

For IMMEDIATE RELEASE-October 2018

**Critical data to Prevent Breast Cancer
By Denise Mountenay-Canada Silent No More &
Together for Life Ministries**

To date, there are **60 (sixty) published studies** worldwide with 36 of them being statistically significant, all reporting an increased risk of Breast Cancer for women with an abortion past. In 2013, the prestigious Medical Journal ***Cancer, Causes, Control*** published a huge systematic review, meta-analysis of 36 studies across China. These studies found that women who have at least one abortion, **increase their risk of getting Breast Cancer, by a whopping 44%**; compared to women who did not have an abortion. [1, 2] www.bcpinstitute.org

Nineteen of these Chinese studies reported a **statistically significant result**. The risk also increased with number of Induced Abortions (IA's) (a dose effect), the women had, an important measure of a cause-effect relationship, with two and three IA's raising the risk by a statistically significant 76% and 89%, respectively. [1]

Huang's team also cited and supports a 1996 systematic review and meta-analysis, led by Joel Brind, Ph.D. (Baruch College, City University of New York) and colleagues at Penn State, who found a 30% risk elevation for women with any history of induced abortions. [1,2]

In his analysis of the Chinese study, Brind called it a "game changer." He exclaimed, "Not only does it validate our earlier findings from 1996, but its findings are even stronger, for several reasons.

In the last eleven years alone, **50 statistically significant** studies were published in Medical Journals reporting that **abortion INCREASES the breast cancer risk**. [3]

Every cancer society agrees that having your first full term baby under the age of 24, reduces breast cancer; along with breastfeeding and giving birth to more children. However, abortion consent forms do not tell women that, "If this is your first pregnancy, an abortion now, could increase your breast cancer risk."

The first published study showing an abortion breast cancer link was out of Japan in 1957. Yet, despite scores of the scientific evidence published in Medical Journals; there remains complete silence and denial from Breast Cancer Foundations and Societies, raking in billions of dollars, to find a cure. It is unbelievable they continue to ignore the extensive data, and keep women in the dark, regardless of how many studies reveal a substantial risk between abortion and breast cancer, even in the face of escalating breast cancer rates and thousands of women being diagnosed, and dying every year.

Furthermore, there have been 17 published studies in the Indian subcontinent (India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Bhutan & Nepal) finding that women who have had abortions increase their breast cancer risk. Fourteen (14) of the 17 achieved the gold standard of significance (being at least 95% confident of boosted risk). These studies also reveal in the odds ratio, that women with a prior abortion increases the risk of breast cancer risk 2.5 times, than if they never had an abortion. [4]

The associations revealed in the Indian and Chinese studies were not weak, but statistically very robust. 100% of 19 significant studies in China, plus the Indian subcontinent (14) report raised Breast Cancer risk for women with 'abortion' history.

Women have a right to know about these critical statistics regardless of media and cancer society cover-ups and pro-abortion ideologies. [5]

Women have a right to know that they are at a greater risk of developing breast cancer, if they undergo an induced abortion especially with the first pregnancy; compared to letting their child come to full term and deliver their baby. Yet, the abortion proponents and cancer societies continue to deny scientific evidence and mislead women.

When faced with this massive math 'iceberg', what do 'pro-choice' people do? Answer: Turn a Blind Eye, and stick their fingers in their ears.

Tragically, young pregnant women are not informed about these risks and consequences due to conflict of interests with abortion providers, and pro-abortion ideologies. This is resulting in escalating Breast Cancer rates, and children being born prematurely as a result of a previous abortion. Pre-term births can result in children having Cerebral palsy (CP), Autism, blindness, deafness, respiratory problems and mental disabilities. [6]

As well, another Systematic Review Meta-analysis published in the British Journal of Psychiatry in 2011, revealed that there is a whopping **81% increased risk of mental health issues in the aftermath of abortion**, compared to pregnant women who let their unplanned babies live. Thus, costing taxpayers millions in mental and physical healthcare for women and children. [7]

Furthermore, I am part of an award-winning documentary called, **HUSH**, which examines the studies and hears testimonies from the experts on the Breast Cancer Link. As well researchers examine and report on the huge amount of published data showing cervical/uterine damage causing Premature births in subsequent “wanted” children, along with mental health issues in the aftermath of legal abortions. As a former abortion patient who has suffered physical harm and emotional distress, including having had two lumps removed from my left breast, and as President of **Canada Silent No More**, a non-profit society of abortion patients; we urge Cancer foundations/societies and our government to launch an immediate investigation and education awareness campaign to warn pregnant women of the ABC risk and encourage post abortive women to go for early examinations as they could be at a higher risk.

Women have a right to know about all of these risks and complications, despite personal ideologies and agendas. For more information watch the award- winning HUSH documentary exposing these risks and complications in the aftermath of legal abortion.

CONTACT:

Denise Mountenay-780-267-5714 Email: dmountenay@telus.net
107 Discovery Ave. Sturgeon County, Alberta, Canada, T8R 1N1 www.togetherforlife.net

Brent Rooney (MSc)
Medical Research Director, Reduce Preterm Risk Coalition-3456 Dunbar St. (Suite 146),
Vancouver, Canada V6S2C2 <stopcancer@yahoo.com> web: <http://justiceforkids.webs.com>

References

1. Huang Y, Zhang X, Li W, Song F, Dai H, Wang J, et al. A meta-analysis of the association between induced abortion and breast cancer risk among Chinese females. *Cancer Causes Control*. Published online, ahead of print, November 24, 2013. Available only to authorized users. Abstract available at U.S. National Library of Medicine at: <http://www.ministryoftruth.me.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/Huang-2013.pdf> Lecarpentier J, Nogues C, Mouret-Fourme E, Gauthier-Villars M, Lasset C, et al. Variation in breast cancer risk associated with factors related to pregnancies according to truncating mutation location, in the French National BRCA1/2 carrier cohort. *Breast Cancer Research* 2012;14:R99. Available at: <http://breast-cancer-research.com/content/14/4/R99>
2. <https://www.bcpinstitute.org/resources---fact-sheets.html>, Total Studies = 76, Positive Correlation = 57, Statistically Significant = 36, Meta-Analyses = 5 https://www.bcpinstitute.org/uploads/1/1/5/1/115111905/bcpi-factsheet-epidemiol-studies_2014.pdf
3. <http://justiceforkids.webs.com/abortioncancerscore.htm>
4. March 2018 Brind et al finding, based on FIVE (5) Indian subcontinent studies that provided Breast Cancer risk data for women with past induced abortions (IA's). The Brind significant Breast Cancer odds for IA history = 3.91 (+291% odds boost). Nearly quadruples the odds of Breast Cancer risk for Indian subcontinent women with IA history.
5. 2018 Brind J, Condly SJ, Lanfranchi A, Rooney B. Induced Abortion as an Independent Risk Factor for Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Studies of South Asian Women. *Issues in Law and Medicine* Spring 2018
https://www.bcpinstitute.org/uploads/1/1/5/1/115111905/brind_final_corrected_proof_021118.pdf; 1996 Brind J, Chinchilli M, Severs WB, Summy-Long J. Induced abortion as an independent risk factor for breast cancer: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis. *Journal Epidemiology Community Health* 1996;50:481-496
<http://jech.bmj.com/content/50/5/481.full.pdf>
2009 Nan-yan Xiong, Ji-hong Zhou, Xiao-bin Zhou, Jian-Zhang, et al. Relationship between abortion and breast cancer on the basis of Meta-analysis. 2009 International Conference on Future BioMedical Information Engineering (FBIE): pages 210-212; Print ISBN 978-1-4244-4690-2 [O.R. 2.04 (1.50-2.76)
http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/login.jsp?tp=&arnumber=5405887&url=http%3A%2F%2Fieeexplore.ieee.org%2Fexpl%2Fabs_all.jsp%3Farnumber%3D5405887
2011 Tao P, Hu YY, Huang Y, Li JY. Risk factors of breast cancer in Asian women: a meta-analysis. *Europe PMC* 2011;32(2):164-169 [> 2 prior induced abortions: O.R. = 3.00 (1.68-5.36) ; URL: <http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/21518627>
2012 LI Ni-no, CHEN Lei, LI Zheng, et al. Meta-analysis on relationship between induced abortion and breast cancer. *Journal Practical Oncology* 2012-04 [> 1 prior induced abortion: O.R. = 1.34 (1.03-1.75) ; URL: http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTOTAL-SYZZ201204026.htm]
2013 Huang Y, Zhang X, Li W, et al. A meta-analysis of the association between induced abortion and breast cancer risk among Chinese females. *Cancer Causes & Control* 24 November 2013 [Abstract URL: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=%28%28meta-analysis\[Title\]%29%20AND%20abortion\[Title\]%29%20AND%20Chinese\[Title\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=%28%28meta-analysis[Title]%29%20AND%20abortion[Title]%29%20AND%20Chinese[Title])]
6. <http://justiceforkids.webs.com>
7. The 2009 COLEMAN study found an 81% boost in mental health problems due to induced abortion (IA) history including that IA history quadruples suicide risk. Coleman PK. Abortion and mental health: quantitative synthesis and analysis of research published 1995-2009. *British J Psychiatry* 2011;199(3):180-186 [URL: <http://bjp.rcpsych.org/content/199/3/180.full>]