

00:00:00:08 – 00:00:13:13

Unknown

Would you welcome the great. The lovable James Lindsay.

00:00:13:16 – 00:00:36:19

Unknown

Thank you. All right. Bob gave me some stuff to answer here before I get started. First, we got to address this bidding war. So the Presbyterians are in. The Catholics are in. But if I'm on good authority, my friends in Israel are already told me the Jews will pay double. So. Good luck to you guys. I don't know what you have, but I know what they don't have.

00:00:36:19 – 00:00:57:20

Unknown

So, you'll find out the luxury of your Israel trip. It's a it's a very good experience, though. But, no, I have to talk about the state song thing. Like, I love how yours is, like the spelling bee. In case you guys all forgot how to spell the name of your state. But this is Oak Park, and that O and the C does not stand for Oklahoma I.

00:00:57:20 – 00:01:36:27

Unknown

It stands for original constitutional principles. Right? Okay, so I'm from Tennessee. Y'all, we gotta talk about this best state song thing because we got Rocky Top. Okay. Now I know that you've probably watched football and therefore, you know that Rocky Top might be the most annoying song on the planet. That's to its credit, that makes you remember it so this song Rocky Top now Oklahoma show tunes, Spelling Bee Rocky Top is the most in line with the founding principles of this country of any song, even more than Star Spangled Banner.

00:01:37:00 – 00:02:00:19

Unknown

Now, here's why I say that. Because Tennessee has the state song. The only states. There are 50 states in this country. One of them is Oklahoma. One of them is Tennessee. 49 states do not have this in their state song, but Tennessee does, which is an entire verse about killing federal agents who come try to interfere in your private business.

00:02:00:21 – 00:02:27:26

Unknown

Once two strangers climbed over Rocky Top looking for a moonshine. Still strangers ain't come down from Rocky Top. Reckon they never will. Tennessee is the only state in this union that says don't interfere with my smuggling. And if you know anything about why the United States is not a British colony anymore, it is because we were smugglers. It turns out that the British had all these weird ideas about how business was going to work.

00:02:27:28 – 00:02:52:17

Unknown

I did read Eric Metaxas book Revolution, by the way. It's long and I read the whole thing and we were smugglers. This is true. Smuggling meant that we weren't going to pay a bunch of stupid taxes to Britain. And the British didn't like this, so they passed the rights of assistants in the early 1760s. This got John Otis Junior to go on a tirade that became known later by John Adams as the Revolution.

00:02:52:17 – 00:03:15:05

Unknown

Before the revolution, the rights of assistants allowed British agents to go into people's homes to inspect, with no warrant to see if they were smuggling goods that weren't being taxed by the British and what they were trying to do actually, was not just stop the smuggling, but trying to raise duties, trying to raise taxes that they could then use to pay down their expenses in the French and Indian War.

00:03:15:09 – 00:03:42:13

Unknown

So this goes badly. As you might imagine, the Americans weren't about to have their private business there. Moonshine still, if you will, interfered with by federal agents. These being British federal agents, Redcoats they weren't about to have that interfered with. And this turns into about a decade and a half long standoff where we first we get things like the Stamp Act and the Sugar Act, and then the Townsend Acts to tax Americans, as you famously heard, with no representation in the British Parliament.

00:03:42:18 – 00:04:06:29

Unknown

So the British Parliament step beyond its authority. And this tradition is codified in one and only one state song, which is Rocky Top. So you're going to have to just take second place today with all due humility. Take your L you. I brought a Tennessean. I can't say what I love to say in Texas, which is you're welcome for your state.

00:04:07:02 – 00:04:33:07

Unknown

Because we saved them too. That's why we're the Vols. Okay, no, I digress. You're not to talk about diversity, not diversity of state songs and state constitutions or actually the history of the American Revolution, which, by the way, if you have not read Eric's book, he's not paying me to show his book. It is fabulous. It will not just tell you the story of the revolution, it will restore your faith in this country, and it will tap into the faith that this country was founded on.

00:04:33:12 – 00:04:58:06

Unknown

So if you haven't read it, I have the bad news that it's almost 600 pages. So it's a project. It's something like 45 chapters or something. But you wouldn't miss it for the world. I'll tell you. There's a secret here, too. Back in the day, this I learned in Eric's book. Back in the day, apparently, the number 45 became a gigantic symbol of freedom and opportunity for the American colonists.

00:04:58:12 – 00:05:17:15

Unknown

And they celebrated this because the number 92 became another symbol. And you could tell I'm a good student because I don't remember why these numbers became so famous for 45 people that showed up to a particular meeting. That's why 45. But I forgot what 92 was about. I think there was 92 people at a different vote in Massachusetts to to not go along with, the Stamp Act or something like this.

00:05:17:22 – 00:05:45:01

Unknown

So they celebrated these two numbers and they had a giant feast, and they feasted on one of the biggest delicacies of the time, which was sea turtle. And they carefully chose two sea turtles, one that was 45 pounds and one that was 47 pounds. So the sum would be 92 pounds. So the number is 45, and 47 were celebrated during the pre-revolutionary time as symbols of freedom and renewal.

00:05:45:04 – 00:06:05:14

Unknown

For our people. And you can do with that information whatever you like. I didn't mention Donald J. Trump or anything. I got away with that. But I'm here to talk about DEA. Bob asked me if I can talk about Diane. I thought, good Lord. And the 2026, we're still talking about DEA. And that's kind of the point.

00:06:05:17 – 00:06:24:01

Unknown

We're still talking about DEA because the eye doesn't stop. They're not going to quit. They're never going to quit. So we have to keep being vigilant. We have to keep fighting in. Some of you will notice that. I mean, I do understand that it's still called DEA in a lot of places. We should give credit where it's due.

00:06:24:05 – 00:06:48:11

Unknown

4547 Donald Trump has actually weakened the DEA apparatus tremendously. I double checked with grok this morning, so I know it's true. DEA is the weakest that it has been in our country in a very long time because of things that have been enacted from the white House, including executive orders. There have actually been lots of things that have damaged the Office of Civil Rights in the Department

of Justice.

00:06:48:11 – 00:07:05:23

Unknown

Harmeet Dhillon has actually kind of hit at the heart of what's enabling the DEA apparatus. So I want to talk to you about DEA as it is. We know that they're changing the name. This is what they do. You have to be able to understand how it works, not what it calls itself. If you want to stop it.

00:07:05:28 – 00:07:26:08

Unknown

We can't be lazy. We can't go get, you know, your document from EU or from whichever organization or your kid's school and just do a keyword search for DEA and see if it's in there because they'll just change it to edit or something and trick you or Inclusive excellence or the Department of Belonging or something like this. I mean, it goes on forever, so they'll just change the name.

00:07:26:08 – 00:07:45:18

Unknown

So we have to understand what it is and what it does. And, you know, this has been an issue that we've talked about a lot. And I want to talk to you about where this whole idea comes from, how it got implemented, and maybe what we can do to continue to address it. But I do need you to understand from the outset it's not just a 2021 fight or 2026 fight.

00:07:45:18 – 00:08:06:06

Unknown

This is going to be a forever fight because these people want to take over our country. They want to indoctrinate our kids. They want to control our institutions. They want to control society the way the left thinks is very different from the way a lot of other people think. They are very operational, but they believe that society works in a way that you don't probably think.

00:08:06:08 – 00:08:25:18

Unknown

I've encountered this all over the world. People are like, they really think that way. Yes, they really think this way. They believe that the society brainwashes everybody all the time and that you are the product of your society. You don't have all that much individual input in terms of who you are or who you're going to be, what your talents are going to amount to.

00:08:25:18 – 00:09:03:22

Unknown

You are conditioned by the society. They call this the socialization hypothesis. So the society socializes you into being a particular way.

So in particular you're in one class or the other. In general, these classes are called oppressor and oppressed. And so you are either trained to support the oppressor class or if you can be a part of it, but at least to identify with it, to think in the way it thinks, to talk in the way it talks, or you are being oppressed by the system and you are therefore denied who you really are, you aren't able to figure out what your life is really about because the oppressors are not just you know, giving

00:09:03:22 - 00:09:27:01

Unknown

you a bad shake. They are oppressing you. And this is how they actually think. They think that the society is all organized by the oppressors, whatever they're whether they're capitalists, whether they're white, whether they're straight, whether they're Christian, whether they're male, you could go down the whole identity politics list. You could do the bourgeoisie proletariat from Karl Marx page one of the Communist Manifesto, where he says it's all about oppressor and oppressed.

00:09:27:01 - 00:09:51:09

Unknown

In the next sentence, they believe that the oppressor organize the society specifically so that everybody will be trained. Remember, nobody's thinking for themselves. Everybody's trained to be who they are by a society that's convincing them how things are and who they are. So they want to take control of how society trains people, because right now it trains oppressors and puts people to accept their oppression.

00:09:51:09 - 00:10:14:15

Unknown

It trains people to accept oppression and to be oppressors. And we could have a fundamentally different world if we rejected that, if we threw off this system and replaced it with a new one that's socialistic. And the way that they aim to do that is by brainwashing people differently. The idea is that societies reproduce themselves from one generation to the next.

00:10:14:17 - 00:10:31:02

Unknown

You raise your kids so that your kids will have good values, that they'll understand the world as we say, we prepare them for the road. We know we can't prepare the road for the child. We teach them all the things that they need to be good citizens. We teach them all the things they need to be good students.

00:10:31:07 - 00:10:56:03

Unknown

Not so that they can be good students, but so that they can get

educated to become good professionals, good, effective adults. But the problem with becoming a good professional is that what you do is you enter into the economic and social and cultural system that we already have, and you reproduce it. You learn to be an American, and then you reproduce America and you reproduce capitalism, and you reproduce so-called white supremacy and so-called homophobia and all the rest.

00:10:56:05 – 00:11:16:20

Unknown

And you've all been brainwashed and they think, well, we could brainwash people differently. It's always brainwashing, but we could do it differently. But to do that, they have to take control of institutions. They have to take control of the institutions that train people to be the leaders in the next generation. That's education, that's entertainment, that's media, that's law.

00:11:16:22 – 00:11:38:04

Unknown

This is a plan that was written down explicitly in the the foundation, the birth of what's called Western Marxism by a name that's very famous, Antonio Gramsci. He's very famous. Now, you know, this idea that you're going to transform the society by transforming the culture and you transform the culture by transforming the institutions that produce it, and then everybody will be brainwashed to be different.

00:11:38:04 – 00:11:59:09

Unknown

Maybe they'll be more warm to socialism in this generation, even warmer in the next generation, even warmer in the next. And finally, you get people in strong Western societies, free societies to decide socialism is a better deal. Then you have your revolution and then you have your communist country. That's the plan. So die. We often think about the I as saying that dinner last night.

00:11:59:09 – 00:12:20:15

Unknown

We think about the AI in terms of the wrong AI word. We always think indoctrination and the indoctrination is totally important. That's what they're doing. But that's not the vehicle. That's not what die is for. The AI is for a different word that starts with AI. It's for infiltration. It is to get inside institutions. It is to take control of institutions.

00:12:20:17 – 00:12:42:22

Unknown

It is to get their people in and people who disagree with them out. This has a name in the Communist strategy book called entry ism. You're going to enter in make entry, entry ism. You're going to make entry into an institution, transform it from within, and then use it to your purposes rather than the purposes it was originally intended

for.

00:12:42:24 - 00:12:57:04

Unknown

You can think about it like cutting a hole in the side of a watermelon and carving all the stuff out and putting something else inside of it. If you want something where you know you got a shell or whatever, you can think about it. Them coming in through the door and one by one, trading people out until this is a roomful of communists or Antifa or something.

00:12:57:07 - 00:13:15:22

Unknown

The idea is the same, and Di is a mechanism for doing that. The AIS a mechanism for entryism, primarily the idea they can't do it too specifically, but the idea is that what they're going to do is they're going to use these policies. We have to hire diverse candidates and include them and make sure they feel included.

00:13:15:29 - 00:13:41:00

Unknown

We're going to include people who are what communists. We're going to include people, particularly, who are more likely to believe the communist line as it's being presented today. So there's some people in our society, they're a little further to the right. There are some they're a little further to the left. And what Dai is designed to do with the first step is to stack the deck for hiring so that it tilts a little bit left.

00:13:41:02 - 00:13:56:24

Unknown

Not all the way left, a little bit left. So you get a little bit more left, and you get a little bit more left. And an inch by an inch by an inch by an inch. You move a mile to the left and one, you know, year or two years, one generation, two generations after another, they move the entire society.

00:13:56:24 - 00:14:19:13

Unknown

But the goal is to replace personnel. So the idea is that, well, we're going to hire lots of people who meet certain demographic characteristics, and then we're going to put them through a training that teaches them to think in terms of the oppressor versus oppressed dynamic that inflames their feelings of racism or sexism or transphobia or whatever. And those people are going to be the ones that we raise up as leaders.

00:14:19:16 - 00:14:35:13

Unknown

And so then they'll do it again in another cycle, and the whole thing

moves left a little bit further. And then, you know, you got that one guy. Bob probably raises his hand and says, I don't like this. This is not biblical principles. It's not biblical principles. I don't like this. This is not American principles. I don't like this.

00:14:35:18 – 00:14:55:02

Unknown

And they say, okay, Bob is a problem. They go change. They right some down on this clipboard. Bob is a problem. And in the next thing they just make Bob's life a little bit miserable. They don't fire Bob. That would be too obvious. They make it no fun for Bob to work there. They give him a headache every day at work until Bob finally quits, or until Bob gets so mad that he does something they can really fire him for.

00:14:55:08 – 00:15:32:07

Unknown

So what they do is they purge the people they don't want. Bob, you're insensitive. You're going to have to come to a sensitivity meeting twice a week, every week for the rest of your life. And Bob's like, I don't want to work here anymore. From there, end of the the perspective problem solved. The problem left, and they hire somebody to replace Bob who doesn't think like Bob, the things like they do, and they use the principle of the guy to justify the hiring of somebody who's highly probable to agree with the principles, and then to train them and radicalize them in the and replace good people with bad people.

00:15:32:10 – 00:15:51:03

Unknown

It's the whole program. That's what it's actually for. So eventually they have one person inside, then they have ten people inside, then they have 100 people inside. And then the next thing you know, they control the institution and they're hiring two more of their people every two days or something like that, to make sure that it's a permanent control forever.

00:15:51:05 – 00:16:15:04

Unknown

That is what DNA is actually designed to do. It is an infiltration justifying mechanism. Now, where did this idea come from? We're going to go back. I'm mostly kind of a ideological historian in some sense. I track ideologies, I see where they come from. I'm not great at practical solutions, unfortunately, but I do know where these ideas came from, and I do know what they're about.

00:16:15:12 – 00:16:48:18

Unknown

So it turns out that this idea that the I, some of you might have noticed that it seems to lie a little bit, right, a little bit you you

might have noticed that for all the diversity, everybody who counts as diverse has something in common, which is that they're a leftist, right? For all the different skin colors, all the different family backgrounds, national origins, religious orientation, sexual orientation, blah, blah, blah, but orientation, everything you can be an Islamophobia against.

00:16:48:21 – 00:17:17:02

Unknown

They all. It doesn't matter what they look like, doesn't matter who they love, doesn't matter what they do, doesn't matter what they believe. They're all leftists. Okay, now you might say that's opposite. That's not diverse. It's the opposite of diverse. It's perfect unity and how they think even though they look different. So this idea where you marry opposites together and treat them to exactly opposite things like they're the same thing, is called dialectics.

00:17:17:05 – 00:17:45:11

Unknown

And way back in the early 19th century. So 1820 or so, a philosopher, a German philosopher named Hegel, was writing his theory of dialectics and How the world works according to dialectics. And he identified three dialectical programs at the time. And that's the three dialectics that are still engaged today. One of those is called quantity into quality and quality back into quantity.

00:17:45:13 – 00:18:05:24

Unknown

You're familiar with this? It doesn't sound like you are, but this is where we have lots of stuff, but it's not sustainable. So we have to have less stuff where we, you know, don't have plastic straws anymore. But we feel good about our paper straw because we're helping the environment. So quantity which is having, you know, an economy that works becomes quality, a socialist thing that doesn't have we can't eat, but we're happy because we're socialists.

00:18:05:24 – 00:18:27:05

Unknown

We're proud of who we are, and the quantity becomes quality. And then somehow this is supposed to transform back into quantity. But nobody's ever figured that part out. So what you might be noticing is that dialectic doesn't work. This is a scam to get people to transform quantity into quality, which is called robbing them, stealing them their stuff, stealing their opportunity.

00:18:27:11 – 00:18:45:11

Unknown

Okay, that's not the dialectic we're talking about today. There's another one that is called that we're also not going to talk about. That's very complicated, but it's called the negation of the negation.

And that's where you have a capitalist society that becomes socialist, that becomes communist, or you have a primitive communism that goes through stages of production. That's not communist.

00:18:45:11 – 00:19:04:10

Unknown

Then it returns to communism at the end. So you have the thing and then you have its opposite, and then it goes back to the original thing in a new and better way. Of course, nobody's ever figured out how to make a get to the new and better part, and they just wreck everything trying. That's not the dialectic that we're talking about, the dialectic that we're going to talk about was literally called the struggle and unification of opposites.

00:19:04:17 – 00:19:29:12

Unknown

And this is the key dialectical tool, the key method. Hegel wrote about it in the 1820s. Marx wrote about it in the 1840s through the 1870s. Lenin incorporated it into his model for the Soviet Union explicitly and this is where the part of the story gets interesting. When I have to talk about Hegel and his theory, we're talking about Lenin in his action, because Lenin created a program based on the struggle and unification of opposites.

00:19:29:12 – 00:19:47:19

Unknown

So you put opposite things together, bang them into each other, let them struggle until they unify into a new, unified object. Mao Zedong called it a new unity on a new basis. I was looking for a clever way to transition to that and didn't get it. So a new unity on a new basis, he says. You start with a desire for unity.

00:19:47:25 – 00:20:05:16

Unknown

You criticize and struggle those elements that are not interested in supporting the new unity, and you arrive at a new unity on a new basis. Sounds like what I just talked about, right? If Bob doesn't want to go along with a D-I program at his institution, they struggle and criticize Bob till he leaves. And now you have a new unity on a new basis that replaced him with a bunch of leftists.

00:20:05:19 – 00:20:31:17

Unknown

So here's Lenin had this crazy program he installed in the Soviet Union in the early 1920s. The Russian for this program is called Rosner Russia. Does anybody know what the word raus no Abruzzi means in Russian. And if you actually speak Russian, you don't, because you couldn't understand my bad pronunciation. It means diversity. That is the name of the program in Russian diversity.

00:20:31:17 – 00:21:01:10

Unknown

Rosner, Bracha. So Rosner of Russia, or diversity was defined by Lenin, by the terms diversity in form, with unity and content. So they look different diversity in form, but they think the same unity and content. So what did I say? All the Di people have something in common. Some are black, some are white, some are Latino. Some are this summer that we can go down the entire exhausting list.

00:21:01:13 – 00:21:26:08

Unknown

And they're all leftists. Diversity in form, unity and content. That is a dialectical scam. You might notice the dialectics, by the way. None of them work is kind of like capital D deceiver kind of logic, right? Oh, we favor diversity, but you don't. You favor using diversity as a lever to get people who do your bidding into power.

00:21:26:11 – 00:22:00:07

Unknown

Right? That's called deception, which is, by the way, not a biblical principle. It's in the Bible. It's just not pointed that, you know, favorably. It is mentioned in the Bible and something you should be aware of and not engage in. Kind of a very important point. So diversity and form with unity and content is the basis of our current Rozner a brought to your program, which is diversity, which is what is the D and the Dei at your university, in your government, in your business, or wherever it happens to be?

00:22:00:09 – 00:22:35:13

Unknown

This program was part of a broader prog program in Soviet Union that Stalin came up with. That was called forgive me if anyone. Does anybody speak Russian in here? You got to leave. Corrine. It's Isaiah. Carini means roots. So the literal translation given for Katarina. Zosia is indigenous indigenization or indigenization. You're going to make the different parts of your society, the diverse parts indigenous to who they are, and then bring out their socialistic characteristics as such and then promote them.

00:22:35:18 – 00:23:04:25

Unknown

We call this program today inclusion. What they would do is they would go from group to group. Soviet Union was a union of Soviet states. Okay. So that mean it wasn't Russia, it was Russia, it was Georgia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan. Well, you could go down this whole list. There were dozens of them. And the Georgians, they were told, will be Georgian and the Ukrainians will be Ukrainian, and the Russians will be Russian, and the Uzbeks will be Uzbek and so on.

00:23:04:25 – 00:23:28:24

Unknown

So everything in Uzbekistan will be done in the U.S.. Becky. Native dialect or language? The Ukrainians will speak Ukrainian. The Georgians will speak Georgian. Stalin called this model national in form and socialist in content. Sounds like Lenin. So we're going to focus on the idea that these groups are different nationalities and emphasize that, but only when they're socialists.

00:23:28:26 – 00:23:47:18

Unknown

So what they did was they went to say Georgia or to, Ukraine, and they said, okay, we're going to help you set up your government. We, the Soviets, are going to come in and help you set up your government. We're going to elevate leaders. We're going to organize you. And what we're also going to do is protect you from your great enemy that you've had for all these years, which is the great Russian.

00:23:47:20 – 00:24:09:01

Unknown

The great Russian has always tried to the czars, whoever always tried to conquer your country, they tried to force you to speak Russian. They tried to force you to act Russian to be Russian. They exhibit something called great Russian chauvinism. And we, the Soviets don't believe in that. We believe in self-determination for your community. We'll do your schools in your language, according to your customs.

00:24:09:06 – 00:24:30:17

Unknown

You could say that you're going to have culturally relevant teaching or whatever you want in today's language. We're going to get you to do that, and we're going to help find and raise up leaders for your communities, according to the fact that you are more naturally socialistic than the great Russian who's naturally feudal. We're going to turn you into leaders, and we'll bring some of you to the party congress in Moscow to represent.

00:24:30:19 – 00:24:53:05

Unknown

Now, of course, what are you going to do when you bring, say, a Georgian like Stalin into the party congress in Moscow? You're going to give him the most radical proposal possible, because he's a great, Georgian face that you can hide that radicalism behind. And when he says, I think we should do this really radical thing. And then Bob speaks up and perfect Russian and says, comrade, I don't think that's a good idea.

00:24:53:06 – 00:25:24:13

Unknown

It deviates too far to the left. Somebody else says, Bob is a great Russian chauvinist. Bob is practicing white supremacy culture. Bob is

a racist. Bob is a sexist. Bob is a transphobic, homophobe or whatever phobe. And all of a sudden Bob is in trouble and has to sit down and shut up. And the super radical proposal that they handed to the ethnic minority becomes the policy, because it would be racist not to implement it.

00:25:24:15 – 00:25:52:13

Unknown

That was the program called Katrina. It's Osia in Soviet Union in the 1920s. That program was exported to Mao's China. Explicitly, Mao called it combat. Han chauvinism. He went around and said that the nationalist party, the Guangdong, had tried to force all of the 56 nationalities of China to become one Chinese identity, but the terms of their identity were Han Chinese.

00:25:52:16 – 00:26:21:02

Unknown

So it wasn't that you're going to become a Chinese person. You had to become a Han person, a Han Chinese, culturally, linguistically, everything in order to unify China. But really, it was there to destroy your ethnic heritage. And Mao mobilized the ethnic minorities of China. There were 55 of them. He mobilized them against the Han race, created a giant racial conflict, and broke the unity of the Guangdong, the National Party, and was able to overthrow it in 1949.

00:26:21:02 – 00:26:42:26

Unknown

That was a 20 year process by the way of breaking down the Nationalist Party, which had this is going to feel a little familiar, had grown very corrupt. There were some problems, you know, it wasn't running according to great principle at that point. It wasn't like a great government. It had its problems and they exploited every one of those while generating racial conflict, ethnic conflict to the maximum.

00:26:43:02 – 00:27:05:14

Unknown

And this is what Corina Zosia equipped with Rosner brought to you. Do they create this now? What was the purpose of these? Now here's where my Russian gets really bad, I apologize, I always I practice this, I go to my mirror and I practice my Russian and it's all I can do it. And then I say it on a stage, and what comes out of my mouth is not good.

00:27:05:17 – 00:27:37:13

Unknown

Suck to just go. Yeah, Raw went full actual equality, not economic equality, actual equality across all social parameters, total actual perfect equality and Soviet Union. That was the goal of these programs. We call it equity. The redistribution of shares to make citizens equal according to their groups. The I is a direct Soviet

program imported under a new name from the 1920s.

00:27:37:20 - 00:27:55:07

Unknown

And guess what it did in Soviet Union? Did you guess that it worked? No. It allowed them to build lots of power to get lots of radical things into the government, to consolidate and to purge, and to do their entryism, to make sure that the desired factions were in charge and get rid of everybody who wasn't the desired factions.

00:27:55:13 - 00:28:19:22

Unknown

And in the meantime, it spun off gigantic amounts of racial and ethnic strife throughout the entire union. That was so bad that Stalin had to put a iron fisted stop to it. In the early 1930s, he had to crush his own program because it got so out of hand. So they introduced a new dialectic that the Russians would be first among equals.

00:28:19:25 - 00:28:40:13

Unknown

But that let that term bounce around in your head for a minute. And they rewrote the Soviet Union. Now everybody has to remember it's, you know, you get to speak your own languages, you get to have your own traditions, you get to have self-determination. Nope. Everybody's Russian now. But the ethnic Russians are just first among equals. And we'll all be equally Russian, except the real Russian.

00:28:40:13 - 00:29:08:18

Unknown

The native ethnic Russians will be first among equals. And that was this. Just let these things marinate. So there's a principle here too, about DEA, because right now we're still facing dye, but we're thinking about it in terms of indoctrination. We're thinking about it in terms of identities. And we're missing the point that the point of dye is to utilize a program to install people that are sympathetic to their politics into power, so that they can use that power once they have it, however the heck they want.

00:29:08:22 - 00:29:31:11

Unknown

So if it's more dye forever, it's more dye forever. If it's first, white people are first among equals to the next day. What are you going to do to stop them when they actually control the institutions they are aiming for the total power to decide the parameters of the society. Why? Because leftism doesn't work, which means every leftist leader has to do the same thing eventually.

00:29:31:14 - 00:29:51:10

Unknown

Use an iron fist to make it up as they go. Do you think a society is

going to work where you have centrally planned, making it up as you go? No, look at you. That's how it works. Now, how do you know? Because President Trump comes out with an executive order against DEA. And what do they do? They change the name and keep going.

00:29:51:12 – 00:30:22:03

Unknown

They're making it up as they go. The issue is not the issue, the issue. They don't care about the issue. The issue is the revolution. The revolution is changing the people. So if you start to think of DEA, which is not going away as a personnel project in order to gain absolute and total control over the institution, then you understand it better than if you start thinking about it in terms of these weird, I mean, the historical stuff that had come straight from Soviet Union by way of China into our schools and in our society is interesting in and of itself.

00:30:22:06 – 00:30:47:24

Unknown

But if you start thinking of it as a tool, not to just leverage identities against each other, races against each other, people against each other, religions against each other, by the way, we're seeing the same program play out on the right now, and we were joking about which religions making bids. But, you know, we got these, hardline Catholic analysts on the far right that are screaming that we're going to have a Catholic state.

00:30:47:24 – 00:31:06:21

Unknown

We got these very strange, Protestants that are screaming that we're going to have an entirely Protestant state, and the state is going to have the power to punish people for the wrong religion. And they're doing Christian DIY, but not in the sense that has to do with identities, has to do with what denominations you are. The D there were, I guess would be denomination.

00:31:06:27 – 00:31:26:09

Unknown

And this is turning into the same kind of fight now on the conservative side of the aisle that already took over the left, what do you think's going to happen if the conservatives are all fighting with each other because the Catholics can't get along with the Protestants anymore, or the couple or three denominations of Protestants can't get along with each other and they're all mad at Jews.

00:31:26:09 – 00:31:40:18

Unknown

What do you think is going to happen? Do you think we're going to be able to unify in a big coalition that can get somebody like Donald

Trump elected, or get the right people in office? No, we're going to fracture. We're going to lose. Democrats are going to take the position. So it turns out DNI works the other way, too.

00:31:40:20 – 00:32:03:10

Unknown

And it's happening to our side as well. It's the same evil logic. And so what's this logic? What's at the bottom besides the dialectic, which is, like I said, this deception, what's at the bottom of it is pushing people into identity categories and making people dislike each other so you can leverage them against each other to put your own people in power, that it's all it's about.

00:32:03:13 – 00:32:34:02

Unknown

And when we understand that it's all about putting the wrong people in power and getting the right people excluded from power for stupid reasons, then we can actually start to combat it. We can actually start to fight it because we're actually fighting what it does. We're actually fighting how it works. And when we understand that this is one of, you know, from one of the greatest evils in all of history, which is Soviet communism is where this program was invented using the deceptive logic of Hegel, which has never touched anything and made it better.

00:32:34:04 – 00:32:52:21

Unknown

Then we can understand that we're up against the over the last 200 years, we could call it the modern period, right before we had the medieval period. Now we're in what's called the modern period. We don't know what comes next because we haven't gone there yet. The modern period is this is the giant war for control over the modern period.

00:32:52:27 – 00:33:17:02

Unknown

And either we can decide that we're going to live up to principles like we have in our Declaration of Independence, that we believe that all men are created equal, that are at the core of Jewish and Christian faith, which is that all men are made in the image of God and are image bearers of God, and therefore have equal claims to dignity and equal claims to justice, and will therefore be judged by what they do and what they are capable of doing.

00:33:17:02 – 00:33:37:23

Unknown

Their talents, their mistakes, their honors. That we're going to judge people, as it was rather famously said, by the contents of their character, I would add, and their merits in action rather than by the it's far more than color of skin at this point, but by who they are as

a person, we're going to judge them by something else.

00:33:37:23 - 00:34:01:12

Unknown

If we want to defend that, we have to defend that. So the solution I've actually already mentioned it a little bit. I mentioned my friend Hermie, Dylan and The Office of Civil Rights. We have to stay straight on the issues. I know that there are a lot of people that are very upset with the way that civil rights law has been leveraged to create this monstrosity, but it is not, in fact, civil rights law that has been leveraged to create this monstrosity of the civil rights law.

00:34:01:12 - 00:34:20:20

Unknown

It has been hijacked by communist theory, which I just laid out, and that civil rights law, when America decided in the 1960s that this is in line with our constitutional principles, this is in line with what is right, what is true, what is good, what is valuable, and what we're going to do with much kicking and screaming along the way.

00:34:20:23 - 00:34:46:13

Unknown

When we decided that this is what we were going to do, the deal was racism points in every direction sexism can point in both directions. Notice I didn't say every. There's only two blah blah blah. We did not say white people can be racist. Some black people can't. We did not know Americans signed up for that. That logic is called disparate impact, which sounds like it came out of either an academic paper or a court.

00:34:46:20 - 00:35:27:00

Unknown

And it turns out it came out of a court. The disparate impact doctrine of civil rights law, which Harmeet Dhillon in the Office of Civil Rights for the first time in 50 years, it started in 1971, is the entire basis, the entire basis? I didn't mean to sound like I was crying. I just lost my voice. The entire basis for the D program, the the disparate, disparate impact doctrine of law says that if there is a disparate outcome, on average in society, then it can be treated as discrimination in an institution, even if there's no evidence at all of intent to discriminate.

00:35:27:02 - 00:35:52:17

Unknown

So if black people are doing worse, on average in society, racism points in only one direction from white to black, and that becomes legally actionable over every single institution in the society as a matter of civil rights law. So it stops being that race is a protected classification of people, and that black people become a protected class of citizens who have special laws.

00:35:52:24 – 00:36:23:05

Unknown

And the second, you create that deviation from the biblical principle, which is that we all have an equal claim to justice, regardless of who we are. You create all of the fighting that communism thrives on. You create the unbalanced program, the unbalanced program creates jealousies, envies injustices that spiral out into fighting and conflict that spiral out into groups, not being willing to work with each other, that spiral out into the cultural balkanization and fragmentation of society.

00:36:23:12 – 00:36:45:00

Unknown

And the sickest part of this is that the further along the line that goes, the more justification it has to keep going further. It gets worse and worse. It's a self-fulfilling prophecy. So the solution is actually to fight like hell, that we treat our people in this country, regardless of who they are, as if they are image bearers of God.

00:36:45:00 – 00:37:07:05

Unknown

They have equal claims to dignity and justice, regardless of where they came from. It doesn't matter if they're family like mine, who has eight signers of the Declaration of Independence as cousins. I'm a heritage American, whatever that means. Or it doesn't matter if you guys are, you know, just got your citizenship today in your life. Some fire for America and you're ready to go.

00:37:07:12 – 00:37:23:19

Unknown

When you have people that love this country and want to be patriots and want to understand it, they are on the right side. And when you have people who hate this country, they either need to be called back like the prophets of old, by faith. Walking in faith. Like Bob was saying about Hebrews, they've got to be called back to what we have in America.

00:37:23:20 – 00:37:53:11

Unknown

They've got a call back from social, and they're going to be called back from what's the big biblical word that God is supposed to fix, and it's going to heal inequities. What's the opposite of an inequity? Equity. So you think we're going to engineer this? Know what we have to do is fight for what really equity is, which is that you get back what you put in, that you get back what you have earned by putting in for your fellow citizens and to build up your own life.

00:37:53:15 – 00:38:11:25

Unknown

And when we get this right and we fight and win the legal fight, and you should you should lean on your your representatives and such and senators. But this is actually going to be a fight in the court. We've got to kill this disparate impact doctrine of law. And when we do, not only does the eye collapse, because that's the pillar it stands on.

00:38:11:28 – 00:38:35:04

Unknown

Civil rights legislation that we passed in the 1960s starts doing what it was always meant to do. And let me tell you what these racists, these sexists, these homophobes and manipulators and users of racial and sexual and whatever other identity you can think of that have been doing all this, have been doing it outside of the law for 50 years to ruin our society.

00:38:35:10 – 00:39:12:04

Unknown

And the Civil Rights Acts become our sword in our hand, not in theirs. So we fight to make it so that we have equal dignity, not just in a theological sense, but back in a legal sense, exactly like was written in the Declaration of Independence, when those smugglers who were ready to write Rocky Top put this country together with that vision for a more perfect union where men and women govern themselves and have a government that exists to secure their rights from the tyrannies of both feudal and canon law.

00:39:12:06 – 00:39:39:18

Unknown

So that's my message about the I. It's still happening. The answer to stopping today is that we recognize that people are actually equal in dignity, equal in their claim to justice, depending on what they do. That's the only thing that changes that story. And once we understand that every bit of apparatus that our declaration that our Constitution, that the civil rights movement, people like Frederick Douglass before the Civil War, all fought for becomes the sword in our hand that it always should have been.

00:39:39:18 – 00:40:00:15

Unknown

It's the sword of justice, and we can only use it when the balance weighs it out equally. So that's our real fight, but also just the practical side. Understand that their goal is to replace your personnel. So you need to not let that happen. And you need to replace their personnel who are abusing their power. Thank you for letting me speak in Oklahoma again.

00:40:00:17 – 00:40:11:23

Unknown

It's been too long. Yeah. These are three very dangerous people. I'm dangerous because I let them speak.

00:40:11:26 – 00:40:39:10

Unknown

God does not break his promises. Never, never. He stood eyeball to eyeball to Abraham and said, here are your blessings. And through you, here's my promise. Through you, all these blessings will flow to all the nations, all the families of the earth. He promised that to Abraham. He does not break his promises. I will say we got a.

00:40:39:13 – 00:40:43:08

Unknown

Is that a Pentecostal? I can't see?

00:40:43:10 – 00:41:13:09

Unknown

She loves Jesus. I know that that was Marla Hill right there. Isaiah read chapter two of Isaiah first verses two and three. The nations will come to the feet of Jesus. That is a promise. God's word does not lie. Micah chapter four, verses one and two. It's a carbon copy of Isaiah chapter two. They actually live at the same time as somebody copied notes from the other guy.

00:41:13:09 – 00:41:38:16

Unknown

I don't know who copied, but they're identical and it's so powerful. God put it in both books. The nations are going to come to Jesus. And Jesus did not stand and look at his disciples. In Matthew 28, eyeball to eyeball and say, you go disciple nations. If that was a fool's errand, this is not a fool's errand.

00:41:38:18 – 00:42:01:14

Unknown

And so ask Jesus to speak to you. I mean, there's no one who can speak to you as Jesus does. He is the only one that can give you a backbone. He's the only one who can give you a vision. He's the only one that can fill you with his presence. And so I would say, talk to Jesus and then look around at what's happening.

00:42:01:20 – 00:42:19:13

Unknown

His spirit is working all over the world. There's a revival all over the world. I mean, if I if I were a guy here and I were an international expert on the I and CRT, and I hadn't come to the Pentecostal altar yet, I'd be shaking in my boots because I know he's coming for me. Is he going to come get me?

00:42:19:16 – 00:42:40:24

Unknown

You cannot hide from Jesus. I think Doctor James Linda Lindsey has a word of wisdom. I do want to address this. And, so the actual question

which Bob said that I can read and I guess he can't, is is there a happy ending? It's actually what's on the paper. Yeah, we're in a mess. Is there a happy ending?

00:42:41:00 – 00:43:02:21

Unknown

And the answer is, that's up to us. That's the hard part. So I understand your despair. I understand your stress. I understand the heaviness that's on your heart, that's in your soul, on your mind. And I will tell you right now. And Bob could tell you because he was there. George Washington felt the same way.

00:43:02:23 – 00:43:46:27

Unknown

George Washington was in one of the most desperate situations that humanity has ever faced. And everything that I know. Bob was there because what he just said is basically what George Washington believed and heard that if you believe that this cause is worthy, if you believe that this country is worth saving, and if you align yourself. He was extraordinarily, it put so much stress on the goodness of the Continental Army, the soldiers in the Continental Army, so much stress on them behaving well, but praying, fasting, taking care of their spiritual life and not being reprobates, not being degenerates, not being bad willed or bad people that he was able to pull off what is

00:43:46:27 – 00:44:10:02

Unknown

positively impossible. The hand of Providence is all over the American Revolution. We are in a time the book. Let's talk about the Bible. The Book of Numbers talks about the people going to the Promised Land who've kind of lost faith. And they're complaining. They're talking about how, at least under Pharaoh, they had cucumbers and meat, and they could go back and it would be better than this wandering in the desert.

00:44:10:02 – 00:44:27:18

Unknown

And God was not happy about this and forbade them in their lifetimes from entering the Promised Land, because they had lost faith. And all the signs that they'd been given. How many signs are we being given that President Trump get shot? Or did he not? We're supposed to blink now. We're supposed to think that this is all in vain.

00:44:27:18 – 00:44:47:00

Unknown

We're supposed to despair and give up, and we could list one after another after another if we think about it. And we get contentious about which things that are happening in the modern world. We might contend as miracles, but we can't give up faith in this country. We can't give up faith in all the things that we believe in that make it

work.

00:44:47:00 – 00:45:06:18

Unknown

And the happy ending depends on us being willing to do that. Part of that, as Bob said, is to raise up with courage, right? We need the courage to take the time to learn these things, to speak about these things, to show up, to get in the way, to ask the difficult question, to raise the fuss that needs to be raised sometimes to stand in your principles.

00:45:06:18 – 00:45:29:17

Unknown

So, you know, you guys are quoting books of the Bible. I got James ironically, James 417 is my favorite verse in the Bible. It says for him who knows the right thing to do but does not do it. It is sin. We are learning the right things to do, or at least we're learning what the wrong things are that need to be opposed, and we might not know exactly what it is that we need to do.

00:45:29:17 – 00:45:45:18

Unknown

But we know that we need to get involved, we need to get in the way, and we need to make a difference. And it's not just you that needs to fill your mind in your spirit. It's not just you that needs to bring courage and to not give up and to not despair and to not lose faith. It's not just you, it's your children.

00:45:45:22 – 00:46:12:17

Unknown

And in this room there's no despairing. Allow, because we have a hero who stood because her parents raised her right for her principles against this monster in this very room. And so if you can teach your children and your grandchildren to steel themselves with the same faith and the same ideas and the same beliefs and the same courage that you can find for yourself, then you're going to bring the next generation that's going to fight this thing back.

00:46:12:17 – 00:46:28:05

Unknown

So the happy ending is on the horizon, and it's a question of whether or not we're going to earn it. It's the whole story.

00:46:28:07 – 00:46:29:11

Unknown

Thank you, Preacher Lindsay.