

1

My right to meet the case against me is one of the hallmarks of due process. We have to have all the evidences to place our case before a Fact Finder so that they can hear both sides of the story - this is the only way they will be able to judge the case on its merit as conflicts are developed on cross examination.

The adversary system at work within the confines of the criminal law must closely adhere to the constitutional requirements essential to due process and a fair trial. In theory a jury can examine the evidence and see the whole picture and make an intelligent decision in reaching a verdict consistent with the truth. Of course, this process is necessarily constrained by the rule of evidence and procedures that apply to these proceedings. In addition the process is influenced by the act that the criminal is not necessarily a search for the truth but rather an examination of whether there is an absence of necessary evidence.

Issues with my lawyer are as follows:

1) my lawyer's job is to establish innocence by attacking the legal sufficiency of the proof of which he refuses to comply with

2) Conceal or knowingly fail to disclose that which he is required by law to reveal

3) Knowingly makes a false statement of law or fact.

4) Participate in the creation or preservation of evidence when he knows or it is obvious that the evidence is false

* Competent and diligent rep rule 1.02 scope and objectives of representation

(A) Subject of paragraphs b, c, d and e and (g) a lawyer shall abide by a client's decisions

(1) Concerning the objective and general methods of representation

Rule 1.03

- A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding representation