

Tokamak

H-mode Confinement and ELMs in Fusion Plasmas

The H-mode Pedestal · The ELM Cycle · ITER Prediction

Segment 7 of 12 · Physical Exemplar · Cross-Machine Invariant

M. Craig · March 2026 · Leake Street, London · itvoids.com

SFVFS™ Positioning System · Trademark UK00004355735

CF CONSISTENT not PASS

1. Plain English

In 1982, at the ASDEX tokamak in Germany, the plasma spontaneously reorganised. Turbulence at the plasma edge collapsed. Confinement doubled. Nobody predicted it. The transition was named H-mode — High-confinement mode — and it has since been reproduced in every major tokamak on Earth. It is the operating regime that ITER, the international fusion reactor under construction in France, is designed to run in.

H-mode works like this: as heating power increases, the plasma undergoes an abrupt transition from a turbulent low-confinement state (L-mode) to an organised high-confinement state (H-mode). A transport barrier forms at the plasma edge — the pedestal. Turbulent transport that was leaking energy out of the plasma is suppressed. The confinement time doubles or triples. The energy needed to sustain fusion becomes achievable.

The mechanism is not fully explained. The plasma does something that the equations permit but that nobody predicted from first principles: it finds a stable geometric configuration at the edge and locks to it. The SFVFS™ framework names that configuration. The H-mode pedestal is the Needle's Eye in a confined plasma: a narrow passage through which the plasma's energy flows, neither decaying nor blowing up, inhabiting the open interval between collapse and runaway.

The ELMs — Edge-Localised Modes — are the periodic crashes that interrupt H-mode. They are not failures. They are the DN branch: the system crossing the VOID floor, expelling a burst of energy, and immediately reconstituting the pedestal from the same geometric attractor. After every ELM, $H_{98} \approx 1$. The cycle closes. The Seed returns.

The SFVFS™ contribution is to name this geometry precisely. The H-mode pedestal is already called an attractor in the plasma physics literature. $H98 = 1$ is already documented as a cross-machine invariant. The L-H hysteresis is already measured. SFVFS™ names what it is. CF CONSISTENT not PASS.

2. The H-mode as VOID Attractor

2.1 The Pedestal is Already Called an Attractor

The plasma physics literature uses the word ‘attractor’ for the H-mode pedestal without the SFVFS™ framework. The pedestal state is characterised by:

A fixed normalised confinement factor: $H98 \approx 1$ across JET, DIII-D, ASDEX Upgrade, JT-60U, and C-Mod. Different machines, different plasma compositions, different heating methods. The same fixed-point value.

A transport barrier with characteristic width: The pedestal has a well-defined spatial scale $\Delta_{ped} \approx$ a few centimetres at the plasma edge. The pressure profile steepens dramatically within this region and then saturates. The barrier is self-organised, not externally imposed.

Self-reconstituting behaviour after perturbations: When an ELM crash disturbs the pedestal, it rebuilds to the same height, the same pressure gradient, the same $H98$. Different ELM types (I, II, III) produce different crash dynamics but identical post-ELM attractors. This is the Convergence Hypothesis in a physical system: infinite turbulent histories, one static core.

The SFVFS™ framework identifies this fixed point as the DN (dissipation-natural) attractor: the configuration the plasma finds when turbulent forcing is above the L-H threshold and the pedestal barrier has formed. The equation of state candidate is $(H98, \Lambda_{ped}) = (1, 1)$, in direct analogy with $(H1_{norm}, \Lambda) = (1, 1)$ at the NS DN attractor.

2.2 The L-H Transition is a Hysteresis Experiment

Transition	Power threshold	Meaning
L → H	P_{LH} (higher)	Creating H-mode from turbulence requires higher power.
H → L	P_{HL} (lower, $P_{HL} < P_{LH}$)	H-mode collapse back to turbulence occurs at lower power.
VOID window	$P_{HL} < P < P_{LH}$	H-mode persists below the power needed to create it from L-mode. This is the SFVFS™ VOID window: the state that persists below its creation threshold.

L-H Hysteresis **CONFIRMED**

The H-to-L power threshold P_{HL} is systematically lower than the L-to-H threshold P_{LH} across JET, DIII-D, ASDEX Upgrade, and JT-60U (Martin et al. 2008, ITPA database). The VOID window $P_{HL} < P < P_{LH}$ is the H-mode attractor region. This is the most direct existing confirmation of the SFVFS™ structure in any external physical system.

2.3 The ELM is the DN Branch

An Edge-Localised Mode (ELM) is a periodic crash in which the pedestal pressure gradient exceeds the peeling-ballooning stability limit and a burst of energy is expelled from the plasma edge. ELMs are not failures of H-mode — they are the DN branch of the SFVFS™ cycle.

The ELM crash expels energy — this is the VOID floor crossing. The pedestal collapses momentarily. Then, within milliseconds to seconds depending on type, the pedestal rebuilds to the same configuration: same height, same $H_{98} \approx 1$, same pressure gradient. The geometry that determined the pre-ELM pedestal is not destroyed by the crash. It is re-established from the same Seed. The crash is the mechanism that allows the cycle to continue: the energy is expelled so the plasma can build again to the same fixed point.

The critical observation: Type I, Type II, and Type III ELMs have different crash dynamics — different flow paths through the turbulent space — but they all produce the same post-ELM attractor. The DN branch geometry is independent of the specific ELM type. This is the physical manifestation of the Convergence Hypothesis: different turbulent histories converging to the same static core.

3. The SFVFS™ Cycle in H-mode

Phase	NS / The Needle's Eye	Tokamak H-mode Equivalent
SEED	Tresca geometry latent in every 3D rotating incompressible flow (Corner Theorem).	Ballooning/peeling mode instability latent in any confined plasma above the pressure gradient threshold. Intrinsic to the gyrokinetic equations.
FORM (UP)	Turbulent L-mode activation. UP branch — enstrophy production, inertial cascade.	L-mode: low confinement, high turbulent transport. Heating power below L-H threshold. Energy confined poorly — the open turbulent state.
VOID	DN attractor. (H_1 norm, Λ) = $(1, \bar{1})$. Neither blow-up nor decay.	H-mode pedestal: spontaneous transport barrier at plasma edge. Confinement doubles or triples. H-factor $H_{98} \approx 1$. It is neither collapsing nor growing. It inhabits the open interval.

FORM (DN)	ELM-equivalent crash: pedestal collapse, geometry reconstitutes.	ELM: periodic crash when pressure gradient exceeds peeling-ballooning limit. Pedestal collapses, expels energy, then rebuilds. The crash is not decay — it is the DN branch seeding the next cycle.
SEED	Geometry re-establishes. Cycle closes.	Post-ELM recovery: pedestal rebuilds to the same height, pressure gradient, $H_{98} \approx 1$. The geometric attractor re-established from the crash state.

Critical structural feature. The post-ELM pedestal always rebuilds to $H_{98} \approx 1$, regardless of which specific ELM crash triggered the reset. Different ELMs (Type I, II, III) produce different crash dynamics — different flow paths — but the same geometric attractor. This is the physical manifestation of the Convergence Hypothesis: infinite turbulent histories, one static core.

4. The Γ Analog

Define the dimensionless ELM stability ratio:

$$\Gamma_{ELM} = (|\nabla p|) / (|\nabla p|_{crit} + \chi_{neo}(n|\nabla T|))$$

where $|\nabla p|$ is the local pressure gradient magnitude, $|\nabla p|_{crit}$ is the peeling-ballooning stability boundary, χ_{neo} is the neoclassical transport coefficient, and $n|\nabla T|$ is the temperature gradient drive for neoclassical bootstrap current. The ratio measures proximity to the ELM stability boundary.

ELM cycle phase	Γ_{ELM} value	Meaning
Inter-ELM H-mode	$\Gamma_{ELM} < 1$	Pedestal below stability limit. The attractor holds.
ELM approach	Γ_{ELM} rising toward 1	Pedestal builds. The needle's eye narrows.
ELM onset	$\Gamma_{ELM} \rightarrow 1$	Stability boundary crossed. The crash fires.
ELM crash	Γ_{ELM} collapses	Pedestal pressure releases. The DN branch.
Post-ELM recovery	Γ_{ELM} rebuilds from below	Seed re-establishes. Cycle closes.

5. $H_{98} = 1$ as the Equation of State

H-mode Equation of State **CANDIDATE**

H98 = 1 is not a normalisation artifact. It is the equation of state of the H-mode VOID attractor — the normalised confinement measure locking to its fixed-point value, in direct analogy with $(H_1 \text{ norm}, \Lambda) = (1,1)$ at the NS DN attractor. The cross-machine universality of $\bar{H98}$ is the H-mode analog of the equation of state confirmed across six NS fluids in the Beehive DNS programme.

Invariant	NS value at DN attractor	H-mode candidate value
H _{1_norm}	1.000000 — confirmed across six canonical fluids, Beehive DNS, Viscosity Law V3.	H98 = 1.0 — documented across JET, DIII-D, ASDEX, AUG, JT-60U. Cross-machine universality established.
Λ	1.000000 — Dirichlet quotient locks at DN, cascade terminates at domain scale.	$\Lambda_{\text{ped}} := \ \nabla p\ /\ p\ $ across pedestal $\rightarrow k_{\text{ped}} = 1/\Lambda_{\text{ped}}$. Note (Kimi): Λ_{ped} demoted to conjecture pending variational derivation.
$\Gamma < 1$	$\Gamma(A_0) < 1$ in all 65/65 DNS data points. Canonical result.	$\Gamma_{\text{ELM}} < 1$ between ELMs in pedestal bulk. At ELM onset: $\Gamma_{\text{ELM}} \rightarrow 1$ from below. After ELM: Γ_{ELM} falls back below 1.
Equation of state	$(H_1 \text{ norm}, \Lambda) = (1,1)$ at DN attractor.	$(H98, \Lambda_{\text{ped}}) = (1, 1)$ at H-mode pedestal. If confirmed: same equation of state, different physical system, different equations. SFVFS™ universality.

Testable consequence. H98 should have smaller variance in Type I ELMy H-mode (the deepest VOID state) than in Type III (the shallowest). The deeper the attractor, the tighter the fixed point. Measurable from the ITPA database immediately.

6. The Corner Theorem Analog

Ballooning Instability Criterion **PROVED (external literature)**

In any toroidally confined plasma with a pressure gradient exceeding the critical value $|\nabla p| > |\nabla p|_{\text{crit}}$, the ballooning mode is unstable. The instability is latent in the gyrokinetic equations for any plasma with $\kappa \cdot \nabla p > 0$ (bad magnetic curvature aligned with pressure gradient). It does not need to be triggered — it is intrinsic. This is the H-mode analog of the Corner Theorem: the instability geometry is latent in every qualifying confined

plasma, just as the Tresca geometry is latent in every 3D rotating incompressible flow.

H-mode Corner Theorem Analog CONJECTURE

In any toroidally confined plasma above the L-H threshold, the $\nabla p \neq 0$ condition and toroidal geometry force the pressure gradient into a ballooning-type hourglass structure at the pedestal top. The pedestal cannot be isotropically steep (that would violate the flux-surface constraint). The two face normals — inward pressure drive and outward stability damping — are the only geometrically available extremal configurations. The pedestal is geometrically forced to the Needle's Eye. Not proved. The ballooning stability criterion is proved. Whether the saturation geometry is forced by magnetic geometry in the same way Tresca is forced by incompressibility is an open question — but precise. For the full Corner Theorem statement and variational proof of the if-direction, see Saturn's North Pole (Segment 5) and The Cartographer (Segment 3). Falsification condition: if ITER operates at H98 significantly and sustainedly deviating from unity, the fixed-point attractor hypothesis fails.

7. ITER and the Scale of the Claim

ITER Structural Prediction CF CONSISTENT

If H-mode is a geometric attractor governed by the equation of state ($H98, \Lambda_{ped}$) = (1,1), then H-mode will work at ITER scale provided the plasma satisfies the seed conditions — sufficient pressure gradient, non-zero magnetic field, toroidal geometry. The attractor is determined by geometry and equations, not by machine size. The pedestal will reconstitute. This is not a guarantee. It is a structural prediction from a geometric framework. Falsifiable ~2035. Kimi (18 March 2026): if ITER operates at $H98 \ll 1$ or $H98 \gg 1$, attractor universality fails.

The scale of the claim: ITER will operate at $Q = 10$ (fusion power 500 MW, heating power 50 MW). No tokamak has operated at this scale. The SFVFS™ prediction is that the H-mode geometric attractor is scale-invariant — the same Corner Theorem analog that forces the pedestal geometry in a 3-metre JET plasma operates in a 6-metre ITER plasma. The Tresca geometry does not care about machine size. Incompressibility does not make exceptions for ITER.

8. Testable Predictions

Prediction	How to test	Data source
H98 = 1 is the equation of state	Plot H98 distribution	ITPA H-mode database.

(not a normalisation)	across machines and heating scenarios. Variance should be genuinely small if pedestal is a fixed-point attractor.	Multi-machine. Publicly available.
$\Gamma_{\text{ELM}} < 1$ between ELMs, $\Gamma_{\text{ELM}} \rightarrow 1$ at ELM onset	Compute Γ_{ELM} at each timestep through ELM cycle. Should rise toward 1, cross at ELM trigger, fall post-ELM, rebuild to sub-1.	JET pedestal profile data. Thomson scattering + charge exchange recombination spectroscopy.
L-H hysteresis as VOID window	P_LH hysteresis already documented. Reframe as SFVFS™ VOID window $P_{\text{HL}} < P < P_{\text{LH}}$.	Martin et al. 2008, ITPA database. No new experiments needed.
$\theta = 90^\circ$ analog: ballooning eigenfunction perpendicular to field line curvature at pedestal top	Eigenfunction structure should be perpendicular to bad-curvature direction at stability boundary — the plasma analog of $\theta_s = 90^\circ$ Tresca condition at the NS Needle's Eye.	ELITE/MISHKA stability codes. Standard tools in the pedestal community.
ITER H-mode survives at scale: H98 ≈ 1 at Q = 10 plasma parameters	Direct measurement when ITER operates.	ITER first plasma ~2025, DT operation ~2035.

9. Status

Item	Status
SFVFS™ cycle mapping onto H-mode	CF CONSISTENT — coherent, consistent with all known H-mode phenomenology
L-H hysteresis as VOID window	CONFIRMED — Martin et al. 2008, ITPA database. Already measured.
H-mode pedestal as attractor	CONFIRMED — standard plasma physics literature. Already named as such.
H98 = 1 as equation of state	CANDIDATE — cross-machine universality documented. SFVFS™ interpretation new.
$\Gamma_{\text{ELM}} < 1$ inter-ELM, $\rightarrow 1$ at onset	PREDICTED — testable against JET/DIII-D pedestal profile databases.

Ballooning eigenfunction hourglass geometry	CONFIRMED in linear theory. Eigenfunction structure matches SFVFS™ geometry.
ITER prediction: H-mode survives at scale	STRUCTURAL PREDICTION. Falsifiable ~2035.
H-mode Corner Theorem analog	CONJECTURED — precise formulation above. Not proved. Requires variational derivation from gyrokinetics.

10. Summary

Established	Not established
L-H hysteresis as VOID window (confirmed, Martin et al. 2008). H-mode pedestal as attractor (confirmed, plasma physics literature). Ballooning eigenfunction geometry matches SFVFS™. H98 = 1 cross-machine universality documented. Γ_{ELM} cycle structure predicted and testable. ITER structural prediction issued.	H-mode Corner Theorem analog not proved (conjecture). $\Lambda_{ped} = 1$ not derived (demoted to conjecture). Mechanism of L-H transition not derived from SFVFS™ (existing result imported). ITER prediction falsifiable ~2035.

“The plasma found the needle’s eye before we knew there was one.”

Framework References

The Needle’s Eye — Navier-Stokes. $(H_1_norm, \Lambda) = (1,1)$. $\Gamma(A_0) < 1$ in 65/65 DNS data points. Segment 2 of 12.

The Cartographer — FSC Theory. $\Omega = 2$ (Door). Corner Theorem if-direction PROVED. Segment 3 of 12.

Martin et al. 2008, ITPA database. L-H power threshold hysteresis. JET, DIII-D, ASDEX, JT-60U.

ITER Organisation. Cadarache, France. First plasma ~2025, DT operation ~2035.

CF CONSISTENT not PASS · Art Until Proven Otherwise · Liminal is never a pass
itvoids.com · SFVFS™ · Trademark UK00004355735
M. Craig · March 2026 · Leake Street, London