

## Runaround/Not used in turn/First in, First out

This claim requires snapshots of the affected boards showing the standing of the affected Claimant and where the work went. With this you must show that the Claimant was rested, available, and that they stood first out for the assignment.

Lately, CMS and Corridor Managers will utilize extra boards in place of pool crews to give them extra flexibility, so we may need to show the FRA screen of the employees who were called to show that although they were called for a different assignment, they actually took work away from the Claimant.

An example appeal to this claim is:

“It is the position of the Organization that this claim is supported by the schedule rules and should be paid accordingly. While regularly assigned to the (pool or XB ID), the Claimant submitted his time claim for being mishandled by CMS when they were improperly run around. The Claimant was rested and available for call on (date and time) when a crew was ordered off of the (improper board) for (Job ID). (You can add a sentence or two stating why this work belongs to the Claimant or cite that the crew called actually worked a different train that should have gone to the Claimant). Please arrange to pay Claimant four hours at the applicable rate in accordance with BLET Rule 44(a).”

Note: This claim could change as far as the amount that is claimed, if the Claimant went to work immediately after and made less than the crew that caught the train they should have, the claim could be for a make whole, if that amount is greater than the flat four-hour runaround.