vs. what isn't, know the correct best economic dynamics, weapon law dynamics, and more.

Mass casualties could potentially be inevitable, in trying to uncorrupt a tyrannical situation as bad as North Korea…

mental security of almost every citizen creating an incorruptible country (signs of corruption will be…)

Will be spotted and dealt with by a public whom has been educated to spot them. And they will be dealt with according to the _moral reality_ of the situation. This is especially supported by the abundance of open-mindedness that will be present in society, and deep understanding from contemplation being so common and taught in school, and in general as a useful part of basically everyone's lifestyle, it's simply something people will desire to use as a tool. Not to mention, the increased empathy from everyone being so legendary and attractive, even more "individually valuable": people in this corrupt society rarely seem to adopt huge goals or become highly valuable. That'll be more of a _norm_, for the common person to be as valuable as Elon Musk in this book's society, also increasing empathy.

This book literally <u>hands</u> you the dynamics of near utopia and anti-corruption. These dynamics will be taught to everybody, in school, and people will be taught to spot corruption and know what situations it spawns from, <u>and</u> why.

Something else that should be taught in the education system is how crucial "thinking outside the box" can be for everyone in society to use to avoid breaking moral reality just because they thought they were in a corner falsely and resorted to injustice all because they weren't able to spot the elusive "out of the box solution" that was possible.

this book's goal is to make a <u>society</u> of legends, not just one who "leads the masses"

If one legendary person leads the masses, and is more passionate about creating the best reality possible than they are, doing all the real work while they just cheer on in support, and that person gets assassinated, what happens to the movement they were driving? Also, think about the amount of progress that can be made from a _united_ group of those legends rather than having 'one' supported by some _walking statistics_, _NPC's_. Moral reality itself is supposed to be the "ruler" of society, not one person's opinion, not a group of people's opinion over others', but logic itself tied to moral reality; everyone will have a voice and the volume of it will simply be based on the validity of what they're saying, not how many yachts they own. We find an unarguable, fairest assessment of each situation, and that's moral reality, that's what should be making the decisions in society, in almost all scenarios. Every citizen should, ideally, be educated enough to be passed the first layer, to truly, truly, truly be ignited with an absolute bonfire of motivation within them wanting to achieve a best existence possible. And obviously, with reality simply being that fairness almost always aligns with that existence truly, this shouldn't even be dangerous to instill, in everyone.

A single human magnet will likely be as powerful as a nuclear bomb in terms of influence, not one that kills people, but just in terms of social influence. But if all it takes is one assassination, we're fucked, and our movement as well.

Therefore, this entry is primarily just a reminder of the importance of each individual being mature in their conviction and passed the first layer to a deep extent, because that's all that's required to create a this strong and legendary of an individual out of them, truly ignite them. This is only especially necessary so more _good_, legendary people obtain forms of power in far greater numbers and far sooner especially by working together, before evil people do.

Don't be a statistic or just some protester. Do some real effective shit, like making a PDF nuke ending all corruption. And maybe that's already been done, if hypothetically my logic holds up here in mine. Find the next nuclear steps to take, then. I'm just saying, take the most effective and intelligent action you can possibly imagine, don't be an NPC.

the literal <u>most root</u>, core cause of <u>at least</u> 95% of corruption/problems in life and society

I could just go list off for hours, the number of issues these foundations solve. The "foundations" listed in the <u>sword</u>. And by "corruption", I don't just mean greed or stereotypes of that word. I mean <u>any</u> symptom, flaw, and problem… "Corruption" simply occurs when you stray from these actual foundations of the best existence possible, and society having significant corruption is just a symptom & result of having strayed from those foundations. Just don't stray… Do you see the bigger picture yet? This literally has the foundations of near utopia; and these are the <u>root solutions</u>.

I tried for hours to find the root issues in society, and concluded, the truth is, it's <u>literally just ignorance of this book</u>. Any time you see corruption, it is literally just somebody ignoring some part of the sword or some moral reality law. This book basically just lists all the core issues and core solutions, so <u>ignoring it is the core issue</u>, causing corruption. I guess more accurately, it's "<u>almost</u> any time you see corruption"; That's why it's called "<u>near</u> utopia" not "utopia".

In other words, there are quite a few core problems with society. It's literally these foundations from the sword, that are missing. That is the core problem. Those missing are the core problem(s). Those foundations are the core solutions. The *roots* of an uncorrupt tree, (I hope? I'm not perfect just tell me a better solution if my solutions suck). Some are bigger core solutions than others, for example, if people had the solving mindset, they might be able to find all these other core solutions like open-mindedness, anti-censorship, etc., but at the end of the day, all of the chapters in the sword have the status of "foundation of near utopia". Hell, the ocean of context even has some, too.

Described in other words, you could say one core issue is everyone just spawns into this society surrounded by a bunch of greedy corporations which organized a school system hellbent on turning kids into drones, growing up surrounded by this society of said drones telling them that solving and uniting the world is impossible because blah blah blah morality is subjective so *don't even try,* plus, immortality is impossible so *don't even try* (on top of seeing nobody try and everyone just giving up all around you as the norm), plus, "you only have 100 years to live", plus, "you need a 6-year degree of more hellish schoolwork learning drone topics you *don't actually believe are relevant to your research* to actually come up with a philosophical paper like a vexyricon on how to make positive change and unify everyone to then *achieve* these problems you call impossible like immortality, <u>despite</u> nobody seemingly actually having any *unrefuted argument* against your proposed changes in the first place". People basically spawn into this world and they see a ton of hopelessness because they're met with billions thinking so much is impossible to truly solve and not seeing how good things could be which would then get them out of that mental hopelessness block and motivate them to start solving, they don't see that potential or feel it's cure due to how seeing it requires possibly hundreds of hours of contemplation to see (the conclusions *in the <u>sword</u>*) in the first place. There's just so much taking away from our will to contemplate with determination, on top of the solving mindset being labelled insanity despite it literally being seemingly the only piece stopping us from finding this correct path (me acknowledging the solving mindset is what opened me to tons of these other solutions), you see everyone on a mass level just *surviving* in their hopeless goal of just milking as much selfish instant gratification before their inevitable death; surviving and <u>leeching *rather than thriving,*</u> and that lack of emotional attachment to life itself and lack of motivation to create the best society possible due to all this hopelessness and all these intimidating issues that people don't think they can solve, thus creates a sort of paradox of not having the motivation to solve problems *due* to not seeing them be solved… This is why you see people just fucking around polluting the oceans, resorting to selfishness, committing crimes, getting away with crimes since law enforcement nor citizens aren't as developed nor as motivated as they can and should be, others treating people unjustly, and not caring about the planet as we should care.

Extra context: the roots of corruption, in any corrupt political figure you want to diagnose, are that they simply are not following the *most viable path to the best existence possible* (this is true, in almost all scenarios, you know, since almost all scenarios are <u>not</u> trolley problems where there is no moral reality). The corrupt politician straying from the most viable path to the best existence possible causes all symptoms of corruption. Seriously, there's a reason the shit is labelled as "most viable path" in the first place; straying from it simply leads to unnecessary, unviable consequences and pains in different forms, aka symptoms of that corruption. This is why I say this book is the root solutions to corruption (if it indeed does what it says it does/outlines the most viable path to a real best existence).

Every time someone asks what the cause of corruption is, I'm literally going to say "society not following the vexyricon. That's literally it". I often find I forget to respond with this answer when they ask me what the core issue is, and I don't know why I forget, but this is the legitimate true answer. +Hundreds of hours of contemplation to find this.

the alternative to voting (the cure)

Voting leads to corruption and lack of emotional investment in citizens toward society, because the group in the losing/minority vote, feels unheard. The better system is this: we can have a database of confronted questions/doubts, where people can search their question in a search bar. It can function with A.I., to _actually understand_ what the searcher is asking. Perhaps it can even list a section below the main results called "related questions and answers" with the usual string of questions people usually ask after asking this one; these are just ideas. If a doubt/question isn't listed yet/hasn't been confronted yet and entered into this public database search engine, we can have a phone number set up for people to call and talk to people in real-time person to person support to have their question confronted. Perhaps we can specifically have some significantly intelligent people (people with a heavily adept chain reaction I) at these call centers, just doing their normal tasks they enjoy doing, pursuing their other goals while they wait for any calls if they come in, and people can just be on shifts rather than one or a couple people spending all day answering calls etc... I guess these people would be the developers of this database, considering they might be the ones to put their found answers into it and put their name at the end saying "(name) found and put this in". Once again, these are just ideas. Through this system here, I'm confident we will eventually have a massive database of answers to all conceivable doubts, and very few people will be needed to man the station at the call center. We should basically, eventually, have such a bulletproof ocean of context behind our reasons for our decisions on where society's resources go, why, why this, why that, what if this, what if that, that we are literally able to unify just about everyone in society because we've adequately answered literally every doubt or question they had toward the current logic society is making decisions on. As I said, humanity is meant to be a unified team toward achieving the best existence possible, which happens to almost always align with fairness by the way... And this is how we become that unified of a team; this is a significant utility in achieving that level of unity across society, and we can now achieve it, especially with A.I. making the search engine more understanding toward the questions people are actually asking. With voting like we currently have in place instead of this "A.I. search engine" idea, voting causes the losing minority of the population to feel somewhat unheard. The reason I'm advocating for this search engine thing goes back to the fact that there is a moral reality which not only is unarguably findable in almost all scenarios, but aligns with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios as well. Basically, there is a correct decision in almost all scenarios, therefore, by having a voting system instead of this "unifying and educating search engine", you're refusing to go down the rabbit hole to find moral reality clearly with those of the population who are voting incorrectly. This search engine fixes that problem, makes it easy, because they can search _all_ their doubts on their own and should be met with a _clear_ answer that further proves, adequately, the moral reality of the situation. And guess what, _if_ the database's answer _isn't_ "adequate" in literally any way, shape, or form, the asker is going to have reasons they can put into words as to why it isn't adequate. So, they then just call in, present their reasons, and guess what, the moral reality behind that claim will be found now too, and entered in after it's found, as it is another new question/doubt confronted. Isn't this fucking great? I'm saying, once the moral reality is found, those reasons for inadequacy will be entered into the database as a new question under the original that was asked (the question they said didn't have an "adequate" enough answer). We'll add a new question saying something like "but (reasons they said this answer wasn't adequate)", and obviously then we add the answer to that underneath it (which obviously needs to be adequate enough). If the person (at the call center) trying to answer the question can't immediately, they'll tell the person calling, and they'll call or e-mail that person with their answer once they find an adequate one. If the calling/doubting person is correct in claiming our answer isn't adequate according to the moral reality of the dilemma they're exploring, we simply might have to go back and re-contemplate a lot... To find an adequate one, to find the true most viable path toward the best existence possible if say this path step (inadequate answer) wasn't the right one (was inadequate according to the moral reality or just reality of the situation/dilemma). That's just how it is sometimes. A major reason people probably feel a lot of frustration and resultingly, eventually even a lack of emotional attachment and ownership toward society, is because of this "voting" system where the popular vote wins despite any number of people disagreeing with it on the minority/losing side of the vote. Our system is supposed to be based on logic and reasons, where the most sound reasons boom the most dominant throughout society, because in a society of philosophers and contemplators, those reasons will be

confronted, their rabbit hole explored, due to the masses actually now being motivated to value achieving a best existence possible, due to them having loads of emotional attachment to society and making it it's best, like each citizen feels they are its owner; emotional attachment not only boosted by this A.I. search engine truly hearing them out and going down the rabbit hole fully to maximally unify them with/and everyone by locating the moral reality so clearly and unarguably, but also emotional attachment just from the rest of the shit in this book, which you and I know is... A _ton_. A "popular vote" system leaves minorities in the population feeling unheard and somewhat detached from emotionally investing in society, feeling a bit helpless when things don't go their way, at least in comparison to how _this_ new system would make them feel. This voting is simply not the most viable system, _especially_ considering the existence of moral reality and its alignment with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios. This A.I. search engine system here is meant to _maximize_ and maintain education, emotional attachment, and unity in society on the topic of achieving the best existence possible (literally everyone's core purpose), within as many citizens as is possible. And personally, I think this new system has a pretty good chance at that. With this system, _every_ person has the freedom and ability to have a booming voice and positive impact on society, they simply must _contemplate deeply enough_. This is great... Look at how it just falls into place and somehow leads to the automatic prioritization of thinking for oneself, not only as a desirable hobby, but a centrally, foundationally important practice in all of life determining one's voices value and volume... Being heard will no longer be about some bullshit like "how much money you have", but rather how truly relevant/clear, intelligent, and important the things you're exposing are. Decisions get made by the most unarguable logic in this society of deep thinkers, not in a vote.

There is only one way out of this division and disagreement truly, and it's to go down the rabbit hole, to find reality.

the homelander dilemma

This dilemma follows a question you might ask: "won't tyranny-pursuing people try to gain immense power due to having immortality, to enslave the masses or some shit, or just generally act like Homelander from The Boys?". The answer to this, I'm pretty sure, is no, as long as enough people are pursuing that same power to counter any possible evil tyrant pursuing it. It goes back to the reality that fairness/moral reality aligns with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios, and if you educate the public on that, and on everything else in this book of course, then you'll inevitably pretty much always have far more manpower on the side of good than evil or tyranny. Basically, this evil "homelander" clown can try to make as many A.I. robot armies he can to overtake the side of good, but guess what, there will be 5+ people on the side of good making their own A.I. armies to counter him and his. What centrally matters, is that the side of good (the majority) obtains any power that exists first, so as to not be tyrannized and restricted from that power, since the force of evil got to it first and has now enslaved everybody else.

This is a main reason why one of the three foundations of human magnetism is "power". A human magnet aims to gain the most power he can, and aims to motivate everyone do that collectively, not singled out, obviously aiming to also educate the masses on moral reality and its alignment with everybody's best interest, because more people are going to be on the team of good than evil, so everybody should become powerful enough to truly stop any evil.

Moral reality itself should be society's ruler, using it can determine if someone's truly good or evil. Having something be "ruled" purely based on one individual person's judgement, seems more risky than simply using moral reality.

Extra context: the only way an evil person is going to "overtake/play" the morally good majority of powerful people, is if said evil person discovers power that can so severely undermine and enslave the good majority North Korea style, discovering that power long before the good majority does, and keeping it hidden from them until it's used to tyrannize them. The reason I don't think that should be an issue, is because this book and the society it creates should turn us into a highly intelligent, powerful, motivated, empathetic and very connected society. Think about it, there will be so many more people working together to figure out the answers to the universe's questions and all of life's potential, probably chasing this power first for the masses, educated truly on moral reality's alignment with their best interest, that we will very likely find any such power before evil does. I say that, because psychopathy

isn't some "majority" of the population, and neither will evil be. There will be so many reasons to not be evil, that it will inevitably be a minority of the population which resorts to it. On top of that, the public is going to be educated on this exact dilemma, and the importance of humanity gaining as much power as it can as a good majority, not allowing any one man or woman to gain the power to truly tyrannize society, never even allowing a chance of that.

<=->

THE GENDER ATTRACTIVENESS IMBALANCE

One gender is having an easier time gaining attractiveness… While the other faces tyranny, stopping theirs…

<=->

--- *sword gender attractiveness imbalance* ---

attraction between the genders is a yin-yang of character and appearance

Physical appearance plays a bigger role in a woman's attractiveness than her character, and character plays a bigger role in a man's attractiveness than his physical appearance. However, having a good character still boosts a woman's attractiveness, and having a good physical appearance still boosts a man's attractiveness, just at minor levels for each. It's a yin-yang. "*Good character*", entails having admirability, power, humor, intelligence, and lots more. While there *are* these major and minor contributors to attractiveness, the minor ones are still *needed to an extent*.

This describes a majority level perception in society and isn't to say that every single person ever sees it this way.

the gender attractiveness imbalance

For a man to express and even *grow* his character to be its best, he *can't be unjustly censored, at all*. In other words, a man needs to be able to express his character *fully*, in order to display the *full* amount of its attractiveness he currently has. And by the way, if the man is expressing things fitting this book's description of spam, it *won't* appear attractive, since things fitting that definition of spam, are foundationally not in alignment with the man's true path to max happiness since they break *moral reality* (the unarguable fairest assessment of the situation, *keeping in mind:* fairness almost always aligns with everyone's path to max happiness) and are therefore foundationally dumb and unattractive for him to express, thus straying from his own path to max happiness for the same reason him verbally bullying someone unjustly is. So yes, there he'd receive unarguably *justified censorship* and valid unarguable criticism which would then validly damage his public and self-image and attractiveness, but he *broke moral reality*, it's literally *justified*. So, in clearer words: a man needs to be able to express his *full* character, to show its *full* amount of *attractiveness* (good aspects, not flaws like the stupidity he'd show (and be *justly* put in his place) by "spamming"). Still, even a man expressing a flawed "*cringe*" genuine self like that, in a *society centered around the things in this book*, wouldn't find himself unjustly bullied or shot (without the bullies having a high likelihood of seriously regretting it), but rather would find himself with an opportunity to sharpen that self to be its best, and have access to info about how to. Therefore, *anti-censorship* isn't *only* one key to full self-expression, but full self-development.

So yes, full self-expression, which *follows moral reality* absolutely *must be enabled*, and face *zero unjust censorship, for any* given man to express his *full* character's attractiveness. The unjust censorship this corrupt society has put in place, is *one* of the *main* reasons I'm claiming: *men's attractiveness is crippled compared to women's*, and a *gender attractiveness imbalance cancer has formed*, due to women being able to easily obtain very *uncrippled, untyrannized* levels of attractiveness in the form of physical appearance, thus being closer to their max attractiveness than men *even possibly can be*, due to this widespread *unjust censorship tyranny* we've allowed in society from our lack of understanding of the *dynamics of insecurity*, *anti-toxicity*, *extreme positive energy*, even involving the *solving mindset,* and this is stopping men from expressing their full selves. *We are censoring men even though those men aren't spamming*, and this book's definition of spam *is the only thing that should be censored anywhere, period*.

This censorship comes in *many* forms. For one example, a companies' focus on maximizing fulfillment of their instant-gratification greed mindset's desires ("the customer is *always* right" type bullshit) rather than valuing *justice* or achieving a best existence possible (likely due to the widespread lack of having passed the first layer and lack of th-

e solving mindset). So, companies like to enforce censorship masked as "being professional", and will *fire* you *even if* you didn't break "moral reality" but said something that deservingly pissed off some unjust person "*higher in the pecking order*" than you… In other words, *tyranny*. This has been implemented in many places aside from business. For example, a "high-risk of unjust violence" culture has formed in society, making self-expression a high-risk thing, simply because people in this society are completely oblivious to the dynamics of insecurity, as well as anti-toxicity.

Aside from censorship though, anyone's *character* depends on knowing *a lot more* traits and dynamics than their physical appearance does… And guess what, this book *lists them*. It's literally a guide to the aspects of anyone's best existence possible they share in common with all other humans; things our "most viable paths" have in common between all humans, and *guess what that also means*? A part of anyone's "best existence possible" is… *drum roll*… *reaching the best version of themselves*, or in clearer words, the *best version of their character*. *So*, another huge reason for this gender attractiveness imbalance, is simply that men in this society are unaware of the foundations to their true legendary self this book would straight up just *tell* them… (considering achieving maximally attractive character *depends* on knowing the "foundations to your best self" which this book just hands you). So just read, lol.

the gender attention imbalance

Due to there being an attractiveness imbalance, there is, as a result, a "received attention imbalance" now too, and this is a primary reason for so much "male loneliness" in society. There's a ridiculous amount of evidence for this. Look at women's hypergamy for example. Look at who initiates most divorces (and let's be real, has more options).

the gender ambition imbalance

Due to human connection and receiving attention/validation being such a crucial motivation for any human, I have this *theory* that an "ambition imbalance" has been inflicted on women, because the lack of this book's character-improving knowledge in society has been plaguing us for… *ever*; and so, this whole time, women have been receiving less motivation to achieve greatness than men since men are shown so much less attention and validation (have you seen the rate of validation and attention women receive, in comparison to men…?). Basically, men receiving so much less attention and validation due to the attractiveness imbalance, has made men search for that validation with *making themselves worth something*, since they don't have that "inherent value" from being a "genetic celebrity" that many women seem to be easily able to achieve a status of. So, I theorize, the reason society follows such a *patriarchal* dynamic today, is because women's validation desires are so heavily met in comparison to men's, that women have less ambition/drive to achieve greatness to "earn" more validation, because they get more *daily*.

solutions to all this

As a man, educate yourself on what women want, since it's finally been found (the knowledge in this book) (they want a human magnet). Get rid of all unjust censorship in society. Have society be centered around *moral reality* from the masses being educated clearly enough about how it does in fact, align with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios, to the point a woman who breaks moral reality *won't* be supported blindly by those around her, but will be pressured to follow moral reality lest those around her not want anything to do with her and even throw deserved valid criticism at her (obviously the same goes for men). This will all *equalize the playing field*.

Society and law enforcement not valuing moral reality is the core issue. Castrating censorship, is a symptom of that.

moral reality mattering centrally to all of society will hold both genders accountable for their injustices equally

First of all, moral reality is going to matter centrally to society when the masses become educated on the content i-n this book enough, because it will be so clear how much unity, progress, and quite frankly, near utopia, is possible by this info. People will be *aware* of the gender attractiveness imbalance, aware of how things should be handled, and will be able to spot undeniable injustice with high accuracy, and, if inaccurate, will know how to find the accur-ate moral reality. Both genders will be more heavily accountable for injustice they commit in relationships, further *equalizing* the power dynamic in relationships *on top* of the equalization that'll occur with us using anti-censorship. (Equalization of the gender attractiveness imbalance occurs via anti-censorship since men express their character).

<-=->

A N T I – T O X I C I T Y

Defense against unjust toxicity from others. Not as much sunshine and (rain)bows as extreme positive energy…

-- *sword anti-toxicity* --

what's anti-toxicity

It's a defense against unjust toxicity from others; the path of validly criticizing unjust bullies and attacking their ego to maintain justice. It's the philosophy opposite of "*extreme positive energy*", yet neither are wrong. Anti-toxicity is for people whose genuine self gravitates more toward anger rather than sadness when others treat them unjustly. Anti-toxicity is a big yin-yang justification for anti-censorship; funny enough, both are needed to enable each other.

Think of <u>anti</u>-aircraft missiles. What do those do? Launch <u>missiles</u> to destroy incoming attacking <u>missiles</u> or planes.

tyranny is anti-toxicity's <u>only</u> weakness

For example, if you're in an environment where someone's likely to shoot you when you validly criticize them, that's a form of tyranny, unjust violence tyranny. Or perhaps you're trapped under some oppressive ruler who censors free speech, and especially censors criticism toward himself… All forms of pathetic tyranny. Then again, what *isn't* weakened under tyrannical oppression? Self-expression must be *truly* enabled, for anti-toxicity to be *truly* enabled. For self-expression to truly be enabled, check the entries on anti-censorship and weapon laws. That is how to do it.

dynamics of insecurity

Aim to confront and overcome *<u>every</u>* insecurity you notice within yourself, in effort to max out your self-esteem. These are the only two paths you can take to overcome any given insecurity: the path of *contemplation* or of *fixing*…

The path of contemplation is for this: sometimes, you feel insecure about something when you don't even need to. Therefore, this is the path of deepening your understanding by contemplating, to the point you unarguably prove this insecurity *isn't* worthy of being an insecurity; *isn't* a flaw to fix and *isn't* causing unjust pain. To achieve this, you contemplate and confront all *<u>valid</u>* arguments and perspectives causing or stopping the insecurity's existence in as <u>blunt</u> and <u>gruesome</u> wording as is realistically possible for the harshest critic to use against you in public, so you can be sure your view on that insecurity, is the *<u>harshest, yet validly realistic</u>* view. You see, if this harsh, blunt, and gruesome wording wasn't a valid to some extent and wasn't the wording you should throw at yourself in private confrontation of the insecurity, then it probably wouldn't bother you so much to have it thrown at you in public, because you'd know deep down, people, including yourself, likely would just perceive the person as *too delusionally harsh*. But be real, we're calling it validly harsh because you *are* bothered by it, and thus *should* confront that gruesome yet valid wording privately, for iron self-esteem, invincible to any possible wording you could encounter, since you already confronted the most valid yet harsh wording in existence! You see where I'm going with this? This is one way truly megalithic self-esteem can be built. It's not as hard as I make it sound either! With this path, eventually you can be so versed on the entire reality of the subject of whatever this insecurity is and whether it is worthy of being an insecurity or not and why, that you'll either finally realize it's a flaw to fix and take the *path of fixing*, or you'll have deepened your understanding of all valid arguments involved in this insecurity, to the point you just hilariously win against any argument or attempted insult shot at you by any ignorant critic. And remember, the contemplation path is *<u>only</u>* for when an insecurity isn't something to be insecure over, and for proving why, *<u>completely</u>*.

I would just like to take a second to remind you that you're using the chain reaction II with the contemplation path.

The second path is the path of fixing. This path is for when you discover an insecurity is, in reality, a problem that should be solved and not accepted. The solving mentality is required for this, as this path can seem difficult with things like *hopeless-seeming biological flaws*, like shortness in males, for example. There *is* a solution. It might just be a tall mountain to climb to achieve it. What matters is that you be strong enough to keep the mindset that you truly intend to climb any of those mountains instead of giving in to hopelessness, and as the law of motivations says, when you witness enough of a best existence possible at once, you *will* sprint to climb those mountains anyways, *so* stop *bullshitting yourself*, boss. And if someone roasts you despite you already saying you're intending to fix said flaw, that makes them vulnerable to be roasted since they're breaking moral reality if you're smart enough to do it.

The last resort: if you're insecure about something that *is* worthy of being an insecurity in reality, but doesn't cause unjust pain, and you don't want to fix it, your only option is to instead <u>accept</u> *whatever labels come with that insecurity* as a part of your identity; whatever labels reality attaches to it are now part of you, since you don't intend to fix it. What matters most, is that the flaw doesn't break moral reality/cause unjust pain at all, or <u>that</u> *must be fixed*.

the anti-toxicity law of moral reality

This says moral reality can win every verbal conflict/roast (as long as tyranny isn't censoring it). The reason I say every verbal conflict, is because a *moral reality* is an unarguable fairest assessment of a situation. Therefore, if it's actually the moral reality, it can't be validly refuted, so when you present a criticism aligning with the moral reality of the situation *truly*, the target really has no other choice but to take the *fixing path* from the *dynamics of insecurity*. The core of why this is the case, is because moral reality almost always aligns with everyone's path to max happiness, and by default, that means someone breaking moral reality, is almost always someone straying from their very own path to max happiness; and with a little depth of understanding, you can expose the full picture of this and its consequences… Exposing their idiocy. Due to this, understanding moral reality or being able to accurately find the moral reality of any given scenario you get into is a useful skill and is the only other requirement for this "winning".

This law is important *because*: it states anyone being verbally bullied *unjustly* can in fact validly damage the bullies' ego to the *exact amount the bully* <u>deserves</u> (the victim breaking moral reality by going overboard would then make *them* vulnerable to valid insulting labels), the only *requirement* to damaging said bully's ego, is to have the understanding deep enough to expose stupidity with max efficiency; understanding of many things helps; relevant topics.

how to criticize (how to roast)

One foundation of "roasting" is knowing the *anti-toxicity law of moral reality*: knowing that since moral reality almost always aligns with *everyone's* path to max happiness, people breaking moral reality (committing injustice), are almost always doing something foundationally stupid; literally not in alignment with their own path to max happiness… Thus meaning, their stupidity can be exposed embarrassingly if you obtain a deep understanding (through contemplation for example) of the *worst consequences* their stupidity will lead them to. You can expose those *worst consequences*; thus exposing the their stupidity in the *worst*, most maximally damaging way. Since those are the *worst consequences*, you're exposing *the most damning evidence of their idiocy*, and very likely damaging their <u>unwarranted</u> ego. This is a very powerful law to know, and if you ever have trouble actually using it as a defensive weapon, you probably just need to *contemplate* to deepen your understanding to the point you *can* effectively expose a bullies' idiocy… The point you *can* effectively use this as a defensive weapon. The law works, you just need to first have gained the depth of understanding as ammo to use it. You never try to shoot a gun without the right ammo, the same way you must *understand the most relevant things* (have the *right ammo type*) for your <u>criticisms to hurt</u>.

On top of understanding the *anti-toxicity law of moral reality*, deepening your understanding of the aspects of the most viable path to max happiness all humans share (the thing this book lists), can significantly increase your ability to criticize ("roast"). This is because, as it so happens, humans share *quite a lot of major pieces* of said "path to max happiness": people seek purpose, human connection, to feel understood, feel worth something, *I could write a _book_ about it* (I literally am). So, the reason understanding these common pieces to the "most viable path to max happiness" deeply does this, is because everyone wants max happiness… *So* if you understand the most viable path to max happiness *deeply* (this book), that *literally* can enable you to basically *fucking audit* someone on *all* the most *valid* aspects of their stupidity (our *most valid core life purpose* is achieving max happiness; so this is the *most valid criticism topic* you can possibly criticize someone on, and thus can be the most *damaging* ego-wise). This is also because you'll mentally possess unarguable, most viable pieces they *can't validly refute*, unarguable things like *laws of moral reality*, these rock-solid anti-toxicity dynamics, or everything in this book. Quite *hilariously menacing*.

Another aspect of roasting is *accurate*, yet *gruesome* wording. Every word you use or don't use, certainly has the power to change the entire emotional aura and amount of insulting disrespect you're communicating toward an unjust bully, so it's a good idea to understand the tone *each of your words* carries and exactly how each one changes the overall amount of insult carried within your criticism, to both make sure you aren't going overboard in disrespecting someone, nor letting them get away without enough of a deservingly injured ego. Just be smart using this…

confront labels put on you first and foremost

if somebody calls you a name, it's best if you address that label's accuracy first and foremost rather than just responding with an insult/label back at them... If you respond just with an immediate insult back, all it *seems* is… You're hurt by their insult and aren't denying/disproving their label they put on you. It *seems* you're insecure about a part of yourself and are trying to pathetically run away, hiss, and hide from the self-improvement of facing that flaw you do *seem* to know you have; facing it by either contemplating until you can unarguably present why it's not a valid flaw and doesn't need to be an insecurity, or facing it by seeing it truly is a flaw and then intending to fix it (aka, applying the *dynamics of insecurity*). Even if you know the label isn't true, don't *blow up*, just label them a dick rider…

This really only applies when you actually get butthurt <u>at any level</u> from a criticism, <u>valid or not</u>. If you don't care, you won't be butthurt <u>even 1%</u>, won't need this, and can probably just say whatever you want; but the second you actually get butthurt <u>even 1%</u>, always take this route, because if you don't, you'll be displaying stupidity to everyone. Even if you just ignore the critic despite the fact his criticism gets on your nerves, in time you will just explode...

<u>maximally blunt/honest</u> self-criticism in private can be a <u>really</u> beneficial tool

Seeing if you can roast yourself can be a good practice for you confronting your insecurities privately instead of having them uprooted and criticized publicly when you're not prepared and haven't confronted them privately yet. As a result, this practice can be a fairly good tool for deepening your self-confidence lots as well through confronting more of your insecurities as well as through deepening your understanding of moral reality as a biproduct of applying the dynamics of insecurity when you confront them, thus, through deepening your understanding of moral reality, also deepening your admirability and ability to criticize unjust people far more effectively, among other things.

Extra context: be as *validly* harsh and blunt as you can when confronting your own flaws, contemplating on your own, or simulating what a critic would say against you, because people in public *will not* go "easy" on you, not even 1%. You want to make sure you're confronting reality in its *most blunt and hurtful, yet still valid wording*, in case you're forced to anyways (you will be). this is to be prepared for that, with having already thrown all the most valid vulgarity and criticism in the world at yourself in this practice mode. On top of that, you're a bit more likely to be t-

he one with the most ammo to practice shooting at yourself in terms of valid criticism, since your understanding of your flaws is way deeper than theirs; you know *all* about yourself, you'll know where to insult yourself the hardest.

socratic method in anti-toxicity

Another tactic for someone falsely criticizing you, is to just ask them for evidence, ask them "based on what", or just ask them "how?". This is less aggressive than calling them a dick rider or a fan… It's a more productive approach. The Socratic method *outside* of anti-toxicity, is more of just a tool to use under tyranny in order to question a tyrant without coming off as 'accusing them of being wrong', pissing them off. It's basically asking rhetorical questions.

Extra context: using the Socratic method in anti-toxicity, can take the form of you auditing invalid criticism from others by saying something like "based on what?" or "proof? no balls? aww little kitty want a hug". This is really just "rebranded" application of the dynamics of insecurity. You're suspecting you're being invalidly criticized, so in a way, you're taking the contemplation path from the dynamics of insecurity entry, just in "multiplayer" since you're putting the ball in the critic's court, shining a spotlight on the suspected invalidity of their attempted criticism of you and attaching all the labels that an invalid critic can fit into, like "dick rider", which they can only shake off by showing their criticism is valid. You're taunting them while accusing them of invalid criticism. Keep in mind, if you accuse someone of invalid criticism when you know it's valid, it's all going to explode in your face and backfire on you, as it should. This "anti-toxicity Socratic method" of saying "based on what? No balls" (as is any other form of using the dynamics of insecurity) is the superior alternative, to what I observe people commonly resorting to in this current society, which is often straight denial of reality, or completely just not even confronting nor disproving the criticism thrown at them; rather, just throwing a criticism at their critic as their complete response. As you know, not confronting or disproving a criticism toward you first and foremost, makes you look bad since it seems like you're accepting that critical label they're trying to attach to you or just seems like you have no argument against it. And I don't even need to say why denying reality is ridiculous and pathetic (unless doing so because you're truly cornered by *tyranny*). So yeah, instead of being a pathetic idiot, you should apply the dynamics of insecurity, and perhaps this Socratic method tool. People feeling cornered when criticized due to this retarded school system not teaching them the dynamics of insecurity, and anti-toxicity/EPE in general, is likely a major reason why all of you resorted to enforcing unjust censorship, which as you know, then led to the gender attractiveness imbalance, and worse. I literally _never_ see anyone asking "how am I x" in response to a criticism they think isn't true. They literally always fight, fight, fight with the person instead of using this method. Like, I get it, you might be sure it's not true, but this is a valid and extremely effective method for absolutely cornering and verbally humiliating invalid critics, and it's simply very *telling* of our ignorance, how rare it seems to see someone using this in this current society, especially when I'm even seeing pathetic shit like denial of reality being more common than this tactic (really just against valid criticism, but this can be used toward that too obviously). I've applied the dynamics of insecurity and roasted myself so much in private alone, that when someone tells me "kill yourself retard", my *genuine* response is "why should I lol" because I've confronted literally every part of myself I can think to, and continue to do so. This is why I say the key to extreme self-confidence is using this book. Ego isn't wrong, your level of ego just needs to be warranted by an equal level of an impenetrable reality of deserving it, of greatness. When you confront enough of your genuine self, you can become truly great and thus truly worthy of feeling _that_ confident, to the point someone telling you to kill yourself, is just going to beckon some honest internal "giggle" and thought of "I see, this guy _actually_ doesn't know who he's talking to... let's watch him try to find a valid reason I should :3". And guess what, what do you do if he does? You apply the dynamics of insecurity again. This tool will help in finding accurate moral realities.

Extra explanation: sometimes you can just ask someone to explain, go down their rabbit hole if you think their argument or criticism is false or unpolished, by asking how is (their claim) correct, and if they make another claim as an answer, ask how that one is correct too. If they're criticizing/insulting you, this is the precursor to labeling them as a dick rider, or whatever, because you're verifying the moral reality of the situation by doing this first, and once that's done, you know if you have the right to label them as such insults... This can also be a really good test to see

if they've *actually* outlined the full picture of what they're claiming and if they pass, you'll just understand reality deeper because they now showed you the evidence or whatever. Just ask them to explain each individual claim, one at a time, to see if they really are potentially right or not. And if they turn out to actually be right, then I guess now you have a better understanding of life now too; win-win. People don't even understand that when presented with an invalid or wrong criticism, you can and should just say something like "based on what", or ask for evidence, people today instead of course get very offended and resort to use more *unjust censorship* rather than asking for evidence from the accuser and then validly calling them out for not even being able to present valid evidence.

handling valid criticism

Don't deny reality unless threatened by tyranny. The right thing to do when someone presents you with a valid flaw you have, is to accept reality and have the intention to *fix* that flaw. This works because of *the solving mentality*. This is the right way to handle valid criticism, and if someone keeps on disrespecting you with something you've already announced you want to fix, that opens them to be validly criticized/disrespected, as now they're breaking moral reality (citing: *anti-toxicity law of moral reality*): "moral reality can win any verbal conflict as long as tyranny isn't censoring it and as long as one's understanding is deep enough to expose it". Whenever someone verbally disrespects you unjustly, it makes them vulnerable to be disrespected *equally as severely*, the only requirement being that your understanding is deep enough to *"summon" the most effective valid criticisms* onto the bully by first gaining said understanding. Remember, a main reason "hurting their ego" occurs is through being damage to their image publicly, not privately, because of how crucial human connection and one's social reputation is to their happiness, and because some evil people will only care or have any consequences when they're criticized validly *in public*.

expose invalid criticism

If someone keeps falsely criticizing you and can't back up their criticism (It's false so of course they can't), they're just riding your dick. A *fan*. Label them as such, repeatedly. Watch how they're *unable to dodge* that label, because factually, they aren't saying any valid criticism about you... *The label reflects reality*. Laugh as their illogical waste of time tactic of false criticism is blowing up in their face with just some funny words (these are just one way of saying it, just a single example). If this person is able to actually put valid arguments or evidence behind theirs, this won't, and *shouldn't* work anyways... Because at that point you should confront it, by using the *dynamics of insecurity*.

Keep in mind, this is also effective against someone who keeps bashing you for shit you already said you want to fix.

ingrained/learned censorship can mess you up in contemplation of anti-toxicity and self-expression elusively

Be careful, you might conclude "anti-toxicity doesn't work", or you might spend hours trying to find the right "wording" of how to say what you want to say to someone, when in reality, the only reason you're thinking of these things in the first place is because unjust censorship has been taught to you throughout your life and you've been taught to even, by habit, succumb to it and try to work *around it* rather than what this book tells you: to *realize* that it's a form of tyranny and the only *true* solution is for all unjust censorship to be abolished in society. I've gone into panic mode before, thinking "anti-toxicity doesn't work" because "I can't think of a response to this insult or this or that", when in reality, what was really stopping me from just expressing the relevant speech and understanding I already had gained (enough to get the job done, truly "put the ball in the bully's court" again verbally), what was really stopping me was an elusive, almost *subconscious* acknowledgement of the limitation of the unjust violence or other forms of tyrannical unjust censorship that may result from me simply just expressing my genuine self. I was unaware, so I was over here taking the path of trying to subconsciously "work around" the censorship instead of simply remembering to accept that *that's basically just me "working around" expressing my actual, genuine self and*

feelings, and that the _true_ problem is the unjust censorship stopping me from just saying everything that needs to be said and not caring how deservingly pissed it makes the bully (I was fearing unjust violence, for example). So, just remain aware and able to spot when the _true_ thing stopping you from communicating effectively and attractively is just censorship, and not your lack of understanding. You still need understanding, _especially_ of things like _moral reality_, to criticize a bully well. It won't _always_ just be "censorship sneakily cock blocking you" but do stay aware.

the public opinion and education level can impact you in verbal conflicts; <u>know that anti-toxicity still works</u>

The public being unaware of moral reality and the topics in this book can, and will, negatively impact how effective you feel anti-toxicity is. The public's stupidity can even lead you to think anti-toxicity isn't working, when in reality, you're just surrounded by so many idiots dominating the population numbers in whatever environment you're expressing your anti-toxicity in, that even despite none of them having valid arguments or evidence to present to support their claims, and no matter how validly you prove your own criticisms of them, they just go back to their herd of idiots to re-inflate their false ego and wallow in their stupidity more, whilst continuing to spew false claims at you, false criticisms of you, and they aren't affected emotionally by your criticisms because everyone around them is too stupid to, like you, hit them with reality. You'll feel like anti-toxicity doesn't work in this environment, and the thing is, anti-toxicity works overall, it's just the only thing stopping it from working in this specific environment is the sheer retardation of the people in it at a majority level. People shouldn't be this retarded, and they are definitely on a regrettable path in life even if they don't yet see they are, like life isn't supposed to be that way and they definitely aren't supposed to be so stupid… But they are currently. So this is just reminding you, anti-toxicity works.

If humans were actually living correctly (this book), it wouldn't be only you who values and knows the moral reality. The guilty person acting unjustly or stupidly would be criticized not from just you, but many contemplating people…

<-=->

E C O N O M I C S

Due to moral reality's alignment with everyone's true, most viable path, logic and fairness can now be our currency.

<-=- =->

-- *sword economics* --

moral reality (fairness) will basically be the "currency"

You're allowed to pursue whatever goals and creations you want as long they don't break the law/moral reality. Currency has no reason to be outlawed, but I simply think due to our now purpose-driven nature rather than hopelessly selfish, instant-gratification driven, corrupt nature, we will simply, at a majority level, not have much, if any use for money. People will literally know all of life's potential basically, and with immortality, will have the time to now pursue all the biggest dreams they can ever think of… People are currently driven by selfish instant-gratification and rather seeing this life as some vacation house-party to enjoy and trash for the janitor to clean up (their kids, the next generation). Honestly, at a majority level in society, even if subconsciously, that's what we're seeing life as. The info in this book fixes that bullshit, especially when we actually 'advance medicine' to the point of immortality.

Are you starting to see that the "happy ending" is possible in this existence we're in? Starting to see my motivation?

technology will automate most if not almost all of the meaningless manual labor

Whilst humans' jobs will be (being passed the first layer and thus uncorrupt), using their now *motivated* innovative creativity to achieve the best existence possible they desire, and achieve their greatest dreams, especially enabled by them now being aware at high levels that fairness almost always aligns with their most viable path to happiness.

Obviously, we have to do what we have to do to get to that point by advancing technology first, and after reaching that point, we'll still need to maintain the technology, but that will *not* be problematic with all the info in this book. People will be motivated, after passing the first layer and seeing all life's potential as well as being uncorrupted by the knowledge of why they want to be that way, and they will have such deep understanding of how to accomplish this "fair teamwork" economy that this, and *solving conflicts*, should be very smooth. There's just so much context.

The 'main idea' of this entire "economy" is that humanity is, and was always, meant to be an organized & fair *team* focused on achieving their core life purpose (which is literally just "achieving the best existence possible", duh. It's the first sentence in this book for a reason). We can use this "teamwork" mainly due to fairness' alignment with all of our most viable paths to max happiness (that's simply just a law of reality…), and because life just has that much potential (that's one part of what "passing the first layer" is: education, not only on anti-corruption, but potential). By 'passing the first layer', not only will one know they want to be uncorrupt, but will also be extremely motivated.

With this, people should have ridiculous amounts of time for pursuing their most fulfilling goals and enjoying living!

you basically get your needs covered just by existing.

As long as you're a human being "passed the first layer" (it proves you're uncorrupt), you'll get your needs covered to live comfortably so you can at least have a default/starter "base of operations" to think of, plan and pursue your bigger dreams and goals, as long as those goals follow moral reality/don't break the law, of course. Bare minimum. No more of this slavery bullshit where you are forced to feed the meaningless corrupt endeavors of greedy people. Passing the first layer verifies that you see this existence as your Minecraft world and intend to make the best of it, it verifies that you aren't one of those corrupt, greedy people. Meaningless labor isn't meant for these highly-motivated, uncorrupt, creative and innovative people, we are meant to be using that motivated creativity. Labor is for…

- **question:** but what about overpopulation? If everyone can be immortal, isn't that inevitable?

No. The economy is an organized, coordinated, fully communicative and calculating team, and calculating overpopulation beforehand to prevent it is no exception. We will have calculated and communicated the numbers to prevent this, and it can be handled effectively if shit hits the fan by us finding the moral reality of who's guilty and applying rules like "if you're guilty of bringing another person into this life when we've told you and everyone not to, because we're overpopulated, if you're guilty according to the moral reality as a parent and we don't have the food to feed your child, the child won't be the one starving". By the way, just at least pose this question to yourself; "what if we don't need food anymore". Personally I prefer and enjoy being human, but... I'm just trying to give you options and answers here, if you're ever desperate enough for "that" kind of path. If we had the technology to get out of the human body by the way, I'm sure we could put you back into one. One that stays 20 forever, too. One that has the best features, too... I've heard that realistically we should be able to avoid overpopulation, quite easily.

- **question:** but what if we calculate incorrectly and our miscalculation causes mass starvation and death?

I really just don't think that'll happen because this is a society of emotionally invested, intelligent contemplators and investigators who are coordinating and communicating regularly, unified on the goal of achieving the best existence possible, passed the first layer, etc. But if it does hypothetically happen somehow... Then we've made a grave mistake and we will pay for it. How about we just don't let that happen, how about we stay enough of a percent away from overpopulation _ever_ being considered a threat to the point we don't worry about this? How about we terraform other planets to open more resources and population spots that can be filled, these are also just my ideas.

- **question:** what are you gonna do about lazy asses?

Just make sure they're passed the first layer (uncorrupt). I firmly believe that being surrounded by "near utopia" combined with knowing of all of this potential's instructions (via passing the first layer) will more than give anybody the chance/freedom and reason/motivation to blossom into the legendary version of themselves to obtain all of it.

Extra context: we will likely need to measure the population to prevent overpopulation by calculating the amount of resources available as to not go overboard in having babies. Like I said, that's just another branch of this main idea tree, of the idea that we will need to be a calculated, highly communicative, and coordinated team to make this economy work at its best. People should be able to be on the same page and in the know, understanding what's happening with society's resources and why, able to chime in with any valid arguments for or against things at any time. The only goals that will be intercepted will be ones that break moral reality (I think?) these are really just ideas of mine and not some concrete laws of reality or whatever. If you want a castle, you can have it as long as the resources you need are communicated to the rest of this team and it's affordable and no one has any relevant arguments against it as to why it shouldn't occur. Fairness and understanding will be central, rather than greed or self-centered instant gratification at the expense of earth's health and beauty, not just in nature, but in us humans too.

- **question:** what about medical needs and specialized crucial jobs that need years of study; brain surgeons?

As this united team we should recognize we need that spot filled, that need satisfied, and so ideally people should be willing to take up that specialization, now having faith in this society and team, on top of pursuing their other personal goals (if being a brain surgeon doesn't align with that, which let's be honest, being a brain surgeon won't be the extent of anyone's goals after immortality is achieved. Their goals will be bigger). And I know you're going to say, "you just mentioned immortality, why are you mentioning the need for a brain surgeon if dying isn't going to be an issue?". Here's why: it's just an example. Fill in the blank, dude. Take any hypothetical "needed" job and replace "brain surgeon" with that job title, whatever. I'm just addressing your valid question here of "who's going to fill the needed job positions in society?", and my answer to that is, with us being all informed in this united team now, believing in society, having faith in it and being able to fully communicate any problems we have with the way things are run, find the moral reality of any realistic situation which might I remind you aligns with _everyone's_ path to max happiness in almost all scenarios, I mean dude, out of what, 8 billion people, you don't think, that anybody,

now having such faith in society, will be willing and understanding to have faith in filling these jobs, especially once said job takers are also able to communicate with open-minded, understanding, intelligent people who will hear o-ut their concerns, in a society based around the already outlined dynamics of all of anti-corruption and of near uto-pia… Really? I'm pretty sure people will understand the bigger goal, achievable by them taking truly necessary jobs.

And you also have to remember, the idea is to _advance technology_ to the point us creative humans _don't_ need to fill the position of these less meaningful labors. That's the end goal, and those workers will know that… I simply thi-nk they will fully understand the necessity of such positions currently being fulfilled and take them, especially with the higher level of human connection in society on top of all the other potential that will be clear as day, genuinely.

There shouldn't be gaps in our understanding of each other. We should all be able to get on the same page, unified.

And once again, with moral reality being so findable, those who don't follow it will face social consequences. Like, it is really simple: if it's not the moral reality, you contemplate, find, and present the true one, and boom done… Easy. And if it is the moral reality, then odds are it aligns with you and everyone else's path to max happiness, to follow it.

I'm saying, we conclude it's unarguably the best option for john to take job A. John refuses without reason… And he very well is going to be disliked for it… And that dislike will not just be some emotional illogical crap… It's all logical, and those who dislike him will only feel such a way because they are able to present that unarguable moral reality…

- **question:** So, what if bob has a mansion that has used up 50% of the world's gold, and bob jr. has a mansi-on that has used up the other 50%, but john over here needs some gold to build teleporters for travelling?

First, we would find the moral reality of the situation. Bob and Bob jr. own the gold currently, fair and square, so to steal it from them isn't just breaking moral reality, it's breaking the law. There are certain things you can do to bre-ak moral reality without breaking the law, for example, unjustly disrespecting someone. The consequences of that, are likely, in this society, going to be getting your ego shattered by criticism, since anti-toxicity will be known by ev-eryone. So, you find the moral reality of the situation, which I'll assume is just for John to present his idea to Bob jr. or Bob, and try to get their permission to take some gold for his project. Let's assume both of them refuse, unreas-onably and greedily. They aren't breaking the law, the gold is theirs, but they are breaking moral reality (otherwise we wouldn't be fair to label their decision as "unreasonable" or "greedy". I said those words describing them, bec-ause we are assuming those words fit their reasoning and behavior. We are assuming they are in fact being unreas-onable and greedy according to the moral reality/fairest assessment of this situation). If Bob and Bob jr. are in fact being so unreasonable (breaking moral reality even though they're not breaking the law), guess what, it opens the-m to both valid criticism, and valid dislike, from the public. Yeah, they won't be getting arrested, but due to breac-hing the fairest solution to this situation, they're opening themselves to valid dislike and criticism from their friend-s, family, and just everyone in society who gets wind of this conflict. And guess what, due to us all being such a co-nnected team, a lot of people are probably going to get wind of this. And did you forget how crucial human conne-ction is to pretty much everyone's happiness? So yes, John here will be forced to take the long route of potentially having to locate other planets with gold to take from or some shit, but justice will not be neglected. What will likel-y happen is Bob and Bob jr. will get tired of the valid unarguable criticisms that are thrown at them, and loneliness.

It all depends on the moral reality of the situation. John over here, is helping everyone in society travel, for example. But obviously, if you remember, finding the moral reality means analyzing all arguments/evidence. That is only one.

- **question:** so what if bob wants 500,000 mansions all to himself? (Yes, you read that right. 500,000)

The first step is finding the _moral reality_ of the situation. We, being a coordinated team, would find the fairest ass-essment of this situation and communicate it to Bob. If you want to ask me what I predict he'll have to do for this... "dream" of his... I would _guess_ the moral reality is, he'd have to do a long quest alone of advancing the technology required to either terraform a planet or create a planet for his "mansions", and that's _if_ the moral reality of the sit-uation concludes he can achieve such a goal fairly (which, let's be honest, if he actually develops the technology to

gain his own land by terraforming some planet without intruding on anyone else, I don't see who he's hurting!). T-his isn't as impossible of a question as you might think at first glance. We just follow moral reality and do the calculations to see what we, as a united team, can and can't *fairly* allow. And literally, if anyone in existence has any negative emotion toward the decision being made, all they have to do is contemplate to find the injustice in our solution causing that negative emotion, and then put it all into words, we'd do this, until we find the *true* moral reality.

"Five. Hundred. Cigarettes. What are you doing. I was curious to taste it! 😵 It is not food! Doctor fin says, it is th…"

- **question:** "are you *really* advocating to get rid of money? Are you insane? Communist, kill yourself retard"

Money won't be "illegal", I just truly don't think people will care to use it as much, because as I said, most of if not pretty much all of society will be a coordinated, informed, 'in the know' team where fairness, aka moral reality, is going to be the currency, and the… "ruler" basically, but so is open-mindedness *and* anti-censorship, so chill? Like dude, if you have any proposed better system than this, you'll be absolutely allowed to share that system and it sh-ould genuinely be confronted and heard, especially with the entry with the idea about an A.I. search engine replacing the voting system, being put into place! And if you need a resource, you tell someone why, and we find the fai-rest assessment of the situation and do the calculations as a team to see if you can get help getting it or if you have to get it yourself. Society should be an organized team centered around moral reality like this, because moral reali-ty can align with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios, so if you need something, you just prop-ose your need and we find the moral reality, fairness is maintained and we work as a team toward the best existen-ce possible which aligns with fairness in almost all scenarios, and is very possible, because humans are so unifiabl-e. True unity requires a level of fairness, and since we can be fair in almost all scenarios, we can be unified and al-most all scenarios. This book calls for and instructs you not only on *how* to maximize fairness, but tells you *why you and everyone* on this damn planet *wants* to maximize fairness and have it be a *central* foundation of all of life and society. Also, consider the similarity in our goals and desires; quite a bit of similarity exists at a foundational level. And with human connection being central to happiness, that means unity also somewhat achieves that need/desir-e on a potentially greater scale. And if you're needed to become a doctor, or a heart surgeon, all of your questions will be answered as to why, and you will be fully 'in the know' and convinced as to why you becoming a doctor is t-he most viable path toward us and you achieving a best existence possible, you will have faith in this team because everyone will have their doubts and concerns heard and answered simply because fairness can align with everyon-e's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios; we can disclose the truth to you, we can be that communicative, we can be an *actual* team. We will find and know the moral reality of situations and you will have faith in and emo-tional attachment to this society and team to the point where you actually believe in what you're doing and your position in this team, and if you *ever* have *any* complaints or doubts, you'll be free to voice them and society will h-ave been educated on the importance of confronting those complaints and solving them with moral reality and the law of pain to maintain your faith in the team's direction enough for you to actually *want* to help and be a part of i-t, not be forced. Not have to pay rent to some greed-driven landlord or corporation whose only goal is to milk you like a cash cow to buy their 4th yacht or go lobby some politician to pass an unjust law with their now millions of d-ollars you contributed to so they can go incriminate an innocent farmer when any corporation's genetically modifi-ed seeds show up on his land from natural pollination or some shit. You will have the motivation to truly get your j-ob done, believing in, no… *Knowing* the importance of, the *value*, of your position in this team and your work tow-ard this team's goals, which will be based in moral reality, and the path to ideally the best existence possible. You'll know exactly why you want to do this job and exactly *what this job is leading you and all of humanity to*, to whate-ver extent you desire to know, and if you have any doubt about that, it will have to be answered... As I said, infor-med, completely 'in the know', team. If we want every citizen to be truly motivated to see this society as *their own* passion project, we need to be this way. Using an A.I. search engine database to organize people's proposed doub-ts and their answers will help. And if someone has a doubt or question the database doesn't yet have the answer t-o, they can call in to talk to someone who specializes in contemplation (strong chain reaction I) and providing ans-wers to new questions, and then it will be entered into the database once answered. This is also the solution to the "voting" system you people have in place. Voting should not be how we make decisions. Logic and reason with this

database should be, where every citizen has every doubt confronted. Where every citizen has the ability to bring b-ombshell ideas and points forward to the public or question the current outlined/decided path, find their question-/doubt adequately answered, and if they feel it hasn't been, they're able to call in and explain why. The rabbit hole must be explored, with each citizen, to create maximum unity and a sense of passion and ownership within them. "Voting" just leaves the losing groups feeling unheard. Voting is a ridiculous system brought about by the laziness and lack of motivation of hopelessness-accepting people of this society who, at their core, are just here for an inst-ant gratification joyride until they die. Their "4 yachts". When you see the full picture of near utopia, '4 yachts' will seem like a complete waste of time to you, your desires will drastically be ripped away from hopeless materialism, and you'll possibly be sent into quite an emotional hurricane, depending on the impact of what you are witnessing.

A N T I – C E N S O R S H I P

The only thing that should be censored is spam, and I've defined what that is in this chapter.

--- *sword anti-censorship* ---

anti-censorship

Anti-censorship is an extremely *vital* foundation of creating the best society possible. Anti-censorship says: "*the o-nly communication that should be censored/muted is significant enough spam*", and I already *defined* spam for yo-u *in here*. The only thing that should be punished is unjust harm caused by communication, not the communication itself. For example, lying to burning building victims about an escape stairway on the other side of the building so t-hat you can have a clear path out, resulting in their deaths. Unjust threats warrant investigation, and the only thing that deserves punishment from such a threat, is valid harm or cost caused to victims because of it, including *valid* costly measures taken by the victim out of fear. Such little censorship is viable and reasonable for multiple reasons:

For one, the *anti-toxicity law of moral reality*, which says moral reality can always win against injustice in any verb-al conflict, as long as someone's there who understands (the) moral reality deeply enough to expose it, because m-oral reality almost always aligns with everyone's path to max happiness, and the bully breaking moral reality, almo-st always means that bully is straight up straying from their own path to max happiness, which is foundationally st-upid. In other words, you just need an understanding deep enough to expose their stupidity with max efficiency a-nd stab valid holes in their unjust ego and reputation, not to mention other anti-toxicity traits. Read *how to roast…*

Not only that law, but the *dynamics of insecurity* as well: all insecurities can now be handled properly, as long as a person truly has the *solving mindset*, which we are all meant to have anyways. Are you aware how many suicides happen due to us not having the solving mindset? People feel too much hopelessness from things like currently u-nfixable biological flaws, to the point of suicide. Do you see all the hopelessness mindset has done, on top of that? Is that not enough evidence for you to accept this solving mindset *is* the one we were *meant* to have from birth? S-eeing life's potential alone (*the law of motivations*) *will* ignite a nuclear explosion-sized fire inside you to chase aft-er it anyways. Good luck denying the solving mindset's necessity *after experiencing that*. With all insecurities being able to be handled, and verbal justice being able to be achieved by simply having a deep enough understanding, on top of the rest of anti-toxicity, there basically doesn't have to be any danger of unjust verbal bullying *even with* su-ch little censorship. This isn't even considering the other supporting info in this book, such as communication skills.

In a society where everyone's able to express their full genuine self without unjust censorship, people will receive more genuine feedback for growth and development of their genuine personality, to the point this creates a socie-ty of the most magnetic personalities imaginable. This is *one* big part of what I mean by people reaching their "*leg-endary*" selves. Anti-censorship is *one big main key* to deepen our relationships to their deepest levels possible, ex-pose and confront the most relevant problems the *now educated* population will actually care about, maintain the foundational aspect of the best society possible called *open-mindedness*, and grow into the best versions of oursel-ves from not only receiving maximal genuine and relevant feedback from others about ourselves, but also knowing *how to handle the feedback in any form* it may come in by using things like the *dynamics of insecurity*, for example. This polishes your genuine self to be a *diamond*. We've resorted to accepting unjust censorship today, mainly since we don't know about anti-toxicity, things like the dynamics to insecurity, we reject the solving mindset, and more…

anti-censorship – what spam is:

-continuous, irrelevant *enough* speech, labelable as irrelevant depending on how the arguments for said label hold up & whether that label aligns with the moral reality of the situation. *Valid counterarguments must be confronted.* Our collective goal should be to locate moral reality, so, seriously, just *argue your case if you feel unjustly censored*.

-communication which is shown to serve no other purpose than deafening others (it's a form of unjust censorship).

-communication *repeated* *so much by a single person* that it has the genuine effect of deafening/censoring others…

-a thing displayed in places it *isn't explicitly stated to be allowed* to be in, *so disturbing it can genuinely nauseate or sicken the average person* (who *wants* to throw up?). If you have some rare sensitivity, that's a medical condition t-o fix, and the public being censored shouldn't be the solution to it, otherwise we'd end up being hyper-censored d-ue to all the people with similar conditions. Rather, a better solution is that a cure should be found for your illness.

-nudity displayed in areas it *isn't explicitly communicated that it can be displayed in*. This doesn't need explanation.

justifications for: anti-censorship – what spam is:

- **explanation of:** -continuous, irrelevant *enough* speech, labelable as irrelevant depending on how the arg…

The reason this is here, is because the moral reality of any given situation *does* depend on its details, so having a s-ort of "court session" to find said moral reality about whether spam is occurring, may very well be necessary to do. Spam may or may not be occurring, but this is here to ensure if any valid unarguable argument is able to be prese-nted to shift the moral reality conclusion, to a more accurate conclusion, this is here to ensure that one gets found.

- **question**: how do you determine what's irrelevant "enough" speech?

You simply use *logic and reason* to find the moral reality behind that claim, like we would do in any *other* situation.

anti-censorship – what spam is not:

-*misinformation of adults* (the person's reputation should be impacted instead of censored, & with anonymous on-line accounts, people should read that information with a grain of doubt, we can never stop that form of misinfo…) Obviously with valid damage according to the moral reality caused to victims the informer can/should be punished.

-racism, sexism, literally any-ism, "hate speech". People expressing those "isms" unjustly should feel *valid criticism*, *not censorship*. And like I said all you need to do is deepen your understanding to be able to roast them effectively.

And if you don't want to "roast" bad people, you don't need to. Use extreme positive energy instead of anti-toxicity. And if you aren't compatible with extreme positive energy, just read & use the Socratic method anti-toxicity entry…

anti-censorship must be a fair game

Anyone, such as children, who can't handle the *full, entire, adult level* verbal disrespect or toxicity potentially *earn-ed* by their own unjust disrespect toward others, shouldn't be allowed to use such verbal toxicity in the first place. It's not fair to let someone be disrespectful unjustly while expecting that person to not receive *deserved* verbal dis-respect back. If they're going to play this game, it should be fair. When someone learns either anti-toxicity or extr-eme positive energy, they'll know how to handle any form of verbal toxicity dished out toward them, but if they h-aven't learned how to handle *all possible* toxicity or disrespect *receivable*, they shouldn't be allowed to dish it out…

operation loudspeaker is really my (and your) only hope for <u>full</u> self-expression at the moment, via duplication

This relies on the idea that you'd reach the same solutions I've found if you tried to fix societies and your problems…

Because, as I have tried to communicate this info to people on a one-on-one or even small group basis, I've been met with closed-mindedness, unjust censorship tyranny, and other general tyranny. I could be as loud as I want about all these dynamics, about all the information in this book, I could go out in public and try to make some in-person speech, and even if I speak really well there, it's really only going to put me in a greater risk and danger of some unjust corrupt person in the government noticing the impact I'm making and putting me on their radar. This is why I can't express the feelings and opinions I write about here, in public. This is why I can't achieve justice when someone in this society screws me over. For example, if a girl were to cheat on me. I could go to her family in this society and try to communicate this to them, and there are quite a few families in this society which would closed-mindedly reject the moral reality I'm presenting, to defend their daughter, without having actual arguments against anything I'm saying. I could go to them and literally outline all of near utopia before them and completely highlight the significance of valuing moral reality, I could even warn the family that this injustice will be repaid in the future, you know what they'd do? They'd probably use the tyrannical blanket laws of this american society to try to incriminate me for "threatening them" if I said that, when all I'm saying is that people will not like them for the injustice they're committing right now and it will need to be repaid. Even worse, I'm justified in suspecting this judicial system, when they get wind of my actual reasons and logic behind my actions (the reasons this book tells you), they might be even more inclined to throw me in jail, unjustly. Think about it. Human nature is greedy and corrupt right now, down to the core, and those greedy people will want someone like me in jail if I pose a threat to their slavery economy, which I will. My point here is, this is why I can't, and don't, express myself in public… *I would <u>love</u> to*, but any interaction would lead to me basically publicly exposing the entire vexyricon, and the government would eventually get wind of that shit and put a target on me. Think about it. I've outlined the dynamics to being a "human magnet", things like relevant speech, anti-toxicity, the general understanding of moral reality and the unarguable dynamics to near utopia I'd be expressing… The likelihood of my self-expression going viral, is high. And guess what, that means the likelihood of the government seeing me as a threat and targeting me, or some other greedy corporation in your "corporate oligarchy" shit system y'all have in place… The likelihood is just too high for me to intelligently be okay to express my genuine self, <u>all alone</u>. This is why I'm saying my only hope of being able to express myself fully and attractively, is through operation loudspeaker. Same goes for you, *if* these dynamics are the bedrock of what <u>you'd find too</u>, trying to solve society's (and your) issues like I did. It's because operation loudspeaker duplicates the expressor intellectually, and so I would focus on duplicating myself before letting myself even take the chance of going viral by expressing myself in public, and through that, the target would be diffused to everyone, they wouldn't be able to target just one person now, and it would (ideally) cause a chain reaction, creating even more loudspeakers (duplicates), making the risk of targeting less, and less. Basically, I'm going to have to duplicate myself a number of times before ever going viral, to the point I feel we can all go viral and none of us will be targetted because the corrupt *pigs* will be too overwhelmed by this hilarious fucking blitzkrieg of the social atmosphere of their ant farm society they've enslaved and kept blind. Think about it. Literally any stupid fucking conflict I get into with anybody, *could* lead to me going down the vexyricon rabbit hole, very <u>loudly</u> and <u>expressively</u>, considering I know relevant speech, anti-toxicity and more… Because this damn book literally applies to just about <u>everything</u> in life, and certainly would apply to every <u>conflict</u> I get into. So by expressing myself and even *seeking justice* without there first being literally like 50 loudspeaker duplicates of myself, even if my girlfriend cheats on me or whatever and I'm just unleashing apocalyptic bombshell facts from this book onto her family about why to hold her accountable… Even just one person filming that level of magnetic genuine self-expression, puts me at risk of getting the government or some shit corporation's attention to target me and this movement in its *fetal* stages. This is my struggle. Every day I am unable to express myself despite looking around and seeing the unargued solutions, and the unseen level of disgustingness this society radiates. Do you have any idea, just how fucking annoying this tyranny is?

I'm literally unable to express myself despite all I'm now aware of. This is why I can't connect with people, because I'm "not in power" due to not yet having made this corrupt environment <u>safe</u> enough for me to express my full self

in all because I haven't 'duplicated myself 50 times' to feel okay to roast the hell out of injustice, publicly and virally. This is why I'm single still. This is why whenever I try to fix that, I conclude my only hope is to go to my room, write… Do you know how hellish it is to know like, almost all of life's potential, the best relationships and be cut off from it? Moral reality is not valued by this society, and when someone like me exposes it, I would get killed or jailed unjustly. The only way to reach 95% of people, due to their closed-mindedness and stupidity, is operation loudspeaker. Cows.

unjust censorship is one main, huge root cause of social anxiety in this corrupt society

I used to wonder why I had social anxiety. Until I outlined anti-toxicity and anti-censorship. Partly, it was me being taught that it's polite to not say certain things even when they need to be said, and partly, it was me not knowing things like the dynamics of insecurity (and all the other things in anti-toxicity); I didn't know how to handle the unjust bullying I would receive from expressing myself. Not to mention the much higher risk of unjust violence tyranny this corrupt society is infected with. Not to mention the "getting kicked out of a building (business) for offending someone even though you've unarguably shown why you aren't in the wrong" due to for example the business having a greed focused mindset rather than one *valuing moral reality*. Not to mention the fact that the court system doesn't enforce open-minded confrontation of all valid arguments you present to support yourself and is centered once again around *money*, you'll be thrown in jail even if they can't disprove the valid arguments you have shown. Tyrants. You subconsciously remember all these possible unjust consequences, and it negatively impacts your ability to express yourself, as well as you not knowing how to handle unjust verbal bullying (not knowing 'anti-toxicity'), or extreme positive energy if you swing that way... But like I said, even knowing anti-toxicity, tyrannical unjust censorship will still be forced on you by these clown fucks. The most you'll reach is a point of replacing all your anxiety with visceral hatred, until you or someone smart enough, destroys the unjust censorship in this society *completely*.

But remember that's literally what this book is for; it's a toolkit to destroy all corruption, so how about you… use it?

People are supposed to confront their insecurities. It is mental illness and delusional no to *whilst expecting all other people to censor justified self-expression*. This culture of small-talk and *fakeness* takes so much color out of life, and the dynamics of anti-toxicity, moral reality, extreme positive energy, anti-censorship, among other things, *enable such little censorship* to be *safe to use* in society, enable justice to be achieved truly and abolishment of the tyranny causing much of our social anxiety… How do you not see how this book will abolish much of social anxiety? This literally outlines the exact blueprints to abolishing tyranny (and everything I just listed), on top of reaching your *legendary self*, having a truly open-minded and uncorrupt court system; *I could go on,* but it is absolutely sensible… Anti-toxicity literally tells you the recipe and laws behind achieving justice against any unjust bully you encounter…

Whoever follows moral reality the most accurately and understands it all enough to expose it, wins the most roasts.

You may often feel pressured to force a fake laugh or smile in order to seem more polite, but this only makes interactions less comfortable, and obviously less genuine. It impacts the actual resulting level of connection you're forming. This atmosphere of fake self-expression is one legitimate core cause of our social anxiety. The legendary version of your genuine self, is the one who's able to say what they're really thinking fully & remain attractive doing it.

Now obviously, faking a smile and making it so blatantly obvious, can just become humorous, and that's not what I'm referring to here. I'm referring to actual fakeness, the fake smiles and laughs people give to actually "be polite".

elevator ride bullshit

Implementing anti-censorship will "fix" elevator rides 😊. The reasons they're silent or awkward in the first place, are a mix of (intelligent) people having nothing truly interesting to talk about due to giving up on their actual true purpose in life to instead accept learned hopelessness (giving up on the solving mindset), as well as the lack of aw-

areness in society of the *dynamics of insecurity* (people not knowing how to handle criticism and getting offended far too often), leading to more unjust violence tyranny in society due to people also not being passed the first layer (not having the motivation nor knowledge to take smarter paths than unjust violent censorship)… I could go on; I could probably ramble to the point you see it's half this book missing that's the actual core issue causing this *symptom* "elevator ride awkwardness" or whatever. My point is, this is just a *little cookie,* to sell you on anti-censorship.

I could just go list off for hours, the number of issues these foundations solve. The "foundations" listed in the <u>sword</u>. And by "corruption", I don't just mean greed or stereotypes of that word. I mean <u>any</u> symptom, flaw, and problem… "Corruption" simply occurs when you stray from these actual foundations of the best existence possible, and society having significant corruption is just a symptom & result of having strayed from those foundations. Just don't stray… Do you see the bigger picture yet? This literally has the foundations of near utopia; and these are the <u>root solutions</u>. I tried for hours to find the root issues in society, and concluded, the truth is, it's <u>literally just ignorance of this book</u>. Any time you see corruption, it is literally just somebody ignoring some part of the sword or some moral reality law. This book basically just lists all the core issues and core solutions, so <u>ignoring it is the core issue</u>, causing corruption. I guess more accurately, it's "<u>almost</u> any time you see corruption"; That's why it's called "<u>near</u> utopia" not "utopia".

"what are ya doin in my swamp" example of fueling culturally propagated unjust censorship

Let's say you're staying at some friend's house. He has an electrician come over to fix the fridge, and the guy asks you "hey buddy how's it going?" and you answer honestly "I hate this whole society and I want nothing more than to change it". The electrician doesn't reply, and you just walk off. Friend's wife confronts you 10 minutes later saying "we're gonna need you to leave, you're too negative for this household". You try to ask what you did wrong, and all she can say is "we just don't want that negative energy around here", so you ask, "this is because I said I hate this society and want nothing more than to change it?" to which she says "yes, but this *isn't up for debate*. Pack your bags and head out". You might say, "well, it is her house, she should be able to closed-mindedly, unfairly kick you out even if you've blatantly not broken any part of moral reality". And yes, you're right. But this would open her to be validly insulted later, likely by quite a few people, in the right society. *You have to get to the moral reality of a situation*, an unarguable fairest assessment, and be following that, before just forcing someone to do something like pack their bags and leave, otherwise, you risk *breaking* moral reality and opening yourself to be unarguably validly disliked, not just by one person, but by possibly quite a few. That's only what would happen in the right society, with the right culture, based around moral reality, and around this book. But instead, in this current corrupt society, we have accepted this culture of breaking moral reality and accepted straw-man arguments like "oh, but it's her property, so she can break moral reality!" because those <u>blanket law</u> strawmen are able to withstand the lack of valid arguments others in this society will throw at them, because the real arguments against that straw man, stem from what's in this book, since these are the foundations of *near utopia*. Furthermore, us not understanding these dynamics and arguments against people who break moral reality, allowing them to do so unpunished socially, has further propagated a culture of unjust censorship, social anxiety, and fakeness from people fearing being excommunicated unjustly, knowing others <u>won't have the understanding</u> (of this book) to *validly argue* the true moral reality of the situation and expose the injustice of the one doing the censoring, because exposing that requires knowing the true definition of spam in the anti-censorship chapter, knowing about moral reality, knowing the justifications for why this low level of censorship should be allowed (things like the anti-toxicity law of moral reality which states that anyone breaking moral reality and verbally bullying others, can be validly roasted, requiring only that someone be present who understands the moral reality deep enough to uproot it and expose the bullies' idiocy and how that bully is straying from their very own path to max happiness, for example). Basically, people have lacked the understanding and motivation to find the understanding (the argument ammo this book would give you to destroy the strawman arguments holding up all this unjust censorship), people lack that ammo which would let them effectively stand up against and verbally dismember, publicly, any unjust censorship occurring like this, and inflicting the deserved consequences on those inflicting that censorship. So once again, you can see this book will fix this.

I'm saying awareness of moral reality is at an all-time low, causing acceptance of injustices y'all can't argue against, because people lack the info in this book they'd be able to expose this injustice and know the true right path with.

The reason awareness of moral reality is at an all-time low, is likely because your fucking <u>school system</u>, wants that.

Let me put it this way, in this house scenario, if me speaking my mind was truly having a reasonably negative effect to make her justifiably tell me I need to leave, she could put that into an argument *I wouldn't be able to validly refute*. What I'm <u>not</u> saying, is that something being someone's property isn't ever a valid argument. I'm saying this woman is likely oppressing me with unjust censorship, with us not getting to the bottom of the rabbit hole and finding the moral reality. She's likely allowing injustice, unfairness, and definitely risking breaking moral reality. And no, this example didn't actually happen to me in real life. I never spoke up. I stopped myself from giving an honest answer and so this never happened. I've just seen shit like this happen far too often, and this is *certainly* very realistic!

This unjust censorship being reluctantly accepted throughout this corrupt society due to our lack of understanding of this book's contents leading us to not be able to expose the injustice in said censorship, has heavily further propagated the gender attractiveness imbalance, making men far less attractive than they should be able to be, and has even malignantly made its way into our *laws*, giving rise to the acceptance of unjust "hate speech" laws & more. Men are indirectly taught not to be themselves, even if "*themselves*", aligns with moral reality, for fear that someone's too close-minded stupid or tyrannical to go down the rabbit hole and see that it aligns with the moral reality before making an example out of them in front of everyone else, with unjustly censoring them or kicking them out. Sometimes, someone will be "made an example of" not by being kicked from a building but from life, getting killed.

S E L F – D I S C I P L I N E

Gettin' shit done

--- *sword self-discipline* ---

self-discipline overarching philosophy

Work *is* just what's required to reach the end goal: the best existence possible, but the law of motivations beckons you. What matters, is that you're truly following the most _viable_ path to said best existence possible (citing: *path c-onfidence*). So basically yes, the end goal *is* mainly just creating the most fun pleasurable unending life at the end of the day, and your amount of self-discipline *is* just the speed at which you're deciding to do those chores and thus the speed at which you can reach that best existence possible if you succeed without getting game over, *dying*…

 Strong self-discipline isn't supposed to be very hard, but you do _need this book's info_ to obtain _easy_, _true discipline_.

the core _warning_ and _reason_ for self-discipline

Is the law of motivations. If a person witnesses enough of their best existence possible at once, they *will* feel ignited, possibly on an *unimaginable level,* to achieve that existence and make what they saw a reality. This is a _warning_, and I suggest taking it *extremely* seriously… blah blah, blah blah, blah. Yeah go re-read the entry if you need a refresher lmao. Witnessing this law proves this "ignition" as an unimaginably important warning to _never be neglected_.

Motivations like songs, or other sensual enough examples, can *inflict* the *impact of the law of motivations* onto a p-erson. A motivations' impact is a heavy enough display and thus reminder of what you truly want to be pursuing most. Ignoring it _will_ lead to further horrible regret when you're impacted by it _again_. Live knowing the law of mot-ivations, and the warning it tells you, *no matter the hell around you.* Experiencing it _proves_ to you once again how much you *want* to be disciplined; shows you your most fulfilling path; too many parts of a best existence possible to ignore *without a mental _scab_ forming in you*. And remember, _other_ motivations you haven't seen yet basically a-lways exist, which would impact you unimaginably deeply in their own *possibly _new_ ways*, so don't start thinking y-ou've found all of them, because the likelihood of you being wrong, is high. Still think following it *isn't* worth it? *Ok*:

The urgent burning desire experiencing this law can induce, is *unimaginably _vicious_* at max strength. Ridiculously u-nimaginable. You might think "oh I've felt this law to an extreme level before" and you may have, but I guarantee the *memory* of that impact isn't at all vivid enough to completely re-ignite you to the same exact level as you were when impacted. That's why the law of motivations is _unimaginably_ powerful and should be _respected_ as such at all times. _A memory of being impacted will never be as strong as actually being impacted again_. Therefore, in momen-ts of temptation to waste time, remember this, and how you'd feel impact on *unimaginable* levels; take what you spend your time on as seriously as these warnings tell you to. The more strong motivation examples you find, the more you'll find yourself waking back up; and your sensitivity to motivations depends purely on how much potenti-al you're aware of; *how "passed the first layer" you are*; how many dynamics of the best existence possible you tru-ly understand (use this book). This law *is the most important* thing you'll _ever_ see. *If you saw heaven you'd chase it.*

list of all found reasons to follow the law of motivations/be disciplined

- Escaping the potential hell you could experience, oppressed by unjust, evil people, _torturing_ or killing you. (You would aim to gain the power, in any ways possible, to destroy any evil entity attempting this, ideally.)
- The unjust corruption & hell that less fortunate, good, innocent people are suffering through as we speak. They are people who have as much potential to as anyone else but are too occupied, just trying to survive.
- Making those who've treated you unjustly, inescapably face justice due to society being now uncorrupted.
- Achieving the greatest dreams and existence you possibly can achieve on top of all the things in this book.
- We don't know what happens after death, so, it's a smarter idea to find that answer safely, without dying.
- We don't or may not know all of life's potential yet and what I found _already_ is _unimaginably_ great as it is. After seeing it, you aren't going to want to risk losing it, and especially won't want to risk any kind of hell…
- The "magnetic vampirism" entry displays one of the most powerful if not the most powerful motivations…

you _need_ to be aware of both sides of life's potential, because that will _dammingly prove discipline's worth_

You need to be aware of the level of hell possible in life, not just the level of "heaven" with this book. You need to be aware of both sides of this coin, because you'll realize the true meaning of life, it's a game with great potential for both pleasure and pain, to _extreme_ levels on both ends, and the game is to win the side we obviously prefer. Y-ou should realize the anger you will feel when being oppressed by bad enough tyranny torturing you, the immense _regret_ you'll feel for _not_ maximally trying to follow discipline/the law of motivations to _destroy_ it… The anger you'll feel when someone who's treated you unjustly gets away with murdering you, you'll have failed to purify this worl-d, and achieve justice, you'll have failed to destroy evil. Maybe I just _hate_ evil a lot, or maybe, I've _seen reality fully_.

intelligent discipline basically matters _the most_ in life, according to what the law of motivations will tell you

With discipline, at least you have a chance at becoming powerful enough/advancing technology enough to not be able to be killed or tortured by evil forces. At least you have a chance to secure all of life's potential and become s-uch a powerful force that no evil can oppress you or even attempt to do so. Seeing life's potential(s) convinces you.

Literally nothing else matters without discipline, because discipline is the only way to gain the power to make your life secure enough to where you won't die and lose it. If you're just vulnerable like that to be killed by evil people, nothing else you did, no action, no judged song, no contemplation rabbit hole you solved, no technology you adva-nced will matter without discipline, because _you got game over_ now; we've found no proof of an afterlife. You fail-ed to prevent yourself from dying when the cartel or whatever government retard trying to assassinate you abduc-ts you and has you eventually killed. Discipline is the foundation of anything mattering in life as you can see here, so you should maximize your discipline not maximize these meaningless activities since none of those activities are going to mean anything to you when you're dead. So, you need to focus on making sure you can't die unless you want to, and then you can focus on those activities. By meaningless activities, I literally mean anything that isn't g-oing to make you (physically,) senator Armstrong from metal gear. I'm aware you'll only stop calling me insane for thinking this when you witness enough of the law of motivations like I have… I don't care, and I am still saying it all.

Obviously, there are priorities in the path to the best existence possible. Just take the most intelligent & viable path.

- **question:** but if everyone is that powerful, won't evil people just develop weapons equally as powerful? And won't there be some constant battle for power due to us not trusting each other & constant fighting?

Evil doesn't align with our paths to max happiness, and this will be known as a law of reality. They're _outnumbered_. Everyone will probably pursue power but there will be so many using it for good and to destroy evil… Good luck lol. _When you uncorrupt human nature to this extent by making fairness align with everyone's best interest so heavily…_

Yep, when it comes down to it, the number of individuals on any given team, is what'll determine who is victorious, since everybody will already possess the dynamics to being maximally motivated in becoming their legendary self… So I'm saying, due to *reality itself,* the larger team simply will inevitably be the one founded on morality & fairness. We will advance technology faster, we will diversify our efforts more, and thus find more knowledge, I could go on. The only big barrier is making sure the masses are truly educated on moral reality and how it aligns with happiness. Literally it's foundationally, logically stupid to *not* follow moral reality in almost all scenarios, & people will know it.

chapter "51" – the law of motivations is a <u>multi-faceted</u> and <u>more horrifying</u> warning than you are likely aware…

Take that title seriously, because it is 100% accurate. It sounds like some hyperbole, but I assure you, it's not one at all. When I say multi-faceted warning, what I mean is, you won't potentially only feel extreme levels of sadness and regret and emotions of that *color* from not following it… You'll feel extreme versions of other colors of emotions, absolutely terrible ones that are terrible in a way sadness and regret isn't— one such potential emotion is the most extreme degree of embarrassment and thus self-hatred, feeling like an absolute clown to the most emotionally self-destructive extent from seeing what you chose to give up on, letting yourself lie to yourself to go procrastinate doing something you're now seeing was *infinitely* less desirable than following the law of motivations' warning, now seeing all you are now going to lose, via dying. Another emotion is, of course, the extreme degree of anger and rage, potentially spawning from you being tied to a wall, tortured, and killed by some pestilent, evil person; the type of death you'd expect the victim to return as some "vengeful spirit" from or some shit… That death, obviously, very well may have not been your fault, but the rage here is occurring because you didn't gain enough power to obliterate said evil in the first place, and I am thus only citing to you a reminder of the angle of the law of motivations that tells us why to gain the power to completely destroy/cure all evil and tyranny as effectively and quickly as we can, the motivation being to avoid this "*color*" of emotional 'hell' happening from not succeeding in following the law of motivations in that quest, and thus being captured, tortured and killed by such an 'embodiment of evil'. The embarassment "*color*" of life's emotional hell, *would* be your fault, and this rage "color" may less directly be your fault through you, in that moment of torture, reflecting on the procrastination you'd committed… And guess what, it'll *lead* to that embarrassment being inflicted on top of this rage as a result, especially when this pestilent evil insect is mocking you while you're chained to the wall, about to die or be tortured by them. You'll be thinking about "why did I ever let myself waste even a single second not gaining the power to utterly dominate and destroy all the evils like this, if *that,* was the only difference between me ripping this insect apart and being chained to a wall, be raped and then killed by them…" (assume this example person (insulted as "insect") is a hypothetical embodiment of true evil and deserves such punishment as being ripped apart or whatever, of course). Do you realize the kind of emotional torture that can be inflicted on you? It's not just physical dismemberment or whatever stereotypical hell you're probably thinking; it can & will get much worse, especially on the psychological/emotional torture side of things.

When I said, "life is a <u>game</u> with great potential for pleasure & great potential for suffering", I wasn't exaggerating.

Another angle may be the extreme degree of *panic* you can feel from seeing the amount of potential you're losing. This "color" of emotional hell is going to occur when you witness enough of that potential in one place to be impacted on an extreme level, once again, by the overall image you're seeing. In other words, this color of emotional hell occurs when a person who has no hope left, experiences the law of motivations again. This is extremely painful, because this person now has no hope of achieving that extremely impactful potential, so when they are impacted by it, instead of what they used to feel (extreme *motivational* panic), they're now feeling: panic from hopelessness. It's like the type of feeling you'd get as a *kid* when on a vacation in another country and lost your parents, in public.

chapter "51" – songs etc. can induce these emotional extremes/the different "colors" of the law of motivations

You'll see these different extremes of these "colors" in songs, as well. There can be a song which mocks someone's laziness to such an extreme level, that it inflicts them with the extreme of rage and the extreme of embarrassment I talked about (if the song is perceived in the right way. That can be accomplished by the right perception being communicated in some way. I'd just verbally say everything). For example, a song I've found to potentially be able to do this to an extreme level (those particular emotions), is called "Parade" (from "Paprika") by Susumu Hirasawa. That song is a single example of one that _can_ be used as a weapon inducing the extremes of rage and embarassment, if it is used and communicated *as a criticism*, obviously with *valid enough* verbal criticism *backing* the emotional aura the song already portrays (note: I'm not Japanese, the lyrics aren't what I pay attention to at all, but rather the emotional atmosphere the melody is communicating). I am saying, that emotional atmosphere, when combined with gruesomely _valid_ criticism (anti-toxicity teachings), can induce the 'criticized' with the extremes of rage and embarassment. And I am saying, emotional extremes like those two, are plentiful in numbers…: The law of motivations can appear via many "faces" and "colors" of *motivation*, this one song "Parade", just induces two of them, rage and embarrassment… There are others that can be inflicted on you or others to extreme levels equally as powerful.

What matters is that the one feeling these two extremes of rage and embarrassment, knows how to handle them in a way that follows moral reality. These extremes are telling you "follow the law of motivations", not to '*explode'*. This criticism enraging you combined with this song, to create the most damming, horribly accurate picture of this color of the law of motivations in front of you, is not telling you to commit injustice out of rage. It's telling you, this clown its proving you as; so dammingly & painfully showing you've become, this, is why you need to go _fix_ yourself. It's telling you to apply the dynamics of insecurity, accept the reality of the mistakes you've made and remember this 'color' of the law of motivations it has now shown you to such an extreme extent… This singular fucking "color", of the _many that exist_, which are _all_ the reasons to follow the law of motivations, *unimaginably* powerful together.

Unimaginably powerful _together_, because each extreme of each 'color' is already impactful. Together, they're…☠

For example, "ODESZA – A Moment Apart", may induce, aside from "Parade", the extreme of _motivational_ panic (that song "A Moment Apart" is currently the most clear representation of the law of motivations' _motivational_ impact I know of having judged over 20,000 songs). I'm just giving you a clear warning. You _will_ fucking chase all of this when you see it. Anyone would. These are only a _couple_ of the colors of the emotional extremes possible within it. The real-life potential to back such impact from these songs, exists, and is outlined in this book. It is simply reality… Just be aware of the legion of many 'colors' the law of motivations can appear in and know their combined impact.

You _should_ be able to get almost the _entire_ color palette of these extremes from songs alone, after judging enough. Ones I can think you won't get from songs, are… The ones only obtained involving the entry, "magnetic vampirism". The magnetic vampirism extreme _can be_ particularly painful, absolutely feral shit if you are _losing_ all that potential.

I say the law of motivations is unimaginably powerful because each of these 'colors' has an extreme, and each of those extremes inflicts its own flavor of *extreme _motivation_*, and when you experience more than one of those at once… I mean come on… It is genuinely unimaginable (I've experienced it, so I'd think I'd know). This level of extreme motivational impact is what undeniably proves the extremity of the warning of the law of motivations and proves you want to follow it. The only barrier, is *you experiencing that impact yourself*. The recipe is outlined in the entry "dynamics of motivational impact". There is a very good reason I called the law of motivations "the most important thing in the entire vexyricon". I'm warning you, the validity, and extremity of this warning, _needs_ to be seen.

One last thing—"Parade" brings _me_ joy, through seeing it as a mocking & effective weapon against my oppressors, instead of _annoyance_, since I am not fitting the label of being the "victim of the criticism" I hear it 'communicating'.

It all ties back to understanding those criticisms first— understanding the foolishness in my oppressors' mindset, by understanding how moral reality aligns with everyone's path to max happiness they're straying from, among more. It's just the dynamics of "roasting" entry in anti-toxicity, that's all, and combining it with the song's emotional aura.

the foundations of <u>true</u> self-discipline

Since your *true* life purpose is to achieve the best existence, the foundations of *true* self-discipline, are these: <u>*seeing enough*</u> *of life's* <u>*potential*</u>*, and knowing genuinely you can make that potential a reality* <u>*permanently*</u> *(immortality).* Without that belief strong, you may be motivated to achieve all that potential, but there'll be an *underlying infection* in you, over the apparent inevitable ending to your existence: death. This "death depression" *infects self-discipline like a disease,* since you'll *know* you can only experience some bullshit *"free trial"* of life's potential, even if you can make it all a reality; and we've found no proof of an afterlife nor reincarnation. Only those who *genuinely* and *constantly* progress on a *believable* path to make all of life's potential a reality truly and permanently by even solving death, will <u>*truly*</u> have a belief in *truly* staying disciplined, because only then will they *genuinely believe it matters* & is *therefore definitely worth having discipline in the subject of achieving the best existence possible* (which might I remind you, includes this person truly understanding and being allegiant to fairness in almost all scenarios, *further hinting* this <u>*is*</u> how we were meant to be from the beginning). They will see how worthwhile and possible it is to achieve this *unending, reliable & stable* existence with all the potential they've now seen. Unfortunately for some older folks, this is bad news. Although, you *were* born into existence once, so *maybe* it'll happen again, who knows? Anyways, I call this <u>*true*</u> self-discipline, because it's tied to your <u>*true*</u> life purpose, achieving the best existence possible (It's *literally the* <u>*most motivating path you can find in life*</u> as a person). So, I call it this because it's the path you were *meant* to take, and your desire to procrastinate on this path will be *lower* than <u>*any other path*</u> you've taken. That's real *"path confidence".* These are just the two *foundations* of *true self-discipline*, not all the dynamics.

Finally, the third "unofficial" foundation, is the <u>*law of pain*</u>*;* <u>*"work smarter, not harder"*</u>*. The key to "easy" discipline.*

justifications for: foundations of <u>true</u> self-discipline

- **explanation of:** the foundations of *true* self-discipline, are these: <u>*seeing enough*</u> *of life's* <u>*potential, and k…*</u>

By "seeing enough of life's potential", I basically mean *passing the first layer*. But you can keep passing it further...

- **explanation of:** your desire to procrastinate on this path will be lower than <u>any other path</u> you've taken...

I'm referring, of course, to paths you had to <u>*use*</u> self-discipline on; unfun "chore" paths you had to forcefully walk…

path confidence

Path confidence can be defined as "*how confident you are that your path is your* <u>*most viable*</u> *path to achieving the best existence possible".* Lacking path confidence, can be one <u>*major*</u> & <u>*elusive*</u> cause of temptation to procrastinate.

Ever wondered why you procrastinate on schoolwork? It's majorly because deep down, you're not <u>*confident*</u> enough the schoolwork is a part of your <u>*most viable path to your best existence possible*</u>. Deep down, you want to be chasing that existence; your true (*solving mentality*) dreams. But alas, y'all would rather create entire industries around trying to *force* yourselves to do things you *don't actually want to do nor should have to do if it weren't for* <u>*tyranny*</u>, without actually confronting the underlying *lack* of path confidence in you tied to *drum roll* the hopelessness mindset you've been taught to accept. Y'all really live with a mindset that blocks out the true meaning of life due to you accepting hopelessness then go wonder why the inner child in you just sees life as a temporary joyride mainly focused on maximizing dopamine before your complete *end*, not caring about <u>*true*</u> problem solving nor eternal, truly motivating & fulfilling dreams and goals; instead you just take some unmotivating *cookie cutter* copy/paste *career just meant to make you your money* so you can just *milk more instant gratification from life* all to <u>*run from*</u> and <u>*distract*</u> <u>*yourself*</u> from the existential crisis you <u>*gave up on confronting*</u>. Look deep. We're currently a society based on (often far too selfish) *max instant gratification*; *not on max fulfillment nor purpose*, and *definitely not on the purpose of creating the best existence possible*. This <u>*hopelessness*</u>, and <u>*not having seen life's potential*</u>, are the two foundational things we lack <u>*killing*</u> self-discipline (as the *foundations of true self-discipline* entry <u>*already stated*</u>).

That big rant though, is one reason I say most people in this society are depressed at the core. They're even taught from childhood that these institutions like college and getting a good paying job are to be the epitome of their focus, all these bullshit distractions from their actual core life purpose, which is to create the best existence possible (of which this book outlines enough _unifying_ foundations of, which we would agree on, all the other aspects are unproblematic and individual preferences, and if they present a problem, we are to solve it, it's really pretty simple; if this book hasn't successfully solved something, you don't throw it away genius, we'll work on solving that thing).

path speed vs. risk

If you pick too _safe_ and/or _slow_ of a path, you can infect yourself with depression from not making progress quick enough since deep down (even if deep in your subconscious), _you'll know you're wasting time._ For example, I couldv'e spent 4 years in college to get a degree and financial stability, and I chose to drop out to write this book, working a minimum wage job, contemplating/problem solving to myself at work, basically writing this book at work. So, sometimes, the true most viable path may have people telling you you're insane, but you should only reconsider it _if_ they (or even you) share _valid_ _arguments against your path, that you_ _can't_ _argue_. I've made more progress than and am happier than I would've ever been staying in college 4 years wasting energy learning math I don't _currently_ need for these goals. In fact, I firsthand experienced this "lack of path speed depression" when I was in college, _giving in to my fear_ of this corrupt tyrannical society/economy instead of confronting it and finding the true most viable yet speedy path to undermining the shit. I fixed that depression by choosing to try and dismantle this tyranny faster instead, speed-running the true, ultimate goal. So just keep path confidence and path speed in the back of your mind, _always_. With it I've made ridiculous progress on my _real path_, so I'm now fulfilled at ridiculous levels I'd never have seen if I was appeasing this tyranny or wasting my time on some _overly safe_ path. You must be _fearless_ sometimes and confront what you really, deep down, think is the most viable path you _truly_ want, and the law of motivations will _damningly_ prove to you what you want most, _beware_. You must recognize all these extra bullshit wastes of time that don't align with your speed-running path to the best existence possible, as just that: wastes of time. You might find yourself forced into doing those wastes of time anyways, under _tyranny_ in its different forms... But never lose sight of the reality this book has now awakened you to, for your own sake. Be absolutely and maximally _honest_ with yourself, especially because of the law of motivations. When I say maximally honest, I mean you must consider even the most outrageous (but morally acceptable) paths: if you find you lack path speed, you're likely ready to take an _acceptably_ _riskier_, but _faster_ path, if it's more viable and _intelligent_. But do remember, it's important that you don't take _unintelligent_ risks. Like don't _die_, but don't waste time on too much of a "safe but slow" path you know is unnecessarily slow. It'll make you depressed. That's the main idea here. The most viable path for you to be taking, is the path with the most _intelligent_ combination of riskiness & speed. That's basically the _formula_ to "path viability": _max intelligent (healthy) risk + max intelligent (healthy) speed = max intelligent viability_.

Path speed and risk are simply two important measurements which need to be balanced properly, to find the most viable, emotionally satisfying path toward the best existence possible for you to take, to be most motivated to take and subconsciously feel the least desire to procrastinate on said path as possible. You find the most viable path understood clearly enough by yourself, and you will find it's the path you have the least desire to procrastinate on. The formula to path viability, is: max intelligent (healthy) risk + max intelligent (healthy) speed = max (healthy) viability. You want to maximize path viability, and make sure you clearly understanding the exact viability so you aren't letting yourself be blind, in order to maximize your path confidence, on top of course of the normal "using motivations to wake yourself back up", and the other things mentioned in this book. This, and path confidence, are crucial.

jog but don't sprint unless it's an emergency

To avoid the exhaust and potential _burnout_ from sprinting toward whatever goals you have, if you've got a choice, jog intelligently but painlessly toward accomplishing goals, rather than sprinting towards them. To jog "intelligentl-

y" means to just *follow the law of pain,* and do things in an efficient way. Aim to remain *calm* and *focused* on your goals, even when you're contemplating impossible-seeming issues. Sprinting *isn't* just limited to your *speed*; avoid sprinting by over-exerting yourself, and instead of procrastinating at any level when tired, try to fall asleep. If you can't, then aim to keep jogging. When you feel tempted to procrastinate, you *conference* with yourself until you truly *conclude that conference* with your next path step *clear* to you with *little to no temptation fog blocking your confidence in that path*. Sometimes, taking another look at your strongest motivations can refresh your discipline. I know the law of motivations will make you "feel like chasing them at max speed", but it doesn't *say* to *do* that! All we can and *should* do, is follow the most intelligent, *viable* path we *can*, and *not* burning out *is* a piece of that path.

(this entry has been sponsored and brought to you by the law of pain™; the law of pain™, approves this message)

passing the second layer *gasp, shiver me timbers* (it is something I don't expect anybody to do)

Passing the second layer is a point at which you realize that you want to become basically perfect in terms of self-discipline, legitimately pursuing your *actual* maxed-out self. This is definitely possible, in fact after trying it, I'm pretty sure burnout is just a lack of self-discipline (except for the type that's due to the physical limitations of your muscles/body. I'm referring to burnout in the sense of you getting that feeling like you don't care about your motivations anymore and just want to procrastinate, I'm saying I'm pretty sure that's stoppable via seeing and training enough law of motivations exposure in yourself, I'm saying this because I think I've achieved this before). I know you might ask now, "is the law of motivations actually telling people to become this way". The answer is an extremely loud *yes*, but I don't expect anybody to go this far. When you see a strong enough representation of the law of motivations, you will see this "yes", but especially at this time, we still have a lot of risk of death looming over us, so expecting anyone to be fully disciplined just doesn't seem fair, considering all that work can just be ended, by death.

To do this, for any of you actually insane mother fuckers out there… I just made some laminated pocket note cards. They had short phrases that reminded me to stay passed the second layer, I had one in my pocket at all times, 24/7. Its phrase just had to be maximally impactful, maybe trigger that powerful of a law of motivations impact memory.

useful tool – conferencing until your discipline (or more likely path confidence) is restored

Self-discipline being hard isn't a signal *not* to follow self-discipline, it's a signal to conference with yourself; have a talk confronting everything stopping you or hindering your emotional state and will to be disciplined, until your path is re-proven clearly enough to you again to fully enough restore your path confidence, perhaps motivation, and to make the choice to be disciplined an easy and clear one to take. This seems to work pretty well when I'm having those days where I just don't give a shit about anything anymore. What I find is that often, I just need to vent, because my emotions are in a very unhappy state, and some matter *needs* to be addressed whether it be causing elusive anger, sadness, depression, whatever. The point is, whatever is emotionally causing you to stop caring about discipline (and it's definitely an emotional thing, because logic would have you simply staying disciplined since it's intelligent), whatever emotion it is stopping your discipline, needs to be found by you having this blunt venting conference and telling yourself those full feelings, to therapize, solve, and logically clarify the actual path to fixing those emotions, or to actual max happiness. You might need to locate enough undeniable reasoning behind why you need and want to stay disciplined, if say, this *isn't* sloth rooted in negative emotions, but rather some unexplainable sudden lack of care or possible burnout (though, burnout is an emotional state issue actually). One good main tactic I used, was making a list of grand reasons for discipline, to read during those times, but that isn't the only option.

It was real similar to the "list of reasons to follow the law of motivations" in this book, but more personal and blunt.

Keep in mind, conferencing effectively certainly requires a deep enough understanding to be able to logically conclude and successfully argue against yourself and your laziness in favor of returning to discipline, as well as requiring

potentially previous heavy experiences with the law of motivations, a level of impact you saw so extreme that it proves so clearly to you that being disciplined and taking the law of motivations seriously is so clearly worth it, that you are mentally scarred (but, not in a bad way) from the impact so you'll simply never forget it & what it told you. An experience like that might just enable you to cite it when you feel lazy and thus act as a "cure". It works for me. Self-discipline really isn't supposed to be hard after you learn all the things in this book. And if it is, you conference.

Yeah, vacations (and procrastination) are great, but you know what's much greater? Having an existence you don't need/or want to escape from. It's sad that we've accepted thinking "self-discipline isn't supposed to be easy & fun". Find path confidence, as maximally as you can, as then you'll be maximally pursuing your maximally viable purpose.

Extra context: the law of pain/leverage is specifically crucial to successful self-discipline, to avoid unnecessary pain. You want to be doing things the most efficiently correct, painless way, not forcing unnecessary pain on yourself, o-therwise, you will grow an urge to procrastinate on that painful activity, dread it, and even develop fear towards it.

Extra context: conferencing with yourself to restore path confidence definitely isn't _always_ just about "forcing you-rself" and just telling yourself "work hard because it's worth it". Like yeah, sometimes it is, and it's true, it is worth it, but _sometimes_ that's _not_ the topic you need to be addressing to truly restore your path confidence. You need to actually have the mindset of getting to the bottom of what really truly is giving you an urge to procrastinate; those subconscious reasons and complaints you haven't yet actually explored or told yourself verbally but still sense the-y're occurring. You need to aim to really find and confront the true topic or reasons/complaints you subconsciously are coming up with to make yourself justify or think you want procrastination. For example, I found myself procras-tinating on writing this book. At first, I kept telling myself "oh come on, you want to do this, everything you see ar-ound you and every conversation you've had with yourself about "how can I find happiness" has literally always led back to writing this book and fixing society". Now that's not a bad point and answer, but it didn't *fix* my urge to pr-ocrastinate. You know why? Because I didn't locate and confront my own _actual_ subconscious logic, reasons and c-omplaints which were the real causes of the urge to procrastinate. Those reasons and complaints, genuinely need to be located, put into clear words, and then successfully blown out of the water argumentatively so effectively th-at you realize, so damn clearly now, that you no longer want to procrastinate, that you genuinely no longer have t-he urge. So, back to my story, I stopped just telling myself "work harder it's worth it" and instead opted to find and confront the actual internal dialogue and reasoning making me feel even slightly justified in or like I "wanted" to p-rocrastinate. My actual reasons in this specific case, happened to be that I felt like "I've done enough work all alon-e for years and made this book clear enough for people to at least see some level of value enough to help by now. I think I deserve a break ". Now, I kinda defeated that logic by going back to view my "law of motivations" examples in my favorite music list etc., but as you can see, that shit was valid enough before I'd confronted it, to the point it was subconsciously causing me to let myself procrastinate, because I hadn't _confronted_ those complaints and that logic I was using against my own discipline yet. So yeah, I hope that example clearly shows you what I mean here. You just wanna deeply explore your emotions' sources and _real reasons_ for feeling the way you do, to actually con-front reality and achieve real _conviction_ by actually overcoming, disproving, blowing out of the water any incorrect and deceitful reasons and feelings by using the more elusive truth that the law of motivations and the rest this bo-ok _will_ hit you with, to restore your self-discipline. Maybe try being completely blunt and honest with yourself in a room away from others so you can speak your mind without censorship. You should be confronting the thing you want and feel you need to be contemplating, the _most_. the real, real pending issue causing this urge to waste time.

sometimes you can, and need, to use impactful, motivating things to help wake yourself back up

Use "exploring the first layer", use the judgement list method, and use the important memories notepad, just as a few examples. The important memories notepad can serve as a place to put descriptions of times you were impac-ted by the law of motivations or had an experience similar to that. The judgement list method can become very p-owerful, especially when you've gotten to the point where your favorite music playlist has *hundreds* of songs in it…

aim to remain awoken to and use your strongest motivations to re-ignite your conviction when viable and able

By "remain awoken to", I mean to always know deep down the truth and potential your strongest motivations showed you, at least logically (considering the only way the law of motivations' impact could be continuous, is if you were continually exposed to more impactful things over and over for eternity, which doesn't seem realistic). Therefore, you really need to be logically aware enough of the law of motivations' warning, despite not "constantly" being able to be impacted 24/7, unendingly. That's why I say "remain awoken" to the impact you've already experienced, by simply knowing that those motivations are the elusive truth and meaning of life, to chase that exists no matter the hell around you, because that's kinda our only option. This is also why I say, keeping your strongest motivations safe and in your possession, downloaded or whatever, is important, to use them to wake back up to the law.

look out for things and people trying to waste your time

This is an important entry about watching out for the scams or wastes of time that distract you from your true purpose. For one example, the dubai immigrant worker scam, where they extort poor immigrant workers to pay to be able to work on massive building projects in dubai, saying it "pays for their visa" when in reality, it's to keep the workers in debt to them and limit their options for escaping unsanitary dubai "work camps"... College will likely waste your time like this as well... Corporate environments and the economy as a whole are like this. Greedy people want to enslave others at a ridiculous scale, and won't care if your purpose is to "create the best existence possible", they will try to distract you and enslave you with so many wastes of time so they can buy their 4th "yacht" or lobby some politician with their now millions of dollars. Sometimes I truly desire to make the shelves look stocked at my workplace even though I'm almost off shift, and then I have to remind myself; "dude, they're just aiming to milk people for as much money as they can, including you, meanwhile not truly caring about achieving a best existence possible for humanity more than they care about just lining their pockets, unlike you. You've got important shit to do and it sure isn't _this_. You've got a vex to write and an operation loudspeaker to enact. I know you want to make it look nice, full, and organized just to be proud of your work, but fuck off, you need to focus on solving what _actually_ matters; _tearing_ this corruption apart, _making all the unjust fucks pay_, and making the system how it's supposed to be, not fueling it. Because, they'll just demand more and more slavery from you". So yeah, watch like a _hawk_ for literally _anyone_ who seems to be trying to waste your time and slow down your speed-running path toward the best existence possible or immortality to "satisfy their blind, instant-gratification & materialism-focused mindset of hopelessness" they accepted and thus try to give you some laundry list of unimportant crap to do, taking you away from your true purpose, and further away from immortality. Not. Fucking. Interested. The american college and education system is a prime example in how it wastes people's years, and I'm _even_ gonna say that about those trying to become doctors and lawyers, because even those guys should be pursuing this vex path. Also, you should keep the path confidence entry in mind, in how the slow path will lead to depression. These lost people only care about money or lobbying or whatever bullshit this book will just _prove_ as a less viable path than this vex path anyways. When I say watch out for wastes of time, that even goes for this book you're reading right now, if hypothetically I'm wrong about something, even though in my opinion this book's information isn't wrong... It did also grow _so_ convincing to me, purely through my own contemplation of it and arguments for and against it, it grew to be _such_ seemingly accurate and powerful information, that I became willing to take what many of this society would call an _insane_ path... So there's that as well. I decided on not doing college, and instead trying to write this book, to duplicate my efforts rather than succumb to wasting my time with this societies' systems any more than I absolutely needed, to advance my path. I had to think outside the box to find a truly fast path, I didn't believe in wasting years for a piece of paper as the "most viable" calculated path, screw that. I contemplated on faster paths to take. This won.

In the dubai scam situation, you'd maybe want to be doing anything you can to write a book like this, to "duplicate yourself" if necessary, or just get the hell out of there... and then do the book thing (this is just my guess, maybe there's better plans, this is just what I came up with). Just watch those putting you up to any work carefully and don't let yourself be enslaved, keep path confidence in the back of your mind: like "yes, I may be working at a grocery

store at the moment, but I'm doing so having thought out my plan a lot as to why I am", and I try to use the time working there to make actual progress (because working there, and making money even, in this society, is not something I even consider progress at all, due to the corruption of this society and unreliability of this trash, joke of a system). Something I do consider productive, which I try to do whilst working here, is contemplation, as I mentioned in the contemplation chapter how it's easier to contemplate when I'm forcefully awake and aware having to stock shelves at work and can truly focus on the issue with my mental attention, because all that's being used is my physical muscles whilst my mind is unused. While contemplating at work, I simultaneously often am also judging around 50+ new songs per work shift as well, so working here isn't too hellish when I'm able to do both those things, actual productive things, because participating in this stupid fucking system is a waste of anyone's time including yours. The only truly productive thing I can imagine you could do is to enact operation loudspeaker in the most *effective* way possible. That's why I told you, and why I too, concluded to only take this book writing/duplication path.

Instead of appeasing this corrupt society/economy, I chose to try and dismantle it faster. Tyranny isn't worth investing in, especially in the scope of the law of motivations. So, just keep path confidence in the back of your mind, always. With it I've made ridiculous progress on my real path; the things that matter, and I'm fulfilled at a deep level, not by some "surface level satisfaction". You must be *fearless* sometimes and confront what you really, deep down, believe is the most viable path you truly want. The law of motivations does already tell you what you want most.

emotional state management

Sometimes, your emotional state may be in pain to the point you can't even make progress and will feel like you want to just curl up in bed and die. The solution is not to just "ignore it and work harder". The solution is that you want to find the time to talk with yourself and identify, truly understand the source of, and find effective solutions for whatever is plaguing your emotional state. Think solving mindset, think law of motivations. This isn't just a method for emergencies, and this book should now definitely give you the ammo and chain reaction I strong enough to solve your problems. Rather than immediately resorting to using alcohol or whatever else as a coping mechanism to "escape" your problems, *especially* after all this book has taught you, you should certainly, reasonably, be able to more immediately resort to problem solving, finding and confronting reality as your "coping mechanism" instead.

Know that dwelling on your hopelessness and depression and self-pity is not an option. It is not gonna get you anywhere, the only thing that will is unwaveringly contemplating true solutions to whatever is depressing you. That's literally the only direction to go, up the ladder, the direction of problem solving. And I don't mean the next day or the day after that, I mean problem solving right now, as long as you're rested sleep-wise, it's time to attack the issue depressing you and find the solution you can use to win, beat it, and restore your hope and your emotional state.

the final step, choosing to be disciplined

There comes a point in self-discipline when you've matured enough from finding enough *motivations* and matured enough in the methodology of being productive in an easy, *law of pain* way of "working smarter not harder" to the point the one thing maintaining self-discipline depends on, is your *choice* to maintain it and to maintain your focus.

<-=->

EXTREME POSITIVE ENERGY

The opposite of anti-toxicity, only fully usable for certain people. More sunshine and (rain)bows than anti-toxicity.

<-=- =->

--- sword extreme positive energy ---

extreme positive energy description

This is basically the opposite of anti-toxicity. Anti-toxicity takes the approach of validly criticizing those who break moral reality, while extreme positive energy takes the path of being maximally understanding toward others, in basically all scenarios. The philosophy of anti-toxicity is like "it's not always wrong to hate someone" whilst the philosophy of extreme positive energy is like "it's never wrong not to hate someone". Both are true, yet take different approaches to how moral reality is followed. Anti-toxicity takes the path of anger when injustice/fracturing of moral reality happens, whilst extreme positive energy takes the path of sadness from understanding the whole picture of the potential for happiness being lost and the whole picture of the confusion evil people have and their lostness.

> *There are still majorly useful lessons to be learned from the perspective of EPE, even if you follow anti-toxicity.*

> *There are still majorly useful lessons to be learned from the perspective of anti-toxicity, even if you follow EPE.*

warning: genuine self's compatibility

An incompatible person can use extreme positive energy or *act like it <u>to an extent</u>* without being uncomfortable, but it takes a special kind of person who's actually compatible with extreme positive energy to <u>not be uncomfortable</u> using it to its <u>maximum</u> extent: things will get uncomfortable when someone who's genuine self gravitates more toward anger, is being told by their extreme positive energy to now start *shedding tears* and such... Gets awkward.

A compatible person's core genuine self and personality they genuinely prefer to have, gravitates more toward sadness in the face of injustice, than anger. My theory for *why* this personality is so non-existent in this corrupt society, is because to be that way, requires deep enough understanding; and that level of understanding is non-existent in this society. People in this society are just so heavily uneducated on the things in this book and thus lack understanding. So, even people born *compatible* with this trait, grew up learning to gravitate toward anger, because they had no other way to vent their emotions... The level of understanding required was simply not found by anyone in society nor did it seem possible to find it, likely *because* one of the *first core ingredients* is the <u>solving mindset</u> which they'd likely be called insane for advocating for, so achieving this extreme positive energy <u>fully</u> (the <u>most magnetic</u> version of their genuine core personality) was seemingly impossible to them. Rest easy, knowing this: their core self hasn't just "become" anti-toxicity. It's still likely leaning *just as much* more toward favoring extreme positive energy. They just lack the main ingredient to even be able to <u>have</u> and <u>use</u> the shit: extremely deep understanding...

Think of it like this: to even be able to truly have "<u>extreme</u> positive energy" requires "<u>extreme</u> deep understanding".

If your genuine self gravitates more toward anger, you're probably more compatible with anti-toxicity. Due to this, extreme positive energy is almost what I'd call a more "*feminine*" trait, while perhaps anti-toxicity is a more "*masculine*" leaning one. There's no actual power/intelligence difference between either; you're *not* weaker if you're more naturally compatible with extreme positive energy, and not stronger if you're more compatible with anti-toxicity. The only difference between these two, is how they achieve justice and react to injustice. Both try to be moral...

evil people are <u>almost</u> always just unaware of the true path to max happiness

This is a *major enabler* of extreme positive energy. It's made possible by the fact that moral reality *almost* always aligns with *everyone's* individual path to max happiness. So, those *breaking* moral reality, are almost always just *unaware of the true path to max happiness*. An EPE human magnet uses this philosophy to *enable* their preferred emotional nature of caring and understanding toward others rather than the path anti-toxicity magnets usually take to achieve justice (which is to usually just slam *valid* verbal artillery into the evil person's ego and reputation). The reason this enables EPE, is because it takes the place of anti-toxicity's verbal artillery; it acts as a primary path for EPE magnets to achieve peace and true justice, *while still maintaining this preferred emotional outlook of genuine caring and being maximally understanding toward others.* You might ask, "how does this lead to peace & justice?":

It can, because EPE magnets take the path of informing/educating the evil person about the true path to max happiness, and EPE magnets <u>know</u> they must *first* achieve a deep enough understanding *of said true path* to max happiness to even achieve this and educate/reach the evil person logically. This isn't really hard; you just need a deep enough understanding to truly provide a path said evil person <u>sees</u> they <u>truly</u> *prefer*… Your peace as an EPE magnet simply depends on whether the fact that moral reality aligns with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios *is in fact a fact*, <u>which it is</u>. So, this is a viable path for EPE magnets who have this understanding, because:

True justice can be achieved with this. This is because, remember; the definition of moral reality is: "the fairest assessment of a situation", and if the *true* fairest assessment of a situation aligns with the evil person's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios, that means the *fixing* or *repayment* of damages the evil person *sees* they validly owe for, <u>will</u> be understood and agreed to be repaid/fixed by them, or else the moral reality wouldn't be being fully followed/fulfilled; they *wouldn't be achieving max happiness* and would *feel the consequences* of that... I know you're gonna ask "why would they willingly repay victims of the damage they caused?" It *goes back to why* I said moral reality can almost align with everyone's path to max happiness at all *in the first place*, way back in the *moral reality chapter.* So here's a couple reasons I'll remind you of: for one, think about human connection and how crucial it is for one's happiness; think about self-esteem and self-image and how awareness that moral reality almost always aligns can now make you internally aware of your own stupidity and how that can affect self-image. Think about how people in this society, (now maxed out human magnets who are actually likeable and admirable) will have valid reason to dislike and validly criticize this evil person for the unfixed/unrepaid damage they caused, if they simply decide not to fix it or give victims what they're owed. Think about the court system and jail time, think about how good life will be in this *uncorrupt* society and all this evil person would be missing out on by being a valid enemy of it… Do I need to explain any further? If you need more, go read the original entry in the *moral reality chapter* called "*moral reality can almost always align with everyone's path to max happiness*" explaining the other reasons.

understanding how you're making others feel

An EPE magnet doesn't want to *genuinely* hurt others or their feelings. Key word being *genuinely* (they might playfully tease for example, but it comes from a place of genuine care, never any intention of being an attack at all). You might say, "well won't EPE magnets be unfunny?". No; an EPE magnet does express humor, just in a way that takes an attempted full understanding of others' pain, perspectives, and the effects of that humor on their feelings into account on a more *sensitive* level. Keep in mind that, deeper emotional intelligence and intelligence in general, can give you a deeper ability to avoid actually hurting your target's feelings, whilst still having quality intelligent humor.

Yes, as an EPE magnet, you *might* reach a point where you can't avoid saying something like "you're wasting your life" with your emotions and worry for the person's well-being peaked and tears coming down your face for example. But those words aren't an attempted attack at all, but rather *fully* coming from a place of caring and concern, and *just as importantly*, from a place of *maximum understanding,* meaning there is no resentment for them in you…

Anti-toxicity magnets will just ignore this entry, rather only caring about understanding how moral reality "feels".

understanding others' pain on top of understanding their lostness

This is a _minor_ _aiding tool_ for extreme positive energy. It is to also understand the shit people are going through _or may be going through_ to perhaps drive them to do what they're doing (if say, it's morally wrong). Truly understanding others' perspectives in this sense and what they're going through emotionally can replace your capacity for anger toward them with deeper empathy or sympathy, and so this acts as yet another _avenue_ for an EPE magnet being able to maintain their preferred "caring and understanding" nature toward others in any situation they get in.

understanding others potential

Seeing people for how legendary they can become rather than just seeing them for their immediate flaws, can act as yet another significant avenue for enabling the care and understanding of an EPE magnet. In other words, lacking understanding of human potential can increase your capacity for feeling discriminatory prejudice towards people when seeing their current flawed self, whereas seeing someone for their maximum potential despite their current apparent flaws can replace that capacity for discrimination/prejudice with _deeper empathy_ & _care_ toward them.

So, someone who has this trait to an _extreme_ level, by becoming aware of the _extreme_ amounts of human potential that exists, _can_ feel an _extremely_ deeper love, care, and empathy toward people, thus… = more _extreme_ positive energy. See the connections yet? I underlined them for you genius… Deepening your understanding is the _main key_ to extreme positive energy. In understanding human potential this deeply, EPE magnets can find more empathy even towards people who everyone else may hate; and yes, this trait can be strengthened to extreme levels, since the max amount of potential humans have as individuals, in reality, _is extreme_. So, just become understanding/knowledgeable enough about that potential _on an equally extreme level_. This is kind of exactly like how _passing the first layer_ works; knowing as much potential exists as you can by, say, _reading the dynamics in this book_ for example (this book _lists common aspects_ of everyone's "_maxed_ out" self), and then now being able to imagine what others would look like having achieved said maxed self, even if they're currently not maxed (who the hell is, anyways?). In the same sense, passing the first layer works by seeing this potential not only in others from reading this book, but in all aspects of society, since this book lists not only human traits, but societal ones like the best economy possible… All relevant aspects of the best _existence_ possible. Seeing how the two are similar? I am. This is a _major_ EPE aid.

People in a ditch may want to get out of it, but it's harder to when people only treat them like they belong there 🙁

extreme positive energy is not forced nor acting

No part of extreme positive energy is about faking emotions, because it's based on _real convictions_; it's based on understanding realities, to open you to the _ability_ and _option_ to be _understanding_, _empathetic_, and _caring_ to a max level if you so _choose_ to be. Extreme positive energy _is a _choice_, it gives you the _ammo_ in the form of _understanding_ to _be able_ to choose to have it in _all scenarios_, but the choice to use that understanding path it gives you the option of taking, is _up to your preference_. In everything involved in EPE, the EPE magnet is this way because it's just their genuine self, the way they genuinely _prefer_ to be and are _most comfortable_ being. That's why I say this personality isn't for everyone, it must align with your genuine personality. EPE magnets are understanding because they _want_ to be maximally understanding. Other magnets aren't because they _don't care_ to be, and that's okay. They want to be a good person but they're fine with hating unjust people. EPE magnets just _aren't_ fine with hating people.

_Extreme positive energy simply gives you the ammo to walk its path. How much of that path you walk is up to you… Basically, you may not prefer to go full EPE. Or you might. What I'll say is, _full_ EPE magnets are _extremely magnetic_._

putting yourself in others' perspectives to a maxed extent can be painful

Most people "understand others' perspectives" by stopping at just seeing their pain from an outside lens, like "oh, sucks for you bro…", but if a person is *dying for example*, being a *maximally understanding* EPE magnet, would result in you understanding who this person can or could have been, the *full picture* of their potential and what's being lost in the scope of the <u>law of motivations</u>, <u>almost</u> as if the EPE magnet *themselves* were the one dying and thus *losing all the potential of the law of motivations, themselves. This* is one aspect of what being "maximally understanding" *means*. This is choosing to operate at the very *deepest* level of understanding of others' *perspectives*, and t-his, is why EPE can be more emotionally painful to fully operate on. After learning of all the human potential and a-venues for understanding that exist, caring about others to this level *becomes enabled as a path you can <u>choose</u> to* follow or not: the EPE chapter provides the *ammo* to be that caring, ammo in the form of teaching avenues of und-erstanding so effective they enable this level of care. But remember, choosing *not* to take EPE's path, *is* morally ok.

tactical, strategic <u>intelligence</u> <u>still</u> matters <u>the absolute most</u> in life

For example, even for an EPE magnet, if an evil individual is too much of an immediate threat to innocent people's lives, the evil person may need to be physically (mobility-wise) disabled or killed before causing more harm, in def-ense. An EPE magnet might be maximally understanding and caring, but that *doesn't* mean they're going to be <u>uni-ntelligent</u> or weak <u>in any way</u>, nor have a heart attack after having to defend themselves... *Not only* should they be understanding of others, but also understanding of what's *truly* most important, *because of the <u>law of motivations</u>*: tactical, strategic intelligence, *must* take priority above all, even for an EPE magnet. And when I say tactical and str-ategic, I don't just mean "*in the moment*", I mean *maximum* strategic intelligence <u>all the way</u> down to your *very co-re life plans, blueprints, and overall goals* for achieving a *best existence possible*. Being maximally understanding of the person you just had to kill for example, *doesn't* negate the fact that *you* yourself have an unimaginably motiva-ting best existence possible you must create above *literally everything else in life*, and the fact you *can't do that* if you let yourself be *murdered*, especially on top of *knowing the law of motivations* and having *passed the first layer*. Literally <u>anyone's</u> legendary selves' admirability depends, at an *extremely vital* level, on the level of tactical intellig-ence they operate on, in every area of life from overall life plan to each small situation they encounter. You admire *winners*, and as I've said, *moral reality almost always aligns with everyone's path to max happiness*, so an EPE ma-gnet can be a good, intelligent person, and *still a winner*, in almost all scenarios. An EPE magnet isn't just some cry-baby who gets emotionally overwhelmed and has to take a breather or some shit, tactical, strategic intelligence sti-ll trumps literally everything in their life simply *because of the <u>law of motivations</u>* and takes just as central a role in *igniting an EPE magnet's <u>focus</u> & <u>self-discipline</u>* to exactly *as extreme a level as any anti-toxicity magnet, anywhere.*

care and concern instead of anger

Genuine EPE magnets have this path *enabled*, because genuine care and concern toward others is *enabled* as a ch-oice; enabled by their preference and knowledge of the paths to achieving true justice without hatred, and enable-d by their awareness of, and focus on, human potential. For example, when someone's unjustly toxic toward an E-PE magnet, they may try to understand their pain, asking "what's wrong", because they're picking up potential exc-essive negative emotion in this seemingly unjust person and asking with genuine care and concern instead of trying to roast them, because that's their genuine self. They don't say it passive-aggressively or any bullshit like that eith-er; it's *genuine* care and *genuine* lack of anger enabled by the *genuine* understanding via the realities this chapter *teaches*. Everything in EPE is genuine. If you're preferring to be passive aggressive, the likelihood is that your genui-ne self fits more with *anti-toxicity* than EPE. This route of care and concern is simply EPE being EPE, at the very lea-st as an attempt at understanding an unjust person's pains, perspective, and what's going on, since they truly care.

Anti-toxicity: "I get so fed up with toxic types like her". EPE: "I wonder how we can become better friends with her".

handling verbal bullying

To handle unjust verbal bullying, an EPE magnet would take the aspects of anti-toxicity that *don't* involve hatred or "verbal artillery", and instead applies those aspects in a genuinely maximally understanding and caring way. Things like the dynamics of insecurity, or the Socratic method, as well as finding the moral reality of situations (keeping in mind that moral reality almost always aligns with *everyone's* path to max happiness). With the dynamics of insecurity alone, an EPE magnet can handle basically any verbal criticism, but on top of that, an EPE magnet showing care and concern, will actually aim to understand why the unjust person is behaving unjustly and help them solve their pains if the EPE magnet's own path to max happiness allows it, due to having *genuine* care for others; very friendly.

The level of <u>understanding of others</u> this chapter teaches, is exactly what <u>enables</u> this level of <u>caring toward others</u>.

sadness instead of anger

This is the true nature of those who <u>actually</u> gravitate toward preferring to have EPE over anti-toxicity. *Every human* must take either the path of *anger* or the path of *sadness* as a way to <u>actually</u> vent the emotions they get from experiencing unjust pain, <u>on top of</u> applying the default and *obvious* path of using problem solving and intelligent action to fully achieve justice. Problem is, *sadness instead of anger* isn't going to achieve justice, <u>unless</u> you pair it with all the anger-less paths this chapter *teaches you about*; things like the entry on "educating bullies on the actual path to max happiness when they're obviously straying from it by committing injustice", for example. That's a path to potentially achieve justice without anger... So, with enough of these paths now opened to you by this chapter explaining them, sadness is truly enabled as an option to express in place of anger, and is the venting path *aligned* to EPE's preferred nature of being caring and maximally understanding instead of toxic. In other words, you've needed a deep understanding of the paths to justice in this chapter which don't involve the verbal artillery or hatred of anti-toxicity to *ever even be able* to use sadness instead of anger in the first place, since you're no longer an infant whose mom saves it whenever it cries. As an adult, you need paths to <u>independently</u> achieve justice, not rely on mom coming to your aid. This is why you see everyone resorting to anger even if their genuine core self seemingly gravitates more toward EPE; because we lacked the understanding which opens these paths to achieving justice without hatred, the paths this chapter exactly teaches. *Real* EPE magnets have sadness instead since *now they <u>can</u>.*

And in case you don't remember, this isn't forced, these emotions rely on <u>realities</u>, and thus are their real emotions, enabled by their understanding of realities like the reality of human potential & "understanding others' pain" entry. This entire chapter <u>enables</u> this <u>genuine</u> caring and understanding nature of EPE magnets as a <u>choice</u>, & preference. How much EPE someone expresses, is their choice. This chapter <u>enables</u> it to be expressed any time, even in <u>torture</u>.

<u>even</u> in a society made up <u>entirely</u> of EPE magnets, they wouldn't "need" anti-toxicity magnets to achieve justice

- **question:** what if, as an EPE magnet, I have a girlfriend who uses me to go on a free vacation knowing I'd pay for her trip, and only once we get there she spits in my face and has her new boyfriend she's been cheating on me with pull up, take her and tell me to kill myself? As an EPE magnet, how would I handle this?

Even in a society with zero anti-toxicity magnets, EPE would be fine. EPE magnets don't *need* anti-toxicity magnets to exact a form of justice on those who treat them unjustly, here's how: the EPE magnet in this example, feeling all this emotional pain from this injustice, obviously would be understanding as normal toward even this cheating girlfriend and her new boyfriend, but would, not out of hatred but rather for everyone else's safety, make sure to warn everyone else that this has happened and who has done it (the cheating girlfriend and her new boyfriend). Once again, this isn't out of hatred or revenge by the EPE magnet. The EPE magnet likely would even first tell the cheater "please don't do this, it isn't in your best interest, it's not worth it, we can talk it out and find a fair way of handling the inadequacies or flaws you're feeling in our relationship, please don't resort to injustice it's not worth it", hell, t-

he EPE magnet might even go as far as to tell the cheater how he has to tell everyone what happened so they will now be aware of the danger this unjust person is potentially posing to their own emotional states (that's the reason an EPE magnet would verbally spread news of and warn the public about this injustice, it's for others' safety, it's not out of "revenge"). Resultingly even in a society full of *only* EPE magnets this would leave the unjust people facing a lot of loneliness and lack of human connection, attention, and emotional investment from, literally everybody. It's not just to "warn" others to keep them safe, it's out of the EPE magnet knowing fairness needs to be protected. This book has already told you the importance of fairness in society, and EPE wants to maintain near utopia with it.

But guess what, this won't even be a society of "only" EPE magnets. That was just a hypothetical situation to prove to you that EPE isn't "dependent" on anti-toxicity or "weaker". In reality, anti-toxicity magnets will be present in society, and so not only will this form of justice be practiced by EPE magnets, but bullies will be *roasted* by anti-toxicity as well on top of this. And of course, EPE magnets would only forget such sins if the damages are fully undone, and the ones causing them (the cheating girlfriend and boyfriend) are truly trustworthy now & changed their ways.

Like, good luck finding *anyone* who's willing to emotionally invest in a relationship with you when you're that evil… Even if you find someone, it's also going to be told to them that it's their responsibility not to fuel your behavior, not only for their own safety and preventing themselves from being betrayed by you, but for preventing others, too. So they'll basically be told to stop talking to you, and why, and they'll be able to present unarguable logic behind it. The EPE magnet can literally explain down to the bone *why fairness is the lifeblood of unity and stability*, in society.

So basically, an EPE magnet's tactic is spreading the word for others' safety about the betrayal they were victim to, and it's not done out of hatred, but purely out of realization that this individual is not interested in fixing the damage they did; not interested in being a good person, so, the only thing that we can now do, is see them as a nail on the road to avoid driving over and try to help others not be hurt by them since they are choosing evil. By making the public aware of their decision to stay in their evil state, that they're choosing, despite the EPE magnet having already presented the reasons why they don't want to be evil and should change, they are still choosing it, thus, the EPE magnet is simply, not out of revenge but out of public service, as much as they can, making sure that no victims fall to this evil again, by warning the public about this person's infected state, not with hatred toward the person, but out of *acceptance* of their decision to be evil, and out of concern for the safety of innocent people they might hurt.

Once again, others' safety is the secondary reason. The primary one, is that fairness is the lifeblood of near utopia… Allowing injustice to flourish within society rather than informing society to not include it literally will infect society.

how extreme positive energy handles invalid criticism

If someone has some grievance against or criticizing label toward an EPE magnet, first, the magnet would confront the label or whatever, using things like the dynamics of insecurity. But let's say this bully isn't here to have a productive nor open minded conversation, but rather is here to spam a label the magnet has already confronted and basically disproven to try and annoy them. With EPE being maximally understanding and caring by nature, all the EPE magnet would do is confront the claim using things like the dynamics of insecurity, and once it's confronted fully, they'll communicate their perspective and conclusion. But since this hypothetical bully isn't here for that, but rather to be closed-minded and spam disproven lies, the EPE magnet will just be understanding and say something like "Alright, I've already confronted your claim and shown my perspective, but if you *have* to be closed-minded like this and spam already disproven things, we can't have a productive conversation like that; I can't help satisfy whatever injustice you feel is happening" (that is only one example wording). After saying that, the EPE magnet would just not respond to the spam since there is literally nothing to say, it's not something to allow to bother you since it's already been disproven, but you can't reason with this person, so unless you need to censor the *spam*, there's simply nothing else to say, you already understand the reality of the situation, and understand that they're blinded by perhaps their anger or whatever. You've done all you can do, so it's best to just move on *until* they say something you can actually respond to *productively,* to actually make further progress on solving the conflict. It's that simple…

The EPE magnet likely <u>wouldn't</u> just heartlessly start ignoring this person <u>without communicating</u>, due to their care.

If the EPE magnet is supposed to mute the spam, it's really simple, they'll do it and say perhaps (due to their genuine self's nature), something like "I'm sorry, but we have our reasons for why this is *spam* and please argue your case if you feel unjustly censored" (they might then point them to the anti-censorship definition of what spam is?). And if the bully spams them or has super irrelevant speech when "arguing their case", the EPE magnet would say that they have too irrelevant speech and probably just point them to the dynamics of relevant speech… And obviously, none of this is done in some passive-aggressive manner. Like I've said, if you feel like being passive-aggressive, your genuine preferred core personality, may just not align as much with EPE, but rather more with anti-toxicity.

unbeaten 3.avi (the youtube video title)

I saw a clip from the Boondocks on youtube with this title where there's this mom and her kid in a grocery store, the kid is screaming "I want candy" and throwing stuff off the store's shelves, tipping entire shelves over, breaking thousands of dollars in products. The mom just sat there crying thinking "I don't know what to do when he gets this way!". You might sit here and point a finger at me like "isn't this a flaw with your "EPE"?". No… It's not: as the mom, you'd treat that situation similarly to you having to use force in self-defense, if say, someone was shooting you as an EPE magnet. The force here obviously isn't shooting the kid genius, it's simply forcibly stopping this tirade, anger doesn't <u>need</u> to be involved on your part and you can apply this force while still maintaining your understanding and caring nature as an EPE magnet. You wouldn't just sit there crying, pathetically, but anger still isn't *needed*. You can see the mom obviously getting angry with the belt in the clip and I'm just saying, force doesn't <u>need</u> anger. You can take whatever actions are necessary (if you're aiming for max EPE) while still being caring + understanding. As a max EPE magnet, you'll likely be even rather a bit sad you have to do certain forceful things rather than angry.

Extra context: an EPE magnet *might* raise their voice or yell if they're distressed enough, but it wouldn't be an attack out of anger toward a person; perhaps it would be out of distress or frustration due to not being able to understand something or someone, but once again, it's not an attack aimed at hurting a person, at all, and as you know, the EPE magnet is probably very cognizant of whether it's coming off that way. A fantastic example of this to help you understand, is the kitchen scene with a character named hatoko, from an anime called "when supernatural battles became commonplace". Find it on youtube. It doesn't seem like an attack despite her yelling for 2min straight.

justifications for: the entire chapter

- **question:** but won't EPE magnets feud with anti-toxicity ones who aren't "maximally understanding"?

Nah, the most the EPE magnet will probably say to an anti-toxicity magnet in this sense is "I don't think you're following the moral reality of this situation and you might want to re-evaluate it". Because the EPE magnet is going to understand that anti-toxicity magnets, only really care about following moral reality, not maximum understanding.

- **question:** but isn't understanding others' perspectives fully, just fully following moral reality? Like if EPE is going to understand others' perspectives more fully but anti-toxicity isn't, isn't EPE just following moral reality better than anti-toxicity? Either that, or EPE is being delusional by understanding irrelevant feelings.

No. Neither. Here's the thing: others' perspectives and feelings aren't always justified, people can feel a certain way about something emotionally, but their feelings might be based in their own ignorance of the actual moral reality of the situation, for example. Anti-toxicity doesn't recognize those feelings, doesn't care to, and doesn't *need* to, to still be following moral reality. Anti-toxicity recognizes emotions that are *warranted* in the scope of the moral reality of the given situation; like I said, "the only perspective anti-toxicity truly cares about, is that of moral reality". EPE on the other hand, cares about and desires and chooses to be understanding toward others' feelings, even if t-

hose feelings aren't "warranted" in the scope of the true moral reality of the situation. EPE has more sympathy and care toward others' feelings even if those feelings are rooted in ignorance, because it's EPE's preference to be that way, have that much caring and understanding toward others. And as you know, the entries in the EPE chapter are what gives an EPE magnet the fuel: the understanding, to care on this level and *be* understanding of others to this level. So no, EPE is *not* being delusional by choosing to be understanding and caring toward others' feelings no matter what ignorance those feelings are based on. EPE is simply being their desired genuinely caring and understanding self. Keep in mind, an EPE magnet still knows moral reality just like anyone else would and isn't delusional… They're just having sympathy and care toward others' feelings because the chapter's entries enable them to genuinely feel that way toward anyone. You know, entries like "understanding others' potential" along with the others…

Extra context: this further justifies why I refer to EPE as a more "*feminine*" personality for its more caring nature, while, as you can see, anti-toxicity is accurately a more "*masculine*" personality for its more blunt and hard nature.

Extra context: for example, anti-toxicity, might playfully joke about something, and get someone insecurely, unjustly offended at the joke. Anti-toxicity will say something like "just use the dynamics of insecurity", and let's say the moral reality warrants that response as *okay*. EPE however, due to their nature, would have the thought process of something like "I'm pretty sure this joke hurts their feelings so I might not say it" even if their feelings being hurt isn't necessarily the fault of the one making the joke, but of the one getting offended not using the dynamics of insecurity and not understanding the moral reality of this situation. Basically, anti-toxicity has no issue with just bluntly making playful pokes at someone's insecurity even if it's going to offend them, because anti-toxicity only cares about whether their own behavior is justified in the eyes of the moral reality of the situation. Meanwhile, EPE uses understanding of others to a *max* level, as you can see in this example, to fulfill their caring nature to that *max* level, even caring about feelings or others getting offended when they don't <u>need</u> to. EPE will still educate them (kindly), pointing them to the dynamics of insecurity just like anti-toxicity, for their own sake, just with less "blunt" wording.

- **question:** but why would EPE aim to understand an evil person's feelings?

Because, the EPE magnet knows objective fairness, knows the true morality the evil person might be ignorant of, and does care about it, but… The EPE magnet also just *genuinely cares* about others' feelings; understands their potential and their lostness *even if* they're evil, because that's how their genuine self prefers to be. And as I said, this level of genuine (not fake at all) care is *genuinely* achievable, and is simply made a *choice,* by using the EPE chapter.

determination anger is not always hate or anger toward other people

Showing 'determination anger' even to the point of visibly gritting one's teeth, isn't always anger, and an EPE magnet will probably express this level of determination, but won't be angry, perhaps when lifting weight, for example.

extra context for: the "selfishness scenarios" "moral reality" chapter entry/spaceship air tank example situation

- **question:** what would an EPE magnet treat the criminal like if he killed their significant other, in this exact selfishness scenario, to "make the death look believable, and not like a murder", by mutilating their body?

If the EPE magnet really chooses to be maximally understanding in this scenario despite this criminal breaking moral reality, rather than doing their whole "seeing them as a nail to avoid stepping on" thing... The EPE magnet might understand the criminal's perspective of "not wanting to die " due to the law of motivations, obviously, and the EPE magnet might instead send the blame toward the fact humanity hasn't "advanced technology" to gain enough survivability for no one to have died in this situation, but personally I don't see why the hell they would take that path. The murderer *did* break moral reality, and this is a scenario humanity should genuinely aim to absolve altogether by eventually gaining so much survivability through the advancement of technology that we don't have to wor-

ry about this. But you know, once again, there is always that whole "see the criminal as a nail to avoid stepping on and warn the public" type thing if the criminal is found deserving of such a response by the moral reality, if they won't reform I guess, or even if they can't undo the damage they've done perhaps (yeah, you can't undo a murder).

You've got to remember when reading this book, these are just my ideas, my 'options' I can think to give, and what matters is whether viable ones have been found or not, or whether they can be; what matters is if this potential, and if near utopia, is possible. I'm one person with one mind. Why don't you give it a shot from a different angle and see what you find, instead of being seemingly against the achievement of near utopia. This society is full of idiots.

Extra context: when I say "seeing them as a nail to avoid stepping on" I don't mean EPE magnets are some passive aggressive little bitches who scoff and spit at those who do them wrong. What the fuck? What I mean by it is, EPE would recognize "oh, this person murdered my significant other in a selfishness scenario to save himself, I will now take note of this person's character being confirmed to swing that way, and apply adequate awareness to myself and probably other innocent people to not get into selfishness scenarios with this person, in hopes the innocent people don't get murdered by him". Hope that helps you understand what I mean by "seeing them as a nail on the road". The EPE magnet is just acknowledging and applying a perception of the warranted risk this murdering person is now fitting of, for their own and other innocent people's safety. And once again, it's the fact that EPE knows injustice can or will occur and is simply against the *injustice*, it's not about being against the criminal, but accepting that this criminal sadly thinks they desire injustice, and simply warning innocent people so they don't get hurt by this new danger this person is choosing to become. It's not really personal, and it's all tied back to the path to max happiness, too. The EPE magnet can literally explain down to the bone why the evil this person is choosing isn't in their own or anyone's best interest, unarguably, in almost all scenarios… And even in this selfishness scenario, the EPE magnet has a valid reason to take note of the fact this criminal chose selfishness, don't they? Pretty sure that's fair.

<-=->

R E L E V A N T   S P E E C H

Ever seen a good movie? Don't you think they get to the point and progress the plot in those? Life should be similar.

<-=-. -=->

--- *sword relevant speech* ---

relevant speech intro

This is how attention grabbing and attractive your speech is. Strong relevant speech is foundational to *literally everyone's* legendary self, so it's majorly important to strengthen your own deeply, as without a strong level of this trait, you'll be _validly_ annoying. Relevant speech isn't understanding others' perspectives; they might be ignorant to what's truly most relevant to them according to reality. So, relevant speech is instead about understanding "reality's" perspective (what's most relevant to be saying *according to reality*, that reality *being* that our most *relevant* topic of discussion is: *things relevant to the _most viable path_ to the best existence possible* of course) and communicating things relevant to that path *in a _timely manner_*, with as much _clarity_ as possible. Keep in mind, humans share many major path steps on said "most viable path to the best existence possible", so subjectivity is no major issue.

the three core ingredients which determine overall speech relevance (_importance_, _time_, and _clarity_)

The three foundations of *relevant speech* which *determine the overall relevance of your speech,* are these: the first, is your speech's *relevance to the most viable path to the best existence possible,* because that's the most relevant topic anybody can be talking about at any time, according to reality. This is measurable by communicable logic and reason; in other words, you can determine how important and relevant what you're saying is to said most viable path simply by examining and contemplating the logic behind your opinion for its relevance, and confronting any valid counterarguments… *similar to how you'd find a situation's moral reality*. You're just open-mindedly locating the "reality" of how important what you're saying truly is to the "most viable path toward the best existence possible".

The *second* foundational ingredient which determines the overall relevance of your speech, is _time_. Communicating your ideas in as timely a manner as is possible without negatively affecting the clarity of your ideas. This can be improved by a number of tips and tricks; I'll try to list good ones I know in this chapter. Nobody likes wasted time… The *final* foundational ingredient is _clarity_ of your ideas. Clarity refers to how _easy to understand_ and how _organized_ your communication of your ideas is, how well it all fits. Like timeliness, this can be improved by tips and tricks.

the relevant speech nonfiction format

This is _the_ format to use when you've got a rabbit hole you believe others should look into. This is the format to present the rabbit hole in, and _if you don't_ follow this format, then you _shouldn't_ expect them to look very far into it, because you won't be presenting the info in the most relevant and proper form in terms of your "relevant speech". You'll be presenting irrelevant information to them if you don't follow this format, since you'll be presenting things in the wrong order. *The right order*, is from the _most important, relevant info, to the least_, and it's _not the reader's fault_ if you're over here shoving _less important_ things at them _first_ (basically _wasting their time_); not their fault you placed the irrelevant stuff _before_ the more important stuff in your presentation of this rabbit hole… They're _expecting_ this proper order of _most relevant info_ to _least relevant_ and if you don't follow that, expect zero readers.

So, this format is composed of three sections. The first, is the "attention grabbing thesis", which presents your *most important main idea*, main point you're trying to communicate; _the_ main idea as to _why what you're proposing is_

relevant and important to their path to a best existence possible enough for them to spend time reading what you'-re telling them to. The second section is the "important textbook" containing all the most important "formulas", plan steps, and *any* other *important* info. And finally, the third section, is the "ocean of extra context textbook", containing *any* useful extra context or *answers to valid arguments and doubts* others may have. All the extra context that isn't important *enough* to make it into the "important textbook" but is still important enough to be *provided* to those reading, *if they need it, findable via the highly organized table(s) of contents*. You decide what goes in where.

For organization, your whole "book" (the compilation of all three sections), should use table(s) of contents (for each section big enough to need one). Aim to put everything in the entire book in the order of *most relevant/important/interesting to the least*; from *which entries are listed first inside chapters*, to *which chapters are listed first inside sections*. This whole format is basically intended to be an organized *walk in the park* for readers; this format will take your "rabbit hole" and present it in the absolute most maximally interesting way to them, purely because this format is in the order of what's most interesting (*important/relevant*) to mention, to what's *least* relevant, and is fully organized. You see, that's the reason it's the proper format, because if you want to ask someone to spend time looking into a rabbit hole of info, this is the correct way to do it, by making sure it's following relevant speech and *not wasting their time*; making sure to share the most important info *right away*, and then keep that pattern going.

This is the one true format for *literally any time anyone tells you to look into any rabbit hole, ever*. The author of the presentation of that rabbit hole must follow this format and show how it aligns viably enough with your path to a best existence possible for you to spend time to keep looking into and reading the presentation or you can leave.

A longer name for this may be "the vexyricon-like relevant speech nonfiction rabbit hole book presentation format". Maybe this format is somewhat of a method for us to distinguish between schizophrenics and geniuses. Maybe they can finally put their entire rabbit hole they think they've found, in this correct order, and we will see its _actual_ value.

Perhaps this format can also be used in conversation when you're say, *verbally* sharing something like a vexyricon's dynamics with someone else by you starting with the most important info first (following the order this entry lists).

This "format" likely applies to more than just creating a book, for example it might even apply to you giving a speech about the contents of this book to anybody in the first place. I mean, if this format is going to get people to read *books*, I think it sure as hell would make your speech more interesting, considering speech is more attention-grabbing than text on a page, with emotions, body language and such. I think this format may even help schizophrenics.

someone presenting unarguable reasons for the irrelevance of your presentation doesn't need to hear it further

If someone can say a valid reason why your proposed rabbit hole of information or book you recommended doesn't follow this format, in other words, showing valid reasons why it isn't following relevant enough speech to keep hearing, they are *not breaking moral reality*. They're telling you that you need to organize your info properly, and why, or they'll tell you your main thesis and path you've presented isn't important enough in the scope of the path they're *already on* and why, or whatever. Do not expect someone to look into your rabbit holes if you don't follow this format well enough and are presented with unarguable evidence that you truthfully aren't. So go fix your stuff.

To make your writing _itself_ relevant, simply follow the three cores of relevant speech: importance, time, and clarity.

allowing yourself to use strawman arguments will <u>tank</u> your relevant speech

Do not respond to someone with a message which you know pings you mentally with a valid question they'll have toward your message, making them do the work of asking you, *rather* than you confronting their question on your own, on your own time, and *only* coming to them when you've got either a seemingly unarguable conclusion, or a

question you genuinely can't find the answer to which you think they *genuinely* might be able to help with. Make it a habit to have a conversation with yourself, acting as both the person you're talking to and yourself, simulating the questions or arguments they'd propose to you, before you go spend *their* time to tell or ask them something. I'm basically just saying, confront the questions you know are valid, *in your own head first* which they'll respond with, instead of making them have to do it themselves. You'll likely be eventually known, even if *subconsciously* to them, as someone who seems to only say important information they care to hear. It's about finding actual, seemingly unarguable conclusions, doing the work yourself, instead of expecting them to "multiplayer contemplate" *with* you to find those conclusions. This is far superior to just wasting their time by proposing a potential strawman response which you know deep down might not be ironclad, all because you're over here panicking about how "oh no, I won't be able to convince them of x thing if I don't make some shit up to sound like I know what I'm talking about when in reality I'm sensing I haven't found the *real*, ironclad, sensible explanation behind the idea I'm proposing". It wastes everyone's time and is just annoying. This is not saying "don't respond with things which they might know the answer to", this is simply saying, when someone says killing is wrong, you don't respond with "no because they ate my brownie 😫" because even at 15 years old I bet you could already predict the storm of arguments they'd throw at that strawman. So, you might as well confront it yourself, instead of making them do the work, if you want relevant speech. Obviously, this isn't some "law" for *all* scenarios, it's just a general tip I'm giving you to help boost your relevant speech when you feel it's appropriate to use this. But honestly… It's "appropriate" in an absolute ton of situations… I use this on a daily basis, before I even go bother someone. Perhaps it's good *thinking practice*, too.

Extra context: when communicating any claim to listeners, try to kinda "read their mind" as much as you can on the most needed questions you know they'll be subconsciously asking you next, and answer them completely. Yes, this can be hard, but increases the relevancy of your speech. Basically I'll say something like "and I know you're going to say (the question, specifically the most *pressing* question that you can sense is being asked by their perspective even though they have yet to ask it verbally)", you just understand others perspectives so much and what matters to human nature, that you know what questions they'll ask, to where you'll just answer it right in front of them.

clarity tip – quality of vocabulary

(*Immersion of vocabulary*:) Aim to communicate using words that most effectively immerse the listener into your exact perspective emotionally, instead of, for example, (*complexity of vocabulary*:) aiming to sound smarter using *overly* complex vocabulary they have to go waste time defining. Don't let your ego get in the way... (*Attractiveness of vocabulary*:) Aim also to use wording that is contextually attractive to imagine and hear out loud instead of wording that makes others gag or *validly* think you're some mentally deranged psychopath from how unattractive it is.

clarity tip – don't try to fumble when explaining your logic

If you can't fully and clearly enough explain the full context and proof behind your claim, don't try and "make up" or speed contemplate those proofs. Just admit you don't yet know with absolute certainty. There's a *high* chance you'll just be saying some incorrect bullshit, and instead of cleverly convincing others you're right, you'll just make them think you've gone crazy. It basically fucks your speech's clarity, due to *high risk of you being wrong*. This may also *validly* lessen the listener's opinion of you, and their tolerance to listen in the future. If you haven't polished the context or proof behind your claims, just *accept that, say it to them, and end it there* rather than fumbling. It's not going to make *you look like a waste of time,* if you *don't waste their time,* and you say this *immediately* instead. If you start fumbling, it means you've obviously still got connections to find and contemplate on your own. *So do it*.

Maybe just try to *fully verify* your claims enough with yourself and any counterarguments you can possibly imagine *before* presenting them to others *confidently*. Like, if you're going to say your claims so *confidently*, maybe you sh-

ould try to make sure that confidence you're expressing with the claims is *warranted* by first *really testing* those cl-
aims yourself during contemplation, with arguments *for & especially against them*. If unverified, you <u>*contemplate*</u>...

MISCELLANEOUS

Unsorted. Remember, if something's in book II, it's because of its importance alone.

--- *sword miscellaneous* ---

even if you've seen "proof of an afterlife", <u>know</u> the danger dying can open you up to

When you see the potential this life holds, you'll definitely not even want to take a chance of losing it by being wrong about there being an afterlife; letting your physical body die only to be met with potentially nothing, or worse, something hellish. So, even if you've seen what you'd call "proof of an afterlife", you'll want to at least also witness all the potential you'd be losing by letting your body die physically and being wrong, and you might want to also remember all the pain and suffering possible in this existence that could potentially meet you on the other side as well. My point is, we don't know what comes after death, so we should aim to *prevent death*, and, instead, *advance technology <u>to the point we can expose the truth about this existence</u>,* answer all these questions *<u>without risking our entire existence</u>,* risking losing access to all of life's potential for happiness, or risk subjugating yourself to any kind of hell. And *I'm warning you*, when you see enough of life's potential for *<u>both</u>*, you'll <u>very</u> likely agree with this.

justifications for: even if you've seen "proof of an afterlife", <u>know</u> the danger dying can open you up to

- **question:** if someone has seen "literal instructions from god" or extremely clear supernatural occurrences do you honestly think they still won't be okay with trusting death? I mean, they'd probably be at ease especially if they've seen what they truly believe is proof of an afterlife. They would feel okay to accept dying.

I just think the most logically intelligent path is to take matters into our own hands as humans, to gain the control over our life to not let ourselves be plunged into a hell or tyranny of any form, ever. I think that opinion is also, unimaginably supported by the unimaginable potential life holds, as talked about, in the law of motivations. Also, I am sure anybody would agree with this if they witnessed the law of motivations to a strong enough level. It's simply the logical, seemingly most intelligent path to take, and when you see what you risk losing by not taking it and accepting death, even if you've seen supernatural proof, you're risking losing all the potential life holds. At the very least, I think it's best if you see this potential before making your decision so adamantly, to see both sides of this coin. We can figure out the truths of this existence and whether there's an afterlife or god, without risking any potential.

the outline of the plan to overcome death

Passing the first layer, is not only possible, but destiny for pretty much every human; you're just seeing enough of life's potential, to the point you see the reality that the solving mindset is unarguably what you <u>*want*</u> to be functioning with, rather than the hopelessness acceptance one, <u>*even*</u> toward the issue of death itself. I am confident, that there's enough potential/ammo to get far, far more than enough people passed the first layer in society for it to be able to have a large enough group of now-motivated, <u>*coordinated*</u> folks <u>*diversifying*</u> their research, medical, and technological advancements and then <u>*combining*</u> those findings, to somewhat speedrun solving death through said advancement of technology and medicine. Basically, divide and conquer, but on steroids, since everyone will actually know the exact dynamics to motivation, know how to spot all corruption and why the corruption is even occurring, (proceeds to keep listing off every reason I call it near utopia, again, for the 100th time). The motivation of the masses, the awakening to their true purpose, is the main fuel here… They will actually <u>*want*</u> to make this work, th-

ey will actually _want_ to coordinate intelligently to successfully overcome death, and they will know the exact dynamics as to why. Diversifying our efforts can lead one specialist to tackle one big hurdle while others tackle another. There are 8 billion people on this planet and this book turns each individual into a damn motivated legend. Be real.

The potential to pass the first layer, the ammo, is all in this book, already. The next step, is to just create a group of people actually passed the first layer, motivated to carry this out. In other words, operation loudspeaker. There must exist a safe environment for people to have the free-time and safe stability to focus on this without roadblocks.

Extra context: I basically want to make life so good that the masses want to keep living so badly, that they see why they should take solving death very seriously, to the point a massive organized team, a wave of coordinating people are working on the issue as humanities' "next quest step", diversifying and combining their research and progress, to more quickly tackle separate issues involved in achieving scientific immortality, and thus basically "speedrun" literally solving death itself, growing then combining their findings and advancements to the point of actually achieving it. "Divide and conquer", but via enlightening the masses intellectually. You might be surprised at how fast things can be solved when we're motivated, numbered, coordinated, and in an environment that doesn't enslave the creative mind to pay rent for some retard's instant gratification mindset obsessed lamborghini bill, or whatever.

the instagram personality phenomenon

You really might want to have your mental, and even digital database of attractive self-expressions be advanced/highly attractive, or aim for it to become that, whether those expressions be the most attractive use of the best emojis/gifs you can find, memes, words, tones, your physical appearance/clothes, and if you're really clever: _songs_ (see "chapter 51" which talks about the different colors of the law of motivations that can be inflicted). all I just listed, are forms of self-expression basically. what I mean with all this is, for example, my (and your) intuition might pick up a notable level of attractiveness in a gif someone is sharing to express their reaction to something, and so I'd download it to use myself, expressing that same emotional reaction in that same context myself, thus expressing that level of attractiveness I saw, it being attached to myself now. And yeah, I know, I'm sure you think this entry is "obvious", but I think you might be kinda underestimating it... I think I've seen significantly attractive self-expressions, very noteworthy ones. It's why I'm making this an entry, because your attractiveness can be significantly increased by taking this entry seriously enough. What really matters here, is that your perception of the expression's attractiveness, isn't _out of touch_; that it's accurate in perceiving what's actually attractive vs. unattractive. You want to be able to accurately (on your alone time) compare the different levels of attractiveness you'll have by using different emojis (reactions, facial expressions, etc.) in different situations. For one slight example, I noticed a "ryback eating chips" gif/emoji was sometimes more fitting than a yawning emoji when responding to someone who was repeatedly chucking obviously false invalid criticisms at me... It's just useful to find and compare to find the best, most attractive self-expressions you can... That's the main idea here. Keep in mind, your self-expressions will tie into and be affected by other dynamics of reality like the one that says, "expressed levels of ego must reflect a reality of greatness or they'll be unattractive" (there is an entry about that), among other 'dynamics' I could list, if I cared to.

fixing a bossy person's unlikability (literally just use the whole book) (example showing how foundational it is)

They have to function on moral reality. They should remember the foundations of self-discipline their workers should have passed to potentially understand the reason behind their workers' work ethic rather than just telling them to work harder without understanding this perspective. They should function with open-mindedness, going down the rabbit hole of debate with their workers when any valid disagreement or argument is presented by them, rather than resorting to closed-mindedness and therefore division, ever. They should understand the importance of path confidence to their workers' self-discipline and work ethic; how their workers should be pursuing the most viable path to their best existence possible, and how that's the path they'll be most motivated to pursue once they

see it clearly enough. This "boss" should use the law of pain, ensuring workers aren't doing work in unnecessarily painful ways, at all. *I could go on*, but truly the main idea *entire* solution is the bossy person needs *this entire book*. Starting to see why I call it "the foundations of anyone's best existence possible"? Because it *applies to every issue*.

I could just go list off for hours, the number of issues these foundations solve. The "foundations" listed in the sword. And by "corruption", I don't just mean greed or stereotypes of that word. I mean any symptom, flaw, and problem… Corruption simply occurs when you stray from these actual foundations of the best existence possible, and someone being "bossy/unlikeable" is just a symptom and result of having strayed from those foundations. Just don't stray… Do you see the bigger picture yet? This literally has the foundations of near utopia; and these are the root solutions. I tried for hours to find the root issues in society, and concluded, the truth is, it's literally just ignorance of this book. Any time you see corruption, it is literally just somebody ignoring some part of the sword or some moral reality law. This book basically just lists all the core issues and core solutions, so ignoring it is the core issue, causing corruption. I guess more accurately, it's "almost any time you see corruption"; That's why it's called "near utopia" not "utopia".

<=->

O P E R A T I O N   L O U D S P E A K E R

Plan to implement near utopia and overthrow tyranny (as long as it's not yet as bad as like… 2024 North Korea).

<=->

-- *sword operation loudspeaker* --

operation loudspeaker – the most viable plan I could think of to most peacefully take over a corrupt government

This "operation" should certainly work very effectively, as long as a country isn't infected with unjust censorship to the level North Korea currently is (2024). Evil tyranny is so strong there, that this, even being the most viable plan I have got, might lead to extensive casualties there. I'd say perhaps a better idea is to unite the rest of the world and only then try to handle North Korea as deathlessly as possible. Anyways, the main idea of "operation loudspeaker" is that all the info in this book, should equip readers to become effective loudspeakers of it, should equip them with the strategic intelligence to successfully know where and how to blow this info up to the masses and make it spread virally, and should equip readers with the understanding to be able to nearly flawlessly disprove all arguments against this book's info (unless some of it is found to be wrong. And if that happens, we simply find the actual right information; I'm saying we should all know near utopia is more than possible by this point, and even if I've made a little misstep in my logic, in knowing there is a right answer to find enabling near utopia truly, we should have the mindset of finding that right answer instead of just giving up on our literal only true life purpose, duh. It goes back to the undeniable, un-topped viability of the solving mindset). You might ask "how is this book going to equip readers with all that ammo?": think about it. We've already got the dynamics to basically the best character traits possible (the ones all people have in common) outlined in this book. You know, things like relevant speech, self-discipline, open-mindedness… Tons of aspects of moral reality, like the true definition of spam and what should and shouldn't be censored, the anti-toxicity chapter… I could go on. Those character traits this book teaches alone, will turn an individual into quite a socially influential force with a deep understanding of the dynamics to not only the "human potential" side of the best existence possible (those character traits), but also of the non-human aspects to that existence like the right economy, other parts of moral reality and even more importantly, how to *find* moral realities… Readers, if they use this book, will be able to achieve such an unarguable and deep understanding of the literal dynamics to the best existence possible for humanity, combined with understanding the relevant speech dynamics taught, to be able to effectively blow all this information up on social media or wherever, even in public, as long as they flee from the town they're in after their speech or whatever before the CIA has them assassinated. Starting to see what I mean by "loudspeaker" yet? These aren't just stereotypical speeches. These dynamics to near utopia are so clearly outlined and sensible, this shit is going to turn some heads when blown up on social media or in public (as long as the one doing it, knows how to do it *admirably*… And that's what this book will teach them). Not only will these "loudspeakers" have relevant speech, but they will defy the unjust censorship bullshit of this country and openly express anti-toxicity, so their speeches won't only be interesting, but the most entertaining shit in the universe to listen to, because they won't just spit facts, but anyone who acts unjustly will see anti-toxicity or perhaps extreme positive energy in action. That, can only really occur, if there are enough loudspeakers spawning in a country to the point one can just do their thing in one county (yes, county) and move the hell over to another one the next day so unjust people they made a verbal example out of there won't have the chance to unjustly take revenge with a gun since that's a very real threat, especially with how painfully valid the criticisms of someone who uses this book will be to their ego and public image; they will have a high likelihood of resorting to unjust violence, I mean I literally outlined the dynamics to just about the worst, most painful roasts you can slam into a bully in the anti-toxicity chapter… Anyways, I'm just saying, there's going to be a lot of reasons why these loudspeakers aren't your *average* ones, and I'll just say, there's a very good reason as to why I called all this shit "human magnetism" and why I call them "human magnets". You're gonna see what an actually attractive male looks like, for once. One that just rips apart the very credibility of this entire corrupt society, publicly, attractively, uncensored, un-disprove-

n… Obviously, women will be that way too, I only mentioned men first because this society's censorship has literally castrated men to the point nobody truly has seemingly witnessed anything really close to an actual male "human magnet". The main idea of this operation is, this book should equip readers with literally everything they need to know in order to be a unarguable, attractive, sensible, and extremely effective "loudspeaker" for this information, and should give them the ammo to basically completely duplicate themselves as a "loudspeaker", causing a chain reaction of those spawning all over the place until the numbers are gained in society to actually have a force for confronting corrupt governments peacefully, corning them logically in that confrontation, not with violence but with the facts we now know behind anti-tyranny, anti-corruption, near utopia, everything… Said corrupt government will only have two options at that point: disproving the facts presented (good luck lmao), or giving up their tyranny.

And I know you're gonna say "they're not going to do either, they're going to remain closed-minded, not listen to the public even if they are completely publicly logically cornered and their credibility has gone out the window; they'll just call some "lockdown" and remain closed-minded to the unarguable proof we've so blatantly presented", and yes, realistically they are going to try to do that. That's why getting the most people on board in society we can is the first step, and should be accomplished to a *maximal* level to *minimize* the amount of force and violence the tyrants and those supporting them will force us to use. Remember, violence is only necessary in self-defense, I am not advocating that we go and attack anyone. I'm advocating that we can use force, not in the goal of violence but in the goal of taking a country out of the hands of tyrants. If those tyrants start shooting at us, we simply will have to defend ourselves since the retards have decided not to confront our unargued conclusions *verbally*, they've chosen violence themselves. We sat here and outlined near utopia and all the proof behind it, we sat here and got so much of the countries' population on board to even have these numbers in the first place, and these tyrants refuse to even respond verbally to our proposed ideas, and resort instead to unjust violence tyranny? We are fully justified in defending ourselves at that point, and so much of the population should be on board with this "near utopia" thing to the point its either "*disprove this new system, or be forced to resign, tyrants*". That's literally it. The first step is simply spreading this system and idea of near utopia, and you want to do it to a maximal level, to avoid as many casualties as you can. You want to logically confront the military members supporting this tyranny, you want to confront literally every type of person in a country. The more people we logically confront, the less we may be forced to physically (self-defense wise) confront in the final boss battle, because that's less people who will still be infected with the bullshit ideology or whatever you wanna call it that this tyranny/tyrant has *indoctrinated* them with. And I feel like I'm justified in calling it indoctrination, because it's not like they are the ones founding their system on *open-mindedness* nor thinking for oneself, they don't even follow anti-censorship, they censor unjustly. Anyways, I'm advocating that we give these tyrants the opportunity to argue against our ideology and that we don't just go in using force. Force is only necessary if they decide to closed-mindedly, illogically force _us_ to stay under their proven oppression, despite us literally proving it & proving the real, far more viable path to near utopia. Period.

A huge key here is how I said I'll teach readers to "logically corner" any ideology other than this one. Basically, logic and reason will, factually, by nature, always yield us a "most viable" concluded ideology or path toward the best existence possible, we simply need to go down the rabbit hole to locate that bedrock, unarguable ideology and path. That's literally what this book *attempts* to do. Any less viable one will be able to be shown as such, through this.

Now obviously, I'm not "getting rid of freedom of religion", check the "achieving near complete ideological unity" entry; people can still have their ideas and beliefs even if "disproven" or "proven less viable" or "proven to not be the most viable path in life". People can still have those if they want, as long as they're not breaking the law with them!

operation loudspeaker main idea – phases of operation loudspeaker

- Maximally spread this info and obtain the numbers and manpower to minimize casualties in this takeover. (Another tyrannical government drone converted is another life saved and one less enemy we must fight.) (I'm *extremely* confident the effectiveness of this new system and each loudspeaker, will accomplish this.)

- Once that's done, confront the tyrannical government logically & publicly, with this new proposed system.
- If the tyrants choose to remain closed-minded and oppress the country despite both their system being proven oppressive and a better one having already been brought to them, we have no other choice than to forcefully take over, obviously with as little violence as possible. Violence is only necessary in self-defense. They are given the opportunity to argue against this system, and if they can't and yet still choose to remain tyrannically enforcing that proven-to-be-oppressive system without being able to argue against this new one and still choose closed-mindedness & their tyranny, they're giving us no other choice than a takeover.

operation loudspeaker – corrupt governments or entities <u>will</u> try to interfere with agendas to uncorrupt society

Whether your agenda be testing out a superior economy, like the one in this book, based around fairness and logic toward achieving a best existence possible rather than selfish-instant gratification while you await your "inevitable death"… Corrupt individuals and governments may use various tactics to sabotage this, in order to maintain their slavery machine, greed-run economy where they are king above all the peasants, for example. We need to be extremely wary of this likelihood, because it's basically inevitable. You can somewhat verify someone is uncorrupt depending on whether they pass the test indicating they've actually passed the first layer, aka are educated on enough of life's potential and the actual path to max happiness which just happens to align with fairness by the way, to the point this person, if they have a brain, would certainly desire to be uncorrupt… That's why passing the first layer works at least decently as a cure for corruption in a person. We, as a team, simply need to be fully aware and watchful of any potential sabotage tactics corrupt individuals, groups, or governments may try to pull on this, and stop it.

We've seen the united states government fake the terror attack of 9/11 to incite an invasion of Iraq for oil, when they said it was to search for "weapons of mass destruction", I've heard Muammar Gaddafi got assassinated indirectly by the U.S. government for trying to establish a central bank of Africa which would bankrupt basically all other countries due to their massive debt… Oh, how about Julian Assange? I could keep listing off more, but my point is, human nature's current state of being infected with obvious corruption, along with all these instances, are blatant. How about Edward Snowden? How about John McAfee? I've seen a lot of shit going around pointing to corruption. It's probably smarter for us to realize how infected human nature currently is, and prepare for this rather than not. Imagine a countries' media becomes weaponized to incite an unjust invasion or prosecution of the location where this book's ideas (or better ideas from someone else) are being tested out, how it was seemingly used against Iraq.

Extra context: one tactic corrupt individuals might try to use, is *posing* as human magnets to make us look bad, we can refer back to the book to audit and expose those clowns. Hell, Just give them a thorough "past the first layer" test. Any corrupt individual trying to pose as some human magnet loud speaker to make us look bad should pretty *easily* be fully exposable, because the dynamics of moral reality are clear, and they will be exposed as a corrupt individual trying to psyop/weaponize the public against us or whatever. The first layer test isn't perfect, but it is nice.

operation loudspeaker – the value & importance of motivated coordination in taking over corrupt governments

This book is meant to explain exactly how to create a "highly motivated team of coordinated legends", all pursuing a <u>*most viable*</u> path toward the best existence possible. Passing the first layer should cover the *motivation* part, but we as people need to ensure we are covering the coordination part. Some people might be loudspeakers for this info, other people might be specializing in defensive combat to counter unjust violence, others might specialize in infiltrating or *hacking* into corrupt systems to gain intel… There are probably many fields to cover if we truly want to win against corrupt systems, because they very well may try to infiltrate ours to do bad things, with their human nature obviously not being uncorrupted yet (them not being passed the first layer, for example). Us covering all these fields when trying to uncorrupt a corrupt system without us causing injustice, will simply, factually, require some deep level of coordination between all of us. The "legends" part comes from how motivated people in this tea-

m should (automatically, already) be able to be, with things like being passed the first layer and having seen the law of motivations strongly enough, strengthening their motivation to unseen levels. We are following our true purpose, having achieved path confidence out the wazoo, so the stupid amounts of motivation and thus each individual practically becoming a legend in terms of effectiveness and discipline, should simply automatically occur. If it isn't occurring in a person, the likelihood is that they're unaware of the law of motivations to an extent, or they aren't passed the first layer. With either of those, they'd need education. Whatever field you decide to help out with will be a field you believe in and actually want to pursue, you will be involved and invested in this goal, everyone in the team will, and the plan should be known. The thing here is, our path aligns with the actual best existence possible, so any corrupt opposition shouldn't have much power in comparison to this path's *appeal*. Anyways, the main point here is that human magnetism will be a coordinated, highly motivated society/team of critical thinkers, problem solvers who find root problems and root solutions, independent monoliths of greatness in their individual endeavors (which will all converge around achieving a best existence possible), whatever those endeavors are (obviously the motivation to achieve greatness in said endeavors will be gained by you achieving maximal path confidence that said endeavor is on the most viable path to your best existence possible). This is to be a group where every member is a formidable monolith of a person, a formidable critical thinker, fueled by the motivation this book should instill, formidably skilled legends who will coordinate (especially made easy by all the unifying info and systems in this book like moral reality and relevant speech + open mindedness, etc.); formidably skilled legends who will coordinate to accomplish the best existence possible from all angles, all aimed at that one goal which might I remind you we have loads of common aspects of, even at an individual level (the chapters in the sword are a list of aspects of *everyone's* best existence possible). Each individual in this coordinated team will individually pursue efforts that carry stupidly massive amounts of _effectiveness_; "we aren't talking about going out and picking up trash on the street, we're talking about designing a system that actually, successfully gets rid of all metaphorical and physical trash on the entire planet" (that's just an example to show you the magnitude of effectiveness each individual on this "team" should strive for, and is the reason I refer to this as your "legendary" self. The one that actually takes the most effective paths to achieving the best existence possible, the human that writes a nuke of a book… Whatever, I could come up with and list more examples if I wanted. This book should cause the motivation to be that legendary.

When I said solve "root problems", that trash example is a perfect example. That's the most _effective_, _root_ solution, getting rid of all physical and metaphorical trash in the world rather than picking up trash by hand on the streets of New York or whatever. To to know what I'm defining as "root solutions", read the entry "root vs. surface solutions".

The formula to an individual successfully becoming what this entry describes, is simply them passing the first layer to an extreme extent (simply learning deeply enough, a ton of dynamics of the best existence possible which are all listed in this book anyways, resulting in them seeing tons of life's potential and knowing how and why to be uncorrupt; having been educated). The second part of the formula is for them to witness the law of motivations to an extreme enough extent, by witnessing enough of that potential, strongly enough, all in one place at one time. Some songs have that effect on me, but to be honest, the strongest impact comes from the *magnetic vampirism* entry. I didn't design nature this way dude, okay, so, don't look at me like that. Everyone really needs to witness the law of motivations to an extreme extent, to actually see the proof and motivation as to why and how to become this "legendary version of themselves". To not witness it is to live in blindness of your true purpose. Becoming so legendary is literally part of your best existence possible, part of your true purpose. On top of witnessing that, the third part of this formula, is really just staying disciplined/stay taking the law of motivations seriously, with various methods that work for you. I use a list of extremely effective reasons to follow the law of motivations, mine is a more blunt and personal version of the version of that list I put in this book. This should all create insane discipline/motivation.

The idea is for every individual here to be a nuke, not a grenade. (I don't mean go blow anything up! I mean be a "nuke" of a person in effectiveness at achieving a "best existence"; the "important to history" version of yourself, no, this is not "endorsing going and killing people", I just said nuke because it sounds cool and it gets the idea across.

Imagine one of us is able to pull some shit like the 1987 "max headroom" television hijack. And that's only one idea. And yeah, maybe that's a terrible example, but I'm just here to communicate the "thinking outside the box" nature. It's all about effectiveness, so if you can find a new, highly effective angle which others haven't, that'd be awesome.

operation loudspeaker – you shouldn't poke the tiger before you're 100% ready or you risk getting assassinated

This is an <u>extra context</u> entry for "writing a vexyricon", but you probably <u>don't need</u> to write one, since I already <u>did</u>.

The main idea is you want all the info publicly accessible <u>before</u> you have to flee the country or whatever for safety, you shouldn't be focused on <u>still</u> writing a vexyricon <u>while</u> running from whatever hitmen corrupt retards have sent.

The reason you don't want to just go blow this information up publicly without first having *everything* planned out and having absolute full context in your "vexyricon" you're blowing up for example, is because if you "poke the tiger" too early, getting the attention of some greedy corporations or government entities wanting to maintain their slavery economy, they might just put a hit on you, have you assassinated. When I say have everything planned and thought out, I mean literally everything. This next step of blowing this information up publicly, has to be a step you know you can now take and have a plan to ensure your safety. For example, one of my thoughts was to put so much context in the vexyricon, to ideally "duplicate" myself ideologically, and take the attention off of me since now there'd be so many just like me, lessening the value of me being assassinated since it's too late and the virus has already duplicated itself, and ideally will keep doing so. That's one of my ideas on how to potentially avoid assassination, but to accomplish that, you literally have to put every speck of context into your vexyricon to the point readers literally have the ammo to achieve the exact intellectual contents you possessed, all of them, because you're duplicating yourself, remember? You need to contemplate what curve balls society will throw at you, literally all relevant types of curve balls that could stand in your way of successfully spreading this information to the point enough people exist to make real change happen. The fuller your vexyricon is, the more 'accurately' you can be duplicating yourself, and thus the more you'll likely be able to take the attention of corrupt, assassinating entities, off of you, and off of literally everyone, because now there's too many of you to kill, ideally? This information and the plans to blow it up should be so volatile and effectively delivered that the numbers of people necessary to force real change no matter how closed-minded the idiotic tyrants in our government want to be, when we are at their door.

I even made a "sensitive entries" word document to hide these entries from the public copy until I was ready, fully. So, even if some corrupt dumbass happened to stumble across this website in its early stages, they'd ignore it rather than alerting the CIA or whatever bullshit to assassinate me before I had finished this and could flee the country.

Also, don't say "you're just putting the burden on someone else" because listen, I don't intend to educate just one person with this book, I'm intending to "duplicate myself" on a <u>mass</u> level intellectually, so ideally it should get to the point where there are far, far too many of these "loudspeaker duplicates" for anyone to even become a target.

Not only that, but I've made absolutely extremely clear to you, and all readers, the risks of becoming a loudspeaker.

we should try to minimize casualties as much as we can by minimizing the amount of blind tyranny supporters

As the huge main "operation loudspeaker" descriptive entry says, one more convinced military member or policeman is one less indoctrinated tyranny drone, one less potential enemy we might have to fight, one more life potentially able to see its best existence possible, and even potentially one+ less casualty <u>we</u> will have to face in defending ourselves. Minimizing casualties is obviously done by educating as many people on the dynamics in this book as we can; the dynamics to true moral reality, people's actual rights, exposing the tyranny that exists fully and clearly, exposing the path out of that tyranny, exposing why its worth it to fix it, simply exposing *everything* in this book. If this book truly has achieved the discovery of the bedrock, most currently unarguable path to the best existence p-

ossible for humanity, and if that existence is as good as the _law of motivations_ shows, then the only barrier holding up the number of remaining tyranny supporters, is almost completely made up of us simply having not yet verbally confronted those supporters, specifically utilizing the "how to _logically corner_ any opposition to this" tool for when you encounter an uneducated tyranny supporter. Due to this being the bedrock, unarguable most viable path to the best existence possible, logical cornering of any opposition, is possible, and even necessary if the opposer is a supporter of tyranny still. On top of using the logical cornering tool, the pleasure spawning from the things in this book being implemented by the masses, will help advertise all this as well. There's a reason I said the law of motivations is the most important thing in this entire book… It's that good. Life has that much potential. The main idea is, we should be using verbal confrontation to the absolute maximum, intelligently, before physical confrontation is ever the path we take—physical confrontation is purely to be used in self-defense when we are finally forced to force said _now proven tyrannical_ government "out of office" because that government chooses to remain closed-minded and oppressive despite their tyranny being _proven_ and despite all of literal near utopia being outlined and peacefully presented to them, with even the opportunity presented to them to argue against it if they can find a valid argument, an opportunity they ignored, and resorted to closed-minded oppression anyways; that warrants force.

_Yeah, I know the huge main entry already mentions this. I just wanted to make _damn_ sure you saw it to… save lives._

_Ideally, we should implement the logical cornering tactic _so much_ to the point the only remaining supporters of tyranny are the most hard-ass, _closed-minded_, idiotically diehard, _illogical_ and corrupt people, who will _not_ see reality._

operation loudspeaker – the importance of each "loudspeaker" knowing basically all the dynamics in this book

Unfortunately, for effectiveness, it's extremely _beneficial_ if a "loudspeaker" understands as many of the traits and dynamics in this book that they possibly can. It ties into the effectiveness of your logical cornering, the relevance of your speech, the admirability you radiate… Literally fucking _everything_. Some things are more important than others, but moral reality for example, has many, many parts to it, and they're all throughout this book. Understanding open-mindedness would be a piece of moral reality understood; understanding the moral reality behind relevant speech, like how it's justified to not have to listen to someone who you've told unarguably is lacking relevant speech and is too unclear to understand… Even knowledge of the economy, actually, especially knowledge of the economy, would help a loudspeaker be more effective… Knowledge of the law of motivations, knowledge of the solving mentality's importance and _even_ its justifications… As much as I hate to say it, I simply think _basically everything_ in this entire book will play some part in boosting your effectiveness as a "loudspeaker", because, literally just about everything in this book, answers some valid doubt, deepens the readers understanding in some valid, needed area, or communicates an example that really puts the foundations into the right perspective so you as the reader truly understand what I mean in those foundations, like the example entries I made around anti-censorship in its "extra context" chapter. The more of moral reality you know, the more justifications for the sword's "foundations of near utopia" you know, the more foundations themselves you know, the more (literally everything) from this whole book you know, the more ammo you will possess to be a more effective "loudspeaker". It even ties into self-discipline; like, those are basically the exact dynamics to obtaining the will to gain a maximally fit and realistically attractive body. Do you know how easy it is for me to go to the gym now knowing the dynamics to igniting songs, the dynamics to impactful motivation, and the dynamics to true, easy self-discipline? I'm saying all these chapters in this book and especially in the sword, will boost you in their own '_unique_' ways. Anti-toxicity will boost your personality's admirability, or EPE if you swing that way… Self-discipline can easily boost that as well through your obvious strengthened work ethic, and easily boost your physical health and _appearance_. Relevant speech will boost how interesting you are. Contemplation, as well as simply deeply understanding the conclusions I've already handed you in this book through my _own_ contemplation, can boost how intelligent you seem. Do I even need to continue? Do you see the bigger picture, the main idea of this entry yet? I know, I hate the fact that it's so much info I'm telling you is important for you to know… But it's just reality. I'm pretty sure you'll value that information at some point _anyways_, considering it's all tied to the true best existence possible… I guess, in other words, "I wasn't joking when I said the

goal is creating intellectual duplicates of myself". This isn't about me. I absolutely fucking hope someone who knows more than me comes along and shares their knowledge, and if you know things I don't know and should, please do share them, for humanities' sake. It's not about me, it's about the facts. And the best thing I can do in my current opinion is give everyone every fact I know (intellectual duplication). It's necessary to speedrun stopping tyranny.

- **question:** if I'm gonna invest time, then… How long is this shit going to take? I'm so bored hurry it up.

One of the main reasons "operation loudspeaker" should work much more effectively than instances of ideologies spreading through similar means in the past, is because of the sheer clarity, desirability, and unarguable nature of this book's conclusions. If me saying that is true, and if my perception of this info is accurate, this'll spread like fire.

Every day you wake up and, to some extent at least a bit a day, be pursuing the most viable path to achieving this end goal… Key word, most *viable*… Definitely remember the entry about "*path speed vs risk*". This is because of the law of motivations itself; how are you going to be bored if you truly understand the warning the law of motivations tells you, especially coupled with the fact that your boredom, and this "taking too long" is extremely likely just that you're currently, in reality, not following the most viable path to achieving this, and need to re-examine your plans to either find that more/most viable path, make some sort of innovation to improve your path, or conference with yourself to wake yourself back up again as to how this one truly is the most viable path, thus re-igniting your path confidence and will to be disciplined on it rather than whine about its slowness. I'm highly confident that this is <u>not</u> going to take some grueling amount of time, if you *truly are* following the most <u>*viable*</u> path, *especially* considering how viral this information should be able to be when you have learned all the human magnet traits from the sword like anti-toxicity, relevant speech, the laws of moral reality, etc. You'll more likely be a walking *nuke* in terms of social influence, lmao. For example, one *idea* of a potential innovation I had, was influencing people with a lot of money. If they truly want to hop on board with this, it might speedrun the goal a little faster to have someone on board with more spending power than average, considering this shitty society runs on that. And I already told you *all about* logical cornering, so it really just takes encountering an open-minded enough person, on top of probably having at least a little credibility to your name… I mean what dumbass would spend years getting all that money just to let themselves get intellectually enchanted and prioritize the goals they were enchanted with despite the enchanter having *no* other credibility presented…? Whatever, anyways, my final point with this entry is that your ability to gain a reputation socially, with all this info, should be pretty smooth. It's called a "human magnet" for a reason…

operation loudspeaker – the dynamics to "logical cornering" of any less viable ideology (<u>or</u> of elusive tyranny)

There is only one "best, most viable path" toward the best existence, for anyone, at an individual level. Yeah, there are some differences and preferences our paths will not collide in, like I might like castles whereas you like a countryside… But when it comes to the most crucial pieces of our happiness, we have a lot of similarities, and so there is a certain measurable amount of unity possible for all of humanity, since we have so many crucial pieces in common, pieces like how moral reality aligns with each of our individual paths to that best existence in almost all scenarios (thus heavily enabling unity), pieces like the moral reality laws themselves (if not disproven obviously). I suppose it's mainly fairness' alignment that creates such potential for unity, on top of occasions when our goals align and we are set to work together to speedrun that goal. Anyways, regarding anyone's desires (which will automatically align with following moral reality in almost all scenarios by the way), there is a most viable path to achieving those desires. My claim, and opinion, is that this book lists many unarguable foundations of anybody's most viable path to their best existence possible, no matter if they like farms, or castles. Not only does this book outline moral reality, but also aspects of basically everyone's best existence; like open-mindedness, relevant speech, the dynamics of insecurity, the dynamics of anti-censorship, extreme positive energy, the law of motivations, the solving mentality, the law of tyranny, the dynamics of impactful motivation, the dynamics to self-discipline, do I even need to continue? Do you see my point here? My point basically is, if you understand the foundational, unarguable pieces of anyone's "most viable path to their best existence possible", aka understand the things in this book deeply enough, e-

specially topics like moral reality and its laws, you will be able to "basically fucking *audit*" (just like the "how to roast" entry but without necessarily the same hostile, ego-shattering "verbal artillery"), you will be able to "basically fucking *audit*" any less viable ideology so extremely *thoroughly* that you could completely logically corner that ideology, completely and unarguably being able to now *see* and prove all the ways in which it is unarguably not aligned with the actual aspects of the most viable path to anyone's best existence possible. This is basically a tool for convincing those who are following less viable ideologies/paths in life, this can be an extremely effective tool for creating near-complete ideological unity in society (especially due to how appealing this book's path will be when the law of motivations is visible clearly to the masses due to its principles & thus all this potential being made a reality).

The only requirement for using this tool to its max effectiveness, is having maximally deep understanding of the actual unarguable pieces to anyone's most viable path to their best existence possible (understanding this book basically). So yeah, I'm sorry, but you know what that means… Reading time. I am very sorry, reality simply has it set this way, it wasn't my choice and I try to make this info get to the point and follow relevant speech… The deeper you understand this book, the more eye-opening and groundbreaking arguments you'll be able to bring forth (I really try to write highly eye-opening info in this book), and the more doubts you will be able to wholly clear up, logically.

You should also deeply understand this stuff, especially like relevant speech, for reasons other than just having more logical cornering "ammo"; as lacking relevant speech just makes others stop listening to you (for one example), and being adept at contemplation very well may lead you to solve doubts by finding the answer yourself, instantly, if you become good enough at it. I'm at the point where it's not just memorization of solutions or answers to other's doubts, it's the fact that I simply understand these foundations so deeply that I often *make* an answer instantly.

(that's the "chain reaction I", by the way. It can also cause contemplation of far harder dilemmas, to be far easier…)

operation loudspeaker – tf is a "loudspeaker"?

I called it that for quite a few reasons, one main reason they are called a loudspeaker, is because they defy the unjust censorship in place by this tyranny _intelligently_ & express their full selves, that full self obviously possessing deeply relevant speech, deep understanding of the dynamics to literal near utopia, and just basically everything in this book. Like I said, they're not called loudspeakers because they're "loud" in volume, but rather the interest factor and attractiveness in *what* they're expressing (sensible dynamics to near utopia stemming from such deep understanding of those dynamics that they can be put into ridiculously clear, 5th grade reading level wording somewhat like this book has *done*), as well as attractiveness in *how* the loudspeaker is expressing these dynamics and ideas. Possessing all the traits of a human magnet this book gives instructions to, as I've said, will boost one's attractiveness in unique ways for each trait, like how self-discipline can boost your physical appearance by you using it in tandem with igniting songs, to make exercise so fun that obtaining a "bodybuilder-esque" physique is literally easy, or like how understanding anti-toxicity can potentially ridiculously increase the interest others will feel toward your communications through witnessing your profound ability to "lawyer-esque-ly", expose and demolish occurrences of injustice in the most brutal, ego-shattering yet still justice-achieving way (not going overboard otherwise that'd open you to be criticized, and you'd appear unattractive due to now breaking moral reality (basic anti-toxicity law). So, as you can see, it's highly beneficial to attain all the human magnet traits in this book you can, because each of them will boost your strength as a loudspeaker in their various unique ways. So yeah, that's about it. A loudspeaker not only understands the human magnet traits, but defies the censorship this society attempts to impose on them; a loudspeaker will express their _full_ magnetic self, uncensored, (obviously following moral reality still, but) not giving a single fuck who gets unjustly offended at the truth. A loudspeaker obviously tries to find and use the most intelligent methods for expressing themselves, not just going to some public center to "kamikaze" the place verbally, "knowing they'll only last a whole ten seconds before security throws them out"… That's just ineffective and unintelligent, and will be unattractive/cringe due to that fact. Honestly, once the number of loudspeakers grows enough, you very well might be able to just get away with expressing your full magnetic self in public like that, but i-

t's dangerous to do that early on in this "operation", to resort to any less-effective method, because what could happen, is you could make yourself a target for hitmen hired by corrupt entities if they somehow see the threat this logic poses to their corrupt system "if blown up *properly*". Either that or you could be jailed unjustly. Just my ideas.

The main idea is, a loudspeaker is just someone who's made the quality of their self-expression extremely influential and attractive (by using this book I'd assume), yet also blatantly *disobeys* the unjust censorship of this society to express that *full* magnetic self and actually be an influence rather than succumb to being castrated by this tyranny, all in effort, the end goal, to duplicate themselves, effectively, and duplicate their intellectual contents as a loudspeaker, all in the goal of gaining the numbers and influence over society, not as an individual, but as power directly given to the facts, the dynamics of anti-corruption and near utopia, gaining the numbers to eventually, truly implement those dynamics of near utopia in society no matter what closed-minded tyrant stands in their way refusing to logically confront these "near utopia dynamics". This doesn't mean they go out in public to purposefully give some speech at a Walmart or whatever. As I've said, there are more intelligent and effective methods to blowing this up.

However, I do need to clarify, a loudspeaker might just go on a rant if it happens *naturally*, meaning this isn't some scripted "speech", this is your true in-the-moment feelings you feel the need to express, it just happens to be the case that those feelings, now that you'll understand this book deeply, will likely be extremely, um… "Magnetic", for most viewers to witness? That's basically undeniable considering the effects of knowing each dynamic in this book. Like, I've had times where just expressing myself naturally, "venting", defying censorship, was extremely attractive. But that's really not the same as giving some *forced*, scripted bullshit in an unintelligent & ineffective environment. You have to realize, one of the main reasons people get "speech anxiety" is literally just because of the censorship, meaning, if you were just able to be your full, genuine self, and made that self *attractive* by learning the dynamics in this book, you would be able to just get up on stage and say whatever the fuck you want & yet *still be attractive*.

*Meaning yes, "speech anxiety" in any person is usually directly *caused* by the *tyranny* and a lack of "vex education".*

operation loudspeaker – you absolutely need to be aware of the danger being a "loudspeaker" could put you in

*We *need* to have ways to escape this danger fully, and blow this information up safely, not risking our life or health.*

If you accept this role, you *have* to be aware of the danger it could put you in. You absolutely need to be aware that corrupt entities will very likely try to have you unjustly killed or jailed due to you uncorrupting their slavery system "matrix" of NPC's they've got in place. And don't get mad at me for saying that… Look at the american education system and how it's focused primarily on creating drones that mindlessly do what they're told… Especially visible in comparison to the kind of education curriculum *this* book proposes, you can see the contrast. Anyways, duplicating ourselves as loudspeakers, creating more loudspeakers, is basically our only hope to not be assassinated or jailed unjustly after we publicly express ourselves and these dynamics fully. I really don't think there's a better way to end the corruption in this society other than this. Please do not go out and risk your safety or life for this movement. You are just as important as anyone else, and please remember the law of motivations… If you saw all life's potential, the absolute last thing you'd be okay with is risking your life or health. I don't want any further despair than this hell of a society has already caused people, I do think this method and operation is the most effective and intelligent way to go about establishing near utopia, but I want you to also go about this in a way that is intelligent.

Being a loudspeaker definitely involves using anti-toxicity, uncensored, which is why it can be dangerous. People in this society are uneducated on the dynamics of insecurity, and are more likely to resort to unjust violence or just shooting you if you roast them badly enough. Thing is, anti-toxicity teaches the most gruesome roasting dynamics possible, basically. So, you're very likely going to be offending people who fully deserve it, people who are that unjust (otherwise you'd be breaking moral reality). I'm just saying, maybe you should carry a gun, and maybe don't stay in any one town too long, and maybe don't be predictable in what town you go to next (those are just example ideas and *aren't* some *official* part of operation loudspeaker, it's just a few of the tactics you may need to come up

with). Anti-toxicity will definitely increase the interest factor of your speech and make you more influential, as long as you're clearly *following* moral reality with it, even though anti-toxicity could put you in danger in this uneducated society, so it's a necessary trait, I'm just warning you about what you need to prepare for in using it. When your full genuine self is attractive and follows moral reality, completely disobeying the unjust censorship this uneducated society oppresses people with will be highly effective for creating more loudspeakers and spreading all this info.

operation loudspeaker – some cancellation tactics you may face

People might be able to cancel this book's name, or give it a bad reputation falsely, but they cannot cancel the clear-as-day dynamics to near utopia (if those dynamics are in fact the dynamics to it). I don't give a shit whether someone cites this book's name or just spreads the facts themselves, honestly, I'd perhaps rather you spread the facts themselves since this book's name sounds kinda weird and religious. My point here is, nobody can cancel these facts, they can only try to disprove them. And if our understanding of the actual path to near utopia is deep enough, there will be no cancelling or disproving anything because we'll be unarguably correct :skull: and able to blow every argument presented out of the water basically. Being jailed is also a real threat at cancellation, if we go into court and try to present this whole "moral reality" thing to them, there's like no chance they're going to hear us out since it so heavily deviates from what the "social norm" is or whatever. Not to mention how money seems to rule the judicial system more than justice does in this corrupt society, currently. If they valued moral reality rather than their ridiculous blanket laws, we would win the court case thrown at us for "expressing ourself too much in public" or "committing hate speech" (hurting a bad person's feelings to the point they pit this oppression against us as some tactic, or whatever). If the court truly valued moral reality, it wouldn't be a threat. But it doesn't seem the case.

And the main idea is, if enough of these loudspeakers "spawn" around a country, we'll then be able to rapidly gain influence exponentially and there won't just be one target for a corrupt government to assassinate or cancel, there will be too many at a point, and then it will keep exponentially growing to the point where they can't kill or cancel everybody, it will grow to the point where quite the opposite is the case—*they* are in danger of cancellation :skull:.

operation loudspeaker – dynamics to viral videos (or other viral media)

You can use the same core dynamics of what makes a loudspeaker interesting in the first place obviously (knowing the dynamics of near utopia deeply enough to explain them in extremely clear wording and easily connect them to things you observe on a daily basis which should occur automatically considering how foundational the things in the sword are to solving loads of life's problems), and then obviously possessing the relevant speech and other human magnet traits to improve your attention grabbing factor. But on top of those obvious, already mentioned foundations of a loudspeaker, for going viral on social media, you'll need to also likely find out how to appease the algorithm, unfortunately. You'll need to do some research yourself to find out how, because that's always changing, it'd be a waste of time for me to dive into that here. Unfortunately, you might have done nothing that even breaks moral reality and yet get "shadow banned" from a platform because you're waking too many people up. We simply must find ways to navigate those limitations and solve those problems to be able to still blow this info up, and not give up. Pay attention to other viral formats if necessary, maybe implement the message into something already entertaining, or something that so visibly displays "life's potential" that it becomes worth watching to the viewers?

Seriously, paying attention to other videos that have gone viral like these "societal commentaries" might be helpful.

Extra context: to find content to make viral commentary on, it might be a good idea to just scroll around to find it… already-viral posts, at least sometimes, will have relevance to some societal issue you can respond to in the scope of what this book has shown you. Even more so when you've trained your algorithm to show you that kind of stuff.

operation loudspeaker – the importance of a loudspeaker's methods being <u>highly intelligent and effective</u>

The reason it's called a "loudspeaker" isn't related to the volume of their voice. It's how effective, interesting/captivating, and important that voice is, and will seem to those that witness it. I told you to aim for as much intelligent effectiveness as you can in your *methods* for blowing this information up to the public, and that's exactly what makes you, as a "loudspeaker", *loud*. Someone going to some random mall or public center and standing up to give a speech, even on this info, while others just pass by already focused on their little side-quests, might appear cringe, likely *because* at a subconscious level, the viewer cringing at it is subconsciously *aware* that it isn't the most *effective* way to be blowing this info up, and that viewer is literally *correct*, and correct in calling what they're seeing "cringe". There's a goddamn reason you see something as cringe, and you shouldn't ignore it, *especially* if you're trying to be a loudspeaker yourself, because the cringe you're perceiving is very, very likely tied to the thing you're observing, being "less than maximally intelligent" (in nicer words…). My point is, any ounce of cringe in your own methods for blowing this up, hints that you're not really doing it the correct way and need to find the most correct, most effective, and thus most *intelligent* way. This is why I highly recommend using social media. You don't fucking just go out to some random mall in public and stand on a chair and give some speech dude, you need to find the truly most correct, intelligent way to do this… Social media is a good *option*, because people who have the most relevant speech (but also appease the algorithm I guess?) can blow up fairly easily, and it's the appropriate, more intelligent place to voice your opinion, because it's probably more effective than standing on a chair at some mall. My entire point with this rant, is you need to be paying attention to any feeling that you're doing some cringe, bullshit, "less than intelligent", less than maximally effective method of blowing this info up, all the way down to the possibility of more "outside the box" problems like "maybe my relevant speech isn't developed enough", as an example.

Ok I know I said find the "most correct way" or whatever, but let's be real… There's likely <u>many</u> genius ways. Sorry… You know, cause like one person might reach a different <u>demographic</u> of people by hijacking a corrupt media outlet.

Literally, think about it: one of the main reasons you might consider iconic scenes from shows like "<u>better call Saul</u>" as such, is because of the level of <u>intelligent effectiveness</u> the character uses to <u>really</u> get shit done, <u>admirably</u>, intelligently, extremely effectively. That is literally what a loudspeaker is intended to be like. That is literally, why I wrote the whole f'ing contemplation chapter lol. The law of motivations should fuel you to <u>want</u> to be this <u>effective</u>, admirable, attractive, and intelligent anyways? The attractiveness you see in these shows is <u>literally</u> just the opposite of seemingly "cringe" (seemingly not very <u>intelligent</u>) methods. Obviously though, follow moral reality unlike Saul.

the attention-grabbing factor of expressing a full magnetic self vs. "writing a book" etc. seems kinda <u>untopped</u>

The reason I wrote this book, <u>isn't</u> to use it as <u>the</u> method of spreading this info, but to be a foundation of dynamics for creating more loudspeakers. The <u>loudspeakers</u> themselves are the method by which this spreads. This is… <u>D.N.A.</u> "It really is some kind of boss battle. You don't just throw a book at a bunch of tyrants oppressing you, people fight"

Giving someone the best book to read in the *entire world* will not be as effective as a single damn loudspeaker with relevant speech *and* all the other human magnet traits blowing this shit up verbally on social media or wherever they decide to blow it up. You have to understand the entertainment and attention-grabbing factor all these human magnet traits, especially ones like relevant speech, will buff the self-expressions of a loudspeaker with… "When censored and not expressing my "full human magnet self", the corporations don't fear me, because I'm not very impactful. But, when defying censorship, expressing my "full magnetic self", they realize, "oh, this guy is a threat, because he's *actually* going to effectively wake the masses up, we must kill him to maintain our greed/slavery economy and keep everyone asleep". But as I've said, these self-expressions need to be performed intelligently, *naturally*, attractively, not forced, but coming from a calculated, admirable and thought-out operation that you *know* will work, one that *can* be accurately described as aligning with the "most viable" path to achieving the desired outcome, not some pathetic, stupid, and cringe attempt/path to that outcome. Aim to find that admirable, effective, intelligent path, the true correct way to do all this in your methods for blowing this information up (and obviously a first

step in that is making sure the info is correct in the first place, and I do think it already is, but you should fix it instead of giving up on this if you find bad flaws in it… This info & path is literally our only hope for happiness I know of).

I've found, through developing myself enough (deepening my understanding & improving my genuine self through that), that my genuine self can simply be fully expressed in many or almost all scenarios with little thought beforehand, and it still result in me being a very effective "loudspeaker" (this is obviously when I ignore unjust censorship).

wojak's dilemma (just trying to make this easier to CTRL+F by giving it a unique title)

- **question:** but how do I become a loudspeaker in the operation's early stages when there aren't enough of them blowing this info up yet to... Take the target off my back? If I'm one of the ones starting all this, and I'm gonna be all alone at the start of it, I'm putting myself in huge danger of assassination or unjust jailing.

If you ask me, I'd recommend trying something like keeping your "loud speaking" off social media until you successfully, genuinely duplicate yourself about 50 or so times just on a person-to-person basis without going viral and getting corrupt powerful people's attention, and then agree with every one of those duplicates on a date to start blowing this stuff up on social media. As long as they're strong enough loudspeakers and there's nobody actually standing out because they're all maxed out, then there really shouldn't be just one target for the government to take out, there will ideally be too many, and ideally that number will keep growing via your audiences "picking up the sword" as well, with how infectious I've given you the ability to be, if you _actually_ follow what I've given you. That's all just my idea though. Really, our only hope of mitigating the target on our own backs is to duplicate ourselves intellectually as *quickly*, *effectively* (creating loudspeakers equal in strength to ourselves otherwise we'll still be a target), and on as *massive* a scale as we can (number wise, using social media helps). You shouldn't be the only one blowing this info up virally, there needs to be too many people doing that, effectively and powerfully, for any corrupt corporation or government to even see it as a viable option to assassinate one of us. Vladimir Putin has survived 43 assassination attempts to this day, so _you and I certainly have at least a chance of "not dying" if we're smart_. We can learn a thing or two from his methods, and stick together, with a _lot_ of guns, as well as body cameras, for evidence to expose, and then further _weaponize the public against the corrupt entity_ and perhaps increase our own numbers and amount of support by using social media when we investigate an assassination attempt and clearly prove the culprit, serving as further evidence for this book's message, perhaps? Perhaps some kevlar could help too, as well as legitimate tactical training amongst us. And these are just a couple ideas, but my point is that we genuinely have a chance, _if we're smart_. And like I've said, the government or social media censorship may be able to censor or "cancel" this _one_ book's _name_, but I'm pretty sure they won't be able to censor the insanely clear and simple _facts_, the spreadable dynamics to near utopia in it, which can just be shared over and over all across social media virally no matter how much they want to censor the word "vexyricon". Also, you could just take all these facts and just put them under your own book title and buy a website and then make that title and website the hub you link your viewers to. I don't even care if you just copy and paste everything in this document into yours and share it under a different title if it's to avoid censorship, I'll be too busy fucking laughing at the corrupt pigs' frustration caused by you doing this. I'll be like hell yeah, this is somebody who's actually taking action and _thinking outside the box_.

One anti-corruption nuke or 50 anti-corruption nukes, going off at once? I think 50 is… Corruption's death sentence.

maybe corrupt people can cancel this _one_ book's _name_, but they can't censor its unarguable + spreadable facts.

If it'll help you be more invested in achieving the best existence possible or spreading the motivation to achieve that existence, I give you full permission to take every single one of the ideas and entries here and put some title that you would prefer over your copy of this book or rephrase things to be better or change the logic in it (to something you think is better for example), to come up with your own "vexyricon" you'll have passion towards, as long as you

aren't disabling me or others from sharing this information. The world needs to know about all of this, *for free*. I understand the innate, possible negative effects it might have on your motivation to be promoting something someone else made under a name that they (me) created, which is one reason I give you full permission to spread this info under your own name as long as you don't *prevent* others from spreading it for free. Be careful though, as greedy corrupt people might put a hit out on you to be assassinated if you're too loud or wake up the masses too effectively, threatening their greed economy. Dividing our effort, and there being too many targets rising too fast for the corrupt individuals to put the fire out in time before it wakes up the masses, might be a good idea and our *only* hope of actually implementing anti-corruption before the current tyranny in society gets too oppressive, or before you just don't have enough time left to even have a possible hope of immortality being achieved before you perish.

<-=->

L O G I C A L   C O R N E R I N G

One of the most important tools/skills for accomplishing operation loudspeaker, maximizing unity, and finding truth

<-=->

--- *sword logical cornering* ---

how to logically corner

Just use the eye opening and unarguable conclusions this book has shown you. It should certainly now give you the ammo to "logically corner" any divisive belief someone holds that opposes it, down to the reality that everyone does pursue max happiness and this book (hopefully unarguably) outlines the most viable path toward max happiness. And don't say "but people's ideas of max happiness are different". Because guess what, their "max happiness" still unarguably involves moral reality just like everyone else's, as well as probably relevant speech, open-mindedness, the dynamics of insecurity, and basically all or almost everything in this book, especially stemming from the fact that moral reality aligns with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios. You've been lied to your whole life into believing this bullshit that we're all supposed to just accept division amongst ourselves in society. Unity, at least for the most part in society, is our destiny, and this book shows just how much of our "best existence possible/max happiness" we all have in common (a ton of crucial huge pieces). So yeah, this book gives you a hell of a lot of ammo to perform logical cornering, because this entire book is based on logic and reason, to an unarguable extent. The only thing disproving this would be to have this book disproven. Please feel free to try, I'm only here to find the truth and the happiest ending I can for myself and the rest of humanity, and I'm totally willing and even glad to be shown if I'm wasting my time on a false path or set of ideas. All I've seen so far, is that someone presents a flaw with the logic in this book, and I just end up being able to fix it and make the logic even stronger. So, yeah, it doesn't seem like this book is wrong at a foundational level, it seems like I might make mistakes here and there, but the core ideas remain unchallenged and every argument I see just shows that. So maybe make a vex too?

If someone says a chapter title is not involved in their best existence, go down that rabbit hole with them, and you'll probably find it actually does & they just hadn't contemplated enough to see that reality until you did so with them.

Perhaps your logical cornering can be strengthened by other means too, like seeing quality debaters, even lawyers.

Extra context: for example, when someone presents a huge rabbit hole, recommend they put the whole rabbit hole into "nonfiction relevant speech format" instead of just saying "I ain't reading alla that". Or when someone debates about morality with you, now you have an exact unarguable definition of morality in the 'moral reality' chapter. Obviously those are only two minor examples. There's too many to cite, all the info in this book will help, diversely.

one of if not the only reasons this chapter/skill is even enabled to exist

Is if this book unarguably solves foundational philosophical questions as deeply and thoroughly as it seems to (questions all y'all seemingly haven't been able to solve (I truly think it does). Things like "what's every human's purpose" (to achieve the best existence possible) (and you have to keep in mind dude, I didn't just "answer" with only that in this book, I thoroughly went through exactly why and confronted as many counterarguments as I could come up with. Starting to see the reason for me calling this "logical cornering", and why it's so important, useful, and had its own entire damn chapter made for it? You literally corner all possible disagreement to find the truth), or how about the question of "what's the formula to uncorrupting human nature?" (the answer is making fairness align with everyone's best interest in as many scenarios as possible, how many scenarios we can do that with, directly *determines* the *degree* to which human nature can be uncorrupted, and as this book showed you, it just so happens th-

at we can make fairness align with everyone's best interests in *almost all* scenarios, thus uncorrupting human nature in almost all scenarios, which is a hell of a happy ending if you ask me). Those are literally just *two* examples of foundational philosophical questions answered by this book, enabling logical cornering. Here's the thing; if those answers remain unargued (along with of course all the other answers I could have listed given by this book), this literally opens the gate, to a very deep level, for anyone who knows/reads this book to unarguably logically corner others' delusional or even just elusively "wrong" beliefs or belief systems through enabling you to now much more deeply dissect and investigate the truth behind those "false" belief systems, because now you're equipped with such a ridiculously sensible, even unarguable understanding of life at a damn foundational level, and that's not even to mention the pure *appeal* this book's path and the life it creates, will inflict on those who witness how good the results of it are. This skill is really only enabled because this book's info gives enough unarguable ammo for it to be.

The logical cornering chapter is about finding the truth, furthering unity, dispelling delusion, going down the rabbit hole of everyone's claims and beliefs to successfully find the truth unarguably as we can and achieve unity and awareness to an intelligent maximum extent in society, that's why it's called logical cornering, it can also be used against people committing injustice, by finding moral reality and even finding how that moral reality is in the wrongdoers' best interest... Logical cornering is simply one of the very most important foundational aspects and skills of being an effective "human magnet" or whatever as well, on top of just spreading this book effectively to this population which currently has so many different people with different divisive perspectives to unify them maximally. It's mostly about finding the core truths of life and the truth behind each divisive rabbit hole opinion, belief or religion.

the reason this is its own chapter and not just an entry or two

Is because of how important it is. Y'all <u>need</u> to see this. People fucking need to know this skill and be pretty good at it, to accomplish operation loudspeaker effectively and to maximize unity in society, non-violently confronting and accurately labelling any false or delusional, divisive belief systems or ideals. Keep in mind, delusion is allowed as long as it doesn't break the law or cause someone to break the law, obviously. Freedom of religion should not be infringed upon, especially due to "schizo scenarios" (one of the scenarios from the moral reality chapter). But even though legal delusion should be allowed, and especially after the blatant and unarguable warning of the law of motivations and just the conclusions this book has revealed, the truth <u>must</u> fucking be located and identified as such, and we must aim to confront and conclude bedrock labels behind all divisive belief systems to find said truth, and we all must do so fairly, following moral reality, mainly in effort to achieve maximal unity and operation loudspeaker to overcome <u>all</u> tyranny. On top of the current tyranny we know and see exists in our corrupt society though, we also want to maximize unity (fairly) to overcome and gain the power as a unified human society, collectively, to overcome any alien tyranny or the like which we might encounter later by chance. This is our best bet, our best game plan I'm pretty sure, and the law of motivations shows us why we want to follow that, or find it even if somehow this *isn't* the best plan... So yeah, this skill of logical cornering is one of those things that needs to be taught in our school system, in the goal of truly locating and labelling the truth, especially now that this book has already given you the motivation and recipe to motivate literally everyone else to want to find said truth, in any scenarios, aligning with the fairest and best existence achievable... Just making this into a few entries, was not 'visible enough'.

<-=->

O P E N – M I N D E D N E S S

Willingness to hear out the ideas of those talking to you (as long as they get to the point & have <u>relevant speech</u>).

--- *sword open-mindedness* ---

open-mindedness – when you feel like someone's wasting your time

If you can unarguably show how the person speaking to you doesn't have *relevant speech*, you can communicate t-hat to them, so as to not waste your time hearing something irrelevant to you. So, *all you need to do* is deepen your understanding, to be able to *accurately <u>know</u> and <u>share</u> the reason* their speech is irrelevant to your quest/path. Once you do, you'll be able to easily, quickly <u>contemplate</u> and <u>communicate</u> it and you'll have *done nothing wrong*. If they have *valid arguments* against your reasoning, obviously you should hear them out or they wouldn't be valid.

Even just <u>truthfully</u> telling someone their speech is too irrelevant, is having at least heard and confronted it enough.

open-mindedness – even argue against yourself for the sake of finding truth

Aim to address any evidence for why you're potentially wrong, not just evidence for why others are, whether that evidence came from some valid doubt which popped *into your own mind* or came from someone who's arguing against your ideas, doesn't matter. It can come from *anywhere*. I don't care that it's "debate suicide" to argue against yourself; *your goal is to find the path to the best existence possible*, don't let your *ego* get in the way of that. The only exception I can think of is someone holding a gun to your head stopping you from being honest in the debate or they'll shoot you, aka unjust violence <u>tyranny</u> (if so, read the *law of tyranny*). The main idea is, don't debate *only* with the goal of pleasuring your ego, but *also* with the goal of gaining a deeper understanding of reality, other's p-erspectives, of finding solutions that fix sources of pain you notice, and finally, of knowing your logic truly holds up!

Sometimes a valid doubt pops in my head seeming like a huge <u>unnecessary</u> rabbit hole, so I confront it alone later...

true open-mindedness

One aspect of truly thinking for yourself and of *true open-mindedness*, is even asking yourself "why is it bad to be a bad person" in order to *truly* deepen your understanding of the logic behind that, and in the interest of truly outlining moral reality and the best existence possible. Sometimes our "traditions" that remain unchallenged by the *herd mentality* masses <u>aren't</u> all perfect; and sometimes we can be <u>missing out</u> on a lot of potential in life by sticking with the *wrong morals*… What's really bad, is when people in society are *ostracized* for being open to (mentally/v-erbally, not physically) explore the possibility of new ideas and moral values, ostracized by others closed-mindedly *without those ostracizing them being able to actually form a coherent argument* as to why these new ideas are wr-ong. Even worse, some closed-minded extremists resort to unjust *violence* tyranny when presented with new idea-s, sometimes even despite having not even presented an attempt at a counterargument. Just *tyranny*. Yeah, true open-mindedness can lead someone to some bad new ideas, the same as how chemists sometimes accidentally cr-eate mustard gas instead of what they wanted. Still, those bad conclusions should be met with communication and reason, not unjust violence tyranny, closed-minded ostracization, or unjust emotional abuse. Aim for moral reality.

Behold, true open-mindedness, the kind this corrupt society may "cancel" you for if you show it in some subjects.

Extra context: desires aren't always wrong, and humanity isn't always right, so you must have the mindset of even a willingness to open-mindedly investigate any morals you were raised on, to potentially find better moral realities than the one's society has concluded, especially if those currently concluded are leaving you unfulfilled. For example, I had to question the morals I was taught as a kid in order to eventually outline anti-censorship, which literally became a major piece and foundation of the entire best existence possible, and reducing the suicide rate. So, as y-ou can see with that example, it's pretty fucking important that people do adopt a 100% open-mindedness policy, of even willingly challenging the morals they were taught growing up, in order to maybe find better moral realities.

skill – understanding what people are <u>truly</u> trying to communicate beyond just their sometimes unclear words

If you understand human nature enough, understand what matters *most* to humans on a majority level, and things like that, you may be able to gain a more adept ability to truly understand the context of, and even *behind,* what s-omeone is trying to communicate, and *why*. This is a minor aid for EPE as well as a minor aid for open-mindedness. It'll likely even boost your relevant speech. This will help you to make verbal progress with people a little bit faster.

Just to be clear, it aids open-mindedness in the sense it helps you see their arguments more clearly and much faster.

open-mindedness aid for when someone tries to get you on board with an idea or sell you something

If someone tries to get you to join some cause (take some path other than your current concluded "most viable pa-th to your best existence possible" that you're already taking), or they try to sell you something, you could just ask them to show how it aligns more viably with you achieving your best existence possible than your current path, or ask how this thing they're selling you is aligned with the most viable path to your best existence possible in their o-pinion. They should know, to sell you something, that thing should clearly have significant value to your most viabl-e path to your best existence possible. This entry is here to give you an option for being less hostilely rejecting of o-thers without first at least hearing them out; this should give you the script to *fairly* give them the chance to show how whatever they're proposing is worth you taking part in, rather than you just closed-mindedly telling them to f-uck off, and then later being disliked by them for that interaction. This is a moral reality of how to handle this stuff.

This can even be used to avoid hurtful romantic rejections if worded more kindly perhaps, locating the moral reality.

This also goes for any time somebody just wants you to read a book or watch a 10-hour podcast, whatever. It's fair.

when someone presents a huge rabbit hole to you expecting you to listen to all of it

It is *<u>not</u>* unreasonable of you to request that they first put the *<u>entire</u>* rabbit hole into nonfiction relevant speech fo-rmat, meaning they take the main idea of the entire rabbit hole and present it first, the most relevant point, the th-esis… Then, they proceed to list the plan to achieving that main idea from most interesting info to least interesting info, making sure to confront all relevant counterarguments the reader could be asking, while reading (read entry).

This is what you say to someone when they're showing too much of a rabbit hole to you for you to even grasp the relevance of the speech about it; like, it doesn't seem relevant (because they aren't following relevant speech non-fiction format, by the way), but you can't really prove that it's not relevant to you because you "haven't looked do-wn their rabbit hole". This is the true solution to the dilemma I was sensing may spawn from creating a society fou-nded on open-mindedness and deep contemplation among the masses... I was thinking you'd have everyone and t-heir uncle selling some huge rabbit hole to you since they're now contemplating so much, and you'd never be able to do what you want in life, because they'd be wasting so much of your time but you can't "disprove them"... So t-his is literally the solution to that... And even better, if they follow the format and the shit isn't interesting enough,

then you can tell them that, because the format is set so they literally bring the most interesting point/thesis/main idea they possibly can, right off the bat, in the very first sentence of their entire rabbit holes' textbook. This may be an aid for some schizophrenics? Or at least for weeding out the geniuses from the actually crazy ones. Who knows.

This is the key to, in this type of situation, following moral reality and yet still accurately being able to cite their rabbit hole's irrelevance/how it is not following relevant speech, and free yourself from having to listen to pointless rants for hours on end just to see that irrelevance... Speedrunning its exposition... The relevant speech nonfiction format is used, so you can tell them to go follow it, and they can have that rabbit hole presented to you in the most efficient format, for you to see instantly if you really need to look down into it or not. It is the 'vexyricon' format.

Extra context: this proper rabbit hole format is one way how people called "crazy" for previously being unable to present the huge important rabbit hole they believe they found, can fully organize and share their ideas and rabbit hole in the most relevant-speech-following way, thus making it the absolute easiest possible for readers to understand their ideas, and helping them wake others up to the truth they think they found. Even if their rabbit hole is a dead end and false, they'll find that out through using this format, since they'll either come to that dead end when trying to make their now clearly organized book make complete sense, or others will present valid arguments all the way until the dead-end argument is located and found unarguable. So either way, this can help people see the truth whether you're the one calling someone crazy, or being called crazy. This is why I said this might help schizos.

effects of this open-mindedness

This will ideally be a society where anyone can convince anyone of any *valid* need for change, as long as they communicate while still following *relevant speech*, enough moral reality and conclusive, unargued logic behind why the change is necessary. This book provides enough info and exact *instructions*, to the point anyone's suggestions will likely only pass as unarguable if they truly, actually are in alignment with the most viable path to the be existence possible... Especially when this society is composed primarily, if not fully, of people who are *past the first layer*, adept at deep contemplation, at finding moral reality, and the most viable path to the best existence possible... And may I remind you, that we share a huge amount of major steps on said "most viable path to the best existence possible", so subjectivity *won't* be an issue. This won't pan out perfectly, but will pan out *impressively close to perfect*.

<-=->

EYE FOR AN EYE DYNAMICS

Moral reality, among other things, enables this; it's the path to true justice.

<-=- =->

-- *sword eye for an eye* --

eye for an eye achieves true, full justice

Finding the moral reality of a situation, (the fairest assessment of that situation) requires confronting all valid arguments against your proposed moral reality. Finding the "moral reality punishment" that fits a given crime, is found the same way; through an open-minded hearing with no unjust censorship, with the goal of finding the most unarguable, "bedrock" moral reality we can. The punishment should cause, at maximum, the equivalent amount of pain or damage to those proven guilty, as they caused to victims. This achieves maximum satisfaction of moral reality.

justifications for: eye for an eye achieves true, full justice

I just support equivalent exchange, which achieves true justice... It's pretty much the only way to achieve true justice so far as I'm aware. People who have different morals due to their culture doesn't mean they know moral reality... Cultures can be underdeveloped, just like how america's morals and laws haven't stayed the same over these years.

- **question:** what about "eye for an eye leaves the whole world blind"? won't the angry revenge never end?

Moral reality disproves this. We have a court system for a reason, to determine the *unarguable* moral *reality* of the situation. Remember, people, especially being passed the first layer, will have a heavy self-pressure on themselves to not commit injustice and end up being validly hated by everyone or in jail. Conflicts will be concluded and the moral reality found, as their conclusion will simply be public info, there shouldn't be any confusion about that reality. So basically, the entire public should have both the motivation and logic, to not unjustly re-light the revenge flame.

punishment satisfying moral reality is what matters at the core of everything

Court ordered punishments don't always need to be some kind of exact "twin" of the crime committed; like if someone is a rapist, it doesn't mean we go rape *them* necessarily. What matters, is that an equal amount of damage or suffering is inflicted on the criminal if they can't truly adequately somehow "pay" the victim back in some valid way. Like the title says, moral reality being satisfied is all that really matters when determining a punishment; and that just means, you don't go overboard with how much damage or pain you're punishing criminals with, and you don't go underboard either, unless *all* of the victims of this criminal agree to this proposed underboard punishment.

- **question:** So what are the rules of proportionality in punishment? If I shop lift, should I go to jail, get a small fine, or community service? Should I get my finger cut off? How will we measure this, "eye for an eye"?

Someone proposes a punishment based on their best judgement, and if anyone has any *valid* argument against it, it gets confronted. We do this until we find what nobody disagrees is the moral reality bedrock conclusion; the unfurtherly-arguable fairest assessment of the situation we can possibly conclude based on all the evidence available. The key here is there must be no *valid* arguments against this being the moral reality. Not every argument is *valid*... And if you're really gonna sit here and ask me, "well what the fuck labels an argument "valid?": *Logic. And. Reason*.

Extra context: think of wrong actions as detracting from a balanced state in which people are respected, rights are not infringed upon, etc. To "right" the wrong, we need to bring balance to the equation again. If we do not do this, we are just as bad as the wrongdoer, for being complacent in allowing injustice. That's the idea of eye for an eye, specifically combining that concept, of course, with the ideas of moral reality. Not to forget it's in our best interest…

in many cases, people will desire to fix injustices they committed when they gain enough understanding

To put you at peace a little bit, know, when someone understands the consequences of their injustices and the full scope of the pain they caused and the victims' perspective, and thus how their injustices truly stray from their own path to max happiness as well, they will *desire to fix* or pay for the unjust damage they've caused. Because of their deep gain of understanding, a person will start to pick up on past injustices they committed that they didn't pick up on in the past, on top of this. This should give you some peace. No matter how evil someone seems, this can occur.

If you're EPE, taking advantage of this fact, through deepening others' understanding, is already being used by you. Then again, the same could be said for anti-toxicity users, except this is being used in a more hostile way with them.

If someone tries to gaslight or lie to themselves about the injustice they committed, on top of them already understanding the full context of how said injustice has strayed them from their own path to max happiness, along with them understanding the consequences they will face for this injustice, their mental state and self-esteem will be, at some level, plunged into turmoil, especially if they have empathy. And, we've already concluded that empathy is simply logically more desirable than psychopathy for the reason psychopathy takes the emotional color out of life. Our empathetic nature has its own funny tax for being a piece of shit, I guess. Truly repairing injustice is the way. Their hair will start falling out and shit. Their cortisol will skyrocket. They'll lose sleep, and even worse might occur.

it's a good idea to keep evidence of injustices that occur and records of them to seek justice effectively later

Unfortunately, you may want to write down, or keep some level of evidence for the injustices people commit against you in this still-corrupt society for justice to be able to be pursued effectively enough once society is uncorrupt and actually cares about moral reality being followed, and outlined. It'll suck to be unable to prove that it occurred.

<-=->

W E A P O N   L A W S

Self-defense should be an equal playing field, and criminals don't care about "laws" standing in their way.

<-=->

-- *sword weapon laws* --

weapon laws

To solve the widespread unjust violence tyranny of this corrupt society, everyone, upon reaching a certain age, should be allowed to carry a reasonable weapon *after* passing safety and proper training, *the first layer*, and passing on the mental health plane. The reason I say this, is because the entries in this book being the center of society should*, with certainty*, heal people's mental health and educate them *so significantly*, that people, especially being past the first layer, will be extremely responsible with weapons equal to what any a criminal might possibly use against them. People will actually want far more, to be good, uncorrupt people, focused on achieving the *true* best existence possible, and will be educated fully on how to do so. On top of this, society will be centered around the *correct economy*, giving people less of a reason to resort to crime for *monetary* reasons *on top of all those other reasons..*. So, with all that, as well as education on how and when to morally use a weapon against whom, this will be intelligent, and will deter crime to an *absurd* level. *This being enabled causes a chain reaction enabling anti-toxicity*.

This being implemented successfully, is one major step in absurdly abolishing any looming threat of unjust violence tyranny stopping self-expression and stopping a ton of enjoyment in our lives. Nobody, not even at their "legendary self" can express their full, true, genuine self safely in an unjust society like this one ruled at its core by corruption, tyranny, greed, and filled with people who *do not* have the right mentality they were meant to have, and are instead enslaved, like drones, to a mentality or widespread plaguing number of dominant ideologies which have similarly created a culture of relatively purposeless "*NPC drones*" who's primary goals are, truly, at their core, just to milk as much instant gratification from life before their inevitable death as they can; see it as some house party to have fun at and uncaringly make the janitor want to die when he sees the aftermath... Look around you. No one cares about the true "best existence possible", rather majorly self-centered instant gratification *at a majority level*…

This unfortunate societal environment, oppressed far too often by the looming possibility of unjust violence tyranny, *will remain castrating you, me, and everyone, until it's fixed, by good, armed, motivated, intelligent men outnumbering the criminal fucks 100 to 1*. The only way to a society where you can express your full genuine self and not fear getting shot or *falsely imprisoned and kept there*, is the society this book outlines. People today have horrible mental health due to the things in this book not being spread in society, resulting in much if not almost all of societies' unjust weapon use (also applies to suicide). In this society, you'll be shot in an alleyway and *not ever be found*.

Like anti-toxicity, being educated on how to morally handle injustice on the physical plane enables these gun laws.
Like gun training, being educated on how to morally handle injustice on the verbal plane enables anti-censorship.

people will feel much more able to be themselves, open and honest on a level unseen in this corrupt society

Due to proper weapon laws, anti-censorship, anti-toxicity/extreme positive energy, open-mindedness, moral reality, people being passed the first layer, and basically everything else in this book, people should feel safe enough to be their full genuine self, and if their genuine self is currently underdeveloped and *cringe*, they'll get honest feedback and access to *easy instructions* on fixing that or even contemplating how to if, say, it's a new/unexplored issue. This will be a society where deep understanding, adept contemplation skill, and motivation to find answers in the first place, is all commonly found among the population anyways. Imagine what all this could do to the suicide rat-

e. If someone has an unpopular opinion to share, the chance they'll be shot for sharing it will be *absurdly* low compared to today. In fact, the "*true open-mindedness*" entry being followed, will make this an even *safer, freer* society than that of america's false "free speech" for you to voice any opinion in no matter how controversial, as long as it isn't simply *spam*. If someone feels they've been treated unjustly, there are too many avenues in this book I've outlined to achieving peace and justice. Human connection and our drive to solve crimes will be far stronger as well.

CONTEMPLATION

Thinking for yourself; your weapon against "impossible" problems, and how I found most of what's in this book.

-- *sword contemplation* --

how to contemplate

It's literally just talking/thinking to yourself, whichever you prefer. There are two modes of contemplation: surface-level thinking and thinking outside the box. Surface-level thinking is just having a simple-minded, blunt conversation with yourself, where one side of you asks questions, and the other side answers without having to think very much, hence the term "blunt" conversation. You can do that for as long as you're still making progress, but it's important that you're able to recognize when it's time to think outside of the box; *recognize when you've reached the front door of a boss battle*. Thinking outside the box is really our only weapon against those, and your ability to do that, depends on how many valuable and relevant surrounding details to the specific topic you possess, since increased relevant surrounding details means increased potential connections (*lightbulbs*) that can go off in your head to defeat said boss. The greater the volume & value of details you have, the easier the boss battle can be, basically.

the chain reaction I

The dynamics to the best existence possible you already know of, are the most viable details for finding new dynamics to the best existence possible, like a chain reaction. You find a couple foundational, important dynamics in that subject, and you'll likely be able to apply those to other issues to find further dynamics of the path to the best existence possible. There've been countless impossible-seeming society-wide dilemmas I've seen defeated with this.

Extra context: understanding the dynamics to the best existence possible that have already been found have shown to make it much easier to find or answer deeper and harder dilemmas, and find deeper and harder new aspects of the best existence possible... So, to all those seemingly impossible and intimidating dilemmas all of you are intimidated and discouraged by, I'm saying those are actually easier to solve than you realize, you just need to use the understanding already found in this book and it causes a chain reaction, making harder dilemma's easier due to you having more surrounding context now. This doesn't just apply to the subject of the "best existence possible", of course, it's just obviously the main goal we all probably have here. This is really just some doppelganger of "the dynamics of thinking outside the box" (it already says surrounding context helps in finding outside-the-box solutions).

dynamics of thinking outside the box

Your ability to think outside the box on any specific issue depends on the relevance and volume of surrounding knowledge relating to your issue's topic you possess. Also known as "*the chain reaction I*". This is because, the more relevant surrounding details you know, the more points of connection (*lightbulbs*) your mind can potentially make. In other words, your mind is like a toolbox, and the problem you're facing might need a very specific tool to be fixed in the best way, so the more (relevant/valuable) tools (surrounding knowledge) you have, the more you may fix.

So basically, you can develop "investigative tools" in practicing contemplation, tools like "compare & contrast", etc.

Thinking outside the box can be the difference between happiness and depression, if for example, you think you can't solve a critical issue plaguing your happiness. Growing this trait strong enough can lead to solving seemingly i-

mpossible problems. Therefore, this is one of the most important traits in this entire book. I've found that thinking outside the box can _even_ be the difference between becoming a hero and villain: if you can't find a way to satisfy j-ustice by thinking outside the box and successfully coming up with a way to do so while following moral reality, th-at can drive you insane to the point of resorting to some unjust means of revenge. I think many of the shootings t-hat occur especially in america today could have been avoided if the perpetrator knew about this book (knew abo-ut truly effective, moral reality-following ways to achieve justice). I think some resort to mass shootings, because t-hey've concluded "everyone in this society is the same, they're all (description of bullies they dealt with)" and took it out on innocent people not only because of a lack of seeing solutions to uncorrupt bad people/bullies, but also in not caring enough to even try to find solutions to do that, not being "passed the first layer". They just fully gave up.

the sweeping method

Unexpected new questions can appear in any given contemplation labyrinth you're navigating; contemplation isn't always just a straight path forward. The sweeping method just says leave little to no questions _relevant to your pa-th to the best existence possible_ unanswered when contemplating, allow little to none of those holes in your unde-rstanding. Many of these new questions may seem annoying, specifically these no effort, lazy seeming but yet so c-omplicated ones like "why is this this way". Yet, questions like that are the keys to deepening and densifying your understanding of the topic at hand and how it connects to surrounding topics. These annoying surprise hallways of thought can lead to new, unexpected but very valuable conclusions and connections. Staying loyal to the sweeping method should strengthen "_the chain reaction I_" in you, due to your understanding becoming solid metal rather th-an Swiss cheese. The more you successfully contemplate and the more you deepen your understanding, the more power you can obtain. Just look at this _damned book_ as proof; you never know what you'll find using this method…

I can remember quite a few times where exploring these "annoying" questions by staying loyal to the sweeping me-thod, somehow yielded very underlined valuable conclusions and connections which helped me solve extremely hard dilemmas.

the chain reaction II

When proposing potential new path steps to a best existence possible, the harder the arguments or scenarios that argue against your proposed step you confront/disprove, the more potentially clearly proven your proposed step will seem, and the higher volume of easier arguments directly under those harder ones, will have been disproven.

New arguments harder for a different reason than you expected can present themselves. Simply confront those too. For example, I not only had to confront human vs. human trolley problems, but "final chicken" vs. human ones, too.

Explanation: if you confronted the hardest scenario against your claim about something always being true, then th-ere's no harder scenario to confront, and you've basically found a claim that works in every scenario, a constant of reality… That's assuming there are no secondary or underlying _types_ of hard scenarios. For example, I might some-how prove that fairness is objective in a trolley scenario when dealing purely with humans vs. humans, but when y-ou add animals to the mix, you could call that a "secondary" or "underlying" type of boss battle completely unrela-ted to the one involving humans. Like, if it's involving the last animals of a very important species for example, vs. a human life, that can possibly make it hard in a very unique different way than the human vs. human example; you now have to answer a different type of hard question from a different entire angle of difficulty. So we've conclude-d that there are possibly multiple boss battles you'll have to beat to actually have proven a constant of reality. The chain reaction II simply says, for each type of "boss" you defeat, the easier scenarios _of that type_ under it, will alre-ady have been confronted. For example, in the human trolley problem, to use the chain reaction II, I'm saying you'-d want to imagine and confront the absolute hardest example, _dealing with humans_, to confront, like say, 99% of humanity on track A while the most important scientist/whatever in history is on track B (just an example okay), a-

nd that'd be the "human vs. human" type "boss battle" or whatever the hell we wanna call it. And I'm just saying, in applying all _most-difficult aspects_ of the a type of scenario boss possible at once, you're covering a lot of ground, saving a lot of time not having to confront all of that type of scenarios possible formats since you just made one with all hardest aspects thrown at you at once. That's the chain reaction II. It's hard to explain but a _bit_ unimportant.

justifications for: the chain reaction II

- **explanation of the whole entry:** this basically is just saying for example, when trying to find a law of moral reality or prove something is a law of moral reality (remains true in all or almost all scenarios), the harder the examples you confront against your proposed law, the more other examples will be solved as a result of that hardest one being solved, like taking out the foundation of a building when that foundation is connected to every brick above it, the bricks touching that foundation will be affected by digging up that foundation. It is because these hardest examples tend to provide working solutions to many easier examples.

Extra context: if you confront the hardest scenario against your claim about something always being true, and there's no harder scenario to confront, and you've basically found a claim that works in every scenario… That's assuming there are no ulterior types of hard scenarios you haven't considered the existence of yet. For example, I might prove fairness is objective in a trolley scenario when dealing with humans by saying something like "there's a fairest assessment, it's to not force death on the innocent person you want to sacrifice unless they agree to it, it just might not align with humanity's path to max happiness", but when I add animals to the mix, like "the last animals of a very important species", that makes it hairy in a new & _unique_ way to solve/prove objective fairness (I gave up on outlining objective fairness, this is just an example to help you understand what the chain reaction II is). So, we've concluded that there are possibly multiple boss battles you'll have to beat to actually have proven a constant of reality; the chain reaction II is just a method you'd use to attempt to prove a constant of reality, a conclusion/law that unarguably, according to reality itself, remains true in all or perhaps "almost all" scenarios. I would say open-mindedness' value is a constant of reality, for example. This whole paragraph is pretty much just here to make sure you can understand the perhaps confusing description of the chain reaction II in its original parent entry completely.

root solutions vs. surface solutions

Surface solutions are called that because they're less viably aligned to achieving the best existence possible than others. My definition of _root solution_ is this: "the more root a solution is, the more viably it aligns with our _most root purpose_: achieving the _best_ existence possible". Aim to locate and follow, _the _most potently root_ solutions you can_. For example, one _surface_ solution to littering might be to pick up trash on the streets in comparison to a _more_ root solution of outlining a mindset which makes everyone actually want to get rid of all trash everywhere (if it works). This is just another way of me saying "follow the most viable path toward the best existence possible, at all times".

The "recipe" to getting to the root of a problem is using contemplation, and all its tools and tricks, to make connections. So many, to the point you start seeing them converge onto one or a couple consistent conclusions and solutions, those conclusions/solutions, thus might actually have the root conclusions and solutions to that problem. And the more they converge and connect, the more problems these solutions solve, the more root the solution likely is. It's all back to this idea: the more problems that are solved and connections made by this one solution, the more relevance said solution has, to achieving the _best existence possible_, which is literally our core life purpose, literally our main, absolute most core, _most root goal_, and thus, this defines how much said solution fits with being called a "root" solution. There are quite a few contemplation tools that exist, like a toolbox in your dad's garage, and they investigate and interrogate the issue from different angles, leading to more angles of evidence and of perspectives.

trick for improving your wording of a notepad/vexyricon entry when brainstorming it – "so basically"

This is for writing any and all types of entries in a more eye-opening way. First off, you obviously contemplate the entry as fully as you can, confronting and outlining the how's, when's, why's, etc., (sweeping method?), you figure all that out in your favorite contemplation location where you focus best or whatever… And you might have a huge bunch of paragraphs of "speech to text" notes in your digital notepad for your entry, but this tool is that I want you to take your main conclusions, and keep saying to yourself "so, basically…" and then re-explaining those conclusions to yourself as if you'd asked yourself "what do you mean?" to trigger this "so basically". So basically, I'm telling you to re-explain your conclusions in more clear/blunt wording, over and over... This is how I find better and better wording of my conclusions, weeding through all the thick paragraph forests I make to find the healthiest tree I can. This tool should have the effect of often leading you to increasingly clearer and clearer wordings of your conclusions the more you use it, until you're satisfied, but it also seems as though it can lead you to make entirely new connections, entire new dynamics, and eye-opening analogies of those conclusions too, in my own experience at least.

Extra context: the way this works, is because when you say "so basically, what you're saying is..." that is you inquiring, and requesting to yourself to construct and say that conclusion in an even more clear way than you just said it. So the tool here, is to keep repeating this step until you phrase that conclusion extremely satisfactorily, clearly, and as a result, your understanding of this conclusion should also become extremely satisfactorily clear and deeper in comparison to if you just simply slapped the first edition of the conclusion in and called it a day. Repeated refining.

Extra context: there are contemplation tools similar to "so basically", such as "so what's the problem?", "so what's your point?". Stuff like this can get you back on track if you're going down a thought hallway you think you don't need to be, or can just speed up your contemplation success, by keeping you focused on the main idea, or objective.

gut feeling radar, contemplation tool

This is primarily useful when you suspect you're going down an unnecessary hallway of thought/overthinking, but when you find yourself at a dead end in contemplation, stuck on an issue and having a hard time thinking outside the box to solve it, sometimes you may want to use this tool to help at least a little. All you do to use this, is ask yourself what conclusion your gut feeling deep down thinks is your *real most desired* conclusion to the problem you're stuck on. This is called "radar" because that's exactly what it is, it's a little dot telling you exactly where the conclusion you suspect may be, but doesn't tell you why, or how to reach that conclusion. The gut feeling can be smart and useful. We know our gut feeling isn't always right, obviously, but when contemplating hard issues, this has been useful. You'll still need to think outside the box and do the work of finding out if your gut is right, but hey, funny tool? With outlining the "best existence possible", I basically asked what does my gut feeling "want" to be the reality, the way this problem is solved/way things should be, and somehow, it often led me to the actual aspects of the best existence possible in this book. I just had to do the work to find out how those "most desirable solutions" *could* be made to work, could be made compatible with society to be implemented. For example, in using this, I found *anti-censorship*. At first, I was thinking "no, this seems incompatible with society, even though deep down I want it to be compatible", but here we are, and I've now figured out how to make it compatible, this book explains it. So yeah, that's a good example of me using the "gut feeling radar". So basically what I'm saying with this tool is, I would have been over here wasting my damn time trying to figure out how to maximize quality of life *with unnecessary censorship still in place* had I not used this gut feeling radar, to locate the true, deep down solution I *wanted most* to be reality, wanted most to *make work, make compatible with society*, I would have been wasting time on a lesser solution which *didn't lead to as much enjoyment*, accepted unnecessary censorship. I guess you could now consider this somewhat of a descendant of the law of pain or whatever, because I'm just saying, you want to really give a good college try to first finding what you really want most, and then really trying to think outside the box to find a way to make that compatible with society and the rest of the best existence possible. Had I not used this tool and done that, I might *still, to this damn day* be stuck contemplating "what to do about censorship" or some shit,

or I might've given up on it. And I think some philosophical people in this society might be stuck in holes like this due to not using this tool perhaps. I guess this all kinda links back to the whole "being open to questioning the morals you were raised on" level of thinking for yourself, as well. It's funny how many of these entries converge around a similar focal point, yet are still notably different... Sure is a pain in the ass and lots of writing work for me though.

The old wording, to help you understand more: this tool is also useful in finding better moral realities than the ones that currently exist. For example, I eventually got a gut feeling that anti-censorship was a good idea, I just hadn't outlined _how_ or _why_ yet. And when contemplating to outline the arguments for it, I often had to "vent" in full bluntness, my gut feelings about what flaws the censorship in this society uses has seemingly led to, to re-center my focus on exactly what I want to _fix_. An open-mindedness, willingness policy to explore morals other than those you were taught, is smart. As I've confronted the possibility that some morals I was taught are wrong (the whole "be nice to everyone no matter what" thing, the stuff you see promoted in corporate environments) and I had to explore the possibility that the things I was told are morally wrong are actually _not_ completely wrong (roasting the absolute fuck out of bad people), I've realized our desires can be used as _more_ of a compass for finding better moral realities _than we give them credit for_. Wait, don't shoot me: people _do_ have some wrongful desires, I'm not ignoring or denying that, but it seems like we're being taught "life is painful, and you don't always get what you want" _way more than necessary_. That's what I'm saying. Like dude, look at the fucking examples in this exact entry right here you're reading, about anti-censorship. And that's just _one_ example I could cite. Another prime example is the economy of this book, which many of you would probably call me a commie for, still stuck in your herd mentality bullshit refusing to see the whole picture I'm communicating. _lmfao_. A normal person in _this_ hopeless, unmotivated society, would have probably been under the mindset of looking at the level of censorship like "yeah, I deep down have a bit of feeling like I don't like this censorship, but I'm just going to "accept that little elusive annoyance as a part of life and _not_ try to test the stability of that tower". And I'm just saying, the resulting happiness from me not accepting that annoyance as "a part of life", resulted in _one of the most foundational and awesome aspects of this entire book being outlined_; an aspect that _really_ makes life better _in a way I didn't even know it could be_ (anti-censorship). These specific increased freedoms found from thinking outside the box/finding unchained ideas of moral reality like anti-censorship, have been important to me in concluding that the best existence possible truly is so worthwhile to create and that anyone who sees it will chase it, which I _know_ to be true. Another way to see this, is that it's for when you're in deep contemplation waters you're losing hope in and you wanna just "refresh the webpage" by asking yourself what you really _want most_ to prove possible. I can't tell you how many times I've used this (every time I get to seemingly a dead end/boring path in contemplation). It kind of re-routes me from overthinking paths or paths involved in accepting annoyances rather than exploring their elimination... Back to focusing on that real, _fun_, "best" conclusion and trying to figure out how _that_ conclusion could be made true and _compatible_ first and foremost before I accept any significant level of annoyance as "just part of life/part of the best existence possible".

- **question:** but isn't this just some kind of anti-sweeping method?

Yep. But that's why it's only for when you're simply _genuinely_ feeling a bit like you're on an _unnecessary path of thought_ and really just want to get to the point, when you suspect you're thinking about something unnecessary, or see no value in said path. The sweeping method is valuable, but dead ends (or just not valuable enough ones) do exist. I wouldn't recommend using the sweeping method to a max level _anyways_, as contemplation might become really headache-inducing for you... Yes, you might deepen your understanding a hell of a lot more than people who don't do it to that level, but from my experience, it gets hella annoying and you might just deepen your understanding of stuff that doesn't matter, especially in the scope of _death still looming over you like a time bomb_. Seems like most philosophy communities in this current society overdose on the sweeping method like it's fucking 5¢ fent, and that's probably _one_ big reason why none of y'all found real solutions to societal problems... Maybe? I don't know. I go in there and y'all are talking for hours about "proving a = a is always true with 800 pages of logical operators" or some shit. It should be fairly easy to recognize when you're on an unnecessary thought path, you'll sense it.

supporting example of the chain reaction I involving the "dynamics" of romantic rejection

Months ago, I was contemplating the unjust pain I was sensing coming from romantic rejections and breakups in t-his society, trying to solve it, and so I asked myself "okay, find the exact dynamics to correct romantic rejection". T-hat, led to an overthinking path. At the time, that was a very complicated and intimidating question to try and ans-wer, so I just put it back into my confrontation list notepad to confront later; later, when I had deepened my unde-rstanding more, thus potentially having more ammo to answer this intimidating question. Fast forward some mon-ths, and I answered this seemingly intimidating question with "simply following moral reality, is the "dynamics to r-omantic rejection and breakups". And that answer is correct. Most people give up on questions like these, underst-andably, but, as you can see, and as I've seen plenty, they're not actually super intimidating. You just need to know enough "other" dynamics of the "best existence possible" to be able to answer these intimidating questions. For e-xample, to answer *this* question I first had to completely prove and understand the connection of "moral reality" truly existing in almost all situations in the first place, and on top of that, I then had to prove it can align with ever-yone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios and exactly why, thus proving both relationship partners *want* to follow moral reality, thus hinting at the answer to this being, moral reality itself *being* the dynamics to breakups. I also had to fully flesh out the consequences of what would happen if this wasn't followed, further supporting this.

Everybody in this corrupt society seems to straight up just give up when met with these intimidating dilemmas. I am saying here that they're a hell of a lot easier than they seem, you just need to strengthen your chain reaction I, first.

Another thing to know: "okay, find the exact dynamics to romantic rejection" also might seem intimidating because it's not the question to be asking in the first place—you're not supposed to just bomb yourself with some gigantic t-opic to fully outline at once, you're supposed to tackle <u>one</u> *not-too-complicated question at a time, make things as unnecessary-pain-free as possible, especially in thinking on complicated topics; you shouldn't try to 'snipe' answers.*

potential tip - don't overanalyze

When I was trying to outline the dynamics to EPE, I would start by asking myself, "okay, tell me how EPE is possibl-e". What this did, was presented the entire subject to me to solve all at once. You don't do that. It caused a huge h-eadache to me because I was basically trying to "snipe" the dynamics to making it work rather than taking it one p-iece at a time to eventually have a full picture. For example, I could have confronted first "okay, why don't EPE ma-gnets get mad?" or even went as small as "ok, what do you think EPE would do in x scenario?". But no, I was over here asking if the entirety of all of EPE was possible, trying to speedrun the dilemma rather than take it one beara-ble step at a time. You want to not try to bust through a steel wall in one go, that's hard, you want to chip away at that steel wall one pickaxe swing at a time, where each successful small hole made, will make the main hole bigger.

quality of intelligence vs. quantity of intelligence (quality is supreme, the one to obtain)

If someone knows every possible trivial fact in the game Jeopardy that could be presented to them, do you think I-'d call that quality of knowledge, or quantity of knowledge? Quantity. Now if someone knows every dynamic to the best existence possible one can know, do you think that's quantity of knowledge or quality of knowledge? Quality.

It all comes back to your true purpose as a human, being to achieve a "best existence possible"; that's everyone's core purpose. It comes down to, what pieces of knowledge, that you have to spend your time to obtain, are more worthwhile in the scope of achieving a best existence possible? It all comes down to your exact plan to achieve the existence, and comes down to whether you're even aware of what you're chasing (aware of as much of or *all* of lif-e's potential, because you may be wasting time chasing a lesser existence if you're not aware of all of life's potenti-al, for example). I say quality of knowledge is supremely important, and quantity of knowledge is the type of stupi-d *bullshit* you're sometimes forced to learn in an american high school. Let me clarify, some things taught in this s-

chool system are in fact important to know, but many topics also aren't. Obviously, as you know, the american school system is primarily focused on creating soulless drones that just follow orders, not their dreams. The quality of the knowledge you possess can be measured by its direct applicability to your most viable path to achieve a best existence possible; "how useful it is in getting you to a best existence possible". That's all, and it's why it is supreme.

Personally, I see IQ score as brain *speed*. I usually see quality of intelligence as a measurement of one's true power, because that's their toolbox. Brain speed isn't going to find you the best tools if it isn't guided in the right *direction*. And as I've told you, I'm pretty confident you guys giving up on the solving mindset has sent you in a bad direction; I said, me accepting the solving mindset is one of if not the main reason why I was able to find most of this book's other solutions. The solving mindset was a gateway that made moral reality align with people's most viable paths… The solving mindset literally solely enables the dynamics of insecurity. The solving mindset can cure human nature.

<-=->

CONTEMPLATION NOTEPADS

The organized system of notepads I use to deepen my understanding. This is exactly how I wrote the vexyricon.

<-=->

-- *sword contemplation notepads* --

value of digital notepads

Digital notepads can be used as a waiting room for things you need to confront when you get the time, a record of important memories, a to-do list, a record what you contemplate and solutions you've found, or more. This chapter shares how I use these to contemplate/solve problems, develop theories, plans, and deepen my understanding. It's basically an "industrial *factory*" for dynamics of near utopia and a best existence possible so yes, it's important.

use speech to text

There's a nice tool on many phones that lets you press a microphone icon and just speak into your phone and have your speech semi-accurately converted into text. This makes recording extremely detailed/long notes much easier, especially when I'm at work and can't waste time. As long as you speak clearly, these notes can reflect your dialect.

security for accidental deletions

I've got a bot which records every time I edit or delete a message/note in any one of these notepads. It pastes the entire message in its own little notepad, too, not just the edited or deleted part. I do this cause I very much value recordkeeping of these topics and conversations of contemplating solutions, for history. The only thing that isn't backed up is basically the out-loud verbal conversations I didn't type where I just talked to myself, but the conclusions to those talks often if not always are typed out so I remember them, so even those solutions get recorded. A bot is just my idea, you do whatever you can. This is like with the "backing up [Judged] playlists", just my method/idea.

the confrontation list notepad

If any question pops up in your head *relevant to your path to max happiness* that you feel you might want to contemplate/find an answer to, as long as you aren't *on fire* or *bleeding to death*, you should *put that question into this notepad* (you wouldn't put "clean your room" in this notepad, there's nothing there to contemplate, that's a concluded plan, not a *complicated question* requiring contemplation). Yeah, you may find it annoying the number of difficult-to-answer questions that pop up all at once whenever you're sorting through your unsorted notes and you revisit some theory you came up with ages ago you didn't fully contemplate... But still, put those difficult questions in here, unless you're prepared to *fully* contemplate them *right away*... I say this because for example, I contemplate better at work than at home; so, find a convenient time for you, I find it more convenient to put things in this notepad unless I *know I will* knock them out right away; your choice. Just remember to follow the *sweeping method*.

Also, I sometimes end up including a lot of context in each confrontation list entry because that's what was needed to truly answer its question, so if you do too, it can make it easier to repeat the main question of the entry at the end of the entry, again, separated from the huge mass of context involved in it, so you can see the exact question you want answered, immediately, and clearly. This can make the confrontation list smoother to make progress on.

By putting things you haven't answered or can't remember the answer to into your confrontation list, you'll be training your memory to remember the solutions you come up with, so if my memory fails me, I might re-contemplate.

The entries in the confrontation list are just that: entry points... To bigger "mental labyrinths", Which you can explore, potentially find many more valuable dynamics, formulas, conclusions, and even new pieces of life's potential...

the storage document

This is a text file containing *anything and everything you no longer need*. Outdated/disproven theories, completed to-do list tasks, storage for old important memories, and more. I'm pretty generous with what I put here. It's more of a tool to ensure that my notepad's history is recorded, so you may even see things put in here twice, since the concern really isn't on this document's size; but purely on ensuring I have every single outdated thing pasted here as recorded history. If it takes two pastes on different occasions for me to remember for sure it's in there, that is ok.

Since it can be right next to my other notepads, I also use a "storage <u>notepad</u>" as a place to compile outdated notes eventually into massive blocks of text, which I can then paste into the storage <u>document</u> all at once. It saves time.

the important memories notepad

I use this for long term important memories; not as a to-do-list. I usually recite, in fairly great detail, times I was impacted by the *law of motivations*; <u>*exactly*</u> *what I saw* in the motivation/song/whatever impacted me, so when I'm viewing the words and attached media in this notepad in the future, I'll be as accurately reminded as possible; hopefully transported back to that exact experience (kinda unrealistic expectations). This is obviously because I'd be impacted again, it can have a re-motivating effect. That's been my main use for this notepad. Aside from that, I may write notes about imagined places or creative concepts I come up with, like the "Stone City", if I come up with some new dynamic for how that place would function or whatever. All these notepads are just the way *I* do things…

When I want to empty the important memories notepad into the storage document, I just type "important memory" at the beginning of each note, so when I paste all of them into the storage document, each one will have the words "important memory" at their beginning so I can just "CTRL + F" and search "important memory", which will display every instance of those words occurring throughout the entire storage document, for me to find all of them…

Extra context: it's a good habit to write down, in great detail, law of motivations experiences you have. Key words being, <u>*in great detail*</u>. You really want to bring yourself back to that moment, back to that imagery of whatever you were impacted by, as best you can. This is just a useful practice in staying awake to the truth. I also tend to write the exact time it occurred, down to the minute, and I put "important memory" in the notes' beginning, so I can CTRL+F "important memory" when viewing my text file storage notepad, to find all important memories in the text file.

the conclusions notepad

This is for answered/concluded questions from the confrontation list, as well as from anywhere else, like the internet for example. I simply treat this as somewhat of an "unsorted notepad" because once a question from the confrontation list is answered and put here, I then have to decide whether the information I found by answering the confronted entry is important enough to be a new vexyricon entry, or whether it leads to new questions/has to be put back into the confrontation list in some way, or whether it isn't needed anymore and can go to the storage notepad, for example. The main idea here is that this is the pool of unsorted conclusions and findings from all places.

【Vexyricon】

Treat this with as much importance as the 【Favorite Music List】 entry from the judgement list method chapter. This is the "_most important conclusions_" notepad. For me, it's a book I named the Vexyricon. You name your "most important conclusions" notepad whatever you want. Just like the favorite music list, this is one of the most important possessions you will ever own. Good luck finding better conclusions than this book shares… I'd say just use this.

When something in the conclusions notepad shows it's important enough to be here, I just cut and paste it here, and then make it seem concise & square. Its logic should already be sensible and polished fully, otherwise it'd either go back into the confrontation list or I'd confront (contemplate) it right then and there. Similar to how I made "biomes" of songs and blended the thumbnail colors of all my favorite music list's songs to make a sort of rainbow effect as you scroll through it, I put effort into making my "_most important conclusions_" notepad (vexyricon) look good.

the control center notepad

This is like an "important memories" notepad but for more urgent and immediately relevant notes, like important reminders. If I find a really important new discovery, I might put it here, or if I find a new strategy for solving a problem I must remember to practice each day, I might put it here, it's really up to your judgement what you put here.

contemplation notepads chapter <u>conclusion</u> entry

At the end of the day, you can just make whatever plethora of notepads and names and titles you wish, to achieve whatever goals you're trying to achieve… All these "predefined" notepads in this book are just here to give you the most important ideas I _alone_ could share that I felt helped me the most in my goal of the "best existence possible".

tip for easily sorting through months of old notes to find important new entries – recycle <u>any</u> too hard questions

You might reach a point in your notepads where you've got multiple, giant notepads containing months' worth of notes. In the quest to write a vexyricon, obviously, you'd have to sort through all these old notes to weed out any unwritten, high-quality entries you could possibly turn one of these notes into. Thing is, you'll also run into notes that slap you in the face with hard questions you didn't finish contemplating fully, and it may make you feel like panicking. But rest assured, just put any even slightly difficult questions back into your _confrontation list_ to be confronted the next time you're doing the activity that you best contemplate in, confronting that confrontation list. This will make sorting through these old notepads, relatively a breeze. You can throw trash right into your storage notepad and then to the storage document, put new entries in the new entries notepad, or any other notepad you use. Just don't even try to contemplate notes that are going to give you even the _slightest_ headache; use the confrontation list to your advantage here. You confront that list in a room or while doing an activity you find you contemplate best in, or at least that's what I've done. My room was a freezer at work, where I could do my job and contemplate and not be bothered too much by others. These methods make sorting and contemplating huge notepads easy.

Extra context: the two reasons I can think of as to why putting off harder contemplation dilemmas for later, and back into your confrontation list, is a useful tool, are: 1. you'll have a stronger chain reaction I when you come back to it, _after_ you've now confronted a bunch of other things and deepened you understanding a little more to be able to confront these harder dilemmas easier, and 2. you might actually gain more energy to confront this dilemma happily if you discover a little more of life's potential beforehand, sort of reigniting your motivation to do all these harder contemplations (If you come back and still believe it truly needs to be confronted to accomplish your goals).

writing a vexyricon – tip for using the confrontation list to make easily finish-able new rough drafts for at home

When you successfully discover and finish confronting a new entry in your confrontation list notepad, aim to make sure the note contains all the necessary context, proof, and as much great wording as you can put into it without stressing before calling it quits on the note and just pasting it into your "new vexyricon entries" notepad for you to just easily slap on into your vexyricon at home. Basically, you want to make it so at home, out of your preferred "most effective contemplation location/activity, where you can focus on contemplation the best", you want to make it as _easy_ as you can on yourself, make it so you have to further contemplate as little as possible, make it so the entire entry is practically sitting there before your eyes as best you can, with only a little modification of wording left to accomplish, or maybe a couple connections to make, realistically. _Don't stress_ over this, but it's definitely the effective way to write a vexyricon. You have a preferred area or activity to contemplate in where you can concentrate, or where you notice you contemplate _best_, and you use that to its full advantage, and then at home, on your computer or whatever, you can fully focus on now finishing those very well contemplated and outlined entries…

If you're home and find you scammed yourself, still having much to contemplate, just paste it back to the list, again.

effective vexyricon writing method I found

I decided to start writing new entries, as fully as I could, in my "preferred" contemplation environment (the freezer at work or conference room), and I would do basically all the work except for making the entry square (something I couldn't really except on PC), I'd have a "made entries" notepad with these maximally polished, "final copy" entries all sitting there, even with their bolded titles. This yielded insane results. In a 10-day period where I wasn't even really _trying_ to be productive, I added 15,400 words to the vex. If I was trying hard, I think I could've gone over 20k. Usually, my max, even trying hard, is 1,000 per day, and it's a hell of a lot more frustrating to be outside of your "preferred contemplation location(s)" and have to polish/contemplate and truly write entries fully. Now with this new system of writing them as fully as I could in my preferred contemplation locations, it became so much easier, and all that was left to do when I got home, was to paste it in, re-read the made entry which I've now already fully contemplated and written basically, re-read just to replace any words I want or take out any unneeded context. Then, I just go back and make it all square. I am really, really convinced this is the _correct_ way to write a "vex", at least. Some stuff in the notepad of "rough drafts" I was making final copies out of, was stuff I needed to check for in the admin copy of the vex on my PC, stuff I needed to check if I'd already included or needed to include. I simply made a new notepad called "misc. new goose chases" and put all that in there. This method took a notepad of rough drafts that was supposed to take me something like 4-5 more weeks to finish and brought it down to taking 2.5 weeks.

<-=->

THE JUDGEMENT LIST METHOD

The method for finding new song motivations of all kinds, method for "farming" impactful motivations.

<-=->

-- *sword judgement list method* --

intro requirement (passing the first layer)

To even *be* impacted on a deeper level, you need to have been educated on enough of life's potential; passed the first layer enough, as stated in the *dynamics of motivational impact*. That's how your sensitivity to impact can be increased, and how to make the judgement list method maximally useful to you. The "bigger picture" all this book's "first layer passing" contents paint is a powerful enough image to increase your sensitivity to impact significantly to where you can be impacted on an extreme level by songs you find, and their imagery. Many times, it's the imagery combined with the audio of a song that leads to more impact rather than just audio; this is because the more angles of potential you're perceiving, the more impact you can feel. Remember, that's literally how *passing the first layer* works. The more potential you're being reminded of at any time from any angle of your perception, sight, hearing, smell, feeling understood, feeling human connection, hope, purpose, justice, confidence, you name it, determines how much it impacts you; the bigger the picture it paints, the more impacted you can be. Therefore, this entry is just explaining the sensitivity to impact required to use the judgement list method effectively in the first place, to even *be able* to feel/perceive high impact *at all*. Without doing this, high impact will be rare, if it *ever even occurs...*

the judgement list method

The judgement list method is that I use youtube playlists to process/sort every song I judge, to *farm new impactful songs*, increasing my motivation and ability to wake up to the *law of motivations*. I'll put one headphone in at work, and an eight-hour shift may sometimes yield 100 judged songs. The longer you've been judging songs, the more impactful artists you'll have found, and the more channels of new, good songs you'll have that you can add to your judgement list; the more fruitful your judgement list will be. *After passing the first layer*, this is a profitable activity.

[Judgement List] playlist

Where new, unheard songs go. I set it so new songs are added to the bottom, obviously, and remove judged songs. In the judgement list, I let myself judge with only one headphone in; but when re-judging, I'll use two headphones.

multiselect chrome extension

Adding/removing songs from the judgement list is a pain unless you get a multiselect tool for youtube, where you can copy/paste hundreds of songs fast/remove duplicates. This is just an example obviously; get the tool you want.

timestamp playlists 0, :30, :40, :50, 1:00, 1:10, 5:00, 23:59:10

When I'm listening to the judgement list, and I hear a good enough part of a song I feel I need to re-judge, I'll put it in one of these. If the good part happens at 2:05 in the song for example, I'll put it in the 2:00 playlist. I have playli-

sts for 0-5:00 by default, for each 10 second interval. If a song has a timestamp at later than 5:00, I make a new temporary timestamp playlist for it until I re-judge its timestamp, then I'll delete it since it's past 5:00. This helps lots.

[Judgement List 2] playlist

If enough of a song is good, instead of putting it in timestamp playlists, I'll just put it in here. This is for entire songs I need to re-judge, not timestamps. The whole thing. This gets re-judged _first_ since it's got the highest success rate.

【Favorite Music List】(FML)

These are the best and most impactful songs you've ever heard. My FML has genuinely been one of the main and sometimes only reasons why I didn't fall asleep on some bullshit and forget about the law of motivations. It just kept waking me back up when I listened to it, and still does, now more than ever since it's only gotten stronger. This is why passing the first layer is important and why this judgement list method is important, because your FML is such a resource to wake you back up to the law of motivations. _This is one of the most important possessions you will ever own_. Put the whole damn playlist, in full HD, in an EMP-proof bag and bury the fucker in an underground bunker so a nuke can't even destroy it. The bunker is a joke, but the bag isn't, and neither is the importance of this...

As of judging 15000 songs, I'd found around 300 FML-quality impactful songs (w/targeted judgement not random).

[Make Soundbank] playlist

A "soundbank" is my term for when I cut the good parts out of a song and re-upload the song with only those in it. I title the upload "soundbank (notes triggering memory of any liminal or impactful imagery) (exact title of origin video)", so I can copy and paste that exact title into the search bar if I ever need to find that exact origin video again.

[Make Soundbank] save-for-later method

When saving a video for later to get it out of clogging my playlists, I first leave the song in its respective timestamped playlists, then I download the song and rename the file to be for example "make soundbank 0, 1:10, Shadow Corridor(影廊)bgm「霊魂の淵叢」720p30.mp4", making sure to put the timestamps in the file name since obviou-sly I'm now taking the video out of all of its playlists on youtube. In this example, 720p is the song's quality, and 30 is its frame rate. Once downloaded, now that I've recorded all timestamps into the file name, I can take it out of its timestamped playlists on youtube, take it out of [Make Soundbank], put the origin in [Edit Origins] and then put the file somewhere for later when I'm ready to make it into a soundbank. Obviously, I try to find the most HD video.

[Soundbanks] playlist

This is for all _made_ soundbanks. [Soundbanks] is organized into 3 sections. How I define those sections' boundaries is I literally just uploaded a video of a door 3 different times, yes, thank you for the applause, extreme genius, I know. The top section of the playlist is gold, then silver, then bronze soundbanks. Aside from the FML, this is the only other playlist I back up by downloading. They're in three files, gold, silver, and bronze, and my file system seems to order them based on name; alphabetical order, so, I make sure the youtube list perfectly reflects that exact order. If I stop caring about a soundbank, I'll rename the upload to "deadbank (its title)", then remove it from all playlists.

[Judged] playlist(s)

Songs I've heard that I don't care about get put here. It's an indicator for me to not accidentally put them in the [Judgement List] again. The only reason I can't just have one single [Judged] for all the trash to go into and am forced to have like [Judged 1], [Judged 2] etc. is because youtube has a limit of 5000 videos per playlist. It is to be fixed.

backing up non-downloaded playlists

You'll probably want to use *both of these methods*, because unjust channel termination is unfortunately a real threat in this tyranny and unjust-censorship infected society. I've lost thousands of songs of progress because of that.

Text file (safest) method: go to the playlist, make sure the entire playlist is loaded on your tab. Hit CTRL+A (which selects everything on the entire page). Hit CTRL+C to copy every word selected (in blue) on the page, then go and create a "new text file" in your computer files. Then paste everything with CTRL+V. What you should then see in the text file is, some extra text to delete possibly, then under it, a list of each video's title, channel that uploaded it, its length, its views, and even what number video it is in the playlist. I say do this for each non-downloaded playlist. I usually put the date the backup was made in the text file's name and keep all old file versions in a separate folder.

Alt channel (most convenient) method: use the multiselect chrome extension to load the entire playlist on another youtube account and just paste all the videos into that alt account's version of the playlist. Easy, done. So now, if they terminate your main, you can just copy and paste the most recently backed up twin version of that list from your alt. The phantom ban evader. The only annoying thing about this is how you'll make a backup and a month later you may have 1000+ new songs in your main channel you now need to add to the alt's twin of said [Judged] list, because you'll need to load the entire main's playlist on your screen, and loading thousands of videos can be laggy.

saved song playlists [Gold Songs], [Silver Songs], [Bronze Songs]

[Gold Songs] is for… gee I wonder, [Silver Songs] is for… gee, I wonder? And [Bronze Songs] is for songs that *may or may not be rejected upon applying for a minimum wage job*. Please don't tell me I actually have to explain these playlists to you, they are literally so obvious… I used to treat my judgement list method very "black and white", saying "it's either an FML song or a "judged" one, and this system is better… Judging songs isn't *that* black & white. You'll find segments that are only 5 seconds long in an otherwise terrible song, and those 5 seconds might be the most impactful 5 seconds you've heard all week. The most legendary soundbanks I have, are as good as FML songs.

'igniting songs' description (they're used primarily as insanely energetic motivation for physical exercise)

After passing the first layer deeply enough (learning about enough of life's potential to the point you're impacted on a potentially extreme level when seeing motivational examples of enough of that potential in one place, thus witnessing the law of motivations), after passing the first layer deeply enough, you will definitely find that your sensitivity to impact from songs has increased, a ton. You'll find that you can reap extreme energy and motivation from certain songs you couldn't before, and you'll likely find that you now see certain songs in a completely different way than you used to. How that part works is, you've now achieved a greater level of power, especially in understanding things like anti-toxicity and parts of moral reality deeply enough, hearing songs you may have found corny in the past, now seem to accurately describe what you're becoming; in the past, you cringed at such a seemingly unrealistic display of power, but now you're seeing the potential to reach that level, very realistically, in real life, so that display no longer makes you cringe, but rather has the effect of igniting a stupidly huge bonfire of energy inside you. I use this energy and these igniting songs to lift more weight at the gym, that's basically all I use them for, unl-

ess I'm just having a moment where I want to hear them. The defining factor is whether that display of power is accurate to reality or not; and you'll know if it's accurate if you've seen your anti-toxicity go unbeaten time and time again (that's one thing which confirmed that power to me and thus made these power-displaying songs more motivationally impactful to me, since I now knew the power is real, for example). The "display of power" songs are just one example of igniting songs, too. There are many emotions which can ignite your motivation that you can find within music, to use as weightlifting energy or physically motivational energy in general; you might find songs that validate your anger to an extreme extent, songs that reflect your joy back to you to an extreme extent, or whatever.

What matters is that the song you're hearing reflects your current emotional state, and that your current emotional state is a healthy <u>enough</u> one, which can actually 'conduct' energy. No depression bullshit… Energy sapping bullshit.

Extra context: after learning everything in this book, and achieving a certain level of confidence etc., I'm pretty sure doing so has opened me to resonate with and be impacted by songs that exude a level of power or confidence that the common person might find "unrealistic", or in more modern terms, "corny", because what the song is expressing is foreign to them, understandably. And only after seeing that power and confidence is realistic, do I see those songs under an impactful light instead of cringing at them like even I did, in the past. It has significantly, significantly strengthened the ignition & impact I get from songs, especially in using the ones that are primarily for lifting.

recipe to igniting songs inducing energetic insanity

emotional state health + passing the first layer + witnessing enough of the law of motivations + confident greatness

The key to igniting songs, is that they're igniting because they reflect your current emotional state back to you, but in an energy-inducing, motivational, or epic way. This does mean, that your current emotional state needs to be able to be one which can be energy-inducing, not energy-sapping. This means, you can't be depressed, you can't be sad, you can't be stressed as hell and too focused on needing to contemplate some life-threatening dilemma you've just now been hit with in life (I think?). You have to be at a healthy place mentally to be able to even truly allow yourself to put your energy toward lifting or whatever. You need to keep in mind, if your mind is telling you to focus on this "life-threatening/happiness-threatening dilemma" right now, you probably shouldn't ignore it, just let yourself address that and heal your mental state, it's probably pinging you as more important for a good reason. Achieving such a healthy mental state does entail confronting basically all things potentially hindering your mental state, your self-discipline, your motivation… You even need to confront the existential crisis you might have. And guess what, genius? This book literally confronts all that shit for you, and just *hands* you a great, viable path to hope, to solving all those problems and gaining all those things like discipline (as long as you still have at least a chance of achieving what this book tells you to try to achieve, of course… *False* hope probably won't work). Anyways, with achieving such a healthy emotional state (ideally by using this book I'd assume), combined with having passed the first layer and witnessing the law of motivations strongly enough, you will have such a strong sensitivity to impact from these songs, you'll have met all the pre-requisites for being ignited, motivationally, by songs, to extreme levels.

In the recipe, when I say, "confident greatness", I'm referring to you seeing proof of your greatness and power level. Path confidence is also a necessity in this recipe, as for any self-discipline-requiring activity (but isn't that obvious?).

especially in this current corrupt society, the key to fixing your depression might be…

Making enough progress on your path to achieving a best existence possible to get you through the day. When I've not been very disciplined and procrastinated on writing this book or contemplating a glaring issue with its logic, it has allowed my depression (caused by how hellish this society is) to grow like some cancer. When that happens, as you very well know, my mind will be telling me (when I try to go to the gym) "fuck off retard, go make progress on the book, you haven't proven your worth to earn going to the gym, you need to keep this quest going and make e-

nough progress to feel like you deserve to go to the gym". And that's accurate. It's not me lying to myself. Therefore, you very well might fall into the same cycle. Here's the thing; advancing that quest is the true cure to that cycle, the true gate out of this hell, and out of depression in its entirety. Me writing this book, is factually, far more important than going to the gym. You and I know which will lead _more_ effectively to achieving a best existence possible.

You don't _have_ to be bouncing off the walls with joy and confidence to use igniting songs effectively, but successfully achieving that mental state _will_ exponentially, hugely increase the energy and motivation levels you experience when paired with your most impactful igniting songs. Just make progress till you're at a mentally satisfactory place. Truthfully, the only remedy for depression may very often be, making enough progress on your path and problems.

Extra context: you should realize, that example here saying "fuck off retard, go make progress on the book", is just a repeat of the path confidence entry. The reason this lack of energy to lift, manifests, is in lacking path confidence.

Extra context: another obvious dynamic of self-discipline is to accomplish more crucial tasks first. I had to list it ok?

Extra context: songs _aren't_ the solution to logical problems plaguing your happiness, but they _are_ a solution to feeling like your will to live or inspiration has died, or feeling like your path is unclear. Because, impactful enough songs with say, video as well, should very clearly re-awaken you to the potential you're aiming to make a reality. An FML can remind you of some of the most motivating potential you've ever seen, especially if your FML isn't just audio, but video as well. Mine reminds me of the version of myself I aim to become, as the songs represent different emotional extremes that, by themselves, communicate messages to me or remind me of the colors of the law of motivations. It can give imagery of other things, like extremely, extremely impactful mental settings, like the "stone city" (they can be that impactful when the thought of them is paired with all the other potential this book explains, for example. That's how motivational impact works remember?). It's all just potential in its different forms, but without my FML, I probably _would_ have forgotten the potential I'd seen and forgotten about this book. But since these impactful songs were always in my music list, every time I'd go listen to music, I'd get hit with this impact. I'm just saying, the FML is like 50% of why this book was written and not given up on by me. That's how important a strong FML was to me doing this, and that's why I say it may be so important for you too. The shit just kept waking me right the fuck back up again on a weekly basis, no matter what. Even in the worst hell, it was already too late, I'd already seen too much potential to fall back asleep again, and the FML was already too strong. So yeah, a strong FML, in my opinion, is one of the most important things you can possess. _Ever_, probably. I'd _recommend_ you _don't forget that_. And don't forget the "colors" the law of motivations comes in; closest thing to supernatural I have seen. Their impact is heavy on their own, but there's just no explaining; specifically, when enough of them are _combined_.

igniting songs can make weightlifting sessions actually <u>extremely</u> fun

This is especially true if you've actually achieved a level of path confidence, self-confidence, and physical strength to be infected with extreme (and easy) self-discipline, energy levels, and motivation. Maybe I'm only saying this because I can somewhat stand out in this society, but it wouldn't matter even if _everyone_ had the same knowledge-induced power as you or me anyways, because the power is tied to things like moral reality (fairness), so there's just nothing to make this anything other than better, more fun, more fair, more motivating. Not really a competition. In other words, you're still going to look extremely good and have your character be greatly attractive despite that.

Finding the right igniting song, the absolute most fitting, best, most impactful igniting song for your current, exact, emotional state, may very well be like finding the key to a door, very specific. This is why it's very useful to have your music playlist highly organized. It's always more of a "how much" does this song ignite me/fit with my emotional state, rather than "does this song fit or not", it's not a yes/no question, it's a "how much" question. This is also why it's a good idea to judge thousands of songs, because the stronger igniting songs you find, the more of a chain reaction will occur; the more fun lifting will be, the more progress you may make in strength, and confidence gains. But once again, remember, the right igniting song tends to mirror your emotional state but in a motivating fashion.

I theorize strong igniting songs are harder for me to find cause this level of power isn't displayed by common songs, because this society commonly sees that level of power as unrealistic, which is why most of mine come from games.

On the contrary, forcing yourself to lift heavy as if you were energized but aren't truly, and somewhat faking that energy level by just forcing it without having found an igniting song which actually brings you there, isn't enjoyable. As long as you've got the right form and won't hurt yourself in lifting, I guess this is acceptable, but won't be fun.

Remember this: there is _always_ an igniting song that will ignite you to the max level in your current mood, as long as your physical energy state (not being sleep deprived) and your emotional state are at least stable (not depressed, worrying, or needing to contemplate/confront some dire threat you're facing). The only other reasons you might feel like you can't find a maximally igniting song for a current mood you're in, are that (less likely) you just haven't found _enough_ igniting songs to accurately ignite all your different emotional states yet, or (more likely), perhaps you haven't found _strong enough igniting songs_ despite having ones that cover all of your possible emotional states.

I'm pretty sure this is solely why I can deadlift 8 reps of 315 lbs without touching the weights on the ground between reps as my normal workout of just trying to maintain my weight. Igniting songs, and the other dynamics of maintaining emotional health and self-discipline, made discipline in general far easier, and made lifting even become fun.

Extra context: you kinda have to use your intuition to know if a song is igniting you and how much, or if your emotional state is currently even well enough for ignition. Otherwise, you'll likely have a very unpleasant experience. I guess, either way, you'll learn how to operate your igniting songs properly, whether it be through the uncomfortable experiences showing you what *not* to force yourself to listen to during certain moods you're in, or the opposite.

<-=->

(‹o›)

This marks the end of the important textbook, "the sword", and the beginning of the ocean of context "the shield".

<-=->

<=->

THE SHIELD, OCEAN OF CONTEXT TEXTBOOK

Full context and answers to doubts about the entries of the main textbook of human magnetism, with all extra info.

<=->

<=->

BOOK III TABLE OF CONTENTS

Book III is for those who need more evidence or have doubts and need answers. It has all the other, minor context. You'll see this has a mirror entry of each entry in book II, for easily finding your answers, they'll be in its twin here.

<=->

-- *shield table of contents* --

=--=T H E   E N D=--=

<-=->

=----------------------=A L L   O T H E R   E X T R A   &   M I N O R   C O N T E X T   E N T R I E S=----------------------=

F O R   T H E   "A R R O W"   (B O O K   I ,   T H E   I N T R O)

<-=->

-- *shield arrow extra context* --

justifications for: the "arrow" (the thesis/intro of the vexyricon)

- **question:** but won't life become boring after we accomplish all of our dreams and are immortal? When I play a video game like Minecraft and I do/create everything I wanted, I get bored and quit. What's the point of all this work to create the best existence possible just to get bored of it and resort to "painlessly suiciding" out of boredom because there's literally nothing else in the entirety of existence, to do? I just think it's not worth the blood, sweat, and tears, even with seeing all the "potential" in existence. I'll get bored.

Be real. There are so many questions we haven't answered; *what of life's potential have we not yet discovered* (this book has *already* given the instructions to so much of the potential we do know exists, to the point life can be made *literally unimaginably amazing* (that's already what I've pretty much proven here), so yeah, I seriously think this is the most glaring, important argument and piece of evidence I can list). But it doesn't end there, buddy. Here's more questions I thought of with only 5 simple minutes of effort: is there a god? Can we go back in time, and can we revive the dead with that? And then, can we go back and undo all injustices throughout history with that time travel, too? Are there other dimensions/a multiverse? What the hell is *really* with "black holes"...? What's the smallest building block of this existence (smaller than quarks or leptons)? What's beyond the edge of the universe? Is morality possible to be made *fully objective*, with enough contemplation? Is a perfect utopia with zero flaws, and therefore a perfect happy ending to existence, a real utopia, possible? What do people *actually* experience when they die? What are the answers to (literally any philosophical question you've ever not been able to answer). And this is all *in addition* to the potential this book already explains and *pretty much proves*, this book explains; mental "setting heavens" (non-religious, just really nice) you might want to make a reality, like one of mine is called the Stone City, but I've come up with more over the years... I could go on. Like just be honest with yourself. You want to see this, and the law of motivations will fucking *scream* that to you. *Be real*. At *least* really *witness* the *full-strength* law first (the law of motivations). Achieving the best existence possible and finding the truth and answers behind this existence, *is its purpose*. And we *do not know* what more information or *potential life holds*, and I've *already* shown you practically proven, unimaginable pleasure, with this "near utopia" thing. *Be real*. The law of motivations is *already* unimaginable, and I recommend, if this book is not proven wrong, to at least look at both sides of this "coin". See the law of motivations at it's absolute max strength you possibly can in life before you give up out of boredom.

On top of all that shit, here's just *one* idea I came up with: say we somehow develop some technology to store our memories inside something like a big hard drive and develop the technology to also put ourselves, now with all our memories out of us, in some chair that has the ability to simulate rebirth into any role of any movie you loved as a kid, but now with your memories wiped, so you experience the same impact you felt the first time watching it, but you're now seemingly *in* the movie. Not really in it, as there's no *real* danger, and if you "die" in the movie, you can just have that life's memories stored and reset, or you can get up out of the chair and regain your memories of the real world. My point is, what if you could simulate and real or unreal universe, actually like you're living in it and experiencing that firsthand without past memories/spoilers for it, as much as you want. Remember, this is *one* idea.

- **question:** But there are people who enjoy being unhappy and wish the same on others, People are malicious, evil, and revel at others' failures, even if it hurts them as well, so it's false to say they want happiness.

The majority of people aren't like that, and I'm confident that, the few that are, might enjoy seeing themselves unhappy for reasons like the 'victim mentality', feeling no sense of responsibility for their unhappiness and giving the-

mselves the opportunity to put the blame on others, explaining them "wishing the same on others" (even if others' aren't deserving of the blame). Another reason you might find someone enjoying seeing themselves unhappy, is that they hate themselves, or see themselves as a bad person who deserves pain/punishment for past actions they haven't fixed nor confronted, and hate themselves for. In a twisted way, they're still pursuing happiness, in the form of exacting justice on themselves, who they view as "deserving/evil". As for the victim mentality example where they're putting themselves at ease by blaming others as a coping mechanism for their inability to find actual solutions to their problems, technically they're still pursuing happiness in the form of an 'easing of their mental turmoil'. The majority of people simply pursue happiness blatantly, and I'm just saying, even these examples of people who aren't seeming to do that, actually _are_, just in _indirect_ ways, pursuing satisfaction in a _bad_ way... Therefore, I think I am justified enough in saying our purpose _is_ to achieve a best existence possible/max happiness. We already try to, the thing is, almost all people in this society are just so accepting of death that they chase harmful quick happiness. This book attempts to outline the genuine, most fulfilling, harmless "max happiness". Not some street _fent_ bullshit.

Even those who are uneducated enough to think these bad methods are worthwhile will see this path's great value.

- **question:** what about people who procrastinate or go back to addictions despite knowing it'll destroy them and their dreams; you can't say they're still pursuing their opinion of max happiness, they clearly aren't.

With actual addictions to drugs etc., think of it like their brain being hijacked by a parasite: I'd say the addiction is telling them in that moment, like hunger would to a starving animal, to get more drugs, even if it's straying from their actual most desirable path. So yeah, even though their addiction is lying to them, the chemical imbalance in their brain or whatever, is still yelling at them "this is max happiness" and they'll get _actual_ symptoms for disobeying.

As for people who just procrastinate, they're actually just lying to themselves, if they've seen such strong motivations already to know they're "procrastinating". If they had an actual conference with themselves, I'm saying they would honestly conclude they don't want to procrastinate, and I say that with confidence. If they truly experienced the law of motivations' impact again, they _would_ realize they don't actually want to be procrastinating on achieving the best existence possible. And you have to remember, I'm talking about people here who actually have a _hope_ of achieving immortality, not those who are hopeless truly. Those hopeless people may probably understandably pursue instant-gratification-milking until their deaths, if they were truly honest with themselves, about their situation.

- **question:** why didn't anybody find these dynamics to "near utopia" before you? I do not believe this shit...

_Honestly, I'm not 100% sure, and this question _really_ bugs me as much as it probably does you. It's creeping me out._

But, on top of the solving mindset being viciously labelled as 'insanity' due to almost all of society collectively accepting hopelessness on the issue of "solving death by advancing medicine and technology" (the solving mindset is literally the main ingredient key as to what led to me to all these _other_ solutions I would _not have found_ without it), the internet wasn't advanced enough for people to have something like an FML (music) playlist findable and createable at the tip of their fingers due to impactful music and even impactful media, especially the combination of the two, being less accessible than it was when I was born (2002). I just recognize that the FML playlist I made through high school became so crucial in me doing this, because I would have just fallen back asleep had I not had it and kept growing it with other impactful song motivations I would find via judgement list method. My FML was created in 2016. The impactful video + audio combination, on top of me finding other impactful motivations outside of just song media, even in examples I got from some anime, not only kept waking me the fuck back up on a weekly basis as to what I wanted to do with my life now, but gave me blueprints as to exactly where to look to find the exact dynamics to making that potential a reality, through contemplation. As long as your emotional state is stable/healthy enough (just passing a basic threshold where you aren't losing sleep or dying emotionally), you'll likely find your desire to listen to your FML regenerate, and thus you'll find random days where you suddenly just have this urge to go revisit the songs – and this is exactly what happened to me with my FML, but mine was full of extremely impactful examples which mirrored the exact blueprints of extremely impactful potential I saw all over the internet in various places. At first, all the way until 2022, I didn't even consider the solving mindset, but the vexyricon was also cr-

eated in 2016, and the FML and being rejected by those I had a crush on kept me working on it. But alas, at the dawn of July 2022, solving mindset was accepted, and I started taking outlining all the other dynamics in this book seriously, and outlined them successfully. I genuinely don't know why it seems like nobody else has succeeded in doing this yet, I genuinely dislike that, and it's really weirding me out to be honest, like I don't know what's going on in my own life or some shit. Regardless, these are currently the best explanations I can come up with. The solving mindset wasn't accepted at all by society in the past, and thinkers of the past may not have had access to enough impactful motivation from something like an FML waking them back up on a weekly basis, nor from internet media.

```
<-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=->
```

=----------------------=ALL OTHER EXTRA & MINOR CONTEXT ENTRIES=----------------------=

FOR THE "READING TIPS" CHAPTER

```
<-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=->
```

-- *shield reading tips extra context* --

even if I've forgotten to outline something…

I would highly recommend *not* giving up on trying to outline the solutions to these problems humanity is facing, even if I've forgotten a part of a solution somewhere, or if one of mine is horribly wrong. At the core, I'm still very confident that we are supposed to have the solving mindset over the hopelessness one at the very least… Don't just do it for yourself either, think about the less fortunate people in third world countries suffering even worse due to all this corruption not being solved, think about the suicides that could be prevented, think about the emotional abuse and pain of daily life, caused by all these things not being solved yet, *on top* of the law of motivations' impact. I mean what else are you gonna do? "Give up"? Isn't that hopelessness exactly what's gotten us into this situation? Do it for the sake of ending this hell, once and for all. Somebody has to do it, and this is the next step for humanity. I'm an absolutely normal person, in fact, it may have taken me longer than necessary to find all this. Imagine what other people could be able to do, the dent they could put in all this corruption, if they just found the motivation to. I cannot be *that* wrong to the point motivation isn't able to be found by using the dynamics of motivational impact.

I like this quote I saw somewhere: "In every moment, there is the possibility of a better future, but you people won't believe it, and because you won't believe it, you won't do what's necessary to make it a reality, so you dwell on this terrible future, you resign yourselves to it, for one reason, because that future doesn't ask anything of you, today..."

justifications for: it'll be *really funny* if I can somehow manage to help everyone become *that legendary* with this…

- **question:** but won't everyone becoming their "legendary self" make nobody really special or legendary now, since it's the norm? Won't everyone just get <u>desensitized</u> to "maxed out humans", *reducing* pleasure?

If a dude goes on Instagram with a feed full of the most attractive women, does he get "bored" of women? Not *really*. It might even motivate him to improve himself to attract one. And guess what, women's physical appearance is only a <u>single</u> aspect of attractiveness they can display; think of the amount of motivation and pleasure that can be reaped from all aspects of ones "legendary self" being present. Understanding, admirability, humor, wisdom/intelligence, confidence, there are many other ways people can be legendary, and will, especially if the traits in this book are taught to society. What you'll likely find is that you're just more attracted to more people on average, in more ways than you were in this corrupt society, and you're more able to connect on a deeper level with far more people. As I said, empathy and human connection will likely be at an all-time high. Just because the average is higher because everyone is educated and motivated, doesn't mean it won't be less pleasurable. It'll still bring a hell of a lot more pleasure than this current society, and pretty much any "less-legendary" society humanity ever creates.

Like come on, really? You're gonna tell me you want life to be "less good", so this "maxed human" or "maxed life" hits you harder in terms of pleasure, because you've been kept away from it more? I just don't see the point in you using that as an argument to sit here and tell me we, as a society, should all follow in your path here rather than simply making life as good as we can, and then giving people the *choice* on an individual basis to "edge" themselves like yo ass is endorsing… All I'm saying is, your argument here really doesn't seem like a good enough reason for this book to *not* be implemented into society. In my experience, the pleasure hasn't gotten "weaker" nor have I significantly become desensitized to it, and that's from a guy who definitely does not "edge" on this shit. If significant desensitization were happening to me, I'd probably be losing self-discipline and motivation. But what I see instead

is my self-discipline and motivation only getting stronger, compared to what it was in the past. So, what I'm seeing is, (after years of experiencing the law of motivations' impact to extreme levels), you shouldn't get desensitized to a significant level, even if you indulge in life significantly, I mean it shouldn't really lessen your motivation to maintain life being that good. The pleasure is the same pretty much, it'll just be *far more abundant*, and *easier to obtain* that pleasure, since people will be more reasonable, fair, communicative, understanding, open-minded, wise/intelligent, and motivated to spot and solve injustice and unnecessary pain rather than succumbing to it or accepting it.

Life will just be better, tenfold. Look around you, especially in america, land of the obese. You really think this is superior to everyone reaching their best selves, radiating motivation? No way. And don't say "but your book makes everyone a cookie-cutter copy". This book just attempts to list the traits people's best selves/lives *do* share in common, but there will always be unique traits people have, their humor, their entire personality, their goals and dreams, their preferences, obvious things. The only thing I'm asking is that whatever you're like, make sure you are fair.

- **question:** why did you write a book, instead of uploading videos or something more entertaining?

I'm gonna do that at some point, read operation loudspeaker. It's just… The world needed this nuclear PDF with all the instructions and dynamics, all the arguments against it confronted, the world needed this rather than me going on some platform that can just thanos snap my fucking account and delete the entirety of everything. This stuff needs to be recorded in a format that can be spread super easily and at the same time, be interesting enough (in relevant speech nonfiction format correctly). Videos are possibly gigabytes in size, as well. Why would I stick my neck out for the retarded government or corporate oligarchy to chop off, knowing all of life's potential? You think I want to die? You think I want this to fail, you think I don't want to be alive to see it once it succeeds? Nah not interested.

If I made videos and went viral with this rather than first putting it all in an easily shareable format that can discretely infect their population, I would be putting both myself, and the information at risk, since they can just delete it and stop this virus from overtaking their corrupt system. Due to the law of motivations, I'm genuinely trying at this. I'm not just motivated to "protest in the streets" like y'all. That doesn't *fucking work*. It's time instead to outline near utopia, awaken the masses to their true purpose unarguably, expose all corruption and solutions & *take action*.

Like obviously this boring ahh book isn't gonna infect shit, until operation loudspeaker is underway, but dude, once it is underway, there must be some assurance that this information is recorded, highly shareable, and is accessible. That's exactly what this nuke of a PDF will accomplish. All the instructions in full relevant speech nonfiction format.

about grammar…

Yeah no I really have no excuse for it at this point. Please just don't crucify me I'm too rushed to go back and fix it…

I am sorry about that… Pwease be understanding >.< but listen, my goal is to make all this stuff *clear*, and look sexy, okay? Clarity, and attractiveness. So, to really accomplish the attractiveness part, especially to make all these entries look as perfectly "square" as I could, I decided to sacrifice some grammar. I know, I didn't need to, sorry. I am just trying to make this book as clear and attractive as I can, and sometimes, the right grammar seemed to make it less clear for… Whatever reason. Great system you guys have, though! Anyways, it should all still be *clear enough* to understand, *truly*, and that's kinda what matters the most? I try to follow relevant speech as well as I can with it.

I'd rather write this short entry telling you "I'm sowwy" because if not I'd have to go back and reword a ton of stuff.

it's stupid to label this book as "cult" or "indoctrination" since…

Contemplation is one of the most important foundations of near utopia that exists. People need to be taught to think for themselves, deeply, and I've already provided the dynamics I could as to how to do that effectively, and I've already told you how to get the motivation to do it, by *passing the first layer*. The intention here is to create an entire society of self-thinking, motivated, somewhat maxed-out people. And I think intelligence is more viably measured by quality of intellectual context, not *quantity*. For example, you could know all properties of math, but know zero aspects of the best existence possible. Which topic do you think has higher quality? Obviously, the aspects of the best existence possible. Math properties would be the higher "quantity" of intelligence rather than quality; it all goes back to the fact that our core purpose, everyone's purpose, is achieving the best existence possible. You could even say learning topics to improve your survivability in situations would be valuable quality of knowledge over other topics, since there's an amount of value there tied to helping you achieve the best existence possible, and you could somewhat measure the quality of any piece of intel based on how relevant it is to helping you achieve your best existence possible, and can thus determine the amount of value for any given topic of study. The contemplation chapter displays instructions on thinking for yourself and shows the importance of *everyone* thinking for themselves and being motivated to use their own creativity and develop their own individuality rather than being taught subjects that don't apply directly to their path to a best existence possible, which the current shitty school systems seem to focus on far too often, rather being interested in creating a culture of unmotivated, uneducated drones who just do what they're told. I would like to create a society of people who actually understand why they want to do the right thing, understand what the right thing is, know how to find what the right thing is in any scenario, know the foundations of an uncorrupt society, know the foundations of what leads to corruption or tyranny in the first place, know the dynamics to their literal best existence possible which might I remind you aligns with fairness, in almost all scenarios… And hell, know this entire book. Do you understand the bigger picture here, finally?

Due to this, I really do not think it's intelligent for anybody to label this book "indoctrination" of any sort, because it literally teaches full open-mindedness, thinking for yourself, anti-censorship; I swear you people just hate real unity.

Extra context: your current society is <u>far</u> more cult-like than this planned one. Yours is not centered foundationally on open-mindedness, yours unjustly censors its population regularly, yours has a court system more focused on money than achieving justice… I could probably list more, but those are just a few ways in which I could literally uno reverse on your clown ass and call your society your buzz word "cult" in comparison to the one this book outlines. I will delight in using this artillery against the ones who try to go that brainless route to insult what I've created here. For god's sake this has entire chapters on thinking for yourself/contemplation, anti-censorship, open-mindedness…

but… b-b-but… *sniffle* you didn't do "peer" reviewed studies yet

People get too depressed if they take too slow a path toward achieving the best existence possible. Thing is, I fully think my speed here is *justified*: I've already attempted to confront all arguments I can think of against this, and if someone proposes a new one, *obviously* I'm going to confront that too and add it to the book, but I've reached a point in my confidence of this information, after seemingly achieved such a "killstreak" of this many difficult arguments solved, my confidence in this information I believe has warranted me to present it to the public, ready to be tested. I simply cannot sense any huge arguments I've missed, please share them if you've found some! These are fairly simple ideas to explore, and if they had some glaring counterargument(s) against them I couldn't solve, I'm at the point where I've tried to find those arguments and can't, and am rather waiting for them to show themselves, considering how many arguments I've now seen solved in this journey. *And I think that's justified*. Yes, the ideas that require such a larger scale like the economy do need to be tested and proven in practice and reality not just theory (since the smaller, non-dangerous ideas are provable *right now*), but to do that accurately, corrupt people and governments must be unable to infect those experiments, unable to underhandedly mess with the experiment to falsify the results to *maintain their shitty greed economy's dominance* or whatever, which is why I think it's best to

first implement the smaller-scale, easily proven and non-dangerous pieces of this book, things like open-mindedness, anti-censorship, the dynamics of anti-toxicity maybe? Use those to educate the public enough to then have gained the numbers and support to accurately, intelligently, and safely test the bigger ideas like gun laws or the economy. Basically, the population should first be educated enough to make quick work of any corrupt entity meddling unjustly in our larger-scale testing of the larger-scale ideas, before we even try testing those. So, luckily for us, a lot of the non-dangerous shit is so sensibly outlined in this book, you can observe its truth if you just look at the world around you and actually think for a bit about this information and the arguments this book presents. And then, you can feel *justified* in educating the public on those simple ideas, and with that, the public should be motivated and unified enough to make *quick work* of and spot any corrupt entity messing with the *larger* scale tests like the economy or weapon laws entries being tested. What I'm saying is, yes, proving these bigger, potentially more dangerous theories with experimentation and putting them to the test *in the real world*, is pretty much the final evidence, and research is important, but I feel like with these smaller ideas that are closer to common sense, I've already found what the goal of research *aims to achieve*: a valid, extreme level of confidence in said proposed theories based on enough unargued, sensible and logical *evidence* presenting itself, to *warrant* that level of confidence, to the point said information is justified to be *presented to the public*, and even for the larger scale ideas, I think in the context of first implementing the plethora of proven, non-dangerous ideas, the larger ones are then ready to be proven via experimentation and application in the real world rather than just on paper. *Do* share counterarguments!

Y'all really think I have friends/peers on this path… Nah… I have literally, nothing in common with anyone now :sob:

I'm joking I know peer here isn't to mean friends, I wanted to add maybe a wee crumb of humor to this big textbook

--- *shield foundations of the individual extra context* ---

justifications for: the law of motivations

Extra context: you have to also remember all the very unpleasant or even hellish shit you will have to suffer through by succumbing to hopelessness and accepting this "norm" plan of retiring, growing old, not stopping this corrupt system government from implementing even worse, more tyrannical oppression than it already has… Accepting death, accepting a relative purposelessness and pointlessness in comparison to how good life could have been had you succeeded in solving everything and following the law of motivations rather than ignoring it… I'm just making this entry to remind you, it's not only "heaven" you're giving up, but also you allowing these hellish pains involved in this path of accepting hopelessness as well. This is basically saying the same thing as the entry titled "you need to be aware of both sides of life's potential"; reminding you that there is great potential for pain and suffering at the hands of evil if you succumb to hopelessness, allowing it to exist/grow, on top of the pleasures you're giving up.

Aren't you just sooo excited for the retirement home, erectile dysfunction, or heart attacks with a 500k medical bill? Aren't you just sooo stoked to accept that path rather than the one leading to the literal best existence imaginable?

justifications for: the solving mentality

- **question:** why is the solving mindset the one we're all "meant to have"?

Read the law of motivations. Have you witnessed it? Go witness it and then see if you still have doubt toward this… On top of that stuff have you yet realized our greedy and selfish human nature is basically the root of all corruption in this world? Do you realize, the only true way to *fix* that nature, is to make life mean far too much? How will life have any meaning if religion *isn't* true and life just *ends*? At a majority level, people will remain selfish and uncaring. The only true solution, is to outline obvious, unending meaning to the masses, and make corruption undesirable. And the solving mindset, is literally the only way to achieve that <u>unending</u> meaning, with solving even death itself…

Adults were supposed to be chasing the dreams they gave up on, those dreams are the interesting shit they should be able to converse about, but alas, instead, due to not seeing what they're giving up (seeing all of life's potential, witnessing the law of motivations), due to not seeing that, they've allowed a mentality of "well, I'm just here to screw around for 100 years and have fun (which might I remind you, especially in a society as corrupt as this one, isn't a path that will lead to true fulfillment, an underlying depression will always linger in you over the pointlessness of it & you'll see this in older folks if they ever do witness what they gave up on, as a very bad emotional outburst). That underlying depression is there, because truthfully, you're allowing the wrong mindset and you're losing at life. There is no other path to take than this. This book lists the foundations of everybody's best existence and purpose.

Extra context: people do have to see that the potential they're witnessing is in fact possible to be made a reality, on top of just seeing the potential exists in the first place. That's why the foundations of self-discipline are "seeing life's potential <u>and</u> knowing that it can be made a reality, forever. How would you be impacted by impossible ideas?

justifications for: the law of pain / law of leverage

Extra context: the law of pain isn't *just* some "work smarter, not harder" reminder. It's mainly about being able to recognize unjust and unnecessary pain in all its visible and less visible forms, and seeing it as something to be dissected and solved, especially knowing the warning of the law of motivations telling you it's worth doing such. For example, if you experience pain when someone rejects you, it might not be a bad idea to at least look down the rabbit hole of the situation toward its moral reality, to determine if the situation was really handled in the fairest way.

And obviously, if you find anything, you already know after reading this book, how you would genuinely be able to satisfy fairness after simply doing this first step of locating the moral reality. Another good example of elusive pain you'd want to be able to spot, is "sensing your own lack of path confidence", as lacking path confidence can lead to a worsening emotional state, and lacking path confidence can certainly be a more elusive/less visible root cause of your urge to procrastinate, and then increasing potential self-hatred, decreasing self-confidence and esteem, potentially increasing stress, I could go on. Then again, you probably wouldn't be stressed much if humanity had immortality to be honest, especially with how this book hands you so many avenues/hopes of solving your issues including this type. A more visible pain would be, obviously, something like getting stabbed. I don't think you'd have to have a "contemplation conference with yourself" to discover that pain. The law of pain's main idea is that all forms of significant pain/discomfort should be investigated to locate unnecessary pain and injustice, and find it's solution to more accurately and fully achieve a best existence possible overall. The highlight is especially spotting & solving "elusive", less visible pains, because that's literally how I found most topics/life's potential in this book. You have to be willing to *at least* <u>consider</u> seemingly unacceptable or crazy solutions sometimes, like how I considered the solving mentality and what it'd enable, if it was accepted by society. *<u>I found it literally enables the rest of near utopia</u>*.

This is the real face and nature of the law of pain & it shouldn't be forgotten. On top of "work smarter, not harder".

justifications for: passing the first layer

As intimidating as it seems, every human's literal life purpose has always been to overcome every problem, even death, and achieve the best existence possible, since the very beginning of time. The fuel for seeing that's true, is witnessing enough of what that "best existence possible" is like… the best existence possible *that could only be created by the version of yourself that <u>didn't</u> give in to hopelessness* (solving mentality). After you see enough of what achieving a best existence possible is like, you <u>will</u> burn with a desire to conquer any problem standing in your way of achieving that reality, even death (this is the law of motivations' warning). On top of this, seeing all the potential that exists is just important; because you want your decisions of how you're spending the only life you've ever had to be accurate decisions you won't regret; made in the scope of having seen all the potential that exists, to know you aren't missing out. Passing the first layer is just the point at which someone finds the inspiration; fuel to actually take those goals truly seriously (because it just so happens, that much potential (fuel) exists. That's just the reality).

the value of physical appearance/physical attractiveness (this doesn't just mean looks. think all five senses <u>etc.</u>)

Maintaining a healthy and physically attractive body image is certainly a valid trait of a human magnet, how the hell are you gonna be "magnetically" attractive if you don't possess such a crucial factor? And this should be made especially easy for you to accomplish, now that you possess all these self-discipline and motivation dynamics making it that easy and even quite fun especially with things like igniting songs. The dynamics to weightlifting/general exercise, to obtain physical attractiveness, are literally everywhere, it's not hard to find the information, and this is certainly an extremely crucial trait of a human magnet and the thing is, it should be pretty easy to obtain considering the info is all over the internet if you really want to find it. I regularly squat and deadlift 315lbs eight times, then

go bench 225 eight times in the same session (with warmup sets before all that) as my routine, and I'm not even f-ucking *trying* to get big. I'm pretty confident igniting songs and the self-discipline dynamics are working my friend…

Obviously, exercise/physical health isn't the only factor in physical attractiveness. It's <u>all</u> easily findable via internet. For example, smelling nice can be another major benefit. I've even found singing well can be. Think outside the box.

Extra context: aim to take care of your appearance, your health; everything you intelligently can, depending on the direness of your situation. Keep in mind that we have five senses, so visual appeal is not the only potential path; there are many ways to grow this. And even if you were born disadvantaged in your appearance, you need to realize, with technology's advancement, especially post-immortality, you will be able to look as good as anyone else will.

reminder of a requirement for motivational impact and increases to your sensitivity to said impact

You can't really be impacted by potentials you genuinely see as impossible to make a reality. For example, I don't currently believe in time travel, but if I knew it was possible or even had enough reason to believe it was, I would have my sensitivity to impact potentially increased by the fact said time travel might allow me to finally achieve true justice of all past wrongdoings done to myself and others. It would boost the maniacal laughter I would express when hearing songs that remind me of that potential I now know/genuinely think is possible, especially if said motivating songs are showing other reminders of other strong angles of motivation like setting heavens or who knows what else. I already told you anyways, in the dynamics of motivational impact, that the amount of impact you'll feel from a "motivation" (song or whatever form), depends on the amount of/strength of and number of angles/types of potential displayed at once to you. So obviously, knowing more potential is possible, will increase the amount of potential you can possibly perceive and be impacted by from any given "motivation". This is a valid requirement.

That "time travel" example could also actually boost my self-confidence, probably, because that's a form of power. Power against evil, that is. So, I'd be more… Confidently expressive, now, since I'd know I can achieve justice in time.

aim to radiate the same impact you felt from your strongest, most impactful motivations

Aim to become someone who embodies the impact and vibe of the most epic motivations you've ever seen. Make your goals, and the intelligent/admirable way you go about achieving those goals, radiate that motivational impact as well. Key word being, 'strongest' ("most epic") motivations, because, the stronger the motivation and its impact, the more potential it's obviously displaying to you at once, and the more potential it's displaying to you at once, the more relevance that same motivational example has to acting as a blueprint for you to use to achieve your best existence possible, through breaking down, dissecting all that potential it's revealing to you. The more impact a motivation hits you with, the more value it has. I don't think you can exactly dissect and embody the impact you feel from say, seeing an epic enough castle-city… Because you're human, not a bunch of castle towers. But, considering how human magnet examples are one of if not the most impactful motivations, this entry still holds relevance. But hey, if you find some piece of potential to take from examples that aren't human, be my guest, and please share how you did it. Impact spawns from you perceiving potential you know can be made a reality (that's why it impacts you), so when you embody that potential, or simply create the thing (castle-city?) that embodies all of that potential, that created thing, now radiating all that potential, will now also be radiating all that impact. Obviously, the same can be said for potential you see & obtain from examples of strong "human magnets" thus, you radiate impact.

dynamics of ego

your level of expressed ego, must reflect a reality of unfailing, un-disproven power and victory, to remain attractive.

Otherwise, it's going to appear cringe. You better make damn sure whatever level of power and ego you're expressing isn't going to be exposed as false with you immediately being caught off-guard or beaten successfully by whatever evil enemy you're expressing this taunting ego towards. Expressing ego, is basically subtracting a little bit of intelligence from your behavior, so you can add a little bit of fun to your situation in the form of feeling like a badass. Ego is you expressing a level of power you believe you have, and it's only cringe when someone expresses a level of ego that doesn't reflect the amount of power they have, especially so, when they are beaten and proven weak by (hopefully an evil person who deserves to be taunted with such ego in the first place, obviously) the enemy they were expressing it towards. That's the dynamics of expressing ego. *Ego isn't morally wrong*, it simply must be warranted by a reality of power and greatness. When I say greatness, I do include being a moral person, because you have to remember that evil doesn't align to the actual most intelligent path in life and is foundationally stupid in almost all scenarios (moral reality aligns with everyone's most viable path in almost all scenarios). The reason I say expressing ego is subtracting a little intelligence from your behavior, is because rather than staying logically focused on beating your (evil, obviously) enemy completely, you're over here pissing them off more and taunting them, probably to vent your justified hate toward them. That's understandable, and personally I don't think ego is unviable to express when it is, in fact, accurately reflecting such a level of real power to the point it *won't* get proven wrong, but this formula about subtracting and adding, is still simply accurate. These are the dynamics to staying attractive.

For example, you likely wouldn't start laughing if you're chained to a wall by your enemy unless you had some plan. And I don't just mean "some plan", rather some shit you're at least 98% sure will work, otherwise, I wouldn't laugh.

And I know I talked a lot about expressing ego toward an evil enemy, but it's obviously not only expressed toward those, and not only used to taunt said evil people. You can express ego just by saying you've 'achieved greatness' or boasting or whatever. I'm just saying, you better make absolutely sure that your claims are *true,* to warrant that.

Extra context: having an ego doesn't, in any way, shape, or form, mean being an unjust dick. The level of ego you have, and your own claims about your greatness, simply *must* reflect reality, to spare you some embarrassment. Once your "greatness" is disproven, and thus your level of ego, you should probably not let yourself live in delusion of "nah it hasn't been disproven", because the world and the consequences of that reality will not cease just to appease your delusion. Reality will smack this delusional ego right away from you, probably very embarrassingly. So, it's smart to just accept all the pain and insecurity you start feeling, at any point your ego or "greatness" seems disproven in any valid way, at any valid point. And on top of that, address and take seriously this insecurity that arises from such valid criticism, take seriously that now gained perception and choose to adopt the agenda of fixing that insecurity in yourself, using the *dynamics of insecurity*, and become great enough to truly warrant, earn that ego/self-confidence, back. Allow insecurity to invade your ego, because doing so is the most potent sharpening stone of the sword of the *actual* greatness of your character, because by being maximally sensitive to insecurity in yourself, you will inevitably, maximally confront all possible flaws perceivable in yourself, the ones seeable from your own perspective, at least. And guess what; you know a hell of a lot about yourself and can likely perceive a hell of a lot *more* flaws in comparison to any outsider's perspective. This book advocates for achieving *true* greatness, from *all* angles, without delusion. Because, true confidence comes only from true power, and true greatness. You *will* start seeing why I adamantly called it a "human magnet", despite that being something that sounds like what a lunatic would come up with. The level of confidence, the understanding, among other things achievable by maximally exploring the subjects in this book; it *is* just that insanely great. What's the most insane, is that it's real. And if you don't like me using the word "ego", just replace it with "self-confidence", because I'm basically referring to that, considering I already told you I'm not advocating for anyone to be an unjust dick to anyone... If that's what "ego" means to you, my bad. Just replace the word with self-confidence when you're reading it, little baby. Don't miss the point. Self-confidence is really just an expression of the power person confidently believes they actually possess, so if you're level of expression of power is inaccurate, it makes you look stupid and the opposite of powerful or admirable.

the basic foundations/cores of being a human magnet

This goes back to the three cores; it is to aim to be attractive, powerful, and understanding, as often as is intelligently warranted and possible for you, and to the _maximum_ extent intelligently warranted and possible for you. When I say intelligently warranted, it means for example, if you're in some sort of emergency, you very well may have logic internally telling you it's smart to not prioritize these things, and focus on fixing the emergency, but when you're able to, I'm just saying you should remember that those three adjectives are the foundations of what a human magnet even is. I'm simply reminding you of their importance in actually being a "human magnet"... Like if you actually want to fit that title, forgetting those three cores, is forgetting the overarching, _only_ "cores" that would make you fit that title... So rather, it's obvious you want to fulfill those three cores as maximally as you can, if it's intelligently warranted by your situation, enabled by you not being in some dire emergency for example, to not be preoccupied too much. To be honest, in writing this book in such a corrupt society, I personally have more so been prioritizing writing than anything else. But like I said, that's because I see this as somewhat of an emergency that I need to write this and uncorrupt human culture as much as possible not only for my happiness and safety, but all the good people who don't deserve to suffer in this hellish shithole you call a society. But I still try to follow moral reality.

Extra context: this entry replaces one that used to exist, it was called "Always aim to be admirably and attractively independent, rather than unnecessarily and unattractively needy in any situation". I decided that the three cores, along with the chapters in this book like open-mindedness etc., seem to be the true, common traits all of us desire. If you think "independence" is in fact an objectively "majority level" enough trait for humanity, please explain why.

-- *shield moral reality extra context* --

justifications for: fairness can align with everyone's path to max happiness in <u>almost all scenarios</u> (just educate…

- **question:** but what about psychopaths who lack empathy and don't care about human connection?

Apathy takes the color out of life. Yeah, psychopaths lack sympathy and feeling bad for others, but they *also* lack the ability to feel love or connection with others. In other words, yes, they lack the sadness we get from feeling bad for others, but they also lack the emotional high we're feeling with others from the results of human connection. For this reason, I think it's safe to conclude that psychopathy makes you miss out on life emotionally, and it's not a "desirable" way to be, but on top of this, I'd say a *stronger* reason why it's undesirable is the level of unjust behavior it tends to lead to, *so,* I'd say we *are* justified in labelling it as an illness that a cure must be made for. Therefore, psychopathy shouldn't be an issue getting in the way of this entry, because I just told you how it, in reality, doesn't align with anyone's true path to max happiness because it takes one of if not the most crucial pieces from their life.

- **question:** but what about all the different moral ideologies throughout the world?

There are certain <u>*unarguable*</u> aspects of <u>*everyone's*</u> "best existence possible" or "max happiness". Yes, we do have differing individual goals, like I might want to live in a castle and someone else might want to live on a farm, but the key is, those aren't seriously division-causing differences. And I know there are people who have their religion telling them killing others for x belief is their goal, but with how objective fairness/moral reality is, we can unarguably disprove those false morals by going down the rabbit hole and comparing them to the actual unarguable aspects of everyone's path to max happiness (what this book attempts to list). Moral reality, among the other things in this book, are meant to be unarguable truths that apply to everyone's most viable path to max happiness, so with all these other ideologies with differing morals, I'm saying we can <u>*at least*</u>, almost always unarguably *corner* false ones, and thus "*disprove*" any ideology straying from the actual unarguable path to max happiness, <u>*to whatever degree its straying*</u> from that path. So I *am* saying, there are certain unarguable aspects everyone's paths have in common, like the fact this mostly-objective fairness aligns with everyone's most viable path to max happiness almost always. The law of motivations appeals to our human nature so stupidly strongly, that people will see this as certainly true.

Do also refer to the entry about: "achieving near-complete ideological unity in society", from foundations of society.

Extra context: the reason this works, is because think of it this way: whoever is spreading their false ideology, is being like a salesman of it, and saying "hello world! This ideology is the true universal path to max happiness for humans! The most viable path!". They're making that claim. So, if we *do* have pieces of knowledge we've found and *know* are in fact currently unargued aspects of everyone's path to max happiness (what this book attempts to list), then we can compare the aspects of this "salesman's" path to those we know of… And if the aspects listed in this book are actually the unarguable ones I think they are… Then we have a shitload of *ammo* to compare his stuff with. Still… At its core, this works depending on whether the current dominant path to max happiness truly proves to be unarguable, and because of the reality that what centrally matters to everyone, *is* "max happiness" and fulfillment.

justifications for: when you don't have enough time to determine the true moral reality (emergencies, for exa…

- **question:** but won't people try and purposefully get into "time-constrained" emergency situations to just-ify saving someone they like, and letting someone die who they want dead, for example? Hiding murders?

Buddy, that can happen in the system we *already* use. What better solution, what better "law of moral reality" covering this, exists, that you can share? If you found one, please do. By the way, if someone is suspected of doing t-his, it'd be investigated just like any other suspected crime obviously. Are there any real questions to answer here?

breaking moral reality should really be your last resort, for your own sake too (this should lessen crime a bit?)

You should really try to think outside the box to find potential solutions and avoid breaking moral reality if you find yourself in, say, something like a "selfishness scenario" (where your life is at risk of ending if you don't sacrifice so-meone). Imagine you committed murder and had an option to avoid doing so if you only thought outside the box a little more and had found this clever idea that would have let you avoid dying and avoid breaking moral reality alt-ogether… But nah, you murdered someone or caused their death and now you will face the consequences of that. I'm not saying you should break moral reality at all, but don't sit here and get pissed at me for making this entry w-hen you and I *both* know that people who get into these selfishness scenarios aren't gonna give a flying fuck if I sit here on repeat about "dOn'T bReAk mOrAL rEaLiTy". Like, that's obvious. It's obvious I don't want moral reality to be broken. I'm just saying, to the people who <u>plan</u> to break moral reality in those scenarios, you better think first…! *Even if you think it through and yet truly <u>are</u> in a scenario where you have no other options, you'll still be a criminal.*

how to find a law of moral reality

laws of moral reality are found by applying the *chain reaction II* to your proposed law *on top* of applying the instru-ctions to *finding a situation's moral reality*, since the only difference is that moral reality laws remain true in all, or nearly all situations. A proposed law must prove itself by holding up against all valid conceivable hardest scenarios.

genuinely being forced by tyranny to commit injustice

Should not result in you being guilty. Key word being genuinely forced, meaning you didn't have a reasonable way out of this corner to avoid causing the injustice, without that tyrant hurting you <u>*significantly enough*</u>, or killing you. Remember, this might be a proposed "law of moral reality", but it's just an idea and can be argued against, and to find a situation's moral reality, you mustn't just apply "laws of moral reality" without confronting all details as well. "Blanket law" conclusions without considering a situation's individual valid details is tyranny; it is not *moral reality*.

ignorance <u>often</u> does not negate the debt accumulated from injustice (it really just depends on the moral reality)

If someone causes unjust damage, or pain, or whatever, and finally sees they did so later, that doesn't change the fact that they still have consequences or victims they owe for their injustice. Hurting someone out of your own stu-pidity and ignorance of moral reality doesn't change the fact you still hurt them unjustly or caused whatever dama-ge you caused. That damage has been done and hasn't been fixed just by you finally seeing you caused it; you owe. "owing" someone could be fixed by you being disrespected too… It doesn't *have* to be 'paid in physical pain' lmfao.

There are scenarios I can think of where someone's ignorance is too understandable to hold them accountable, like:

- **question:** is it fair to dislike someone for causing unjust damage to me, even if they're mentally disabled?

Not if they're completely unaware that they caused damage. Mental disability might very well make them unaware of that, and it's simply not fair to hate them unless they're made *fully* aware of the unjust damage they've caused, and yet *still choose* not to fix it. If someone's this dangerous and can't see it, they probably need a mental hospital.

you can assume things, if you're willing to pay the justified consequences of injustices your assumptions cause

Simply put, there are some occasions where you've seen enough evidence pointing toward certain conclusions in l-ife, to understandably feel warranted in just automatically assuming the pattern said evidence has shown you, is o-ccurring. This simply says, it's not morally wrong to assume things based on having seen enough of said evidence t-o reasonably feel justified in assuming something, *as long as* you're willing to accept having to pay for any damage or injustice your assumption causes if it's wrong. For example, you assume someone's a bad person, insult them, a-nd turn out to be wrong, you'd obviously deserve and should expect criticism, from your unjust treatment of them.

threats warrant investigation if necessary

As long as the investigating individual isn't someone who's corrupt, like the people of this society and their court s-ystem seem to be, then, for the one being investigated, there should be no fear of moral reality not being located… Any valid arguments are heard out due to open-mindedness, any valid evidence & court wouldn't be money-based. As long as the laws and court truly align with moral reality, I see no issues in saying "threats warrant investigation". If you have unarguable reasons to take someone's threat seriously, despite them saying it's a "joke", this will apply.

And if you want to figure out if investigation is necessary to do or not, then you present logic, reason and argume-nts *just like in any other conflict* in order to find the moral reality of the situation, find whether it says investigation is necessary or not in this situation. I mean come on; don't you know that at this point? It's only said… Everywhere.

tip for when someone in this current society asks you for a loan

I just ask them to pass the first layer test first (proving they're passed the first layer). The problem is that they reall-y, genuinely have to pass the first layer in order for me to know that they're uncorrupt truly… But by proposing thi-s, they won't really be able to argue against this request, because it's really very reasonable, "I'm just thinking this is the fairest assessment of this situation"; passing the first layer to such an extent is pretty much the only way I k-now of that can somewhat prove a person is uncorrupt, and trustworthy. And this is on top of asking for some kind of written contract... I just really don't like getting scammed, and I give this book out for free. I can't lose my funds.

-- *shield foundations of society extra context* --

justification for: achieving near-complete ideological unity in society

- **question:** what about people who are genuinely different in some of their desires like with asexuality?

This isn't even a matter of ideology, this is a matter of someone's individual desires, and I don't think someone's individual desires can be so *skewed* to the point those desires are creating corruption/causing injustice, yet *are not* labelled an illness. Basically, I don't think there is such a _valid_ difference in anybody's desires that unity is crippled.

- **question:** well, wouldn't an asexual person's motivation be significantly negatively impacted as a result?

Asexuality may delete sex as a major motivation out of one's life and desires, but I still don't think it'll cause such a negative nor unjust impact to the point any significant negative consequences occur. They'll still be fully functional.

- **question:** well, what if people don't listen to my proposed better path despite not being able to argue it?

This is why open-mindedness and relevant speech are crucial foundations of actually achieving the best society or "best existence" possible or whatever you wanna call it. _You_ must have relevant speech, and _others_ must have open-mindedness to your arguments once you *do*. Enabling anti-censorship, enables you to enforce this verbally, too, by validly criticizing and injuring the ego of those who are breaking moral reality in this way… Read *how to roast*…? Do remember, a person can have an odd ideology as long as it doesn't break the law. Unjustly insulting others basically yields its own punishment in the form of being hated by others to whatever extent you keep trying to unjustly verbally bully them… Thing is, its valid, unarguably deserved hatred, otherwise, you'd be able to gain the understanding to expose how it *isn't* warranted, and fix your reputation… "But won't the hated person be tempted to resort to just shooting everyone and then themselves?": think about two things real quick: 1. How good life is going to be in comparison to how it is in this corrupt society, and 2. How understanding and intelligent most people will be. It's going to be significantly less likely that someone reaches such a point of frustration to do that, with this society. It is going to be really hard to feel unheard and *not* have an outlet for character development and self-expression…

achieving near-complete ideological unity in society – writing a "vexyricon" is a good strategy for achieving this…

This can also be considered an extra context entry for: "operation loudspeaker". It does require a finished vexyricon.

Using relevant speech and specifically also the "relevant speech nonfiction format", it's not a bad strategy to contemplate, locate, and outline, *as fully as you can*, the "most viable path to the best existence possible". I know: "but that's obvious!", but this *is* such a top-tier strategy for achieving this near-complete ideological unity, I have to make sure it's known, and it's especially powerful when you're also using the relevant speech nonfiction format, because it should answer all valid questions, doubts, and should, in the end, *unarguably* and *clearly* present polished "most viable path steps to the best existence possible" which basically everyone just happens to share such huge, crucial aspects of in reality anyways... The reason this happens to work, is because the law of motivations happens to be true; life just happens to contain that much potential to the point all it takes is outlining enough of it in plain formulas and steps, for the masses to see *clearly*, how insanely worth pursuing the "best existence possible" is. And it just happens to be, that fairness and empathy align viably with everyone's path to max happiness in almost all scenarios. This existence seems to just "happen" to have a very amazing, motivating, happy ending that is possible.

-------------------------------------- *shield gender attractiveness imbalance extra context* --------------------------------------

justifications for: the entire gender attractiveness imbalance chapter

There exists such a stupidly huge amount of evidence supporting literally all of this to the point you'll see enough if you just look 5 feet in front of you. It would take a thousand years for me to list it all. So, I saw that as unnecessary.

the 'main' extra context for the gender attractiveness imbalance chapter

As I said… Men's attractiveness, comes mainly from achieving and being able to _fully_ express a highly admirable character. So, being unable to express their full, genuine self, unable to brutally, verbally disrespect bullies without significant chances of unjust violence happening to them as a result, is truly taking away good men's power, and thus admirability in this society. The only real solution, is for good men with weapons to outnumber these apes 100 to 1. Only then, will an environment of verbal justice be truly enabled and safe to participate in (on top of implementing anti-censorship), thus opening the gate for good men to have the power to express themselves fully, enabling their intelligent dissection of the bully's unjust ego to be enabled, publicly humiliating it without threat of pathetic, unjust violence from it lest it be gunned down by any other bystanders as soon as it shoots anyone. This can be achieved with the education of the masses on the topics in this book. This book lists common traits of anyone's "best existence possible", which _includes_ common dynamics to anyone's "best self possible", which thus means, "most admirable self possible". So, with men being uneducated on these dynamics, which by the way many of which are disabled by y'all's hopelessness mindset, men are far, very far, from their "maximum admirability". For example, men are not educated on the dynamics to handling insecurity (which does by the way require getting rid of the hopelessness mindset, which nobody has been willing to get rid of), uneducated on their true best life purpose, the dynamics to self-discipline from the third chapter, and uneducated on the dynamics to even using anti-toxicity in the first place all because this retarded cushy unjust censorship-ridden society has babied most of them to act more like little bitches, all of which also tie into the general hopelessness and lack of self-discipline which are causing men in this society to significantly lose admirability, like who's actually going to care to hit the gym with such a mindset on top of having the emotional turmoil caused by all the other areas men in our society are lacking in understanding, plaguing their will to live and definitely their will to be productive rather than just maximize dopamine in all the unhealthy ways their uninformed, herd-mentality self is made aware of by other uninformed, herd-mentality victims of this rockefeller education system that prioritizes creating drones who do what they are told and don't seek understanding nor truly thinking for themselves? Men being drones is certainly not a particularly admirable trait, but add onto that the lack of understanding of how to handle all insecurities, criticism, emotional problems, existential crisis, lacking any of the dynamics to true self-discipline, their true (and most interesting/admirable) life purpose, among _all_ the other shit in this book which would increase the interest and admirability factor of any given male, (with this book literally being a compilation of the common aspects all of our legendary selves and lives), even including topics like the economy... This gender attractiveness Imbalance will not be fixed until men fill these gaps by becoming educated. _On this books_ info. With this book showing the common dynamics all of us share in our legendary selves and best lives, by that definition, it's literally the solution to these imbalances, as, not only does it give those dynamics, but also an attempt at a best, _most just and fair_, truly free society tied to your most interesting and admirable life purpose in which no unjust censorship should affect you from expressing your now fully developed, legendary admirable self. This is the only fix to the G.A.I. You can ignore me, but you'll agree at some point.

breaking up with a romantic partner

This book gives so many avenues you can take rather than breaking up with someone, to the point a breakup should almost always be able to end in more of a mutual *agreement* way with both people not feeling betrayed or blindsided, but rather aware of exactly what happened and why, and what they can do to still achieve max happiness in their lives. In fact, the breakup usually shouldn't even result in partners being less than *friends*, unless one of them has some unpaid debt according to the moral reality… And we already know how *that* will be handled… The divorce rate is currently so high because of the gender attractiveness imbalance, and other things like how everyone in this society has given up on the solving mindset and thus has this *selfish* instant gratification mindset that makes them see partners as usually not much more than a wallet or a condom to use. Isn't that fucking embarrassing? We lack understanding others' potential, and even if we knew others' potential by, say, being educated with this book, due to giving up on the solving mindset, we'd still likely be inclined to just hop from partner to partner to selfishly find the best we could, since "life is temporary, and I can't waste it helping someone grow!"… As if I haven't argued in favor of the solving mindset enough, that's just further evidence for its necessity. Anyways, this is just me saying that relationships will have far more unity by functioning on the things in this book, and ending a relationship with *any* major emotional pain, won't truly be necessary. With this book and communication, you'll simply fully find the moral reality of the situation with your partner *if* there happens to be some problem you two *can't* make it over together, and you communicate that full perspective, you hear your partner's full perspective, you locate the moral reality, you reach a mutual understanding of each other's perspectives as fully as you can, and all valid counterarguments get confronted until you *find the most viable, fairest path to max happiness for both of you*. And yes, that means partners being shown a satisfactory, most viable path to filling the void the breakup created. It's not just this "Um yeah, I'm bored so bye" bullshit. Good luck pulling that in a society of deep thinkers who can uproot the injustice in that neglectful ditching, prove how evil it is to commit it, then expose it socially... Listen, whenever you're feeling any sort of negative way in your relationship, there *is* a valid reason, and it very well might be the case that you *do* in fact need to break up with your partner, and that's the moral reality. I'm simply saying, *find moral reality*.

On top of just finding the moral reality, how about, help them grow as a person, using this book's dynamics to do it, rather than just leaving? Sometimes it's justified to not be okay with waiting for them. Just locate the moral reality.

romantic rejection should only be inflicted with conclusively unargued valid reasons

Wait don't shoot me! You will <u>have</u> unarguable reasons simply by not being attracted to someone, just <u>know</u> those! This is simply about presenting those reasons to ensure your perception of a person's attractiveness, reflects reality. You'd be quite painfully betraying yourself, if you rejected someone you incorrectly assumed incompatible with you.

If you sense reasons to romantically reject someone, you should have valid unargued reasons behind that rejection and should *be able to put those reasons into words for them*. Due to open-mindedness and the importance of the desire to achieve a best existence possible, other people *should* be willing to validly argue even against themselves despite wanting you, *and guess for you,* your valid reasoning behind your rejection *if* say, you somehow cannot put your reasons into words... But still, this is important in the interest of not only maintaining your self-esteem and reputation as being a *good person*, but also for preventing unnecessary animosity, loss of unity, and lack of mutual understanding between people. Rejecting others without communicable valid unargued reasoning should and *can*, morally, have the effect of opening you to valid criticism. Confront any valid counterarguments presented against your reasoning, not only in the interest of open-mindedness, but because they're *valid because you might want to not reject this person after all*, that's literally <u>why they'd be valid</u> arguments in the first place against your rejection. *This isn't as hard as it sounds*. Any time you're less than maximally happy to accept someone, there's reasons behind why; presentable by communication; and if all those valid reasons are disproven, you won't lack any happiness in accepting the person. The only exception I know of, is if you feel validly threatened by tyranny. For example… If you have valid reason to fear someone will get violent toward you from you rejecting them, use the *law of tyranny*.

tool – pre-confronting situations to fortify your relationship can be very healthy

Firstly, it's probably a good idea for both partners to understand the topics in this book, as that should serve as a solid _foundation_ for the relationship being strong, with how it outlines contemplation of moral reality, among other things. After that's accomplished, there should be very few "insta-kill" scenarios that could end your relationship so unnecessarily, and you should, resultingly, be equipped with a strength of the "chain reaction I" to be able to now find the solutions to conflicts your relationship encounters, quite quickly if not fairly instantly. This book is a solid foundation for your relationship which teaches decent dynamics on most aspects; _as I said_ in the "magnetic vampirism" entry, "this book _teaches much of maximum love_". So, with understanding this book deeply enough, as a result, I'm saying you shouldn't have many scenarios left that are truly necessary to confront beforehand with your partner for the safety of your relationship, since due to having attained this new strong chain reaction I, most situations should be solved quickly now and not pose a threat. Having said that, my point is, there are probably still a number of scenarios to confront, to the point it's still a healthy practice to do this with them… Especially harder ones.

When I said, "this book teaches much of max love", I'm saying, the chapter titles and traits of a "magnet", are that! I'm saying, becoming the magnetic version of yourself will equip you with the knowledge to achieve maximum love; understanding this book, its traits/knowledge, the dynamics of moral reality… All of this shit, will contribute to that.

both partners should genuinely understand their attraction and communicate

Both partners understanding their own psychology, attraction, and being able to communicate on those topics effectively, on top of probably just understanding everything in this book to be honest, are crucial foundations of a successful relationship. Many breakups probably happen today because partners (especially female) give up on the relationship because they just "don't feel a spark anymore", without actually understanding the gender attractiveness imbalance, understanding the yin yang of physical appearance and character between men and women, and just not understanding the dynamics to everything in this book in general, since understanding those dynamics very well _should_ lead to being able to accurately dissect, diagnose and even quickly help fix your partner's shortcomings and achieve a relationship you're _glad_ you _didn't_ give up on, with you being able to literally just give them the exact formulas to becoming their best self. But yeah, instead, today you just see young adults hopping from partner to partner, far from maximally committed, and definitely not educated on how to make the most out of a relationship seemingly at a mass level. You were too busy being taught to be a drone in school instead of being taught this.

My point here is, right off the bat in a relationship, it's smart to prioritize both partners at _least_ knowing what they're genuinely attracted to, and how it can be achieved by the other partner. This is especially necessary with women today, as women and men pretty much _know_ what men want. But as I look around, it seems like nobody yet _truly_ knows that women want in a man, they think it's just money or power, but it's _truly_ just: a "male human magnet" who can express a fully attractive 'human magnet level' character, with no _unjust censorship_. Society not knowing that yet is completely understandable, it makes me realize why there are so many "dating gurus" for men and how confusing the topic seemed to be to crack; because in truth, the answer was _hiding behind a philosophical rabbit hole wall_: someone had to discover like, half this damn book to break that wall… Like the entire anti-censorship chapter, the gender attractiveness imbalance chapter, the anti-toxicity chapter (which then requires the solving mindset to truly justify anti-censorship with, because people will ask "well how will I confront an insecurity of an unfixable biological flaw I have _without_ censoring the bully" for example… and people call the solving mindset insane so who the hell was gonna even consider that?). I'm just saying, I see why we haven't seemed to answer what women truly want in a man, but the answer's been _found_ now: it's this book, the male human magnet enabled by this book who can express his fully developed attractive character fully with no unjust censorship, the male who knows and is pursuing his true life purpose admirably… (proceeds to recite every human magnet trait in this book for the tenth time). So yes, I'm going to sit here and put it bluntly – women need to be aware of that answer now _just as much_ as men do, so that we no longer have this (likely unnecessary) ditching of "I just don't feel a spark anymo-

re", not actually, genuinely knowing what's happening or it's solution… And… Might I remind you; I'm calling it unnecessary ditching because the woman also very likely could be hurting herself with it too. I mean, imagine giving up on someone out of your ignorance, when not doing so, would've led to one of your best relationships possible…

With this book, partners should now be able to truly understand, articulate & solve most shortcomings which occur.

Extra context: the reason I'd mentioned the solving mindset is because you need to be willing to consider achieving immortality to be able to solve those "unfixable" biological flaws and thus truly now have confronted the insecurity.

- **question:** What about guys who have things in common with a girl, isn't there going to be a successful romantic relationship between both of them, since they have such hobbies in common and get along there?

There might be a successful friendship, but that doesn't make the male attractive romantically, since he can't express his full, admirable self (if he even knows the dynamics to that admirable self in the first place. Things like anti-toxicity and the other chapters in this book are the dynamics to that admirable self). It'll be more like a friendship without much romantic attraction from the girl to the boy, or at the very best, the boy will be attracted more to the girl than the girl is attracted to him, unless there's some major natural imbalance in their physical appearance (the boy having a better appearance than the girl). Because by nature, women's physical appearance boosts their attractiveness more than their character does, and men's character boosts their attractiveness more than their physical appearance does, so with such unjust censorship in place, even if they connect on hobbies and interests, unless the censorship is taken away, the woman will always see the man when he's not at his best, because he needs to be able to express his full, admirable self to get there. The girl is simply closer to her max attractiveness, by default.

watch what happens to the divorce rate lol

Should I just start listing off applicable entry titles? Understanding others potential, open-mindedness, relevant speech, the solving mentality, the fact moral reality can align with our path to max happiness most of the time and can be found, dynamics of handling any criticism (anti-toxicity), unity from the amount of achievable fairness, communication skills, deep honest understanding of one another or at least awareness of how to achieve that… etc. On top of this, it'll be a common practice to contemplate, solving impossible-seeming issues and finding solutions, especially to save your relationship which is now quite a deep connection in comparison to the transaction-based bullshit in this society, probably. On top of that, due to anti-censorship and the right gun laws, people won't be so fake to each other; more genuine, because of the lack of tyranny oppressing full self-expression now, following fairness.

some of the "dynamics" of human connection

Are attraction, fairness, and similar goals... This book obviously provides the dynamics to attractiveness quite heavily, as well as fairness... And common goals are somewhat minor, considering you can still befriend someone without them, as long as you have the other two factors, I think. And by attraction, obviously I don't mean exclusively sexual attraction. Like, I could just enjoy someone's sense of humor, or value their intellect... And whenever you see people you think you "just don't fit with" because you think their opinions are just too far from yours, it's probably just a big rabbit hole one of you needs to explore to find the truth, in reality. Just a void of ignorance to be crossed.

Extra context: connection between people requires two people to both show interest, it can't just be one person doing all the work. Learn to identify when it's just you holding up the connection, to know it's perhaps not your fault that there's an awkward silence, perhaps not your fault that you're the only one ever reaching out. Or maybe it is, but that's a moral reality rabbit hole for *you* to go down, not me right now. My point is, you can just inform them of how human connection works, and confront the reality of whether they care to be friends/whatever or not, and why, intelligently and productively, especially with both or even one of you now knowing some dynamics of h-

uman connection from perhaps this book, or your own contemplation of that subject, instead of just standing there engulfed in awkward silence (just an example) like people in this society do, like cows, due to not being educated on any foundational dynamics of how human connection works. You'll know exactly what's going on now, and if you don't, you know how to find out with contemplation. The goal here is to know enough to get on the same page.

-- *shield anti-toxicity extra context* --

justifications for: dynamics of insecurity

- **question:** why should people confront their insecurities instead of ignore them and expect others to, too?

On top of the power of the law of motivations showing them proof, there are many instances where confronting an insecurity leads to understanding a new piece of moral reality, which can not only lead to thus seeing more potential in life from multiple angles like how it increases your admirability as a person to understand moral reality deeper from others perspectives due to you seeming more fair and thus likeable, but also, understanding new pieces of moral reality, if done commonly through confronting our insecurities being a common thing, can lead to the masses making the common man have a deep understanding of moral reality, a deep level of self-esteem and confidence, a deep level of admirability, and a plethora of other things like even increasing empathy overall in society due to everyone being so badass *and* admirable. I guess it does all tie back to the law of motivations, but you see it yet?

Extra context: not "every" confronted insecurity will lead to a new piece of moral reality being understood; for example, let's say you use the last resort method, where are you conclude an insecurity is worthy of being labelled disgusting but *doesn't* break moral reality, you wouldn't find any new "pieces of moral reality" during that necessarily.

justifications for: the anti-toxicity law of moral reality

This is an absolutely massive, monolithic, B** s**** justification for anti-censorship, because it is proof that any level of vulgarity can still be uncensored and verbal justice still be achieved as long as someone with a deep enough understanding of the situation's moral reality or moral reality in general is present. This is a literal *cure for bullying*.

justifications for: socratic method in anti-toxicity

Extra context: this is also a primary tool to use under _tyranny_. Basically, sometimes, asking someone rhetorical questions which lead them to the reality you're trying to show them instead of always blatantly stating your possibly *volatile* opinions towards this potentially emotionally *volatile* person/tyrant, can be a useful tool in talking productively and keeping yourself safe in the face of their tyranny, or can be used for understanding a person's perspective on opinions you have without "poking the tiger" too much since they're being some *illogical little bitch*, apparently.

Although, even if there's no "tyranny" you might resort to this when you just want answers and without any _drama_.

I've used this tool a lot; found it extremely useful in many situations. It may do you good to get good at using it too.

justifications for: how to criticize (how to roast)

- **question:** but isn't roasting, procrastination? Or a waste of time?

When someone unjustly disrespects you, odds are, your emotions will be affected. The main point against your question here, is that "roasting" unjust bullies who *deserve it*, is a great way to practice and further *develop* your own

anti-toxicity and therefore, as a result, your self-confidence and attractiveness, because you'll be exposing yourself to all their genuine attempts at verbally bullying you back, to repair their own unwarranted ego from the cannonballs you've just launched at them (considering any unfair, unjust or tyrannical response will be unviable for them in the now uncorrupt society they are *surrounded* by). Despite that being my main answer, I'll still say this: it will appease you as the victim, emotionally, to achieve verbal justice, if your genuine self aligns with anti-toxicity of course.

lacking understanding of pieces of moral reality <u>can</u> lead to being disliked even though no one tells you why

Because people will start disliking you if your behavior is misguided and isn't following moral reality, even if you <u>*think*</u> it is (deep down, you'll still sense *something* is wrong with your logic that you need to confront). For example, if someone calls you delusional, a wrong (but seemingly right, in the moment) approach to take might be to justify you now hating them with "oh, but they unjustly insulted and disrespected me". But let's say your wording of your ideas wasn't very clearly organized. It's not the most intelligent response of them to call you delusional, so obviously they're unaware of the true moral reality of the situation just like you are, but it's also not justified for you to hate them for calling you delusional *if* your ideas aren't following the <u>*nonfiction relevant speech format*</u>. The one insulting you <u>*may not have a deep understanding to tell you that*</u>, but if you don't remember it yourself, <u>*you'll look bad*</u>. All this entire entry is telling you is, lacking knowledge of pieces of moral reality can lead to a ton of frustration. The piece of moral reality I'm talking about here in this example, is you knowing the necessity of the *relevant speech nonfiction format*, and knowing you mustn't expect others to listen to you, if you *aren't following it well enough*.

The nonfiction relevant speech format is important here, but following all parts of relevant speech matters as well... Also, do remember, that others disliking you isn't <u>always</u> due to you being in the wrong morally; use your intuition...

responses to buzzword insults

Tell the critic to actually show how there's a specific flaw or injustice they're pointing out instead of just dick riding. Using buzz words just because you don't like something without even being able to put into coherent arguments how the thing you don't like is worthy of not being liked and is flawed in some valid way, is going to get you roasted. Once again, as you can see here, you're applying the dynamics of insecurity, and can see that moral reality can win.

<-=->

=----------------------=A L L   O T H E R   E X T R A   &   M I N O R   C O N T E X T   E N T R I E S=----------------------=

F O R   T H E   " E C O N O M I C S "   C H A P T E R

<-=->

-- *shield economics extra context* --

'main' extra context info for the right "economy"

Is _organized teamwork_ toward creating the best existence possible. _Not money based_, rather _logic and fairness based,_ especially considering *fairness is mostly objective* (follows objective _logic_ in *almost all situations*). Our unargued and communicated purpose as a society, is creating our best existence possible. But it doesn't stop *there*: the *most viable path* to said "best existence possible", for _any individual human_ on this planet, _shares_ a *ridiculous* amount of major and similar crucial factors, with literally _every other human's_ individual most viable path. Yes, you read that claim right. One person might like the color red and another blue, but when it comes to the *huge*, *crucial* pieces of what *actually matters* to us, we're actually pretty damn similar: things like human connection, feeling understood, feeling worth something, feeling justice has been satisfied, feeling purposeful, *even feeling attraction*, these things are _majorly_ similar among a _majority_ of the population, and are the major motivators and pieces of any human's happiness. On top of this, *fairness* is also _mostly objective_, and can _align with literally everyone's most viable path to max happiness, in almost all scenarios_ (that's the main reason behind everything in this *entire entry*, actually) (citing: *moral reality* chapter). So, since we're so similar in terms of what actually matters to us _and follows the reality and logic of what truly matters logically_ (ignoring people's false delusions they'll just abandon (if sane) when they see this "reality" enough), and since our purpose is to create the "best existence possible", which we *share so much of*, the right "economy" is one where the '*currency*' used is simply true, fair presented _logic and reason_ tied to the goal of creating the best existence possible, considering fairness aligns with everyone's most viable path to max happiness in almost all scenarios, and thus _can and will leave everyone happy in almost all scenarios_, on top of considering, our "best existences possible" even as individuals, share such a ridiculous amount of crucial major similarities amongst every human *even at an individual level*. And *don't even get me started* about what the masses _passing the first layer_ will to do for purifying this corrupt economy, thus *solidifying _this_* "economic" system.

But *nooo*, in this current corrupt economy, not only do we give up on the *solving mentality* and give up on finding the moral reality of a situation to instead resort to fighting, forcing, or enslaving each other (sometimes even in *elusive* ways that require stupid amounts of thought and preparation in comparison to the effort finding a moral reality *would've required*), we resort to this division-endorsing currency called "money" letting people then have *more* reason to be corrupt and cheat to obtain *since* we're all so *infected* with this *hopelessness acceptance*, *selfish,* instant gratification *addicted* mentality. Like what did you expect, being infected with that? This economy is "every man for himself, me me me, my *unconfronted* philosophy (unconfronted due to you being unmotivated, aka *not being passed the first layer*), my unconfronted opinions and desires are best mine mine mine". Selfishness, division… I'm pretty sure the reason we established money as a currency in the first place, is because people in this corrupt society are so lost in their own material lives that they've replaced creating the true best existence possible aligning *with fairness*; replaced it with addiction to instant gratification and self-service, because there *is* nothing better to strive for that they *know of*, *because* there is such a lack of understanding and knowledge of how good that true best existence possible _is_ (this book should fix that, showing how good it is; it's a lack of "*passing the first layer*"). People are enchanted with money as drug to cope with, _distract themselves, and hide from_ the purposelessness & existential crisis infecting them from *allowing* the _hopelessness acceptance mentality_ *instead of truly having* the _solving mentality_**.** That drug, *money*, has no *real* place in a society of progressively thinking and intellectually enlightened individuals. It is simply an indicator of unsolved conflicts between and _within_ us. If we fix that (use this book) and make it so we can agree on what's happening in society and wake up, I don't think we'll need money anymore. And I am *legit claiming*, _we can_. We _can be in agreement_, in _almost all scenarios_. Because, fairness is objective in _almost all scenarios_ and can align with everyone's most viable path to max happiness in _almost all scenarios_. I'm claiming that humanity can be something like 95% united… united in 95% of scenarios, with *how* objective fairness is.

Money should not be the required tool for fulfilling our desires, but rather logic and fairness aligned with the true b-est existence possible. And this works, because moral reality can align with everyone's most viable path to their be-st existence possible in nearly all scenarios. In other words, doing the right thing is nearly always the best thing too.

This idea does <u>not</u> imply <u>utilitarianism</u>. Utilitarianism doesn't centrally value fairness. This, <u>centrally values fairness</u>.

Extra context: rock paper scissors can be… Possibly a very useful tool in this "fairness" based system. Remember it!

-- *shield anti-censorship extra context* --

explanation of: "operation loudspeaker is really my (and your) only hope for full self-expression at the mome…"

Extra context: let's say you're at the gym and express yourself fully, insulting a bully. There's a risk of unjust violence, you being shot or punched out in the parking lot after your workout, because of the lack of understanding of this book in society on a widespread level; namely the lack of understanding of the dynamics of insecurity. There's also unjust censorship, and if you get reported to the front desk of your gym for "using profanity" or some shit, and you try to propose this vexyricon logic to the front desk, guess what, they respond with "sorry sir, you broke our policy and we're going to have to ask you to leave, permanently". You know why they say that, rather than valuing and finding the moral reality? Because they're just at their job for the money. The selfish instant-gratification hopelessness acceptance mindset has infiltrated and infected their life, rather than the solving mindset of passing the first layer and resultingly, having a great level of emotional attachment to the goal of achieving a best existence possible for themselves and humanity. You'll say to the front desk "so, y'all are just going to closed-mindedly impose on me your unjust blanket rules, rather than finding the actual fairest assessment of this situation?" "Sir, I'm not paid enough for that, go complain to corporate headquarters or something. These are our policies". Let's say I do that. I go into their corporate HQ or whatever, present the entire vexyricon, and what will they tell me? This: "Sorry sir but I don't have time to listen to all this and confront your manifesto". And that's it. That's as far as you'll get trying to express yourself in this society with their retarded "blanket rules" that are not even following the moral reality behind what should and shouldn't be censored. And that all comes back to them denying the solving mindset, because you literally need the solving mindset to even enable anti-censorship, because you need the solving mindset to enable the dynamics of insecurity to allow victims of bullies to have a way out of, a hope for solving the flaws they were born with physically, a way to fend off that bullying. And this is all assuming you _don't_ just get fucking arrested when the front desk calls the cops on you all because you were telling them you didn't feel this was justified rather than "immediately leaving their gym, accepting your ban and never coming back". The police will get there and enforce _more_ retarded blanket laws like "this is their property, therefore you have to leave even if they're being completely unreasonable, closed-minded, and unjust. We will _not_ be valuing the moral reality of this situation; we are also just here for a paycheck and are really just looking forward to clocking out to go watch more modern-day roman Colosseum gladiators keep the masses (us) asleep and entertained (it's football)". Now listen, I get it. Why the hell would a police officer or even the front desk worker sit here for 30 minutes to find the "moral reality" of this situation when they have bills to pay and a manager to keep happy, work to do in order to not be fired, they don't have time to find some "moral reality". But my point is, nobody does. And guess what, I'm not saying they're really at fault, either. Because guess what, it's my job to put all this stuff in relevant speech nonfiction format and probably even find 50 loudspeakers who aren't enslaved to pay rent, to blow this stuff up to the public, before expecting anybody, including this front desk worker, to be focused on anything but stabilizing their oppressed life, since it would take days for them to actually understand and learn, and have faith in what this book is outlining. I'm not demonizing the officer or the desk worker, I'm demonizing the entire system. I'm justifying the entry here, this is all just my explanation and evidence for why this is really the only conclusion I keep seeing: "my only hope of expressing myself, fully, is to accomplish operation loudspeaker, and truly change society". Because that's the reality. And don't say "oh why don't you just go blow this injustice up on social media?". Re-read the original entry for this.

So, you can see based on observing all of this, together, there's an oppressive level of risk in fully expressing yourself in society, on top of considering the common man's lack of mental health, overabundance of frustration, ignorance, and toxicity; overabundance of selfish greed, corruption, and complete ignorance of the dynamics of insecur-

ity. If you were to go out and express your full self, it's simply common sense especially after I've just divulged all this information to you just now, it's simply common sense that there's just a lot of risk and that's why you don't see people expressing their full selves, not only because they don't fully know how to do that attractively due to not knowing anti-toxicity etc. but also because even if they don't know or aren't able to put the correct wording and explanation behind proving the risk exists, they subconsciously know that there's this risk and that's why they're stopping themselves from expressing themselves. This is why the censorship is so elusively affecting male attractiveness, and this is a major cause of social anxiety across society. Even when giving speeches. This book is the only fix.

justifications for: anti-censorship

Extra context: the law of motivations; people _are_ supposed to confront their insecurities, not hide from them or expect others to act like they don't exist, because of the solving mindset (the law of motivations rather), and because our purpose is to achieve the best existence possible, not succumb to a lesser one… Also, if someone absolutely must, for whatever reason, not confront their insecurities at all, and they don't want to become the "best version of themselves", they simply should not ever expect to successfully impose on others to "avoid those topics" or be censored unjustly in conversation about them, but rather accept whatever labels reality attaches to them due to their choice to not confront the insecurity and disprove that label or fix it. On top of that, instead of censoring people unjustly and being literally _counter-productive_ to achieving a best existence possible for humanity, you can just simply understand moral reality enough to expose it if anyone breaks it and bullies you unjustly, no censorship necessary. The likelihood of _being blind to the law of motivations_ seems high if you refuse to confront your insecurity. Unjust censorship only promotes the growth of a culture of social anxiety and tightens an unjust rope around everyone's neck, ignoring the proper ways to handle and respond to unjust verbal communication, which are either anti-toxicity or extreme positive energy. The justified censorship of spam within this book, doesn't create any anxiety.

- **question:** why should only spam be censored?

Read the dynamics of insecurity. Read all of anti-toxicity. Read all of extreme positive energy. Quit being tyrannical: there are a _plethora_ of ways to deal with any form of verbal self-expression that isn't spam (we'd just mute spam), and disabling self-expression to _any_ unjust level is one _major_ cause of the current _gender attractiveness imbalance_, which is a major contributor to the increased suicide rate for men due to human connection being so crucial in life.

- **question:** what about someone who's mute/can't speak to defend themselves against now uncensored verbal toxicity they might fall victim to when they don't deserve it? What about mentally ill people who can't emotionally handle the level of self-esteem shattering, blunt, vulgar criticism, potentially said to them?

The dynamics to handling all levels of vulgarity are outlined in both anti-toxicity and extreme positive energy, as well as the dynamics to fully confronting all insecurities you might struggle with. Realistically, someone who's mute will, in such an uncorrupt + human connection and empathy-centered society as this book outlines, will be defended by people who see this unjust bullshit happening, see them being bullied. Not only that, but due to everyone knowing moral reality and the dynamics to anti-toxicity and extreme positive energy being known by the entire public due to those being taught in schools, whoever this bully is, either is new to this society completely and hasn't seen the "ego destruction" that bullies face pulling the shit he's pulling, or he genuinely thinks he's following moral reality. Either way, in such a moral reality-centered society, people will very likely come to this mute person's aid verbally. Even if nobody is around to do that, the mute person's inability to speak is simply an issue we need to solve, with advancing medical technology. But on top of that, this mute person will have been educated themselves on all of anti-toxicity, the dynamics of insecurity, and extreme positive energy as well, so… They will know how to confront all their insecurities to the point the bully shouldn't have any serious mental health implications on them. As for a mentally ill person not being able to handle the level of blunt vulgarity in society potentially spawning from this low level of censorship, as I said, everyone will be taught the proper ways to confront all types of verbal bullying truly, it has already been outlined in this book. If this person, despite knowing those dynamics, still struggles with

this, I don't think it's a justification for censoring all of society more, but rather for more quickly curing their illness, or perhaps more immediately, keeping them out of public since their disability is literally debilitating them outside.

- **question:** what about with verbal sexual harassment; isn't that something validly needing to be censored?

If the verbal sexual harassment poses enough of a threat to the victim, it definitely warrants the harasser to be investigated, for others' safety. But let's say it doesn't, and it's just extremely annoying harassment. At this point, you would expose this persons' injustice publicly, letting everyone know the moral reality of what they're doing. The social backlash will be a worthy punishment for them. But let's say they continue despite this. At that point, you'd probably have grounds to have their mental health checked and have them have to re-take the test of whether they're past the first layer or not, and if they aren't and prove to be a corrupt individual (as if their behavior isn't already proving that…), they would be put into a mental hospital more than likely. Human connection is so crucial to everyone's happiness, that the social backlash from breaking moral reality in this way should be enough to punish anyone who does this crap at first to make them stop… That's if roasting them publicly doesn't work immediately…

This person likely won't be passed the first layer and participate in such injustices; and if they do… Mental hospital?

Basically, you have to remember, it's all about true moral reality. Whoever is breaking moral reality in the situation is the one who is opening themselves to be validly criticized/roasted/exposed publicly. Also, if the moral reality is that this person is in fact spamming, you can pose that argument and see if it holds up (is the moral reality), and if it is, then at least their spam will have to cease. But let's say they just communicate their harassment in a non-spamming format now. Like I've said, if they're breaking moral reality with this, you have grounds to publicly expose them and ruin their social life until they stop and fix what they've done to you/unjustly said to you. It all depends on who's breaking moral reality. But obviously, this is only for verbal sexual harassment. Physical, is completely illegal.

And you might ask "what if I'm EPE?". If you are, you might warn them that if they don't stop you will need to warn the rest of society about them because they aren't listening to you and you don't want others enabling the *bullying* by supporting them as a friend if they're breaking moral reality, because it's going to unjustly hurt others if they do and you can't allow that to happen. It's not about hating this person for the EPE magnet, it's about accepting their decision to not follow moral reality; being against injustice, and then warning the rest of society, for others' safety. This person may need to be taken to a mental hospital, and you aren't hating them for communicating that reality.

An EPE magnet isn't necessarily against the person by warning others, just against allowing injustice to hurt people. The EPE magnet recognizes the importance of fairness as the lifeblood of unity, they are simply actively against evil.

- **question:** As a young working woman, a homeless man followed me several blocks detailing many graphic sexual things he wanted to do, to me. It took two security guards at my office building to stop it. Solution?

You'll have a gun with you like everyone else more than likely, to somewhat "equalize" the violence playing field, and the odds that someone resorts to injustice like rape etc. will be heavily reduced with the gender attractiveness imbalance being resolved, and everyone being educated on this book as a whole causing their social skills to skyrocket and the amount of connection and deep relationships they have, as a result, skyrocketing. And this is me approaching your statement here from a relatively unbiased perspective, I'm assuming "maybe this guy didn't say anything really threatening to enable you to, according to the moral reality, impose or call others to impose physical restraint *yet*, and you're stuck in this limbo of "I don't like what he's saying but I can't criminalize him yet because as it turns out, I'm actually the one who just doesn't have the understanding to roast this guy (assuming he doesn't turn out to be a criminal or rapist)". If he does turn out to be that criminal, well, that's what guns are for, in self-defense. Like, the moral reality definitely <u>sounds</u> like he's in the wrong and thus you'd be able to destroy his ego and self-esteem (and his lifespan if he decided to get unjustly violent), I'm just also answering a "what if he's innocent". So *if* the moral reality turns out that he's… Somehow "innocent" unarguably… Then contemplate why you feel there is injustice/unjust pain or discomfort occurring to try to expose the source of the injustice "if it is really not him".

justifications for: anti-censorship – what spam is not:

- **question:** but why should my kids have to hear vulgarity in public?

They don't "have to", if you have some valid unarguable perspective to share as to why vulgarity shouldn't be allowed in public, please do share it. Otherwise, it is unjust to censor people if it doesn't fit this book's definition of spam, especially affecting men's attractiveness, and if your kids are that pressed, get some earmuffs. What level of hell would you rather create: allowing vulgarity and having no real consequences occur (please say if there are any), or censoring vulgarity (that isn't spam), contributing to the increased male suicide rate indirectly, as well as creating a bland, corporate-like environment where humor is at least slightly crippled, and male attractiveness as well…? This book already provides the ammo to achieve verbal justice despite all levels of vulgarity, how about you *use* it… Censoring people's genuine selves is unnecessary, and whatever ideals you're functioning on, compare them to the entire picture this book paints, the whole book, rather than just assuming everyone will just be cussing like a sailor. Behaving unattractively like that will more likely get someone validly criticized rather than others joining in to do it. Oh and if this still isn't enough for you, there's this thing called EPE that doesn't involve any of this, maybe use it…? You must also keep in mind, if someone breaks moral reality in any way with their words, contemplate & expose it. That person will be regretting their injustice quite quickly I'm sure, and will probably strongly desire to fix it as well.

If you became confused as to why I mentioned the male suicide rate… Check "the gender attractiveness imbalance".

some potential causes and cures of social anxiety (self-censorship)

- *Not knowing how to handle the verbal responses you may be met with (not knowing enough anti-toxicity).*
- *Not feeling like you're able to truly be your genuine self fully. Awkward silences can happen when something that should be said isn't able to be said for whatever reason, your genuine thoughts can't be said aloud.*
- *Fearing tyranny in its various forms. The tyranny may be masked behind taught, false morals you learned…*
- *Not knowing the exact dynamics to what you can and can't say under this tyranny safely. Once you understand anti-censorship deeply enough and understand what spam is etc. you are calmed via understanding. Not only that, but understanding other parts of moral reality and things like the law of tyranny calm more.*

You could even add that part of this is men knowing that men require anti-censorship to be attractive, and if they're aware of that, it's definitely going to also reduce their social anxiety because now they understand what the censorship is doing to them more in the subject of relationships. So, in short, most social anxiety doesn't *directly* stem from the tyrannical unjust censorship itself, but rather people's lack of understanding of things like: what should and shouldn't be censored, lack of understanding of their rights (moral reality), lack of understanding of the *gender attractiveness imbalance*, as well as the other things I've listed. Perhaps *understanding is the cure* to social anxiety. Because even under such tyranny, if you truly understood that it truly exists, why, and all its solutions, it is calming. You no longer struggle internally, mentally, with self-doubt, because you now know so clearly, this is not your fault. You now know the only way forward, to get what you want, truly seems to be to get rid of such tyranny altogether.

why telling someone to keep an argument out of the public's view is usually a form of unjust censorship

I got into a verbal conflict with someone on social media, and was told to "take it to DMs". That is a form of unjust censorship and wouldn't hold up *if I'd been allowed to argue the moral reality* there: exposing injustice publicly is important, and having the public argument to do so and reach the moral reality, is just as important. Telling someone to address it privately, giving the power to the corrupt person to just block them, telling someone to "take it to DMs", out of view of the public and that evil person's friends, is unjustly censoring a valid communication; because keeping injustice out of the public's view rather than exposing it, is only a symptom for fueling corruption and that injustice to further happen, and it's in denial of our actual purpose of achieving a best society possible. The evil pe-

rson can just block the victim in DMs, and you can't do anything to expose them publicly since you were already threatened to keep it out of the public space that is supporting this unjust censorship. They'll say, "but we don't wanna hear that shit in our chat!", and I say, "yes, I know and I know why: just cause you don't care about achieving peace, justice, and solving conflicts, because you've given up on your actual life purpose you NPC, and you'd rather allow more division and corruption to get away with existing, doesn't mean your decision to do that is an intelligent one nor even aligned with your own actual path to max happiness, ignorant fuck. You're just oppressing justice with your blindness, and at worst, being delusional. A slave to your purposeless instant gratification mindset rather than acknowledging the only true purpose you're meant to be following (this one), so far to the point of oppressing others who pursue that unarguable purpose if it "lessens the quality" of your ignorant, pathetic, selfish, pig-like wasteful path of accepting corruption". Oh, by the way, are you seeing how the entry "*how to roast*" works well yet?

Those saying this are literally saying they don't care about moral reality nor maintaining fair unity in society. Idiocy.

-- *shield self-discipline extra context* --

justifications for: self-discipline overarching philosophy

- **explanation of:** work *is* just what's required to reach the end goal: the best existence possible, but the la…

That's it. It's just chores required to make potential you've seen, into a reality in your life. That doesn't mean we're going to be fat lazy fucks one day, as that isn't the true best existence possible according to the majority-level perception of what's attractive, for example. Solving stupid pains is the main idea, and that would literally create more. And yes, I mean stupid, unintelligent pains. Intelligence is the fuel behind truly locating the *best* existence possible.

being disciplined and <u>victoriously</u> making strides on the most viable path to your best existence possible…

Can and *will* make your emotional state and self-esteem go through the roof. Accomplishing this victorious progress is one of the most if not single most key factors in what gets me the emotional state to be able to go to the gym and, resultingly, have the energy to lift extremely heavy weight. You have to realize something though; self-discipline is more like a car you use to get to your destination of being victorious in making progressive strides in this most viable path, and *that's it*. Self-discipline is a car. When using that car, the ideal driving experience is to arrive at your destination without crashing the car, without getting into traffic jams, and hitting as few red lights as possible without going so fast that you miss nice details like the scenery around you (that last part is a bit of an analogy for using the sweeping method I guess). Reaching victorious strides on the path to your best existence possible, is simply most commonly going to occur if you are taking the most viable path toward that best existence that you can think of; this is just common sense. But I'm just writing this entry to remind you, you can be disciplined but not make victorious strides on this path, not make significant actual progress despite having dedicated 50 hours of disciplined work to this path, and despite having dedicated 50 hours or whatever, your emotional state won't feel better, and possibly could feel worse due to you feeling lost now, if you fail to actually discover more important progressive things or make actual, big enough strides in progress. I'm saying discipline isn't what makes your emotional state go through the roof with joy and energy; it's progress. Real, valuable progress, is what makes you extremely happy, makes your emotional state skyrocket, through the roof, to the point you might even gain the energy to lift heavily.

When I talk about traffic jams and red lights, it's referring to hard issues you face during contemplation by the way.

For example, my emotional state suffered when I was working on the version of this book that didn't have chapters, didn't have a separation of sword & ocean of context – everything was jumbled and somewhat extremely unorganized, I wasn't <u>extremely</u> proud of what I'd made, and was losing faith in putting more entries in being the most viable path I should have been taking – and I was right. So I established "operation sword and shield" to put tables of contents, more organized chapters, and just design the actual "relevant speech nonfiction format" and follow it, and after coming up with that my path confidence was renewed and I was ignited with energy now seeing the potential.

You'll have this sense of panicking "I shouldn't be lifting I should be making progress" but that progress might just be you locating a more viable path to the best existence, may just be your subconscious knowing "this path isn't it". "I sat here writing entries and my hunger for progress wasn't satiated, all because I was feeding it the wrong food". (I should have been addressing my lack of path confidence; thus I would have invented operation sword and shield).

Extra context: there kinda is no "making self-discipline easy" *without* acknowledging the purpose of life being to *accept and use* the solving mindset and the other things in this book. As I've said, without using the solving mindset to the point you're sure you can have a real chance at immortality, your self-discipline will always be infected with an underlying depression. Through direct opposition to the necessity of the solving mindset, all throughout history, we have struggled to outline easy self-discipline, because we've given up on the very meaning of life; to achieve an actual best existence possible, that *doesn't* just meaninglessly, inevitably end, but rather, to have a chance at truly, permanently, achieving a happy ending that can only end on our own individual accords. There simply is no real cure for self-discipline other than the dynamics in this book, resulting from accepting the solving mindset. Your purpose is to follow the most viable path to the best existence possible, not to just wait for death. And without defying death and knowing you've got a chance at beating it, such infection disables life's meaning, and true self-discipline.

tips for overcoming depression that worked for me

Things that will likely help and have helped me see my depression subside *almost completely*, are things like finding renewed path confidence by conferencing with myself whenever my emotional state is in turmoil, making significant breakthroughs in contemplation, like finding a new significant dynamic of a trait I was trying to outline, thus increasing even further my path confidence that I might actually achieve this "best existence possible" and thus giving a boost of joy to me, even writing more entries into this book (completing an important (most viable of course) ongoing task I was procrastinating on) helped my depression subside and increased my feeling of self-worth, oddly. As time has gone on, I've seen the only true cure to my depression be getting closer & closer to that *best existence*. In the beginning stages of writing this book I quite often would wake up and just bed-rot for hours; now I *never* do, because I know so many more dynamics that prove near utopia is possible, and I've written so much into this book. I've basically observed, the closer I got to achieving the true "best existence", the more my depression was healed.

The main idea, to me, in other words, is that the <u>cure</u>, may simply be you being able to find the happy ending to life. And yes, there are people too old to even have hope of achieving immortality. I don't <u>know</u> how to solve that issue… I don't know if backward time travel is even possible, I have no reason to believe it is, but we <u>can</u> hope and find out. Imagine we actually find a flawless happy ending, where all past transgressions can be replayed and the actual moral reality found and all unjust deaths can be "undone". That'd be ideal, but all we can do is try to see if it's possible.

I really don't wanna sit here and just leave older people depressed after reading this, that'd be really horribly ironic. You were born human and became conscious once already, we know that, so who knows, maybe it'll happen again? Personally, that time travel happy ending sounds like our "destiny" to me, but that's if the shit <u>doesn't</u> break reality.

this corrupt society's uninspiring unmotivating environment makes you fall asleep to the law of motivations

It's not exactly "radiating" the level of potential your motivations do. For example, there's this meme on posts that show off an absolutely beautiful setting from art someone made or whatever, and you'll go in the comments section and see people saying "would look better with a Wal Mart or strip mall right in the middle with a bunch of cigarettes thrown all over the parking lot just like 'murica" sarcastically. And that's just the physical aspects of the environment that put you to sleep, not even the social or emotional ones. It just goes to show, especially in america, the environment is even known as this bleak, uninspiring and disgusting reflection of, *guess what*: our selfish instant-gratification centered, careless outlook on this temporary life. The goal is profit, and milking instant-gratification, not fulfillment and true happiness, and you can literally see it in the disgusting layout of so many parts of the entire world, especially of america. There are some good parts, but I'm not referring to those. The warning here, is that this currently corrupt environment will almost always serve nothing in keeping you awake to the law of motivations. If anything, it might just make you feel more hopeless and uninspired, like it's just another big obstacle to change

if you actually want to achieve a best existence possible. This is why having motivations, especially from something like an FML, is so important. It reminds you of what you're pursuing despite this bleak environments' hopelessness.

-- *shield extreme positive energy extra context* --

justifications for: care and concern instead of anger

- **question:** why would EPE say "what's wrong" (that exact wording), is it special wording somehow?

No. It's just genuine care and concern coming from understanding the potential pain a toxic person could be going through. I tried to contemplate an answer to this question because I was using the *sweeping method on hard mode*, and it gave me a headache, and here's why: it's because this shit isn't even involved in EPE: this entire question is all completely a matter of *relevant speech*. So, the answer (if this wording is truly top-tier), is because this wording is following the main foundations of relevant speech somehow: time, clarity, and importance to max happiness. Or, perhaps, more accurately, this exact wording would be following the entry "*quality of vocabulary*" and its info... So, I am answering this question actually just as one example for you to avoid potential overthinking paths like this.

justifications for: sadness instead of anger

- **question:** how exactly does understanding enable sadness instead of anger as a venting mechanism?

This is because understanding things like how moral reality almost always aligns with anyone's path to max happiness, understanding others' pain and understanding others' potential, all of these things are gateways to *enabling* the EPE magnet to *choose* the path of maximum understanding toward others and empathy in literally all scenarios.

- **question:** so, would you say sadness instead of anger is also enabled by justice being able to be achieved?

Yes, I would, but it can't always be, and what's a maxed EPE magnet going to do, then? They'd probably have some kind of meltdown. *Choosing* to be understanding really is the only gateway to *always* utilizing sadness over anger... This is why EPE can become hard, or *really* hard to have, in *extreme* scenarios against *pure evil*... Say perhaps the evil has trapped you in a situation where you're *guaranteed* to die and lose all life's potential... EPE might cry a river. I mean you must remember, I said that's a scenario where justice *isn't* achievable, so going berserk won't *save* you. At the end of the day, whether you go berserk or cry some river in the most extreme scenarios, is really up to you... But when justice *is* achievable, being understanding is very easy, as long as you are absolutely sure it's achievable... Luckily "the EPE magnet being inevitably killed" types of scenarios are probably not an average Tuesday encounter, and almost all realistic scenarios will be easy to be maximally understanding toward others in, so this should be ok.

EPE may be very hard to maintain in the absolute most extreme cases, like during torture, but it is never impossible.

extra context for: extreme positive energy

- **question:** So, can I do a complete 180 in terms of personality without it being unattractive? Like as in, full anti-toxicity one second, and then all of a sudden, being full EPE the next? Will that make me seem weird?

I'm pretty damn positive it *doesn't* make you seem unattractive, *only* as long as you are still *following moral reality*. If you start breaking moral reality, or just revealing a level of patheticness or stupidity (known unattractive behavi-

or), then yeah, obviously you'll seem unattractive no matter your "magnet type". But I've tested this. It seems *fine*. The only thing I'll say is... I *don't* understand how your genuine self could be *comfortable* with such polar opposites.

the blueprint which extreme positive energy came from

EPE came from a character named Yuna Yuki in an anime called Yuki Yuna is a Hero I saw when I was 15. At the time, I was impacted specifically, and _heavily_... By her behavior in episode 3 of the dub. I told a couple friends about it and they said "this is unrealistic". Yeah, it was. *Back then*. Until I found the dynamics to making it a reality. Yeah, it was a scripted anime... But I used a funny entry called portal opening, paying attention to the _impact_ I _knew_ I was sensing, especially due to the impact's strength/severity... Attempting , over and over, to put that impact and potential I was sensing, into words, _instructions_. So, no, I didn't give up. I knew this shit *had* to be investigated, like Hopper from season 1 of stranger things, I knew I at least had to try, hard as hell, to see if I could find some kind of real dynamics to it. It took me until 2024 to fully prove them wrong by finally outlining seemingly _all_ EPE's dynamics. It was one of the most headache-inducing things I've ever had to figure out, but it's done, and it's potentially one of the *most magnetic things I've _ever_ seen* a person be able to have. I think you'll see why, if you ever encounter a *strong* "EPE human magnet". So the effort was worth it, as my intuition was fucking *telling* me. Whaddya know, big surprise. So yeah, that "goofy ahh title" entry "portal opening" is in this book for a reason; it can make shit like this.

- **question:** but how do I obtain yuna yuki's happiness? I'm not always just "that happy" naturally.

Yeah, you... _Don't_: I'm pretty sure the only way to become that way, is probably only to make life just genuinely that great. So... All I can really tell you to do right now, is follow this book. It literally gives the instructions to the best existence possible. Unfortunately, on top of that, you _will also_ need to contemplate to an extremely deep level to completely solve, and utterly obliterate any potential points of weakness this happiness has (in other words, you'll now have to solve _all_ the hellish dilemmas _I gave up on_). For example (this is just one of many examples I could list of what you'd need to figure out that I have not), you'll need to contemplate ridiculously difficult dynamics and dilemmas in the goal of achieving absolute 100% justice against evil in 100% of scenarios (the thing I said we'd need a time machine to accomplish); I currently think the only way to achieve that "100% justice, in all scenarios" is a time machine. My real, actual point here is, I gave up on this shit, this happiness yuna expresses all the time. You have to keep in mind, she's a scripted example of EPE. But that doesn't change the fact I found the dynamics to real, unscripted EPE! For years I gave myself a headache with the dilemma of "she seems so happy all the time, isn't that why she's magnetic? Isn't EPE going to have to be happy like that, genuinely and not fakely, all the time like that?". It was such a fucking headache to try and make that work, almost as annoying as trying to prove 100% objective fairness (I gave up and concluded fairness likely isn't 100% objective due to things like trolley scenarios). So instead, I concluded EPE doesn't have hatred, _but they're not always happy either_. I simply concluded they replace hatred with sadness. And honestly, *that just... _Works_. _Better_.* It's the correct answer/dynamic, because they're venting their negative emotion still. To not have to vent any negative emotion, you'd have to make life so perfect there _is none_, hence my example above about "100% justice" and a "time machine", and telling you you'd need to solve all these hellish dilemmas etc. So if you ask me, I would say you should simply express happiness when you genuinely feel it, and as you know, use sadness if you're _not_ happy... I've already told you the instructions to basically all of EPE and I don't feel like repeating them, you can go read them if you need to. And don't sit here and act like "wahh you're not following the solving mindset, you gave up on those hellish dilemmas!!!" like dude, this sadness thing works absolutely _as yuna's did_, and I have this stupid fucking time bomb called death looming over me, I have priorities, and so do you. This shit works just like yuna's; the EPE I _did_ outline and make work is _literally yuna's EPE_, there is no legitimate difference, it's literally the exact same thing and I plan to come back to those hellish dilemmas later, same with the other minor topics I have in my "for-later-not-for-vex" notepad. Might I remind you, I spent many, many hours and headaches on this alone... You try doing that yapper, all alone cursed by knowing the law of motivations.

I say cursed because it caused me to no longer have anything in common with people and only care about the book. This only happened because everyone else is fucking asleep. My only hope of human connection, is waking them up.

the %EPE vs. %anti-toxicity theory

It's probably true that every individual's most genuine personality is a % EPE and a % anti-toxicity, and whatever % of each you have, your "anger/sadness ratio", is your most genuine selves' default preferences in different types of situations. For example, more extreme situations might leave someone with "not fully maxed EPE%" expressing anger and having no problem with it due to the extremity of the situation, and as you could guess, that person's ratio of anti-toxicity% to EPE% their genuine self possesses, is probably something like 20% anti-toxicity vs. 80% EPE. Get it? Cause see, here's why this theory being true, shouldn't cause problems: you can switch between EPE and anti-toxicity as freely as your genuine self is comfortable with, and still be attractive at a human magnet level. What matters is that you're following *moral reality* with whatever side you're expressing. That's why this works, it doesn't matter if someone's genuine self is EPE or anti-toxicity, in literally any scenario, it will remain attractive as long as they're following moral reality (and obviously the rest of the traits of a human magnet like relevant speech and just all the obvious shit you should already know is required to be an attractive person, duh). I'm just saying, EPE gives the ammo to be 100% EPE in all situations *if* your genuine self is truly most comfortable doing that; if you feel that‘s your most genuine magnet type/personality. I remember yuna as being heavily willing to be understanding until someone killed her friends (in season 3, episode 5, around 10 minutes in. official season title, "Yuuki Yuuna wa Yuusha de Aru Dai Mankai no Shou". And she was basically yuna 2.0, let's be honest). So I'd measure that as... Almost maximum EPE%, maybe like 95%? Because, it took such an extreme situation to get her to show *any* anger. Whereas me, I get disrespected, and I try to obliterate someone with anti-toxicity, so I'd say I'm a high% anti-toxicity.

<-=->

=----------------------=A L L   O T H E R   E X T R A   &   M I N O R   C O N T E X T   E N T R I E S=----------------------=

F O R   T H E   "R E L E V A N T   S P E E C H"   C H A P T E R

<-=->

-- *shield relevant speech extra context* --

justifications for: the relevant speech nonfiction format

Extra context: I know I said "put the chapters and entries in order from least relevant to most relevant", and yes, y-ou absolutely should do that, but I want to clarify: don't stress too badly over putting the info within each entry in the order of most relevant to least relevant; you might be walking into a huge headache of having to rewrite the e-ntry over and over again. Just try to accomplish that the first time and follow the rest of relevant speech in making it clear, timely, and obviously important enough to write about, in the first place. Chapters can move around easily. It'll make this easier if you make sure the info you're putting into each entry has enough importance to be in there, because at that point, the order won't really matter as much since everything's truly important & relevant enough.

time tip – abbreviating words

This refers to using abbreviations of words whenever it won't negatively impact the *clarity* of your message. For e-xample, saying "aren't" instead of "are not". On a rare occasion, the longer version ("are not") may seem better for emotional emphasis, but most times, you'll find the abbreviation to seemingly improve the "*relevant speech"* of y-our message. Abbreviation is <u>majorly</u> beneficial in attractive <u>text/writing</u>, & minorly beneficial in attractive *speech*.

joking and other forms of communication like anti-toxicity can still be relevant depending on their value

Jokes and other forms of communication can still be relevant if they're warranted in the scope of still following the law of motivations. What determines their relevancy, is their value, if you know how to make funny enough (high value enough) jokes, of course. Relevant speech isn't about only having robotic, productive, humorless conversati-ons. That would take a lot of fun out of life, and would be hypocrisy in the scope of our goal of truly achieving a be-st existence possible. It wouldn't follow the law of pain, either. Just because you aren't discussing something "extr-emely productive" or extremely relevant to your goals and self-discipline path, doesn't necessarily mean what you-'re discussing is irrelevant. You have to remember the entry "*jog but don't sprint*". What matters is that you simply don't let yourself <u>forget</u> the warning the law of motivations tells you, at even the slightest level, so you don't <u>actu-ally</u> fall victim to it. Good enough jokes, or just getting to know someone by asking questions about their individual dreams and goals, can align with your path to a best existence possible (these things can be smart and ok to expre-ss) if say, you've calculated if they're still warranted in the scope of the law of motivations and the *direness of your situation* (you're not hiding from a murderer for example). And like I said, how *actually* funny of a joke it is <u>can</u> det-ermine how aligned with your path to the best life possible it is to say it. Getting to know someone, and forming r-elationships, *especially* romantic ones, are such major parts of anyone's "best life possible", I'd be dumb to deny it.

just a reminder about the existence of and potential effects of attractive accents and word choice

You *can* significantly increase the attractiveness of a person's vocal communication to very *unexpected, high levels*, with specific accents, both stereotypical (already existing in different countries) as well as good enough completely new ones spawned purely from human creativity. Also, just like how word choice can drastically affect the disresp-

ect you're communicating toward an unjust person you're criticizing, your word choice can drastically affect the attractiveness of your communication, if say, you're intentionally aiming to make your verbal communication maximally attractive. Perhaps tone even has some potential. There's possibly aspects I'm forgetting that might even add to the potential for attractiveness here, but this entire entry is just meant to remind you this bit of potential exists.

lacking relevant speech can make your verbal responses to bullies invisible

On social media, you'll see this take the form of people commenting "***** only speaks Yapanese" or "not reading all that", but in reality, people *often* only comment that when someone isn't following relevant speech. You could have cooked up the most destructive roast toward a bully, and forgot about relevant speech, and it'll all be useless.

have relevant speech when talking to yourself, too

For example, stop acknowledging the huge amount of water bottles in the backrooms at work if that's irrelevant to your goals and to moral reality (relevancy to moral reality meaning, for example, if someone confessed their love to you, you'd likely have a moral obligation to respond to that expression to remain treating them fairly even if you're not interested in them, and it technically wouldn't "align with your goals" _directly_ to respond to them; but sure as hell _will_ align with your "path to max happiness " overall (there will be social consequences for breaking moral reality, obviously, if the moral reality says you should respond to them). This entry is mainly just saying though, when talking to yourself, you should speak _efficiently_ and not waste your own time. I've found myself talking to myself about the stupidest, most irrelevant bullshit, like those water bottles in the backrooms of my work place, I was like, "Wow, why do we have so much water back here today?" and then I said to myself, "Why the fuck you care about some goofy ahh water bottles clown ass *****, you ain't even here for money you're here to write a book asap, let's have a conversation about that". Aren't I so sweet to myself :3. I just prefer talking to myself that way okay?

Relevant speech is literally like an art form, you must practice it and truly try when doing so to make it grow strong.

-   **question:** but isn't "not oversharing" a relevant speech trait?

If you're "oversharing", then you're just disobeying the foundations of relevant speech, *or* disobeying "quality of vocabulary", perhaps. For example, whatever you're now saying may not have enough _importance_/relevance to the path to max happiness for the listener, or perhaps it does, but isn't told in a _clear_ enough wording thus making the entire sentence you just said to them sound like some schizophrenic rant, or perhaps you're repeating sentences or phrases unnecessarily too often and thus wasting their _time_… "Oversharing" can appear in many forms, is what I'm saying. You could mistakenly think you need to re-word/re-explain a concept to the listener in more clear wording, and immediately realize they do get it, but instead of stopping, *yo dumb ass keeps going*… Some aspects of relevant speech, namely that one, just require you training with your daily interactions to stop yourself fast enough.

-- *shield miscellaneous extra context* --

might wanna educate your friends on this books' social dynamics or suffer conflicts and unjust ditching

If you're gonna get emotionally attached to someone I recommend you make sure they're versed on these topics, like the dynamics to anti-censorship, dynamics to open mindedness, dynamics to anti-toxicity (some people will ditch you due to them not knowing how to confront all their own insecurities or handle forms of criticism), dynamics of seeing others' potential; I could go on. I'm just saying, before you invest emotionally in someone, you should m- make sure they know how to not be a dumbass in terms of relationship dynamics, maybe make sure they're passed the first layer. Just look at the beautiful, magnificent achievement of what, a now 50% divorce rate in america. I m- ade this book to fix that among uh, literally everything else, so just use the social dynamics it should teach. Investi- ng emotionally in someone yet having any crucial dynamic you don't educate them on, can lead to suffering. Passi- ng the first layer is a good indicator that someone might be trustworthy to invest in, but they might still lack some knowledge of social dynamics, and therefore make mistakes in the relationship, which may snowball into it ending.

there may be some hope for older folks in the subject of immortality

We don't have to start with straight up 100% immortality. First, we might just quickly _extend_ life, especially for old- er folks who need that little bit of extra bought _time_. I'm just saying, if you're older, maybe do try to take that path. I'm not all knowing, I'm just saying there may very well be some outside-the-box solution you can find, even in this.

the notable and unforgettable value of understanding humans and human psychology deeply in general

This might be kind of obvious, but what am I gonna do, "not" mention it? I don't just mean "understanding". I mea- n, "_understanding_" of humans and human psychology (have you not yet realized italicization and underlining hints at important information in this book yet?). I mean understanding people's core desires, what makes them tick in which ways, truly… What drives people in what directions, what people will do in response to x happening to them. I could go on. Yeah, this is kinda generic. But _do not_ underestimate this ability. Understanding human nature, und- erstanding others' perceptions of you or simply of other things and people, understanding how others perceive ce- rtain words (especially useful in roasting or practicing your relevant speech), understanding the amount of influen- ce emotions may have on someone instead of logic, especially in less emotionally intelligent people… I could go on.

This book should cover an absolute ton of that ground and those topics, luckily, but it doesn't cover everything. So- me stuff, you just have to find through contemplation or observation on your own. I'm trying to achieve a best exi- stence possible as fast as I can, not write an encyclopedia for you, I'm sorry. Death hasn't been fixed yet you know. My goal with this book is to speedrun, give all the information required to cause a tidal wave of change in society… Some of this information I haven't found yet, you'll just need to find on your own. I'm too occupied, beating death.

_Truthfully, you should be too, and you _do want to_. Deep topics that aren't necessary in that _exact_ goal are for after!_

But yeah, the main idea here is, generally developing a deep understanding of things, people, and topics around all of that stuff, is stupendously, extremely foundational to pretty much everything in this book and becoming any se- mblance of a "human magnet" in the first place... I could probably write _quite a few_ entries on this, but this is all I'-

m going to tell you for now, if I'm not killed. So, if I were you, I would contemplate, to densify my understanding to good levels on these topics. That prepares you far more effectively to successfully obtain and use fantastic relevant speech, anti-toxicity, extreme positive energy, among quite a few other topics. All this stuff I'm talking about here is simply extremely important and foundational, to pretty much everything in this book being achieved, *effectively*.

the common fulfillment level in this society seems to speak louder than its' people's denial of this ideology

You can practically see the deep-rooted unhappiness and frustration in this society today with all its unsatisfactory ideologies it presents to people, people will get so frustrated over the most meaningless things, because they are unhappy in life at a '*root*' level. Do you not see that this book is the only way out of that, truly? The '*root' solutions*, are outlined in *here*! The economy is outlined *here*! The cure for human nature is outlined *here*! *These* are the core solutions. People are currently unhappy at a foundational level in life and they don't even realize just how unhappy they are, they probably have already accepted "this is just how life is" meanwhile their lives continue to get worse and worse in terms of their happiness level as they age closer to death, for quite a few people. You guys see this as *normal*, whereas from my perspective, I'm seeing that not only do I not struggle with any of this anymore (I used to see myself falling into it before I found this book's info), but I literally see myself experiencing the exact *opposite* of this deep-rooted unhappiness I witness all around me. I literally wake up truly fulfilled, purposeful, self-discipline is not some grueling task to me but an understandable and *clear* path with little to no unjust pain, (recites the entire book's potential for the 10th time...). There are simply so many issues I don't deal with and don't see as normal any longer because I have this info in this book and it's opening my eyes that life isn't meant to be this way when I look around at the common person revealing their deep-rooted unhappiness. *Even* people who are close to my age. It's just another thing telling me that this book's info, at least something in it is in fact, how people were meant to live.

The same people yelling at me "you can't solve the world's problems" will dwell with their unhappiness on the daily.

whatever the kind of emotional state(s) i've achieved through this book's info are, they're just fucking unreal

Strong belief & confidence in this, obtained via densifying my understanding and confronting any arguments is why.

No friends, no girlfriend, minimum wage slavery I hate, rejected by… Everyone, yet I've still achieved the emotional state to be able to be a bodybuilder, barely have issues with self-discipline, have extreme self-confidence now (you should have seen how low it was in high school), I have extreme *hope*, motivation, depression has gone out the window ever since I started making immense enough *progress* on this book (explaining the entries about how progressing *might* be a major *cure* to your depression)… I could go on. I literally have no basis to connect with anyone on a friendship or romantic relationship level since I'm so obsessed with this one goal and all they wanna do is "enjoy life awaiting their "inevitable end" (a core reason for their *deep rooted* emotional turmoil/lack of fulfillment by the way), but yet somehow I'm still this emotionally healthy in comparison to… Gee, literally almost everyone in this society. Look. Around. You. So many people are depressed or unfulfilled and get frustrated at so many small things, *because* they're unhappy on a *deep* level. It indicates the solving mindset and other entries probably *are* in fact the mindsets we're all meant to have and achieve, throughout all of our lives. I could be delusional, but I haven't been able to see this book disproven at a foundational level and I've rarely seen other people achieve this mental status.

I'm super happy clocking out at work and staying in the freezer to contemplate just because I contemplate better in that location than anywhere else. People are like "go home lmao" but the progress will literally make my day… wtf? You really think that level of belief, fueling bodybuilding and solid discipline, isn't a green flag? Seems a bit weir…!

By the way, this isn't everything. I could go on and list more I've seen resulting from this, I just feel I made this clear.

Extra context: compare the effects of this book's proposed path on your existential crisis and emotional state with literally any religion or other ideology in existence. I'm confident you'll notice a deep-rooted, significant difference.

*This also refers to the emotional states brought about by all the different '**colors**' of the law of motivations' impact. In fact, that's what should be the main idea here. Seriously, that's the main idea. You. Need. To. See. All. The Colors. There are no fucking words, to describe this. None. These are emotions as a kid I didn't know you could even obtain. I say obtain, because these only came around after I learned enough of this vex crap. It's like I grew into something.*

somewhat eye-opening comparison – the tree analogy

All of our problems in society can be described as being kinda like a giant tree with a ton of branches. All the branches are the smaller problems and *symptoms* we face and need solved, resulting from the *bigger* branches (more "-core" problems), all the way down to the tree's roots (the *most root* problems), and all I've attempted to do here in this book and am attempting to teach every single individual in society *to do*, is I tried to trace those branches down to their roots, with the things in this book like the contemplation chapter, and tried to find all the different "-most root" solutions too, which just happen to be the chapters of the sword, like open-mindedness is one of the root solutions, the law of motivations being seen is another, and yeah, obviously, some root solutions are bigger "-more nutrient absorbing" roots (more *crucial* to our happiness/best existence possible) than others are, but this is all, honestly, seemingly a fairly good analogy for what I did to find this information and how you can view all of our problems in life... You can also see it like this; life is like a tree, and if the roots of life are corrupt (the root aspects of society, life in general), then the smaller branches will become corrupt as a *symptom*... Likewise, if the roots of this tree are made uncorrupt (ideally the most uncorrupt roots possible, only achievable by finding the most unarguably most uncorrupt, most viable roots toward the *best existence possible*), if the roots are made uncorrupt to this max level, the branches will become uncorrupt to that max level, ideally. Like, when I tried to find the root solutions and causes of the gender attractiveness imbalance for example, it still came down to the law of motivations missing from society, and when I found the root cause of the selfish greed economy, it came down to the law of motivations and the solving mindset, all these branches you can see here are leading to the same root solutions seemingly. It just took a while and a lot of *contemplation* to locate all these corrupt and "most uncorrupt" roots. And if your really *need* me to explain how the G.A.I. came down to the L.O.M. missing, here's the answer: women get more attention, caused by men not being attractive, caused by unjust censorship, caused by us not knowing the dynamics of insecurity nor anti-toxicity, caused by us refusing the solving mindset, caused by us not experiencing the law of motivations to see why that solving mindset is what we were destined for (seeing there is too much potential in life to be okay with accepting hopelessness). Seeing that potential is what made me push through, and contemplate, even when it wasn't just a walk in the park to find the root solutions, contemplation got difficult and I had to sometimes spend hours, or sometimes spend *months*... To find these solutions truly. And sometimes, I even frustratingly got into overthinking rabbit holes that traumatized me a bit because of how headache-inducing they were and how long they took, things like the battle to try and outline objective fairness... Or in outlining EPE fully... You simply have to come back to your favorite music list or other motivations to keep you awake to reality, sometimes.

Basically, it got to a point where the conclusions started all pointing to a single solution or group of solutions... And at that point, it's like a plane radio, saying, "Hello, you are now entering near to the most 'root solutions' airspace", like when you're on vacation on a long ass plane ride and the air pressure gives you a headache and its finally over.

extra context: notice how path confidence, root solutions/finding the most effective solutions, all the instances where I've talked about that topic and being the actual important to history version of yourself, it all connects, it's all converging around the same idea. It's like math, kinda— but, focused around achieving the best existence possible.

<=->

=----------------------=ALL OTHER EXTRA & MINOR CONTEXT ENTRIES=----------------------=

FOR THE "OPERATION LOUDSPEAKER" CHAPTER

<=->

Nothing Here

<=->

-- *shield operation loudspeaker extra context* --

<=->

=----------------------=ALL OTHER EXTRA & MINOR CONTEXT ENTRIES=----------------------=
FOR THE "LOGICAL CORNERING" CHAPTER

<=->

Nothing Here

<=->

-- *shield logical cornering extra context* --

<-=->

=----------------------=ALL OTHER EXTRA & MINOR CONTEXT ENTRIES=----------------------=

FOR THE "OPEN – MINDEDNESS" CHAPTER

<-=->

Nothing Here

<-=->

-- *shield open-mindedness extra context* --

-- *shield eye for an eye extra context* --

justifications for: eye for an eye in general

- **question:** but we aren't able to prioritize repairing the damage done to the victim?

Yes, you are. You're just as able with any other system as you are with this one. If someone is killed, we can't resurrect them, but having a system other than the "eye for an eye" one in this book isn't going to change that. With this one, at least we can satisfy justice *truly* for the victim in terms of punishment for the criminal. Considering that's all we can realistically do right now, I don't see a better system? By definition, this is the only way to true justice. Justice is simply a balance. You mess up that balance by committing injustice, and if you can't fix it, you pay in *pain*.

If the damage done to the victim is truly fully repaired, then there would be no need for punishment to fill its place…

- **question:** is it okay for me to permanently hate someone because they caused me permanent damage?

It depends on the moral reality of the situation you're in. I could give this answer for most, if not all conflicts ever…

justifications for: punishment satisfying moral reality is what matters at the core of everything

- **question:** if a judge wrongfully convicts an innocent man to 3 years in prison, one might say it's the same as John breaking someone's arm, thus making the judge guilty to serve those 3, like John is guilty for the injury... So, based on this, in your system nobody is going to want to be a judge, we are not perfect judges!

Here's my conclusion: all we can do as the "judge" is try our best with the evidence and arguments available. It's not the *judge's* fault for making an incorrect judgement when *reality itself* doesn't have enough evidence available for him to *make* the correct judgement. In other words, as long as the judge is actually trying to do his job of course, the judge isn't the guilty one, but more so, reality itself is the guilty one, for not providing enough evidence to reach an accurate conclusion. Listen, all we can do, ever, with any system (not just mine), is our *best* to determine the true fairest conclusion to a conflict. That's it. Now, there are tons of ways to investigate, and we can advance technology or whatever to find even more potential ways to investigate, but at the end of the day, the only thing that will truly be able to solve all crime accurately, is a damn *time machine*. Near utopia is still possible, despite this.

- **question:** why don't you make an entry saying, "falsely accusing someone should result in the accuser being sentenced to the same or "of equal suffering" punishment as the one they were accusing would have"?

Accusing someone falsely will not be the same as going "evil genius" mode on someone by setting them up, planting fake evidence, and really, really deceiving the court to the point you actually manage to wrongfully convict someone. "Evil genius mode" is the type of shit that deserves this punishment, but just falsely accusing someone should almost always if not always end in the accusation not having significant evidence, and the accuser being exposed. In other words, the social backlash should be enough, considering no wrongful conviction occurs. But, if it occurs… It's definitely only fair that the accuser would owe equal to the unjust pain they'd knowingly caused the defendant.

<=->

=----------------------=A L L   O T H E R   E X T R A   &   M I N O R   C O N T E X T   E N T R I E S=----------------------=

F O R   T H E   " W E A P O N   L A W S "   C H A P T E R

<=->

Nothing Here

<=->

-- *shield weapon laws extra context* --

-- *shield contemplation extra context* --

justifications for: the entire contemplation chapter

Everyone thinking for themselves and being motivated to do so to a very deep level, philosophically, especially on subjects like morality (moral reality) and achieving the best existence possible, combined with everyone being open-minded, having relevant speech, and understanding what exactly should and shouldn't be censored, are all extremely valuable skills and topics to know, and this is a large reason why I think it's highly unintelligent for anyone to label this book or the movement it creates, as some sort of "indoctrination" or your psyop buzzword "cult" you idiots like to throw around toward any movement you dislike. I simply think this doesn't fit that description. Individual admirability based on extremely dense conclusions (sweeping method), are simply vital to (densely) solving our issues as a society and reaching the best version of ourselves, the way you can just accurately dissect situations those in this society seem to do little more than repeat false, hopeless, conclusions they've heard their "experts" say. Studying a topic does give a different level of credibility, I'm just citing how nobody seems to have the motivation to realize or especially confront the fact that these "experts" haven't seemingly actually solved this stuff, otherwise we'd be sitting here, already with at least some of the info in this book already known by you *parrots* and 'experts'.

justifications for: the sweeping method

- **question:** but aren't you just telling people to overthink by confronting every question?

What you're confronting should have significant enough value/align significantly enough with your most viable path to max happiness, or you should at least have some feeling it might, before spending time on it. There are things that you simply don't need to know right now, especially with the *time* constraint death puts on you. Even *without* that time constraint, you still want to be contemplating things relevant enough to your most viable path, because otherwise, you'd simply be wasting your time. So in the end, it's all about the level of relevance to that viable path.

In using the contemplation notepads, you might add a "not for vexyricon, for later" notepad to store "those" topics.

optional occasional tool – the <u>confrontation list</u> sweeping method

Sometimes when I try to fully confront a confrontation list entry, I have a lot to read and remember in the single entry I'm confronting, and I forget some of it since it was too big to remember all the details. This is just an optional possibly useful tool for times like that. It's to fully confront *one sentence at a time* in said confrontation list entry. This is completely up to your discretion to use or not, and this "going sentence by sentence" strategy isn't only for use in the confrontation list, but anywhere applicable, of course, it's just useful for digesting huge idea paragraphs. I'll be like "as for (single sentence), (my analysis)". Yes, this means the word count will skyrocket, but should I care? This is useful for verifying ideologies, useful for responding fully to debates/arguments others propose to you… etc. This heavily helps with preventing overthinking primarily, but can also effectively apply the sweeping method *fully*. Obviously, it may be useful to go back and read the whole list entry afterward to ensure you see the bigger picture.

extracurricular contemplation when bored can be quite beneficial for densifying your understanding of this book

"Extracurricular", meaning "pursued in addition to your normal path". This is really just some productive *option* for when you're bored and have nothing more productive you can be doing, though. This "extracurricular contemplation" is basically just asking yourself random difficult, possibly philosophical questions, like "why does this person do x", or "why does this person react this way when x happens to them". There are obviously many other topics to be contemplating than just the topic of people, though. It just happens to be, that topic has a lot of "ammo" for you… "Why exactly does my manager fake laugh to her superiors? Tell me all information.", "Why does my manager value being on her phone on TikTok rather than doing something more productive on her own personal quests? Why does anyone value that?". These are just example questions which, after reading this book, you very well might already know the answer to as you're reading them. But basically, my point here is, and you can even see it in those examples: you'll likely tend to conclude similar or the same conclusions to these questions as this book would, if you explore them truly. Not only that, but this practice is just very effective for understanding any topic way deeper.

Just challenge yourself by asking difficult questions which still hold some relevance to achieving your best existence. And aim to apply the sweeping method, to reap maximum benefit; contemplating until you understand every angle.

confront <u>any</u> probable-enough worries rather than shutting them out for your own safety

As long as you're a normal functioning human being who isn't going to have *ridiculous* worries about the most insignificant stuff, I think it's a good idea to write down in your notepad, valid worries or fears that pop into your head about what you might have to face in the future, what you might need to prepare a plan to avoid. This is in contrast to the mentality of just "shutting out" worries and fears or telling yourself "oh, that won't happen", specifically when there's a reasonable enough chance that thing very well *might* happen. Listen, I'm not saying every worry is valid. It's all about the probability of that worry or fear actually happening to you and coming true. I'm just saying, if it passes a threshold of probability, it's probably a good idea to put it all in your notepad to plan to dodge it, fully.

slight evidence; the effects on one's perceived "intelligence" from understanding these topics

A couple times I've actually communicated some of these ideas successfully and attractively to people, they've for some reason called me a "genius", but I'm absolutely normal. I believe their perceived difference between me and the next guy, is simply this: I contemplate a lot. And not only do I contemplate a lot, it's what exactly I contemplate as well: the subject of "creating the best reality possible", which just so happens to involve making our dreams into a reality and achieve our true purpose as humans… In other words, I think I'm literally just doing what we're meant to do. Part of the attractiveness of one's speech when getting others attention depends on the perceived value of the info they're sharing, and I just think this information, on this subject of creating the best reality possible, is just about perhaps the most valuable information and topic to be discussed… So, the fact that I've spent years thinking, contemplating the subject, doesn't make me a genius, it just shows the potential value one can discover through a lot of contemplation on a subject so relevant and valuable to every human's happiness and purpose in life. All I am trying to point out here is, being called "genius" when I know anyone can do this, shows me contemplation's value. I didn't start out knowing any of these dynamics but grew into finding them through contemplation; and anybody can do that. Like a massive abyss, the deeper you go, the clearer conclusions you can discover, and anyone can try.

My point with this whole entry is basically that this is just one slight evidence supporting this book's potential value.

chain reaction I primarily solved the gender attractiveness imbalance quickly

It led me to the gender attractiveness imbalance's solution, relatively quickly. I would go on instagram comments sections, and see many people trying to solve the gender attractiveness imbalance, to no avail. A primary reason, I noticed, was that they kept finding some level of *contradictory* evidence for many issues involved in it, and so even looking through comments for *solid*, non-contradicting conclusions, was hard, and I think this is one major reason why all y'all give up on not just the G.A.I., but other huge societal dilemmas. I was able to solve the imbalance, almost purely because of the chain reaction I. With already knowing anti-toxicity, the dynamics of anti-censorship, and more, and on top of what evidence the internet _did_ find (which I have to give credit for was a lot, and very helpful), the issue was solved pretty quickly. So yeah, when solving these complicated, impossible-seeming societal dilemmas that no one in the entire comments section seems to be able to solve or even find truly solid conclusions on, you will unfortunately likely run into contradictory evidence the same as them, and that's one big reason why the issue seems impossible to the population to solve in the first place. But I'm saying, the chain reaction I broke me through that barrier, it shined light on so much bullshit that I can actually see through all the contradictory crap to make connections that seemingly the entire population has failed at, partially accredited to my acceptance of the quest to overcome death (the solving mindset) which literally everyone calls insane in this society. So yeah, this highlights the importance of the chain reaction I, in my opinion. It seemed like all of society was getting caught up in and discouraged by the contradictory evidence in these issues, *understandably*. So, I'm just saying you may need a strong enough chain reaction I to _explain_ the contradictory evidences you run into. I just had it strong enough to explain just enough to actually solve the G.A.I. I mentioned the solving mentality since it enables anti-censorship (G.A.I. fix).

put these custom labels on the tools in your contemplation toolbox if it triggers vivid memory of their function

This is what I did in this book, and in my notepad. It's me coming up with these weird titles, so I remember better…

Extra context: tying notes to memories can be highly effective at really making you remember exactly what you were making a note about. and be blunt with it, as well as using or coming up with your own slang and terms you'll recognize when you re-read the note, slang you just made up tied to this notes' concept or memory you're writing about. This is a fantastic tool, and you can kinda see I did this even in some titles in this book, as eventually some of them were accurate enough to evolve into some titles like "passing the first layer". I just came up with my own slang terminology to effectively bring my mind right to a certain memory or concept whenever I'd read the term, to more quickly be able to remember complicated ideas I'd come up with. "Labelling" your contemplation 'toolbox'.

-- *shield contemplation notepads extra context* --

"not for vexyricon, for later" notepad

This is for things not relevant enough to your path to max happiness right now. The title is self-explanatory; these are topics which still hold some level of interest or slight significance to you, but can, & should be held off for later. When using the sweeping method, you'll often find yourself discovering new questions to put here, so, don't panic.

writing a vexyricon – the ocean of context literally has <u>all</u> relevant extra info and context, even hard examples

This is why it works. It's literally meant to be full of <u>fully</u> confronted rabbit holes so clearly proven that it works well.

People will simply require a lot of context to *actually* see the ideas you're trying to propose, including answering all their doubts, while still making sure everything is organized and easy to find for them. Any time you're looking through any notepad for new entries, especially ones for your ocean of context, you need to have the mindset of recognizing when even the slightest relevant entry could be made to more fully put the readers in your exact perspective regarding the info or ideas you've got. Literally, look at this, I'm over here telling you every step of *every thing* involved not only in writing a vexyricon, but fully fixing every aspect of life and society I possibly know how to fix, with the only requirement being that the information has to at least have some relevance for it to be good enough for the ocean of context, and of course, heavy relevance, to be put in the main textbook (the sword). In the ocean of context, you'll see me taking complicated and specific examples, that are quite frankly hard to write in, and dissect them completely, providing full context as to how this book solves those scenarios. And they're not easy scenarios, they're ones I see the common person doesn't seem to have a deep understanding of, ones that when written into here in full context, I have reason to believe will *heavily <u>open the reader's eyes</u>* as to the bigger picture of how this book's info applies to reality. I'm saying you seriously must put every single relevant thing in your ocean. Whatever you're adding or repeating in your book in general simply must have a certain level of eye-opening-ness.

We can go even further and say technically, every argument a human proposes to you, can fit into the justifications, with said justifications chapters being ordered from most relevant argument, to least relevant argument, of course.

Any time you get that mental "aha!" ping knowing something's even slightly important; odds are, it's a new entry…

writing a vexyricon – something can be repeated if it's important and eye-opening enough to be

For example, all throughout the sword, you will likely see me repeating the phrase "because moral reality can align with everyone's most viable path to max happiness in almost all scenarios". That's a very important phrase, so it's justified for me to repeat it as much as I do. Another example, here in the ocean of context, you'll see me repeat a paragraph starting with "*I could just go list off for hours, the number of issues these foundations solve…*". I do that for everyday conflicts that are solved in here specifically as examples, despite the sword already solving them. What I mean by that, is, if the reader tried to confront, for example, "how to fix a bossy person's unlikability", they could technically solve that issue fully with just the info in the sword. But, I made an entry here solving that problem for them too, just to *show* readers how foundational the info in the sword is to solving all these smaller issues they would perhaps accuse me of not solving yet, when I have, with how foundational the solutions in the sword are. S-

o, I repeat that paragraph "*I could just go list off for hours, the number of issues these foundations solve…*", pretty much every time I make one of those entries solving these "everyday problems" by using the sword, just to remind the reader how foundational that info is to solving a plethora of other problems, to remind them to use the sword, remind them how I shouldn't need to confront every single tiny issue *already solved by it*, because those foundations are right there for them to take five minutes to use and solve the issue with. So, that's definitely a valid reason for me to be repeating that paragraph to readers. An example of something I definitely wouldn't want to be applying every entry to, would be something like "the Socratic method". It would be pointless and not following relevant speech for me to "show how every entry relates to the Socratic method" like I show how many entries relate to the alignment of moral reality with everyone's path to max happiness, because, that entry isn't nearly as important. Whatever you're adding or repeating in your book in general simply must have a certain level of eye-opening-ness.

The alignment of moral reality with everyone's path to max happiness thing, needs to be <u>drilled into our heads</u>, so…

I could just go list off for hours, the number of issues these foundations solve. The "foundations" listed in the <u>sword</u>. And by "corruption", I don't just mean greed or stereotypes of that word. I mean <u>any</u> symptom, flaw, and problem… "Corruption" simply occurs when you stray from these actual foundations of the best existence possible, and society having significant corruption is just a symptom & result of having strayed from those foundations. Just don't stray… Do you see the bigger picture yet? This literally has the foundations of near utopia; and these are the <u>root solutions</u>. I tried for hours to find the root issues in society, and concluded, the truth is, it's <u>literally just ignorance of this book</u>. Any time you see corruption, it is literally just somebody ignoring some part of the sword or some moral reality law. This book basically just lists all the core issues and core solutions, so <u>ignoring it is the core issue</u>, causing corruption. I guess more accurately, it's "<u>almost</u> any time you see corruption"; That's why it's called "<u>near</u> utopia" not "utopia".

That's why I claimed this outlines "near utopia", because these seem to be the core solutions to almost everything…

writing a vexyricon – example of something that probably <u>wouldn't</u> be put in a vexyricon

Let's say you think you should make an entry called "don't let yourself do anything on impulse without thinking". A vexyricon is meant to outline the unarguable path steps toward the best existence possible, and that proposed entry can be validly argued against, even though at a glance, it may seem like a good tip universally (it turns out not to be, or else it'd be unable to be validly argued against). This entry title might be a useful tip if you're under tyranny, but as you can see, that wasn't specified in it. Whatever the actual "path step" you're proposing is, its title/definition *needs* to be unarguable and cover all realistically plausible scenarios, in order to truly be accurate and prove itself as an unarguably universal path step toward the best existence possible. For example, to (attempt to) make an accurate definition of how often moral reality aligns with everyone's path to max happiness, I had to confront every plausible (and even somewhat unrealistic) scenario I could think of, using the *chain reaction II* to make it easier, and find how often moral reality could align with everyone's path to max happiness, in the scope of *all of those*. You have to confront all plausible possibilities that could validly disprove your proposed definition, for its accuracy. And just to answer how that entry was argued against, it's because we do impulsive things subconsciously every day, and functioning impulsively in that sense isn't always a bad thing, so we can't sit here and make some objective claim that "impulsivity is bad". For example, you might turn your turn signal on when driving fully out of impulse.

writing a vexyricon – confront all mental pings indicating insecurity in your claims

When you're making a claim in your writing, and you get this mental ping which tells you "I'm not 100% confident in this claim I'm making", or "this doesn't seem to completely add up…", you need to go down those rabbit holes, otherwise, the readers are going to get those pings too, and your writing will lose all its relevant speech factor, and nobody, or few people, will see it as eye opening. Even if you simply get that ping because you "don't 100% know

how it's true", it's a good practice to outline the exact dynamics proving how your claim is true, like I did with the "how to roast" entry, or the "dynamics of motivational impact" entry, or the entire extreme positive energy chapter, which I'm proud of if you don't mind me saying :3. You just want to make sure you're not talking out of your ass here, and any mental ping indicating any level of that, should be confronted. have those debates with yourself; go down that rabbit hole and use the sweeping method to gain as deep and dense of an understanding of your claims and the proof/dynamics behind them as you can, hopefully without overthinking, to the point even a kid will get it. And if you run into such a hard, overthink-able path, then maybe you need to just send it to your confrontation list.

- **question:** how did you go from making entries like "don't do things like give others the silent treatment or ignore them, talk bad behind someone's back, call them offensive names, cuss them out/use destructive language instead of constructive language, or physically injure someone who hurt your feelings.", how did you go from that, in vexyricon version 1.50, to all these seemingly unarguable, concrete foundations now?

I used the sweeping method, the chain reaction II, and well, every aspect of contemplation I could, as well as the c-ontemplation notepads, finding further root and core issues and solutions to our problems. They're just more *root*. That's why they're less arguable than version 1.50's entries, because they are more "core" solutions and problems. I really had to stick to the solving mentality to do this because the rabbit hole I was staring into, was… *intimidating*.

entries often start out as rough draft ideas pasted into the notepad that evolve over time

They'll evolve through further and further contemplation of the idea and topic, to find a more perfect wording of t-he idea you're trying to get across, but the ideas will tend to start often as some blunt, maybe even highly offensiv-e-sounding rough draft title in your notepad you just pasted in there when you had a random idea pop into your h-ead before sleep. That happens a lot. I'll just get my "mandatory 5 ideas" a month or whatever that just pop into my head, like, if there is some higher power, bro is making sure I stay on the main quest at least to a minimum ext-ent of progress made by popping these ideas into my head. Joking, but it's still funny. I'll start out with something like "don't become a criminal, outline solutions for society instead" and end up with like "you won't even want to resort to unjust violence if you witness the law of motivations (proceeds to outline all of life's potential. You'll cha-se it when you see it (the potential proves it)". That's just one very *bad* example, but you get it. The more you con-template and solve arguments against your proposed rough draft idea, the more defined and polished its wording will likely become, until it's a solid vexyricon entry. I recommend putting said rough draft into your confrontation l-ist until you find a wording and level of polish satisfactory enough. Any relevant thought of an idea/rough draft en-try, at any time in your life, is fair game… Any of these entries randomly popping into your head, could be valuable.

writing a vexyricon – some tips for making new entries out of giant topics in your notepad

When you've got a giant group of paragraphs describing one topic in your notepad, it might be a good trick to just paste that entire group of paragraphs into your vexyricon document, and then as a first step, just read through all of it and only stop to write down a growing list of entry titles/main ideas and perhaps their crucial facets, so that as a first step, you're creating as basic an outline of the entire topic as you can, an overview having all important info. I also highlight in red the paragraphs I've now read, then highlight in another color, when I'm fully done with them.

- **question:** why'd you format this book like this when readers won't know to look for the chapter called "a-nti-toxicity" to learn how to confront their insecurities, they'll look for a chapter called "how to handle all insecurities" or a chapter called "how to handle bullying"? You're using too much unnecessary word salad.

All of these topics are meant to get the reader "passed the first layer", especially the chapters in the sword. Passin-g the first layer, is something I believe everyone should do, not only for their own happiness but to show they're tr-uly an informed, uncorrupt individual. So yeah, someone might only need the fix for bullying, but it is all important.

If it were up to me, I'd have these topics taught in school, and that'd help increase awareness of all these solutions. As for it being word salad, sorry. I tried to come up with titles that "get the idea across", and I got a bit creative with that sometimes… If you've got a better format for this book to be written in, and better titles to use, please feel free to make your copy and use the solutions and findings in this book! My goal is change, not ¢hange (money), so as long as you don't prevent me or others from sharing this book and its information for free, then go right ahead…

-   **question:** but what if my new vex entry I made, potentially fits in multiple chapters? For example, your "dynamics of insecurity" can technically fit in the contemplation chapter, as well as the anti-toxicity chapter.

I guess you find what chapter it fits *most* in, just as an idea? And if it fits equally into either, put it where you *want*?

how I write and square-off entries (did you notice everything in this book is squared-off? (aka "justified")

First, I make sure to genuinely have a deep enough understanding of the topic at hand and conclusions I'm trying to communicate, by contemplating enough and finding enough connections to make what I'm saying make as much sense as I can. Then, I'll just start writing (typing), expressing my genuine self (since that genuine self now knows enough relevant speech for this to work). I usually have a "new entries notepad" digitally I can pull up in a chrome tab and see the entire paragraph of information involved in whatever I'm writing about in the entry, and that's like my "list of things to make sure to include". It's usually pretty simple; I have this big bunch of notes telling me the info I need to put in, and through actually having a deep understanding of the topic at hand through having now contemplated it to even come up with those notes in the first place, I'll start remembering the exact perspective I'm trying to communicate, while re-reading that new entry note, because when I came up with the conclusions/notes in the freezer at work, I made absolutely sure to word them in a way that would trigger my memory of exactly what I was trying to communicate to myself with the note. This can be done by coming up with various custom phrases only you understand, for example. Anyways, I just start writing (typing) the entry in a similarly "maximally clear" attempt in this word document, just expressing my most clear, blunt understanding and perspective. While in this step, I align the entire entry to the "left". Not the center (which would make it appear square already, except for the end sentence maybe). I also don't put any dashes (I don't try to *dismember* words that go past their line, *yet*) in this stage. I basically, in this step, just write the entire entry as bluntly and understandably as I can, not caring about squareness at all, but just spewing as much important, relevant-speech following context as is needed, *that's it*.

The next step is that I'll probably go back and re-read the entire entry, to make sure it's what I want to show readers, make sure there are no improvements to make. Once that's done, my next step is to go through, while it's all still in the left alignment format, and make each line go to the maximum length it can, adding a dash at the end if necessary, to "dismember" words that must be cut in half, bringing the legs of those words to the next line, because that makes it so that throughout the whole entry, it's now as truly square as possible, even in the left alignment format. The reason I do that rather than immediately putting it in the square alignment format, is because if I skipped this step, you'll then see words within lines   being   absurdly   spaced   out so the document can fulfill my request to make the thing "centered". After I do this, I'll reach the last sentence of the entry, and often, it isn't going to end at the very end of its line, so I have to think about what else I can write just to make it do that. This sometimes requires a little bigger of a lexicon to change words to different words that mean the same thing or find synonymous words to use, but look, I've managed to do it for everything in this book, so it's possible. I try to make my speech remain as relevant as I can, but honestly, it's not the end of the world if "just the last sentence" is a *tad* less relevant to make the whole thing all square, pretty, and dense, not   spaced   out   tons.

And obviously, the final step, now that the entire thing is as densely packed as tungsten, is you can just put it in the square alignment format and nothing will be spaced out to stupid levels. That's it, you are done, new entry written.

<-=->

=----------------------=A L L   O T H E R   E X T R A   &   M I N O R   C O N T E X T   E N T R I E S=----------------------=

F O R   T H E   " J U D G E M E N T   L I S T   M E T H O D "   C H A P T E R

<-=->

-- *shield judgement list method extra context* --

justifications for: [Judgement List] playlist

- **explanation of:** "judge with only one headphone in; but when re-judging, I'll use two headphones."

This is because I've found I do in fact need to somewhat pay closer attention and hear what a song can display fully to make an accurate judgement. With one headphone in, I'm likely to get distracted, and I've missed good songs by using one headphone instead of two when re-judging, because I was focused on people talking to me, for example. Even with two headphones in though, if I'm playing a game, and I'm focused enough on it, I might miss good songs. Due to that, since I only re-judge important songs I care to not miss, I'll put them on loop until I "decide their fate".

your ability to be impacted (woken back up) by your favorite music list (FML) will regenerate over time after use

This whole "using song motivations" or even "motivations" in general to "wake yourself back up again to the law of motivations", is really just a helpful tool. Now, it _is_ extremely useful, especially regarding a favorite music list, from my experience. When your emotional state is in a really good place especially, you'll find you're able to listen to ve-ry impactful songs, and that impact only lasts for the hour or so you're scrolling through your favorite music list to find said songs, and fades. But It'll still have re-awoken you to the law of motivations; this is what keeps me awake.

This "favorite music list" impact, is genuinely what kept me contemplating/writing the book through all these years.

saving space by downloading thumbnail + mp3 instead of thumbnail + mp4

When the video doesn't matter, if you need to, and *if* the thumbnail even matters to you, this'll save tons of space.

extra context: <u>a few</u> of the most legendary songs I have ever heard

I know you may not see & understand what I see in these, so I'll try to keep this list as 'still understandable' as I can.
The lyrics, especially the Japanese lyrics, usually are <u>not</u> what I'm paying attention to. I only speak English anyways.

- Ar Tonelico - EXEC_CHRONICLE_KEY/. (I think it's the *most <u>accurate</u>* display of the law of motivations, it is *highly* impactful *if* you're able to see the picture it's painting *by* having enough sensitivity to impact, first.)
- ODESZA - A Moment Apart (I think it's the most <u>clear</u> *not* <u>most</u> *accurate* display of the law of motivations.)
- Jigoku Shoujo OST - Hell Boy (a powerful heavy max-lifting igniting song, *especially* after the first minute.)
- 運命の憐月 - Pitiful Moon of Fate (1:05-1:30 and 3:00-3:20 = main song of the dead forest setting hell.)
- Susumu Hirasawa - Royal Road, Paradise (first 2:00 represent the dead forest setting hell. Not the lyrics.)
- Sieudiver - Blue Beauty (Feat. 0P2C) (lyrics warn about the danger of "exploring the first layer" too much. This song gives me *panic* because it's a reminder of the potential you'd be losing by wasting time, dying.)
- "The Poolrooms" - ('The Classrooms' OST Remix) by Covered_in_ Rust on youtube (mental setting hell.)
- Made in Abyss OST - the first layer (yes, this exact song is the origin of the term "passing the first layer".)
- "Damned", from Call of Duty Black Ops, zombies (*ignore the song's <u>title</u>*; otherwise, it is the *most* accurate audio mirror of how I view life, what my life is like, what my actual personality & "soul" is like, and I'm *not joking.* I have listened to 20,000+ songs, and <u>this is the one</u>. I made a remix of all versions; I'd say that's it.)
- 【東方Eurobeat ENG SUBS】秋意浓 〜Qiū yì nóng〜【A-ONE】on youtube (life will be *unimaginably*...)
- Inon Zur (Fallout 76 OST) - The Power Plant (main theme of an old mental setting hell from my childhood.)
- Xidic - Mystical Space (first 60 seconds can be ridiculously impactful if you have high sensitivity (vex IQ.)
- love Solfege - 帰結する未来 (gives <u>me</u> strong mental imagery of the Stone City, a mental setting heaven)
- サイレン *Siren* (オリジナル・カラオケ) (this song has brought me to *tears*… The *first <u>40s</u>* make me think about all the tyranny in the world being defeated and everyone finally seeing hope in near utopia. I am 100% sure this'll only bring someone to tears if they know enough vexyricon knowledge context first.)
- A-One - Time Will Tell (this hits different when you start embodying the lyrics by authoring the vexyricon.)
- Wynncraft Noteblock OST - No Place to Hide (Lexdale) (represents a part of the dead forest setting hell.)
- Shrine at the Foot of the Mountain - Crimson Beyond a Fleeting Eternity (Hellion Sounds) (the first 60s.)
- 【艦これボーカル】 あ〜るの〜と – DIVE (the first 60 seconds are some "unidentified" setting hell.)
- Made in Abyss OST - Old Stories (I made a soundbank of the first 40 seconds and wrote this book to it.)
- Punishing Gray Raven OST - Hikari (first bit can be a very powerful igniting song for heavy max-lifting.)
- EastNewSound - Under the scarlet moon, insane laughter of solitude w/lyrics (english, romaji, kanji)
- Susumu Hirasawa - Day Scanner (can be a powerful igniting song when you're <u>very</u> confident.)
- Susumu Hirasawa - Immortal Man (Phonon 2550 Live) (that's a video title on youtube.)
- Saitama Saisyu Heiki (S.S.H.) - Shanghai Teahouse (the first 60 seconds.)
- Shiki OST - Day and Night (song of the "hell of twilight" setting hell)
- Kenji Kawai - Mezame no Hakobune - 百禽 HYAKKIN
- Yuki Kajiura - The Legend

<-=->

(‹o›)

This marks the end of the "ocean of context" textbook version, "the shield", and the end of the <u>entire vexyricon</u>.

<-=->