

(1)  
~~Manist~~

"Nick"

"These's are my thoughts and my concerns - I try to understand people and the way there thinking process go in a case like this. I always start with the Henry Magee Case - then i go to the 14 amendment of the Constitution (Read).

"Here are two people that have the same case's but different circumstance; that favor a defense for me more then his case - But because his skin he was judge different - by a all white grand jury. In his case they use there investigative ~~function~~ function, and that's when they call you as a witness to what happen. They dont have to call you as a witness but more offten if your white its a better chance you'll get call - even if the percent is low if your white its almost that much lower if your Black.

My case and his case where "NO Knock warrants" but what was different about the two cases is that they said he was growing weed in his home; in my case they never said that. I looked at my affidavit and it omitts any criminal activity at the place searched.



(2)

i pointed out in my last message that a judge cant issue a evidenterly search warrant to search a place where there isnt any criminal activity - thats a violation of 18.01(c) of the Criminal CODE Proc. also a violation of Art 1, Section 9 of the TEXAS Constitution.

This warrant also is a violation of the NO Knock policy. This is one of these reasons the all white jury "NO Bill" Mr. Magee. I am not getting down on Mr. Magee i am showing the differents on how they use the grand jury process & how your judged by white people - you always can find a all white jurie but youll never have a all black jurie. Still today people - who care about justice dont know how important it is to become involved with the "jury" and "grand jury" process. people are going to always judge these people differently. But its so many ~~question~~ questions of corruption by these police - they took evidence to their home from the crime sence "guns" at that.

"The whole crime sence was staged you have officers who shot under the stairs but when you look at the D.V.D there isnt shells under the stairs? also theres a officer who fired shots in the



(3)

parking lot you look at the D.V.D  
There's no shells there either. They've  
reduced the amount of shots they fired  
and increased the amount of shot they  
claim i fired. Because of all the rules of  
evidence ~~no~~ people will never be able to  
hear ~~see~~ the conduct of the police. I am be-  
told well dont look at the negative part  
of it & focus on the positive - and let  
the process play out. There has never been  
a good ending in that; and black people  
always wait and hope & pray that the process  
will be fair - i got news for you the sys-  
tem - wasn't designed to be fair for you -  
"you can pray all you want to;" with out your  
works its no good!! I got law books that  
my family paid for and good people sent to  
me & it took me 4 yrs to realize that the  
affidavit and search warrant was invalid. These  
court appointed ~~attornies~~ attornies never  
made me aware of that; they havent put  
forward ~~forwa~~ forward any ~~effective~~ effective ~~not~~  
motion in that regard. I am now requesting  
that they file motions and requesting hearing  
in that regard; its excuse after excuse  
about the reason why - the claim is its  
a strategy. Look based on my meeting with  
this attorney i dont believe its a strategy



for the reason he says - as i began to understand the legal issue some of the attacks should of been took place like the attacks on the affidavit. The affiant (Police) to get this so called warrant Lies and says a C-I on May 8<sup>th</sup> was in or around me or that area on Circle M, Dr. it just never happen. I've ask my lawyer to request a Franks hearing on that issue of truthfulness of the affidavit. This whole case is based on "Lies" they even Lied on this officer that they shot - saying he told him to enter a "flash bang" into the bad room window "Lie" so the same officer who approve's this (RAID) after the officer pass away he "Lies" on him; that's cowardly for the SGT. that approved the "RAID" then Lie on what he claim he told the S.W.A.T team leader. So if you "Lie" about that then nothing else can be trusted; myself i am straight forward and if you ask about me everyone will tell you that, i am not a coward. What's more also to point out important important to know about me is i dont shoot at Police and/or any one else. What i've said and continue to say is i had no idea it was the Police,



5

What they have done is put me in "CELL blocks" with jail house snitches" to say that i told them i knew it was the Police - I've requested to put in a "SEP. CELL" from Jan. 2015 to April 2016 that was done and since i isolated myself from others - i was told the the word came down that i had to be move back into general cells with the other inmates " (see request)" so where ever i go court or where theres snitches waiting to get on this case for a deal of time off in these case.

They've old lawed this tactic in alot of STATE'S saying any person in jail and in the same position is unreliable unless there is a recording of the conversation of the two. The only person that was in the apartment with me was a friend that they found to be creditble when they said we had no way and/or didnt know that there was police. So when you look at the "Law" verse the "FACTS" of any case its best to point out the Law and how it applies to me verse fighting these FACTS they will never be able to fight there trumped up facts. The question is did i know it was the Police?



(6)

You'll have to ask this question to any  
one at that time of the ~~date~~ day at  
5:30 AM what are most people doing at that  
time - if you're not in the military and you  
don't have no where to be - your sleep!!

"But it unreasonable to think that out  
of the blue i would just turn into this  
monster "COP Killer" that the media putting  
out; i mean i was in a place, where i had  
a right to be sleeping" and thats the  
first requirement under the TEXAS Penal  
code 9.31 the #2 "Is you cant provoke the  
person" and #3 "you cant be involved in  
criminal activity at the place searched" its  
important to understand that these 3  
requirements arent in cases dealing with the  
Police doing a lawful duty - just intruders  
is what i've said i believe was going on  
at the time that i acted. So i looked at  
9.22 and the defense of "Necessity" in the  
TEXAS Penal Code is what happen in my  
defense and that i actions was based on a  
split second decision - and my mine hadnt  
had time to reflect anything else but fear  
for my personal safety and the safety of my  
lady friend from what i believed was apparent  
danger. Now the law on that is; In the  
STATE OF TEXAS everyone has the right to



depend there self from ~~apart~~ "apparent  
 danger" and/or there family even if it  
 turns out not to be danger. My lawyer  
 have to request the defenses, its been four  
 years and all there's attorneys have done  
 is get my case "set off" and tried to use  
 the fact of taking on to many cases - to  
 represent a "death penalty case" they can take  
 the case because its more money on the  
 same token you have to put in the work.  
 There is no question that the STATE working  
 with court appointed attorneys are involved in  
 a corrupt process - in other words R.I.C.O  
 and its sending more Black MAN away  
 on Trumped up cases than Hitler sent  
 Jew's to there "CAMPS". People always say  
 what do you expect from a court appointed  
~~attorney~~ attorney. I ~~could~~ could have paid  
 a lawyer, but the amount they where asking  
 i ~~could~~ couldn't; but i could of paid what  
 the state is paying them; but some how  
 they took the State Money but refused  
 my money ?? I expect them to be more  
 skilled than me - as well as being  
 able to file my motions on the issues  
 and i am looking that the first search  
 warrant affidavit and i am like look the  
 magistrate here ~~ce~~ certainly



(2)

could not "judge for himself the persuasiveness of the facts relied on... to show probable cause" He necessarily accepted without question the informant's "suspicion" "belief or mere conclusion" and/or the affiant AKA (Police).

I've seen the so call C-I this guy is some one who has said he didn't say nothing to the Police about me and they came to him and offered him money to make a statement on me. But legally any statement made after a "LAI" and it's not in the affidavit you can't supplement what's in the affidavit; that look like what this is they have a "GOOD Ole Boy" system where like in this case they never had a warrant to "RAID" my apartment - so when you do ~~some~~ something like that and it go well and evidence of a crime the judges give them a pat on the back and say good. When that happen the Gov will keep pushing that type of conduct to the limit as in this case. After they did this act; they can't let it get out to the public they ran in my place like that so the judges have back this type of brutality. It's no question about it - I know race has more to do with it. I don't like to play that card - because the black people a lot of them around here are **BAD!!**