

## An Analysis of Fluoride Contamination in the Groundwater of India

**Dr. Rajashree Lodh**

Assistant Professor,  
Heritage Institute of Technology,  
Kolkata, West Bengal, INDIA, and  
Post-Doctoral Researcher,  
MultiSpectra Consultants,  
23, Biplabi Ambika Chakraborty Sarani,  
Kolkata – 700029, West Bengal, INDIA.  
E-mail: [shree1504@gmail.com](mailto:shree1504@gmail.com)

**Dr. Amartya Kumar Bhattacharya**

BCE (Hons.) ( Jadavpur ), MTech ( Civil ) ( IIT Kharagpur ), PhD ( Civil ) ( IIT Kharagpur ),  
Cert.MTERM ( AIT Bangkok ), CEng(I), FIE, FACCE(I), FISH, FIWRS, FIPHE, FIAH, FAE, MIGS,  
MIGS – Kolkata Chapter, MIGS – Chennai Chapter, MISTE, MAHI, MISCA, MIAHS, MISTAM,  
MNSFMFP, MIIBE, MICI, MIEES, MCITP, MISRS, MISRMTT, MAGGS, MCSI, MIAENG, MMBSI,  
MBMSM  
Chairman and Managing Director,  
MultiSpectra Consultants,  
23, Biplabi Ambika Chakraborty Sarani,  
Kolkata – 700029, West Bengal, INDIA.  
E-mail: [dramartyakumar@gmail.com](mailto:dramartyakumar@gmail.com)  
(Corresponding Author)

**Subhashis Chowdhury**

Assistant Professor,  
Dr. B. C. Roy Engineering College,  
Durgapur, West Bengal, INDIA, and  
Researcher,  
MultiSpectra Consultants,  
23, Biplabi Ambika Chakraborty Sarani,  
Kolkata – 700029, West Bengal, INDIA.  
Email: [subha0chowdhury@gmail.com](mailto:subha0chowdhury@gmail.com)

### **ABSTRACT**

Fluoride is a mineral usually found in oceans, seawater, and groundwater which is the main source of drinking water in many parts of India and hence is the main source of fluoride ingestion. Fluoride pollution in groundwater in the past few decades has gained significance because of its dual impact on living beings, i.e., both positive and negative

impact. Fluoride in certain amount is necessary for teeth whereas the excess amount of it if consumed, may cause a very painful incurable disease called fluorosis. Fluorosis directly affects the teeth, bones, and muscles of human body. The concentration of fluoride beyond 1.5 ppm is harmful and increases the chance of fluorosis. Several environmental and natural factors contribute to high fluoride levels in the ground waters. The problem of high fluoride concentration has not received enough attention till today. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to assess the fluoride content and its contamination in different parts of India, and also methods of disposal and reuse of fluorides.

KEYWORDS:-Ingestion, contamination, groundwater, detection, measurement, fate, disposal, global fluoride data, fluorosis .

## INTRODUCTION

Fluoride is a compound that is present in groundwater as well as other sources of water in varying concentration in different locations. Fluoride forms a crystalline-like structure around the enamel of teeth that acts as a protective layer. Fluorosis occurs due to the excess intake of fluorine during the infancy stage (*Committee on Nutrition, 1986*).

Skeletal Fluorosis is a kind of bone disorder caused due to increase in fluorine intake. It doesn't happen for the concentration of fluorine below 1.4 ppm but chances of happening increases with the increase in concentration (*Jolly et al., 1968*). Apart from that, a study conducted in some regions of America reveals that exposure of fluoride may also lead to harmful effects on fertility rates (*Freni, 1994*).

Study conducted by (*Riordan, 1989*) reveals that fluoride is given as a supplement to the children in the area where the concentration of fluoride is nil or low. It is to be prescribed by the medical authorities in the area generally where fluoride concentration is below 0.3mg fluoride per liter. Fluoride wastewater generated can be used to prepare synthetic calcium fluoride which can be further utilized for the production of Hydrofluoric acid and also can be used in the metallic or ceramic industry. (*Aldaco, Gareia and Irabien, 2007*).

The main ingredient of Fluoride varnish is 5% of sodium fluoride (*Chu and Lo, 2008*).


Fluoride varnish can be used in the prevention of dental caries and prevention of hypersensitivity in teeth. The Bone char sludge generated from *Nalgonda technique* can be partially used for construction purposes in place of the river sand or fine aggregate. The strength of the produced concrete doesn't degrade and this helps in reduction of sludge waste generated to the environment (*Rao et al., 2009*).

Fluorides are also used in mouth rinses (0.05 – 0.2 %) which are very famous among young children. Other uses of fluoride include gels, solutions, and floss which is used in dentistry. Other uses of fluoride contain gels.

Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) is an important component which is widely used in industrial purposes. Some of the purposes of HF includes etching semiconductor devices, cleaning bricks, cleaning, and etching glass, etc (*Environmental Health Criteria 227*). Fluoride ion ( $F^-$ ) is generated from Fluorine which is available in ample quantity in nature. The sources of fluoride in water (*Fig 1.*) includes natural fertilizers, ceramics, bricks, and ironworks etc. (*Gill et. al. 2014*). Fluoride is added to the local water supply because it helps in the prevention of tooth decay.

Filtration of fluoride from water leads to the generation of the huge amount of fluoride-rich wastewater which is ultimately dumped into the environment, that is very harmful to the environment and the ecosystem. Nanomembrane based hybrid treatment is done at 5-16 bars of operating pressure and it is tested on the water having fluoride concentration for 17 mg/l in West Bengal and the efficiency of these filters are found to be 99%. But the important outcome from this study is that the sludge generated can be taken care of by stabilization (*Chakraborty et al., 2016*). Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) test is a blood test used to determine the working of thyroid hormones. TSH is found to be in high quantity in people consuming a huge amount of fluoride contaminated water even when the concentration of fluoride is as low as 0.5 mg/l (*KheradPisheh et al., 2018*).

These methods ultimately help in the protection of the environment by reducing the harmful effects of fluoride wastewater, which instead has to be discharged into the environment.



**Fig 1. Different Sources for the introduction of Fluoride in Groundwater.**

### **METHODS USED TO DETERMINE FLUORIDE CONTENT**

The method which is widely used to determine Fluoride concentration in the groundwater sample is named as “ *Colorimetric SPADNS* (Sodium 2-(Parasulphophenyl Oazo)- 1,8 - dihydroxy -3,6 - naphthalene disulfonate)”. Some other methods include *Complexone method* which is also extensively used method, methods using some sophisticated instruments like Ion Chromatograph in which Ion-selective electrodes are available to measure the concentration in the sample. This *Ion selective method* can be used in both Lab and Field. (Brindha et al., 2011)

### **SPADNS Spectrophotometric Method**

The method is based on fluoride interaction with some zirconium dyes, that results in the formation of a colorless complex anion and a dye is formed. As the fluoride concentration in the water sample is increased, the complex formed during the interaction that is proportional to the fluoride content, bleaches the dye and makes it lighter.

There are also other compounds present in the water sample which is required to be removed; for e.g. distillation is preferred on the sample having concentration of dissolved solids higher than the amount which interacts with the result. Some other components also interfere with the water sample results; such as Iron, Sulphonates and Alumina have a negative impact whereas Phosphate and Chlorine show positive impacts.

During the reaction of fluoride ion and Zr-SPADNS (Sodium 2-(Parasulphophenylazo)- 1,8 - dihydroxy -3,6 - naphthalene disulfonate), the complex compound which is obtained is a colored compound and is measured through a spectrophotometer of 570 nm. (HP Hydrology Project, Training Module # WQ-36,2000).

### **Ion Selective Electrode (ISE) Method**

This is a method based on a potentiometric analysis method. In Ion-Selective Potentiometry (ISP) method, the sample can not be used further. This kind of method is categorized as Non-destructive method of evaluation. The electrical potential difference generated between a selective electrode and a reference electrode is calculated to determine the Fluoride content in water.

This method of Potentiometric determination using Fluoride electrode is most popular and this method is convenient because of its high sensitivity, low detection limit, and specificity. Moreover, this method is cheap, reliable and simple. This method is based on a physical characteristic, it does not involve the use of different chemicals and sample preparation after the test is also not required. (Sunitha and Reddy, 2014).

The electrode potential is determined by using the following formulae-

$$E = E^{\circ} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q \quad (1)$$

Where,

$E$  = Potential difference.

$E^{\circ}$  = Standard Cell Potential.

$Q$  = Ion Outside/ Ion Inside.

$R, F$  = Constants.

$T$  = Temperature.

$n$  = Number of Electron.


### **Ion Chromatography**

It is a method used to separate ions on the basis of their affinity to Ion Exchanger.

For this purpose, the anion-exchange method is used to find Fluoride Ion concentration in the sample. The sea water sample is taken from Hokkaido, Japan. Fluoride Ion concentration can also be identified using the Ion Chromatography and the analytical condition including a column of Ionpac AS20, having mobile phase as Potassium hydroxide with a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min, with column temperature of 35°C is kept for effective output. The Instrument is used for Conductivity detection, ICS-3000 (Dionex Co. Ltd). It was found out that this method can be used to identify high Fluoride content in samples. (Miyake et al., 2007).

### **FLUORIDE CONTAMINATION IN INDIA**

Groundwater is one of the important sources of drinking water in many parts of India. In Vijaypur (Bijapur) district of Karnataka, the water sample of 62 nos. of bores were tested and around 55% of the samples have fluoride content more than drinking permissible limit. (Ugran et al., 2017). Similarly out of samples tested from the other two districts namely Kolar and Tumkur is found out to have fluoride contamination of 76% (Mamatha and Rao, 2010). 16 nos. of samples were collected from Alleppey town which is situated in Kerala. In this region of the state, groundwater is the main source for water consumption and after doing the tests, it was found out that 50% of water samples have poor water quality (Raj and Shaji, 2017). Main concerned regions having a high concentration of Fluoride can be seen in (Fig 2). Also during similar testing done in parts of central Rajasthan, samples from 44 habitations were examined. Around 32% of individuals of these habitats do a Fluoride intake of more than 4 mg/day. That's enough to cause Fluorosis of first and Second degree. (Hussain et. Al. 2012).



**Fig 2: A Map of India showing a major concentration of Fluoride contamination in Groundwater. Website of Central Groundwater Board (Government of India), Fluoride map.**

**NOTE: Haryana, Rajasthan, West Bengal, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Orissa, and Karnataka are the**

states having a high concentration of Fluoride contamination.

## DATA OF FLUORIDE CONTAMINATION

**Table 1:** Table showing data of Fluoride Contamination of the various regions across the Globe.

<u>Location</u>	<u>Range of Fluoride (mg/l)</u>	<u>Citations</u>
<b>INDIA</b>		
Vijayapura, Karnataka, India	0.26-3.53 mg/l	(Ugran V. <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Sahibganj, Jharkhand, India	0.12- 29.0 mg/l	(Nayak <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
Alleppey town, Kerala, India	upto 2.88 mg/l	(Raj and Shaji, 2017)
Nayagarh, Orissa, India	0.16 - 10.1 mg/l	(Kundu N. <i>et al.</i> , 2001)
Central Rajasthan, India	5.91 mg/l	(Hussain <i>et al.</i> ,2012)
Kolar, Karnataka	0.36 – 3.34 mg/l	(Mamatha and Rao, 2010)
Tumkur, Karnataka	0.78 – 5.35 mg/l	(Mamatha and Rao, 2010)
Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh	0.3- 2.0 mg/l	(Subba Rao, 2006)
Mehsana, Gujrat	0.94 – 2.81 mg/l	(Salve <i>et al.</i> , 2008)

## METHODS USED TO REMOVE FLUORIDE CONTAMINATION FROM GROUNDWATER

There are many methods used around the globe for the treatment of Fluoride contaminated water. Some of the principal used to remove fluoride from water sample includes Ion Exchange, Adsorption, Precipitation, Coagulation, Defluoridation using Electrolytic method, Electrodialysis and Membrane separation Process. There are different raw material used in these processes and further researches are going on to make these processes more economical and efficient. (Nath and Dutta, 2010).

### Electrolytic Defluoridation

This method is based on the process of Electrocoagulation with electrodes of Bipolar



Aluminium. In this process, it is to be ensured that there are no soluble salts present in the water which is supplied to be treated. The weight ratio of Aluminium to Fluoride is approx 17:1.

The optimum condition for this method is illustrated below-

pH range: 5- 7.6

Area/Volume ratio of Electrode: Order of  $25\text{m}^2$

Temperature:  $20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$

Distance between electrodes: 2 cm

Current Density:  $75\text{ Am}^{-2}$

Type of Reactor: Batch Reactor.

This method is efficient in removing fluoride from 1l of water by just consuming approx 40kg of Aluminium. Some of the pros of this method can be that the operation of this process doesn't require a highly qualified or trained professional and it's easy to maintain (Mameri et al., 2001).

### **RO Membrane Separation**

When the water has a high concentration of Fluoride are passed through a Reverse Osmosis Membrane it was found out that the removal of more than 97% of fluoride can be obtained from just passing the contaminated water from RO membrane. It was also observed that the retained concentration of fluoride by the membrane and water flux decrease with time, which indeed can be cleared by backwashing the membrane, making this process a technically difficult process but this process can be considered as one of the economic processes because in this process just a regular industrial RO membrane is used for the defluoridation process and that too with very good efficiency (Ndiaye et al., 2005).

### **Electrodialysis**

Defluoridation is done using the applied varied potential difference (1.5 volts/cell to 2.5 volts/cell) for efficiency it was taken as 2.0 volts/cell. Other parameters are kept constant

such as

Flow Rate: 8 Lph.

Feed Concentration : 1950 mg/l

Fluoride concentration : 9.5 mg/l (Initial).

Potential difference : 1.7 volts/cell.

In this test, it was also observed that desalination is observed along with defluoridation in the brackish water sample. TDS concentration (210 ppm), as well as Fluoride concentration (1.03 mg/l), reached approx to drinking water parameters. The process used is a Continuous reactor with the overall efficiency of the process is around 87%. Initially, the reaction is fast but the due course of time it reduces due to a decrease in ion concentration. (Sharma et al., 2018).

### Adsorption

For this method, a composite of Polymer/By-polymer is used as an adsorbent. In this particular process, the adsorbent used is Polyaniline/Chitosan and Polypyrrole/Chitosan. The mechanism used for this adsorption process is Dopant (Double) exchange mechanism. This doesn't change the crystalline nature of the polymer therefore not much change can be seen in X-Ray Powder Diffraction pattern for before and after the adsorption.

The following Initial conditions are adopted for this process-

Reactor: Batch Reactor.

The concentration of Fluoride: 2-10 mg/l.

Contact Time: 5- 30 minutes.

pH range: 3-9

Composite dosage: 25- 200 mg/ 50 ml.

Temperature: 30- 50 °C

It was observed that the Low pH and High temperature is favorable for this method and it was also inferred that the process is endothermic in nature. Further observations conclude that as the number of dosage increases the adsorption of Fluoride also increases, this is due to the fact that more dose equivalent to more ion for adsorption. Furthermore,

Polypyrrole/Chitosan is more effective in this process of adsorption as compared to Polyaniline/Chitosan. (Karthikeyan, 2011).

### **Coagulation/ Filtration**

This method is widely used to filter suspended and dissolved solids from raw water before drinking. This method is also used to filter out fluoride from water ranging from 1.8 mg/l to 2.0 mg/l. The method involved in this process consists of particles becoming heavy through thorough agitating with a coagulant and then later it settles down. A single compound can be used as a coagulant or in some combinations. The generally used coagulants are Alum, Aluminium Polychloride,(PAC), Polymeric anionic flocculant (PAF), and Aluminium Sulphate alone or in combinations. The pH preferred for this method is around 6.9- 7.0. This process requires a constant check on the amount of contamination and its commensurated dosage. The combination of Alum and PAF can be used to treat water of 5.9 mg/l fluoride content with an approximate 77% efficiency and bring it to 1.5 mg/l. (Alarcón-Herrera et al., 2013).

### **METHODS FOR EFFECTIVE DISCHARGE OF SLUDGE IN ENVIRONMENT**

Defluoridation of water is sometimes necessary if the content of fluoride in water is more. After the process of defluoridation, a huge quantity of fluoride sludge is left out. This is one of the leading problems which needs to be addressed as far as the fluoride treatment is concerned. The fate of the sludge generated through the defluoridation process is generally disposed of off to the landfills which ultimately cause harmful effect on the environment and may also lead to the formation of leachates which ends up in the groundwater later. But, if looked at some of the erudite documents that can help in providing some ways by which this contamination can be decreased.

During the process of Electrocoagulation is used for the treatment of fluoride from water and wastewater, if the potential difference applied can be increased then a lapse in

concentration of  $F^-$  can be seen and when the voltage is turned to high then this may lead to the formation of bubbles in the water which ultimately will help in the process of sludge separation process. (Drouiche et al., 2011).

Sludge produced during treatment using the processes of DCPD (Dicalcium Phosphate Dihydrate) method is due to the heterogeneous reaction of DCPD with fluoride. Settleability of the residual Fluoride is an important parameter for separating the sludge for its further treatment. It is always recommended that sludge should settle rapidly. So, in this process i.e. DCPD process, the sludge is rapidly settleable as compared to conventional Aluminium method in which it takes time to settle. The fluoride residue generated after this process is  $< 8 \text{ mg/l}$  which is actually very less than the Environment standard for disposal of leachates. (Tafu et al., 2016). The aim for the successful fluoride treatment should also include efficient disposal of sludge also.

## **CONCLUSION**

Fluoride is used in toothpaste for protection of enamel of teeth, but intake of the excess amount can be harmful hence parents are advised to keep a check on their children. Global water scarcity, unavailability of fresh water and degradation in health plays an important role to think upon surface water pollution. There are various conceptual and empirical approaches that are being used for the study upon surface water pollution mainly caused due to fluoride. The present study has been done to cover India affected by Fluoride Contamination. Different possible methods for Fluoride Contamination detection which includes SPADNS Spectrophotometric Method, Ion Selective Electrode Method, and Ion Chromatography have been described along with few possible methods to remove the contamination. Electrolytic Defluoridation, RO membrane separation, Electrodialysis, Adsorption, and Coagulation and Filter process also have been discussed.

During the study, it was found out that there are not many ways available to dispose of the filtered fluoride from water. Some research can be done in the field of fate and disposal of filtered fluoride.

## REFERENCES

- Alarcón-Herrera, M. T. et al. (2013) 'Co-occurrence of arsenic and fluoride in groundwater of semi-arid regions in Latin America: Genesis, mobility and remediation', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 262, pp. 960–969. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.08.005.
- Aldaco, R., Garea, A. and Irabien, A. (2007) 'Calcium fluoride recovery from fluoride wastewater in a fluidized bed reactor', *Water Research*, 41(4), pp. 810–818. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2006.11.040.
- Brindha, K., R. Rajesh, R. Murugan, and L. Elango. 2011. Fluoride contamination in groundwater in parts of Nalgonda District, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 172:481–492. doi:10.1007/s10661-010-1348-0.
- Chakraborty, S. et al. (2016) 'Fluoride in groundwater: low-cost separation and stabilization by response surface optimization', *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 13(3), pp. 813–824. doi: 10.1007/s13762-015-0904-0.
- Chu, C. H. and Lo, E. (2008) 'Uses of sodium fluoride varnish in dental practice.', *Annals of the Royal Australasian College of Dental Surgeons*, 19, pp. 58–61.
- Drouiche, N. et al. (2011) 'Development of an empirical model for fluoride removal from photovoltaic wastewater by electrocoagulation process', *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 29(1–3), pp. 96–102. doi: 10.5004/dwt.2011.1966. 2
- Freni, S. C. (1994) 'Exposure to high fluoride concentrations in drinking water is associated with decreased birth rates', *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*, 42(1), pp. 109–121. doi: 10.1080/15287399409531866.
- Gill, T., Tiwari, S. and Kumar, P. A. (2014) 'A Review on Feasibility of Conventional Fluoride Removal Techniques in Urban Areas', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Development*, 4(2), pp. 179–182.
- Hussain, I., Arif, M. and Hussain, J. (2012) 'Fluoride contamination in drinking water in rural habitations of Central Rajasthan, India', *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 184(8), pp. 5151–5158. doi: 10.1007/s10661-011-2329-7.
- Hydrology Project Training Module; Training module # WQ - 36 How to measure Fluoride: SPADNS Spectrophotometric Method. Webpage: <http://indiawrm.org/>

HP-1/download/36%20Measurement%20of%20Fluoride.pdf

Jolly, S. S. et al. (1968) 'Epidemiological, Clinical, and Biochemical Study of Endemic Dental and Skeletal Fluorosis in Punjab', *British Medical Journal*, 4(5628), pp. 427–429. doi: 10.1136/bmj.4.5628.427.

Karthikeyan, M., Kumar, K. K. S. and Elango, K. P. (2011) 'Batch sorption studies on the removal of fluoride ions from water using eco-friendly conducting polymer/biopolymer composites',

KheradPisheh, Z. et al. (2018) 'Impact of drinking water fluoride on human thyroid hormones: A case-control study', *Scientific Reports*. Springer US, 8(1), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20696-4.

Mamatha, P. and Rao, S. M. (2010) 'Geochemistry of fluoride rich groundwater in Kolar and Tumkur Districts of Karnataka', *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 61(1), pp. 131–142. doi: 10.1007/s12665-009-0331-y.

Mameri, N. et al. (2001) 'Defluoridation of Sahara water by small plant electrocoagulation using bipolar aluminium electrodes', *Separation and Purification Technology*, 24(1–2), pp. 113–119. doi: 10.1016/S1383-5866(00)00218-5.

Miyake, Y. et al. (2007) 'Determination of trace levels of total fluorine in water using combustion ion chromatography for fluorine: A mass balance approach to determine individual perfluorinated chemicals in water', *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1143(1–2), pp. 98–104. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2006.12.071.

N., K. et al. (2001) 'Geochemical appraisal of fluoride contamination of groundwater in the Nayagarh District of Orissa, India', *Environmental Geology*, 41(3–4), pp. 451–460. doi: 10.1007/s002540100414.

Nath, S. K. and Dutta, R. K. (2010) 'Fluoride removal from water using crushed limestone', *Indian Journal of Chemical Technology*, 17(2), pp. 120–125.

Ndiaye, P. I. et al. (2005) 'Removal of fluoride from electronic industrial effluent by RO membrane separation', *Desalination*, 173(1), pp. 25–32. doi: 10.1016/j.desal.2004.07.042.

PEDIATRICS (ISSN 0031 4005). Copyright © 1986 by the American Academy of Pediatrics; PEDIATRICS Vol. 77 No. 5 May 1986.

Webpage:<https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/pediatrics/77/5/758.full.pdf>

- Raj, D. and Shaji, E. (2017) 'Fluoride contamination in groundwater resources of Alleppey, southern India', *Geoscience Frontiers*, 8(1), pp. 117–124. doi: 10.1016/j.gsf.2016.01.002.
- Rao, S. M. et al. (2009) 'Re-use of fluoride contaminated bone char sludge in concrete', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 166(2–3), pp. 751–756. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.11.115.
- Riordan, P. J. (1989) 'Guidelines for the use of dietary fluoride supplements in Australia', *Australian Dental Journal*, 34(4), pp. 359–362. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.1989.tb04645.x.
- Salve, P. R. et al. (2008) 'Assessment of Groundwater Quality with Respect to Fluoride', *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 81(3), pp. 289–293. doi: 10.1007/s00128-008-9466-x.
- Sharma, P. P. et al. (2018) 'Mitigation of Fluoride from Brackish Water via Electrodialysis: An Environmentally Friendly Process', *ChemistrySelect*, 3(2), pp. 779–784. doi: 10.1002/slct.201701170.
- Subba Rao, N. (2006) 'Seasonal variation of groundwater quality in a part of Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh, India', *Environmental Geology*, 49(3), pp. 413–429. doi: 10.1007/s00254-005-0089-9.
- Sunitha, V. and Reddy, B. (2014) 'Determination of fluoride concentration in ground water by ion selective electrode', *Int. J. Curr. Res. Aca Rev*, 6(1), pp. 46–49. Available at: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.563.8972&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- Tafu, M. et al. (2016) 'Properties of sludge generated by the treatment of fluoride-containing wastewater with dicalcium phosphate dihydrate', *Euro-Mediterranean Journal for Environmental Integration*. Springer International Publishing, 1(1), pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1007/s41207-016-0005-6.
- Ugran, V. et al. (2017) 'Groundwater fluoride contamination and its possible health implications in Indi taluk of Vijayapura District (Karnataka State), India', *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 39(5), pp. 1017–1029. doi: 10.1007/s10653-016-9869-2.