

Carlos

"I have no other way to have a fair trial with you as my counsel. There is just no communication:- ABA Rule 1.03 The F.B. BDX with the note inside, I haven't seen that Discovery. And I am the one on trial.

I know the game you are playing this issue with my health. Thanks for the concern, but I've also requested that my legal issues be addressed as well. ABA Rule 1.02 As for the rule I never know what's going on in this court room - and that's because I don't know if you do. If you do you could be creating smoke screens. It's hard to believe anything you say as being real. All of this has been unprofessional to me. I feel you were personally picked to be on this case, for that reason. We have two different ideas, as fair as a defense, and the other issue is how the motions are being handled. As an officer of the court, when you file "over"

So in this suppression motion
the constitution ~~vioat~~ violation with
the entry, #2 the search incident durin
g: the arrest, and the taking of evidence
to there home.

There are still evidence that I
ask you to get tested and you refuse
to do so - the D.N.A on the gun, and
the finger print on the clip of
that gun. There are issue's with
my discovery that you refuse to bring
so I can show thing's. I'm at the
point of going it along. All this I'm
talking to you about got to be liti-
gated: on the record, what I've witness
over the 4 1/2 year you've been counsel
for me, a hearing starts, and at
the judge will say o.k I'll look into
it, but there never been a ruling on
the issue, I can't complain about
issue's that's silent on the record.

This motion should be more on the 38.23 issue. #5 and not on "probable cause" they where gone get this warrant any way! All I say is i didnt consent; to it. IF i dont do motion #15 on the record then I cant say the first warrant wasint good, that wasint even A consent form i sign.

Everybody know i didnt shoot that "cop" cross fire the F.B.I know to what was in that Box?, you gave the Judge?

I can see there is a ^{F2AR} ~~FEAR~~ that counsel has and his or her ^{FAR} ~~FEAR~~ statements of bias to me that he has given up on this case.

My case is very complex and I am innocent of the charges against me, but there's what they call a "power that be" that says this case isn't about justice; it's about revenge.

What concerns me as to Due Process the primary disadvantage here are inexperience of counsel, lack of standards for certification of expertise.

Most counsel don't take cases that they're unable to litigate that's unreasonable under prevailing professional norms.

Counsel in this case was appointed by a judge who I believe; had a bias in this case, my position he wanted a attorney that would do his will.

These lawyer are not "Public Defender" ~~these~~ ^{HE} "Pro Hac Vice" about a lawyer that lack as ive said before standard of certification as well.

There only reason ~~coun-~~ counsel took this Death Penalty case was for the pay.

This is why ~~they~~ ^{HE} wont abide by the ABA Rule 1.02 and 1.03 ABA Rule 1.03 our do they under what the Sixth Amendment grants.

The Sixth Amendment grants the accused, and not counsel: the right to make a defense, to be informed of the accusation; agents him —