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# Chapter 1 Introduction to the Hotel Valuation Software 

Version 6.0 of the Hotel Valuation Software remains the only non-proprietary computer software designed specifically to assist in the preparation of hotel market studies, forecasts of income and expense, and valuations. The software provides a mathematical framework, and user-friendly way for analysts and consultants to implement computer-based forecasts and valuations. Using established methodology, the Hotel Valuation Software is a sophisticated tool for hotel professionals.
The tool consists of three separate software programs written as Microsoft Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$ workbooks and a software manual. Version 6.0 can be run in one of two ways:

- Using Microsoft Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$ on the Windows ${ }^{\circledR}$ or OSX $^{\circledR}$ operating systems, or;
- Using Google Sheets ${ }^{\circledR}$ and an Internet Browser, such as Firefox ${ }^{\circledR}$, Google Chrome ${ }^{\circledR}$, Safari ${ }^{\circledR}$, Opera ${ }^{\circledR}$, Microsoft Edge ${ }^{\circledR}$ or Internet Explorer ${ }^{\circledR}$.
Use of the software manual requires a computer capable of reading Adobe ${ }^{\circledR}$ PDF files.
All output is formatted for a standard laser printer using $81 / 2$ by 11 -inch or A4 paper. The programs and their contents are outlined below.

Version 6.0 of the Hotel Valuation Software contains significant enhancements over Version 5.0 which is no longer distributed. Version 4.0 is available to those who purchased the text: Hotel Market Analysis and Valuation- International Issues and Software Applications from the Appraisal Institute. Version 4.0 only runs on Windows and the user interface is not particularly user-friendly. Hotel Valuation Software is also available in Mandarin.

## Hotel Market <br> Analysis and ADR <br> Forecasting Model <br> (HMA.XLSX)

The Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model performs several functions:

- Enables the analyst to evaluate the various competitive factors such as occupancy, average room rate, and market segmentation of all hotels in a local market.
- Calculates the area-wide occupancy and average room rate, as well as the competitive market mix.
- Produce a forecast of occupancy for a specific existing hotel or proposed hotel in a local market. The program incorporates such factors as competitive occupancies,


## Hotel Revenue and Expense Forecasting Model (HREF.XLSX)

market segmentation, unaccommodated demand, latent demand, growth of demand, and the relative competitiveness of each property in the local market. The program output is a ten-year projection of occupancy.

- Produce a forecast of Average Daily Rate (ADR) for a specific existing hotel or proposed hotel in a local market over a ten-year projection period. The program incorporates factors such as expected inflation, current room rates by segment and the market mix of the specific existing or proposed hotel.
The key to any market study and valuation is a supportable forecast of revenues and expenses. Hotel revenue and expenses are comprised of many different components that display certain fixed and variable relationships to each other. This program enables the analyst to input comparable financial operating data and forecast a complete 11-year income and expense statement by defining a small set of inputs:
- The expected future occupancy levels for the subject hotel
- The preferred future average daily rates for the subject hotel
- Base year operating data for the subject hotel
- Expected inflation rates for revenues and expenses


## Hotel MortgageEquity Valuation Model (HMEV.XLSX)

A discounted cash flow valuation model utilizing the mortgage-equity technique forms the basis for this program which values a hotel using three different loan underwriting criteria:

- A loan-to-value ratio, in which the size of the mortgage is based on property value.
- A debt coverage ratio (also known as a debt-service coverage ratio), in which the size of the mortgage is based on property level cash flow.
- A debt yield, in which the size of the mortgage is based on property level cash flow.

By inputting the terms of typical hotel financing, along with a forecast of revenue and expense, the program determines the value that provides the stated returns to the mortgage and equity components. The program produces an estimate of value based on a 5 -year and a 10 -year holding period. Users desiring to value a property assuming a refinancing of the property during the holding period should request version 4.0 of the Hotel Valuation Software, as the calculations require the use of Visual Basic code compatible only with a PC using Excel ${ }^{\mathbb{\top}}$ on the Windows ${ }^{\ominus}$ operating system.

The software models were developed by Steve Rushmore, MAI, CHA and Jan A, deRoos, PhD. The software programs rely on work by Suzanne Mellen, CRE, MAI,

Instruction Manual

Important Technical Information
and Stephen Rushmore, Jr., MAI, FRICS. Within the manual, each program is thoroughly described and illustrated with a case study example.

The purpose of this manual is to provide a complete description of the three programs comprising the Hotel Valuation Software, 6.0 and to show how these programs assist in performing a hotel market study and valuation.

The manual starts with a general overview of the various steps involved in developing a hotel market study and valuation. It then leads the user through the specific procedures involved with the market study phase, which concentrates on the supply and demand analysis. The Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model (HMA) is described and demonstrated in this section. Once the subject hotel's occupancy and ADR has been projected, the manual then turns to the task of producing the ten-year forecast of revenue and expense using the Hotel Revenue and Expense Forecasting Model (HREF). The final step demonstrates the valuation process, which employs a discounted cash flow valuation model known as the Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model (HMEV). Interwoven throughout the manual is a realistically simulated case study that illustrates how an analyst can utilize the Hotel Valuation Software for all types of hotel valuation assignments.

We strongly recommend reading this manual thoroughly prior to attempting to use the programs. Try entering the data set forth in the Case Study so you can understand the logic of the software, and the various analytical features it offers.

This Hotel Valuation Software manual assumes the user has a working knowledge of personal computers and Microsoft Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$ (or Google Sheets ${ }^{\circledR}$ ). If you are not familiar with retrieving and saving a file, using the cursor and the keyboard to enter information and data, and printing, please consult online or print instructional materials for Microsoft Excel ${ }^{\mathbb{B}}$, Microsoft Office ${ }^{\circledR}$, or Google Sheets ${ }^{\circledR}$ before proceeding.
The user should immediately copy the program files to a secure location on a hard drive for use should they be needed.

The Hotel Valuation Software is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, respecting the contents of this guide and software, including but not limited to implied warranties for the guide's and software's quality, performance, merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose. The authors shall not be liable to any person or entity with respect to any liability, loss, or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by this guide and software. In accordance with the software license, neither the authors, nor any entity affiliated with the authors, will

## Trademark <br> Acknowledgements

provide any type of software support as part of the distribution of the software and the use guide.

Hotel Valuation Software is a registered trademark of Jan A. deRoos and Steve Rushmore.

All tradenames are the registered marks of their respective companies.
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the hotel real estate and management contracts from the point of view of the property owner, operator, and lender.

# Chapter 2 Case Study Overview 

## CASE STUDY

To make the Case Study portion of this manual stand apart from the procedural and theoretical sections, dark borders are used to encircle the Case Study material. It should be noted that while the Case Study is realistic, the location, facts, names, and so forth are totally hypothetical. Any resemblance to an actual hotel facility is pure coincidence.

The Case Study assumes the analyst is standing at the beginning of year 1 (January 1, 2021), looking back at the markets actual operating performance for the base year of 2020 (year 0). The subject property is a proposed 250 -room Sheraton hotel that will be entering the market approximately two years from the date of the study, for an opening date of January 2023 (year 3). For clarity, think of the time line as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Year } 0 \text { - Base Year } & 2020 \\
\text { Year } 1 \text { - Date of Study } & 2021 \\
\text { Year 3 - Date of Sheraton Opening } & 2023
\end{array}
$$

The proposed Sheraton Hotel will be located at the southeast corner of I-495 and County Route 110, Long Island, New York. The area is characterized as a suburb of New York City ( 35 miles to the west), and the surrounding neighborhood is a mixture of office, light industrial, and retail property. The site has good access and visibility from nearby highways and is considered a desirable hotel location.

Construction on the proposed Sheraton is expected to commence during the spring of 2021 with an opening date scheduled for January 1, 2023. The parcel on which the hotel is to be built consists of seven acres of land and has all the necessary utilities.

The improvements will be designed with an orientation towards the commercial and group segments of the market and will target those travelers looking for a first-class quality level. The following table summarizes the facilities and amenities planned for the Sheraton:

## 250 Guestrooms

175-seat Restaurant
150 -seat Lounge
40-seat Lobby Bar
12,500 square feet (approx. $1200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of meeting space
Indoor swimming pool \& exercise facility

## HOTEL
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## CASE STUDY (continued)

The owner of the property will utilize this study to determine initial project feasibility and to obtain debt and equity financing. The appraisal will estimate the market value of the fee simple interest as of the date the hotel is fully complete and operational, which is assumed to be January 1, 2023 (January 1 of year 3). The financial projections will commence as of this date utilizing current (inflated) dollars for each projection year.

## Chapter 3 The Process of Performing a Hotel Market Study and Valuation

The process followed in performing a hotel market study and valuation can be broken down into three primary components:

- Market Study
- Forecast of Revenue and Expense
- Valuation (Income Approach)


## Market Study

## Forecast of <br> Revenue and <br> Expense

The market study component forms the basis for estimating a hotel's revenue via a supply and demand analysis in which historical room night demand is first quantified. The competitive environment is then evaluated to determine how the subject property will interact with the other hotel facilities in the market. Based on the dynamics of this supply and demand relationship, a model can be developed to estimate the room nights captured by the subject hotel, which is then converted into a forecast of occupancy. Similarly, based on the overage daily rates achieved in various market segments, a model is used to forecast the subject hotel's Average Daily Rate (ADR), based on the market mix established in the analysis of supply and demand.

The second component is the forecast of revenue and expense, which generally projects the subject hotel's financial results out to a point where the occupancy reaches a stabilized level. The basis for the revenue forecast comes from the occupancy and ADR's established in the market study component described above. Expenses are normally keyed to area hotel operating costs and are adjusted to varying levels of occupancies through a fixed and variable analysis.

## Valuation

The valuation component utilizes a mortgage-equity technique. In this procedure, the net income of a hotel is partitioned into a mortgage component and an equity component over a number of years, and these are discounted to the present value. In addition, a reversion (or assumed selling price) is estimated and this amount is split into mortgage and equity components, and discounted. The resulting value derived from the income capitalization approach is compared to the values indicated by the cost and sales comparison approaches before a final value is estimated. The Hotel Valuation Software only provides estimates derived from the income approach.
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## Chapter 4 Market Study

Occupancy and average rate projections are generally formulated from the market study. This software uses the built-up approach to hotel supply and demand.

## Room Night Defined

Market Study
Overview

## Occupancy Forecast Procedure

The basis of a hotel's occupancy forecast is the amount of demand captured over a given period of time (usually one year) divided by the number of rooms available over the same period. A room night is the unit used by hotel analysts to quantify this demand. A room night is a unit of hotel demand representing one room occupied by one or more people for one night.

The market study consists of two interlinked processes. First, a forecast of occupancy is produced using an analysis of supply and demand. Second, using the supply and demand analysis, plus estimates of average rates in the market, a forecast of Average Daily Rate (ADR) is produced. The HMA spreadsheet handles both processes, but always starts with the occupancy forecast.

The process of forecasting occupancy for both existing and proposed hotels can be accomplished in nine steps, which are outlined as follows:

1. Define the primary market area
2. Define the market area's primary market segments
3. Quantify the existing room night demand
4. Forecast the room night demand into the future
5. Quantify the market area's guestroom supply growth, the total room nights available, the accommodatable latent demand, and the total usable demand over the forecast period
6. Calculate the area wide occupancy
7. Evaluate the relative competitiveness of all hotels in the market area
8. Fit each new hotel into the market based on their expected competitiveness
9. Calculate the subject property's market share, room nights captured, and occupancy percentage.

## Step \#1: $\quad$ Define the Primary Market Area

The first step in performing a hotel market study is to define the subject property's market area in geographic terms. A market area can be described as a perimeter surrounding the subject property. Within this area are various generators of transient demand, whose visitors are likely to utilize the accommodations offered by the subject property.

## Step \#2: Define the Market Area's Primary Market Segments

Once the market area has been outlined, the analyst should then determine the primary segments of transient demand presently using local hotels. The three market segments generally found in most market areas are transient commercial travelers, meeting/convention visitors (also known as group business), and pleasure/leisure travelers. Other segments often present include: government, airline crews, extended stay/relocation guests (generally staying over one week at a time), sports teams, military, truck drivers, hospital/out-patient, cruise ship, etc.

## Getting Started with the HMA software

## CASE STUDY

The three workbooks employ a common look and feel and share a common user interface that includes:

- An opening screen with a black colored tab
- Navigation is via a set of tabs along the bottom of the screen.
- Tabs are color coded to facilitate navigation; blue tabs are for inputs, yellow tabs are for outputs, red tabs are used for calculations, the black tab is the introductory tab and the purple tab contains the software license.
Before starting, it is helpful to cover one last preliminary item, found in the legend of the Primary tab. All three models use the same protocols for cell color, to facilitate the use of software.
- Cells with blue text a light blue background are mandatory inputs.
- Cells with blue text and a light-yellow background are optional inputs.
- Cells with black text and a white background are outputs and do not require an input.
- Cells with black text and a grey background are for error checking or for clarity of format.
The top portion of the Primary tab of HMA is shown on the next page as Figure 1. (All "screen shots" were made using on a PC using Windows $10^{\circledR}$ and Excel ${ }^{\circledR} 2016$ for PC). The rightmost panel of Figure 1 contains the legend, with the colors corresponding to various cells found in the workbooks.
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| Hotel Ma | arket Analysis | recasting M | Model - Primary | www.h | software.com |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Se | gment Inputs | Project Infor | rmation |  | Legend |  |  |
| Segment\# | Markh $\sqrt{\text { segments }}$ | Job Title | Proposed Sheraton |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Commercial | Prepared by | HV Software |  | Mandatory Inp |  |  |
| 2 | Group | Prepared for | HV Software Manual |  | Optional Input |  |  |
| 3 | Leisure | Job \# | 001-001 |  | Output |  |  |
| 4 |  | Base Year | 2020 |  | Error Check |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure1 - Project Information, Market Segments and Legend from the Primary Tab of HMA

Built-up Approach
Based on an
Analysis of Lodging Activity

## CASE STUDY

The middle panel of Figure 1 shows the Project Information, which has been entered in cells F4 through F9; this will vary from firm to firm.
The market for the proposed Sheraton has three market segments, Commercial, Group, and Leisure; these are entered in the left panel labeled "Market Segment Inputs". The HMA model can handle up to five market segments.

## Step \#3: Quantify the Existing Room Night Demand

In order to forecast hotel room night demand into the future, it is necessary to quantify the current demand. From this base level, the analyst will make projections of future demand changes (growth, stability, or decline) for each market segment.
Existing room night demand is generally quantified by utilizing the built-up approach based on an analysis of lodging activity.

The built-up approach based on an analysis of lodging activity utilizes the premise that existing hotel demand can be quantified by totaling the number of hotel rooms actually occupied in the market. This is accomplished by surveying the local lodging facilities and determining their room count, percentage of occupancy, and market segmentation to estimate the overall accommodated demand in the market. Accommodated demand is then adjusted for any latent demand that may be present; comprised of unaccommodated and/or induced demand. The procedure for performing the Analysis of Lodging Activity (ALA) approach is summarized as follows:

ALA-1 — Identify the primary and secondary competitive lodging facilities situated within the market area.

ALA-2 - Estimate the occupancies of all competitive lodging facilities in the market area.

ALA-3 - Determine the percentage relationship of each market segment to the whole for each of the competitive facilities.
ALA-4 - Determine the historic average room count (HARC).
ALA-5 - Quantify the market area's current accommodated room night demand.

ALA-6 - Estimate the market area's total latent demand, which is composed of unaccommodated and induced demand.

Each of the steps in the built-up approach is based on an analysis of lodging activity, which will be discussed and illustrated using the case study. We then show how to implement the steps using the Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model (HMA).

## ALA-1 - Identify the Primary and Secondary Competitive Lodging Facilities Situated Within the Market Area

The primary and secondary competitive lodging facilities within a market area are part of the overall lodging supply that can be defined as all transient accommodations catering to overnight visitors.

Primary competitors are those hotels that are similar to the subject property with respect to the class and type of facilities offered. These hotels compete for the same type of transient visitor. Secondary competition consists of those lodging facilities that would not normally attract the same type of visitor, but because of special circumstances (such as location), they become competitive. Examples include secondary hotels that compete for weekend leisure guests or secondary hotels that compete for certain group business.

## HOTEL
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## CASE STUDY

Identify Primary and Secondary Competition - A survey of the subject property's market area found 20 hotels containing 2,762 rooms. Of those 20 hotels, 9 were judged to represent primary competition (1,604 rooms) and 6 were considered secondarily competitive ( 743 rooms).

Based on these criteria, the following hotels were identified as primarily competitive with the subject property:

## Primary Competition for the proposed Sheraton

- Embassy Suites Hotel
- Hilton Inn
- Radisson Hotel
- Holiday Inn
- Courtyard by Marriott
- Ramada Inn
- Island Inn
- Quality Inn
- Days Inn

The following hotels were considered secondarily competitive:
Table 1 - Summary of Secondary Competition

|  | Competitive <br> Weighting Factor |
| :--- | :---: |
| Red Roof Inn | $50 \%$ |
| Super 8 | $50 \%$ |
| Microtel | $25 \%$ |
| Residence Inn | $33 \%$ |
| Delta Inn | $40 \%$ |
| All Seasons Hotel | $75 \%$ |

Based on competitive criteria, competitive weighting factors were assigned to each secondary hotel. When used in the Room Night Analysis, the competitive weighting factor reduces that hotel's room count, producing an 'effective' room count.

## ALA-2- Estimate the Occupancies of all Competitive Lodging Facilities in the Market Area

The key ingredient in the build-up approach based on an analysis of lodging activity is the occupancy estimate for each of the primary and secondary competitive hotels in the market area. The estimate of competitive occupancies should cover a full 12month period. Ideally, this period (called the base year) will closely precede the first projected year in the room night analysis.

## ALA-3-Determine the Percentage Relationship of each Market Segment to the Whole for each of the Competitive Facilities

Because each market segment has unique characteristics, it is necessary to allocate the market's overall room night demand into individual segments. This is typically done by estimating the percent of room night demand in each market segment.

## ALA-4-Determine the Historic Average Room Count (HARC)

It is necessary to determine the room counts of all the competitive hotels. In addition to knowing the size of each property, an adjustment must be made to the room counts of those hotels that open during the 12-month base year corresponding with the estimates of occupancy and market segmentation. For example, in the case study, the 124 -room Courtyard by Marriott opened on July 1, 2020, which is midway between the base year period that extends from January 1, 2020, to December 31, 2020. Since the Courtyard only operated for six months, its historic average room count (HARC) is 62 rooms. Similarly, the Residence Inn which opened April 1 of the base year has 100 rooms, but a HARC of 75 .

The historic average room count equates to the hotel's room count multiplied by the percentage of the base year that the property is actually open. In addition to weighting the impact of new hotels on the market, the HARC can also be used for seasonal properties that may close for a portion of the year, or existing hotels that add new rooms during the base year.

HOTEL

## Proper Data Entry for an Existing Subject Hotel

## CASE STUDY

The following table shows the room count, HARC, occupancy estimate and market segmentation for each of the 15 competitive hotels.

Table 2 - Summary of Primary and Secondary Competition

| Hotel | No. of Rooms | HARC | Market Segmentation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Occ\% | Commercial | Group | Leisure | Comp. Weight |
| Embassy Suites | 200 | 200 | 78\% | 80\% | 5\% | 15\% |  |
| Hilton Inn | 275 | 275 | 72 | 40 | 50 | 10 |  |
| Radisson Hotel | 250 | 250 | 68 | 45 | 40 | 15 |  |
| Holiday Inn | 175 | 175 | 73 | 55 | 25 | 20 |  |
| Courtyard | 124 | $62{ }^{(1)}$ | 65 | 75 | 5 | 20 |  |
| Ramada Inn | 150 | 150 | 66 | 65 | 20 | 15 |  |
| Island Inn | 135 | 135 | 62 | 60 | 30 | 10 |  |
| Quality Inn | 175 | 175 | 78 | 50 | 10 | 40 |  |
| Days Inn | 120 | 120 | 74 | 70 | 5 | 25 |  |
| Red Roof Inn | 110 | 110 | 82 | 60 | 5 | 35 | 50\% |
| Super 8 | 125 | 125 | 78 | 60 | 5 | 35 | 50\% |
| Microtel | 100 | 100 | 90 | 75 | 0 | 25 | 25\% |
| Residence Inn | 100 | $75^{(2)}$ | 77 | 55 | 10 | 35 | 33\% |
| Delta Inn | 83 | 83 | 63 | 65 | 10 | 25 | 40\% |
| Four Seasons | 250 | 250 | 72 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 75\% |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Courtyard opened July 1, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{(2)}$ Residence Inn opened April 1, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The first nine hotels were considered primary competition to the proposed Sheraton. The next step in using the Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model is to enter data about these hotels into the workbook.

The last six of the hotels set forth above were considered secondary competition to the proposed Sheraton. In order to make the HMA model more straightforward, it is desirable to combine the secondary competition into one generic hotel by utilizing weighted averages based on the previously defined effective room count.

If the analyst is charged with performing a market study on an existing hotel in the market, this information should always be entered as Hotel \#1 of the Primary Competitors Screen. If this is done, the information is automatically transferred to the proper location on all output screens of the HMA model. Entry of the primary and secondary competitor data is illustrated on the following pages.
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## Entering Primary Competitor Data on the Primary Tab using HMA

## CASE STUDY

The Room Night Analysis workbook has one tab for primary competitor data and one tab for secondary competitor data entry. In addition, there a tab that provides summary data for primary competitors, secondary competitors and the accommodated demand in the base year.

Figure 2 shows the completed data entry screen for the primary competitors. Note that row 13 of this section contains summary information about the secondary competition. This data automatically carries over from the Secondary tab.
We use the Embassy Suites to demonstrate how to enter information. Enter the room count of 200 in column D. Since this property was open for the entire base year, its HARC equals its room count and is automatically copied into column E.

On the other hand, the Courtyard opened July 1, 2020, which is midway through the base year. Its HARC is therefore $50 \%$ of its room count or 62 rooms ( $50 \% \times 124=$ 62). For the Courtyard, rooms count is entered in row D as 124 and the HARC is entered as 62 in row $E$.

Enter the Occupancy and Market Segment data in the appropriate columns; Column L serves as a check to make sure that the market segments add to $100 \%$. Enter these figures as integers (e.g. 78 for the Embassy Suites occupancy) the program automatically converts these to percentages.

| Primary | Competitors |  | Property Data |  |  | Estim | ted Market S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hotel \# | Property | \# Rooms | Hist ARC | Occupancy | Commercial | Group | Leisure | Check |
| N/A | Secondary Competition | 389 | 389 | 75\% | 61\% | 17\% | 22\% | 100\% |
| 1 | Embassy Suites | 200 | 200 | 78\% | 80\% | 5\% | 15\% | 100\% |
| 2 | Hilton Inn | 275 | 275 | 72\% | 40\% | 50\% | 10\% | 100\% |
| 3 | Radisson Hotel | 250 | 250 | 68\% | 45\% | 40\% | 15\% | 100\% |
| 4 | Holiday Inn | 175 | 175 | 73\% | 55\% | 25\% | 20\% | 100\% |
| 5 | Courtyard | 124 | 62 | 65\% | 75\% | 5\% | 20\% | 100\% |
|  | Ramada Inn | 150 | 150 | 66\% | 65\% | 20\% | 15\% | 100\% |
| 7 | Island Inn | 135 | 135 | 62\% | 60\% | 30\% | 10\% | 100\% |
| 8 | Quality Inn | 175 | 175 | 78\% | 50\% | 10\% | 40\% | 100\% |
| 9 | Days Inn | 120 | 120 | 74\% | 70\% | 5\% | 25\% | 100\% |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tota | 1,993 | 1,931 |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2 - Primary Competitor Inputs from the Primary Tab of HMA
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## Important Note! Proper Data Entry for an Existing Subject Hotel

Use of the
Secondary Tab of HMA

If the analyst is charged with performing a market study on an existing hotel in the market, this information should always be entered in row 14 as Hotel \#1 of the Primary tab. When this is done, the information is automatically transferred to the proper location on the $\operatorname{OcoO}$ utput $A$ tab of the HMA model.

## CASE STUDY

The data entry process for the secondary competitors is similar to the primary competitors; identify the properties, specify room counts, the HARC and the occupancy, market segmentation. The only difference is that the competitive weighting factor must be entered to produce an effective room count and an effective HARC. Figure 3 below shows the property data and calculations.

The Secondary tab of the RNA program contains two input sections as shown below in Figure 3. Columns C through I require property data, while columns J through N require market segmentation data.

To use the Secondary tab, the analyst starts by entering the name of each secondary competitor in column C. The Secondary tab can accommodate up to 20 properties.

Enter the competitive weighting factor and number of rooms in columns D and E. Using the Red Roof Inn as an example, the competitive weight of $50 \%$ and the room count of 110, as detailed in Table 2 on page 16.

| Secondary Competitors |  | Property Data |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated Market Segmentation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hotel | Property | Comp. \% | \# Rooms | Eff Rooms | HARC | Eff HARC | Occupancy | Commercial | Group | Leisure | Check |
| 1 | Red Roof Inn | 50\% | 110 | 55 | 110 | 55 | 82\% | 60\% | 5\% | 35\% | 100\% |
| 2 | Super 8 | 50\% | 125 | 63 | 125 | 63 | 78\% | 60\% | 5\% | 35\% | 100\% |
| 3 | Microtel | 25\% | 100 | 25 | 100 | 25 | 90\% | 75\% |  | 25\% | 100\% |
| 4 | Residence Inn | 33\% | 100 | 33 | 75 | 25 | 77\% | 55\% | 10\% | 35\% | 100\% |
| 5 | Delta Inn | 40\% | 83 | 33 | 83 | 33 | 63\% | 65\% | 10\% | 25\% | 100\% |
| 6 | All Seasons Hotel | 75\% | 250 | 188 | 250 | 188 | 72\% | 60\% | 30\% | 10\% | - $100 \%$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals <br> Averages |  |  | 768 | 397 | 743 | 389 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3 - Secondary Competitors Property Data from the Secondary Tab of HMA

HOTEL

## Use of the Secondary Tab of HMA, continued

## CASE STUDY

The program automatically calculates the Effective Room Count in column F, by multiplying the competitive weighting factor by the room count.
Similar to the Primary tab, the Secondary tab keeps track of any difference between a hotel's room count and its HARC. In this case study, the 100 -room Residence Inn opened on April 1 of 2020, which is one-quarter of the way through the base year. Its HARC is therefore $75 \%$ of its room count or 75 rooms $(75 \% \times 100=75)$. For the Residence Inn, the room count is entered in row E as 100 and the HARC is entered in row $G$ as 75 . The program calculates an Effective HARC in column H, by multiplying the competitive weighting factor by the HARC.
Enter the occupancy for each property in column I. Simply enter the number 82 for the Red Roof Inn; the program automatically converts this to a percent.

Columns J through N are for the market segmentation percentages. The market segments are defined on the Primary sheet and are labeled Commercial, Group, and Leisure. Enter the segmentation figures as whole numbers; the program automatically converts them to percentages.

Column O is a check column that adds the market segmentation percentages in columns H through L. The total of all market segments should equal $100 \%$.

After all the data has been entered, the Secondary sheet produces the summary data shown below in Figure 4 and transfers this data to the Primary sheet.


Figure 4 - Summary data from Secondary Tab of HMA
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## CASE STUDY (continued)

The weighted average occupancy percentage is found in cell V26 (75.10\%).
The Secondary tab has enabled the appraiser to combine six secondarily competitive hotels into one generic property. The attributes of this generic hotel are summarized as follows:

| Effective HARC (cell H25) | 389 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Commercial Segment Percentage (cell P26) | $61.18 \%$ |
| Group Segment Percentage (cell Q26) | $16.88 \%$ |
| Leisure Segment Percentage (cell R26) | $21.93 \%$ |
| Occupancy (cell V26) | $75.10 \%$ |

## ALA-5-Quantify the Area's Current Accommodated Room Night Demand

This step of the analysis of lodging activity is performed automatically by the HMA model. The information entered on the Primary and Secondary screens is used to separately calculate current accommodated room demand for each market segment, using the following equation:

## Historic Average Room Count X Occupancy x Market Segmentation

Percentage x $365=$ Room Nights Accommodated in the Market
Segment

Use of the DemandBase YearTab of the HMA

This process is repeated for each of the competitive hotels in the market area. The number of room nights accommodated for each market segment at each hotel is then combined to give the market area's current accommodated room night demand. These data are used to produce a set of summary information for the base year:

- Accommodated Room Nights Demand, by hotel, by segment, and total room night demand.
- Competitive Indices, by hotel, by segment
- Overall accommodated room night demand, market segmentation, and market occupancy.
Figure 5 on the next page shows the Accommodated Demand Base Year screen, which summarizes information for the entire competitive set.
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Figure 5 - Demand-Base Year Tab from RNA program

## Base Year Accommodated Demand

Fair Share and Competitive Index Defined

## CASE STUDY

The Demand-Base Year tab presents several sets of information. In columns C through G, the sheet displays Room Nights Sold by Market Segment, calculated according to the formula presented on the previous page. When totaled for the market as a whole, these figures are known as the base year accommodated demand.
Second, the fair share for each hotel is shown in column H. The fair share for a given property is that property's room count as a percent of the total room count in the competitive set.

Third, competitive indices are shown in columns I through M. The competitive index is used to assess the relative competitiveness of each hotel, as a whole and within each market segment. The "Overall Competitive Index" figures in column I represents the amount of business captured by a particular hotel as compared to that hotel's fair share. The "Market Competitive Index" figures in columns I through M represent the segment competitiveness of each hotel. As an example, the Embassy Suites has an overall penetration rate of $108.2 \%$, meaning that the hotel captures $108.2 \%$ of its fair share. The market segment penetration shows that the Embassy Suites captures 150\% of its fair share of Commercial demand, only $23 \%$ of its fair share of Group demand and $85 \%$ of its fair share of Leisure demand. Details of the fair share and penetration calculations are given in Step \#7 of the Market Study Procedure, starting on page 34. The "heat map" formatting of this section provides a quick visual check.

Lastly, the "Total Accommodated Demand Output" section at the right contains the "market data;" the grand total of the room nights per segment data, presented in Column R of the tab. In addition, overall market segmentation is shown in column S and the overall market occupancy is presented in cell R13.
Overall this market ran a $72.1 \%$ occupancy in the base year with $57.8 \%$ of the market consisting of commercial demand, $23.1 \%$ consisting of group demand and $19.1 \%$ consisting of Leisure demand.
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## Quantifying Latent Demand

Unaccommodated Demand Defined

Induced Demand Defined


#### Abstract

ALA-6-Estimate the Area's Total Latent Demand, which is composed of Unaccommodated and Induced Demand


The previous calculations quantify the area's current accommodated room night demand. Because this demand is based on actual hotel occupancies, the accommodated demand accounts for only those hotel rooms that have been utilized by guests. It does not take into account other types of demand that may have been present in the market, but for one reason or another has not been accommodated in the area's current supply of hotel facilities. This additional demand is called latent demand, which is composed of Unaccommodated Demand and Induced Demand.

Unaccommodated demand arises when transient travelers who seek accommodations within a market area must defer their trip, settle for a less desirable hotel outside the competitive set or stay outside of the market area, due to the fact that all local hotel facilities are filled. Because this type of demand is not actually accommodated by the area's hotel facilities, it is not included in the room nights quantified by the previous steps described in the lodging activity approach.

When quantifying current hotel room night demand, unaccommodated demand only becomes a factor when the number of competitive rooms in the market is expanding. As the supply of hotel rooms increases, more of the unaccommodated demand will be accommodated during periods of peak visitation. Because these uncounted room nights help cushion the dilution effect of adding more rooms to a market, it becomes important to quantify the amount of unaccommodated visitation currently attempting to utilize hotel facilities in the area. One of the ways the hotel industry quantifies unaccommodated demand by tracking "turn-away" business, the number of reservations that cannot be taken because a hotel is sold out.

Unaccommodated demand is brought into the market analysis as accommodated demand when new rooms added to the market which can now absorb this form of latent demand. Care must be taken to make sure that the amount of unaccommodated demand that is converted into accommodated demand is justified by the number of new rooms opening in the market. The amount of capacity (new rooms) available to convert unaccommodated demand into accommodated demand is called the accommodatable latent demand and is covered in Step \#5: Quantify the Area's Total Guestroom Supply and the Total Room Nights Available (see page 28).

The second type of latent demand is called induced demand. Induced demand is additional room nights that will be attracted to the market area for one or more specific reasons. Examples of induced demand include the following:
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## Latent Demand for the Proposed Sheraton

- The opening of new hotels that offer previously unsupplied amenities such as extensive group space or specialized recreational amenities such as golf, skiing, and health spa.
- The aggressive marketing efforts of individual properties. Some of the major hotel chains are able to bring new room nights into the market as a direct result of the marketing performed by other properties they operate.
- The opening of a new major demand generator such as a convention center, commercial enterprise, retail complex, transportation facility (airport), or recreational attraction.


## CASE STUDY

An analysis of the subject's market area indicates a presence of latent hotel demand composed of both unaccommodated and induced room night demand. In order to show the true depth of the market, the amount of latent demand must be quantified.

Based on an analysis of turn-away business in the market, the following base year unaccommodated demand estimates were made for the subject's market area.

Table 3 - Estimates of Unaccommodated Demand

|  | Accommodated <br> Demand | Unaccommodated <br> Demand | Unaccommodated <br> Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | 293,895 | 23,511 | $8 \%$ |
| Group | 117,205 | 5,860 | $5 \%$ |
| Leisure | 97,287 | 2,919 | $3 \%$ |

The opening of the proposed Sheraton is expected to create induced demand in the group segment. Based on discussions with the operator, it is anticipated that Sheraton will be referring approximately 15,000 room nights of additional demand per year.
This induced demand will be attracted to the Sheraton over the first three years of its operation based on the following phase-in schedule:

| Year \#1 of operation (2023) | $20 \%$ | 3,000 room nights |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Year \#2 of operation (2024) | $60 \%$ | 9,000 room nights |
| Year \#3 of operation (2025) | $100 \%$ | 15,000 room nights |

Note: Operational Year \#1 of the Sheraton is the same as projection year three (three years from the base year).
The Latent Demand tab shows the portion of the HMA model where the unaccommodated and induced demand are entered. The completed tab is shown in Figure 6, followed by instructions for its use.

## HOTEL



## Using the Latent <br> Demand Tab of HMA

## CASE STUDY

Unaccommodated demand can be entered as a percentage of segment demand in column C , or as a number of room nights for the segment in column D as shown in Figure 6. Be sure to enter the unaccommodated demand only once.
If the market contains induced demand, the number of total induced room nights is entered into the appropriate market segment of column E. The phase-in percentage is entered in the appropriate year, under the appropriate market segment. Because the proposed Sheraton does not open until projection year three, the phase-in starts at that point with $20 \%$ entered in $2023,60 \%$ in 2024, and $100 \%$ in 2025 . Unless a percentage is entered in the phase-in section, the HMA model assumes that none of the induced demand will be utilized.
Note that Figure 6 shows only the first seven years of a potential 10-year phase-in period.

## Step \#4: Forecast the Room Night Demand into the Future

Because a market study and valuation require the analyst to look into the future, the existing room night demand must now be forecast over the projection period. Future hotel demand will either increase, decrease, or remain level. The direction and rate of change is estimated through an analysis of various economic and demographic indicators, as well as an examination of historic supply and demand changes.

The estimated change in hotel demand is generally projected by market segment for periods ranging from three to ten years. The annual compounded percent change should reflect the most probable trend in hotel room night demand.
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Using the Demand Base YearTab of HMA

## CASE STUDY

Historical data on market supply and demand is available from various sources. Table 4 below contains a summary of supply, demand, and occupancy data for the Central Long Island market area. Note that the supply and demand figures do not align with the data in the Demand-Base Year tab, as this data source covers a larger area than the more tightly defined market area for the subject Sheraton Hotel.

## Table $4 \quad$ Trend Report for Central Long Island

| Year | Room Night Demand | Room Night Supply | Occupancy (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 691,075 | 990,083 | $69.8 \%$ |
| 2011 | 685,125 | $1,018,286$ | $67.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | 663,639 | $1,028,256$ | $64.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 671,023 | $1,036,876$ | $64.7 \%$ |
| 2014 | 707,644 | $1,058,952$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 740,023 | $1,109,618$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| 2016 | 783,857 | $1,165,655$ | $67.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 837,987 | $1,205,831$ | $69.5 \%$ |
| 2018 | 880,664 | $1,238,459$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| 2019 | 916,270 | $1,283,078$ | $71.4 \%$ |

The historic supply and demand data are entered on the Demand Inputs tab of the HMA spreadsheet. As illustrated below in Figure 7, this portion of the spreadsheet not only calculates historical occupancies, it also calculates annual and compound supply and demand growth rates.

| Historical Market Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Average |
| Room Demand | 691,075 | 685,125 | 663,639 | 671,023 | 707,644 | 740,023 | 783,857 | 837,987 | 880,664 | 916,270 |  |
| Room Supply | 990,083 | 1,018,286 | 1,028,256 | 1,036,876 | 1,058,952 | 1,109,618 | 1,165,655 | 1,205,831 | 1,238,459 | 1,283,078 |  |
| Occupancy | 69.80\% | 67.28\% | 64.54\% | 64.72\% | 66.82\% | 66.69\% | 67.25\% | 69.49\% | 71.11\% | 71.41\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yearly Change in Demand Yearly Change in Supply |  | -0.86\% | -3.14\% | 1.11\% | 5.46\% | 4.58\% | 5.92\% | 6.91\% | 5.09\% | 4.04\% | 3.23\% |
|  |  | 2.85\% | 0.98\% | 0.84\% | 2.13\% | 4.78\% | 5.05\% | 3.45\% | 2.71\% | 3.60\% | 2.93\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9 Years | 8 Years | 7 Years | 6 Years | 5 Years | 4 Years | 3 Years | 2 Years | 1 Year |  |
| Compound Growth in Demand Compound Growth in Supply |  | 3.18\% | 3.70\% | 4.72\% | 5.33\% | 5.30\% | 5.49\% | 5.34\% | 4.57\% | 4.04\% |  |
|  |  | 2.92\% | 2.93\% | 3.21\% | 3.61\% | 3.91\% | 3.70\% | 3.25\% | 3.15\% | 3.60\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumed Compound Supply <br> Growth for Supply Addn sheet |  | 2.92\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 7 - Historical Market Growth Portion of Demand Inputs Tab from RNA program
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## Growth Rates of Accommodated and Unaccommodated Demand

## CASE STUDY (continued)

To use this screen, simply enter the annual Room Night Demand and Room Night Supply as shown in Figure 7. The program will automatically calculate occupancy, annual change in demand and supply, and the compound growth rate for each year data is entered. For the Central Long Island market, recent demand growth has been very strong, reflecting the vigor of the local economy. Supply growth has been moderate, although it has grown quickly in the past few years.

The forecast of both direction and rate of change in room night demand is applied separately to the accommodated and unaccommodated demand components. While these components tend to move together in synchronization, there may be specific reasons to estimate each component separately. For instance, accommodated leisure demand may grow at a slower rate than unaccommodated leisure demand, if it is difficult for price-sensitive leisure travelers to find accommodations during mid-week, a period typically dominated by price-insensitive commercial travelers. Thus, the program provides the flexibility to specify these growth rates separately.
Induced demand is not usually affected by projected changes in the accommodated and unaccommodated components. Rather it depends on the demand characteristics exhibited by the specific demand generator.

## CASE STUDY

The change in room night demand for the subject's market area will be forecasted over the projection period using local economic and demographic trends as a basis, as well as incorporating the historical patterns of supply and demand growth.
Based on this analysis, the following growth rates were used to project hotel room night demand for the subject's market area:

Table $5 \quad$ Forecast Demand Growth Rates, for both Accommodated and Unaccommodated Demand

| Segment | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Stabilized |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Group | $2.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ |
| Leisure | $1.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
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Enter data from Table 5 into the Demand Growth Rates screen as shown in Figure 8.



| Unaccommodated Demand Growth Rates | 2021 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | Growth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment | $5.00 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ |
| Commercial |  | $200 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ |
| Group | $\mathbf{5} 50 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| Leisure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 8 - Entering Grown Rates on the Demand Inputs Tab

## Entering Demand Growth Data in the Demand Inputs Tab of HMA

## CASE STUDY

The top portion of Figure 8 shows the growth factors for accommodated demand. The growth rates from Table 5 are entered in the appropriate cell. For example, commercial demand is expected to grow by $5 \%$ from the end of the base year to the end of 2021 , so the $5 \%$ growth factor is entered as shown. A commercial demand growth rate of $4 \%$ is entered for 2022, and the stabilized growth rate of $3 \%$ is entered for 2023. No additional entries are required for that particular market segment, because each subsequent cell refers to the rate used in the previous year. For example, years 2024-2030 automatically pick up the 3\% growth rate entered for 2023.
The group growth rates are entered in row 6 and the leisure growth rates are entered in row 7.

Note the weighted average growth rate for accommodated demand is calculated automatically. This gives the analyst a good overall view of projected market demand growth, by year and by segment.

The bottom portion of Figure 8 shows the growth factors for unaccommodated demand. These figures are entered automatically, under the assumption they have the same values as the accommodated demand growth factors. In the event unaccommodated growth is expected to differ from accommodated growth, the proper growth factors should be entered manually in this section of the screen.
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Total Guestroom<br>Supply

Step \#5: Quantify the market area's guestroom supply growth, the total room nights available, the accommodatable latent demand, and the total usable demand over the forecast period
The total guestroom supply consists of the existing hotels (primary and secondary competition) previously identified, plus any hotels currently under construction, or proposed projects likely to be completed. In addition, the HMA model provides the option of growing the supply in accordance with historical growth patterns in those years when no identifiable are added to the supply.
The analyst evaluates each proposed hotel within the market area and makes a determination as to whether the project should be considered a definite addition to the future hotel supply or whether it should be disregarded. An alternative would be to assign a probability factor based on its estimated chance of ultimately being developed. This procedure allows the project to be considered as a future addition to the competitive supply, but with a weighted room count determined by its development probability. For example, a proposed 200 -room hotel is planned for a site within a market area. Based on discussions with the building department and developer, the analyst estimated that there was only a $50 \%$ chance that this project would be built. When totaling the size of the competitive supply, the analyst would include this project, but would consider it to be a 100 -room rather than a 200 -room hotel utilizing the $50 \%$ probability factor.
The total guestroom supply is estimated for each projection year by totaling the existing supply of hotel rooms using the HARC for the primary competition and an effective HARC for the secondary competition. To this existing supply is added any new rooms for hotels currently under construction, as well as those that are proposed and have a probability of being completed. If a hotel under construction or proposed is expected to open at some point during one of the projection years, its room count is weighted for that year based on the actual number of months open. For example, if a property opens on August 1, it would have $5 / 12$ of the actual room count in the first year of its operation. In the event a hotel is being removed from the market during the projection period, its room count is deducted after being appropriately weighted for month of removal in the first year of its deletion.

Total Room Nights Available

The total room nights available is quantified by multiplying the total guestroom supply for each projection year by 365 . Note that the HMA model handles this calculation automatically.

If the analyst projects any type of latent demand, a calculation should be made to determine what portion of the latent demand can actually be accommodated by the

## Total Usable Latent Demand

new additions to the guestroom supply. Accommodatable latent demand is determined for each projection year as follows:

- Calculate the number of new room nights available per year by multiplying the number of new hotel rooms that have opened since the base year by 365 .
- Calculate the accommodatable latent demand by multiplying the number of new room nights available by the estimated area wide occupancy for that year.

That portion of the latent demand that cannot be accommodated by the new rooms entering the market is known as the unaccommodatable latent demand. HMA assumes that the new supply added to a market is able to accommodate latent demand based on the annual market occupancy. The calculations are as follows:

# Accommodatable Latent Demand $=$ Total New Supply (Room Nights) * Annual Market Occupancy Percentage 

Total Latent Demand - Accommodatable Latent Demand = Unaccommodatable Latent Demand

Unaccommodatable latent demand arises because the supply of hotel rooms is insufficient to accommodate total latent demand. Thus, unaccommodatable latent demand must be deducted from the previously calculated total demand in order to have an accurate estimate of occupancy and total usable demand. The unaccommodatable latent demand is allocated to each market segment based on the percentage relationship of each segment's latent demand to the market's total latent demand.

The total usable latent demand for any given projection year is the lesser of the total latent demand or the accommodatable latent demand.

The HMA model automatically handles all the latent demand calculations in the background on the Demand Calcs tab and produces accurate estimates of both accommodatable and unaccommodatable latent demand, as well as properly adjusted occupancy rates.

## CASE STUDY

In addition to the 250 -room proposed Sheraton, which is scheduled to open January 1, 2023, there are two other hotel facilities currently under construction that will be added to the hotel supply during the projection period. These two hotels are:

- 140-room Best Western Hotel scheduled to open October 1, 2021
- 200-unit Marriott Suite Hotel scheduled to open January 1, 2022

Each of these hotels is considered fully competitive with the proposed Sheraton.

## HOTEL
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## Use of the Supply Addn Tab

The Supply Addn tab shows the portion of the HMA model where the additions to supply are entered. The completed sheet, based on the Case Study information, is shown in Figure 9, together with instructions for its use.


Figure 9 - The Supply Addn tab

## Accounting for the Change in HARC

Important Note!
Proper Data Entry for a Proposed Subject Hotel

## CASE STUDY

The top row of figures contains the number of existing hotel rooms in the market area during the base year (2020). The room count of 1,931 rooms is the total of the historic average room count entered for each competitive hotel on the Primary tab. Remember that the HARC is weighted for those hotel rooms that open during the base year.
Note year 2020 ends with a total of 2,001 rooms. The additional 70 rooms represent the Courtyard and the Residence Inn, both of which were partially open during the base year. The program considers these 70 rooms as new rooms opening at the end of the base year, and shows their effect in row 18, entitled "Change in HARC."

If the subject property is a proposed hotel, it must be entered as the first proposed hotel. When this is done, the information is automatically transferred to the proper location on the $O c \mathrm{O}$ utput $B$ tab of the HMA model.
Enter a proposed hotel's room count under the year it opens in the appropriate row. The spreadsheet formulas refer to the room count contained in the preceding cell (to the left) so whatever number is entered into this cell is copied across to the right. The proposed Sheraton will to enter the market on January 1, 2023, with 250 rooms. The room count of 250 is entered into cell H 7 .

## Long Term Supply Growth

## CASE STUDY (continued)

The Best Western hotel is under construction and is scheduled to open October 1, 2021, with 140 units. Because this property will be open for only part of a year, its room count has to be adjusted in the same manner as the HARC. October 1 represents three quarters of a year, so with one quarter remaining ( $25 \%$ ), the effective number of rooms for the Best Western during 2021 is $35(140 \times 25 \%=35)$. The number 35 is entered for year 2021. The full 140 rooms is entered for year 2022.
The Marriott Suites hotel is expected to open on January 1, 2022, with 200 units. Its room count is entered into the appropriate row for year 2022. The program contains space to enter up to nine proposed properties or additions to existing hotels.

The Supply Addn tab has one last input; the remainder of this screen is a summary. Note the "Long-Term Supply Growth" in cell D16. In the HMA template, this figure is copied from cell D33 of the Demand Inputs tab, where the long-term room supply growth is calculated. For the Case Study, the analyst feels the historical growth rate of $2.92 \%$ will be tempered in the future due to the lack of available hotel sites and uses a figure of $2.70 \%$. The Supphy Addm tab automatically increases the supply by $2.70 \%$ per year in each year there are no explicit additions to supply to account for long-term supply growth trends.

If the analyst has reason to believe that only the hotels listed under additions to supply are likely to come into the market, the long-term supply increase can be 'zeroed out.' Alternatively, if the analyst has reason to believe the future long-term trend will be different from the historical growth of supply; the expected future supply growth can be modified as needed.

Before the market-area occupancy can be properly calculated, the HMA model must determine the accommodatable latent demand and the total usable latent demand. This information could affect the estimated area wide occupancy. As shown in Figure 9 , there is an adjustment for unaccommodated latent demand. Row 23 shows the market occupancy calculation unadjusted for unaccommodatable latent demand, while row 25 shows the market occupancy calculation with the proper adjustment. These adjustments are performed automatically on the Demand Calcs tab.

During the base year, the accommodatable latent demand is always zero. As a result, all of the latent demand is considered unaccommodatable latent demand that must be allocated to each market segment and deducted from the calculated total room night demand. These calculations are illustrated on the next page.

HOTEL VALUATION SOFTWARE

Allocating<br>Unaccommodatable Demand

## CASE STUDY

Consider the year 2021 calculation. The Best Western opens on October 1, 2021, with 200 rooms, which represents effectively 35 rooms for the full year. In addition, the Courtyard by Marriott and Residence Inn were open for the entire full year. Because the Courtyard and Residence Inn only operated for part of the year during the base year of 2020, 70 rooms not included in 2020 are considered to be new rooms in 2021 for the purpose of calculating the accommodatable latent demand.

The accommodatable latent demand for 2021 is determined by first multiplying the number of new rooms that opened subsequent to the base year times 365 to give the total number of new rooms available per year. Next, the unadjusted area wide occupancy is calculated for 2021. The result of this calculation is $75.39 \%$ and is contained in cell G23 of the Supply Addn tab (See Figure 9 on page 30).

Finally, the accommodatable latent room night is calculated by multiplying the total number of new rooms available per year by the estimated area wide occupancy ( 38,325 $\times 75.39 \%=28,894)$. The unaccommodatable latent demand is the difference between the total latent demand (see Demand Calc tab) and the accommodatable latent demand; for 2021, this calculation is $33,627-28,894$ or 4,733.

The unaccommodatable latent demand is allocated to the three market segments based on the percentage relationship of each segment's latent demand to the market's total latent demand. The following table illustrates this calculation:

| Table 6 | Calculation of 2021 Unaccommodatable Demand |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Segment | Unaccommodatable <br> Latent Demand | Percent of <br> Total |  | Allocation to <br> Each Segment |  |
| Commercial | 4,733 | $\times$ | $73.4 \%^{*}$ | $=$ | 3,475 |
| Group | 4,733 | $\times$ | $17.8 \%^{*}$ | $=$ | 841 |
| Leisure | 4,733 | $\times$ | $\underline{8.8 \%^{*}}$ | $=$ | $\underline{417}$ |
| Total |  |  | $100.0 \%$ | $=$ | 4,733 |

* The percentages are rounded. The allocation to each segment is exact.
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## CASE STUDY (continued)

The unaccommodatable portion of the latent demand is then deducted from the total demand to produce the adjusted total market demand.

Table $7 \quad$ Calculation of 2021 Adjusted Room Night Demand

| Market Segment | Unadjusted Room <br> Night Demand | Unaccommodatable <br> Room Night Demand | Adjusted Room <br> Night Demand |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | 333,090 | 3,475 | 329,615 |
| Group | 125,514 | 841 | 124,673 |
| Leisure | $\underline{101,661}$ | $\underline{417}$ | $\underline{101,244}$ |
| Total | 560,512 | 4,733 | 555,532 |

The adjusted market occupancy of $74.75 \%$ for 2021 (cell F25 of Figure 9, page 30) results from the following calculation:

Adjusted Market Occupancy $=$ Total Market Demand Adjusted for Unaccommodatable Demand $\div$ Room Nights Available

$$
74.75 \%=555,532 \div 743,140
$$

The remainder of the calculations detailed in row 25 of Figure 9 are performed using the adjusted room night demand just illustrated.
With the opening of the 200 -room Marriott Suite hotel and the full operation of the Best Western hotel in year 2022, there are 410 new rooms in the market since the base year ( 2,341 rooms vs. 1,931 rooms). This is more than enough to accommodate all the latent demand. Thus, the unaccommodatable demand calculation is zero for 2022 and beyond, as illustrated in Figure 9; the unadjusted and adjusted market occupancies are identical.

## Competitive Index Calculations

## Step \#6: Calculate the Area Wide Occupancy

The area wide, or market occupancy for any given year is calculated by dividing the projected room night demand, consisting of both the accommodated demand and the usable latent demand, by the total room nights available. This calculation is shown as the "Adjusted Market Occupancy" on row 25 of the Supply Addn tab and is illustrated in Figure 9 on page 30.

## Step \#7: Evaluate the Relative Competitiveness of Area Hotels

In order to fit any new hotel facilities into a market, the relative competitiveness of the existing supply must first be quantified.

The relative competitiveness of hotels can be measured by competitive indices. As stated in Step \#3, ALA-5 on page 20 the competitive index expresses the hotel's relative competitiveness as a percentage of its fair share. Conceptually, the competitive index is calculated as the market share divided by the fair share. Table 8 illustrates the computation of competitive indices.
Consider a market containing only Hotels A and B, with three market segments: Commercial, Meeting, and Leisure. Table 8 shows the percent of business within each market segment, and the resulting competitive indices for each market segment at the two hotels, as well as the overall competitive index.

Table 8 Example of Competitive Index Calculations

| Hotel | Occ. | Rms | Fair Share | Market Segment Percentage |  |  | Market Segment Rooms Sold |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Comm. | Meet. | Leis. | Comm. | Meet. | Leis. |
| A | 80\% | 200 | 40\% | 60\% | 10\% | 30\% | 35,040 | 5,840 | 17,520 |
| B | 60\% | 300 | 60\% | 50\% | 30\% | 20\% | 32,850 | 19,710 | 13,140 |
| Market | 68\% | 500 |  |  |  |  | 67,890 | 25,550 | 30,660 |


| Hotel | Overall <br> Competitive <br> Index |  | Market Segment Competitive Indices |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comm. | Meet. | Leis. |  |  |
| A | $118 \%$ | $129 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $143 \%$ |
| B | $88 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $129 \%$ | $71 \%$ |

The first thing to note is that each hotel has a fair share based on its room count as a percent of the market total. For example, Hotel A has a fair share of $40 \%$ because it contains 200 of the 500 rooms in the market.

Second, the overall competitive index for each hotel is the ratio of each hotel's occupancy to the market occupancy. For example, Hotel A has an overall competitive index of $80 \% \div 68 \%$ or $118 \%$.

Third, the market segment competitive index results from calculating the within segment actual rooms sold as a percent of the within segment fair share. Consider the commercial market segment penetration factor of $129 \%$ for Hotel A. That figure is calculated as the actual commercial rooms sold, 35,040 , divided by the fair share ( $40 \%$ of 67,890 or 27,156 ). It is convention to round the competitive index to the nearest whole percent.

Using competitive index, the analyst can state in simple terms that Hotel A has an overall competitive index of $118 \%$, meaning that it captures $118 \%$ of its fair share of business in the market. Further, Hotel A captures 129\% of its fair share of commercial business, only $57 \%$ of its fair share of meeting business and $143 \%$ of its fair share of leisure business.

Fourth, competitive indices can be used to facilitate comparisons of relative competitiveness. For example, compare Hotel A with Hotel B. Hotel A is 50\% more competitive than Hotel B in the commercial market. Hotel B is more than twice as competitive as Hotel A in the meeting market. Hotel A is twice as competitive in the leisure segment as Hotel B.

## CASE STUDY

The relative competitiveness of the existing area hotels will be compared using the competitive index approach. The market competitive indices for each hotel in the market have already been calculated in the HMA model, as detailed in Step \#3, ALA5 on page 20.

As we have seen in the Demand-Base Yeartab (Figure 5, page 21) the overall competitive indices vary from $86 \%$ for the Island Inn to $108 \%$ for the Quality Inn. Figure 5 also shows the competitive indices for each hotel by market segment. Note that the market segment competitive indices show a wide range of values, corresponding to the business mix of the hotel.

In Figure 5, it is very clear that the Hilton is a group-oriented property, with a $217 \%$ competitive index in the Group segment. Similarly, the Embassy Suites is oriented toward commercial demand with a $150 \%$ competitive index in the Commercial segment, and the Quality Inn is oriented toward leisure demand with a $226 \%$ competitive index in the Leisure segment.

## Narrative and Market Rationale for Competitive Indices

Step \#8: Fit each New Hotel into the Market Based on its Expected Competitiveness

Market Segment Competitive indices must now be assigned to each new hotel facility as it enters the market. In addition, if the relative competitiveness of any area hotel is expected to change, its competitive index needs to be adjusted. The process of assigning or adjusting competitive indices is largely judgmental, utilizing the indices of similar hotels operating within the market as a basis.

Once competitive index information for all existing and proposed hotels has been assembled, it is entered in the Comp Index tab of the HMA model. To illustrate the process, a narrative for the competitive indices for the Case Study is presented, followed by instructions on how the data is entered into the HMA model.

## CASE STUDY

After reviewing the various factors that affect the relative competitiveness of all the hotels within the subject's market area, the following rationale was developed and utilized in projecting each hotel's competitive index factors into the future.

Embassy Suites is a relatively new (2016) commercially oriented hotel that currently operates at its stabilized occupancy level. The property is well located relative to the competition and demand generators. Its facilities are up-to-date and well maintained. Management operates the property in a competent manner and the Embassy Suites identification is well recognized among frequent travelers. The competitive index presently achieved by the Embassy Suites are expected to continue at similar levels into the future.

The Hilton Inn was constructed ten years ago (2011) as a convention-oriented hotel and is currently the largest hotel in the market. Its extensive meeting and banquet space, along with aggressive group marketing and skilled management, makes this property the most competitive product in the meeting and convention (group) market. With so much emphasis directed towards the group demand, the Hilton is the area's least competitive hotel in the commercial market. Essentially, most of the Hilton's commercial demand has been purposely displaced by group patronage. A recent renovation has brought this property up to first-class condition, and it should remain as the group leader into the future. The competitive indices presently achieved by the Hilton Inn are expected to continue into the future.
The Radisson Hotel is a convention hotel that competes with the Hilton for group patronage. Constructed in 2006, this property is in need of an extensive renovation, which is expected to commence early in 2021. The upgrade should enable the

## CASE STUDY (continued)

Radisson to maintain its present competitive position, but it will probably not make any inroads on business now using other hotels. The square footage of its meeting space is somewhat less than the Hilton, making the property less attractive to large groups and banquets. Like the Hilton, the Radisson concentrates on group patronage, which displaces much of the commercial business that would normally be using the hotel Monday through Thursday. The competitive indices presently achieved by the Radisson are expected to continue at similar levels into the future, with the exception of the commercial segment, which will increase from $73 \%$ to $76 \%$ next year (2021).

The Holiday Inn is one of the area's newer hotels; it opened in 2015. Its facilities include extensive recreational amenities. Like most Holiday Inns, this property benefits from a strong reservation system that draws a good mix of commercial, group, and leisure demand. The sports facilities are particularly attractive to weekend visitors who come to the property for various organized escape packages. The Holiday Inn also has some attractive meeting and banquet space, which attracts small groups and conferences. As with the group-oriented Radisson and Hilton, the group demand displaces some of the commercial patronage that would generally use the hotel during the week. The hotel is well maintained and operated by a competent management company. The competitive indices presently achieved by the Holiday Inn are expected to continue at similar levels into the future.

The Ramada Inn is a 17 -year-old property that suffers from some deferred maintenance and a second-rate location in an older industrial park. It has a similar market orientation as the Holiday Inn but does not capture as much group or leisure business. The neighborhood surrounding the Ramada consists of warehouses and industrial buildings, which is not conducive to either group or leisure demand. Ownership has renovated the property on a regular basis, so its competitive position is not expected to deteriorate. The competitive indices presently achieved by the Ramada Inn are expected to continue at similar levels into the future.
The Island Inn is the oldest hotel in the market, having been constructed in 1988. Frequent changes in ownership, along with indifferent management has adversely affected the operating results of this property over the past five years. The Island Inn was originally a Sheraton Inn but lost its franchise four years ago. Without a national identification, reservation system, or sufficient revenue to maintain this property at an attractive level, it is likely that the Island Inn's competitive position will decline over the coming years. The competitive indices presently achieved by the Island Inn are expected to decline in the future. Declines in competitiveness are anticipated in all three market segments, with commercial demand falling approximately $4 \%$, group patronage dropping $13 \%$, and leisure usage going down by $6 \%$. Based on this analysis, the competitive indices for the Island Inn are projected as shown in Table 9 on the next page.

| CASE STUDY (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Competitive Indices for the Island Inn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Quality Inn opened in 2016 with immediate success. Its location next to a growing office complex and an established recreational theme park has enabled this property to capture an attractive mix of commercial and leisure patronage. Weekends and holiday periods are particularly strong for the Quality Inn, enabling it to achieve the area's highest competitive index in the leisure segment. Ownership is presently considering the addition of more meeting space, which currently is quite limited. Initial indications show, however, that the property has a good market mix and any increase in group usage would probably just displace commercial demand and ultimately lower the average room rate. The property is well maintained and in good physical condition. Its management is competent, especially in marketing to the leisure segment. The competitive indices presently achieved by the Quality Inn are expected to continue at similar levels into the future.

The Days Hotel is a commercially oriented property that opened in 2008. This hotel's convenient highway location enables it to attract a sizable amount of weekend leisure demand along with a high level of commercial patronage. On the other hand, limited meeting space makes the Days Hotel somewhat uncompetitive in the group segments, but it does attract some rate-sensitive groups. The property has been well maintained and effectively managed. It benefits from a strong reservation system and moderate prices. The competitive indices presently achieved by the Days Hotel are expected to continue at similar levels into the future.

The composition and competitiveness of the secondary competition is not expected to change over the projection period, so the consolidated competitive indices presently achieved by these properties should continue at the current levels into the future.

The Courtyard by Marriott opened July 1, 2020, achieving an immediate penetration of all market segments, with particular strength demonstrated in the commercial and leisure markets. Its excellent location, strong management, and connection with the Marriott brand should make the Courtyard one of the occupancy leaders in the area. With only six months of operating history, the Courtyard has not yet achieved a

## CASE STUDY (continued)

stabilized level of competitiveness. Gains are expected in all three market segments. The market mix of the Courtyard is expected to be similar to that of the Embassy Suites (i.e., strong commercial, minimal meeting \& convention, and good leisure). It should undercut the Embassy Suites in room rate, capturing the more price-sensitive travelers, particularly in the leisure market. On the other hand, the suite concept seems to be uniformly more competitive in the commercial segment. These factors should enable the Courtyard to be more competitive in the leisure segment, somewhat more competitive in the group segment and almost as competitive as the Embassy Suites in the commercial segment. Based on this analysis, the competitive indices for the Courtyard by Marriott are projected as shown in Table 10:

Table $10 \quad$ Competitive Indices for the Courtyard by Marriott

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 - 2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | $117 \%$ | $117 \%$ | $134 \%$ | $140 \%$ | $140 \%$ |
| Group | $20 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Leisure | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ |

In addition to these existing hotels, there are two new and one proposed hotel planned for the market; the Best Western and Marriott Suites are under construction. The proposed Sheraton hotel (subject property) is in the planning process.

The Best Western hotel is expected to open in October 2011. Its facilities will be oriented toward the rate-sensitive commercial traveler and weekend leisure patronage. Meeting space will be limited, so its competitiveness in this segment is anticipated to be minimal. The Best Western has building plans that look attractive, but the property will have an inferior location near the interstate. Based on this analysis, the Best Western should be slightly less competitive than the nearby Days Hotel for highwayoriented leisure patrons. Its competitiveness in the commercial segment is expected to be just below the Quality Inn, which is also a new property with limited meeting space. The competitive indices for the Best Western hotel are shown in Table 11:

Table 11 Competitive Indices for the Best Western

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 - \mathbf { 2 0 3 0 }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | $74 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Group | $9 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Leisure | $111 \%$ | $121 \%$ | $131 \%$ | $131 \%$ |

## CASE STUDY (continued)

The Marriott Suites hotel will be the second Marriott product in the marketplace. It is expected to open in January 2022 and will cater to a more upscale traveler than the Courtyard and thereby achieve a higher average room rate. Plans call for limited meeting space similar to the Embassy Suites, but the property will have a more upscale overall décor. With a projected room rate somewhat higher than the Embassy Suites, the Marriott Suites should be slightly less competitive in the commercial and leisure segments as far as occupancy is concerned but should achieve a higher overall room rate. Marriott's strength in marketing to meeting planners is anticipated to make this property more competitive than the Embassy in the group segment. Based on this analysis, the competitive indices for the Marriott Suites hotel are projected in Table 12:

Table $12 \quad$ Competitive Indices for the Marriott Suites

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 - \mathbf { 2 0 3 0 }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | $120 \%$ | $134 \%$ | $140 \%$ | $140 \%$ |
| Group | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Leisure | $60 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $71 \%$ |

The proposed Sheraton hotel has been designed as a convention-oriented hotel with approximately the same amount of meeting space as the Radisson Hotel. The hotel plans to target both the group and commercial segments in a manner that will maximize rooms revenue by not displacing the higher-rated commercial demand with lower-priced group patronage. The new facilities offered by the Sheraton, along with its excellent location, should make it highly competitive in the local market. Its competitive indices in all three segments are expected to stabilize at a level somewhat above those experienced by the Radisson Based on this analysis, the competitive indices for the proposed Sheraton hotel are projected in Table 13:

Table $13 \quad$ Competitive index for the Proposed Sheraton Hotel

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 - \mathbf { 2 0 3 0 }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | $74 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Group | $135 \%$ | $169 \%$ | $186 \%$ | $186 \%$ |
| Leisure | $40 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
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## The Comp Index Tab of the HMA model

Once competitive index information for all existing and proposed hotels has been assembled, it is entered in the Comp Index tab of the HMA model. Figure 10 shows a screen shot for the Commercial segment.


Figure 10 - The Comp Index Tab for the Commercial segment

## CASE STUDY

The white formatted column to the right of the hotel names on the Comp Index tab in Figure 10 contains the calculated data from the Demand Base Year tab (Figure 5 , Page 21), and is useful as a visual tool to verify correct information. Before data entry is started on this tab, all cells to the right contain the zeros. The analyst's task is to continue or modify the competitive index data to reflect any anticipated changes.

For the existing supply, all competitive indices are expected to hold constant, with the exception of the Courtyard by Marriott and the Island Inn. To change these two
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## CASE STUDY (continued)

hotels, the analyst will enter the data from the Commercial segment in Table 9 for the Island Inn; it is projected to have a competitive index of $87 \%$ in $2021,84 \%$ in 2022, and $80 \%$ thereafter. Data from Table 10 is entered for the Courtyard; a competitive index of $117 \%$ in $2021,134 \%$ in 2022, and $140 \%$ thereafter.

The analyst enters data for the new supply below the heavy line. It is easy to see how the data from Tables 11, 12, and 13 is entered to this section of the worksheet. For all additions to supply, the competitive index is always entered at $100 \%$, equivalent to saying that the long-term supply obtains its fair share of demand.
Figure 11 shows the Group segment of the Comp Index tab. Market Penetrations from Tables 9 through 13 and the Long-Term Supply are entered in the same manner as the Commercial segment.


Figure 11 - The Comp Index Tab for the Group Segment
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## CASE STUDY (continued)

Figure 12 shows the Leisure segment of the Comp Index tab. Market Penetrations from Tables 9 through 13 and the Long-Term Supply are entered in the same manner as the Commercial segment.


Figure 12 - The Comp Index Tab for the Leisure Segment

## Fair Share Calculations

Market Share Adjusters and Market Share Percentages

Room Nights Captured

Percentage of Occupancy

## Step \#9: Calculate the Subject's Market Share, Room Nights Captured, Occupancy Percentage, and Stabilized Occupancy

The competitive indices form the basis for calculating the market share of competitive hotel. Once the market share is known, the projected room nights captured can be determined, which then leads to an estimate of occupancy for each hotel in the market. The process is performed on a year-by-year basis on the Fair Sbare and Occ Calcs tabs in HMA. The calculations are done in the following manner:

- Fair share calculations are performed to determine the fair share for each hotel in the market. Since the room count changes annually due to changes in the HARC and additions to supply, fair share calculations must be performed for each year of the projection period.
- For each hotel, the competitive index is multiplied by its appropriate fair share, resulting in a factor referred to as the market share adjuster. The market share adjuster for each property is then divided by the total of all the market share adjusters for the area's competitive hotels. This calculation results in each property's market share percentage.
Market Share Adjusters for each segment are calculated as follows:
Market Share Adjuster $=$ Competitive Index * Fair Share
Market Share Percentages for each market segment are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Market Share Percentage }=\text { Market Share Adjuster for Given Property } \div \\
\text { Total Market Share Adjusters for all Properties }
\end{array}
$$

- The market share percentages are then multiplied by the total market demand. This step produces the actual room nights captured by each hotel, in each market segment.
- The room nights captured by segment are then summed to obtain the total room night capture for each hotel.
Room Nights Captured for each market segment are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Room Nights Captured }=\text { Market Share Percentage * } \\
\text { Adjusted Market Demand for the Segment }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Each property's percentage of occupancy is then determined by dividing the total room nights captured by the hotel's available rooms per year (room count * 365).
We are now ready to view the occupancy forecast for any of the primary competitors or any of the additions to the supply.
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## Use of the Final Output tabs

The Output tabs of the HMA model provide reports based on all the information entered on the various tabs of the program. There are two final output tabs; OccOutput$A$ is for an existing hotel, and $O c \mathrm{c} O$ utput $-B$ is for a proposed hotel. Figure 13 is the Output tab relating to the proposed Sheraton.

| Property Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Property Name: Proposed Sheraton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject Property Projections | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 |
| Occupancy | N/A | N/A | N/A | 54.2\% | 62.6\% | 69.2\% | 69.1\% | 69.0\% | 68.9\% | 68.8\% | 68.7\% |
| Market Share | N/A | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 9.2\% | 9.9\% | 9.6\% | 9.3\% | 9.1\% | 8.8\% | 8.6\% |
| Fair Share | N/A | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 9.4\% | 9.1\% | 8.9\% | 8.7\% | 8.4\% | 8.2\% | 8.0\% |
| Competitive Index | N/A | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | 85.8\% | 98.4\% | 107.7\% | 107.6\% | 107.5\% | 107.4\% | 107.3\% | 107.2\% |
| Room Nights by Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,463 | 26,380 | 27,419 | 27,506 | 27,594 | 27,681 | 27,769 | 27,857 |
| Group | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,623 | 24,494 | 27,511 | 27,420 | 27,331 | 27,246 | 27,163 | 27,083 |
| Leisure | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,336 | 6,289 | 8,215 | 8,113 | 8,013 | 7,914 | 7,816 | 7,720 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49,422 | 57,163 | 63,145 | 63,039 | 62,938 | 62,841 | 62,748 | 62,660 |
| Percent of Total Room Nights by Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 51.5\% | 46.1\% | 43.4\% | 43.6\% | 43.8\% | 44.0\% | 44.3\% | 44.5\% |
| Group | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 39.7\% | 42.8\% | 43.6\% | 43.5\% | 43.4\% | 43.4\% | 43.3\% | 43.2\% |
| Leisure | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 11.0\% | 13.0\% | 12.9\% | 12.7\% | 12.6\% | 12.5\% | 12.3\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TOTAL | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Market Occupancy | 72.1\% | 74.8\% | 67.7\% | 63.1\% | 63.7\% | 64.3\% | 64.2\% | 64.2\% | 64.1\% | 64.1\% | 64.0\% |

Figure 13 - The Occ Output-B Tab, showing the Proposed Sheraton

## CASE STUDY

Note that market occupancy starts to decline in 2022, as new supply enters the market. For the proposed Sheraton, the program shows the following occupancy projection:

| 2023 | $54.2 \%$ | 2026 | $69.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2024 | $62.6 \%$ | 2027 | $69.0 \%$ |
| 2025 | $69.2 \%$ | 2028 | $68.9 \%$ |

Based on the commercial and group orientation of the property, the occupancy is expected to stabilize in the third year (2025) at a level of $69 \%$. This subjective evaluation is based on the analyst's knowledge of similar properties and is roughly equivalent to the average to the figures produced by the HMA model.

The OcoОutputabs present additional data related to the subject property's occupancy estimate: Market Share, Fair Share, Competitive Index, Room-Nights Captured by Segment and Market Segmentation, all on an annual basis.
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## Average Daily Rate Forecast

Average Rate per Occupied Room Defined

ADR Forecast
Procedures

Now that the forecast of occupancy is complete, the program turns to the forecast of the average daily rate. Two different average daily rate methodologies are used, a competitive positioning method and a market segmentation method.

The average daily rate (or ADR) is known formally as the "Average rate per occupied room" in the Uniform System of Accounts for the Lodging Industry. It is defined as the net rooms revenue derived from the sale of guestrooms divided by the number of rooms occupied. In reality, the ADR is the weighted average of all the different rates charged by the hotel.

The competitive positioning method of forecasting ADR for both existing and proposed hotels follows these steps:

1. Compile historical ADR data for the subject hotel (if existing) and for the primary competitive hotels in the market. This analysis provides a range of room rates that are likely to be achieved in the market.
2. Analyze historical trends in the ADR's, both overall and by market segment.
3. Consider the relationship between the historical overall ADR's and occupancy.
4. Compare the ADR of the subject hotel and the competition in terms of the quality, size, facilities, amenities, market orientation, location, management quality, and affiliation. Make adjustments to reflect the differences between the comparable hotels and the subject hotel.
The market segmentation method of forecasting takes the data from the competitive positioning framework and adds the following two steps.
5. Project future changes in ADR's, by market segment
6. Project the subject property's ADR based on its market share.

The HMA model considers both methods.

## HOTEL
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## Competitive <br> Positioning of ADR

## Using the ADR CompPos Tab

## CASE STUDY

For the proposed Sheraton, the existing primary competitors are used to provide the competitive positioning information for average daily rates. Table 14 shows the ADR's at the nine primary competitors.

Table 14 Summary of ADR's at Primary Competitors

| Hotel | ADR |
| :--- | :---: |
| Embassy Suites | $\$ 160.00$ |
| Hilton Inn | $\$ 155.00$ |
| Radisson Hotel | $\$ 150.00$ |
| Holiday Inn | $\$ 132.50$ |
| Courtyard | $\$ 135.00$ |
| Ramada Inn | $\$ 115.00$ |
| Island Inn | $\$ 97.50$ |
| Quality Inn | $\$ 102.50$ |
| Days Inn | $\$ 100.00$ |

Enter the ADR information in the blue cells of the $A D R$ CompPos tab as shown in Figure 14. Note that the model produces both a numerical average and a weighted average ADR for the market. The weighted average is based on room counts.

The bottom panel of the $A D R$ CompPos tab allows the analyst to forecast the ADR according to step 4 of the ADR Forecast Procedures. In this case, the analyst feels that the new Sheraton Hotel will be most competitive with the Embassy Suites and forecasts future ADR using the $\$ 160.00 \mathrm{ADR}$ from this hotel.
Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model - ADR Comeptitive Positioning Sheet www.hotelvaluationsoftware.com

| Primary Competitors |  | Property Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hotel\# | Property | \#Rooms | Occupancy | ADR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Embassy Suites | 200 | 78\% | \$ 160.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Hilton Inn | 275 | 72\% | \$ 155.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Radisson Hotel | 250 | 68\% | \$ 150.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Holiday Inn | 175 | 73\% | \$ 132.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Courtyard | 124 | 65\% | \$ 135.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Ramada Inn | 150 | 66\% | \$ 115.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Island Inn | 135 | 62\% | \$ 97.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Quality Inn | 175 | 78\% | \$ 102.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Days Inn | 120 | 74\% | \$ 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Numerical Average |  | 70.7\% | \$ 127.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Weighted Average |  | 71.1\% | \$ 132.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Competitive Positioning Forecast |  | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 |
| Percent Change <br> Projected Average Rate |  |  | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% |
|  |  | \$ 160.00 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | \$164.80 | \$169.74 | \$174.84 | \$180.08 | \$185.48 | \$191.05 | \$196.78 | \$202.68 | \$208.76 | \$215.03 |

Figure 14 - ADR CompPos Tab of HMA
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## Competitive <br> Positioning <br> Conclusion for the <br> Proposed Sheraton

## Market Segment Positioning for the Proposed Sheraton

CASE STUDY (continued)
Based on the historical ADR's achieved by competitive hotels in the market, it is estimated that the proposed Sheraton would have an ADR above all hotels in the market and should be roughly equal to the Embassy Suites Hotel. The Hilton Inn and Radisson Hotel are similar group-oriented facilities, but the Sheraton will have higher quality and a greater amount of meeting space than either, allowing the Sheraton to have a higher ADR.
Accordingly, the Sheraton is positioned to have an ADR in the range of $\$ 155$ to $\$ 165$, in current dollars. This figure would need to be inflated to account for the market when the hotel opens in 2023.

## CASE STUDY

Further research provides insight on the average rates within each market segment for the Embassy Suites Hotel. These ADR's along with the ADR's anticipated for the proposed Sheraton are presented in Table 15.

Table 15
Market Segment ADR's for Embassy Suites and Proposed Sheraton

| Market Segment | Embassy Suites <br> Average Rate | Proposed Sheraton <br> Average Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | $\$ 170.00$ | $\$ 160.00$ |
| Group | $\$ 160.00$ | $\$ 170.00$ |
| Leisure | $\$ 140.00$ | $\$ 130.00$ |

The proposed Sheraton is positioned to have ADR's slightly below the Embassy Suites for the commercial and leisure market segments, and slightly above the Embassy Suites in the group segment. This is due to the proposed Sheraton's positioning as the premier meeting hotel in the market; while the larger suite rooms of the Embassy Suites have greater appeal to the commercial and leisure travelers.

The ADR module includes the ability to forecast rates at two hotels; the first hotel entered in the Primary tab and any proposed property. In Figure 15 on the following page the Embassy Suites and the proposed Sheraton are shown at the top panel.
The market segment ADR's come from Table 15 and the segment percent for the proposed Sheraton come from the stabilized year (2025) market segment percentages on the Output-B tab for the proposed Sheraton. The data produce a base-year ADR estimate of $\$ 160.45$ for the proposed Sheraton. The final task for the ADR forecast is to incorporate the impact of the inflation on ADR's. The HMA software produces a 10 -year forecast, tied to dates established when producing the room night analysis occupancy forecast.
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Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model - ADR Segmentation Inputs Sheet www.hotelvaluatior
The information below shows the market segmentation for Embassy Suites \& Proposed Sheraton. Enter the segment ADR data for ea each hotel. In addition, enter the segment percentages for the Proposed Sheraton from the stabilized year on the Supply Addn tab.

| Embassy Suites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Segment | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment | Percent | ADR | Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 80\% | \$ 170.00 | \$ 136.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group | 5\% | \$ 160.00 | \$ 8.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leisure | 15\% | \$ 140.00 | \$ 21.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ - | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Year Average |  | \$ 165.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposed Sheraton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Segment' Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment |  |  | Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 43.4\% | \$ 160.00 | \$ 69.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group | 43.6\% | \$ 170.00 | \$ 74.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leisure | 13.0\% | \$ 130.00 | \$ 16.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ - | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Year Average |  | \$ 160.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected ADR inflation rates for each segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 |
| Commercial | 4.00\% |  | -2.00\% |  | 6.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% |
| Group | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% |
| Leisure | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% | 2.50\% |

Figure 15-ADR Seg Inputs Tab - Input for Segment Average Daily Rates and Inflation

## CASE STUDY

The proposed Sheraton's market has the following expected ADR inflation rates:
Table 16 ADR Growth for Proposed Sheraton - by Segment

| Market Segment | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 6 - 2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial | $4.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $-2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Group | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| Leisure | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |

The proposed Sheraton's market expects some ADR volatility in the commercial segment and constant growth in the remaining two segments.

Note that the program can handle negative growth rates. Note also that a number entered in the left most entry cells carried to subsequent years on entry time saver. Override these entries by entering the correct number in cells that need changes.

The ADR Output tabs of the HMA model provide reports based on the ADR information entered on the various tabs. There are two final output tabs for the ADR forecast; $A D \mathrm{R}$ Output- $A$ is for an existing hotel, and $A D \mathrm{R}$ Output-B is for a proposed hotel. Figure 16 is the $A D R$ Output tab relating to the proposed Sheraton. Figure 16 shows the overall ADR forecast at the top, followed by the forecast of the segment ADR's segment room revenues and overall room revenue.


Figure 16 - The ADR Output Tab - ADR Forecast for the Proposed Sheraton

Printing from the HMA model

Printing from the HMA model is quite straightforward. Each of the output pages of the HMA model has a set of predefined print area and page format that facilitate the printing process. These routines are embedded in the standard print features of Excel $^{\circledR}$ and Google Sheets ${ }^{\circledR}$. Users are free to modify these as desired to meet their needs.
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## Chapter 5 Forecast of Revenue and Expense

The forecast of revenue and expense starts by taking occupancy and average rate projections and converting them into an estimate of rooms revenue. Using additional data collected from the market, along with other industry statistics, the analyst is then able to develop a forecast of other revenue items such as food, beverage, telephone, and other income, as well as normal hotel operating expenses. This section will demonstrate how all types of hotel revenues and expenses are forecasted.

## Rooms Revenue

To derive a projection of room revenue the following formula is used:

$$
\text { Occupancy * Average Room Rate * Room Count * } 365=\text { Rooms Revenue }
$$

## CASE STUDY

The initial estimate of room revenue for the proposed Sheraton hotel is calculated by multiplying the hotel's projected occupancy by its projected average room rate by its room count by 365 . Table 17 demonstrates this calculation using occupancy estimates from HMA rounded to the nearest whole percent and ADR estimates rounded to the nearest whole dollar.

| Table 17 Proposed Sheraton Hotel - Room Revenue Calculation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Projection Year | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Actual Year | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ |
| Occupancy | $54 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Average Rate | $\$ 170$ | $\$ 173$ | $\$ 180$ |
| Number of Rooms | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Days per Year | 365 | 365 | 365 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Rooms Revenue (\$000) | $\$ 8,380$ | $\$ 9,790$ | $\$ 11,337$ |
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## Fixed and Variable <br> Component <br> Approach to <br> Forecasting <br> Revenues and <br> Expenses

Fixed and Variable Theory

Before demonstrating the process of projecting individual items of hotel revenue and expense, it is important to understand the fixed and variable component approach to forecasting hotel revenues and expenses. This approach developed by Steve Rushmore for HVS is one of the most accurate methodologies available to model hotel financial performance. It forms the basis for most of the forecasting models utilized by hotel consultants, appraisal firms, hotel brands and management companies, investors, lenders, and developers.

The theory underlying the fixed and variable component approach is based on the premise that hotel revenues and expenses have a component that is fixed and another component that varies directly with occupancy or other measures of facility utilization. The fixed and variable approach starts by establishing a known base level, or base year of revenues and expenses for a given property.
Future projections are established in the manner outlined below:

1. Adjust each base year revenue or expense item for inflation, which can be specified for each line item and for each year.
2. Established that portion of the inflation adjusted revenue or expense item component that is fixed.
3. Establish that portion of the inflation-adjusted revenue or expense item component that is variable. Adjust the variable component for the percentage change between the projected occupancy or facility utilization and the base level of occupancy or facility utilization.
4. Add the fixed and variable components together to obtain the total projected revenue or expense item.

The process is demonstrated with the following example.

## Example of Fixed and Variable Revenue and Expense Calculations

A 200-room commercial hotel operated in 2020 with an occupancy of $70 \%$, an average room rate of $\$ 104.33$, and a rooms department expense of $\$ 1,226,000$, or $23 \%$ of rooms revenue. A forecast of 2021 occupancy indicates that due to a significant increase in the competitive supply during the year, the subject's occupancy is expected to fall to $61 \%$. The 2021 rooms department expense would be calculated as follows.

1. The 2020 rooms department expense is first inflation adjusted so that the 2020 figure is expressed in 2021 dollars. The inflation rate is assumed to be $3 \%$. Thus, the inflation adjustment calculation is:

$$
\$ 1,226,000 * 1.03=\$ 1,262,780
$$

Fixed and Variable Implementation
2. For rooms department expenses, the fixed component is typically $60 \%$ of the total, with the remaining $40 \%$ varying in proportion to occupancy. The fixed component is calculated as $60 \%$ of the inflation adjusted expense:

$$
\text { Fixed Component: } \quad 60 \% * \$ 1,262,780=\$ 757,668
$$

3. The variable component is calculated in a two-step process. First, the unadjusted variable component is estimated as $40 \%$ of the inflation-adjusted expense:

$$
\text { Unadjusted Variable Component: } \quad 40 \% * \$ 1,262,780=\$ 505,112
$$

The unadjusted component is then adjusted for the decline in occupancy from $70 \%$ to $61 \%$. The percentage decline in occupancy (occupancy adjustment) is calculated by dividing the projected occupancy by the base occupancy.

Occupancy Adjuster: $\quad 61 \% \div 70 \%=0.8714$
Multiplying the occupancy adjustment by the variable component yields the adjusted variable component.

Adjusted Variable Component: $\quad 0.8714 * \$ 505,112=\$ 440,155$
4. Combining the fixed component and the adjusted variable component produces the estimated 2021 rooms department expense at a $61 \%$ occupancy.

| Fixed Component | $\$ 757,668$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adjusted Variable Component | 440,155 |
| Projected Rooms Department Expense | $\$ 1,197,823$ |

The process of forecasting hotel revenue and expenses by the fixed and variable component approach is implemented through a series of steps, outlined as follows:

1. The basis for forecasting all items of revenue and expense comes from financial statements representing comparable hotels. If the subject property is an existing hotel, then its past operating performance is generally the best benchmark. For proposed hotels, the analyst must rely on the operating results from hotels considered comparable to benchmark the subject property.
2. The comparable financial statement is then adjusted or modified to reflect the unique characteristics of the subject property. The objective of the various adjustments and modifications is twofold. First, to establish a one-year financial statement based on the average room rate the subject is expected to achieve in its first year of operation, expressed in current dollars. Second, to establish income and expense ratios representing the level of occupancy and operational efficiency actually expected by the subject. The resulting profit and loss statement is called the base and forms the basis for calculating the fixed and variable component relationships.
3. The revenue and expense numbers comprising the base are inflated (or deflated) to a level reflecting nominal dollars for each forecast year. The rate of inflation reflects the anticipated price change for individual line items in the income and expense statement. The purpose of Step 3 is to put the comparable financial data comprising the base in the inflated dollars anticipated for that particular year.
4. The fixed and variable percentages are estimated for each revenue and expense category. Table 18 illustrates typical ranges of fixed or variable percentages along with the index utilized to measure variable change.
The Table 18 figures are based on "Updated Benchmarks for Projecting Fixed and Variable Components of Hotel Financial Performance" by Stephen Rushmore, Jr. and John W. O'Neill, Cornell Hospitality Quarterly, 56(1) 2015.

The index of variability refers that factor that controls the movement of the variable component. For example, the variable component of food revenue moves in accordance with changes in occupancy. Beverage revenue is tied directly with food revenue. Food and beverage expense is largely dependent on changes in food and beverage revenue. The variable component of undistributed operating expenses moves in line with total revenue, as do all fixed expenses.

Judgement is needed in using the Table 18 numbers as a hotel can often have a unique situation that calls for a different input. For example, an urban hotel may have restaurants and bars that cater to local clientele, not hotel guests. In this case, the percent fixed would be high, with food and beverage revenues independent of hotel occupancy. A highway-oriented hotel dependent on in-house guests for $\mathrm{F} \& \mathrm{~B}$ volume would have a lower fixed percentage of food and beverage revenues.
5. Each individual line item in a hotel's financial statement is projected separately by utilizing the following fixed and variable calculation. The fixed component is estimated by multiplying the appropriate fixed percentage by the base revenue or expense line item for the corresponding projected year.
6. The variable components are assumed to vary directly with the index of variability set forth in Step \#4. The amount of variable change is quantified by dividing the appropriate projected index of variability by the index of variability for the base.
7. The unadjusted variable component is calculated by multiplying the appropriate base revenue or expense category for the projected year by the percent variable estimated in Step \#4.
8. The unadjusted variable component is now adjusted for variability by multiplying the results of Step \#7 by the variable percentage change calculated in Step \#6. The resulting product is known as the adjusted variable component.
9. The forecasted revenue or expense category is the total of the fixed component calculated in Step \#5 and the adjusted variable component calculated in Step \#8.

HOTEL
VALUATION
SOFTWARE

| le 18 Typical Fixed and Variable Expense Percentages |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue and Expense Category | Percent <br> Fixed | Percent <br> Variable | Index of Variability |
| Revenues |  |  |  |
| Rooms | Not Applic |  |  |
| Food | 10-75 | 25-90 | Room Revenue |
| Beverage | 0-75 | 25-100 | Room Revenue |
| Other Operated Departments | 10-40 | 60-90 | Room Revenue |
| Miscellaneous Income | 30-60 | 40-70 | Room Revenue |
| Departmental Expenses |  |  |  |
| Rooms | 50-70 | 30-50 | Room Revenue |
| Food and Beverage | 35-60 | 40-65 | F\&B Revenue |
| Other Operated Departments | 55-75 | 25-45 | Other Oper Dept Revs |
| Miscellaneous Income | 40-60 | 40-60 | Misc Income |
| Undistributed Operating Expenses |  |  |  |
| Administrative and General | 25-50 | 50-75 | Total Revenue |
| Information \& Telecom Systems | 60-80 | 20-40 | Total Revenue |
| Marketing | 20-50 | 50-80 | Total Revenue |
| Franchise Fees | 0 | 100 | Rooms Revenue |
| Prop. Operations and Maintenance | 25-50 | 50-75 | Total Revenue |
| Utility Costs | 25-50 | 50-75 | Total Revenue |
| Management Fees | 0 | 100 | Total Revenue |
| Non-Operating Income and Expenses |  |  |  |
| Income | 80-100 | 0-20 | Total Revenue |
| Rent | 100 | 0 | Total Revenue |
| Property Taxes | 100 | 0 | Total Revenue |
| Insurance | 100 | 0 | Total Revenue |
| Reserve for Replacement | 0 | 100 | Total Revenue |

## CASE STUDY

A forecast of income and expense for the proposed Sheraton hotel will be made utilizing the fixed and variable component approach. The process will follow the nine steps described previously in this section.

Step \#1: Obtain financial operating statements from comparable hotels.
The proposed Sheraton hotel has no financial operating history, so it will be necessary to develop the basis for its projection by utilizing income and expense statements from comparable hotels.

The first statement of income and expense in Table 19 (on 56), labeled "Comparable Statement," is based on a set of hotels that are closely comparable to the proposed Sheraton. The second statement, labeled "Proposed Sheraton Base," has been adjusted to account for differences between the comparable and the subject.

Step \#2: Adjust comparable financial statements to reflect any physical, operational, or locational differences between the comparable and the subject property.

The second statement, labeled "Proposed Sheraton Base" in Table 19, represents the comparable statement after appropriate adjustments have been made for any physical, operational, or locational differences; while holding occupancy at $68 \%$ to match the "Comparable Statement".
This base financial statement utilizes the Proposed Sheraton's average room rate expressed in current 2020 dollars, undiscounted for any start-up or first year promotional pricing. Additionally, the Comparable Statement has been adjusted to reflect the income and expense ratios expected to be achieved by the subject, at the comparable property's occupancy level. Note, for example, that franchise fees are $8 \%$ of rooms revenue for the Comparable Property $(\$ 910 \div \$ 11,373)$, and for the Proposed Sheraton (\$799 $\div \$ 9,990$ ). The resulting adjusted profit and loss statement forms the basis for calculating the fixed and variable component relationships developed in the next steps.

Step \#3: Estimate the fixed and variable percentage for each revenue and expense category.

Each category of revenue and expense has a component that is fixed and one that varies directly with occupancy and facility utilization. To utilize the fixed and variable component approach to forecasting, a fixed and variable percentage must be assigned to each revenue and expense category based on an evaluation as to what portion of the category is fixed and what portion is variable.
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Table 19 Comparable and Proposed Sheraton Base Statements

|  | Comparable Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Rooms | 290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy | $68.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Rate | $\$ 158.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days Open | (000) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | \$/Avail Rm | $\$ /$ Occ Rm |
| Rooms Occupied | $\$$ | 11,373 | $57.1 \%$ | $\$$ | 39,217 | $\$$ |  |  |  |  |


| Departmental Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rooms | $\$$ | 2,445 | $21.5 \%$ | $\$$ | 8,431 | $\$$ | 33.97 |
| Food \& Beverages | $\$$ | 4,961 | $71.8 \%$ | $\$$ | 17,107 | $\$$ | 68.92 |
| Other Operated Departments | $\$$ | 392 | $73.7 \%$ | $\$$ | 1,352 | $\$$ | 5.45 |
| Business Center | $\$$ | 299 | $40.6 \%$ | $\$$ | 1,031 | $\$$ | 4.15 |
| Miscellaneous Income | $\$$ | 161 | $44.6 \%$ | $\$$ | 555 | $\$$ | 2.24 |
| Total Dept. Expenses | $\$$ | 8,258 | $41.5 \%$ | $\$$ | 28,476 | $\$$ | 114.73 |
| Departmental Income | $\$$ | 11,654 | $58.5 \%$ | $\$$ | 40,186 | $\$$ | 161.91 |


| Undistributed Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,225 | 7.3\% | \$ | 4,900 | \$ | 19.74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative \& General | \$ | 1,447 | 7.3\% | \$ | 4,990 | \$ | 20.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information \& Telecom Syst. | \$ | 296 | 1.5\% | \$ | 1,021 | \$ | 4.11 | \$ | 252 | 1.5\% | \$ | 1,008 | \$ | 4.06 |
| Marketing | \$ | 777 | 3.9\% | \$ | 2,679 | \$ | 10.79 | \$ | 654 | 3.9\% | \$ | 2,616 | \$ | 10.54 |
| Franchise Fees | \$ | 910 | 4.6\% | \$ | 3,137 | \$ | 12.64 | \$ | 799 | 4.8\% | \$ | 3,196 | \$ | 12.88 |
| Prop. Oper. \& Maintenance | \$ | 872 | 4.4\% | \$ | 3,007 |  | 12.11 | \$ | 721 | 4.3\% | \$ | 2,884 | \$ | 11.62 |
| Utilities | \$ | 832 | 4.2\% | \$ | 2,869 | \$ | 11.56 | \$ | 671 | 4.0\% | \$ | 2,684 | \$ | 10.81 |
| Total UDOEs | \$ | 5,134 | 25.8\% | \$ | 17,703 | \$ | 71.33 | \$ | 4,322 | 25.8\% | \$ | 17,288 | \$ | 69.65 |
| Gross Oper. Profit (GOP) | \$ | 6,520 | 32.7\% | \$ | 22,483 | \$ | 90.59 | \$ | 5,614 | 33.5\% | \$ | 22,456 | \$ | 90.48 |
| Management Fees | \$ | 697 | 3.5\% | \$ | 2,403 | \$ |  | \$ | 587 | 3.5\% | \$ | 2,348 | \$ | 9.46 |


| Income Before NOIEs | $\$$ | 5,823 | $29.2 \%$ | $\$ 20,080$ | $\$ 80.90$ | $\$ 5,027$ | $30.0 \%$ | $\$ 20,108$ | $\$ 81.02$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Non-Operating Income and Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Operating Income | \$ | 162 | 0.8\% | \$ | 559 | \$ | 2.25 | \$ | 150 | 0.9\% | \$ | 600 | \$ | 2.42 |
| Rent |  | - | 0.0\% |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | \$ | 517 | 2.6\% | \$ | 1,783 | \$ | 7.18 |  | 503 | 3.0\% | \$ | 2,012 | \$ | 8.11 |
| Insurance | \$ | 248 | 1.2\% | \$ | 855 | \$ | 3.45 | \$ | 201 | 1.2\% | \$ | 804 | \$ | 3.24 |
| Total NOIEs | \$ | 603 | 3.0\% | \$ | 2,079 | \$ | 8.38 | \$ | 554 | 3.3\% | \$ | 2,216 | \$ | 8.93 |
| EBITDA | \$ | 5,220 | 26.2\% | \$ | 18,001 | \$ | 72.53 | \$ | 4,473 | 26.7\% | \$ | 17,892 | \$ | 72.09 |
| Replacement Reserve | \$ | 796 | 4.0\% | \$ | 2,746 | \$ | 11.07 | \$ | 671 | 4.0\% | \$ | 2,684 | \$ | 10.81 |
| EBITDA Less Replacement $\begin{array}{r}\text { Reserve }\end{array}$ | \$ | 4,424 | 22.2\% | \$ | 15,254 | \$ | 61.46 | \$ | 3,802 | 22.7\% | \$ | 15,208 | \$ | 61.27 |

Step \#4: Inflate the base revenue and expense categories to reflect expected nominal dollars in each forecast year.
The purpose of Step \#4 is to express the financial data comprising the subject property's base in the inflated dollars anticipated for any particular year. The calculated base for the proposed Sheraton was made in 2020 dollars. In order to compute the fixed and variable relationships for each projection year, the 2020 base must be inflated (or, in rare cases, deflated) to reflect an assumed rate of inflation.
Each category of revenue and expense can have its own inflation rate, based on market information. For example, future changes in ADRs are influenced more by local supply and demand conditions than by expected changes in the CPI. On the other hand, utility costs are usually tied to the price of fuels, which frequently move in response to national and world events. Movement in property taxes are correlated with changes in the local tax base.
The analyst should look at each category of revenue and expense and establish unique inflation assumptions reflecting how the market is currently viewing this type of price change. In many instances, it is often appropriate to utilize a single inflation factor for all categories of revenue and expense, particularly for the projection years after the point where the property reaches a stabilized level of occupancy.

Looking at the local market for both subject properties, the following inflation assumptions were developed:

- Average Room Rate - The rate of growth for the area's hotel room rates are estimated as follows, and are based on the ADR forecast from HMA:

| 2021 | $+3.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2022 | $-2.9 \%$ |
| 2023 | $+5.6 \%$ |
| 2024 | $+1.8 \%$ |
| 2025 | $+4.0 \%$ |
| 2026 \& Beyond | $+3.0 \%$ |

- All Other Categories - An overall inflation assumption of $3 \%$ per year will be utilized for other categories of revenue and expense.
Step \#4: Estimate the fixed and variable percentage for each revenue and expense category.

Each category of revenue and expense has a component that is fixed and one that varies directly with occupancy and facility utilization. To utilize the fixed and variable component approach to forecasting, a fixed and variable percentage must be assigned to each revenue and expense category based on an evaluation as to what portion of the category is fixed and what portion is variable.
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## CASE STUDY

Table 20 shows the fixed and variable percentages selected for the proposed Sheraton.

| Table 20 | Proposed Sheraton Fixed and Variable Percentages |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Fixed | Variable |
|  | Food Revenue | $25 \%$ |
| Beverage Revenue | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Other Operated Dept Revs | $15 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Business Center Revenue | $15 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | $25 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Rooms Expense | $35 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| F \& B Expense | $30 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Other Operated Dept Exp | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Business Center Expense | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Admin \& General | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Information \& Telcom Sys | $70 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Marketing | $30 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Franchise Fees | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| PO \& M | $35 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Utilities | $35 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Management Fee | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Non-Operating Income | $90 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Property Taxes | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Insurance | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Replacement Reserve | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Hotel Revenue and Expense Forecasting Model (HREF)

Once the analyst has projected the subject's occupancy rate via the Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model, developed the property's base income and expense statement, and formulated the future inflation assumptions, the data can be entered into the fixed and variable income and expense forecasting model.

The Hotel Revenue and Expense Forecasting Model is known as HREF and is written as an Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$ file. The file contains five color-coded tabs:

- Intro (black tab) - introductory tab
- Inputs (blue tab) - the tab on which all input data will be entered
- Output (yellow tab) - the tab that contains formatted output, including the base year and 11 years of forecast projections
- Calcs (red tab) - the tab used to perform the fixed and variable calculations
- License (purple tab)
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## Use of the Inputs Tab

In the section that follows, use of the input screens is illustrated, along with the use of the Output Menu.
The Inputs tab consists of four sections:

- Project Information and Base Year Room Inputs
- Revenue and Expense Inputs
- Inflation Estimates
- Occupancy and Average Rate Inputs

Steps \#1 to \#4 on pages 56 to 58 have developed the data and information necessary to input all the data into the Inputs tab.

## CASE STUDY

Figure 17 below illustrate the project information and base year inputs of the Inputs tab in HREF. This portion of the Inputs sheet is very straightforward. Project information is entered for use by the appraiser.

All the cells are preformatted, so occupancy should be entered as 68 , not . 68 and average rate is entered as 130 , not $\$ 130.00$. The analyst should visually verify the data after entry.

| Hotel Revenue and Expense Forecasting Model - Input Sheet |  |  |  |  |  | www.hotelvaluationsoftware.com |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information and Base Year Room Inputs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Information |  |  |  |  |  |  | Legend |  |  |
| Job Title | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proposed Sheraton } \\ & \text { HV Software } \\ & \text { HV Software Manual } \\ & 001-001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepared by |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mandatory Input |  |  |
| Prepared for |  |  |  |  |  |  | Optional Input |  |  |
| Job \# |  |  |  |  |  |  | Output |  |  |
| Base Year Room Inputs |  |  |  |  |  |  | Error Check |  |  |
| Base Year | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Rooms | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Days Open | 365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy | 68.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Rate | \$161.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupied Rooms | 62,050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 17 - Project Information and Base Year Inputs

## Revenue and Expense Inputs

Figure 18 shows a screen shot of the revenue and expense inputs section of the Inputs tab. This portion is the heart of the HREF model.

Column B contains the headings that describe the line items comprising the base


Figure 18 - The Revenue and Expense Inputs portion of the Inputs sheet
System of Accounts for Lodging Industry, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition (USALI).

## Other Operated Department Revenues and Expenses

Because hotel properties are unique, the HREF model allows the analyst to add up to four "other operated departments". For each of the other operated departments, placing a name into the input cell creates both the departmental revenue and department expense line item. Examples include a business center, spa operations, golf/tennis operations, or beach operations.
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## Data Entry for the Base Year Inputs

## Data Entry for NonOperating Income

Important Note! Data Entry for HREF

The "Base Year Inputs" Section contains the following titles, which are defined as:
Amounts in (\$000) Total Dollars Expressed \$1,000
$\%$ of Revenue Percent of a Defined Revenue
\$ per Avail Rm Dollars per Available Room per Year
\$ per Occ Rm Dollars per Occupied Room per Day

- Dollars per available rooms is calculated by dividing the total dollar amount by the property's room count.
- Dollars per occupied room is calculated by dividing the total dollar amount by the number of rooms occupied per year.
Note the following when using the "Percent of Revenue" column to enter revenues and expenses:


## Revenues

- Food Revenues are calculated as a Percent of Rooms Revenue
- Beverage Revenues are calculated as a Percent of Food Revenue
- All other revenues are calculated as a Percent of Rooms Revenue


## Expenses

- Rooms Expenses are calculated as a Percent of Rooms Revenue
- Food and Beverage expenses are calculated as a percent of combined Food and Beverage revenues
- All Other Operated Departmental Expenses are calculated as a Percent of the Other Operated Departmental Revenue
- All Undistributed Operating Expenses and Non-Operating Income and Expenses are calculated as a Percent of Total Revenues, with the exception of Franchise Fees, which are calculated as a percent of Rooms Revenue

The Non-Operating Income line item is treated differently from all other expenses. The $11^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Uniform System of Accounts for the Lodging Industry (USALI) created a new category of revenues and expenses, called Non-Operating Income and Expenses. Within this category is a revenue line, called "Non-Operating Income" that is used for revenue not associated with the operation of the hotel such as Interest, Antenna Leases, or Billboard or Building Wall Rental Income. In HREF, these amounts should be entered as a positive number even though they are in the "expense" portion of the data entry tab. The software automatically treats figures entered on this line as revenues and they are netted out of the total "Non- Operating Income and Expenses" when the results are presented on the Output tab.
Note that once an entry is made in any column for a given line item, the remaining cell s are grayed out. While base revenue and expense data may be entered in any of the four columns, it is very important to enter data for each line item in only one of the columns. Violations of this rule will introduce serious errors in the forecast and the program provides a warning if this should happen.
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## CASE STUDY

Data is entered in its appropriate form under the proper column title and adjacent to the proper category. For example, food revenue could be entered as any of:

| Entry Units | Amount Ente |
| :--- | ---: |
| Amount in (\$000) | $\$ 3,996$ |
| \% (Rms Rev) | $40.0 \%$ |
| \$ per Avail Rm | $\$ 15,984$ |
| $\$$ per Occ Rm | $\$ 64.40$ |

For illustrative purposes, the revenues for the proposed Sheraton are variously entered as a dollar amount, dollars per occupied room, dollars per available room, and a percentage of revenue.
Because the income and expense data were previously calculated as part of the process of establishing the 'Base' Statement, the expense inputs could have been entered in any of the four columns. However, for numerical accuracy the following expenses must be entered as a percent of revenue:

- Franchise Fees, this is entered as a percent of room revenues
- Management Fee, this is entered as a percent of total revenues
- Replacement Reserve, this is entered as a percent of total revenues This convention is followed in Figure 18 on page 61.

The Revenue and Expense Inputs screen contains a complete listing of revenue and expense line items based on the "Uniform System of Accounts for the Lodging Industry, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition". The illustration used in this operating manual does not use all of the line items. Be assured that the spreadsheet will produce the desired results when needed.

HREF contains a "Quick Check" section in columns M through P. The Quick Check allows the analyst to obtain an understanding of overall revenues and expenses as well as the metrics for each line item in the forecast. This allows the analyst to verify whether the base year forecast is reasonable and accurate.

## Fixed and Variable Component Inputs

## CASE STUDY (continued)

Column I requires the fixed percentage used for each revenue and expense category.
When first opened, the Inputs tab contains typical values in this column. Column J describes the index of variability used to calculate the fixed and variable components. Since these cells are preformatted, percentages should be entered as whole numbers. Thus, the fixed percentage of $40.0 \%$ is entered as 40 , not .40 . The program automatically formats the entry as $40.0 \%$.

## All line items used for revenues and expenses must have a corresponding entry

 in column I to define the fixed percentage. Omission of this input will produce significant errors in the output.
## Entering Inflation Estimates

The appraiser enters projected inflation figures in the Inflation Estimates section of the Inputs tab. Figure 19 illustrates this section of the program.


Figure 19 - The Inflation Estimates portion if the Inputs tab

## CASE STUDY

When the Inputs tab is first loaded, the Inflation Estimates section is populated with zeros. If only one inflation estimate is used, it is entered in cell E62. The program is set up to take this number and copy it to all other cells in this section. These cells are preformatted to accept a whole number as input. Thus, the 3.0\% entered in Cell E62 is entered as 3 , not .03 . The program automatically formats this entry as $3.0 \%$.

You will note that the $3.0 \%$ entry in cell E62 is copied to all cells of the Inflation Estimates section as soon as it is entered. If unique inflation estimates are needed in different years and various line items, they should be entered in the following manner:

- Enter inflation estimates for room revenues first, from left to right. You will note that any entry made to the right of the first cell is immediately copied to the other cells in the row. The analyst may manually override these settings by simply entering the desired inflation rate. In Figure 19, data needs to be entered for years 2022-2026. The expected inflation is $-2.9 \%$ for 2022, $5.6 \%$ for $2023,1.8 \%$ for $2024,4.0 \%$ for 2025 , and $3.0 \%$ for 2026 . Since inflation is expected to hold steady from 2026-2031, the 2026 entry is the last needed entry in this row. The program automatically copies the 3\% entry from 2026 to the other cells in the row.
- Enter 3.0\% in cell E63, the 2021 expected inflation for Food Revenue. Note that the $3.0 \%$ figure is copied to the right in all cells of row 63 , as well as down to all other cells in the remainder of the section.
- The program is designed to copy down only in column E , and then each line item copies left to right from column E to subsequent years in that line item.
o For example, if Utilities are expected to increase at (say) $5 \%$, this figure would be entered in cell E84. Immediately, all inflation estimates to the right and below cell E84 would change to 5\%. To change line items below row 84 back to $3 \%$, simply enter $3 \%$ in cell E85. Try it!
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## Occupancy and Average Rate Inputs

The bottom portion of the Inputs tab contains the Occupancy and Average Rate Inputs. Figure 20 contains a screen shot of this portion of the tab.

Occupancy and Average Rate Estimates

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Note: Only cells E101 through G101 are needed to complete the occupancy estimates, however, each year's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | occupancy can be configured individually as needed. Average rates can be configured as as needed. Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rates must be over ridden in row 103, not row 102. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Yr | Base +1 | Base +2 | Base +3 | Base +4 | Base +5 | Base +6 | Base +7 | Base +8 | Base +9 | Base +10 | Base +11 |
|  | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 |
| Occupancy Rate | 68.0\% | 68.0\% | 68.0\% | 54.0\% | 62.0\% | 69.0\% | 69.0\% | 69.0\% | 69.0\% | 69.0\% | 69.0\% | 69.0\% |
| Inflated Average Rate | \$161.00 | \$165.83 | \$161.02 | \$170.04 | \$173.10 | \$180.02 | \$185.42 | \$190.99 | \$196.72 | \$202.62 | \$208.70 | \$214.96 |
| Average Rate for Projection | \$161.00 | \$165.83 | \$161.02 | \$161.54 | \$173.10 | \$180.02 | \$185.42 | \$190.99 | \$196.72 | \$202.62 | \$208.70 | \$214.96 |

Figure 20 - The Inflation Estimates Portion if the Inputs Tab

The Occupancy and Average Rate Estimates portion of the Inputs tab automatically enters the base year occupancy estimate from cell D14 into cell D101. The remaining occupancy figures will have to be entered to reflect the projections from the Room Night Analysis Program, as detailed below.

The program automatically calculates inflated average rate data in row 102 using the base year ADR estimate from cell D15. However, there are instances when adjusted average rates are needed. Most importantly, a new property often discounts its average rates in the first years of operation to secure a proper volume of business. The HREF model automatically copies the inflated data from row 102 into row 103. Adjustments are made in row 103.

## CASE STUDY

When the Sheraton is expected to open in 2023, the Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model projected an occupancy of $54 \%$. A $62 \%$ occupancy is entered for 2024 and $69 \%$ for 2025 . Once the adjusted occupancy of $69 \%$ is entered for year 2025, note how this figure is copied to all subsequent years. For 2021 and 2022, the occupancy was entered as $68 \%$; the hotel will not be open, and it is appropriate to continue the base year occupancy.

In 2023, Table 21 shows the inflated average room rate of $\$ 170.04$ which must be overridden by entering the discounted average room rate of $\$ 161.54$ (a $5 \%$ discount). This adjustment is made in the first year of operation on for this property. Occasionally there is a discount in the second year as well for a new property. Average rate adjustments must be entered in row 102, not row 101.
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## Output from the HREF model

Printing from the HREF model

## CASE STUDY (continued)

Table 21
Adjusted Data for the Occupancy and Average Rate Inputs Section

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Occupancy | $54 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $69 \%$ (Stab). |
| Inflated Average Rate | $\$ 170.04$ | $\$ 173.10$ | $\$ 180.02$ |
| (Adjusted) Average Rate* | $\$ 161.54$ | $\$ 173.10$ | $\$ 180.02$ |

* Management will use a 5\% discount in 2023 to secure a proper volume of business.

Output from the HREF model is found on the Output tab. Figure 22 on page 69 shows a section of the output, showing the first year of operation (2023) and the next two years. The actual sheet contains the base year, plus an 11-year projection. Each year contains four columns, as shown in the figure. The 'Percent' column follows the convention of showing departmental expenses as a percent of departmental revenue. All other percentages are a percent of total revenue.
Note that several rows have been hidden for clarity of presentation. The program will print all the rows in the sheet.
Figure 22 clearly demonstrates how the Fixed and Variable Component technique changes revenues and expenses in response to changing occupancy. For example, the Replacement Reserve stays fixed at $4.0 \%$ of total revenues, while Utilities are declining as a percent of total revenue.

The Custom Views feature of Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$ can be used to produce printed output. Figure 21 shows the report manager dialog box, which is found using the View, Custom Views menu command.

| Custom Views | $?$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Whs: |  |
| Input-Inflation | Show |
| Input - Occupancy |  |
| Output-2Column |  |
| Output-3Column |  |
| Output-4Column |  |
| Output-All |  |
| Output-BaseYear | Close |
| Output-Min | Add... |
|  | Delete |

Figure 21 - The Custom Views Dialog Box in the HREF model
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There are seven reports available in Custom Views of the HREF model; all are listed in Figure 21. To view, simply highlight the desired report and click on the Show box.

The reports correspond to the Inputs tab and various views of the Output tab in the HREF model. All reports are formatted to use a minimal amount of paper. The seven reports contain the following:

- Input-Inflation - the inflation section of the Inputs tab
- Inputs-Occupancy - the occupancy section of the Inputs tab
- Output-2Column - Output sheet showing $\$$ and percent, for the 11 projection years
- Output3Column - Output sheet showing $\$$, percent and $\$$ per available room, for the 11 projection years
- Output-4Column - Output sheet showing all four columns per year, for the 11 projection years
- Output-All - Output sheet showing all four columns for all years including the base year.
- OutputBaseYear - Output sheet showing all four columns per year for the base year only
- OutputMin - Output sheet showing only $\$$, for the 11 projection years

All the reports have been formatted assuming the user has access to a standard laser printer, capable of printing in both landscape and portrait mode on $81 / 2$ " by 11 " or A 4 paper.
In addition, users can define their own print areas to print only those years of interest.
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Figure 22 - The Output tab, showing the First Three Years of Operation
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Modifying Formulas in the Output Tab

As a final point, the Output sheet HREF model can be modified to reflect unique circumstances that are difficult to model on the Input tab. As an example, consider the assumption that the proposed Sheraton is expected to have lower-than-normal property operations and maintenance expenses during the first two years of operation, a typical assumption for a new hotel.

## CASE STUDY

To reflect the improvement in property operation and maintenance, the formulas in cells S39 and X39 need to be altered. Specifically, the 2023 (first year of operation) property operations and maintenance ratio will be changed to $3.8 \%$ of total revenue and the 2024 (second year of operation) ratio will be changed to $4.0 \%$ of total revenue. It should be noted that as of the third year of operation, this expense ratio stabilizes at $4.1 \%$ of total revenue. The following formulas have been written in cells S40 and X40:

| Cells | $\frac{\text { New Formulas }}{.038 * S 20}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S39 | $.040 *$ X20 |

The results are shown on the following page in Figure 23. Compare this to Figure 22 on page 69 , which does not reflect these changes.

The next chapter demonstrates how to use the forecast of revenue and expense developed using the HREF model to determine a value for the property using an income approach to valuation.
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Figure 23 - The Output Tab, showing the First Three Years of Operation, with modifications to Property Operation and Maintenance
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## Chapter 6 Valuation

When valuing hotels, the analyst applies the classic "three approaches" appraisal methodology, using the Income Capitalization approach, the Sales Comparison approach, and Cost approach. Although all three valuation methods are generally given consideration, the inherent strength of each approach and the nature of the subject property must be evaluated to determine which will provide supportable estimates of market value. This manual demonstrates only the Income Capitalization approach, which is particularly suited to computer applications.
The final value estimate should be made only after evaluating all three approaches; the analyst should take the Cost and Sales Comparison approaches into account prior to completing any appraisal assignment. See: Hotel Market Analysis and ValuationInternational Issues and Software Applications, by Stephen Rushmore, for a full treatment of professional appraisal practice for hotel property.

## Income <br> Capitalization <br> Approach

Mortgage-Equity Model

The Income Capitalization Approach is based on the principle that the value of a property is indicated by the net return to the going concern, or what is also known as the present worth of future benefits. The future benefits from income-producing properties such as hotels and motels are the annual cash flows (called EBITDA less Replacement Reserve) and the net receipts from selling the property in the future. It is typical to model either a 5 -year or 10 -year holding period when using the mortgageequity model. These future benefits can then be converted into an indication of the market value through a capitalization process and discounted cash flow analysis.

The Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model (HMEV) estimates the value of a hotel using a mortgage-equity model and allows for either a 5 -year or 10 -year holding period. The projected property cash flows are allocated to the mortgage and equity components based on current lending terms and market rates of returns to each component. The value of the property equals the sum of the mortgage component plus the equity component.

The process of estimating the value of the mortgage and equity components is summarized in the following six steps:

1. The terms of typical hotel financing are set forth including: interest rate, amortization term, loan-to-value ratio, the debt coverage ratio, and the debt yield.
2. A before-tax equity yield and terminal capitalization rate are established. The equity yield takes into account the benefits of
ownership; it is typical for buyers to base their equity yield on a tenyear holding period. The yield explicitly includes annual cash flow distributions and the equity residual. The equity yield implicitly includes refinancing distributions that return any property appreciation and mortgage amortization, income tax benefits, and non-financial considerations such as status and prestige.
The terminal capitalization rate is established as that rate appropriate for the property at the end of the assumed 5 -year or a 10 -year holding period.
3. The value of the equity component is calculated by first deducting the yearly debt service from the forecasted EBITDA less Replacement Reserve, leaving the net income to equity for each forecast year. The EBITDA less Replacement Reserve forecast for the year following the end of the holding period is capitalized into a reversionary value. From this figure, we deduct the mortgage balance as of the end of the holding period along with normal selling expenses; the resulting equity residual is discounted back to the date of value at the equity yield rate. The net income to equity for each of the ten projection years also undergoes a similar discounting process. The sum of these discounted values equates to the value of the equity component. Adding the equity component to the initial mortgage balance yields the overall property value.
4. The mortgage amount, the annual debt service, and the remaining mortgage balance all depend on the value to be calculated, the classic simultaneous valuation problem. Thus, the preceding calculation must be solved either by an iterative process on a computer or through an algebraic equation that computes the total property value. This software uses the algebraic solution developed by Suzanne Mellen in "Simultaneous Valuation: A New Technique" Appraisal Journal, April 1983.
5. In addition to estimating value using a specified loan-to-value ratio, the software also estimates value using a debt coverage ratio and a debt yield. Lenders are increasingly using a debt coverage ratio or a debt yield to size their loans and are placing less emphasis on the loan-to-value ratio.
6. The proof of value is performed by allocating the total property value between mortgage and equity components and verifying that the rates of returns set forth in Steps \#1 and \#2 can be precisely met from the forecasted net income.
Each of these steps will be described separately and illustrated with the case study and data entry and output from HMEV.

Step \#1: Estimate the appropriate terms under which the subject property could be financed with mortgage debt capital.

## CASE STUDY

The proposed Sheraton hotel will have new facilities, good management, and a recognized affiliation. Based on this analysis, the following mortgage underwriting would probably be available for the proposed Sheraton hotel and would be the same for a 5 -year or 10 year holding period:

| Interest Rate | $5.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mortgage Amortization | 25 years |
| Payments per Year | Monthly |
| Loan-to-Value Ratio | $66 \%$ |
| Debt Coverage Ratio | 1.75 (on year 3 (2025) income) |
| Debt Yield | $13.0 \%$ (on year 3 (2025) income) |

## Step \#2: Establish an appropriate equity yield rate and a terminal

 capitalization rate.The rate of return that an equity investor expects over a ten-year holding period is called an equity yield. The equity yield specifically considers a long-term holding period (typically 10 years), annual cash flows adjusted for inflation, property appreciation, mortgage amortization, and proceeds from a sale at the end of the holding period. In concept, the equity yield is the internal rate of return to equity capital. It is very important to note that equity yield is not the same as the equity dividend rate, which is a short-term rate of return.

The terminal capitalization rate is established as that rate appropriate for the particular asset and for the particular market. This information comes from databases and investor surveys.

## CASE STUDY

A survey was conducted of hotel investors to determine their current equity yield requirements. As of January 1, 2020, the range of equity yields for hotels that would be comparable to the proposed Sheraton was between $15 \%$ and $18 \%$. Using the same investment criteria that were employed for the mortgage interest rate, the range was narrowed to a $16 \%$ equity yield for both a 5 -year or 10 year holding period. Based on the analysis of several surveys of hotel capitalization rates, an $8.0 \%$ terminal cap rate was considered appropriate for the proposed Sheraton hotel for a 10 -year holding period but could be reduced to $7.75 \%$ for a 5 -year hold due the reduced age of the property.

Step \#3: The overall property value is estimated through a mortgageequity technique by first valuing the equity component and then adding the initial mortgage balance to that value.

A necessary prerequisite to separately calculating the value of the equity and value of the mortgage is to establish the property cash flows (called EBITDA less Replacement Reserve) over the projection period, as well as the remainder of the valuation parameters.

In most instances, the property cash flows that occur after the stabilized year are projected at an assumed rate of inflation. By increasing a property's revenue and expenses at the same rate as inflation, the property cash flow expressed as a percentage of total revenue will remain constant and the dollar amount of net income will escalate each year at the inflation rate.
In situations where a category of revenue and/or expense is expected to increase at a rate other than inflation, the analyst should reflect this peculiarity in the specific year's forecasts of income and expense. Instances of where this situation is likely to occur include: contractual changes in a ground rent expense, an escalating replacement reserve percentage, or an expected change in property tax assessment.
The Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model is designed to give the user a choice of using the EBITDA less Replacement Reserve developed in a forecast of revenue and expense (like the HREF model) or to calculate them after the stabilized year, based on an inflation assumption.

## CASE STUDY

Table 22 on the next page contains the estimates of EBITDA less Replacement Reserve (Cash Flows) for the proposed Sheraton from the HREF model. Note that the EBITDA less Replacement Reserve figures are available only through year 9. Since the Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model is based on a 10 -year holding period, three years of projection beyond year 8 (2030) are needed; year 9 and10 for the discounted cash flow analysis, and year 11 to determine the residual value of the property.
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## CASE STUDY (continued)

| Table 22 | EBITDA less Replacement Reserve from the HREF model for the <br> Proposed Sheraton |
| :--- | :--- |


| Projection Year | EBITDA less Replacement <br> Reserve |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1(2023)$ | $\$ 1,877,000$ |
| $2(2024)$ | $\$ 3,150,000$ |
| $3(2025)$ | $\$ 4,181,000$ |
| $4(2026)$ | $\$ 4,307,000$ |
| $5(2027)$ | $\$ 4,438,000$ |
| $6(2028)$ | $\$ 4,571,000$ |
| $7(2029)$ | $\$ 4,705,000$ |
| $8(2030)$ | $\$ 4,847,000$ |

At the time this appraisal was being prepared, hotel investors were utilizing inflation rates of approximately $3 \%$.

Summarizing the information gathered thus far, the Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model (HMEV) will be demonstrated using the proposed Sheraton hotel. The known variables are as follows:

10-Year | 5-Year |
| :---: |
| Holding Period |
| Holding Period |

Annual EBITDA less Replacement Reserve See Table 22 See Table 22
First Projection Year 20232023
Hotel Room Count $250 \quad 250$
Equity Yield 16\% 16\%
Mortgage Interest Rate 5.5\% 5.5\%

Mortgage Amortization (years)
25 25
Payments per Year
Monthly Monthly
Terminal Capitalization Rate
8.0\% $\quad 7.75 \%$

Selling Expenses at Reversion
3\% 3\%
Stabilized Year (Year of Operation)
Year 3 Year 3
Inflation Rate after Stabilization
3.0\% 3.0\%

Loan-to-value Ratio
66\% 66\%
Debt Coverage Ratio (On Year 3 Net Income)
1.75
1.75

Debt Yield (On Year 3 Net Income)
13.0\%
13.0\%

## Hotel MortgageEquity Valuation Model (HMEV)

The discounted cash flow hotel capitalization calculations necessary to value a hotel property are contained in an Excel ${ }^{8}$ file called HMEV. This program permits rapid computation of the component values. It can also prove the yield to each component, so the analyst can verify the various assumptions utilized in the formula.

The HMEV program contains one input tab, six outputs tabs - one for each underwriting model, and one calculation tab for valuation calculations.

- Intro (black tab) - introductory tab
- Input (blue) tab - The screen for all inputs including a section for loan underwriting.
- Output (yellow) tabs - These three tabs contain the formatted output for the evaluation estimates, one using the loan-to-value ratio, one using the debt coverage ratio, and using the debt yield. In addition, each output screen includes a proof of value and a set of ratios and metrics.
- Calcs (red) tab - This tab is the calculation engine for the spreadsheet.
- License (purple) tab

The first section at the top of the sheet contains cells for project information.
The second section contains the Overall Valuation Inputs, including related to the mortgage and equity components, as well as the projected EBITDA less Replacement Reserve in columns H and I.

## The Input Tab, Project Information and Overall Valuation Inputs

| Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model - Input Sheet www.hotelvaluationsoftware.com |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Information: |  |  |  |  | Legend |  |  |
| Job Title | Proposed Sheraton <br> HV Software <br> HV Software Manual 001-001 |  |  |  | Mandatory Input |  |  |
| Prepared by |  |  |  |  | Optional Input |  |  |
| Prepared for |  |  |  |  | Output |  |  |
| Job \# 001-001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EBITDA less Repl. Reserve |  |
| Overall Valuation Inputs: |  | 10-Year | 5-Year | Year | Year Number | 10-Year | 5-Year |
| First Projection Year |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2023 \\ 250 \end{array}$ | 2023 | 2023 | Year 1 | \$ 1,877 | \$ 1,877 |
| Hotel Room Count |  |  | 250 | 2024 | Year 2 | \$ 3,150 | \$ 3,150 |
| Equity Yield |  | 16.0\% | 16.0\% | 2025 | Year 3 | \$ 4,181 | \$ 4,181 |
| Mortgage Interest Rate |  | 5.50\% | 5.50\% | 2026 | Year 4 | \$ 4,306 | \$ 4,306 |
| Mortgage Amortization (years) |  | 25 | 25 | 2027 | Year 5 | \$ 4,436 | \$ 4,436 |
| Mortgage payments per year |  | 12 | 12 | 2028 | Year 6 | \$ 4,569 | \$ 4,569 |
| Terminal Cap Rate |  | 8.00\% | 7.75\% | 2029 | Year 7 | \$ 4,706 |  |
| Selling Expenses at Reversion |  | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2030 | Year 8 | \$ 4,847 |  |
| Inflation Rate after Stabilization |  | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2031 | Year 9 | \$ 4,992 |  |
| Number of Years to Stabilization |  | 3 | 3 | 2032 | Year 10 | \$ 5,142 |  |
| Stabilized Year's EBITDA Less Repl. Reserve |  | \$4,181 | \$4,181 | 2033 | Year 11 | \$ 5,296 |  |

Figure 24 - The Input Tab - Project Information and Overall Valuation Inputs
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Consistent with other input areas of the programs used in the Hotel Valuation Software, the cells in this section are preformatted. Thus, percentages are entered as whole numbers (i.e. the $65 \%$ loan-to-value ratio is entered as 65 , which the program will interpret as $65 \%$ ). The EBITDA less Replacement Reserve figures are entered without dollar signs; the program adds them automatically.

## CASE STUDY

Below is a line by line explanation of the inputs necessary to complete the input section for a 10 -year holding period. Similar entry is performed for a 5 -year holding period.

| Description | Cell | Entry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| First Projection Year | D10 | Enter the year, 2023 |
| Hotel Room Count | D11 | Enter 250 |
| Equity Yield | D12 | Enter 16, not .16 or 16\% |
| Mortgage Interest Rate | D13 | Enter 5.5, not .055 or 5.5\% |
| Mortgage Amortization | D14 | Enter 25 |
| Mortgage payments per year | D15 | Enter 12, not monthly |
| Terminal Cap Rate | D16 | Enter 8.0, not .08 or 8\% |
| Selling Expenses at Reversion | D17 | Enter 3, not .03 or 3\% |
| Inflation Rate after Stabilization | D18 | Enter 3, not .03 or 3\% |
| Number of Years to Stabilization | D19 | Enter 3, not 2025 |
| EBITDA less Repl. Reserve | H10 - H12 | Enter the figures from Table 22 |

## Optional Input for Cash Flows Used in Analysis

One of the first things the program does is calculate the net incomes to be used for valuation purposes. In the instance of the proposed Sheraton, we assume that the EBITDA less Replacement Reserve stabilizes in year three of operation (2025) and grows at $3 \%$ after this point. The HMEV program automatically grows the EBITDA less Replacement Reserve in cells H13 - H20 at stated inflation rate (D18).
If all 11 years of EBITDA less Replacement Reserve are provided in cells H 10 - H20, the analyst only needs to enter the number 11 in cell D19. In this case, the cash flows entered in cells $\mathrm{H} 10-\mathrm{H} 20$ are used for the valuation. If a stabilized year and inflation rate are used in D19 and D18, this data is used to calculate the cash flows used for valuation. The choice is up to the analyst.
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## Input for the Underwriting Matrix

The lower portion of the Input tab is called the Underwriting Matrix, with inputs for the three loan underwriting criteria. The completed screen is shown in Figure 25. As stated earlier, the program produces three value estimates, each relevant on different loan underwriting criteria.

## CASE STUDY

For the proposed Sheraton, the analyst feels the following underwriting criteria represent the market at the time the study is performed.

| Underwriting Criteria | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loan-to-Value Ratio | $66 \%$ |
| Debt Coverage Ratio | 1.75 |
| Year of Cash Flow Used for Underwriting | Year 3 (2025) |
| Debt Yield | $13.0 \%$ |
| Year of Cash Flow Used for Underwriting | Year 3 (2025) |
| These figures are based on the analyst's knowledge of typical loan underwriting in the |  |
| market for hotels in the greater Long Island, New York area. |  |


| Underwriting Matrix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inputs for Loan-to-Value Ratio Based Model: | 10-Year | 5-Year |
| Loan to Value Ratio | 66\% | 66\% |
| Inputs for Debt Coverage Ratio Based Model: | 10-Year | 5-Year |
| Debt Coverage Ratio | 1.75 | 1.75 |
| Enter the Year of the Cash Flow Used for Underwriting | 3 | 3 |
| Underwritten Cash Flow to be Used | \$4,181 | \$4,181 |
| Inputs for Debt Yield Based Model: | 10-Year | 5-Year |
| Debt Yield | 13.00\% | 13.00\% |
| Enter the Year of the Cash Flow Used for Underwriting | 3 | 3 |
| Underwritten Cash Flow to be Used | \$4,181 | \$4,181 |

Figure 25 - The Underwriting Matrix portion of the Input Tab
Step \#4: Use an algebraic process to solve for value.

The HMEV software contains a calculation 'engine' used to calculate value on the Calcs tab. Three values are calculated, one using the loan-to-value ratio, one using the debt coverage ratio and one using the debt yield. No screen shots from this section are presented, as this is simply the mechanical portion of the valuation software.
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## Steps \#5 and \#6: Calculate property value and perform a proof of value.

## The Output Tab for the LTV Output

The Output tabs contain formatted output with the valuations and the proofs of value. In Figure 26, the Output for the LTV model is presented, the screen contains the valuation, a proof of value, and a set of project metrics.

Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model - 10 Year Output - Loan to Value

| 10 Yr LTV Model: | Value | \% of Total Value | IRR | Value per <br> Room (\$) |  | Project Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Sheraton | \$(000) |  |  |  |  | Total Appreciation |  |  | 37.17\% |  |  |  |
| Value of the Property | \$ 48,264 | 100.0\% | 10.29\% | \$ 193,058 |  | Annual Appreciation |  |  | 3.21\% |  |  |  |
| Value of the Mortgage Component | \$ 31,854 | 66.0\% | 5.50\% | \$ 127,418 |  | Cash Flow Return |  |  | 50.05\% |  |  |  |
| Value of the Equity Component | \$ 16,410 | 34.0\% | 16.00\% | \$ 65,640 |  | Appreciation Return |  |  | 49.95\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized Going In Cap Rate |  |  | 8.17\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Property Yield |  |  | 10.29\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flows for IRR Calcs | Year | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 |
| Total Property |  | \$ $(48,264)$ | \$ 1,877 | \$ 3,150 | \$ 4,181 | \$ 4,306 | \$ 4,436 | \$ 4,569 | \$ 4,706 | \$ 4,847 | \$ 4,992 | \$ 69,361 |
| Mortgage |  | \$ $(31,854)$ | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 2,347 | \$ 26,288 |
| Equity |  | \$ $(16,410)$ | \$ (470) | \$ 803 | \$ 1,834 | \$ 1,959 | \$ 2,088 | \$ 2,221 | \$ 2,358 | \$ 2,500 | \$ 2,645 | \$ 43,073 |
| Debt Coverage Ratio |  |  | 0.80 | 1.34 | 1.78 | 1.83 | 1.89 | 1.95 | 2.00 | 2.06 | 2.13 | 2.19 |
| Debt YieldEquity Dividend Rate |  |  | 5.89\% | 9.89\% | 13.13\% | 13.52\% | 13.92\% | 14.34\% | 14.77\% | 15.22\% | 15.67\% | 16.14\% |
|  |  |  | -2.87\% | 4.89\% | 11.17\% | 11.94\% | 12.73\% | 13.54\% | 14.37\% | 15.23\% | 16.12\% | 17.03\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proof of Value |  | Total Property Present Value |  |  |  | Mortgage Component Present Value |  |  |  | Equity Component Present Value |  |  |
|  |  | EBITDA less PV Factor @ Discounted <br> Repl. Reserv <br> Cash Flow |  |  |  | Mortgage Payment | PV Factor @ Discounted <br> $5.43 \%$ <br> Cash Flow  |  |  | Net Income to Equity | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PV Factor @ } \\ 16.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | Discounted Cash Flow |
|  | Year |  |  |  | Year |  |  |  | Year |  |  |  |
|  | 2023 | \$ 1,877 | 0.9067 | \$ 1,702 | 2023 | \$ 2,347 | 0.9485 | \$ 2,226 | 2023 | \$ (470) | 0.8621 | \$ (405) |
|  | 2024 | \$ 3,150 | 0.8221 | \$ 2,589 | 2024 | \$ 2,347 | 0.8996 | \$ 2,112 | 2024 | \$ 803 | 0.7432 | \$ 596 |
|  | 2025 | \$ 4,181 | 0.7453 | \$ 3,116 | 2025 | \$ 2,347 | 0.8532 | \$ 2,003 | 2025 | \$ 1,834 | 0.6407 | \$ 1,175 |
|  | 2026 | \$ 4,306 | 0.6758 | \$ 2,910 | 2026 | \$ 2,347 | 0.8093 | \$ 1,900 | 2026 | \$ 1,959 | 0.5523 | \$ 1,082 |
|  | 2027 | \$ 4,436 | 0.6127 | \$ 2,718 | 2027 | \$ 2,347 | 0.7676 | \$ 1,802 | 2027 | \$ 2,088 | 0.4761 | \$ 994 |
|  | 2028 | \$ 4,569 | 0.5555 | \$ 2,538 | 2028 | \$ 2,347 | 0.7280 | \$ 1,709 | 2028 | \$ 2,221 | 0.4104 | \$ 912 |
|  | 2029 | \$ 4,706 | 0.5037 | \$ 2,370 | 2029 | \$ 2,347 | 0.6905 | \$ 1,621 | 2029 | \$ 2,358 | 0.3538 | \$ 834 |
|  | 2030 | \$ 4,847 | 0.4567 | \$ 2,214 | 2030 | \$ 2,347 | 0.6549 | \$ 1,537 | 2030 | \$ 2,500 | 0.3050 | \$ 762 |
|  | 2031 | \$ 4,992 | 0.4141 | \$ 2,067 | 2031 | \$ 2,347 | 0.6211 | \$ 1,458 | 2031 | \$ 2,645 | 0.2630 | \$ 695 |
|  | 2032 | \$ 69,361 | 0.3754 | \$ 26,040 | 2032 | \$ 26,288 | 0.5891 | \$ 15,487 | 2032 | \$ 43,073 | 0.2267 | \$ 9,764 |
|  |  | Total Property Value |  | \$ 48,264 |  | Mortgage Component Valu |  | \$ 31,854 |  | Equity Component Value |  | \$ 16,410 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year 10 Cash Flow Calculations |  | Year 10 Cash Flow of |  | \$ 5,142 |  | Year 10 mort. payment of |  | \$ 2,347 |  | Year 10 net in | c. to equity of | \$ 2,795 |
|  |  | plus reversion of |  | \$ 64,218 |  | plus the RMB of |  | \$ 23,941 |  | plus the equit | y residual of | \$ 40,278 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ 69,361 |  |  |  | \$ 26,288 |  |  |  | \$ 43,073 |

Figure 26-10-Yr Output Tab, showing valuation based on the Loan-to-Value Ratio (LTV) Model

The value of the property, using the Loan-to-Value ratio to size the mortgage, is $\$ 48,264,000$ (cell C5), rounded to $\$ 48,300,000$.

The value of the mortgage component is $\$ 31,854,000$, or $66 \%$ of total value (cell C6). The value of the equity component is $\$ 16,410,000$ (cell C), or $34 \%$ of total value. The program also shows value per room in cells F5 - F7.
The IRR for the total property (cell E5), or total property yield, calculates to $10.29 \%$.
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## Project Metrics on the Output Tab

The same process is performed to calculate the IRR for the mortgage component. It is comforting to know that the IRR is $5.5 \%$, which is the mortgage interest rate specified in the inputs. Similarly, the equity IRR or equity yield calculates to $16 \%$.
To the right of the values and IRR's are a set of project metrics. They are described in Table 23.

Table $23 \quad$ Project Metrics on the HMEV Output

| Metrics | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Appreciation | The change in property value over the holding period <br> Annual Appreciation <br> The average annual compound property value appreciation <br> rate |
| Cash Flow Return | The proportion of property value attributable to property cash <br> flows over the holding period |
| Appreciation Return | The proportion of property value attributable to the revision at <br> the end of the holding period |
| Stabilized Going In Cap Rate | The stabilized year's NOI (adjusted for inflation) divided by <br> property value <br> The overall IRR property IRR over the holding period |
| Total Property Yield |  |

Continuing with Figure 26, the second section of the output shows the cash flows used in the IRR calculations; the year 10 flows include both the annual cash flow as well as the reversion to each component of value. Below the cash flows are ratios for each year's Debt Coverage Ratio, Debt Yield and Equity Dividend Rate.

The remaining portion of the output contains three tables that prove the yield calculations by showing the actual cash flow to each component discounted at the appropriate yield rate. The sum of the annual discounted cash flows plus the discounted residual value should equal the value of that particular component.
The first section shows the proof for the total property yield.
The next section proves the IRR of the mortgage component. Note that the interest rate used is $5.43 \%$, not the $5.5 \%$ mortgage interest rate. The reason that this rate is different from the mortgage interest rate is due to the difference between the monthly payments used to calculate the mortgage payments and the yearly discounting used in the proof of value. The annual payment is assumed to be 12 times the monthly payment, which needs to be discounted at a slightly lower rate of interest to obtain the correct answer. Be assured that the software is correctly handling this situation.
The last section proves the equity yield of $16 \%$.
The three proofs of value show the calculations of the Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model. They prove that a total property value of $\$ 48,264,000$ is the only
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## Summary of 10-year Holding Period for All Underwriting

value that would fulfill the requirements and assumptions set forth above, based on the cash flow projections for the proposed Sheraton hotel, the yield requirements of the debt and equity components, and the reversionary sale assumptions.

In addition to the LTV Output the HMEV program produces output for the Debt Coverage Ratio (DCR) underwriting model and for the Debt Yield Model. The results are summarized below in Table 24.

CASE STUDY

| Table 24 |  | Summary of Valuation - 10-year Hold |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underwriting | Value <br> $(\$ 000)$ | LTV | DCR <br> Year 3 | Debt Yield <br> Year 3 |
| LTV (66\%) | $\$ 48,264$ | $66.0 \%$ | 1.78 | $13.13 \%$ |
| DCR (1.75 on Yr 3) | $\$ 48,533$ | $66.8 \%$ | 1.75 | $12.90 \%$ |
| Debt Yield (13.0\% on Year 3) | $\$ 48,410$ | $66.4 \%$ | 1.76 | $13.00 \%$ |

Note that all models produce a value estimate in the range of \$48.3-\$48.5 million.

In addition to a 10-year holding period, the HMEV model produces an estimate of value for a 5-year holding period for all three underwriting criteria. Figure 27 illustrates that this value estimate is very similar to the 10 -year holding period model. The 5 -year holding period is appropriate for select service properties or properties that are considered to have very stable cash flows.

| Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model - 5 Year Output - Loan to Value www.hotelvaluationsoftware.com |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 Yr LTV Model: <br> Proposed Sheraton | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Value } \\ \$(000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \% of Total Value | IRR | Value per <br> Room (\$) | Project Metrics |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Appreciation | 19.85\% |
| Value of the Property | \$ 49,185 | 100.0\% | 9.73\% | \$ 196,741 | Annual Appreciation | 3.69\% |
| Value of the Mortgage Component | \$ 32,462 | 66.0\% | 5.50\% | \$ 129,849 | Cash Flow Return | 26.94\% |
| Value of the Equity Component | \$ 16,723 | 34.0\% | 16.00\% | \$ 66,892 | Appreciation Return | 73.06\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized Going In Cap Rate | 8.01\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Property Yield | 9.73\% |

Figure 27 -5-Year Output Tab, showing valuation based on the Loan-to-Value Ratio (LTV) Model
Printing from HMEV

To print, click on the appropriate output choice from the contents menu and print using the Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$ or Google Sheets ${ }^{\circledR}$ print commands.
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## Chapter 7 Conclusion

The Hotel Valuation Software provides the necessary computing tools to assist analysts in performing hotel market studies, financial forecasts and income approach valuations. When combined with a thorough knowledge of the market, an understanding of the unique hotel operating characteristics and consideration of the cost and sales comparison approaches, an analyst should be able to develop a supportable estimate of operating performance and value by utilizing this software.

# Your Source for Software, Research, Books \& Articles for Hotel Investing 

## HOTEL <br> VALUATION <br> SOFTWARE Hotel Market Analysis \& Valuation Software


#### Abstract

Hotel Market Analysis \& Valuation Software- Software to Perform Hotel Market Studies and ValuationsPowerful Models to Analyze Hotel Projects

Hotel Market Analysis and Valuation Software 6.0 is the only non-proprietary computer software designed specifically to assist in the preparation of hotel market studies, forecasts of income and expense, and hotel valuations. The software provides the framework, along with the mathematical calculations, to develop detailed forecasts of occupancy, average room rates, revenues and expenses that can be used as a basis for hotel market studies and appraisals. The tool consists of three separate software programs:


- Hotel Market Analysis and ADR Forecasting Model
- Hotel Revenue and Expense Forecasting Model
- Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Model

Hotel Market Analysis Valuation Software was created by Steve Rushmore for his hotel consulting firm. It has been updated and enhanced by Professor Jan deRoos of the Cornell Hotel School.

For more information contact- www.hotelvaluationsoftware.com

## ITITITI Hotel Inesment Lbear Hotel Investment Library

The Hotel Investment Library- Books, Articles and Research on Hotel Investing

The Hotel Investment Library is a collection of timeless books and articles written by Steve Rushmore and his Associates over the past 50 years.

Steve Rushmore, Founder of Hotel Valuation Software, pioneered the concept that hotel investing requires in depth knowledge of both hotel operations and real estate. He then literally "wrote the book" on this concept showing the world how to evaluate hotel investments through his eight textbooks, 350+ articles, software and numerous speeches and seminars.

You can now download some of his best books and articles written over the years.

For more information contact- www.hotelinvestmentlibrary.com

## Hotel Learning Online

Hotel Learning Online- Where hotel owners, operators, lenders and investors come to learn how to make successful hotel investments.

Over the past 40 years, Steve Rushmore has taught hundreds of classes and seminars to more than 20,000 hotel industry professionals. He is also a frequent lecturer at major hotel schools around the world, including Lausanne, Penn State, Cornell, Houston, Glion, Hong Kong Polytech as well as the Harvard Business School.

As a leading authority and prolific author on the topic of hotel market analysis and valuations, Steve has written all six textbooks and two seminars for the Appraisal Institute covering this subject. He has also authored three reference books on hotel investing and has published more than 400 articles.

Now, you can now take a course with Steve without leaving your living room. He is developing a whole series of online courses covering topics such as How to Use Hotel Market Analysis \& Valuation Software, Negotiating Hotel Management Contracts, Hotel Mortgage-Equity Valuation Models, How to Buy a Hotel, Hotel Economic Cycles and many more.

If you are serious about your professional growth in the hotel industry, you should consider taking the Certification version of Steve's course- How to Use Hotel Market Analysis \& Valuation Software. By taking this course and successfully completing a final project and passing a comprehensive examination, you will become a Certified Hotel Market Study \& Valuation Software Consultant (CHVSC). Adding this certification to your resume and LinkedIn profile will certainly set you apart from all the other job applicants.

For more information on Steve's online courses: www.hotel-learning-online.com

## Mortgage-Equity Appraisal Software For All Types of Commercial Real Estate <br> <br> Mortgage-Equity Appraisal Software

 <br> <br> Mortgage-Equity Appraisal Software}
## Mortgage-Equity Appraisal Software- The Most Accurate Discounted Cash Flow Real Estate Valuation Software Available Anywhere!

If you appraise real estate which is normally purchased with a combination of debt and equity capital and you are not inputting the specific terms of the proposed financing- then you are probably not developing an accurate valuation. The Mortgage-Equity Software provides a proof showing the resulting value accurately produces your specified returns for both debt and equity capital.

If you develop a 5 or 10-year projection of Net Income and the lender and equity investors require specific financing terms and assumptions, there is only one value will produce the desired returns to the
debt and equity components. This software model is the only software on the market that will produce the correct value. In addition to estimating value using a specified loan-to-value ratio, the software also estimates value using a debt coverage ratio and a debt yield. Does your DCF model do that?

For the last 30 years real estate appraisers have been using DCF models that assume an all cash buyer. We know most real estate in the United States is financed with a certain amount of debt. If this is the case- shouldn't you be using a mortgage-equity model with specific financing inputs rather than "pulling an overall discount rate out of the air?"

For more information contact-www.mortgage-equitysoftware.com


## Certified Hotel Valuation Software Consultant

Advance Your Hotel Career- Certified Hotel Valuation Software Consultant (CHVSC). If you are serious about your professional growth in the hotel industry, you should consider taking the Certification version of my online course- How to Use Hotel Market Analysis \& Valuation Software. By taking this course and successfully completing a final project and passing a comprehensive final examination, you will become a Certified Hotel Valuation Software Consultant. Adding this CHVSC Certification to your resume and LinkedIn profile will certainly set you apart from all the other job applicants looking to work for hotel consulting firms, hotel owners, operators and lenders.

Obtaining the Certification requires the demonstration of proficiency in the use and application of the software during the final project where you value an actual hotel.

For more information contact- www.certified-hotel-valuation-software-consultant.org


## Steve Rushmore

Steve Rushmore, MAI, CHA is the founder of Hotel Valuation Software, a suite of software models to perform hotel market analyses, hotel financial projections and hotel valuations. He is also the founder of Hotel Learning Online, an online educational platform focused on hotel investing. His Hotel Investment Library contains hundreds of articles, books and research which have been read by thousands of hotel owners, investors, lenders and operators.

Steve has provided consultation services for more than 15,000 hotels throughout the world during his 40 -year career and specializes in complex issues involving hotel feasibility, valuations, and financing. He
was one of the creators of the Microtel concept and was instrumental in its IPO. Steve is a partner in HEI Hospitality, LLC, a hotel investment fund.

As a leading authority and prolific author on the topic of hotel feasibility studies and appraisals, Steve Rushmore has written all six textbooks and two seminars for the Appraisal Institute covering this subject. He has also authored three reference books on hotel investing and has published more than 400 articles. Steve lectures extensively on hotel valuations and investing and has taught hundreds of classes and seminars to more than 20,000 industry professionals. He is also a frequent lecturer at major hotel schools around the world, including Lausanne, Penn State, Cornell, Houston, Glion, Hong Kong Polytech as well as the Harvard Business School.

Steve has a BS degree from the Cornell Hotel School, an MBA from the University of Buffalo and attended the OPM program at the Harvard Business School. He holds MAI appraisal designations and is a CHA (certified hotel administrator). He is a member of numerous hotel industry and educational advisory boards and committees, including IREFAC, the Ecole hôtelière de Lausanne International Advisory Board as well as serving as Chairman of the Hong Kong Polytech Advisory Board.

In his free time, Steve enjoys tennis, mountain climbing, skiing, diving, and sailing. He holds a commercial pilot's license with multi-engine instrument rating and collects unique local dining experiences.
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## Software License Agreement

Hotel Valuation Software, Inc.<br>Software License Agreement

DO NOT USE THE SOFTWARE UNTIL YOU HAVE READ THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS LICENSE AGREEMENT GOVERNING YOUR USE OF THE SOFTWARE AND THE USE GUIDE. USING THE SOFTWARE CONSTITUTES ACCEPTANCE OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS LICENSE AGREEMENT. IF YOU DO NOT AGREE TO THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS, YOU SHOULD DISPOSE OF THE SOFTWARE AND ERASE IT FROM ANY COMPUTERS THAT YOU HAVE INSTALLED IT UPON.

License. You acknowledge that you acquired the software from Hospitality Consulting Services, LLC a distributor for. Hotel Valuation Software, Inc. (HVSOFT). HVSOFT hereby grants to you as the recipient of Hotel Valuation Software, a perpetual, non-exclusive, non-transferable license to use the software program and related documentation on a single computer and solely for your own personal use. You may not reverse engineer, decompile, disassemble or modify, or make any copies of, the software. The rights and license granted hereunder are restricted solely and exclusively to you and may not in any way, directly or indirectly, be licensed, assigned, sublicensed, leased, or otherwise transferred by you without the prior written consent of HVSOFT.

Ownership. Ownership of the software and documentation, and of any copyright, patent, trade secret or other intellectual and property rights therein, are and remain in the future solely and exclusively in HVSOFT.

Limited Warranty. HVSOFT warrants that it has the right to grant this license to you. The software program and related documentation are licensed "AS IS" without any warranty or representation, and without any support or right to any corrections, bug fixes, maintenance, modifications, enhancements, improvements or extensions, now or in the future. HVSOFT, Hospitality Consulting Services, LLC, Jan deRoos, Stephen Rushmore and HVS do not warrant or represent that the software program will meet your requirements or that the operation of the software program will be uninterrupted or error free. Should the software program prove defective, HVSOFT, Hospitality Consulting, LLC, Jan deRoos, Stephen Rushmore and HVS have no obligation or responsibility to you whatsoever. Nevertheless, in the event that HVSOFT does provide assistance to any user of the software program, all of the limitations with respect to warranties and remedies shall apply to any assistance so rendered. THE WARRANTY IN THE FIRST SENTENCE OF THIS PARAGRAPH IS IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Limitation of Remedies. IN NO EVENT SHALL HVSOFT, HOSPITALITY CONSULTING SERVICES, LLC, JAN deROOS, STEPHEN RUSHMORE AND HVS BE LIABLE TO YOU OR ANYONE ELSE FOR ANY LIABILITY, LOSS OR DAMAGE, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, PUNITIVE OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OF ANY KIND, OR LOSS OR USE OR OTHER ECONOMIC LOSS, EVEN IF HVSOFT, HOSPITALITY CONSULTING SERVICES, LLC, JAN deROOS, STEPHEN RUSHMORE AND HVS HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. NOTHWITHSTANDING THE FOREGOING, IN THE EVENT HVSOFT, HOSPITALITY CONSULTING SERVICES, LLC, JAN deROOS, STEPHEN RUSHMORE AND HVS IS DETERMINED TO BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES WITH RESPECT TO THE SOFTWARE AND RELATED DOCUMENTATION, IN NO EVENT SHALL THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGES EXCEED THE AMOUNT OF THE LICENSE FEE PAID THEREFOR.

General. This Agreement may not be modified or waived, in whole or in part, except by a writing, executed by authorized representatives of both parties. This Agreement shall be governed by the internal, domestic laws of the State of New York and shall inure to the benefit of HVSOFT, its successors and assigns.

YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT YOU HAVE READ THIS AGREMENT, UNDERSTAND IT, AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ITS TERMS AND CONDITIONS. YOU FURTHER AGREE THAT IT IS THE SOLE AND EXCLUSIVE STATEMENT BETWEEN US WHICH SUPERSEDES ANY COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN US RELATING TO THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS AGREEMENT, AND THAT THERE ARE NO PROMISES, COVENANTS OR UNDERTAKINGS OTHER THAN THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH IN THIS
AGREEMENT.


[^0]:    Contact Steve at: steve@hotelvaluationsoftware.com

