

RESULTS OF INDEPENDENT TESTING PROCOUSTIC ACOUSTICAL TILE & CEILING COATING

Acoustical Test

Tested By: Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories, Geneva, Illinois

Test Used: ASTM C423-89 and ASTM E785-83

<u>Purpose</u>: To determine whether the application of ProCoustic would negatively affect the

sound absorption qualities of an acoustical ceiling tile.

Results: A slight improvement in the Noise Reduction Coefficient (0.55 to 0.60) as a

result of applying ProCoustic to the tile surface.

Fire Test

Tested By: Factory Mutual Research, Norwood, Massachusetts

Test Used: ASTM E-84 (Steiner Tunnel Test)

Purpose: To determine if the application of ProCoustic would negatively affect the fire

retardant characteristics of an acoustical ceiling tile. Results: Flame spread reduced from 25 to 5

Smoke density reduced from 10 to 5

Light Reflectance Test

Tested By: Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories, Geneva, Illinois

Test Used: ASTM C-523-68

Purpose: To determine if the application of ProCoustic would negatively affect the

reflectance of light off a new acoustical ceiling tile.

Results: A slight improvement in the reflectance of light due to the application of

ProCoustic (0.81 to 0.88).

Combustion Toxicity Test

Tested By: Anderson Laboratories Inc., Dedham, Massachusetts

<u>Test Used</u>: The protocol of the University of Pittsburgh for evaluation of acute toxicity of thermal composition products.

<u>Purpose</u>: To determine if the application of ProCoustic to an acoustical ceiling tile would negatively affect the toxicity values of the combined products (tile with ProCoustic applied).

<u>Results</u>: Within the limits of this test, ProCoustic is non-toxic. When added to another material, such as an acoustical ceiling tile, it improves the smoke toxicity test values.


Aging (Longevity) Test

Tested By: DL Laboratories, New York, NY

Test Used: ASTM E-313

<u>Purpose</u>: To (1) determine the effects of time and exposure to ultra violet on a new acoustical ceiling tile with ProCoustic on the surface and, (2) compare the results with an untreated new factory coated acoustical ceiling tile and one coated with a high quality latex paint on the surface. The three samples were tested at the same time and subjected to the same exposure.

<u>Results</u>: The ProCoustic sample performed the best of te three. ProCoustic has a higher Whiteness Index Value and maintained that advantage over time. The lowest Whiteness Index Value was recorded by the new tile sample. See graph below.



More detailed testing information available upon request.