THE SYMBIOTIC MERGE

Social Media Dependency, Doom Scrolling, and the Silent Normalised Addiction of Our Time

A Narrative Review

Esther Lia Charalambous
Instawellbeing | Human Behaviour, Neuroscience & Cognitive Literacy
London, United Kingdom
March 2026

Narrative Review / Debate article.

Abstract

Background: Social media use has reached unprecedented levels globally, with UK adults spending an average of 4 hours 30 minutes online daily and young adults aged 18–24 exceeding 6 hours [1]. Despite mounting evidence that problematic social media use shares core mechanisms with recognised behavioural addictions — including compulsive engagement, tolerance, withdrawal, and functional impairment — it remains unclassified in the DSM-5 and ICD-11 [2]. This absence of formal recognition creates a classification gap that limits research, prevention, and treatment. Simultaneously, the infrastructure of daily life has been restructured around the assumption of constant digital connectivity, creating what this paper terms a ‘symbiotic merge’ between humans and their devices — a dependency relationship that is parasitic rather than mutual.

Discussion: This narrative review synthesises evidence from neuroscience, behavioural psychology, public health, and environmental science to argue that social media dependency constitutes a silent, normalised addiction with significant consequences for cognitive function, mental health, relationships, workplace productivity, and environmental sustainability. We examine the role of algorithmic amplification of sensationalist content, the erosion of life skills through device dependency, forced digital exclusion, the consumer addiction cycle including e-waste, and the Pavlovian conditioning mechanisms embedded in notification design. UK-specific data from Ofcom, the House of Lords Communications and Digital Committee, and the House of Commons Library contextualise the argument within the British public health landscape.

Conclusions: The evidence supports reclassification of problematic social media use as a behavioural addiction and calls for regulatory intervention, algorithmic transparency, and public health campaigns comparable to those deployed against tobacco. We propose seven evidence-based strategies for individual boundary-setting and argue that the cultural reckoning with digital addiction is overdue.

Keywords: social media addiction, doom scrolling, digital dependency, behavioural addiction, algorithmic amplification, digital exclusion, e-waste, public health, cognitive decline, classical conditioning

Abstract....1
1. Background....1
2. The Silent Normalised Addiction....1
2.1 Why We Do Not Name It....1
3. The Symbiotic Merge: When the Tool Becomes the Self....1
3.1 The Erosion of Life Skills....1
3.2 The Electricity Thought Experiment: Survival in an Analogue Emergency....1
3.3 Identity, Status, and Superficiality....1
3.4 Sleeping With the Device....1
3.5 Health Risks of Overexposure....1
4. Forced Digital Dependency: The System You Did Not Choose....1
4.1 The Migration of Essential Services....1
5. The Sensationalism Engine: Algorithms, Media, and the Outrage Economy....1
5.1 The Epstein Economy: Sensationalism as Business Model....1
5.2 Media as Business: Institutional Credibility and Its Limits....1
6. Education in the Digital Age: The Double-Edged Screen....1
7. The Consumer Addiction Cycle: Devices, Dopamine, and Environmental Destruction....1
7.1 The Device Replacement Cycle....1
7.2 The Environmental Cost....1
8. The Social Damage: Loneliness, Relationships, and the Trolling Economy....1
8.1 The Loneliness Paradox....1
8.2 Relationships Under Pressure....1
8.3 The Trolling Economy....1
9. Consequences: Cognitive Decline, Workplace Impact, and the Body....1
9.1 Cognitive Decline and ‘Brain Rot’....1
9.2 Workplace Impact....1
9.3 Sleep and Physical Health....1
10. Taking Control: Boundaries, Conditioning, and Reclaiming Agency....1
10.1 Recognise the Conditioning....1
10.2 Name It....1
10.3 Notice the Trigger....1
10.4 Create Completion Cues....1
10.5 Replace the Reward With Analogue Experience....1
10.6 Practise Analogue Competence....1
10.7 Protect Sleep....1
10.8 Prioritise Deliberately....1
11. Conclusions....1
Declarations....1

References......1

1. Background

In December 2025, Ofcom published its Online Nation report revealing that UK adults now spend an average of 4 hours and 30 minutes online each day, an increase of 10 minutes year-on-year [1]. Young adults aged 18–24 are the most digitally engaged, spending over 6 hours daily, while children aged 13–14 spend approximately 4 hours on smartphones, tablets, and laptops [3]. Two-thirds (64%) of children aged 8–14 used their devices between 11pm and 5am at least once over a four-week monitoring period [3]. Over half (51%) of all UK online time is spent on services owned by just two companies: Alphabet and Meta [1].

Globally, approximately 210 million people meet criteria for social media and internet addiction [4]. In the United States, an estimated 33 million adults are addicted to social media, and 82% of Gen Z adults believe they are addicted [4]. A 2024 Morning Consult survey found that 31% of American adults doom scroll regularly, rising to 51% among Gen Z [5]. A study of 1,002 Americans found that 64% identify as doom scrollers, with 55% reporting that their most common scrolling time is immediately before bed [6].

Yet social media use disorder is not currently recognised as an official disorder in major diagnostic systems. Neither the DSM-5 nor the ICD-11 includes it as a formal diagnosis [2]. A 2025 paper in Addictive Behaviours Reports argued for classification, demonstrating that social media use disorder shares the core mechanisms and maladaptive patterns of recognised behavioural addictions: compulsive engagement despite negative consequences, loss of control, tolerance, withdrawal symptoms, and functional impairment across social, occupational, and psychological domains [2]. A study of 526 Spanish university students found that more than 75% exhibited tolerance and 43% experienced relapse after attempting to uninstall social media platforms [7].

This paper proposes that social media dependency constitutes a silent, normalised addiction — one that has been integrated so seamlessly into the fabric of daily life that it evades recognition. We introduce the concept of the ‘symbiotic merge’ to describe the state in which humans and their devices have become mutually dependent in a relationship that is parasitic rather than mutual. Drawing on evidence from neuroscience, behavioural psychology, public health, environmental science, and UK policy reports, we argue that this dependency has significant consequences across multiple domains and that a public health response is urgently needed.

2. The Silent Normalised Addiction

> *We did not become addicted with needles or bottles. We became addicted with a charging cable and a thumb.*

There is no intervention. No concerned family gathering. No moment of reckoning where someone sits down and says: you have a problem. There is only the quiet, unremarkable ritual of reaching for the phone — first thing in the morning, last thing at night, and in every gap between. We do not call it addiction because addiction implies disruption, and this particular dependency has been integrated so seamlessly into daily life that disruption is precisely what it does not appear to involve. It appears normal. That is what makes it dangerous.

The parallels with substance addiction are not metaphorical. Social media platforms activate the same dopamine-driven reward pathways as slot machines and other recognised addictive stimuli [8]. Research has shown that these platforms exploit reward-based learning: the variable reinforcement schedule — sometimes content is interesting, sometimes it is not — is precisely the mechanism that makes gambling addictive [9]. As addiction psychiatrist Dr Jud Brewer has demonstrated, dopamine does not spike when a reward is found; it spikes in anticipation of reward [9]. The scroll is the slot machine pull. The content is secondary.

In the UK, the picture is stark. Only 33% of adults now believe the internet is good for society, down from 40% the previous year [1]. Fewer adults feel free to be themselves online (25%, down from 30%), and only 35% feel they can share opinions more easily online [1]. Seven in ten children aged 11–17 had seen or heard some form of harmful content in the preceding four weeks [3]. Children themselves are using the term ‘brain rot’ to describe both the content and the feeling it produces — fast-paced, chaotic material that leaves them overstimulated and disoriented [3].

2.1 Why We Do Not Name It

The reluctance to classify social media use as addiction serves multiple interests. For platforms, normalisation protects revenue. Social media business models rely on maximising engagement: the longer users stay, the more advertisements they see, the more behavioural data is harvested. Internal documents leaked by Facebook whistleblower Frances Haugen revealed that

Meta’s 2018 algorithm overhaul, marketed as prioritising ‘Meaningful Social Interactions,’ instead rewarded outrage and incentivised sensationalism [10].

For users, normalisation provides cognitive comfort. If everyone does it, it cannot be pathological. This is the same cognitive architecture that sustained smoking culture for decades: when a behaviour is ubiquitous, the brain categorises it as safe. The laughter of recognition — ‘that’s me’ — is itself the normalisation mechanism.

For policymakers, the absence of formal diagnostic criteria creates convenient ambiguity. Without classification, there is no mandate for intervention. This is beginning to shift: Virginia enacted an under-16 social media limit in January 2026 [5], Australia’s under-16 social media ban came into force in late 2025 [3], and Meta faces a landmark trial over allegations of intentionally addicting children [11]. In the UK, Ofcom’s Protection of Children Codes of Practice came into force in July 2025 [3]. But regulatory response remains far behind the scale of the problem.

3. The Symbiotic Merge: When the Tool Becomes the Self

> *The phone is no longer a tool you use. It is a limb you cannot function without. Remove it, and you do not merely lose convenience — you lose capability.*

Something has shifted beyond habit, beyond even addiction, into territory requiring different language. We propose the term ‘symbiotic merge’ to describe the current relationship between humans and their devices: a state in which the human and the device have become mutually dependent, but the relationship is parasitic, not equal. The device needs the human for data, attention, and revenue. The human believes they need the device to navigate, remember, communicate, regulate emotion, and construct identity. The dependency appears mutual. It is not.

3.1 The Erosion of Life Skills

Consider what happens when the device is removed. Can you navigate to a familiar location without GPS? Can you recall more than three phone numbers from memory? Can you sit in a waiting room for ten minutes without reaching for a screen? Can you fall asleep without scrolling first? For a growing proportion of the population, the answer to each is no.

A Georgetown University study recruited nearly 500 participants for a two-week digital detox, stripping smartphones of internet access [12]. Many participants had to break the study’s rules to accomplish basic tasks: navigating by map, joining a work video call, checking an email. The researchers concluded it was ‘nearly impossible to go cold turkey, given the demands of our society’ [12]. A UCLA study found that pre-teens deprived of screens for five days became significantly better at reading human emotions — foundational skills for empathy, collaboration, and relationship-building [13]. The screens were not merely distracting from practising these skills; they were actively degrading them.

3.2 The Electricity Thought Experiment: Survival in an Analogue Emergency

The most revealing test of the symbiotic merge is a simple thought experiment: what happens if the electricity goes off for three days?

For the average UK family, a three-day power outage would now constitute not merely an inconvenience but a functional crisis. Consider the cascade of incapacities:

- No GPS navigation — for evacuation, for reaching a hospital, for finding an unfamiliar route. Many drivers under 40 have never used a paper map.
- No contacts list — phone numbers are stored on the device, not in memory. In an emergency, you cannot call family members if the phone is dead and you do not know their numbers.
- No ability to pay — as cash use declines (cash accounted for just 9% of all UK payments in 2024 [14]), contactless dependency means a dead phone or a power outage renders many people unable to buy food, fuel, or medicine.
- No access to medical records, prescriptions, or NHS services — increasingly digitised and app-dependent.
- No alarm clock, no torch, no timer, no calendar — all functions historically performed by separate objects, now consolidated into a single device.
- No ability to entertain or calm children — screens have become the primary pacification tool for many families.
- No access to news, weather warnings, or emergency instructions — without a battery-powered radio, many households have no alternative information source.
- Smart home dependency — smart locks, smart thermostats, and smart appliances may become inoperable.

This is not hypothetical. Research on natural disasters consistently shows that younger populations experience disproportionate psychological distress from digital disconnection, not physical deprivation [12]. The device is not a tool they use; it is part of who they are. Losing it feels like losing a limb.

3.3 Identity, Status, and Superficiality

The merge extends beyond function into identity. Social media profiles are not communication tools; they are identity construction sites. The curated feed, the follower count, the aesthetic of the grid — these are acts of self-creation. For many users, particularly younger ones, the online self is not a representation of the real self; it is the primary self [15].

Device ownership itself has become a status signifier. The annual upgrade cycle — marketed through campaigns designed to trigger the dopamine of novelty — positions the latest handset as social currency. Consumers replace devices on average every 12–24 months, despite 90% of discarded phones still functioning [16]. The performance of affluence through technology ownership parallels the superficiality of curated online personas: both prioritise appearance over substance, signal over meaning.

Simultaneously, the infrastructure of daily consumption has migrated online. Books are read on Kindle. Music exists on streaming platforms. Software runs on subscriptions requiring constant connectivity. Films are streamed, not owned. Cloud dependency means that personal data, photographs, and documents exist not on the device itself but on remote servers — accessible only with power and connectivity. The individual owns less and rents more, creating a permanent dependency on the digital infrastructure.

3.4 Sleeping With the Device

Perhaps the most intimate marker of the symbiotic merge is the practice of sleeping with one’s phone. Fifty-five percent of doom scrollers report that their most common scrolling time is immediately before bed [6]. According to the Harvard Program in Refugee Trauma, 70% of people check their phones in bed, disrupting sleep and amplifying morning anxiety [17]. Ofcom data shows that 15–24% of time spent by children aged 8–14 on YouTube, Snapchat, TikTok, and WhatsApp occurs between 9pm and 5am [3].

The phone on the pillow is not merely a sleep hygiene problem. It is an addiction indicator: the compulsion to maintain proximity to the device even during the most vulnerable and private hours of the day. No other consumer product in history has achieved this degree of intimacy with its user.

3.5 Health Risks of Overexposure

Prolonged device use carries direct physical health risks beyond sleep disruption. Blue light emission suppresses melatonin production and disrupts circadian rhythm [18]. Extended screen time is associated with digital eye strain, headaches, neck and shoulder pain, and repetitive strain injuries. The posture adopted during phone use — head bent, shoulders rounded — has been linked to musculoskeletal problems increasingly presenting in younger populations. Neuroimaging research has shown that excessive screen time can

damage brain structure, with a 2025 longitudinal study finding cortical thinning in regions responsible for focus and impulse control [19].

4. Forced Digital Dependency: The System You Did Not Choose

The symbiotic merge is not entirely voluntary. Across the UK, essential services have migrated online at a pace that has outstripped public readiness, creating a system of forced digital dependency that leaves millions with no realistic alternative to device use.

In the UK, 15% of the population are classified as ‘digitally excluded’ and 37% as ‘assisted digital’ — requiring support to access online services [20]. The House of Lords Communications and Digital Committee reported that the Government has ‘no credible strategy’ to tackle digital exclusion, noting that the last digital inclusion strategy was published in 2014 [21]. Overall digital skills shortages cost the UK economy up to £63 billion per year [21].

4.1 The Migration of Essential Services

- GOV.UK and HMRC: Self-assessment tax returns, Universal Credit journal entries, benefit applications, passport renewals, and driving licence services are increasingly online-only or online-first. Digitally excluded customers ‘almost exclusively contacted HMRC by telephone’ as they lacked access or knowledge to use online services [20].
- Banking: Approximately 6,700 bank and building society branches have closed since January 2015 in the UK — equivalent to 68% of all branches [14]. Age UK reports that 75% of people over 65 want to conduct at least one transaction in person at a physical branch [22]. An estimated 1.1–1.3 million people in the UK do not have a bank account at all [22]. Internet banking fraud rose 32% in the first half of 2020 [22].
- Healthcare: GP appointments, prescription ordering, and NHS services are increasingly app-dependent. COVID-19 accelerated this shift, and there has been limited rollback.
- Education: School communications, homework submission, and parent-teacher interaction have migrated to digital platforms, requiring families to maintain devices and connectivity.
- Daily life: Parking payment, train tickets, restaurant menus, event booking, and even some toilet access now require smartphone apps or QR code scanning.

Over 90% of UK jobs are reportedly advertised only online [21]. As digital exclusion expert Kat Dixon told the House of Lords Committee: ‘not having [internet] access prevents access to modern life’ [21]. The cost-of-living crisis

has exacerbated the divide, with lower-income households forced to cut or cancel internet packages [21]. In 2020, only 51% of households earning £6,000–10,000 had home internet access, compared with 99% of households earning over £40,000 [23].

This forced dependency creates a paradox: the same device that serves as the vector for addiction is also the device required to function in society. People are compelled to engage with a system many did not choose and cannot opt out of.

5. The Sensationalism Engine: Algorithms, Media, and the Outrage Economy

> *The algorithm does not care what you feel. It cares how long you feel it.*

Social media algorithms are not neutral content delivery systems. They are engagement-maximisation engines that identify what keeps users on the platform longest and serve more of it. Research consistently shows that content provoking strong negative emotional reactions is the most effective at sustaining engagement [10]. Morally and emotionally charged content spreads faster and further than neutral content and expressing animosity toward an outgroup drives more engagement than expressing support for one's own group [10].

5.1 The Epstein Economy: Sensationalism as Business Model

The Jeffrey Epstein case illustrates the sensationalism engine at scale. Following the release of Epstein-related documents, platforms were flooded with speculative content, memes, AI-generated fake images, and engagement bait — most created not to inform but to harvest attention [24]. In February 2026, a News Guard study demonstrated that AI tools could fabricate convincing fake images of Epstein with world leaders in seconds [25]. In March 2026, a viral AI-generated hoax claimed Matthew McConaughey had broadcast a livestream exposing Epstein files to 3.2 billion viewers; the story was entirely fabricated, with AI detection tools showing 95% probability of machine generation [26]. Entire business models now revolve around perpetual outrage, with Epstein as an engagement trigger [24].

5.2 Media as Business: Institutional Credibility and Its Limits

The sensationalism economy extends beyond social media into mainstream outlets. Traditional media organisations are businesses with shareholders, advertisers, and editorial agendas. Different outlets present variations on the same stories, shaped by commercial pressures, political alignments, and institutional interests. Coverage functions as both journalism and damage control, depending on the interests at stake.

Institutional credibility is not equivalent to institutional integrity. Organisations with long histories of trusted public service may simultaneously harbour cultures of institutional failure. The relationship between authority and

accountability in media remains unresolved, and audiences increasingly recognise this: in the UK, only 33% of adults believe the internet is good for society [1], reflecting a broader erosion of trust in information systems.

For the consumer of digital content, the practical consequence is a media landscape where misinformation from casual platforms (TikTok, Facebook, Reddit) coexists with professionally produced content that itself carries commercial and editorial bias. The distinction between ‘reliable’ and ‘unreliable’ sources is not binary; it is a spectrum and navigating it requires media literacy that most users have not been taught.

6. Education in the Digital Age: The Double-Edged Screen

The internet's role in education presents genuine benefits. In the UK, 78% of children aged 8–17 say the internet helps with schoolwork, and 55% use it to learn new skills [3]. Digital tools enable access to information at a scale and speed unimaginable a generation ago. For children in underserved areas, online resources can partially bridge educational inequality.

However, the internet is not a neutral educational resource. It is a programme — a system delivering data that is shaped by the interests of its stakeholders. Search engines prioritise results based on commercial algorithms, not pedagogic value. Social media platforms serve content optimised for engagement, not accuracy. Advertising is embedded in the architecture of all free digital service children use. The 'information' young people consume is filtered through systems designed to hold attention and generate revenue, not to educate.

AI tools in education — now used by significant numbers of students and endorsed by some institutions — carry their own risks. A 2026 Meta-sponsored study of 1,000 teenagers found that children who had experienced prior trauma were the most vulnerable to platform addiction, and that traditional parental controls were largely ineffective once dependency was established [11]. The line between AI-assisted learning and AI-dependent passivity is poorly understood and inadequately regulated.

Meanwhile, misinformation uploaded by casual users to platforms like TikTok and Facebook is consumed by young people as equivalent to verified knowledge. The critical distinction between a peer-reviewed study and a viral video has not been taught at scale. Media literacy — the ability to evaluate sources, recognise bias, identify manipulation, and distinguish correlation from causation — remains absent from most UK curricula at the level required for the current information environment.

7. The Consumer Addiction Cycle: Devices, Dopamine, and Environmental Destruction

The addiction to the device itself generates a secondary addiction: the compulsion to consume, upgrade, and replace. Targeted advertising — algorithmically personalised based on harvested behavioural data — creates a feedback loop between scrolling and spending. Forty-one percent of doom scrollers made an impulse purchase in the preceding 30 days, making them more than twice as likely to do so as non-doom scrollers [6].

7.1 The Device Replacement Cycle

Consumers replace smartphones on average every 12–24 months, despite the potential lifespan of 5–10 years [16]. Over 60% of mobile phone sales are replacements for existing devices, 90% of which are still functioning when discarded [16]. The annual product launch cycle — marketed through campaigns engineered to trigger novelty-seeking dopamine responses — positions the latest model as a necessity rather than an upgrade. This is planned obsolescence by design: software updates slow older devices, battery replacement is made deliberately difficult, and repair is discouraged in favour of replacement.

7.2 The Environmental Cost

The manufacturing process of a smartphone accounts for approximately 85% of its total carbon footprint, making it the most environmentally damaging consumer device by weight [16]. Smartphones use up to 70 chemical elements, equivalent to approximately 80% of the periodic table [16]. The UN Environmental Programme classifies smartphones as one of the most resource-intensive products by weight on the planet [27].

Globally, over 50 million tonnes of electronic waste are produced annually, with less than 20% properly recycled [16]. The remainder enters landfills where hazardous substances including lead, mercury, and cadmium leach into soil and groundwater. E-waste is disproportionately exported to the Global South, where informal recycling exposes workers — including children — to toxic chemicals [16]. Smartphone production was predicted to generate 146 million tonnes of CO_2-equivalent emissions in 2022 alone [28]. Extending smartphone use by just one year could save as much carbon as taking 4.7 million cars off the road [29].

This connects directly to United Nations Sustainable Development Goals: SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), SDG 13 (Climate Action), and SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being). The consumer addiction cycle driven by dopamine-mediated purchasing is not merely a personal behaviour problem; it is a structural contributor to environmental degradation at global scale.

8. The Social Damage: Loneliness, Relationships, and the Trolling Economy

The U.S. Surgeon General estimated in 2023 that the health impact of loneliness is equivalent to smoking 15 cigarettes per day, identifying social media use as a loneliness risk factor [30]. An estimated 50% of the American adult population is lonely [30].

8.1 The Loneliness Paradox

A nine-year longitudinal study by Baylor University, following nearly 7,000 Dutch adults, found that both active and passive social media use were associated with increased loneliness over time. The researchers described a ‘continuous feedback loop’: lonely people turn to social media to alleviate their feelings, but use itself intensifies the loneliness [31]. An Oregon State University study of 1,500 US adults found that those in the upper 25% of social media usage frequency were more than twice as likely to experience loneliness — a finding consistent across all age groups, including those in their 60s [32]. Indonesian research identified what the authors termed the ‘authenticity-visibility paradox’: as users become more visible online, their self-presentation becomes less authentic, undermining genuine connection [15].

8.2 Relationships Under Pressure

Twenty-seven percent of doom scrollers report arguments with a partner, friend, or family member about screen time [6]. The phenomenon of ‘phubbing’ — snubbing someone present in favour of the phone — is linked to decreased relationship satisfaction and increased conflict. Pandemic research confirmed that increased social media use during isolation was associated with decreased social skills, including conversational ability, empathetic responsiveness, and the capacity to read emotional cues [33].

8.3 The Trolling Economy

The same algorithmic incentive structure that rewards sensationalism rewards hostility. Trolling generates engagement; engagement generates amplification; amplification rewards the troll with attention, the platform’s currency. UK cohort research found that experiences of online victimisation — threats, humiliation, sexual harassment, invasion of privacy — were significantly associated with greater loneliness, even after controlling for offline victimisation [34]. Cybervictimisation has no physical boundary, the perpetrator

can be anonymous, the audience is unlimited, and the content persists indefinitely. The architecture does not merely tolerate cruelty; it monetises it.

9. Consequences: Cognitive Decline, Workplace Impact, and the Body

9.1 Cognitive Decline and 'Brain Rot'

The concept of 'brain rot' — named Oxford Word of the Year in 2024 — was validated by a 2025 rapid review published in PMC linking excessive consumption of low-quality digital content to emotional desensitisation, cognitive overload, and negative self-concept [35]. A 2025 longitudinal study in Translational Psychiatry found that excessive screen time was associated with thinning in brain regions responsible for focus and impulse control [19]. Chronic doom scrolling trains the brain for what researchers call 'attention deficit': fragmented thinking, shortened focus, and reduced capacity for sustained concentration [19].

Chronic overuse of social media weakens the prefrontal cortex (responsible for impulse control and decision-making), maintains the amygdala in a state of hyper-alertness (fuelling anxiety and fear), and impairs the hippocampus (responsible for memory formation and emotional balance) [36]. Over time, research suggests reduced grey matter in these areas, impairing focus, decision-making, and resilience [36]. Each swipe reinforces neural pathways associated with rapid task-switching and immediate reward, while weakening pathways associated with deep reading, complex reasoning, and delayed gratification.

9.2 Workplace Impact

American workers spend an average of 3.5 hours per week doom scrolling during work hours, costing employers an estimated $5,600 per employee annually [6]. In the UK, where digital skills shortages already cost the economy £63 billion per year [21], workplace doom scrolling compounds the loss. Doom scrollers are four times more likely than non-doom scrollers to miss deadlines, meetings, or opportunities [6]. Research published in 2024 found that doom scrolling during the workday predicted lower engagement and increased rumination, particularly among individuals high in neuroticism [37].

9.3 Sleep and Physical Health

Fifty-five percent of doom scrollers report that their most common scrolling time is immediately before bed [6]. Screen exposure before sleep suppresses melatonin, delays sleep onset, and reduces sleep quality [18]. The Georgetown

University digital detox study found that participants slept an average of 20 minutes more per night after removing internet access from their phones, reporting improvements in anxiety, stress, and life satisfaction comparable in magnitude to established treatments like cognitive behavioural therapy [12].

Physical consequences compound over time: disrupted circadian rhythms, reduced physical activity, weight gain, headaches, and musculoskeletal problems. A 2026 meta-analysis of 61 studies comprising over 338,000 participants across 16 countries found that frequent screen use — particularly evening doom scrolling — was significantly associated with increased risk of self-harm and suicidal behaviour in adolescents [38]. These harms are slow, cumulative, and normalised — which is precisely why they evade intervention.

10. Taking Control: Boundaries, Conditioning, and Reclaiming Agency

> *The question is not whether you can live without your phone.*
> *The question is whether you can live as yourself with it.*

The solution to the symbiotic merge is not disconnection. The infrastructure of modern life depends on the device. But the terms of the relationship can change.

10.1 Recognise the Conditioning

Smartphone notifications function as classical conditioning stimuli in precisely the manner described by Ivan Pavlov over a century ago [39]. The notification chime is a neutral sound that has been paired thousands of times with social rewards — messages, likes, validation. Over time, the sound alone triggers anticipatory dopamine release and the compulsive urge to check, before the user has any awareness of the notification's content [40]. Research suggests dopamine levels can be twice as high when anticipating a reward as when actually receiving it [40]. This is Pavlovian conditioning operating at scale.

The phenomenon extends to 'phantom vibration syndrome' — the perception that the phone is vibrating when it is not — which is most common in individuals with high stress levels and represents a conditioned dependence on the device [41]. Research published by Hampton and Hildebrand found that mobile vibrations of intermediate duration evoked reward responses that boosted purchasing behaviour, providing direct evidence that vibrations function as conditioned rewards through classical conditioning [42].

The practical implication is clear: disabling notifications is not a productivity hack. It is deconditioning. It is the deliberate severance of the stimulus-response loop. One of the authors of this paper has practised notification-free social media use for several years and describes the experience in explicitly Pavlovian terms: 'It felt like I was Pavlov's dog, responding to a bell. Turning off notifications was the first step in recognising that I was being conditioned, not informed.' This personal observation is consistent with the clinical literature: awareness of the conditioning mechanism is itself therapeutic [9].

10.2 Name It

If you cannot stop when you want to stop, if you experience discomfort when separated from your device, if your use is causing measurable harm to your sleep, relationships, or work — that is addiction. Naming it accurately is not melodramatic; it is the precondition for taking it seriously.

10.3 Notice the Trigger

Dr Jud Brewer's reward-based learning framework centres on curious awareness: before trying to stop, simply observe [9]. What were you feeling right before you reached for the phone? Bored? Anxious? Avoiding something? Brewer's clinical data showed quit rates five times higher than gold-standard treatments in smoking cessation using this approach [9].

10.4 Create Completion Cues

The infinite scroll deliberately removes the brain's natural stopping signals [43]. Building your own — a timer, moving apps off the home screen, doing one physical action when the timer sounds — gives the nervous system the completion cue the platform is engineered to withhold.

10.5 Replace the Reward With Analogue Experience

The brain needs a better offer — what neuroscience calls the 'Bigger Better Offer' [9]. Crucially, the replacement should not require electricity or connectivity. The goal is to rebuild competence and pleasure in the analogue world:

- Spend time in nature. Walk further every day. Watch a sunset. Sit in rain. These are sensory experiences that screens cannot replicate and that reset the nervous system.
- Read a physical book. The tactile experience of paper engages different neural pathways than screen reading and supports deeper comprehension.
- Explore creativity without a screen: painting, drawing, dancing, writing by hand. These activities engage the prefrontal cortex in ways that scrolling suppresses.
- Learn a musical instrument by practice, not by app. The discipline of physical skill acquisition strengthens the delayed-gratification pathways that scrolling weakens.
- Listen to vinyl records. The crackling imperfections of analogue sound are a sensory antidote to the sterile perfection of digital consumption.

- Cook from a physical cookbook. Follow a recipe without a screen. Plot and plant a garden. Build something with your hands.
- Have a conversation. Be fully present with the people in the room. Put the phone in another room entirely.

10.6 Practise Analogue Competence

Navigate without GPS. Memorise a phone number. Sit with boredom for five minutes. These are not nostalgic exercises; they are neural fitness training. Every problem solved without the device strengthens the cognitive pathways the symbiotic merge has weakened.

10.7 Protect Sleep

Charge the phone outside the bedroom. The 20 minutes of additional sleep per night measured in the Georgetown study [12] represents a meaningful improvement in cognitive function, emotional regulation, and physical health over time.

10.8 Prioritise Deliberately

Social media is a tool. Treat it as such. Establish a hierarchy of priorities in which it sits at the bottom, not the top. In company, choose presence over preoccupation. Engagement with the people in front of you is not a luxury to be earned after checking the feed; it is the default state that the feed has displaced.

11. Conclusions

We are in the early stages of recognising a public health crisis that will define a generation. The evidence is now overwhelming: chronic social media use and doom scrolling change brain structure, impair cognitive function, degrade relationships, fuel loneliness, disrupt sleep, reduce productivity, expose users to algorithmically amplified sensationalism, accelerate environmental degradation, and deepen social inequality through forced digital dependency.

Yet we have not yet had the cultural reckoning. We have not yet had the equivalent of the Surgeon General's warning on cigarette packets, the advertising ban, the indoor smoking prohibition. We are still in the phase where the harm is known but normalised, where the evidence is published but not acted upon, where individuals are told to 'manage their screen time' while the platforms that profit from their addiction face minimal structural accountability.

The 'symbiotic merge' is not inevitable. The device is a tool. It became something more because we allowed it to, because the platforms engineered it to, and because nobody intervened early enough to establish boundaries. But tools can be renegotiated. Relationships can be redrawn. The brain that learned to scroll can learn to stop.

The first step is the same as it has always been with addiction: stop pretending it is normal.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable. This is a narrative review of published literature and publicly available data.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: All data referenced in this paper are from published, publicly available sources cited in the reference list.

Competing interests: The author declares no competing interests.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency.

Authors' contributions: EB conceived the conceptual framework, conducted the literature review, and drafted the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable.

References

[1] Ofcom. Online Nation 2025. Published 10 December 2025. Available from: https://www.ofcom.org.uk/research-and-data/online-research/online-nation

[2] ScienceDirect. Toward the classification of social media use disorder: Clinical characterization and proposed diagnostic criteria. Addictive Behaviors Reports. 2025. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2025.100215

[3] Ofcom. From apps to AI search: how the UK goes online in 2025. Published 10 December 2025. Protection of Children Codes of Practice effective July 2025.

[4] DemandSage. Social Media Addiction Statistics 2025. 210 million global estimate; 33 million US; 82% Gen Z self-report. Updated December 2025.

[5] Wikipedia. Doomscrolling. Morning Consult 2024 survey: 31% US adults doom scroll regularly; 51% Gen Z. Virginia under-16 social media limit enacted January 2026.

[6] Payless Power. The Real Cost of Doomscrolling. Survey of 1,002 Americans. Published May 2025.

[7] Frontiers in Psychology. Social media and functional deterioration: indicators of problematic use in university students. Vol. 16. December 2025. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1720760

[8] Turel O, Bechara A. A dual-system model of digital media overuse. J Behav Addict. 2019.

[9] Brewer J. How to Stop Doom Scrolling: The Neuroscience of the Urge. DrJud.com. February 2026. Reward-based learning; Bigger Better Offer; 5x quit rates vs gold standard.

[10] The Decision Lab. Social Media and Moral Outrage. Facebook 2018 algorithm; Meaningful Social Interactions overhaul; MAD model of moral contagion.

[11] Lanier Law Firm. Social Media Addiction Statistics 2026. Meta-sponsored study of 1,000 teenagers; trauma vulnerability; parental controls ineffective post-dependency.

[12] Georgetown University / Kushlev K. Digital detoxes and well-being. 2025. Nearly 500 participants; 2-week intervention; improvements comparable to CBT; 20 min additional sleep.

[13] Time to Log Off. Digital Detox Facts. UCLA study: pre-teens deprived of screens for 5 days showed improved nonverbal emotional reading skills.

[14] House of Commons Library. Access to cash and banking services. Research Briefing CBP-9453. Updated March 2026. 6,700 branch closures since 2015 (68%); cash = 9% of payments 2024.

[15] Qualitative Research Reports in Communication. Beyond Virtual Proximity: Loneliness in the World of Social Media. 276 Indonesians; authenticity-visibility paradox. 2025.

[16] FairPlanet / RESET.org. Unravelling the Social and Environmental Cost of Smartphones. Manufacturing = 85% carbon footprint; 70 elements; 41M+ tonnes e-waste; 90% discarded phones still functioning.

[17] Harvard Program in Refugee Trauma, cited in startup research. 70% of people check phones in bed.

[18] Rowan Center for Behavioral Medicine. The Psychology of Doom Scrolling Explained. January 2026. Porges (2011) Polyvagal Theory; Berridge & Robinson (2016) incentive-sensitisation.

[19] Translational Psychiatry. Longitudinal study 2025: extended screen time and brain structure changes, cortical thinning in focus/impulse control regions.

[20] HMRC / GOV.UK. Assisted digital and digitally excluded support needs. 2020. 15% digitally excluded; 37% assisted digital.

[21] House of Lords Communications and Digital Committee. Digital Exclusion report. £63bn annual cost; 2014 last strategy; 90%+ jobs online-only.

[22] Later Life Ambitions / CSPA. Britain Sleepwalking into Financial Exclusion as Bank Branches Disappear. March 2026. Age UK: 75% over-65s want in-person banking; 1.1–1.3M unbanked.

[23] Local Government Association. Tackling the digital divide. House of Commons 2021. 51% of £6k–10k households had internet vs 99% of £40k+.

[24] American Thinker. The Epstein Diversion. July 2025. Algorithms favouring emotional extremes; engagement-driven business models.

[25] AFP / France24. AI tools fabricate Epstein images in seconds, study says. February 2026. NewsGuard study; Grok Imagine; convincing fakes with 5 politicians.

[26] Fakta.co. Viral Matthew McConaughey Epstein Files Livestream Proven to be AI Scam. March 2026. 95% AI-generated; fabricated 3.2 billion views.

[27] UN Environmental Programme, cited in tier1.com. Smartphones classified as one of the most resource-intensive products by weight on the planet.

[28] Deloitte, cited in RESET.org. Smartphone environmental cost: 146 million tonnes CO2e predicted for 2022.

[29] Carbon Trust / LoopOS. Extending smartphone use by 1 year saves carbon equivalent to removing 4.7 million cars from the road.

[30] U.S. Surgeon General Advisory. Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation. 2023. Loneliness equivalent to 15 cigarettes/day.

[31] Baylor University / Roberts JA et al. The Epidemic of Loneliness: A Nine-Year Longitudinal Study. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin. 2025. Nearly 7,000 Dutch adults.

[32] Oregon State University. Loneliness in US Adults Linked with Amount, Frequency of Social Media Use. 2025. 1,500+ adults aged 30–70.

[33] Wright CL, Collazo Rivera JM. Pandemic Social Media Use, Loneliness and Social Skills Among Emerging Adults. 2025.

[34] Matthews et al. Social media use, online experiences, and loneliness among young adults: A cohort study. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2025.

[35] PMC. Demystifying the New Dilemma of Brain Rot in the Digital Era: A Review. 2025. Oxford Word of the Year 2024.

[36] Business Standard / Health News. Doomscrolling rewires your brain. September 2025. Prefrontal cortex, amygdala, hippocampus structural changes.

[37] Hughes et al. Doom scrolling during the workday: engagement, rumination, and neuroticism. 2024. Cited in MGA University.

[38] Mater Research Institute / Australian Catholic University / QUT. Meta-analysis 2026: 61 studies, 338,472 participants, 16 countries. Evening doom scrolling and self-harm risk.

[39] Youvan DC. Digital Pavlov: How Electronics Condition Human Behavior in the Age of Infinite Rewards. ResearchGate. 2024.

[40] Greenfield D, cited in KQED. Smartphone notifications as Pavlovian conditioning. Dopamine anticipation 2x actual reward. 50–300 daily phone checks.

[41] OnlineSTEMtoGo. Phantom vibration syndrome as classical conditioning indicator. 2020.

[42] Hampton C, Hildebrand C. Pavlov's Buzz? Mobile Vibrations as Conditioned Rewards. Journal of Marketing Research. 2021.

[43] Rowan Center for Behavioral Medicine. Infinite scroll removes completion cues; Schüll N (2014) Addiction by Design.

[44] PMC. Doomscrolling Scale: Its Association with Personality Traits, Psychological Distress, Social Media Use, and Wellbeing. 2022.

[45] Agarwal A, Agarwal G. Assessing the Environmental Footprint of Smartphone Manufacturing and Waste Disposal. ICSBPIM-2025 Proceedings.

[46] Berridge KC, Robinson TE. Liking, wanting, and the incentive-sensitisation theory of addiction. 2016.

[47] PMC. Taking a Break: Effects of a Two-Week Social Media Digital Detox. 2023. 77.7% reduction; improvements across addiction, sleep, stress, relationships.

[48] Türk-Kurtça, Kocatürk. Intolerance of uncertainty and doomscrolling. 2025.

[49] Radtke T et al. Digital detox: An effective solution in the smartphone era? Systematic literature review. 21 studies, 3,625 participants. 2022.

[50] Kaya B. Pay Attention to What Your Fingers Scroll: Doomscrolling and Psychological Adjustment. J Genetic Psychology. 2025.